Im plications of A nalyticity to M ass G ap, C olor C on nem ent and Infrared F ixed P oint in Y ang {M ills theory

Kei-IchiKondo^{y;1}

^yD epartm ent of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chiba University, Chiba 263–8522, Japan

Abstract

Analyticity of gluon and Faddeev (Popov ghost propagators and their form factors on the complex m om entum -squared plane is exploited to continue analytically the ultraviolet asymptotic form calculable by perturbation theory into the infrared non-perturbative solution. We require the non-perturbative multiplicative renorm alizability to write down the renorm alization group equation. These requirements enable one to settle the value of the exponent characterizing the infrared asymptotic solution with power behavior which was originally predicted by G ribov and has recently been found as approxim ate solutions of the coupled truncated Schwinger {D yson equations. For this purpose, we have obtained all the possible superconvergence relations for the propagators and form factors in both the generalized Lorentz gauge and the modi ed Maximal A belian gauge. We show that the transverse gluon propagators are suppressed in the infrared region to be of the massive type irrespective of the gauge parameter, in agreement with the recent result of numerical simulations on a lattice. However, this method alone is not su cient to specify some of the ghost propagators which play the crucial role in color con nement. Combining the above result with the renorm alization group equation again, we did an infrared enhanced asymptotic solution for the ghost propagator. The coupled solutions ful 11 the color con nement criterion due to Kugo and O jim a and also N ishijin a, at least, in the Lorentz (Landau gauge. W e also point out that the solution in compatible with color con nem ent leads to the existence of the infrared xed point in pure Yang {M ills theory without dynam ical quarks. F inally, the M axim al A belian gauge is also exam ined in connection with quark con nem ent.

K ey words: A nalyticity, multiplicative renorm alizability, superconvergence, m ass gap, con nem ent, xed point, Y ang{M ills theory, A belian dom inance, PACS: 12.38 Aw, 12.38 Lg

¹ E-m ail: kondok@faculty.chiba-u.jp

C ontents

1	Introduction	1
2	The generalized Lorentz gauge and M axim al A belian gauge2.1 Generalized Lorentz gauge2.2 M odi ed M axim al A belian gauge	7 7 8
3	 A nalyticity, multiplicative renorm alizability and RG equation 3.1 Renorm alization transform ation and asymptotic behavior 3.2 Non-perturbative multiplicative renorm alizability and RG equation	10 10 12 14 16 18
4	N aive derivation of superconvergence relation 4.1 G luon	19 19 20
5	Superconvergence relation and spectrum5.1Spectral representation5.2IR behavior of the renorm alized gluon propagator in Euclidean region5.3M om ents of spectral function and m ass gap5.4IR behavior of the renorm alized ghost propagator in Euclidean region5.5R enorm alization condition	21 21 22 24 26 28
6	Infrared xed point and color con nem ent 61 IR xed point	29 29 33 34
7	M A gauge	35
8	Conclusion and discussion	36
A	Calculation of UV asymptotic behavior of the propagators A.1 Generalized Lorentz gauge (Curci{Ferrarigauge)	41 41 47

1 Introduction

Q uantum chrom odynam ics (Q C D), a non-A belian gauge theory [1] with a gauge group SU (3), is now regarded as the fundam ental theory for describing strong interactions am ong quarks, gluons and hadrons as their bound states. Since asym ptotic freedom [2] exists in Q C D with N_f quark avors less than 33/2, one can predict the ultraviolet (U V) behavior of Q C D by perturbation theory in a reliable way. In order to discuss the infrared (IR) behavior, on the other hand, we must solve the strong coupling problem beyond the perturbation theory. In the IR region, indeed, the perturbation theory itself cannot be a reliable m ethod of calculations. Hence, we need inevitably non-perturbative m ethods. How ever, this does not necessarily m ean that the perturbation theory in this article.

O ne of the m ost direct m ethods to study the non-perturbative features of quantum eld theory would be to write down and solve the Schwinger (Dyson (SD) equation. The SD equation is a set of self-consistent equations among G reen functions and constitutes a series of exact equations. However, the SD equations consist of the in nite number of simultaneous non-linear integral equations. In actually solving the SD equation, therefore, one needs to perform a certain truncation which can not be justi ed within the fram ework. N evertheless, the approximate solutions have become an e cient tool for studying non-perturbative problem s which cannot be reached by perturbative m ethod, e.g. chiral symmetry breaking. See e.g., reviews [3[5].

So far, the extensive studies of the SD equations in QCD have almost been restricted to the SD equation for quark propagator (referred to as quark SD equation hereafter), see Figure 1(a). In order to study gluodynamics,¹ we must solve the coupled SD equations for the gluon and Faddeev (Popov (FP) [7] ghost propagators, see Figure 1(b),(c). O fcourse, solving them is more di cult than solving the quark equation alone. This is mainly because the SD equations for gluon and ghost are truly non-linear coupled equations. This is in sharp contrast with the quark SD equation studied so far.

An origin of this non-linearity comes from the treatment in which both propagators are incorporated on equal footing, see F igure 1 (b), (c). In the conventional treatment of the quark SD equation, the gluon propagator was replaced by hand with the free propagator (ladder approximation) or dressed propagator in the one-loop resumed level (improved ladder approximation) and consequently the problem was reduced to solving the quark propagator alone.² A nother origin of non-linearity comes from the fact that gluon and ghost are bosons. The quark SD equation can be decomposed into two equations for the mass function and the wave-function renormalization function. The equation for the quark mass function can be linearized as a good approximation or in the rigorous sense (bifurcation technique) due to a fact that quark is a ferm ion. The general solution for the mass function can be obtained by adopting eitherm ethod of linearizations. Once the general solution is obtained, it can be used to study the

 $^{^{1}}$ In this article, we deal only with the manifestly Lorentz covariant formulation of Yang{M ills theory. Therefore, we do not discuss the non-covariant gauge, such as the Coulom b gauge and axial gauge [6]. We do not discuss the Fock{Schwinger gauge in this article either.

 $^{^{2}}$ For the four-dimensionalQED, the coupled SD equations for electron propagator and photon propagator in the Landau gauge were solved a decade ago under the bare vertex approximation in an article [8] and subsequently by Bloch and Pennington [9] in a numerical way.

whole range of m om enta. This fact facilitates considerably the analysis of the quark m ass function.



Figure 1: The coupled Schwinger{D yson equations for three propagators in QCD with the conventional Lorentz gauge xing. (a) quark equation, (b) ghost equation, (c) gluon equation. Here S denotes the full quark propagator, the full ghost propagator and D the full gluon propagator, while denote the four types of vertices. The pure Yang-M ills part is enclosed by the broken line.

In recent several years, extensive analytical studies [10{23] of the coupled SD equations for gluon and ghost propagators were performed to obtain the infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) asymptotic solutions in the SU (N_c) Yang{M ills theory restricted to the Landau gauge. The leading term of the UV asymptotic solution recovers the one-loop resumed perturbation theory due to asymptotic freedom. In fact, the solutions for the Euclidean gluon propagator $D^{AB}(Q^2) = A^{AB}(Q^2) = A^{AB}(Q^2) = Q^2)D_T(Q^2)$ and ghost propagator $A^{AB}_{FP}(Q^2) = A^{AB}_{FP}(Q^2)$ (A; B = 1; C^2_c ; N1 for SU (N_c))

have the expected UV form s

$$D_{T}(Q^{2}) = \frac{C}{Q^{2}}(\log Q^{2}); \quad _{FP}(Q^{2}) = \frac{D}{Q^{2}}(\log Q^{2}); \quad (1.1)$$

where C and D are Q^2 -independent constants and the exponents and are independent of the number of colors N_c in SU (N_c) Yang-M ills theory at one-loop level, i.e., = $\frac{13}{22}$ and = $\frac{9}{44}$.

A remarkable characteristic of gluodynamics claimed by von Smekal, Hauck and A kofer [10] and subsequently con rmed by Atkinson and Bloch [11] in a simpler framework is that the Euclidean gluon propagator behaves like a power of the momenta in the IR limit of vanishing momenta Q^2 ! 0:

$$D_{T} (Q^{2}) = A (Q^{2})^{2} ; \qquad (1.2)$$

and the gluon propagator is suppressed in the IR regime for > 0, while the Euclidean ghost propagator is enhanced to be more singular than the free one in the IR limit Q^2 ! 0:

$$_{\rm FP} (Q^2) = B (Q^2)^{-1};$$
 (1.3)

where A and B are Q^2 -independent constants. This result is suprisingly due to dominant contribution from the ghost loop in IR region. However, the value of the exponent varies in the range 0 < 1 depending on the way of truncation [11{23]. At present, the precise value of is not known. Therefore, it is still under the debate whether the gluon propagator converges to a nite non-zero value (= 1=2) or vanishes (> 1=2) in the IR lim it Q^2 ! $0.^3$ It should be remarked that such behaviors of gluon and ghost propagators were inst predicted by G ribov [24]. H is result corresponds to = 1:

$$D_{T}(Q^{2}) = \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{4} + M_{G}^{4}}; \quad FP(Q^{2}) = \frac{M_{G}^{2}}{(Q^{2})^{2}};$$
 (1.4)

where the mass scale M $_{\rm G}\,$ is called the G ribov scale.

A serious problem is that at present one does not have any analytical method for connecting in the interm ediate region two asymptotic solutions to obtain the complete solution over the whole range ofmomenta. Only the numerical studies have succeeded in obtaining the complete solution in this sense. Therefore, there is no guarantee that a speci c IR asymptotic solution obtained in the analytical study can be a piece of the complete solution which must reduce to the UV asymptotic solution in the large momenta. There is also a problem of uniqueness of the solution [20], since the IR asymptotic solution is obtained based on a speci c A neatz for the solution. Therefore, one cannot judge if a set of IR power solutions (1.2) and (1.3) is unique for the coupled SD equations. The other problem is that all the SD equations aforem entioned have been solved in Euclidean space. Indeed, the obtained Euclidean solutions are very helpful for com parison with the results of numerical simulations on a lattice. How ever,

 $^{^{3}}$ On the lattice, there is a general argument [25] which supports the vanishing of the gluon propagator at Q = 0. However, this is not necessarily the case in the continuum limit due to the renormalization e ect, see pp.146{147 of [25].

one needs to perform analytic continuation in order to go back to the M inkowski spacetime. The analytic continuation to the M inkowski region is not necessarily ensured for the Euclidean solutions with the asymptotic behaviors (1.2) and (1.3).

The main purpose of this article is to study the relationship between quark, gluon and ghost propagators in Euclidean region and the spectrum of QCD in the M inkow ski region in connection with color con nement. In this article, we show in Yang{M ills theory with the gauge group SU (N_c) that the analyticity of the full propagator on the com plex m on entum plane plays the role of connecting the perturbative UV asym ptotic solution into the non-perturbative IR asym ptotic solution and vice versa. This study resolves the question as to what the IR asym ptotic solution with power behavior (characterized by an exponent) m eans for the spectrum with respect to the fundam ental elds. W e suggests which type of IR asym ptotic solutions should be searched for in the SD equation, while the UV asym ptotic solution can be reliably predicted by perturbation theory due to asym ptotic freedom . In other words, the precise value of will be predicted, if the solution behaves like a power of the momenta.

In this article, we exam ine two types of manifestly Lorentz covariant gauges: rst the Lorentz gauge in the most general form [26{29], so called the Curci{Ferrarigauge, and then the modi ed version [32{44] of the M axim al Abelian (M A) gauge [30,31]. In the conventional Lorentz gauge, all the approximate solutions of the coupled SD equations for the gluon and ghost propagators have been obtained only in the Landau gauge. The SD equation approach in the M A gauge in the same level as the Lorentz gauge is still missing in the M A gauge, but see [45] for a preliminary result.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the generalized Lorentz gauge and the modi ed MA gauge for later convenience. For the above purpose, we analyze the propagators in the complex k^2 (momentum squared) plane in section 3. We require non-perturbative multiplicative renormalizability for writing down the renormalization group (RG) equation for the propagators in the complex k^2 plane. The analyticity of the propagators except for the positive real axis and the asymptotic behavior for large k^2 jenables us to specify the IR asymptotic form $(k^2j! 0)$ such that it can be analytically continued to the UV asymptotic form $(k^2j! 1)$ calculable by perturbation theory.

In this article, we investigate which type of IR asymptotic solution of the SD equations is consistent with the general principles of quantized gauge eld theories:

- 1. Non-perturbative multiplicative renorm alizability
- 2. Analyticity
- 3. Spectral condition (and com pleteness)
- 4. Poincare group structure

without relying on the approximate solutions of the SD equation.

The form er half of this article is deeply indebted to an idea of old articles by O ehm e and Z im m erm an [46,47] and subsequent articles by O ehm e and his collaborator [48{57]. A concept of the superconvergence is crucially important also in this article. The superconvergence relation is nothing but a sum rule for the spectral function of the fundamental eld propagator which is dened in the M inkowski region.⁴ W e reconsider anew the relationship between the superconvergence relation and the Euclidean propagator, which is to be compared with the solution of the coupled SD equations, paying special attention to the IR asymptotic solution. We explicitly obtain all the possible superconvergence relations in the generalized Lorentz gauge in section 4 and the modi ed MA gauge in section 7. In the generalized Lorentz gauge, we show that the superconvergence relation holds for gluon. Consequently, the Euclidean gluon propagator has the power-series expansion in Q² and goes to a Q²-independent constant, i.e., D_T (Q²) const: in the IR lim it Q² ! 0. Therefore, this result suggests that the IR exponent characterizing the Euclidean solution must take the value

$$=\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.5}$$

irrespective of the value of gauge xing parameter.

A question is whether or not the IR asymptotic solution for the ghost propagator exhibits power behavior, just as the recent approximate solution of the truncated SD equation suggests. So far, one has payed little attention to the superconvergence for ghost. We claim that the superconvergence does not hold for ghost in the pure Y ang{ M ills theory (without dynamical fermions) against the previous result [56]. In fact, we elucidate that non-existence of the superconvergence for ghost is consistent with realizing color con nem ent in QCD. At the same time, we point out the subtleties of using the Landau gauge in the Lorentz type gauge xing, although all the approximate solutions of the coupled SD equations for the gluon and ghost propagators have been obtained only in the Landau gauge. In this article we shall provide a uni ed view am ong Euclidean solutions of the SD equations, color con nem ent and the spectrum, especially the mass gap of Y ang [M ills theory and QCD.

In section 5, the IR asymptotic form in Euclidean region $(k^2 < 0:$ negative real axis) is related to the spectral property in the M inkowski region $(k^2 > 0:$ positive realaxis) by way of the spectral representation which follows from the spectral condition, P oincare covariance and completeness of the intermediate states. We show that $D_T(0) < 1$ is consistent with the existence of a massive pole in the gluon propagator in the M inkowski region.⁵ M oreover, we show that, for gluon with massive spectrum, the gluon propagator and the gluon form factor have the well-de ned power-series expansions in Q² for sm all Euclidean m on enta Q², suggesting the uniqueness of the IR asymptotic solution with power behavior. In the Landau gauge, this result does not contradicts with the existence of the gluon condensate hA² i of m ass dimension two which has been extensively studied by several groups [61{64}, see [67] for m ore details on the physical meaning of dimension two condensate. The gluon condensate hA² i is a special limit of the ghost{gluon condensate of m ass dimension 2 which is on-shell BR ST invariant as proposed in [65,66] and calculated recently in [68].

⁴ The various sum rules were devised in QCD to investigate the hadronic properties, see [58{60] for review s. The sum rule in this article is restricted to that for the fundamental eld, rather than the composite hadronic eld operators.

 $^{^{5}}$ It m ay happen that this constant D_T (0) vanishes. This case implies = 1, the G ribov limit. This possibility can not be excluded from the general considerations only. However, such a case is realized only when the subtle cancellation occurs between two terms. The argument in more detail will be given later.

In the latter half of this article, we shall focus on the follow ing issues. For the FP ghost propagator, how ever, the analyticity approach alone is not su cient to provide precise form of the IR asymptotic solution. This is reasonable for our result to be consistent with the result of SD equation, since the superconvergence for ghost in the Landau gauge contradicts with the solution of the SD equations mentioned above, i.e., enhancement in the IR region. In section 6, therefore, we make use of the RG equations for the gluon and ghost propagators in the IR region. First, we point out that the RG equation for the ghost propagator has the IR asymptotic solution with power behavior, if the RG functions in the RG equations have the power series expansion around the IR limit of the coupling constant g^2 (= 0), see Figure 7. Here we use the fact that the gluon propagator has the IR asymptotic solution with power behavior, which follows from the superconvergence argument above, and that the

function is common to both RG equations. Thus the power solution D_T (Q^2) = A (Q^2)¹ for the gluon propagator yields the power solution _{FP} (Q^2) = B (Q^2)¹ for the ghost propagator whose IR critical exponent is characterized by the anom alous dimension of the ghost.

Next, we must answer how to obtain the exponent in the IR asymptotic solutions for the ghost propagator. The non-renormalization of the renormalization factor Z_1 for the gluon {ghost {antighost vertex in the Landau gauge yields the relation =

=2 = , by supposing the existence of an IR xed point, i.e., a nite value of $_{\rm s}(0) \coloneqq \frac{{\rm g}^2(0)}{4}$, suggested from the solution of the SD equation. Thus the ghost propagator in the Landau gauge must behave like

$$_{\rm FP}$$
 (Q²) = (Q²)³⁼² (1.6)

in the IR region, provided that = 1=2. This result shows that the color con nem ent criterion due to Kugo and O jim a

$$\lim_{Q^2! 0} \left[\begin{array}{c} Q^2 \\ FP \end{array} \right]^1 \qquad 1 + u(0) = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

is fulled in the Landau gauge Yang {M ills theory. These results should be compared with numerical simulations [69]. An exception to the above argument is the case of the IR attractor, namely the function has a pole. In this case, we have not yet found the consistent solution.

