A M atrix M odel for a Class of Fractional Quantum Hall States

 $A.Jellal^{1y}$, $E.H.Saidi^2$, $H.B.G.eyer^3$ and $R.A.Romer^4$

¹ Institut fur Physik Technische Universitat, D-09107, Chemnitz, Germany
² Lab/UFR, High Energy Physics, Physics Department, Mohammed V University,
Av. Ibn Battouta, P.O. Box. 1014, Rabat, Morocco

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa

KEYW ORDS: Non-com m utative Chern-Sim ons, M atrix M odel Theory, Fractional Quantum Hall (FQH) Fluids

1. Introduction

Recently, Susskind¹⁾ showed that an Abelian non-commutative Chem-Sim ons theory at level k is actually equivalent to Laughlin theory: $^{2)}$

$$S = \frac{k}{4} Z$$
 d^3y A ?@ A + $\frac{2}{3}$ A ?A ?A (1)

where the star{product is the usualM oyalproduct with parameter . Therefore, he obtained the lling factor

$$S = \frac{1}{k}$$
 (2)

He also pointed out that the above theory can be formulated in terms of a matrix model involving classical Herm itian matrix variables A_0 ; X^i , i=1; 2. The Lagrangian for the matrix theory is

$$L = B Tr_{ij} (X_{-}^{i} + i[A_{0}; X^{i}]) X^{j} + 2 A_{0}$$
 (3)

B is the magnetic eld. The equation of motion for the coordinate A_0 (G auss law constraint) is

$$[X^{1};X^{2}] = i$$
 (4)

which can only be solved if the matrices are in nite dimensional. This corresponds to an in nite number of electrons on an in nite plane.

For a nite system, Polychronakos³⁾ has introduced an additional set of bosonic degrees of freedom $_{m}$, m = 1;2;:::;M, such that $= (_{1};_{M};),$

$$L = {}^{y}(i - A_0):$$
 (5)

Considering L + L , Polychronakos $^{3)}$ found a quantum correction to Susskinds lling factor such that

$$P = \frac{1}{k+1} : (6)$$

In this case, the Gauss law constraint becomes

$$[X^{1}; X^{2}] = i \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{k+1} \quad ^{y} :$$
 (7)

Later Hellerm an and Van Raam sdonk⁴⁾ built the cor-

responding wavefunctions for L + L ,

where i_1 $^{\text{M}}$ i is the fully antisymmetric tensor. These are similar to Laughlins wavefunction. Subsequently, three of us generalised the above results to any lling factor given by

$$k_1 k_2 = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_2}; \quad k_2 > k_1:$$
 (9)

In what follows, we propose a matrix model to describe such FQH states that are not of Laughlin type.

2. $k_1 k_2$ fractional quantum H all states

Although the $=\frac{2}{5}$ FQH state is not of the Laughlin type, it shares some basic features of Laughlin uids. The point is that from the standard de nition of the lling factor $=\frac{N}{N}$, the state $=\frac{2}{5}$ can naively be thought of as corresponding to $=\frac{N}{N}$ where the number N of ux quanta is given by a fractional amount of the electron number; that is

$$N = (3 \frac{1}{2})N : (10)$$

In fact this way of view ing things re ects the original idea of a hierarchical construction of FQH states for general lling factor $\frac{p}{q}$. In Haldane's hierarchy, ⁶⁾ the elements of the series

$$p_1 p_2 = \frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 - 1} \tag{11}$$

correspond to taking N as given by a speci c rational factor of the electron number, i.e.,

$$N = (p_1 \frac{1}{p_2})N$$
: (12)

Upon setting

$$k_1 = p_1; \quad k_2 = k_1 (k_1 p_2 - 1) \quad rk_1$$
 (13)

we have $p_1 p_2 = k_1 k_2$. For $= \frac{2}{5}$, e.g.,

$$=\frac{2}{5} \quad \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{15}$$
 (14)

D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of W arw ick, C oventry C V 4 7A L, U K (R eceived July 18, 2002)

To appear in J. Phys. Soc. Japan (2003)

 $^{^{\}mathrm{y}}$ jellal@ gursey.gov.tr

2 Short Note

3. M atrix m odel analysis

To describe FQH uids at k_1k_2 , we consider the following action for a system of N = N₁ + N₂ particles⁵⁾

$$S = {^{R}} {_{i}} {_{$$

(15)

where 1; N₁,1 a;b N₂, Z₁ = $X_1^1 + iX_1^2$ and A_{0;i} the gauge for the ith particle. The J⁽¹⁾ and J_{aa}⁽²⁾ currents (G auss law constraints) read as

$$J^{(1)} = [Z_{1}; Z_{1}] + \frac{P_{N_{2}}}{2k_{1}} + \frac{P_{N_{2}}}{a=1} \quad a \quad a \quad J_{0}^{(1)}$$

$$J_{aa}^{(2)} = [Z_{2}; Z_{2}]_{aa} + \frac{P_{N_{1}}}{2k_{2}} = 1 \quad a \quad a \quad J_{0}^{(2)}$$
(16)

where the two U (1) charge operators $\boldsymbol{J}_{0}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_{0}^{(2)}$ are

$$J_0^{(1)} = J_0^{(2)} = J_0 = P_{N_1} P_{N_2} = A_{n=1} A_{n$$

The wavefunctions j idescribing the (N $_1$ + N $_2$) system of electrons on the non-com m utative plane R 2 w ith lling factor $_{k_1k_2}$ should obey the constraint⁵⁾

$$J_0 j i = \frac{N}{k_1 k_2} j i$$
: (18)

