# H eterotic Y ukaw a couplings and continuous W ilson lines

Tatsuo Kobayashi<sup>1</sup> and O leg Lebedev<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, K yoto University, K yoto 606-8502, Japan

<sup>2</sup>C entre for Theoretical Physics, University of Sussex, Brighton BN 1 9Q J, UK

#### A bstract

R ealistic heterotic string m odels require the presence of the W ilson lines. W e study the e ect of continuous W ilson lines on the heterotic Yukawa couplings for abelian orbifold com pacti cations and nd that the presence of continuous W ilson lines a ects the m agnitude of the twisted Yukawa couplings resulting in their stronger hierarchy.

### 1. Introduction.

O ne of the ultim ate tests of string theory is the prediction of the quark and lepton m asses. This necessitates the know ledge of the Yukawa couplings in string m odels. In the context of the heterotic string [1], which provides m any attractive m odels, the desired Yukawa couplings have been explicitly calculated for the orbifold com pactic cations. The Yukawa couplings of the twisted sectors are generated by the world sheet instantons and can be computed using conform alleld theory techniques. The original calculation was performed by D ixon et. al. [2], and H am idi and Vafa [3], and later rened in [4], [5]. The Yukawa couplings were found to be exponentially suppressed by the distance between the orbifold xed points where the twisted elds reside. This o ered a natural explanation of a hierarchical pattern of the quark and lepton m assematrices (see [6] for related studies). The e ect of the antisymmetric background eld B  $_{ij}$  was included in [5]. This had im portant im plications for the studies of CP violation in the context of string theory. In particular, it was realized that the antisymmetric background eld m ay lead to com plex Yukawa couplings [7] and eventually to CP violation in the Cabibbo [K obayashi]M askawa m atrix [8].

In addition to  $B_{ij}$ , there may exist other backgrounds such as the W ilson lines [10]. In fact, their presence is necessary to produce reasonable phenom enology, e.g. the Standard M odel gauge group (or close to such) and a small number of generations [11],[12]. The missing link so far has been the elect of the W ilson lines on the Yukawa couplings. W ilson

For analogous results in Type Im odels, see [9].

lines can be continuous or discrete depending on the embedding of the space group into the gauge degrees of freedom. Realistic models involve discrete or, possibly, both types of the W ilson lines. In this Letter, we study continuous W ilson lines and defer the analysis of discrete W ilson lines until our subsequent publication.

The presence of background elds generally breaks the CP symmetry (as de ned in Refs.[13, 14] in the context of string theory). So, an important question to address is whether or not this CP violation shows up in the Yukawa couplings. In the case of the intersecting brane models, this issue has been considered in Ref.[15] with the result that the W ilson lines induce CP violating Yukawa couplings. In heterotic models, the problem ismore complicated. In this work, we compute the W ilson line contribution to the Yukawa couplings for orbifold compacti cations admitting the decomposition  $T^2 = T^2 = T^2$ .

W e start with reviewing the technique of computation of the Yukawa couplings.

## 2. T {m oduli dependence of the Y ukaw a couplings.

A  $Z_N$  orbifold is de ned as a torus modded by a twist which acts as a discrete rotation of order N (for a review, see [16]). Strings closed on the original torus correspond to the untwisted sector, while those closed only on the orbifold form twisted sectors. Twisted states have no momentum in the compacti ed directions and have their center of m ass at one of the xed points f de ned by

$$f = f + ; \tag{1}$$

where is a torus lattice vector. Below we consider Yukawa couplings of the twisted states. They depend on various moduli such as compactication radii, W ilson moduli, etc. In contrast, Yukawa couplings of the untwisted states are constant and therefore irrelevant for our purposes.

A twisted trilinear coupling of two ferm ions and a boson corresponds to a string amplitude for massless states

$$hV_{f}^{B}V_{f}^{F}V_{f}^{F}$$

where ; ; are associated with twists k=N; l=N; (k + l)=N of a Z N orbifold and f ; ; label orbifold xed points. The twisted vertex operators are de ned through the twist elds  $_{if}$ :

$$V_{tw}(z;z) = V_{untw}(z;z)$$
; (2;z) (3)

and ; f creates a twisted ground state from an untwisted vacuum y, ; f (z;z) j j = j v i with v = (1 ) (f + ).