In section 7, we analyze the modi ed MA gauge. The MA gauge looks quite di erent re ecting a fact that the propagators are gauge dependent quantities. We show that the o -diagonal gluon and o -diagonal ghost propagators converge to Q²independent constants in the IR limit. This implies that the o -diagonal modes becom e massive as is expected from the Abelian dom inance [30,70,71]. The Abelian dom inance was predicted in [70] and subsequently con rm ed by num erical simulations on a lattice [71]. On the other hand, independent analytical investigations suggest that the vacuum condensates of m ass dimension 2 m ay be an origin of dynam ical m ass generation for o -diagonalm odes, see [40{43] for the BR ST non-invariant ghost condensate and [65,67] for the BR ST -invariant gluon (ghost condensate. However, the precise relationship between the condensate and the IR asymptotic behavior is not yet fully understood. A rem arkable result in this article is that the diagonal gluon propagator can be of massive type and that only the diagonal ghost propagator can be short-range, since only the diagonal ghost does not obey superconvergence. The in plication of this result to color con nem ent will be discussed in this article. Our results can be compared with the recent num erical results [72,73].

The nalsection is devoted to conclusion and discussion. Some of the details of the calculations are given in Appendix A .

2 The generalized Lorentz gauge and M axim alA belian gauge

In this section we introduce the generalized Lorentz gauge and the m odi ed M axim al A belian (M A) gauge which are used in this article for xing the gauge of the SU (N $_{\rm c}$) Y ang (M ills theory.

2.1 Generalized Lorentz gauge

First, we modify the Lorentz gauge into more general form so that the usual Lorentz gauge is obtained as a special case. The gauge xing (GF) term and the associated Faddeev(Popov (FP) ghost term for the generalized Lorentz gauge (so-called the Curci(Ferrari gauge [26]) is combined into a compact form with two gauge-xing parameters and 0 (See [26{29,66,74{81}}):

$$L_{GF+FP} = i_{B} \frac{1}{2}A \quad A \quad \frac{1}{2}iC \quad C \quad + \quad \frac{0}{2}B \quad B;$$
 (2.1)

where A ;B ;C and C are the non-A belian gauge eld, the Nakanishi {Lautrup (NL) auxiliary eld, the Faddeev {Popov (FP) ghost and antighost elds respectively, and $_{\rm B}$ and $_{\rm B}$ are the Becchi {Rouet {Stora {Tyutin (BRST) [82] and anti-BRST transformations [83]. We use the notation

$$F \quad G \coloneqq \widehat{F}G^{A}; \quad F^{2} \coloneqq F \quad F; \quad (F \quad \widehat{G}) \coloneqq f^{ABC}F^{B}G^{C}; \quad (2.2)$$

with f^{ABC} being the structure constants of the Lie algebra G of the gauge group G and A; B; C = 1; $\frac{2}{c}$; N1 for G = SU (N_c). We adopt the convention in which the ghost and antighost elds are Herm itian:

$$C^{Y}(x) = C(x); \quad C^{Y}(x) = C(x);$$
 (2.3)

and they are independent from each other. The pure $Y ang\{M \text{ ills Lagrangian} and the GF+FP term are separately invariant under the BRST and anti-BRST transform ations.$

By perform ing the BRST and anti-BRST transform ations explicitly, we obtain

$$L_{GF+FP} = \frac{+}{2} B B + B AQ \frac{-}{2}g(C C) B$$

$$+ iC Q A C + \frac{-}{8}g^{2}(C C) (C C) (2.4)$$

$$= \frac{+}{2} B B + B AQ \frac{-}{2}g(C C) B$$

$$+ iC Q A C + \frac{-}{4}g^{2}(C C) (C C); (2.5)$$

The GF+FP term includes the ghost self-interaction whose strength is proportional to the parameter . In the lim it ! 0, the above GF+FP term reduces to the usual form of the Lorentz gauge which is found in the most textbooks of quantum eld theory,

$$L_{GF+FP} = i_{B} \frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2}B B$$
 (2.6a)

$$= i_B C QA + \frac{0}{2}B B$$
 (2.6b)

= iC (D [A]C + B (A +
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
B B: (2.6c)

The Landau gauge corresponds to $^{0} = 0$ in the usual Lorentz gauge.

For later convenience, we introduce another parameterization of two gauge parameters:

$$= + {}^{0}; = \frac{=2}{+} {}^{0} = \frac{-2}{2};$$
 (2.7)

to rewrite the GF+FP term into

$$L_{GF+FP} = \frac{-1}{2}B \quad B + B \quad A@ \quad g(C \quad C) \quad B \\ + iC \quad (D \quad A \ C + \frac{-1}{4}g^{2}(C \quad C) \quad (C \quad C) \quad (2.8) \\ = \frac{-1}{2}B \quad B + B \quad A@ \quad g(C \quad C) \quad B \\ + iC \quad (D \quad A \ C + \frac{-1}{2}g^{2}(C \quad C) \quad (C \quad Ci): \quad (2.9) \end{cases}$$

The GF+FP term (2.9) has the rejection symmetry: the Lagrangian is invariant under the rejection with respect to = 1=2 and the simultaneous exchange between the ghost and antighost elds, i.e., \$ 1, C \$ C. Therefore, the Yang{M ills theory with = 0 GF+FP term is equivalent to that with = 1 GF+FP term. The = 0 case is usually used in the textbooks of quantum eld theory. At = 1=2, the Lagrangian is invariant under the exchange of ghost and antighost. For = 1=2, moreover, the GF+FP term has a hidden super symmetry 0 Sp(4 $\frac{1}{2}$), see [28]. The Landau gauge is reached by various limits, e.g., ! 0 for = 1=2 ($^{0}=0$; ! 0), or ! 0 for = 0 (= 0; $^{0}! 0$) in the usual Lorentz gauge. Therefore, the Landau gauge can be regarded as a common point for the three invariant subspaces specified by = 0; 1=2; 1, see Fig. 4 of [66] or Appendix A form ore details.

2.2 Modi ed Maxim al Abelian gauge

The GF+FP term in the modi ed Maxim al Abelian (MA) gauge [32] is given by

$$S_{GF+FP}^{0} = d^{4}xi_{B} \frac{1}{2}A^{a}(x)A^{a}(x) - \frac{1}{2}iC^{a}(x)C^{a}(x); \qquad (2.10)$$

where the respective eld $^{A} = A^{A}; B^{A}; C^{A}; C^{A}$ is decomposed into the diagonal i and o -diagonal a components (A = 1; $^{2}_{c}; N1$ for SU (N_c) gauge group),

$$(x) = {}^{A}(x)T^{A} = {}^{i}(x)T^{i} + {}^{a}(x)T^{a}; \qquad (2.11)$$

and the index i runs over the N_c 1 diagonal components corresponding to the maximal torus group U $(1)^{N_c 1}$ of the gauge group SU (N_c) and the index a runs over the remaining N_c² N_c o -diagonal components for SU $(N_c)=U(1)^{N_c 1}$. The conventional choices are a = 1;2;i = 3 for SU (2) and a = 1;2;4;5;6;7;i = 3;8 for SU (3).

By perform ing the BRST transform ation explicitly, we obtain

$$S_{GF+FP}^{0} = \frac{Z}{d^{4}x B^{a}D [a]^{ab}A^{b} + \frac{-}{2}B^{a}B^{a}} + iC^{a}D [a]^{ac}D [a]^{ab}C^{b} ig^{2}f^{adi}f^{cbi}C^{a}C^{b}A^{c}A^{d}} + iC^{a}D [a]^{ac} (gf^{cdb}A^{d}C^{b}) + iC^{a}gf^{abi} (D [a]^{bc}A^{c})C^{i}} + \frac{-}{8}g^{2}f^{abe}f^{cde}C^{a}C^{b}C^{c}C^{d} + \frac{-}{4}g^{2}f^{abc}f^{aid}C^{b}C^{c}C^{c}C^{d} + \frac{-}{2}gf^{abc}iB^{b}C^{a}C^{c}} gf^{abi}iB^{a}C^{b}C^{i} + \frac{-}{4}g^{2}f^{abi}f^{cdi}C^{a}C^{b}C^{c}C^{d} :$$
(2.12)

In particular, the G = SU(2) case is greatly simplied as

$$S_{GF+FP}^{0} = d^{4}x B^{a}D [a]^{ab}A^{b} + \frac{-}{2}B^{a}B^{a} + iC^{a}D [a]^{ac}D [a]^{cb}C^{b} ig^{2ad} c^{b}C^{a}C^{b}A^{c}A^{d} + iC^{a}g^{ab}(D [a]^{bc}A^{c})C^{3} - g^{ab}iB^{a}C^{b}C^{3} + \frac{-}{4}g^{2ab} c^{d}C^{a}C^{b}C^{c}C^{d}; \qquad (2.13)$$

which reduces after integrating out the N L $\,$ eld B $^{\rm a}$ to

$$S_{GF+FP}^{0} = \frac{Z}{d^{4}x} \frac{1}{2} (D [a]^{ab}A^{b})^{2} + iC^{a}D [a]^{ac}D [a]^{cb}C^{b} ig^{2ad cb}C^{a}C^{b}A^{c}A^{d} + \frac{1}{4}g^{2ab cd}C^{a}C^{b}C^{c}C^{d} : \qquad (2.14)$$

In order to completely x the gauge, we need the GF+FP term also for the diagonal eld. A simple choice is the Lorentz gauge for the diagonal part:

$$S_{GF+FP}^{\text{diag}} = d^{4}x \, i_{B} C^{i} (A^{i}(x)) + \frac{1}{2}B^{i}(x)$$
$$= B^{i}(A^{i}(x)) + \frac{1}{2}(B^{i})^{2} + iC^{i}(A^{2}C^{i}) + iC^{i}(A^{b}C^{c}): \qquad (2.15)$$

There are many other choices for the MA gauge. A characteristic feature of the MA gauge is the explicit breaking of global color symmetry in addition to the local gauge symmetry and only the global U (1) symmetry remains unbroken after xing the residual local U (1) symmetry. For more details of the symmetry in the most general MA gauge, see [37].

3 A nalyticity, multiplicative renorm alizability and RG equation

In this section we sum marize for reader's convenience the basic ingredients which are necessary in the following argument, since they are scattered in various references.

3.1 Renormalization transformation and asymptotic behavior

We de ne the renormalization transformation of the eld by multiplying the eld by a nite positive factor Z as

$$(x;g^{02}; {}^{02}; {}^{0}) = Z^{1=2} (x;g^{2}; {}^{2};)$$
 (3.1)

where g is the coupling constant, the renorm alization scale, and is the gauge xing parameter. Such a transform ation is called the equivalent transform ation. Two sets of parameters (g; ;) and (g⁰; ⁰; ⁰) are called equivalent parameter set and are denoted as (g; ;) (g⁰; ⁰; ⁰) if the corresponding eld operators are related by the renorm alization transform ation (3.1).

We de ne the elective coupling or invariant charge

$$Q = Q (k^2; g^2; ^2) = Q \frac{k^2}{2}; g^2$$
 (3.2)

as a dimensionless invariant of the RG which satis es

Q
$$(k^2; g^2; 2) = g^2$$
 at $k^2 = 2$: (3.3)

Here the invariance under the RG means that the relation

$$Q(k^2;g^{(2)}; {}^{(2)}) = Q(k^2;g^2; {}^{2})$$
 (3.4)

holds for equivalent parameter set (g;) $(g^0; {}^0)$. The elective coupling is usually de ned in terms of time-ordered functions and an explicit example will be given later. We have required that the elective coupling or invariant charge should not depend on the gauge parameter .

By putting $k^2 = \frac{100}{100}$ in eq.(3.4), we obtain

$$Q ({}^{02}; g^{02}; {}^{02}) = Q ({}^{02}; g^{2}; {}^{2}) = g^{02};$$
(3.5)

where we have used eq.(3.3) in the last equality. Then the de nition (3.2) implies

$$g^{02} = Q - \frac{\alpha}{2}; g^2$$
 : (3.6)

The propagator D of the eld is transform ed like

D
$$(k^2;g^{(2)}; {}^{(2)}; {}^{(2)}) = Z D (k^2;g^2; {}^{2};):$$
 (3.7)

M oreover, we introduce the dimensionless function, say, the dressed function (or form factor) R by

$$R \quad \frac{k^2}{2}; g^2; \quad \coloneqq \quad k^2 D (k^2; g^2; 2; 2): \qquad (3.8)$$

Then R is transform ed like

$$R \quad \frac{k^2}{\varpi}; g^{\varpi}; \quad {}^{0} = Z \quad R \quad \frac{k^2}{2}; g^2; \quad : \qquad (3.9)$$

By putting $k^2 = \frac{0}{2}$ in this equation, we obtain

R 1;
$$g^{02}$$
; ⁰ = Z R $\frac{\alpha}{2}$; g^2 ; ; (3.10)

and hence Z is written as

$$Z = R^{1} - \frac{\alpha}{2}; g^{2}; R^{1}; g^{2}; 0 : \qquad (3.11)$$

Thus the transform ation (3.9) of R is rewritten into

$$R \quad \frac{\alpha}{2}; g^{2}; \quad R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{\alpha}; g^{2}; \quad 0 = R \quad 1; g^{2}; \quad 0 \quad R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2}; g^{2}; \quad (3.12)$$

F inally, the gauge param eter transform s like⁶

$$^{0} = {}^{0}(g^{2};) = Z;$$
 (3.13a)

and in particular, for = 0, = 0 or = 1

$${}^{0} = {}^{0}(g^{02};) = Z_{A} = R^{1} - \frac{\alpha}{2}; g^{2}; R^{1}; g^{02}; {}^{0}: (3.13b)$$

The conventional norm alization condition for the propagator:

$$k^2 D (k^2; g^2; {}^2;) = 1 \text{ at } k^2 = {}^2 < 0$$
 (3.14)

leads to a norm alization:

$$R(1;g^2;) = 1:$$
 (3.15)

Thus, under the conventional norm alization condition, the renorm alization transformation of R is given by

$$R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2}; g^{2}; \quad = R \quad \frac{\omega}{2}; g^{2}; \quad R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{\omega}; g^{0}; \quad 0 \quad ; \quad g^{0} = Q \quad \frac{\omega}{2}; g^{2} \qquad (3.16)$$

Here the gauge parameter transforms in particular for = 0, = 0 or = 1 like

$$^{0} = {}^{0}(g^{\alpha};) = Z_{A} = R^{1} - \frac{\alpha}{2}; g^{2};$$
 (3.17)

with the normalization

$$^{0}(g^{2};) = :$$
 (3.18)

⁶ In the conventional Lorentz gauge (corresponding to = 0), it is well known that $Z = Z_A$. However, this is not the case in the generalized Lorentz gauge \notin 0;1 except for the Landau gauge = 0. In fact, the one-loop calculation [66] in the dimensional regularization leads to $Z = Z_A + (1 -)\frac{(g -)^2}{(4 -)^2} \frac{C_2(G)}{(2 -)^2}$ where $\coloneqq 2 - D = 2$.

3.2 Non-perturbative multiplicative renorm alizability and RG equation

We require the non-perturbative multiplicative renorm alizability. By introducing the renorm alization factor Z for the eld with the renorm alization scale and the ultraviolet cuto , the bare (unrenorm alized) n-point function $\sim_0^{(n)}$ is related to the renorm alized n-point function $\sim_0^{(n)}$ as

$$\sum_{0}^{(n)} (p_{1}; n; p_{1}^{2}; 0; 0) = Z (2; 2)^{n=2} (p_{1}; n; p_{1}; 0); (3.19)$$

where $_0$ is the bare coupling and $= g^2=4$ is the renorm alized coupling constant. The dimension of the renorm alization transform ation for the G reen functions

is the renorm alization group (RG) equation. The trivial relation

$$\frac{d}{d} \sim_{0}^{(n)} (p_{1}; n; p_{1}; 0; 0) = 0$$
(3.20)

in plies that the renorm alized n-point function \sim (n) obeys the RG equation:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} + () \frac{\theta}{\theta} - 2 + () \frac{\theta}{\theta} - 2 + () \frac{\theta}{\theta} - n + () \frac{\pi}{2} - (n) (p_1; n; p_1; n) = 0; \quad (3.21)$$

where we have de ned the RG functions by 8

$$() \coloneqq \frac{0}{0}; \quad () \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \frac{0 \ln Z}{0}; \quad () \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \frac{0 \ln Z}{0}; \quad () \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \frac{0 \ln Z}{0}: \quad (325)$$

It is shown [84] that the general solution of the RG equation (3.21) is given by

⁷For the generalized Lorentz gauge, we assume is xed. In fact, this is possible for =
$$0;1=2;1$$
, since the relevant Lagrangian has distinct global symmetry and the RG ows are restricted into the subspace speci ed by = $0;1=2;1$ respectively, see [66].

⁸ The renorm alization relation $g_R = Z_{\alpha}^{-1}g$ in plies the mutual relationship:

$$\frac{(g)}{g} = \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial g} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \frac{\partial g^2}{\partial g} = \frac{()}{2} = \frac{\partial \ln g}{\partial g} = \frac{\partial \ln Z_g}{\partial g}; \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore, the function can be calculated by dimensional $Z_{\rm q}$ by .