Once we know the fundamental state $j_{k_1k_2}^{(0)}$ i, excitations are in mediately determined by applying the usual rules. Upon recalling the coordinate operators as

$$z_1 = \frac{r}{2}r^+$$
; $z_{2aa} = \frac{r}{2}s_{aa}^+$ (19)

the total H am iltonian H m ay be treated as the sum of a free part given by

$$H_0 = \frac{!}{2} 2N_1 + 2N_2 + N_1^2 + N_2^2$$
 (20)

where N₁ = P_{N_1} ; = 1 P_{r} r and N₂ = P_{N_2} are the operator numbers counting the N₁ and N₂ particles respectively, and an interacting part

$$H_{int} = {}^{+}_{a} r^{+}_{b} s_{ab} + h x;$$
 (21)

describing couplings between the two sectors. The creation and annihilation operators r, s_{aa} , and $_a$ satisfy the H eisenberg algebra

h i h i h i h
$$(r); (r^{+}) = ; (s)_{a}^{a}; (s^{+})_{b}^{b} = {}_{ab}$$

$$()^{a}; ()^{+})_{a} = 1$$
(22)

all others are given by com muting relations.

4. W avefunctions

A way to build the spectrum of the H am iltonian H $_{\rm 0}$ is given by help of the special condensate operators

$$A^{+ (n,m)}_{a} = S^{+ n 1} + r^{+ m 1}_{a} : \qquad (23)$$

The wavefunctions for the vacuum $10 > of H_0$ read as

2
$$3_{k_1}$$
 $4^{m_1} \stackrel{Y^p}{\underset{j=1}{\dots}} 0^{(j)} \stackrel{(j)}{\underset{(jN_2+1)}{\dots}} \stackrel{(j+1)N_2}{\underset{(j+1)N_2}{\dots}} 5 j > (24)$

where the O (j) 's are building blocks and given by

The corresponding energy spectrum E_c ($k_1 k_2$) is

$$E_{c} = k_{1} p^{\frac{(N_{2} 1)(N_{2} 2)}{2}} + \frac{(p 1)(p 2)}{2} N_{2} + \frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{2};$$
(26)

Note that for large value of N $_1$ and N $_2$ (N $_1$ = rN $_2$), E $_c$ ($_{k_1k_2}$) behaves quadratically in N $_2$,

$$E_{c}(k_{1}k_{2}) = \frac{k_{2}}{2}N_{2}^{2}$$
: (27)

This energy relation is less than the total energy E $_d$ ($_{k_i}$) of the decoupled con guration (j $_1$; v_{k_1} i j $_2$; v_{k_2} i):

$$E_d(k_1)$$
 $E \frac{1}{k_1} + E \frac{1}{k_2}$ $\frac{k_2(r+1)}{2} N_2^2$: (28)

Therefore, we have the following relation

$$E_d$$
 (r + 1) E_c : (29)

For the example of the FQH state at $=\frac{2}{5}$, the energy of the decoupled representation reads as

$$E = \frac{1}{3} + E = \frac{1}{15} = 45N_2^2$$
 (30)

while that of the interacting one is

$$E_c = \frac{2}{5} = \frac{15}{2} N_2^2$$
 (31)

leading to

$$E = \frac{1}{3} + E = \frac{1}{15} = 6E_c = \frac{2}{5} :$$
 (32)

5. Conclusion

We have developed a matrix model for FQH states at lling factor k_1k_2 going beyond the Laughlin theory. To illustrate our idea, we have considered an FQH system of a nite number $N=(N_1+N_2)$ of electrons with lling factor k_1k_2 $p_1p_2=\frac{p_2}{p_1p_2-1}$; p_1 is an odd integer and p_2 is an even integer. The p_1p_2 series corresponds just to the level two of the Haldane hierarchy; it recovers the Laughlin series $p_1=\frac{1}{p_1}$ by going to the lim it p_2 large and contains several observable FQH states such

Short Note 3

as =
$$\frac{2}{3}$$
; $\frac{2}{5}$; .

1) L. Susskind: hep-th/0101029.

- 2) R.B.Laughlin: Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 1395 (1983).
- 3) A P.Polychronakos: JHEP 0104 (2001) 011.
- 4) S.Hellerm an and M. Van Raam sdonk: JHEP 0110 (2001) 039.
- 5) A. Jellal, E. H. Saidi and H. B. Geyer: hep-th/0204248.
- 6) F.D.M. Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 605.