The vertex operator for the emission of an untwisted massless state with momentum P is given by

$$V(z;z) = e^{q(z)} e^{i H} e^{i(P_{R} X_{R} P_{L} X_{L})};$$
(4)

 $<sup>^{</sup>y}$ For higher twisted sectors, the twist elds ; f them selves, in general, do not correspond to eigenstates of . One has to take their linear combinations to obtain physical states [17, 18].

where q (z) is the superghost contribution, is an SO (10) weight vector, and H (z) represents bosonized NSR fermions. Since twisted strings have no momentum in the orbifold directions, the path integral in Eq.(2) factorizes:

$$hV_{;f}^{B} V_{;f}^{F} V_{;f}^{F} i = hV^{B} V^{F} V^{F} ih_{;f} ;_{f} ;_{f} i:$$
(5)

In the second factor the path integral is to be perform ed over six \internal" string elds. The twisted Yukawa coupling is then given by a low {energy limit of this expression integrated over all possible vertex locations  $z_{1,2;3}$ . We note that the rst factor is needed to make the result SL (2;C) invariant, while the second factor contains the orbifold information. Furthermore, only the classical part of X<sup>i</sup> provides the moduli dependence relevant for our purposes.

Thus, the Yukawa couplings among the twisted sectors ;; can be expressed as

$$Y = const X e^{S_{cl}};$$

$$X_{cl}$$
(6)

where  $X_{cl}$  are solutions to the string equations of motion in the presence of the twist elds  $_{f}$ ;  $_{f}$ ;  $_{f}$  located at points  $z_{1;2;3}$  of the world sheet. W ith the normalization of Ref.[16], the classical action is<sup>2</sup>

$$S_{cl} = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d d @ X^{i} @ X^{i} = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2}z (@Z^{i} @ Z^{i} + @Z^{i} @ Z^{i})$$
(7)

with  $Z^{i} = X^{i} + iX^{i+1}$ ,  $Z^{i} = X^{i}$  iX  $i^{i+1}$  and  $z = e^{2(+i)}$ . Henceforth, rom an indices label the compacti ed directions, i = 1, ..., 6, while italic indices label the compacti ed planes, i = 1, 3, 5. The resulting equations of motion are

$$e^{2}Z^{i} = e^{2}Z = 0$$
; (8)

such that  $Z^{i}$  can be split into a holom orphic and an antiholom orphic pieces,  $Z^{i} = Z_{R}^{i}(z) + Z_{L}^{i}(z)$ . The holom orphic (right{m oving) piece  $Z_{R}$  belonging to the twisted sector k=N can be expanded as

$$Z_{R} = Z_{R} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{x^{k}}{n-1} \frac{n}{n} \frac{n}{k=N} Z^{(n-k=N)} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{x^{k}}{n-0} \frac{y}{n+k=N} Z^{n+k=N} Z^{n+k=N} ; \qquad (9)$$

where  $z_R$  is a xed point, and and  $^y$  are the annihilation and creation operators. For  $z \neq 0$ ,

so we have the following OPE

 $\mathcal{Q}_{z}Z_{k=N}(0;0) = z^{(1 \ k=N)}_{k=N}(0;0);$  (11)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>N ote the di erence in the de nitions of  $Z^{i}$ , see Eqs.(3.47) and (1.48).

where the operator  $_{k=N}$  creates an excited twisted state from an untwisted vacuum. Therefore, the classical solutions with the correct behaviour at the twist insertion points  $z_{1;2;3}$  are of the form

for each complex plane. The constants c;d are to be determ ined by the boundary conditions for Z<sup>i</sup>. In particular, encircling the twist insertion points an appropriate number of tim es produces a winding vector. Therefore, we have the following monodrom y conditions

$$Z^{i} = \int_{C}^{Z} dz \ \varrho Z^{i} + \int_{C}^{Z} dz \ \varrho Z^{i} = v^{i};$$

$$Z^{i} = \int_{C}^{C} dz \ \varrho Z^{i} + \int_{C}^{C} dz \ \varrho Z^{i} = v^{i};$$
(13)

with the complex lattice vector  $v^i$  de ned by  $v^i = v^{(i)} + iv^{(i+1)}$  and  $v^i = v^{(i)}$   $iv^{(i+1)}$ . Here the contour C is chosen such that  $Z^i$  gets shifted but not rotated upon going around C. These equations allow to solve for c;d in terms of the winding vectors  $v^i$ .