(g) =
$$g \frac{\theta \ln Z_g}{\theta}$$
; () = $2 \frac{\theta \ln Z_g}{\theta}$: (3.23)

Here we have used the relation $= {}_{0}Z^{-1}$ to yield

$$\frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}} = \frac{\underline{\theta} \ln}{\underline{\theta}} = \frac{\underline{\theta} \ln}{\underline{\theta}} = \frac{\underline{\theta} \ln}{\underline{\theta}} = \frac{\underline{\theta} \ln 2}{\underline{\theta}} = 2 \quad : \quad (3.24)$$

where we have taken into account the fact that dim $[^{(n)}] = 4$ n for the eld with dim [] = 1, and (t) and (t) are the running coupling constant and the running gauge parameter.

In particular, the unrenormalized (transverse) gluon and ghost form factors F_0 ; G_0 are related to the renormalized ones F_R ; G_R as

$$F_{0}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}_{0}; {}_{0}) = Z_{3}({}^{2}; {}^{2})F_{R}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}; {}); \qquad (3.28)$$

$$G_{0}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}_{0}; {}_{0}) = \mathbb{Z}_{3}({}^{2}; {}^{2})G_{R}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}; {}):$$
(3.29)

The renormalized form factor F_{R} obey the RG equation:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} + (g^2)\frac{\theta}{\theta g^2} = 2 (g^2)\frac{\theta}{\theta} + 2_{\rm A} (g^2)^{\rm F_{\rm R}} p^2; {}^2;g^2; = 0: (3.30)$$

The general solution is given by

...

$$F_{R}(sp^{2}; {}^{2}; ;) = \exp \left[2 \right]^{Z} d \left[\frac{0 - A ({}^{0})}{({}^{0})} \right]^{\#} F_{R}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; (t); (t)):$$
(3.31)

In addition, for the general form of the full gluon propagator given by

D
$$(p) = \frac{F(p^2)}{p^2} g \frac{pp}{p^2} + \frac{F^{L}(p^2)pp}{p^2}p^2;$$
 (3.32)

the unrenorm alized longitudinal gluon form factor F $_0^{\rm L}$ is related to the renorm alized one F $_{\rm R}^{\rm L}$ as

$$F_{0}^{L}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}_{0}; {}_{0}) = Z^{1}({}^{2}; {}^{2})Z_{3}({}^{2}; {}^{2})F_{R}^{L}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}; {}):$$
(3.33)

The renormalized longitudinal form factor F_R^L obeys the RG equation:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} + (g^2)\frac{\theta}{\theta g^2} = 2 \quad (g^2)\frac{\theta}{\theta} + 2_{\rm A} (g^2) = 2 \quad (g^2)^{\rm H} F_{\rm R}^{\rm L} p^2; \ ^2; g^2; = 0: (3.34)$$

The general solution is given by

$$F_{R}^{L}(sp^{2}; {}^{2}; ;) = exp {}^{2} d {}^{0} {}^{A} ({}^{0}) {}^{(0)} {}^{\#} F_{R}^{L}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; (t); (t)): (3.35)$$

In the conventional Lorentz gauge, $_{\rm A}$ () = () and the longitudinal form factor of the gluon does not run, i.e., $F_{\rm R}^{\rm L}$ (p²; ²; ;) is a p²-independent constant, as is well known. This is not the case in the generalized Lorentz gauge.

It is well known that the Yang{M ills theory is multiplicatively renorm alizable at least in the perturbation theory. The RG functions can be reliably calculated by perturbation theory in the UV region by virtue of asymptotic freedom

$$() = \frac{0}{2}^{2} + (;) = \frac{0}{4} + :$$
 (3.36)

Therefore, the Y ang {M ills theory has an UV xed point at = 0.The UV asymptotic form of the n-point function is given by

$$= s^{4 n} \frac{(t)}{(1)} \sum_{n = 1}^{n} \frac{(t)^{2}}{2} \sum_{n =$$

Thus the UV behavior of the propagator $(p^2 ! 1)$ is given by

$$G^{(2)}(p) = \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{(p)}{()}^{! \frac{0}{0}};$$
 (3.38)

for the running coupling constant

(p)
$$\frac{0}{4} \ln \frac{p^2}{\frac{2}{QCD}}^{!}$$
 (3.39)

3.3 Renorm alization group on the com plex plane

The equation (3.12) or (3.21) is valid also for complex k^2 . Then the functions D and R satisfy the same RG equation:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} + (g^2)\frac{\theta}{\theta g^2} = 2 (g^2)\frac{\theta}{\theta} + 2_{A}(g^2)^{\#} R \frac{k^2}{2}; g^2; = 0; \quad (3.40)$$

with the same coe cients, i.e., RG functions as those of (3.21) at every point k² of the cut k^2 plane (i.e., com plex k^2 plane except for the positive real axis).

W e consider the propagator along the ray in the cut k^2 plane (See Figure 2):

$$k^{2} = j^{2} \dot{p}^{i'} = j^{2} \dot{p}^{i(+')}; \qquad \langle \prime \rangle \langle i \rangle$$
(3.41)

where the angle ' is measured from the negative real axis $k^2 < 0$ in the direction indicated by the arrow in Figure 2. Setting ${}^{02} = \frac{1}{2}j$, the relation (3.12) yields

$$R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2}; g^{2}; = R \quad \frac{j^{2}j}{2}; g^{2}; R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{j^{2}}; g^{2}; R^{1} \quad 1; g^{2}; g^{2} = Q \quad \frac{j^{2}j}{2}; g^{2}; G^{1}; G^{2}; G^{$$

which is rewritten as

11

$$R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2}; g^{2}; = R \quad \frac{k^{2}j}{j^{2}j}; g^{2}; R \quad e^{i'}; Q; R^{1} \quad 1; g^{2}; ; g^{2} = Q = Q \quad \frac{k^{2}j}{j^{2}j}; g^{2}; G^{2};$$

In addition, the gauge parameter is transformed in particular for = 0, = 0 or = 1 as

$$= R^{1} \frac{\dot{x}^{2} j}{j^{2} j} g^{2}; R^{1}; g^{2}; : \qquad (3.44)$$



Figure 2: The ray with angle ' measured from the negative real axis $k^2 < 0$ on the complex k^2 plane with singularities on the positive real axis.

1

U sing the asymptotic behavior of the structure function for g^2 ! + 0

$$R(k^{2};g^{2};{}^{2};) = R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2};g^{2}; = 1 + {}_{0}()g^{2}\ln\frac{k^{2}}{2} + O(g^{4}); \quad (3.45)$$

and the continuation,

$$R e^{i'}; Q; = 1 + _{0} ()g^{2}i' + O(g^{4}); \qquad (3.46)$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{j}^{2} } \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}'} ; \mathbf{Q} ; = \lim_{\mathbf{Q}^{1} } \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}'} ; \mathbf{Q} ; = 1:$$
(3.47)

Now, it is in portant to recall the asymptotic formula in Euclidean region $k^2 < 0$ which is obtained from the general solution of the RG equation (3.40):

$$R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2}; g^{2}; \quad = R \quad (1; Q; p) \exp \left[2 \int_{g^{2}}^{Z_{Q}} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)}^{\#} = C \quad (p) \quad \ln \frac{k^{2}}{2} \int_{g^{2}}^{1} \int_{g^{2}}^{0} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)} = C \quad (p) \quad \ln \frac{k^{2}}{2} \int_{g^{2}}^{1} \int_{g^{2}}^{0} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)} = C \quad (p) \quad \ln \frac{k^{2}}{2} \int_{g^{2}}^{1} \int_{g^{2}}^{0} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)} dx \frac{(x)}{(x)} \frac{($$

C () =
$$(g^2 j_0 j)^{-1} \exp \left(\frac{2}{g^2} dx (x) \right) > 0$$
: (3.49)

In the conventional norm alization R (1;Q;) = 1. In other cases, the leading behavior of the coe cient R (1;Q;) for $g^2 + 0$ or $u = k^2 = 2 + 1$ is determined by the asymptotic form of R $(1;g^2;)$ for small g. The behavior of the exponential factor follows from the behavior of Q and the ratio = . Here the integrand (x) = (x) is not integrable at x = 0 in QCD and therefore the singular part is separated $(x) = (x) = 0 = 0 x^{-1} + (x) w$ ith (x) being the remainder integrable at x = 0. The coe cient C is positive. See Appendix A.

Thus we not the asymptotic form for $k^2 j!$ 1 along the ray (3.41):

$$R(k^{2};g^{2};{}^{2};) = R_{as}(k^{2};g^{2};{}^{2};) = C () \ln \frac{\frac{k^{2}j}{j^{2}j}}{j^{2}j}; \qquad (3.50)$$

$$D(k^{2};g^{2}; {}^{2};) = D_{as}(k^{2};g^{2}; {}^{2};) = C()k^{2} \ln \frac{\frac{1}{3}k^{2}j}{j^{2}j}; {}^{0}() = 0; (3.51)$$

C () =
$$(g^2 j_0 j)^{-0} \exp \int_{g^2}^{2} dx (x) > 0$$
: (3.52)

3.4 Analyticity



Figure 3: An integration contour C on the complex k^2 plane with singularities on the positive real axis.

If the analytic function f (z) vanishes along any ray in the cut complex plane as jzj! 1, then it has the dispersion relation as follows.

Suppose that the complex function f(z) is analytic in the whole complex $z \coloneqq k^2$ plane except for the positive real axis z > 0. Then we choose a closed loop C in the complex k^2 plane such that the complex function f(z) is analytic inside and on the closed loop C as in Figure 3. For a reference point k^2 inside C, the Cauchy integral form ula tells us that for the closed contour C of integration

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{c}^{1} dz \frac{f(z)}{zk^{2}}$$
(3.53)

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{0}^{2} dz \frac{f(z+i)}{zk^{2}} \frac{f(z-i)}{zk^{2}} + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{z\neq R}^{1} dz \frac{f(z)}{zk^{2}}; \qquad (3.54)$$

where we have separated the integral into two pieces; one is the contribution from the paths above and below the positive real axis; the other is the contribution to the integral over the circle of radius R .

First, making use of the fact that f (k²) is real for k² < $s_{m in}$ (at least for the spacelike region k² < 0), we can use the Schwartz relection principle f (z i) = f (z + i) for Rez > $s_{m in}$ and hence f (z + i) f (z i) = f (z + i) f(z + i) = 2iIm f (z + i). Thus the rst term in RHS of (3.54) picks up the discontinuity (the imaginary part) of the f (z) function along the positive realaxis. Next, the circle jz j = R is parameterized as $z = Re^{i}$:

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dz \frac{\text{Im } f(z+i)}{z k^{2}} + \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d}{2} \frac{\text{Re}^{i} f(\text{Re}^{i})}{Re^{i} k^{2}}; \qquad (3.55)$$

The second term in RHS of this equation has the upper bound

$$\frac{Z^{2}}{0} \frac{d}{2} \frac{Re^{i}f(Re^{i})}{Re^{i}k^{2}} = \frac{Z^{2}}{0} \frac{d}{2} \frac{Rf(Re^{i})j}{Re^{i}k^{2}j} = max_{0 < 2}f(Re^{i})\frac{R}{R} \frac{R}{k^{2}j}; (3.56)$$

Now we wish to put the radius R of the circle to in nity. Thus, if jf(z)j! 0 as jzj! 1, then the second term has no contribution as R ! 1 and we have the dispersion relation:⁹

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dz \frac{\text{Im } f(z+i)}{z k^{2}}; \qquad (3.57)$$

This is also written as

$$f(k^{2}) = \int_{0}^{2} ds \frac{(s)}{s k^{2}}; \quad (s) := \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } f(s + i0); \quad (3.58)$$

where k^2 is an arbitrary point in the complex plane except for the positive real axis. Note that f (z) need not to go to zero in the power-like, i.e., f (z) $1=jz^{i}j(">0)$ as is usually assumed. In fact, a weaker decay f (z) $(\log jz j)^{"}(">0)$ is also allowed. Incidentally, since the C auchy integral form ula is generalized as

$$f^{(n)}(k^{2}) = \frac{n!}{2i} \int_{C}^{L} dz \frac{f(z)}{(z k^{2})^{n+1}};$$
(3.59)

the sim ilar argum ent as above leads to

$$f^{(n)}(k^{2}) = n! \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} ds \frac{(s)}{(s-k^{2})^{n+1}} :$$
(3.60)

Note that the dispersion relations (3.57) or (3.58) is derived from

- i) the analyticity of f (z) except for the positive real axis,
- ii) the asymptotic vanishing of f (z) as $jzj!\ 1$,
- iii) the real-valuedness of f(z) on the real axis $z < s_{m\ in}$ (at least for the negative real axis).

In the next section, we equate the dispersion relation with the spectral representation to extract the information on the spectrum using the asymptotic behavior of the propagator and the dressed functions.

⁹ In general, the boundary value of the analytic function is de ned as the Sato hyperfunction, which is an extension of the Schwartz distribution. In applying this relation to the propagator and the form factor later, we require the positivity in Euclidean region, i.e., on the negative real axis of the com plex k^2 plane. Then they become a positive measure and can not be a distribution.

3.5 M inkowski region

In general, the propagators are tem pered distributions in the M inkow ski region $k^2>0$. How ever, if distribution-type singularities are present only below a nite momentum value K, the propagators may be treated as ordinary functions for $k^2>K^2$ [46]. In this case, therefore, the asymptotic form ulas derived above are also applied to the large M inkow skim om enta with \prime = -.

If the distribution-type singularities occur for arbitrarily large momenta, the asymptotic behavior of the propagators should be studied in terms of the average values which are obtained by smearing with suitable test functions. It is shown [46] that the averaged gluon propagator in the M inkowski region has again the same asymptotic form as given above, independent of the chosen test function.

The asymptotic behavior for the weight function of the spectral representation is derived as follows. The weight function has the expression:

$$(k^2) = \text{Im } D (k^2 + i) = k^2 \text{Im } R (k^2 + i); k^2 > 0;$$
 (3.61)

ī

where we have used the result of the manipulation: $\text{Im} [R (k^2 + i)] = \text{Im} [(k^2 + i)] = k^2 \text{Im} D (k^2 + i) = k^2 \text{Im} D (k^2 + i)$. Setting $u^2 = k^2 \text{ in} (3.12)$, we have

$$R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2}; g^{2}; = R \quad \frac{k^{2}}{j^{2}j}; g^{2}; R \quad 1; g^{2}; R^{1} \quad 1; g^{2}; ; g^{2} = Q \quad \frac{k^{2}}{j^{2}j}; g^{2};$$
(3.62)

which leads to the imaginary part for $^{2} < 0$

$$(k^{2}) = k^{2} \operatorname{Im} R (k^{2} + i) = k^{2} R \frac{k^{2}}{j^{2}j}; g^{2}; \operatorname{Im} R 1; g^{2}; R^{1} 1; g^{2}; ;$$

(3.63)

where R $\frac{k^2}{j^2 j}$; g^2 ; and R 1; g^2 ; are real for $k^2 > 0$. From (3.46), we obtain

Im R 1;Q; =
$$_{0}$$
 ()Q + Q²h; (3.64)

with the remainder h vanishing for Q $\ ! \ 0$. It is shown by one-loop resumed perturbation theory that

$$Q = 4 j_0^{1} j \ln \frac{k^2}{j^2 j} : \qquad (3.65)$$

Thus we obtain the asymptotic form

$$(k^{2};g^{2}; {}^{2};) = {}_{as}(k^{2};g^{2}; {}^{2};) \text{ as } k^{2} ! 1 ;$$
(3.66)

$$as (k^{2}; g^{2}; {}^{2};) = (k^{2})^{1} _{0} ()QR as \frac{k^{2}}{j^{2}j}; g^{2}; \qquad (3.67)$$

$$= 4 (k^{2})^{1} ()^{j} ()^{1} j^{c} () \ln \frac{k^{2}}{j^{2}j} ; \qquad (3.68)$$

where it is important to remember that the anom alous dimension is gauge dependent and so is the asymptotic form.

If the weight function has distribution-type singularities at arbitrarily large m om enta, we consider the asym ptotic behavior of the average values. C onsequently, the sam e asym ptotic behavior is obtained [46].

It should be remarked that the sign of the asymptotic discontinuity as is determined by the ratio 0 = 0, i.e., the sign of is the opposite of 0 = 0. It is negative for 0 = 0 > 0.

4 Naive derivation of superconvergence relation

Now we can derive the superconvergence relations in the generalized Lorentz gauge. Our results reproduce the well-known superconvergence relation in the conventional Lorentz gauge. The details of calculations are given in Appendix A.

4.1 Gluon

For ${}^{A}_{0} = {}_{0} > 0$, the renorm alized gluon structure function D_T has the property that the complex function de ned by

$$D_{T}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) + \frac{=}{k^{2}}$$
 (4.1)

vanishes faster than $(k^2)^1$, indeed it behaves like $(k^2)^1 C_A \ln \frac{jk^2 j}{j^2 j}$ for large $jk^2 j$ in all directions of the complex k^2 plane. For ${}_0^A = {}_0 > 0$, moreover, the renorm alized dressed function $R = k^2 D_T$ has the property that the complex function de ned by

$$R(k^2; ^2; q_R^2; R) - (4.2)$$

vanishes like $C_A = \ln \frac{k^2 j}{j^2 j}$ ^{A = 0} ! 0 as $jk^2 j! 1$, in all directions of the complex k^2 plane. By applying the form ula (3.57) to the function (4.1), therefore, we can write an unsubtracted dispersion relation

$$D_{T}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R)}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (4.3)$$

where we have used a mathematical identity:

$$\frac{1}{p^2 + i} = P \frac{1}{p^2} \quad i \quad (p^2):$$
 (4.4)

In the sim ilar way, the form ula (3.57) applied to the function (4.2) yields

$$R (k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = - \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} k^{2}} :$$
(4.5)

Therefore there are two alternative forms for the spectral representation for D:

$$D_{T}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} k^{2}} = \frac{=}{k^{2}} + \frac{1}{k^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} k^{2}}:$$
(4.6)

This is possible if and only if the relation

$$0 = \frac{1}{k^2} \int_{0}^{\pi} dp^2 (p^2; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) -$$
(4.7)

is satisfied for arbitrary k^2 . For ${}_0^A = {}_0 > 0$, thus, we have the superconvergence relation in the generalized Lorentz gauge with an initial gauge-xing parameter :

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk^{2} (k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}; {}_{R}) = --:$$
(4.8)

This relation reduces to the well-known superconvergence relation in the Landau gauge

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk^{2} (k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}; 0) = 0: \qquad (4.9)$$

Here depends on in the generalized Lorentz gauge: = 13=3 in the conventional Lorentz gauge = 0 and = $\frac{13}{3}\frac{1}{1}$ for < 1=2; = $\frac{13}{3}\frac{1}{1}$ for > 1=2, see section V of [66] and Appendix A.

Irrespective of the gauge parameter, therefore, the gluon form factor vanishes in the IR $\lim it$ due to superconvergence relation (4.8):

$$R (0; {}^{2};g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = - \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2};g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = 0; \qquad (4.10)$$

and hence the gluon propagator and the form factor has the gauge-parameter independent IR behavior for the smallm om enta:

$$F(Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = O(Q^{2}); D(Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = \text{const.} + O(Q^{2}):$$
(4.11)

These behavior are independent from the gauge parameter.

4.2 Ghost

However, the situation is quite di erent for the ghost. We can repeat the same steps as in the gluon case. Then we obtain the following results which agree with those of [56] obtained in the conventional Landau gauge. In the arbitrary gauge, the ghost has an unsubtracted dispersion relation for the renormalized ghost propagator $_{FP}$:

$$_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; 0) = \int_{0}^{L_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{_{FP}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; 0)}{p^{2} k^{2}}:$$
(4.12)

However, the form factor $G_{FP} := k^2_{FP}$ has an unsubtracted dispersion relation only in the Landau gauge = 0.

$$G_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; 0) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2}_{FP}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; 0)}{p^{2}_{K}}; \qquad (4.13)$$

Hence, the superconvergence relation for the ghost holds only in the Landau gauge = 0

$$0 = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk^{2} F_{FP} (k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; 0): \qquad (4.14)$$

For \notin 0, however, the superconvergence relation does not hold, since the unsubtracted dispersion relation exists only for the propagator, not for the form factor:

$${}_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = {}^{Z_{1}}_{0} dp^{2} \frac{{}_{FP}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} k^{2}} = \frac{1}{k^{2}} G_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}): (4.15)$$

If this result is true, then the superconvergence for the ghost holds only in the Landau gauge, in sharp contrast with the gluon case. There is a subtle point which may invalidate the above derivation. We reconsider the ghost in the next section.

5 Superconvergence relation and spectrum

In this section, we combine the superconvergence relation with the spectral representation to discuss the spectrum of the Yang M ills theory.

5.1 Spectral representation

From the assumptions: 1) Poincare group structure (representation), 2) spectral condition, 3) completeness condition, the spectral representation (Um ezawa{K am efuchi{ K allen{Lehm ann representation) [85] for the gluon two-point function follows:

$$D_{T}(k^{2}) = \int_{0}^{2} d^{2}(2^{2}) \frac{1}{2 k^{2} i}; \qquad (5.1)$$

where (²) is the spectral function. Due to a mathematical identity

$$\frac{1}{x i} = P \frac{1}{x} + i (x);$$
 (5.2)

the spectral representation (5.1) of the propagator $D_T (k^2) = R e D_T (k^2) + i Im D_T (k^2)$ is decomposed into two parts:

$$Im D_{T} (k^{2}) = (k^{2}); \qquad (5.3)$$

and

$$\operatorname{ReD}_{T}(k^{2}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{2} (2) \operatorname{P} \frac{1}{2 k^{2}}$$
(5.4)

Thus we obtain the same relation as the dispersion relation:

$$\operatorname{ReD}_{T}(k^{2}) = \operatorname{P}_{0}^{2} d^{2} (2) \frac{1}{2k^{2}}; \quad (p^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{D}_{T}(p^{2}): \quad (5.5)$$

However, it should be remarked that we have not used the analyticity for deriving this representation.

If the state space has positive de nite inner product, the spectral function must be non-negative:

$$(p^2)$$
 0: (5.6)

In the state space with the inde nite inner product, the complete set fining can not be spanned by the eigenstates of P alone in general and the metric

$$hnjn^{0}i = nn^{0}$$
(5.7)

can not be diagonalized, and the com pleteness condition must be replaced by

$$1 = \sum_{n = n^{0}}^{X} j_{n = 1} j_{n = 0}^{1} l_{n = 0}^{1} l_{n = 0}^{0} l_{n = 0}^$$

In Yang{M ills theory, fortunately, all jni can be taken to be eigenstates of P and the metric can be diagonalized into the form $_{nn^0} = 1$. In this case, the positivity of the spectral function does not hold, since both positive and negative term s contribute to the sum de ning the spectral function. This fact plays the important role in the superconvergence relation in Yang{M ills theory.

5.2 IR behavior of the renorm alized gluon propagator in Euclidean region

The spectral representation of the renorm alized gluon structure function reads

$$D_{T}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (5.9)$$

$$(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = {}^{1} \operatorname{Im} D_{T} (k^{2} + i; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}): \qquad (5.10)$$

The renorm alized version of is a function of p^2 ; g_R ; $_R$ where is the renorm alization point. Note that this representation holds for arbitrary point k^2 in the complex k^2 plane except for the positive realaxis $k^2 > 0$. It was shown that the gluon structure function has the superconvergence relation:

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = --; \qquad (5.11)$$

in the parameter region satisfying ${}_{0}^{A} = {}_{0} > 0$. In this paper we consider only the case ${}_{0} < 0$ in pure Y ang-M ills theory corresponding to asymptotic freedom. Dening the dimensionless dressed function R by

$$R(k^2) := k^2 D_T(k^2);$$
 (5.12)

we can write the dispersion and spectral representation of the dressed function:

$$R(k^{2}; {}^{2};g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = - \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2}(p^{2}; {}^{2};g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}k^{2}}:$$
(5.13)

Putting the reference point k^2 on the Euclidean region, i.e., $Q^2 = k^2 > 0$, the gluon structure function has the representation:

$$D_{T} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R)}{p^{2} + Q^{2}}; \qquad (5.14)$$

In fact, D $_{\rm T}$ is not singular in the Euclidean region Q 2 > 0. D e ning the dimensionless gluon form factor F by

$$F(Q^2) := R(Q^2) = Q^2 D_T(Q^2);$$
 (5.15)

we can write the dispersion and spectral representation of the form factor in the Euclidean region $Q^2 = k^2 > 0$:

$$F(Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = - \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} + Q^{2}}:$$
(5.16)

C on nem ent is considered to be a strong coupling problem and to be related to the IR region. Therefore, we focus on the IR behavior of the gluon form factor. We nd that the gluon form factor vanishes in the IR limit of the Euclidean region Q^2 ! + 0:

$$F (Q^{2} = 0; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = - \int_{0}^{2} dp^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = 0; \quad (5.17)$$

due to the superconvergence relation (5.11). Moreover, the spectral representation has the form alpower series for sm all Q^2 ,

$$F(Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = \frac{x^{4}}{(1)^{n+1}} (Q^{2})^{n} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{(p^{2})^{n}}$$
$$= Q^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}} (Q^{2})^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{(p^{2})^{2}} + O(Q^{6});$$
(5.18)

while the gluon structure function has the IR behavior

$$D_{T} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{R}; {}_{R}) = \int_{0}^{x^{2}} (1)^{n} (Q^{2})^{n} \int_{0}^{z^{-1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{R}; {}_{R})}{(p^{2})^{n+1}}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{n=0} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{R}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}} Q_{0}^{2} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{2}; {}^{R}; {}_{R})}{(p^{2})^{2}} + O (Q^{4}):$$
(5.19)

In the Euclidean IR $\lim d Q^2 # 0$, the gluon structure function converges to a constant:

$$D_{T}(0; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}}; \qquad (5.20)$$

provided that all the integrals are convergent. If this constant is non-vanishing and nite, we have = 1=2.

It is expected that each integral appearing in the \cos cient of the power series (5.18) and (5.19) is positive and the series is alternating. In particular, the constant

 D_T (0) (5.20) is considered to be positive. The integral is convergent for large p^2 due to the asymptotic form (3.68). For large p^2 , the integrand $=p^2$ is small and negative, while it is large positive for small p^2 and it does not diverge due to the existence of m ass gap. Therefore, the total integration is expected to be positive. This conclusion is a gauge independent result, i.e., independent of the choice of gauge parameter.¹⁰

If the constant (5.20) happens to vanish,

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}} = 0; \qquad (5.21)$$

then the = $1 case occurs_{r}$

$$F(Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = (Q^{2})^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R)}{(p^{2})^{2}} + O((Q^{2})^{3});$$
(5.22)

and

$$D_{T} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = Q^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{0} dp^{2} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R)}{(p^{2})^{2}} + O((Q^{2})^{2}):$$
(5.23)

At rst sight, this case is similar to the Gribov case. However, there is a crucial di erence and this case is unlikely to be realized as argued in the next subsection.

The above arguments hold as far as the IR divergence does not occur. In other words, the integrals for the spectral function are assumed to be nite. This issue is discussed below.

5.3 M om ents of spectral function and m ass gap

Now we consider the implication of the above result to the spectrum. To this end, we consider the moments of the spectral function:

$$^{Z}_{R}$$
 dss' (s) ('= 0; 1; 2;): (5.24)

This integral is convergent for large R, if 1 due to the asymptotic form (3.68) of (s) for large $s = k^2$. Here any negative value of i is allowed. In what follows, we pay attention to the convergence for the limit ! 0.

To obtain the m om ent, we apply the C auchy theorem to the function f(z) which is analytic inside and on the contour C in the complex q^2 plane shown in Fig. 4 avoiding the positive real axis and the origin. Then the function z if (z) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{c}^{I} dz z' f(z) = 0:$$
 (5.25)

¹⁰ Now we consider the implication of the above result to the spectrum. If the gluon spectral function has a massless pole and the single particle contribution is explicitly separated as $(p^2) = Z$ $(p^2) + \dots + (p^2 + 10)$ given by (5.20) diverges. Therefore, niteness of the renormalized gluon propagator at $Q^2 = 0$ excludes the massless gluon pole, suggesting the existence of mass gap. In this case, all the coelecters of the power series in Q^2 are nite due to the asymptotic form (3.68) of for large p^2 . Consequently, the IR power series expansions (5.18) and (5.19) are well-defined.



Figure 4: The integration contour C to which the C auchy theorem is applied on the complex k^2 plane with singularities on the positive real axis.

Here we separate this integral into three pieces; one is the contribution from the paths above and below the positive real axis [;R] which pick up the discontinuity (the in aginary part) of the function f (z) along the positive real axis; the others are the contribution to the integral over the circle of radius R 1 and the contribution to the integral over the circle of radius R 1. Hence (5.25) is decomposed as

$${}^{Z}_{R} ds s' \stackrel{1}{-} Im f (s + i0) = \frac{1}{2 i} {}^{I}_{j \neq j} dz z' f (z) \frac{1}{2 i} {}^{I}_{j \neq j = R} dz z' f (z)$$
(5.26)
$$= {}^{Z}_{0} \frac{d}{2} {}^{1+} e^{i(1+j)} f (e^{i}) {}^{Z}_{0} \frac{d}{2} R^{1+} e^{i(1+j)} f (Re^{i}):$$
(5.27)

For '= 1, we apply (5.27) to the gluon structure function $f = D_T$: $Z_R ds s^1 \frac{1}{2} Im D_T (s + i0) = \int_{0}^{Z_2} \frac{d}{2} D_T (e^i) \int_{0}^{Z_2} \frac{d}{2} D_T (Re^i)$: (5.28)

In the region where the superconvergence relation holds, $D_T(z)$ vanishes in the lim it jzj = R ! 1. In the lim it R ! 1 and ! 0, therefore, (5.28) reduces to

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} ds s^{1} (s) \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} ds s^{1} \frac{1}{2} Im D_{T} (s + i0) = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d}{2} D_{T} (0) = D_{T} (0); \quad (5.29)$$

where $\lim_{j \to 0} D_T$ (eⁱ) has a common value in the limit of approaching the origin $jk^2j = jk^2je^i$ (0 < < 2) except for the positive real axis = 0. This result (5.29) is consistent with the previous result (5.20).

The nite D $_{\rm T}$ (0) is consistent with the spectral function with a massive pole (at p^2 = M $^2)$:

$$(s) = Z (s M2) + \sim (s);$$
 (5.30)

where ~(s) is the contribution of the continuous spectrum from m ore than two particle states, namely, (s) has no support for $s < M^{-2}$ (existence of the lower gap). This is because the niteness of the IR lim it D_T (0) denoted by the expression

$$D_{T}(0) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} ds s^{1}(s) = \frac{Z_{1}}{M^{2}} + \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} ds s^{1} \sim (s)$$
(5.31)

is interpreted as indicating the existence of a massive pole (M \in 0), provided that Z \in 0.¹¹ In this sense, the niteness of D_T (0) excludes the massless gluon pole:

$$(s) = Z (s) + \sim (s)$$
: (5.32)

The same argument holds for other moments of the spectral function appearing in the power-series expansions (5.18) and (5.19). Thus, we have shown that, for gluon with massive spectrum (5.30), then the power-series expansions (5.18) and (5.19) for the gluon propagator and the form factor could be well-de ned for small Euclidean momenta Q^2 .

Note that $0 < D_T(0) < 1$ corresponds to = 1=2. This value for the IR exponent seems to be supported by recent M onte C arb simulations on a lattice [69]. Quite recently, B loch has proposed a truncation scheme respecting multiplicative renorm alizability of the coupled Schwinger{D yson equations for the gluon and ghost propagators by including all the diagram s [23] to obtain the approximate solutions with the IR critical exponent = 1=2.

However, (s) is not necessarily positive for s > 0. Therefore, a situation of vanishing $D_T(0)$: ${B_1 \atop 0}$ ds s^1 (s) = $D_T(0)$ = 0 happens to occur in principle. If this is the case, we must consider the next-to-leading term and then = 1 will be realized (the apparent G ribov lim it). However, we argue that $D_T(0) = 0$ is unlikely to be realized based on the general properties of the spectral function. In fact, the structure function predicted by G ribov has the com plex conjugate pair of poles

$$D_{T} (Q^{2}) = \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{4} + M_{G}^{4}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{Q^{2} + iM_{G}^{2}} + \frac{1}{Q^{2} - iM_{G}^{2}}$$
(5.33)

This is incompatible with the dispersion relation (5.14) which requires the analyticity in the cut complex plane.

5.4 IR behavior of the renorm alized ghost propagator in Euclidean region

For the Euclidean m om enta Q, the ghost propagator has the spectral representation

$${}_{\text{FP}} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{\text{R}}^{2}; {}_{\text{R}}) = {}^{2} {}_{0} dp^{2} \frac{{}_{\text{FP}} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{\text{R}}^{2}; {}_{\text{R}})}{p^{2} + Q^{2}} = \frac{1}{Q^{2}} G_{\text{FP}} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{\text{R}}^{2}; {}_{\text{R}}): (5.34)$$

¹¹ It is possible to consider the absence of one-particle pole Z = 0, i.e., (s) = ~(s) in M inkowski region. However, we suppose that the asymptotic elds exist, even if the corresponding quanta can not be observed (i.e., they are con ned). Only in this form ulation, we can adopt a su cient condition for color con nem ent due to K ugo and O jim a. Therefore, we restrict our consideration to the case in which this fram ework works.

The propagator is not singular in Euclidean region $Q^2 > 0$. Hence we consider the IR lim it $Q^2 \ \# 0$. We see that the contribution from large p^2 to the integral is convergent due to the asymptotic form of the spectral function derived in Appendix A. For ghost without the superconvergence relation, the IR lim it of the ghost propagator is expected to be

$$_{FP} (Q^{2} \# 0; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = {}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{_{FP} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}} = 1 : (5.35)$$

If (5.35) was nite, the ghost form factor had the similar form as the gluon case and the ghost form factor vanished in the IR limit:

$$G_{FP}(Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = Q^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{FP(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2}} + O(Q^{4})! \quad 0 \text{ as } Q^{2}! \quad 0:$$
(5.36)

Eq. (5.35) suggests that the ghost propagator can not have the power-series expansion in Q^2 around $Q^2 = 0$ in the Euclidean region. For the situation (5.35) to be realized, the ghost can not have the spectral function of massive type. This result does not contradict with the consideration based on the RG equation given below and the approximate solutions of the truncated coupled SD equations.

If the ghost has the massless spectrum of the usual type:

$$F_{P}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; R) = Z_{FP}(p^{2}) + \gamma_{FP}(p^{2}); \qquad (5.37)$$

with $Z_{FP} \in 0$, then the ghost propagator reads

$$_{FP} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = \frac{Z_{FP}}{Q^{2}} + {}^{Z_{1}}_{0} dp^{2} \frac{\gamma_{FP} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})}{p^{2} + Q^{2}} = \frac{1}{Q^{2}} G_{FP} (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}):$$
(5.38)

This again contradicts with the consideration based on the RG equation below.