In the case of a three point correlator,  $z_{1;2;3}$  can be transform ed into 0, 1, and 1 by an appropriate SL (2;C) transform ation. By encircling point 0 ltim es clockwise and point 1 k tim es anticlockwise, Z<sup>i</sup> is shifted by

$$v^{i} = (1 \quad {}^{l})(f \quad f + ):$$
 (14)

This follows from the space group multiplication rule for  $(;1)^{l}(;1)^{k}$  with l = (1)(f + ) and l = (1 )(f + ). Encircling all three points produces a contractable cycle, (;l)(;l)(;l) = (1;0), hence we obtain the point group selection rule = 1 and the space group selection rule<sup>x</sup>

$$(1 )f + (1 )f + (1 )f = 0$$
 (15)

up to a lattice vector.

Typically there is only one independent nontrivial contour C in the monodrom y condition [2] (yet, there may be subleties discussed in Ref.[5]), while other contours can be obtained by adding C and trivial contours which encircle one point n times or all three points. Correspondingly, there is one equation for two variables c and d. The case with non-zero d does not contribute to the Yukawa couplings due to a divergence of the action  $(S_{cl} + 1)$ . Thus, we set d = 0 and nd (for each complex plane)

$$c = \frac{i(z_1)^{(k+1)=N}}{2} \frac{((k+1)=N) v}{\sin(k1=N) (k=N) (l=N)} :$$
(16)

<sup>\*</sup>See [18] for discussion.

The Yukawa couplings are determined by the holomophic instantons, i.e. classical solutions with holomophic  $Z^{i}$  and antiholomophic  $Z^{i}$  (yet, this does not imply that  $X^{i}$  is purely right-or left{moving). Performing the  $d^{2}z$  integral using the method of K awai et. al. [19], we obtain [16]

$$S_{cl}(v_{i}) = \frac{jv_{i}j^{2}}{4 \sin^{2}(k_{i}l_{i}=N)} \frac{j\sin(k_{i}=N)jj\sin(l_{i}=N)j}{j\sin((k_{i}+l_{i})=N)j} :$$
(17)

The sum over X<sub>cl</sub> in the correlation function reduces to the sum over v<sub>i</sub> which is parametrized by a lattice vector through (14). The T {moduli dependence is contained in  $jv_i f$  which is proportional to the square of the relevant compactication radius, and ReT<sub>i</sub> / R<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>.

#### 3. E ect of continuous W ilson lines.

A continuous W ilson line is realized through the correspondence between the space group and rotations and shifts of the gauge  $E_8 = E_8$  lattice [12]:

where is a point group element, is a rotation of the E  $_8$  E  $_8$  lattice, and l and a are related by x x

$$l^{i} = {}^{\Lambda} n e^{i} ; a^{I} = {}^{\Lambda} n A^{I} :$$
 (19)

Here n are some integers,  $e^i$  are the torus basis vectors, and  $A^I$  are the W ilson lines. In contrast to the discrete W ilson lines,  $a^I$  are unconstrained as long as they are rotated by since (;a)  $^{N} = (1;0)$  automatically. Note that if we identify  $e_1 = 0$  with  $a_1$ , the group multiplication nule  $(2; l_2)(1; l_1) = (2; 1; l_2 + 2; l_1)$  requires us to identify  $e_1 = 0$  with  $a_1 = 0$ . In particular, if  $e_1 = e_2$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ .

The presence of a W ilson line A  $_{\rm iI}$  gives an additional contribution to the action [10]

$$S_{cl} = \frac{A_{iI}}{2}^{2} d d \quad @ X^{i} @ X^{I};$$
 (20)

with I=1,..,16 labelling the left{m oving gauge space coordinates X  $^{\rm I}$ . Sum m ing up the contributions from A  $_{\rm iI}$ ; A  $_{i+1,\rm I}$ ; A  $_{i;\rm I+1}$ ; A  $_{i+1;\rm I+1}$  and using the complex coordinate  $z = e^{2(\, + \, i \, )}$ , we write the E uclidean action as

$$S_{cl} = \frac{1}{2} d^{2}z A_{iI} ( \theta Z^{i} \theta Z^{I} - \theta Z^{i} \theta Z^{I}) + A_{iI}^{0} ( \theta Z^{i} \theta Z^{I} - \theta Z^{i} \theta Z^{I}) + h c;; (21)$$

where h.c. replaces a quantity with the corresponding barred one and conjugates A ; A  $^{0}$ , and we have de ned

$$Z^{I} = X^{I} + iX^{I+1}; I = 1; 3; :::; 15;$$

$$A_{iI} = \frac{1}{4} (A_{iI} \quad A_{i+1;I+1} \quad iA_{i+1;I} \quad iA_{i;I+1});$$

$$A_{iI}^{0} = \frac{1}{4} (A_{iI} + A_{i+1;I+1} \quad iA_{i+1;I} + iA_{i;I+1}):$$
(22)

The expression for the action can be simplied using the fact that  $X^{I}$  is a left mover,  $QZ^{I} \quad QZ^{I} \quad (Q \quad Q) X^{I} = 0.$ 

The addition of the W ilson line does not a ect the equations of motion for X <sup>i</sup> since it generates only a boundary term contribution. Nor does it a ect the monodrom y conditions because the boundary conditions for X <sup>i</sup> remain intact. As a result, the classical solutions for Z <sup>i</sup> are the same as in the case without W ilson lines.

W e also note that the pure gauge space contribution to the action

$$S_{gauge} = \frac{1}{2} d^{2}z \quad (@Z^{I}@Z^{I} + @Z^{I}@Z^{I}):$$
(23)

vanishes when the left{m over constraint is applied. Thus, the only e ect of the W ilson lines on the Yukawa couplings com es from the additional term (20) in the world sheet action.

The W ilson lines are subject to certain constraints [20]. In particular, the invariance of the action (20) under twisting requires (in orthogonal coordinates)

$$^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{A} = \mathrm{A} : \tag{24}$$

A common choice (the \standard embedding") is = which acts on a 6D sublattice of the E<sub>8</sub> E<sub>8</sub> lattice, while leaving the other components intact. For a twist of any order, this im plies<sup>4</sup>

$$A = \begin{array}{c} a & b \\ b & a \end{array}$$
(25)

for each of the three planes. Here a; b are real continuous moduli. As a result,  $A_{iI} = 0$  and

$$S_{cl} = \frac{A_{iI}^{0}}{2} d^{2}z \ @Z^{i}@Z^{I};$$
 (26)

where we have applied the left{m over constraint and used  $QZ^{i} = QZ^{i} = 0$ .

In the case of the standard embedding,  $QZ^{i}$  and  $QZ^{I}$  have the same structure. In particular, they have the same singular behaviour at the twist operator insertion points:

The constant  $c^0$  is determined by the monodrom y condition for Z<sup>I</sup>:

$$\int_{C}^{Z} dz \ 0 Z^{I} = u; \qquad (28)$$

with the result

$$c^0 = c \frac{u}{v} :$$
 (29)

Here u is the gauge space representation of the space group element v which appears in the monodrom y condition for  $Z^{i}$ . For example, in the basis  $f_{i}^{i}$ ,  $f_{i}^{j}$  =  $f_{i}^{j}$  g this correspondence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>{</sup> In the convention of Ref.[21], our W ilson matrix A corresponds to  $(U^{T}CAU)^{T}$  up to an overall normalization, where C is the E<sub>8</sub> Cartan metric and  $U^{T}CU = \text{diag}(2,2,..,2)$ .

is given (in each plane) by<sup>k</sup>

Here  $\dot{a}_1 = (a; b)$ .

The remaining integral  $^{R}d^{2}z$   $\beta Z i f$  is identical to the one appearing in the calculation of the Yukawa couplings without the W ilson lines. The only di erence arises due to the factor

$$A_{11}^{0}vu = \frac{1}{2}a^{2} + b^{2}jv^{2}$$
(31)

for each plane. Here v is the space group element from the monodrom y condition. As a result,  $\$ 

$$S_{cl}(v) = \frac{jvj^{2}}{4 \sin^{2}(kl=N)} \frac{j\sin(k=N)jj\sin(l=N)j}{j\sin((k+1)=N)j} 1 + \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2}.$$
 (32)

for each plane.