Thus we reconsider the derivation of the dispersion relation given above. If the spectral function has singularities accumulating toward the origin $p^2=0$, we must replace an integration contour in Figure 3 by a new contour in Figure 4 to avoid the origin. For a reference point k^2 inside a new contour C, the Cauchy integral formula yields

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{C}^{C} dz \frac{f(z)}{zk^{2}}$$
(5.39)
$$= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{C}^{C} dz \frac{f(z+i)}{zk^{2}} f(z-i)$$

$$2 i_{0} z_{k}^{2} + \frac{1}{2 i} \int_{j \neq R}^{I} dz \frac{f(z)}{z k^{2}} \frac{1}{2 i} \int_{j \neq F}^{I} dz \frac{f(z)}{z k^{2}} (5.40)$$

The similar argument leads to

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dz \frac{\text{Im } f(z+i)}{z k^{2}} + \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d}{2} \frac{\text{Re}^{i} f(\text{Re}^{i})}{\text{Re}^{i} k^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d}{2} \frac{e^{i} f(e^{i})}{e^{i} k^{2}}$$
(5.41)

The second term vanishes for the function f(z) which vanishes asymptotically as jzj! 1 and hence

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dz \frac{\operatorname{Im} f(z+i)}{zk^{2}} + \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d}{2} \frac{e^{i} f(e^{i})}{k^{2}} = (5.42)$$

The e ect of the last term is classi ed into three cases.

i) In the limit ! 0, the last term vanishes for the bounded function f(z) which is regular in the limit z ! 0 (m assive case). Therefore, the above conclusion for the gluon with massive spectrum also holds for a new choice of the integration contour. This is an undesirable result. Therefore, we allow f(z) to be singular in the limit z ! 0. For concreteness, we exam ine the asymptotic form $f(z) C z^{-1}$ for jzj = 1. Nevertheless, vanishing of the last term also follows for the function which becomes singular in such a way that $f(z) C z^{-1}$ with positive real > 0. This is also the case for a negative integer , since for su ciently small

$$\frac{Z^{2}}{0} \frac{d}{2} \frac{e^{i} f(e^{i})}{k^{2} e^{i}} = \frac{C}{k^{2}} \frac{Z^{2}}{0} \frac{d}{2} e^{i} = \frac{C}{k^{2}} \frac{e^{i(2)}}{2i} \frac{1}{2i}$$
(5.43)

ii) For = 0, i.e., f(z) C z¹ (the tree m assless form), however, we have the additional term :

$$f(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dz \frac{\text{Im } f(z+i)}{z k^{2}} + \frac{C}{k^{2}}$$
(5.44)

iii) Finally, the additional term remains also for the singular function f (z) $C z^{-1}$ with negative and non-integer (IR enhanced case). It is this case that corresponds to the ghost propagator in the Landau gauge, as argued below based on the RG equation.

5.5 Renormalization condition

This should be compared with the momentum subtraction scheme in perturbation theory where the renorm alization condition xes the gluon propagator to the tree-level one at a su ciently large space-like renorm alization point $k^2 = 2^{\circ}$, i.e., $D_T (2^{\circ}; 2^{\circ}; g_R^2; R) = 1 = 2^{\circ}$. This will lead to

$$\int_{0}^{Z} dp^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2}! 1; g_{R}^{2}! 0; {}_{R}!) = 1:$$
 (5.45)

In the form al level, the spectral representation has the expansion for large Q 2

$$F (Q^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + p^{2}} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R})$$
$$= \int_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{n}}{(Q^{2})^{n}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} (p^{2})^{n} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}):$$
(5.46)

This power-series expansion in $1=Q^2$ is not well-de ned, since niteness of the integral as the coe cient is not guaranteed for n > 1.

The relation (5.45) seems to contradict with the superconvergence relation. How – ever, the renorm alization condition F (2 ; 2) = 1 is too strong, since it speciles in advance a part of the non-perturbative dynamics which should be determined by solving the theory. Therefore, we do not require the renorm alization condition F (2 ; 2) = 1 even for large in this paper. Rather, we adopt the renorm alization condition for any value of

$$F(^{2};^{2})G^{2}(^{2};^{2}) = 1;$$
(5.47)

where G is the ghost form factor. A loophole of the above argument is to take into account the FP ghost in the superconvergence relations.

The superconvergence relation is consistent with

$$\lim_{Q^2! 1} F(Q^2; {}^2 < 1; g_R^2 > 0; {}_R) = \int_{0}^{Z_1} dp^2 (p^2; {}^2 < 1; g_R^2 > 0; {}_R) = 0: (5.48)$$

Remarks:

1. The superconvergence relation is the spectral sum rule for the interacting theory. The superconvergence relation in plies the violation of positivity of the spectral density of the transverse gluon propagator in Landau gauge. This can be interpreted as a manifestation of (gluon) con nem ent. However, it is not su cient to conclude color con nem ent.

2. In [5], it was argued that the superconvergence relation m ight therefore be interpreted as a reincamation of H aag's theorem; The free theory and the interacting theory are inequivalent no matter how small the coupling is.

6 Infrared xed point and color con nem ent

The color con nem ent criterion of K ugo and O jim a is concerned with the IR behavior of the ghost form factor. Therefore, we focus on the IR behavior of the ghost form factor and its relationship with the gluon form factor.

The gluon propagator for which the superconvergence holds can behave like a power of the momenta in the IR asymptotic region. For the ghost, however, the superconvergence does not hold in general and hence we can not conclude the ghost propagator behaves like a power of the momenta in the IR region. The power-like solution of the gluon propagator is possible if and only if the RG function has the power series expansion in the coupling constant around an IR xed point. In what follows, we argue that the power like behavior for both propagators is consistent with the RG functions which has the power series expansion in the coupling constant around an IR xed point.

6.1 IR xed point

In what follows, we consider a phase of QCD which is connected to the asymptotic free regime, i.e., (0) = 0 and () < 0 for su ciently small > 0. Let $_1$ be the infrared (IR) value of the running coupling constant (), i.e., $_1 = (= 0)$.

Suppose that the -function is a continuous function of g^2 . If there is a region in which () > 0, there must be at least one $_0$ such that ($_0$) = 0. Therefore, we

restrict our consideration to the rst negative region of () 0, i.e., 0 $_0$ where $_0$ is a zero closest to the origin. See Figure 5. If () is negative in the whole region > 0, we can interpret $_0 = 1$.



Figure 5: Two types of the beta function and the corresponding running coupling constants.

Now we proceed to exam ine which IR asymptotic forms of the propagator are possible as solutions of the RG equation. Suppose that the RG functions (); () are single-valued and continuous functions and that they can be expanded into the form alpower series around = 1:

$$() = _{1} + (_{1})_{1}^{IR} + \frac{1}{2} (_{1})_{2}^{2} + ;$$
 (6.1)

$$() = _{1} + (_{1})_{1}^{IR} + \frac{1}{2} (_{1})_{2}^{2}^{IR} + ;$$
 (62)

where we have de ned $_1 := (_1); _1 = (_1)$.

In our argument, the existence of the Landau pole (namely, the running coupling blows up at a certain $= _0 > 0$ before reaching the IR limit = 0) is excluded from the beginning, since it is considered to be an artifact of the perturbation theory. We also excluded the case of $_1 = 1$, since the power series expansion around $= _1$ loses the meaning in this case. Even in this case, we consider that the anomalous dimension $_1$ remains nite $j_1 j < 1$ even in the IR limit. O therwise, the eld itself will lose its meaning in the IR limit.

We need to estimate the ratio ()= () to know the IR asymptotic form of the propagator where () depends on the eld in question. We discuss two cases separately: (I) $_1 = 0$, (II) $_1 \in 0 (< 0)$. In any case, the running of the coupling constant is governed by

$$\frac{Z}{(p)} \frac{d}{(p)} = \frac{Z}{(p)} \frac{d}{(p)} \frac{d}{(p)} \frac{d}{(p)} = \frac{Z}{(p)} \frac{d}{(p)} \frac{d}{(p)$$

In the case of (I), the integration of (6.3):

$$\frac{z}{(p)} \frac{d}{(1-p)} \frac{d}{(1-p)} \frac{d}{p} = \frac{z}{p} \frac{d}{d}$$
 (6.4)

shows that the running coupling constant behaves for small p as

$$\frac{(p)}{()}_{1} = \frac{p}{2} = \frac{p}{2}$$

In this case, ${}_1^{\rm IR}>0,$ since (0) = 0, (${}_1$) = 0 and () < 0 for 0 < ${}_1$. Then, the ratio in the case (I) reads

$$\frac{()}{()} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1}} \frac{1}{1} + (1) \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$$

which yields

$$\exp \left(2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \exp\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \exp\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) + 2 + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1$$

after rewriting (p) in terms of p using (6.5). Therefore, the form factor and the propagator of the - eld behave respectively like

$$F(p^2) = p^2 = 2^{-1}$$
; $D(p^2) = \frac{F(p^2)}{p^2} = p^2 = 2^{-1}^{-1}$: (6.8)

In the case (II), the IR behavior of the running coupling constant $\ \mbox{(p)}$ is determined from

$$\frac{Z_{(p)}}{(1)} \frac{d}{1 + (1 + 1) \frac{1}{1}} = \frac{Z_{p} d}{-1};$$
 (6.9)

which is integrated as

$$\frac{1 + (p)}{1 + (1)} = \frac{p}{1} = \frac{$$

Here the coe cient must be non-positive 1^{R}_{1} 0. Otherwise, we run into the contradiction. In fact, the RHS goes to zero as p^{2} ! 0 for $1^{R}_{1} > 0$, while LHS is non-vanishing in this limit (p)! 1 for 1 6 0. On the other hand, the ratio in the case (II) given by

$$\frac{()}{()} = \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + O(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})^2$$
(6.11)

yields

$$\exp \left(2 \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} = \exp \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)^{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1$$

This expression converges to a constant in the IR limit p^2 ! 0. Therefore the leading IR power behavior of the propagator is still given by the tree form : D (p^2) = const.(p^2)¹ in the case (II).

Thus we conclude that the second case (II) is excluded for the gluon by the superconvergence relation, suggesting the existence of the IR stable xed point $_1 =$

 $(_1) = 0$. Since the -function is common to all the elds, the other elds should obey the power law (6.8) but with di event anomalous dimensions $_1$.¹² For the gluon, we use

$$A_1 = 2 = 1$$
 (6.13)

from the above argument based on the superconvergence. The remaining ghost anom abus dimension $\frac{c}{1}$ is determined as follows.

The non-renorm alization [86] of gluon {ghost {antighost vertex in the Landau gauge, i.e.,

$$Z_1 = Z_3^{1=2} Z_3 Z_g = 1$$
 (6.14)

yields, by taking the logarithm and operating the dimension dimension $\frac{d}{d}$, an identity among the RG functions

^A() + 2 ^C() =
$$\frac{(g)}{g} = \frac{()}{2}$$
 0: (6.15)

Then we have a relationship in the Landau gauge in the case (I) at = 1

$${}^{A}_{1} + 2 {}^{C}_{1} = 0$$
: (6.16)

Therefore, the sign of $\begin{pmatrix} C \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is opposite to $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Substituting the anom abus dimension of the gluon $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$ into (6.16), we obtain

$${}^{A}_{1} = 1; {}^{C}_{1} = 1=2$$
 (6.17)

in the Landau gauge in the case (I). Thus, the gluon propagator is suppressed while the ghost propagator is enhanced in the IR region.

However, this result seems to be incompatible with the superconvergence of the ghost in the Landau gauge, which may yield ${}_1^C = 1$ for the massive ghost. Note that the Landau gauge = 0 is the xed point, but it is the IR stable xed point and not the UV stable xed point. For superconvergence, we need the behavior of the UV limit where the perturbation theory is reliable due to asymptotic freedom. The superconvergence for the ghost does not hold for $\frac{6}{5}$ 0, no matter how small is. The result depends on the initial value of . Therefore, the treatment of the

 $^{^{12}}$ O ur argum ent should be compared with that of [14] (See also Appendix A in [19] for some m inor corrections) where it is pointed out that the power-series in momentum (without logarithm ic corrections [20]) G (Q²; ²) = $_{n} d_{n} (g) (Q²= ²)^{n}$ can be a solution of the RG equation for the ghost form factor in the Landau gauge = 0 if and only if the exponents $_{n}$ are g independent and $d_{n} (g) = \exp^{-\frac{R}{g}} dl \frac{2[n + (l)]}{(l)}$. Here the RG functions (l) and (l) are unspecied in sharp contrast with our consideration. The exponent w as determined based on the approximate solution of the SD equation for gluon and ghost propagators.

superconvergence of the ghost propagator is more subtle than the gluon propagator. The superconvergence of ghost in the Landau gauge is very special compared with that of gluon which has superconvergence irrespective of the choice of . As we have shown in the previous section, this di culty can be avoided if the ghost does not have the massive spectrum and the power-series expansion loses the meaning. In fact, the above consideration based on the RG equation suggests that the ghost propagator is enhanced in the IR and of the long-range type, while the gluon propagator is suppressed in the IR and of the short-range type.¹³

6.2 Color con nem ent

A su cient condition for color con nement was proposed by Kugo and O jim a (KO) [88,89], see also [90,91]. The criterion in the original form is a condition u(0) = 1 for the two-point function of the composite operators:

^{AB} g
$$\frac{p p}{p^2}$$
 u (p) = $d^4 x e^{ip (x y)} h0 f (D C)^A (x) g (A C)^B (y) f (i. (6.18))$

In particular, it was shown later that the criterion is considerably simplied in the Landau gauge = 0 [92]. It was reduced to a condition for the ghost form factor in the IR limit:

$$\lim_{Q^2 \le 0} [Q^2]_{FP} [Q^2]^1 \qquad \lim_{Q^2 \le 0} [G(Q^2)]^1 \qquad 1 + u(0) = 0:$$
(6.19)

Our argument given above leads to the ghost form factor enhanced in the IR :

$$G(Q^2) = Q^2 = {}^{2} {}^{1} = Q^2 = {}^{2} {}^{1=2}$$
: (6.20)

Therefore, the solution found in this article satis as the KO color con nem ent criterion (6.19). In this sense, color con nem ent is achieved in the Landau gauge Yang-M ills theory.

As demonstrated in this article, the superconvergence alone is not su cient to draw the conclusion for color con nement. In fact, the KO criterion needs the property of the IR lim it Q^2 ! 0 of the two-point function for ghost and antighost. In the gauges other than the Landau, the detailed information for gluon is also needed to verify the KO criterion. A coording to recent investigations of the coupled SD equations for gluon and ghost, contribution from ghost plays the dom inant role in the IR region.

This result should be compared with the Nishijim a criterion [93 $\{96$] for color con nement

$$Z_3^{1} = 0$$
: (6.21)

 $^{^{13}}$ As a theoretical possibility, we can not exclude the converse case based on this argument alone, namely, the gluon propagator is enhanced in the IR and of the long-range type, while the ghost propagator is suppressed in the IR and of the short-range type. However, such a solution with <0 can not be a consistent solution of the truncated coupled SD equations for the gluon and ghost propagators.

This criterion is also satis ed in this case, since the combination of the renorm alized spectral representation and the renorm alized superconvergence relation im plies

$$Z_{3}^{1} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} (p^{2}; {}^{2}; g_{R}^{2}; {}_{R}) = --; \qquad (6.22)$$

as already argued by him self.

Our argument just given is not complete due to an assumption on the RG functions, as the following example shows.

6.3 IR attractor



Figure 6: The beta function with an IR attractor and the corresponding running coupling constant.

M oreover, there is an exceptional case to the above argument. It is the case of IR attractor where function has a pole (not a zero) at a certain point a, i.e., $(a \ 0) = 1$, see Figure 6. In this case, the -function blows up (down) at a before reaching the IR lim it 1 and hence the -function cannot be expanded around = 1 (N ote that 1 > a). Some authors [97] argued that the IR attractor will be realized in QCD with sm all number of avors, just as the supersymmetric (SUSY) gluodynam ics has the IR attractor [98,99]. The exact NSVZ [98] function for SUSY gluodynam ics is given by

$$() = \frac{2}{2} \frac{3C_2(G)}{1 - C_2(G) = 2};$$
(6.23)

where C_2 (G) is the quadratic C asim ir operator in the adjoint representation, C_2 (G) = N_c for SU (N_c). Of course, it has the power series expansion around = 0:

() =
$$\frac{2}{2} \operatorname{3C}_2(G) \bigwedge_{n=0}^{X^2} \operatorname{C}_2(G) \frac{n}{2}$$
 (6.24)

In the case of IR attractor, the coupling constant () becomes complex-valued below the scale $_{a}$ at which the pole exists $_{a} = (_{a})$. Therefore, we need independent study in the case of IR attractor.

7 M A gauge

We have obtained all the possible superconvergence relations based on the asymptotic behavior of the propagator. See Appendix A for calculations. Sum marizing the result, the superconvergence relation holds for the diagonal gluon, o -diagonal FP ghost, o - diagonal gluon, while the superconvergence does not occur for the diagonal FP ghost.

In what follows, we choose the gauge parameter = 0 for diagonal components and om it to write = 0 hereafter. The the following unsubtracted dispersion relations for the propagators and form factors and the superconvergence relations hold irrespective of the gauge parameter for o -diagonal components. The diagonal gluons have

$$D_{\text{diag}}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{d_{\text{diag}}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (7.1)$$

$$F_{diag}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) = \int_{7}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2} diag}{p^{2}} \frac{(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (7.2)$$

$$0 = \int_{0}^{2} dk^{2} dk^{2} (k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};):$$
 (7.3)

The o -diagonal gluons have

$$D_{A}(k^{2}; {}^{2};g^{2};) = \int_{0}^{2} dp^{2} \frac{A(p^{2}; {}^{2};g^{2};)}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (7.4)$$

$$F_{T}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) = \int_{7}^{2} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2} A(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (7.5)$$

$$0 = \int_{0}^{2} dk^{2} k^{2}; \quad (k^{2}; \quad 2; g^{2}; \quad): \quad (7.6)$$

The o -diagonal FP ghosts have

$$_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) = \int_{0}^{2} dp^{2} \frac{_{FP}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}{p^{2} k^{2}}; \qquad (7.7)$$

$$G_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) = \int_{7}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{p^{2}_{FP}(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}{p^{2}_{FP}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}; \qquad (7.8)$$

$$0 = \int_{0}^{-1} dk^{2} F_{\rm FP} (k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};):$$
 (7.9)

However, the diagonal FP ghost propagator has an unsubtracted dispersion relation

$$\operatorname{diag}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dp^{2} \frac{c(p^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};)}{p^{2} k^{2}} = \frac{1}{k^{2}} G_{\operatorname{diag}}(k^{2}; {}^{2}; g^{2};) \quad (7.10)$$

without superconvergence.

Therefore, the diagonal gluon, o -diagonal ghost and o -diagonal gluon become m assive. From the view point of A belian dom inance, the m assm_a of the diagonal gluon m ust be sm aller than m asses m_A ; m_C of the o -diagonal gluon and o -diagonal ghost. The o -diagonal propagator m ust be short-ranged com pared to the diagonal one. Indeed, a num erical simulation on a lattice indicates this is the case [72]. M oreover,

the latest simulation has con med this result and provided more detail, i.e., $m_A = 2m_a$ [73] where the gauge parameters adopted correspond to R = 0 and R = 0. It should be remarked that the ghost propagators have not yet been measured in these lattice studies [72,73]. The above results show that the o-diagonal ghost propagator W e can not say anything about the diagonal ghost. However, only the diagonal ghost propagator can have the long-range correlation. This is necessary for the con nem ent to hold, since the only the long-range correlation can convey the inform ation to the long distance region where con nem ent is relevant.

The massiveness of the diagonal gluon is unexpected at rst sight. This is because the residual U (1) invariance seem ed to impose the masslessness of the diagonal gluon and the long-range mode is needed to explain quark con nement, e.g., the linear potential. How ever, we must recall that the ghosts play the role of the longitudinal and scalar gluons in the Landau gauge where the longitudinal and scalar gluon disappear. Therefore, the linear potential will be provided by the contribution from the diagonal ghost. In addition, the massive diagonal gluon affer gauge xing does not contradict the general principle of quantum eld theory as discussed below form the view point of the residual local gauge symmetry. This scenario seems to be not inconsistent with the con nement picture obtained in the case of Lorentz gauge xing. All the gluons are expected to become massive, while all the ghosts are expected to be long range, provided that the color symmetry is unbroken. In the MA gauge, the color symmetry is explicitly broken by the gauge xing procedure. Therefore, the diagonal and o -diagonal components can behave di erently.

8 Conclusion and discussion

In this article we have exam ined how the propagators and the form factors for gluon and ghost behave as complex-valued functions on the complex p^2 plane from the view point of general principles (multiplicative renorm alizability, analyticity, Poincare covariance, spectral condition, completeness) of quantized gauge eld theories form ulated in the manifestly Lorentz covariant fashion. In particular, we have emphasized that it is the analyticity of the propagator and the corresponding form factor on the complex p^2 plane that enables us to connect the UV asymptotic solution into the IR asymptotic solution and vice versa.

We have obtained all the possible superconvergence relations for gluons and ghosts in the generalized Lorentz gauge and the modi ed MA gauge, by making use of their UV asymptotic behaviors which are calculable reliably by perturbation theory due to asymptotic freedom. The superconvergence relations are obtained by combining two sum rules for a propagator and an associated form factor following from the analyticity on the cut complex p² plane. Thereby, we can predict the IR asymptotic behavior of the Euclidean eld propagator for which the superconvergence relation holds. By equating the dispersion relation following from analyticity with the spectral representation following from the spectral condition and Poincare covariance, it has been shown that the propagator with superconvergence may have massive pole and hence become short-range. Moreover, we have discussed the implications of superconvergence to color con nement in both gauges. In other words, we have presented a scenario of deriving color con nement.

In the generalized Lorentz gauge, we have con m ed that the gluon has supercon-

vergence for arbitrary gauge parameter and hence the gluon propagator may become short-range. However, the superconvergence for the ghost should not hold for arbitrary gauge. ¹⁴ This is consistent with the approximate solutions of the coupled SD equations for gluons and ghosts in the Landau gauge [10{12,16{21,23}}. If the superconvergence held for the ghost, the ghost propagator would become short-range. However, it is reasonable that the IR enhanced ghost propagator be long-range, since only the IR enhanced ghost could be a candidate for a carrier of con nem ent. We have concluded that the gluon propagator exhibits the power behavior characterized by the IR critical exponent = 1=2,

$$D_{T}(Q^{2}) = const. + O(Q^{2});$$
 (8.1)

while the ghost propagator has a negative and non-integer exponent.

Supposing the RG functions have the power series in the coupling constant g^2 around the IR value g^2 (= 0), we have speci ed the IR asymptotic behavior for the gluon and ghost propagators so as to be consistent with the above consequence of superconvergence relations. Moreover, we required that the solution is compatible with the existence of the IR xed point. Then the IR critical exponent of the ghost is determ ined. Thus we conclude that the ghost propagator in the Landau gauge behaves like ¹⁵

$$_{\rm FP} (Q^2) = (Q^2)^{3=2}$$
: (8.2)

This result is also consistent with the SD equation approach, if and only if the IR critical exponent takes the value = 1=2. The IR asymptotic behavior of the ghost propagator (8.2) full lls a su cient condition of color con nem ent in the Landau gauge presented in [92] which is originally due to K ugo and O jim a [89], see also [90,91]. The negative half integer exponent for the ghost in plies the existence of the branch cut beginning at the origin and extending on the positive real axis in the com plex k^2 plane. This is indeed the situation which is necessary to adopt a new integration contour in discussing anew the superconvergence relation for the ghost.

The IR limit of the running coupling constant g^2 (0) can be estimated by solving the ghost SD equation by substituting the IR asymptotic solutions (8.1) and (8.2), as already worked out in the SD equation approach, see e.g. Appendix A of [17]. In Figure 7, we have summarized the relationship between the RG functions (function and anom abus dimension) and the running coupling constant and propagators. An exception to be above argument is the solution corresponding to the IR attractor,

¹⁴ In the manifestly Lorentz covariant formulation, even the spectral function (p^2) of the transverse gluon is no longer positive. In fact, it is negative for large p^2 due to the indennite metric of state space. In view of this, it is dicult to give an intuitive interpretation to the superconvergence relation from the physical point of view. In the generalized Lorentz gauge, the longitudinal part including the gauge parameter is also renormalized, in sharp contrast with the usual Lorentz gauge. E specially, in the Landau gauge, the gluon propagator does not have the longitudinal part. The unphysical degrees of freedom such as the longitudinal and the scalar parts are related to the ghost and antighost that are also unphysical, but exist even in the Landau gauge. Therefore, we have no reason to distinguish the ghost from the other unphysical components of the gluon.

¹⁵ If the constant is zero in the gluon propagator (8.1), i.e., $D_T (Q^2) = O(Q^2) \# 0$, the the ghost propagator behaves like $_{FP} (Q^2) = (Q^2)^2 \parallel 1$ instead of (8.2). This is nothing but the G ribov lim it, = 1 [24]. However, the explicit form obtained by G ribov contradicts with the analyticity requirement, since the G ribov form has a complex conjugate pair of poles.

which has been suggested to exist for QCD with quark avors below a certain critical number based on the higher order analysis of perturbative series [97]. This case is to be investigated separately.



Figure 7: The schematic behavior of RG functions, running coupling constant and propagators for gluons and ghosts for Y ang {M ills theory in the Lorentz {Landau gauge. (a) Beta function vs. Running coupling, (b) A nom alous dim. of gluon vs. Gluon propagator, (c) A nom alous dim. of ghost vs. FP G host propagator.

O ur results do not contradict with the existence of the gluon condensate hA 2 i ofm ass dimension two. The reason is as follows. The superconvergence relation has been derived using only the leading term of the ultraviolet asymptotic form of the propagator, while the relevant condensate appears as the sub-leading term of the ultraviolet asymptotic propagator, as the OPE calculation of the gluon propagator shows. Therefore, the existence of such a condensate of mass dimension two does not in uence the existence or non-existence of the superconvergence relation. [In a very special situation (if any) in which all the perturbative term s vanish, such a condensate will be directly related to the infrared behavior of the propagator through the analyticity.] This is also the case for the gluon {ghost condensate [65{67] in the M axim al A belian gauge.

It should be remarked that our results hold for arbitrary choice of gauge xing.

Therefore, the IR enhancement of the ghost propagator should hold for both the Landau and non-Landau gauges in the Lorentz type gauge xing. This is also the case for arbitrary gauge xing parameters in the Curci-Ferrari gauge. Moreover, the IR enhancement of the ghost propagator in the Curci-Ferrari gauge is compatible with the existence (or niteness) of the on-shell BRST -invariant gluon-ghost condensate $h_2^1A = A + iC = C$ i which is written in terms of the gluon and ghost propagators and their form factors as (see (3.32) for the denition)

$$\frac{1}{2}A = A + iC = (N^{2} - 1)^{2} \frac{d^{4}Q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{2}D = (Q^{2}) + F_{F}(Q^{2})$$

$$= (N^{2} - 1)^{2} \frac{dQ^{2}}{16^{2}} \frac{3}{2}F(Q^{2}) + F_{L}(Q^{2}) + G(Q^{2})^{\#}$$
(8.3)

For the form factors having the IR power-law behaviors

$$F(Q^{2}) = A (\hat{Q})^{2}; F_{L}(Q^{2}) = A^{0} (\hat{Q})^{2}; G(Q^{2}) = B (\hat{Q}); (8.4)$$

the integration of the last term is convergent for arbitrary (after renorm alization of the UV divergence), if < 1 (excluding the Gribov lim it = 1 again), since it behaves as $(^{2})^{1}$ for the lower bound . Therefore, the dom inant contribution to the gluon (ghost condensate comes from the UV asymptotic region, even if the ghost propagator is enhanced in the IR lim it.¹⁶ The sim ilar argument can be given also in the MA gauge.

It is also expected that there exists som e relationship between the vacuum condensate and the non-trivial topological object. However, the superconvergence relation utilizes only the leading term of the RG improved perturbation theory to characterize the ultraviolet asymptotic behavior which is continued analytically to the infrared region. The non-trivial topological object, e.g., instanton clearly appears in the nonperturbative treatment. Therefore, it is beyond the present fram ework of this article to extract or separate the e ect of the topological object. This issue is to be tackled again in the near future.

In the MA gauge, we have shown that the o -diagonal gluon and the o -diagonal ghost have superconvergence and hence all the o -diagonal propagators converge to Q^2 -independent constants in the IR lim it. This im plies that the o -diagonal modes become massive in the MA gauge as is expected from the A belian dom inance [30,70, 71]. This results do not contradict with the existence of the vacuum condensates of m ass dimension 2 in the MA gauge [65,67]. We have found that the diagonal gluon propagator m ay be of the massive type, since the superconvergence holds. This is a novel feature discovered for the rst time in our analytical study, supporting the numerical study [73]. This im plies that the diagonal gluon becomes massive without breaking the residual local U (1) invariance. We wish to emphasize the importance of the diagonal gluos t propagator in pure Y ang [M ills theory with the MA gauge xing. We conjecture that only the diagonal ghost propagator can be short-range to mediate the long-range con ning force in the manifestly covariant form ulation, since only the diagonal ghost does not obey superconvergence. These results can be compared with

¹⁶For the condensate $h_2^1 A$ A i in the usual Lorentz gauge, the lattice simulation exhibits the dominance of the UV contribution for the integral of F (Q²) in the Landau gauge = 0, see [104].

num erical results [72,73] where the ghost propagators are not measured. There is another possibility in the MA gauge, as will be discussed in a forthcom ing article.

In the MA gauge, therefore, the SD equation approach in addition to numerical simulations is indispensable to obtain the precise behavior of propagators, especially, the diagonal gluon and diagonal ghost propagators as in the Lorentz {Landau gauge. In this study, it is very important to reproduce correctly the one-loop perturbation result as a self-consistent solution in the asymptotic UV region. Consequently, the analyticity allows the UV asymptotic solution to be analytically continued into the correct IR asymptotic solution. This requirement will help to constrain the approximation (for the vertices) to be adopted for writing down the solvable SD equation in MA gauge. At the same time, the truncation of the SD equation must be performed in consistent with the multiplicative renormalizability. These points could be good criteria of judging the e ciency of the adopted approximations. The SD equations of gluodynamics in MA gauge will be discussed in a separate article, see [45] for a prelim inary result.

From our view point, recent studies of the coupled SD equations for gluon and ghost propagators in the Landau gauge perform ed by B loch [16,17,23] are very interesting in the following respects. He has proposed the truncation scheme respecting the multiplicative renormalizability and reproducing the leading order resum ed perturbative results (beyond one-loop result) in the UV region [16]. Then he has actually solved the coupled SD equations for gluon and ghost propagators by including for the

rst time all the diagram s (including two-loop diagram s in the gluon equation) and has found the numerical solution over the whole range of momenta characterized by the power behavior in the IR region [23]. Among other things, his solution includes the case of = 0.5, although all the approximate solutions obtained so far has slightly larger than 0.5. His solution seems to be an approximate solution which is consistent with the general principles of gauge eld theories.

Here we comment on whether or not our results are a ected by the G ribov problem. G ribov [24] studied how the requirement of preserving positivity of the ghost propagator in uence the original Yang{M ills theory. This requirement forces one to restrict the region of functional integration over the gauge eld to a subspace connected to the origin (called the rst G ribov region) inside the G ribov horizon. O ur results still hold even in the presence of G ribov problem, as far as the analyticity is kept even after restricting the functional integration. O therwise, it becomes im possible to perform the analytic continuation of the Euclidean propagator back to the M inkowski region.

In order to know the analytic structure of the propagators more explicitly, it is desirable to solve the SD equations on the complex p^2 plane. Such investigations have been performed only for the quark SD equation [100,101]. Solving the coupled SD equations for gluon and ghost on complex p^2 plane will be a challenge in future. See [102] for num erical simulations. In a subsequent article we will discuss the e ect of including the fermion avors and clarify the interplay between color con nem ent/decon nem ent and chiral symmetry breaking/restoration.

A cknow ledgm ents

The author would like to thank D aniel Zwanziger for correspondences, M axim Chemodub for discussions and correspondences, and Jacques C R.B loch for private com – munications and sending the draft of the article [23] prior to publication. He also would like to thank Izum iO jim a for helpful conversations and encouragements, R einhardt A lkofer, Taichiro K ugo, H ideo N aka jim a, Sadataka Furui, H isao Suzuki, and A nthony G .W illiam s for helpful conversations on the related subjects. He is grateful to Takahito Im ai for drawing some of gures. This work is supported by Sum itom o Foundations, G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch on P riority A reas (B)13135203 from The M inistry of E ducation, C ulture, Sports, Science and Technology (M EX T), and G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch (C)14540243 from Japan Society for the P rom otion of Science (JSPS).

A Calculation of UV asymptotic behavior of the propagators

A .1 Generalized Lorentz gauge (Curci{Ferrari gauge)

The running of the gauge coupling constant is governed by the di erential equation:

$$(g_{R}^{2}) := \frac{\partial g_{R}^{2}}{\partial t} = \frac{11}{3} C_{2} (G) \frac{2g_{R}^{4}}{(4)^{2}};$$
 (A.1)

and the gauge xing parameter are governed by the di erential equations:

$$(g_{R}^{2}) \coloneqq \frac{\theta_{R}}{\theta} = 2_{R} (R + 1)_{R} (\frac{1}{2} C_{2} (G) \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}};$$
 (A.2)

$$(g_{R}^{2}) := \frac{\theta_{R}}{\theta} = 2_{R} \frac{13}{6} \frac{R}{2} + R_{R} (1_{R}) C_{2} (G) \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}}:$$
 (A.3)

Eq. (A.1) is a closed equation and it is solved exactly,

$$g_{R}^{2}() = \frac{g_{R}^{2}()}{1 + \frac{22}{3} \frac{C_{2}(G)}{(4)^{2}} g_{R}^{2}()} = \frac{1}{\frac{22}{3} \frac{C_{2}(G)}{(4)^{2}} \ln \frac{1}{Q_{CD}}};$$
(A.4)

where we have used the boundary condition $g_R(_0) = 1$ at $_0 = _{QCD}$. The remaining two equations (A 2) and (A 3) are coupled equation. Using the solution (A 4) and rewriting the derivative $\frac{1}{q_2^2} = \frac{0}{0}$ in (A 2), (A 3) as

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \quad \frac{@}{@} = \frac{22}{3} \frac{C_2 (G)}{(4)^2} \ln \frac{@}{QCD} \quad \frac{@}{@} = \frac{22}{3} \frac{C_2 (G)}{(4)^2} \frac{@}{@} \ln \ln \frac{@}{QCD}; \quad (A.5)$$

we can eliminate g^2 dependence in (A 2) and (A 3) as

$$\frac{\varrho_{R}}{\varrho \ln \ln \frac{1}{\varphi_{CD}}} = \frac{3}{11} R_{R} (R_{1} 1) R_{1} \frac{1}{2} ; \qquad (A.6)$$

$$\frac{\varrho_{\rm R}}{\varrho_{\rm ln}\ln\frac{1}{\varphi_{\rm CD}}} = \frac{3}{11} {}_{\rm R} {} \frac{13}{6} {} \frac{{}_{\rm R}}{2} + {}_{\rm R} {}_{\rm R} {} (1 {}_{\rm R})^{*} : \qquad (A.7)$$

They are still coupled equation and we can not solve them exactly. Therefore, we restrict our consideration to the subspace in which the ow is always con ned. It is the xed subspace consisting of the xed points of the RG. The xed point (to one-loop order) is obtained by solving the algebraic equation simultaneously:

$$_{R R}(_{R} 1)_{R} \frac{1}{2} = 0; \quad _{R} \frac{13}{6} \frac{_{R}}{2} + _{R R}(1_{R}) = 0:$$
 (A.8)



Figure 8: RG ow in the (;) plane.