Turning on the antisymmetric background eld B  $_{ij}$  [16], we obtain the following Yukawa couplings

$$Y = const$$
(33)  

$$X = const 
x = const$$

where we have de ned  $a_i = A_{i;i} + iA_{i;i+1}$ . Clearly, this expression is invariant under rotations of the W ilson lines. We see that, for  $B_{ij} = 0$ , the presence of the W ilson lines am ounts essentially to a rescaling of  $v_i$  or the compactication radii. This creates a stronger hierarchy of the Yukawa couplings of the elds placed at di erent xed points of the orbifold.

These results can be generalized to a class of non{standard embeddings such that a  $Z_N$  twist is associated with rotations of more than one planes in the gauge space, (;1)! ( (1);a(1)); ( (2);a(2)); ...: In this case A can be split into the 2 2 blocks

$$A_{(1)} = \begin{array}{c} a_{(1)} & b_{(1)} \\ b_{(1)} & a_{(1)} \end{array} ; A_{(2)} = \begin{array}{c} a_{(2)} & b_{(2)} \\ b_{(2)} & a_{(2)} \end{array} ; ...$$
(34)

This results in the substitution

$$\frac{1}{2}a_{i}a_{i} \quad ! \quad \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}^{X} [a_{(k)}]_{i} [\overline{a}_{(k)}]_{i} \qquad (35)$$

in Eq.(33).

In term s of the T {m oduli [16],

$$\underline{j}_{ij} \underline{j}^{2} 1 \underline{i}_{i;i+1} + \frac{1}{2} a_{i}a_{i} / T_{i} + (T_{i} + T_{i})a_{i}a_{i};$$
 (36)

 $<sup>{}^</sup>k\mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{ere}$  we have set the compacti cation radii to one. In general, these expressions are valid for v=R and u=R .

where is a constant depending on the orbifold. The e ect of the axionic shift  $T_i$ !  $T_i$  + i on the Yukawa couplings is therefore the same as in the case without the W ilson lines. For phenom enological applications it in plies that the Cabibbo-K obayashi-M askawa (CKM) phase vanishes for Im  $T_i = 1=2$  and at the xed points of the modular group, in particular [8] (see also [22]). This follows from the fact that the Jarlskog invariant  $J = \text{Im D et}[Y_u Y_u^y; Y_d Y_d^y]$  satis es [8]

$$J(T_i) = J(T_i + i) = J(T_i) = 0$$
 (37)

for Im  $T_i = 1=2$ . The Jarlskog invariant serves as an indicator of CP violation in the Standard M odel such that its vanishing implies a zero CKM phase. This means that if the T {m oduli are the source of the observed CP violation, they cannot be stabilized at Im  $T_i = 1=2$ . This requirement puts rather severe constraints on heterotic string m odels [23].

A curious feature of this result is that the W ilson line background in heterotic m odels does not lead to CP violating Yukawa couplings. This is in contrast to the open string case [15], in which the W ilson lines provide phase factors in the Yukawa matrices and m ay in principle be a source of CP violation. The di erence arises due to the embedding of the space group into the gauge group via the W ilson line, which is not im plem ented in the open string case . Even though the W ilson line background m ay break CP, this sort of CP violation does not appear in the Yukawa couplings. In a way, this is analogous to the case of a com plex vacuum expectation value of the dilaton when CP gets broken via the QCD {term rather than the Yukawa couplings.

A nother consequence of the above result is that there is an additional contribution to the trilinear soft SUSY breaking parameters A coming from the Yukawa coupling dependence on the W ilson moduli  $a_i$ :

$$A = F^{a_i} (a_i \ln Y) \qquad O(F^{a_i} a_i); \qquad (38)$$

where  $F^{a_i}$  denotes the F-components of  $a_i$  and we have taken into account the dom inance of the rst term in the sum (33). Since one expects  $a_i = 0$  (1) [24], generally this contribution is signi cant and should be included in phenom enological analyses (see also [25]). In particular, due to its avor{dependence, this additional term is relevant to the \string CP problem " [26].