Figure 9: RG ow in the $(; ^{0})$ plane.

We nd one xed line consisting of xed points and three isolated xed points in the ($_R$; $_R$) plane, or equivalently four isolated xed points in the ($_R$; $_R^0$) plane:

- A. The line of xed points: $_{R} = 0; _{R} 2 R$ corresponds to an isolated xed point $(_{R}; _{R}^{0}) = (0; 0)$.
- B. $(_{R};_{R}) = (\frac{1}{2};\frac{26}{3})$ corresponds to $(_{R};_{R}) = (\frac{26}{3};0)$.
- C. $(;) = (0; \frac{13}{3})$ corresponds to $(_{R}; _{R}^{0}) = (0; \frac{13}{3})$.
- D. $(_{R};_{R}) = (1;\frac{13}{3})$ corresponds to $(_{R};_{R}^{0}) = (\frac{26}{3};\frac{13}{3})$

If the two parameters $_{R}$; $_{R}$ are set equal to one of the xed points, the theory remains forever on the xed subspace. If the system starts from other points and the scale is decreased, it evolves into the infrared (IR) region according to a couple of di erential equations (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3).

Among the RG ows, the ve RG ows (I, II, II, V, V) connecting the xed points A; B; C; D form the watershed (or backbone) in the ow diagram.

I.
$$_{\rm R} = \frac{1}{2};$$
 $^{0}_{\rm R} = 0:$ (A.9a)

I.
$$_{R} = 0;$$
 $_{R} = 0:$ (A.9b)

$$\mathbb{II.}_{R} = 1; \qquad {}_{R}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} : \qquad (A.9c)$$

I.
$$_{\rm R} = \frac{13}{3} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{_{\rm R}}; \quad {}_{\rm R}^{0} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{_{\rm R}} + \frac{13}{_{\rm 3}}:$$
 (A.9d)

V.
$$_{R} = \frac{13}{3} \frac{1}{_{R}};$$
 $_{R} = \frac{26}{3};$ (A.9e)



Figure 10: The ow of the gauge parameter in the generalized Lorentz gauge. The arrow is directed to the \mathbb{R} region, i.e., decreasing the renormalization scale .

In what follows, we use to denote the initial value, = R the running parameter and the xed point of RG.First, we consider the xed subspace Π , i.e., R = 0 in which $= \frac{13}{3}$ and the R is governed by

$$\frac{\varrho_{\rm R}}{\varrho_{\rm ln}\ln\frac{1}{q_{\rm QCD}}} = \frac{3}{22} \,_{\rm R} \,[\,_{\rm R}]: \tag{A.10}$$

This equation is easily integrated

$$\frac{d_{R}}{d_{R}} = \frac{3}{22} \int_{0}^{Z} d\ln \ln \frac{1}{QCD}; \quad (A.11)$$

or

^Z d_R
$$\frac{1}{R}$$
 $\frac{1}{R}$ = $\frac{13}{22}$ ^Z d ln ln $\frac{1}{QCD}$; (A.12)

to yield

$$= \frac{8}{1} - \frac{1}{\ln (1 - Q_{CD})} + \frac{13}{22} + \frac{9}{22} + \frac{8}{22} + \frac{8}{22} + \frac{13}{22} + \frac{13}{22$$

Note that = 0 for = 0 and hence = 0 is an IR xed point, while the point = is an UV xed point. = for = .For other initial values of , we nd that # for > and " for > > 0, while ! 1 for < 0.

The anom alous dimension of the gluon eld is -independent and is given by

$$_{A}(g_{R}^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} (_{R}) C_{2}(G) \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}};$$
 (A.14)

and the ghost eld has the (also -independent) anom alous dimension

$$_{C}(g_{R}^{2}) = \frac{3}{4} C_{2}(G) \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}}:$$
 (A.15)

Substituting (A .13) for $_{\rm R}$ into (A .14) and (A .15), we can regard the anom alous dimensions as the functions of the gauge coupling g² alone.

For the in the neighborhood of , (A.13) reads

= +
$$\frac{g^2}{g^2}^{!\frac{13}{22}}$$
 + O (()²); \coloneqq : (A.16)

Therefore, the exponential factor for the gluon reads

$$\exp \left(2 \sum_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} - \frac{A(g_{R}^{2})}{(g_{R}^{2})}\right) = \exp \left(2 \sum_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} - \frac{1}{11} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}$$

where we have used 1 + - = 1 + - - = -. For the ghost, the factor reads

$$\exp \left(2 \int_{q^{2}}^{z} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{c(g_{R}^{2})}{(g_{R}^{2})}\right) = \exp \left(2 \int_{q^{2}}^{z} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1}} \frac{1}{3} g_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} 3\right)$$

$$= \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2}} \int_{q^{2}}^{\frac{1}{3} \frac{3}{4}} \exp \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{22}{4} \frac{1}{13} \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{9}{4} \frac{9}{q^{2}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2}} \int_{q^{2}}^{\frac{1}{3} \frac{3}{4}} \exp \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{22}{4} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{22}} \frac{3}{1} \frac{9}{1} + 0 \left(\frac{2}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2}} \int_{q^{2}}^{\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{4} \frac$$

The st term diverges as g ! 0. In this case the superconvergence relation does not exist. In this case, the asymptotic form of the ghost form factor is given by

$$G(k^2) = 1 + \frac{k^2}{2} \ln \frac{k^2}{2}! 1 \text{ as } k^2! 1:$$
 (A.19)

The ghost spectral function has the asymptotic form

$$_{FP}(k^2) = (k^2)^1 1 + \frac{!}{2} \ln \frac{k^2}{2!} \frac{1}{11!} (A.20)$$

These results agree with those of [56].

For su ciently small in the neighborhood of = 0, (A .13) reads

$$= \frac{q^2}{q^2} \frac{!}{!} \frac{\frac{13}{22}}{!} : \qquad (A 21)$$

~

Therefore, the exponential factor for the gluon reads

$$\exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{A(g_{R}^{2})}{(g_{R}^{2})}\right) = \exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{S} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{\frac{11}{3}} \frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{1}{2} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{\frac{11}{3}} \frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{1}{2} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{\frac{11}{3}} \frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{1}{g$$

This result is the same as the above result (A .17). For the ghost, how ever, the factor

reads

$$\exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} - \frac{c}{(g_{R}^{2})}\right) = \exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{g^{2}} dg_{R}^{2} - \frac{1}{\frac{11}{3}} g_{R}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} + 3\right) = \exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{g^{2}} \frac{1}{(g_{R}^{2})}\right) = \exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{$$

This factor diverges for non-zero as g ! 0 no matter how small is chosen. In this case the superconvergence relation does not exist. Only for an initial value = 0, this factor goes to zero as g ! 0 and the superconvergence relation holds. Therefore, there is a discontinuity at = 0 for the ghost factor. For the superconvergence to be realized for the ghost propagator, we need a ne tuning of . In this case, the asymptotic form of the ghost form factor is given by

G
$$(k^2) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{k^2}{2}! 1$$
 as $k^2! 1$: (A 24)

The ghost spectral function has the asymptotic form

$$_{FP}(k^2) = (k^2)^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{k^2}{2}^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{17}{44} - 1}$$
 (A.25)

This case has not been worked out in [56].

Next, in the xed subspace I, i.e., = 1=2, the nalresult is obtained by replacing $\frac{13}{22}$ by $\frac{13}{44}$ with the identication = $\frac{26}{3}$.

$$= \frac{\binom{8}{4}}{\binom{1}{2}} - \frac{\binom{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{\binom{8}{4}}{\binom{1}{4}} = \frac{\binom{1}{2}}{\binom{1}{4}} + \frac{\binom{1}{4}}{\binom{1}{4}} = \frac{\binom{1}{4}}{\binom{1}{4}} + \binom{1}{4} + \binom{1}{4$$

The results for the in the neighborhood of are obtained by replacing the exponent $\frac{1}{11}$ by $\frac{17}{44}$. The results for su ciently small in the neighborhood of = 0 are obtained by replacing the exponent $\frac{17}{44}$ by $\frac{1}{11}$. Finally, in the xed subspace III, i.e., = 1, the same result as I is obtained, since the theory has the relation symmetry \$ 1. They are new results for the generalized Landau gauge.

Thus the ghost can escape from the superconvergence except for the Landau gauge = 0. This point is very important for our result due to general principle to m atch the results of approxim ate solutions of the Schwinger{D yson equation for gluodynam ics. The Landau gauge lim it # 0 m ay be rather subtle.

A.2 Modied MA gauge

We discuss only the case G = SU(2). It was shown $[\beta 6\{38,40]$ that the RG equation for the gauge xing parameter of the diagonal part is given by $[\beta 6,37]$

$$\frac{0}{0}_{R} = \frac{44}{3}_{R} \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}}; \qquad (A.27)$$

and the gauge xing parameter of the o -diagonal part obeys the RG equation [36,37,40]

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta}_{R} = 2 \frac{2}{R} + \frac{8}{3} R = 6 \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}}:$$
 (A.28)

We apply (A.5) to rewrite the dimensial equation (A.27) into the form which does not explicitly depend on g^2 :

$$\frac{22}{3} 2 \frac{0}{0 \ln \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}_{R} = \frac{44}{3}_{R};$$
 (A.29)

which is easily solved:

$$\frac{d}{d} = \int_{0}^{Z} d\ln \ln \frac{1}{QCD}; \qquad (A.30)$$

and

$$= \frac{\ln(1 = q_{CD})}{\ln(1 = q_{CD})} = \frac{q^2}{q^2} = \frac{q^2}{q^2}; \quad (A 31)$$

As ! 1 org ! 0, ! +1 for > 0 and ! 1 for < 0, while 0 for = 0.





In the sim ilar way, (A 28) is cast into

$$\frac{22}{3} 2 \frac{0}{(2 \ln \ln \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3})^{-2}} = 2 \frac{2}{R} + \frac{8}{3} = 6;$$
 (A.32)

or

^Z
$$\frac{d_{R}}{2_{R}^{2} + \frac{8}{3}_{R}} = \frac{3}{44}^{Z}_{0} d\ln \ln \frac{1}{2_{QCD}}$$
: (A.33)

First, we consider su ciently small , neglecting the order $^{-2}$ term ,

^Z
$$\frac{d_{R}}{\frac{8}{3}R} = \frac{3}{44} \int_{0}^{Z} d\ln \ln \frac{1}{QCD};$$
 (A.34)

which yields

$$() = \frac{9}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \left[\frac{\ln (g_{QCD})}{\ln (g_{QCD})} \right]^{\frac{\#^2}{11}} = \frac{9}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \left[\frac{g^2}{g^2} \right]^{\frac{2}{11}} + \frac{1}{1} (! 1):$$
(A.35)

Next, we take into account the O (2) term too. Applying the formula

$$\frac{dx}{ax^{2} + bx + c} = \frac{2}{p \cdot \frac{2}{4ac} \cdot \frac{b}{b}} \arctan \frac{2ax + b}{p \cdot \frac{2ax + b}{4ac} \cdot \frac{b}{b}} \quad (b^{2} < 4ac); \quad (A.36)$$

to (A.33), we obtain

$$\arctan \frac{3+2}{\frac{p}{23}} = \arctan \frac{3+2}{\frac{p}{23}} + \frac{\frac{p}{23}}{\frac{22}{22}} \ln \left(\frac{\ln (1 - \frac{p}{2})}{\ln (1 - \frac{p}{2})} \right)^{\#} : \quad (A.37)$$

Thus the running gauge param eter obeys

$$() = \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{p_{\overline{23}}}{3} \tan \left(\arctan \frac{3+2}{p_{\overline{23}}} + \frac{p_{\overline{23}}}{22} \ln \frac{\ln (=_{QCD})}{\ln (_{0} = _{QCD})} \right)$$
(A.38)

$$= \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{p}{\frac{23}{3}} \tan \left(\arctan \frac{3}{\frac{p}{23}} + 2}{23} + \frac{p}{\frac{23}{22}} \ln \frac{g^2}{g^2} \right)$$
 (A.39)

This shows that ! 1 as ! 1 irrespective of the value of . Note that $\arctan x$ has multivalued, $\operatorname{unless} = 2 < \arctan x < = 2$.

The anom alous dimension of the diagonal gluon is given by [37]

$$_{a}(g_{R}^{2}) = \frac{22}{3} \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}};$$
 (A.40)

while the o -diagonal gluons have the anom alous dimension [37,40]

$$_{A}(g_{R}^{2}) = \frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{17}{6} \frac{R}{2} R :$$
 (A.41)

The anom alous dimensions of the diagonal ghost and diagonal antighost is calculated as [37]

$$_{c}(g_{R}^{2}) = _{c}(g_{R}^{2}) = (3 + _{R})\frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}};$$
 (A.42)

while the o -diagonal ghosts read [37]

$$_{C}(g_{R}^{2}) = -\frac{g_{R}^{2}}{(4)^{2}}(3) \qquad _{R}):$$
 (A.43)

.

For the derivation of anom alous dimensions, see [36, 37]. For the diagonal gluon, the correction factor reads

$$\exp \left(2 \sum_{g^2}^{Z} g^2 - \frac{a}{(g_R^2)} \right)^{(Z-g^2)} = \exp \left(2 \sum_{g^2}^{Q^2} g^2 - \frac{1}{g_R^2} \right)^{(Z-g^2)} = \frac{g^2}{g^2} = \frac{\ln (p - q_{CD})^{\# 1}}{\ln (q - q_{CD})^{\# 1}} ! 0:$$
(A.44)

The superconvergence relation holds for the diagonal gluon.

For the o -diagonal gluon,

$$\exp \left(2 \frac{z}{g^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{A}{g_{R}^{2}}\right)^{p} = \exp \left(2 \frac{z}{g^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{11} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{17}{6} \frac{z}{2} R\right)^{p}$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{3}{11} \frac{17}{6} \frac{1}{3} \frac{z}{g^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{3}{11} \frac{p}{23} \frac{z}{g^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \tan \frac{p}{22} \frac{1}{22} \ln \frac{g^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} + \arctan \frac{3 + 2}{23}\right)^{p}$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{3}{11} \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{z}{g_{R}^{2} - g^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{z}{g_{R}^{2} - g^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}}\right)^{p}$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{15}{22} \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} \frac{z}{g_{R}^{2} - g^{2}} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2} - g$$

where we have used

^Z dx tan (cx) =
$$\frac{1}{c}$$
 ln jcos (cx) j: (A.46)

The RHS oscillates, but goes to zero for arbitrary for 0, while it goes to in nity for > 0. The superconvergence relation holds for 0.

For the o -diagonal ghost,

$$\exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{c(g_{R}^{2})}{(g_{R}^{2})}\right) = \exp \left(2 \int_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} dg_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{\frac{11}{3}} g_{R}^{2} (3 \int_{R})\right)$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{9}{11} \int_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{g_{R}^{2}} - \frac{3}{11} g^{2} \int_{g^{2}}^{Z} g^{2} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{(g_{R}^{2})^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} \int_{11}^{\frac{9}{11}} g^{2} \int_{11}^{\frac{9}{2}} g^{2} \frac{1}{1} g^{2} \int_{11}^{\frac{9}{2}} g^{2} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{(g_{R}^{2})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{g^{2}}{g^{2}} \int_{11}^{\frac{9}{11}} g^{2} \int_{11}^{\frac{9}{2}} g^{2} \frac{1}{1} g^{2} \int_{11}^{\frac{9}{2}} g^{2} \frac{dg_{R}^{2}}{(g_{R}^{2})^{2}}$$
(A.47)

As ! 1 (g ! 0), the RHS goes to zero for arbitrary for 0, while it goes to in nity for > 0. The superconvergence relation holds for 0.

For the diagonal ghost, the anom alous dimension of the diagonal ghost has the same magnitude as that of the diagonal antighost with opposite sign, i.e., $_{c}(g_{R}^{2}) = (x^{2})$ and has as the assumption a sum cal

 $_{
m c}$ (g $_{
m R}^2$) and hence the corrections cancel,

$$\exp \left(\frac{g^2}{g^2} - \frac{g^2}{g^2} - \frac{g^2}{g^2} - \frac{g^2}{g^2} + \frac{g^2}{g^2} \right) = 1:$$
 (A.48)

There is no correction to the tree form for the diagonal ghost {antighost propagator. Therefore, the superconvergence does not occur for diagonal ghost and antighost.