To conclude, we have studied the e ect of the continuous W ilson lines on the twisted Yukawa couplings. Unlike in the open string case, the presence of the W ilson lines a ects the magnitude and hierarchy of the Yukawa couplings instead of producing phase factors. These results have implications for the studies of CP violation and supersymmetry breaking in heterotic string m odels.

A cknow ledgem ents. We thank J.Erler and D.Jungnickel for communications, and D.Bailin and T.Higaki for discussions. TK. is supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientic Research No.14540256 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. O L. is supported by PPARC.

Yet, the e ect of  $B_{ij}$  is CP violating in both cases.

- D.J.G ross, J.A. Harvey, E.J.M artinec and R.Rohm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 502 (1985).
- [2] L.J.Dixon, D.Friedan, E.J.Martinec and S.H.Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B 282, 13 (1987).
- [3] S.Ham idiand C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 279, 465 (1987).
- [4] T.T.Burwick, R.K.Kaiser and H.F.Muller, Nucl. Phys. B 355, 689 (1991).
- [5] J. Erler, D. Jungnickel, M. Spalinski and S. Stieberger, Nucl. Phys. B 397, 379 (1993).
- [6] L. E. Ibanez, Phys. Lett. B 181, 269 (1986); J. A. Casas and C. Munoz, Nucl. Phys. B 332, 189 (1990) E matum -ibid. B 340, 280 (1990)]; J. A. Casas, F. Gom ez and C. Munoz, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8, 455 (1993); Phys. Lett. B 292, 42 (1992); A. E. Faraggi and E. Halyo, Nucl. Phys. B 416, 63 (1994); T. Kobayashi, Phys. Lett. B 358, 253 (1995); T. Kobayashi and Z. z. X ing, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12, 561 (1997); Int. J. M od. Phys. A 13, 2201 (1998); J. G iedt, Nucl. Phys. B 595, 3 (2001) E matum -ibid. B 632, 397 (2002)]; S. A. Abeland C. Munoz, arX iv hep-ph/0212258.
- [7] See, e.g., D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, Phys. Lett. B 435, 323 (1998).
- [8] O.Lebedev, Phys. Lett. B 521, 71 (2001).
- [9] G.Akazabal, L.E. Ibanez, F.Quevedo and A.M. Uranga, JHEP 0008,002 (2000);S.A.Abel and A.W. Owen, Nucl. Phys. B 651, 191 (2003).
- [10] K.S.Narain, M.H.Sarm adiand E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 279, 369 (1987).
- [11] L.E. Ibanez, H.P.Nilles and F.Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B 187, 25 (1987).
- [12] L.E. Ibanez, H.P.Nilles and F.Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B 192, 332 (1987) and refs. therein.
- [13] M. Dine, R. G. Leigh and D. A. MacIntire, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2030 (1992); K.w.Choi, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B 391, 515 (1993).
- [14] T.Kobayashiand C.S.Lim, Phys. Lett. B 343, 122 (1995).
- [15] D. Crem ades, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, arXiv:hep-ph/0212064; hep-th/0302105.
- [16] D.Bailin and A.Love, Phys.Rept. 315, 285 (1999).
- [17] T.Kobayashi and N.Ohtsubo, Phys. Lett. B 245, 441 (1990).
- [18] T.Kobayashi and N.Ohtsubo, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 9,87 (1994).

- [19] H.Kawai, D.C.Lewellen and S.H.Tye, Nucl. Phys. B 269,1 (1986).
- [20] T.Mohaupt, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 9,4637 (1994).
- [21] M. Cvetic, B. A. Ovrut and W. A. Sabra, Phys. Lett. B 351, 173 (1995).
- [22] T.Dent, Phys. Rev. D 64, 056005 (2001).
- [23] S.Khalil, O. Lebedev and S.Morris, Phys. Rev. D 65, 115014 (2002); O. Lebedev and S.Morris, JHEP 0208, 007 (2002).
- [24] D.Bailin, G.V.K raniotis and A.Love, Phys.Lett.B 432, 343 (1998).
- [25] H.B.Kim and C.Munoz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12, 315 (1997).
- [26] S.Abel, S.K halil and O. Lebedev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 121601 (2002).