References

- C.N. Yang and R.L. Mills, Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge invariance, Phys. Rev. 96, 191 (1954).
- [2] G. 't Hooff, in Conference on Lagrangian eld theory, Marseille, 1972 (unpublished).
 D.J.G ross and F.W ilczek, Ultraviolet behavior of non-abelian gauge theories, Phys.Rev.Lett. 30, 1343{1345 (1973).
 H.D.Politzer, Reliable perturbative results for strong interactions?, Phys.Rev. Lett. 30, 1346{1349 (1973).
- [3] C D. Roberts and A G. W illiams, Dyson{Schwinger equations and their application to hadronic physics, [hep-ph/9403224], Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 33, 477{575 (1994).
- [4] C D. Roberts and SM. Schmidt, D yson {Schwinger equations: density, tem perature and continuum strong QCD, nucl-th/0005064.
- [5] R.A kofer and L.von Smekal, The infrared behavior of QCD G reen's functions: con nem ent dynam ical symmetry breaking, and hadrons as relativistic bounds states, [hep-ph/0007355], Phys. Rept. 353, 281 (465 (2001).
- [6] L. Baulieu and D. Zwanziger, Renormalizable non-covariant gauges and Coulomb gauge limit, [hep-th/9807024], Nucl. Phys. B 548, 527{562 (1999).
- [7] LD.Faddeev and VN.Popov, Feynm an diagrams for the Yang{Mills eld, Phys.Lett. 25 B, 29{30 (1967).
- [8] K.-I.Kondo, H.M ino and H.Nakatani, Self-Consistent Solution of the Simultaneous Schwinger (D yson Equation in Strong Coupling QED, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7, 1509 (1518 (1992).
- [9] J.C. R.B. boch and M. R. Pennington, Num erical cancellation of photon quadratic divergence in the study of the Schwinger{D yson equations in strong coupling QED, [hep-ph/9501411], M od. Phys. Lett. A 10, 1225{1233 (1995). J.C. R.B. boch, Num erical investigation of ferm ion m ass generation in QED, [hepph/0208074].
- [10] L.von Smekal, A.Hauck and R.A kofer, Infrared Behavior of G luon and G host Propagators in Landau G auge QCD, [hep-ph/9705242], Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3591{3594 (1997).

L. von Smekal, A. Hauck and R. Alkofer, A solution to coupled Dyson{ Schwinger equations for gluons and ghosts in Landau gauge, [hep-ph/9707327], Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 267,1 (1998).Erratum -ibid.269:182,1998.

- [11] D. Atkinson and J.C.R. Bloch, Running coupling in non-perturbative QCD: bare vertices and y-max approximation, [hep-ph/9712459], Phys. Rev. D 58, 094036 (1998).
- [12] D.Atkinson and JCR.Bloch, QCD in the infrared with exact angular integrations, [hep-ph/9802239], Mod.Phys.Lett.A13, 1055{1062 (1998).
- [13] R.A. kofer, S.Ahlig and L.von Smekal, The infrared behavior of gluon, ghost and quark propagators in Landau gauge QCD, [hep-ph/9901322], Fizika B8, 277-284 (1999).
- [14] P.W atson and R.A kofer, Verifying the Kugo{O jm a con nem ent criterion in Landau gauge Yang{M ills theory, [hep-ph/0102332], Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5239{ 5242 (2001).
- [15] S.Ahlig, Analytic properties of the quark propagator: QED 3, QCD and Kaon photoproduction, PhD. thesis, Tubingen University, 2001.
- [16] J.C. R. Bloch, Multiplicative renormalizability of gluon and ghost propagators in QCD, [hep-ph/0106031], Phys. Rev. D 64, 116011 (2001).
- [17] J.C.R. Bloch, Multiplicative renormalizability and quark propagator, [hep-ph/0202073], Phys. Rev. D 66, 034032 (2002).
- [18] C.S.Fischer, R.A. kofer and H.Reinhardt, Elusiveness of infrared critical exponents in Landau gauge Yang {M ills theories, [hep-ph/0202195], Phys.Rev.D 65, 094008 (2002).
 C.S.Fischer and R.A. kofer, Infrared exponents and running coupling of SU (N) Yang {M ills theories, [hep-ph/0202202], Phys.Lett.B 536, 177 {184 (2002).
 R.A. kofer, C.S.Fischer and L. von Sm ekal, The infrared behavior of the running coupling in Landau gauge QCD, [hep-ph/0205125].
- [19] C.Lerche and L.von Smekal, Infrared exponent for gluon and ghost propagation in Landau gauge QCD, [hep-ph/0202194], Phys. Rev. D 65, 125006 (2002).
- [20] K.-I. Kondo, Infrared and ultraviolet asymptotic solutions to gluon and ghost propagators in Y ang {M ills theory, [hep-th/0209236], Phys.Lett.B 551, 324 { 336 (2003).
- [21] K.-I. Kondo, Consistent power corrections to ultraviolet asymptotic solutions in Yang-M ills theory, [hep-th/0209237], Phys. Lett. B 560, 44-58 (2003).
- [22] C.S.Fischer and R.Alkofer, Non-perturbative propagators, running coupling and dynamical quark mass of Landau gauge QCD, hep-ph/0301094.
- [23] J.C.R. Bloch, Two-bop Improved Truncation of the Ghost{Gluon Dyson{ Schwinger Equations: Multiplicatively Renormalizable Propagators and Nonperturbative Running Coupling, hep-ph/0303125, Few Body Syst., to be published.
- [24] V N. Gribov, Quantization of non-Abelian gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B139, 1{23 (1978).
- [25] D. Zwanziger, Vanishing of zero-m om entum lattice gluon propagator and color con nem ent, Nucl. Phys. B 364, 127 (161 (1991).

- [26] G.Curci and R.Ferrari, On a class of lagrangian m odels form assive and m assless Yang{M ills elds, N uovo C in ento 32A, 151{168 (1976).
 G.Curci and R.Ferrari, The unitarity problem and the zero-m ass limit for a m odel of m assive Yang{M ills theory, N uovo C in ento 35A, 1{14 (1976), Erratum : ibid. A 47, 555 (1978).
- [27] L.Baulieu and J.Thierry-Mieg, The principle of BRS symmetry: An alternative approach to Yang {Mills theories, Nucl. Phys. B 197, 477 {508 (1982).
- [28] L.Baulieu, Perturbative gauge theories, Phys. Reports 129, 1{74 (1985).
- [29] R.Delbourgo and P.D.Jarvis, Extended BRS invariance and OSP (4/2) supersymmetry, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.15, 611{625 (1982).
 R.Delbourgo, S.Twisk and G.Thompson, Massive Yang{Mills theory: renormalizability versus unitarity, Intern.J.Mod.Phys.A3, 435 (1988).
 T.Hurth, Higgs-free massive nonAbelian gauge theories, [hep-th/9511176], Helv.Phys.Acta 70, 406 (1997).
- [30] G. 't Hooft, Topology of the gauge condition and new con nement phases in non-Abelian gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 190 [FS3], 455(478 (1981).
- [31] A.Kronfeld, M.Laursen, G.Schierholz and U.-J.W iese, Monopole condensation and color con nement, Phys.Lett.B 198, 516{520 (1987).
- [32] K.-I. Kondo, Yang-M ills theory as a deformation of topological eld theory, dimensional reduction and quark con nement, [hep-th/9801024], Phys. Rev. D 58, 105019 (1998).
- [33] K.-I. Kondo, Abelian magnetic monopole dominance in quark con nement, [hep-th/9805153], Phys. Rev. D 58, 105016 (1998).
- [34] K.-I.Kondo, Quark con nem ent and decon nem ent in QCD from the view point of Abelian-projected e ective gauge theory, [hep-th/9810167], Phys. Lett. B 455, 251 (258 (1999).
- [35] K.-I.Kondo, A formulation of the Yang{M ills theory as deformation of a topological eld theory based on the background eld method and quark con nem ent problem, [hep-th/9904045], Intern.J.M od.Phys.A 16, 1303{1346 (2001).
- [36] K.-I. Kondo and T. Shinohara, Renorm alizable Abelian-projected e ective gauge theory derived from quantum chromodynamics, [hep-th/0005125], Prog. Theor. Phys. 105, 649{665 (2001).
 T. Shinohara, Renorm alizable Abelian-projected e ective gauge theory derived from quantum chromodynamics. II, [hep-th/0105262], Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18, 1398{1412 (2003).
- [37] T. Shinohara, T. Im ai and K.-I. Kondo, The most general and renorm alizable maxim alAbelian gauge, CHIBA-EP-128, hep-th/0105268, Intern.J.M od. Phys.A (2003), to appear.
- [38] U.Ellwanger and N.W schebor, Massive Yang {Mills theory in Abelian gauges, [hep-th/0205057], Int JM od Phys. A 18, 1595-1612 (2003).
- [39] K.-I.Kondo, Dual superconductivity in QCD and the monopole condensation, a talk presented at Spring meeting of Physical Society of Japan, Chuo Univ., 27{30 M arch 2001, unpublished.
 H. Sawayanagi, Additional symmetries in gauge theories with a quartic ghost interaction, Prog. Theor. Phys. 106, 971{977 (2001).

- [40] M. Schaden, M ass generation in continuum SU (2) gauge theory in covariant A belian gauges, hep-th/9909011.
 SU (2) gauge theory in covariant (M axim al) A belian gauges, hep-th/0003030.
 M ass generation, ghost condensation and broken symmetry: SU (2) in covariant A belian gauges, hep-th/0108034.
- [41] K.-I.K ondo and T.Shinohara, A belian dom inance in low energy G luodynam ics due to dynam ical m ass generation, [hep-th/0004158], Phys. Lett. B 491, 263 274 (2000).
- [42] D. Dudal and H. Verschelde, On ghost condensation, mass generation and Abelian dom inance in the Maxim al Abelian gauge, [hep-th/0209025], J. Phys. A 36, 8507 [8516 (2003).
- [43] H. Sawayanagi, G host condensation in nonlinear gauges: Euclidean space, M inkowski space, and high tem perature, Phys. Rev. D 67, 045002 (2003).
- [44] U.Elwanger and N.W schebor, Con nem ent and mass gap in Abelian gauge, [hep-th/0211014], EurPhys.J.C28, 415-424 (2003).
- [45] K.-I. Kondo, T. Murakami and T. Shinohara, Solving the Schwinger{Dyson equations for gluodynamics in the Maxim al Abelian gauge, hep-lat/0309164.
- [46] R.Oehme and W.Zimmermann, Quark and gluon propagators in quantum chromodynamics, Phys.Rev.D 21, 471 (484 (1980).
- [47] R.Oehme and W.Zimmermann, Gauge eld propagator and the number of ferm i elds, Phys. Rev. D 21, 1661 (1671 (1980).
- [48] R.Oehme, Gluon con nement, Phys. Lett. B 195, 60{65 (1987).
- [49] R.Oehme, Superconvergent gluon propagator and the quark {antiquark potential, Phys. Lett. B 232, 498 {502 (1989).
- [50] R.Oehme, On superconvergence relations in quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Lett. B 252, 641{646 (1990).
- [51] R.Oehme, Renormalization group, BRST cohomology, and the problem of con nement, Phys. Rev. D 42, 4209 (4221 (1990).
- [52] R.Oehme and W.Xu, Asymptotic limits and sum rules for gauge eld propagators, [hep-th/9406081], Phys. Lett. B 333, 172 (177 (1994).
- [53] R.Oehme, Analytic structure of am plitudes in gauge theories with con nem ent, [hep-th/9412040], Intern. J.M od. Phys. A 10, 1995 (2014 (1995).
- [54] R. Oehme, Dispersion relations in gauge theories with con nement, hepth/9511007.
- [55] R.Oehme and W.Xu, Asymptotic limits and sum rules for the quark propagator, [hep-th/9604021], Phys. Lett. B 384, 269{276 (1996).
- [56] W. J. Xu, A symptotic limits and sum rules for propagators in quantum chromodynamics, [hep-th/9607045].
- [57] R. Oehm e, Duality, superconvergence and the phases of gauge theories, [hep-th/9701012], Phys. Lett. B 399, 67{74 (1997).

- [58] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, QCD sum rules, a modern perspective, 1495{1576, in At the frontiers in particle physics | Handbook of QCD, Vol. 3, ed. by M. Shifman, (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 2001).
- [59] E. de Rafael, An introduction to sum rules in QCD, 1171{1218, in Probing the Standard M odel of Particle Interactions, Les Houches, Session LXVIII, 1997 (Elsevier Science, Am sterdam, 1999).
- [60] M A. Shifm an, Snapshots of hadrons or the story of how the vacuum medium determ ines the properties of the classical mesons which are produced, live an die in the QCD vacuum, Chapter II, 111{199, in ITEP lectures on particle physics and eld theory, Vol.1 (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1999).
- [61] P.Boucaud, G.Burgio, F.DiRenzo, J.P.Leroy, J.Micheli, C.Parrinello, O. Pene, C.Pittori, J.Rodriguez-Quintero, C.Roiesnel and K.Sharkey, Lattice calculation of $1=p^2$ corrections to s and of QCD in the MOM scheme, [hep-ph/0003020], JHEP 04, 006 (2000).

Ph.Boucaud, A.LeYaouanc, J.P.Leroy, J.M icheli, O.Pene and J.Rodriguez-Quintero, Consistent OPE description of gluon two-and three-point G reen functions?, [hep-ph/0008043], Phys.Lett.B 493, 315{324 (2000).

Ph.Boucaud, A.LeYaouanc, J.P.Leroy, J.M icheli, O.Pene and J.Rodriguez-Quintero, Testing the Landau gauge operator product expansion on the lattice with a hA^2i condensate, [hep-ph/0101302], Phys.Rev.D 63, 114003 (2001).

Ph.Boucaud, J.P. Leroy, A. Le Yaouanc, J.M icheli, O. Pene, F. De Soto, A. Donini, H.M outarde, J.Rodriguez-Quintero, Instantons and hA²i condensate, [hep-ph/0203119], PhysRev. D 66, 034504 (2002).

Ph.Boucaud, F.De Soto, A.Donini, J.P.Leroy, A.Le Yaouanc, J.M ichelli, H. Moutarde, O.Pene, J.Rodriguez-Quintero, An instanton picture O.P.E. hA²i condensate? [hep-ph/0209031].

- [62] F.V.Gubarev, L.Stodolsky and V.J.Zakharov, On the signi cance of the vector potential squared, [hep-th/0010057], Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2220 (2222 (2001). F.V.Gubarev and V.J.Zakharov, Emerging phenomenology of hA²_{min}i, [hepph/0010096], Phys. Lett. B 501, 28(36 (2001).
- [63] H. Verschelde, K. Knecht, K. Van Acoleyen and M. Vanderkelen, The nonperturbative groundstate of QCD and the local composite operator A², [hepth/0105018], Phys. Lett. B 516, 307 (313 (2001).
- [64] D.Dudal, H.Verschelde, R.E.Browne and J.A.Gracey, A determ ination of hA²i and the non-perturbative vacuum energy of Yang {M ills theory in the Landau gauge, [hep-th/0302128], Phys. Lett. B 562, 87-96 (2003).
- [65] K.-I.Kondo, Vacuum condensate of mass dimension 2 as the origin of mass gap and quark con nement, [hep-th/0105299], Phys. Lett. B 514, 335{345 (2001).
- [66] K.-I. Kondo, T. Murakami, T. Shinohara and T. Imai, Renormalizing a Becchi{Rouet{Stora{Tyutin-invariant composite operator of mass dimension 2 in Yang{M ills theory, [hep-th/0111256], Phys. Rev. D 65, 085034 (2002).
- [67] K.-I.Kondo, A physical meaning of the mixed gluon (ghost condensate of mass dimension two, [hep-th/0306195], Phys. Lett. B 572, 210-215 (2003).
- [68] D. Dudal, H. Verschelde, V E R. Lemes, M S. Sarandy, S.P. Sorella and M. Picariello, G luon {ghost condensate of m ass dimension 2 in the Curci{Ferrari gauge, hep-th/0302168.

[69] H.Sum an and K.Schilling, First lattice study of ghost propagators in SU (2) and SU (3) gauge theories, [hep-lat/9512003], Phys. Lett. B 373, 314{318 (1996).

A. Cucchieri, Gribov copies in the minimal Landau gauge: the in uence on gluon and ghost propagators, [hep-lat/9705005], Nucl. Phys. B 508, 353{370 (1997).

JP.Ma, A study of gluon propagator on coarse lattice, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 15, 229{244 (2000).

F D. Bonnet, P.O. Bowm an, D.B. Leinweber and A.G. W illiams, Infrared behavior of the gluon propagator on a larger volume lattice, [hep-lat/0002020], Phys. Rev. D 62, 051501 (2000).

F D.Bonnet, P.O.Bowman, D.B.Leinweber, A.G.W illiam s and J.M.Zanotti, In nite volume and continuum limits of the Landau-gauge gluon propagator, [hep-lat/0101013], Phys. Rev. D 64, 034501 (2001).

K.Langfeld, H.Reinhardt and J.Gattnar, Gluon propagators and quark connem ent, [hep-lat/0107141] Nucl. Phys. B 621, 131 {156 (2002).

J.R. C. Bloch, A. Cucchieri, K. Langfeld and T. Mendes, Running coupling constant and propagators in SU (2) Landau gauge, hep-lat/0209040.

H. Nakajin a and S. Furui, Numerical studies of con nement in the Landau gauge by the larger lattice simulation, hep-lat/0208074.

A.Cucchieri, T.M endes and D.Zwanziger, SU (2) running coupling constant and con nement in minimal Coulomb and Landau gauges, [hep-lat/0110188], Nucl.Phys.B Proc.Suppl. 106, 697 (699 (2002).

A. Cucchieri, T. M endes and A. R. Taurines, SU (2) Landau gluon propagator on a 140^3 lattice, hep-lat/0302022.

A.Cucchieri, Lattice simulations for the running coupling constant of QCD, hep-lat/0209076.

- [70] Z F.Ezawa and A.Iwazaki, Abelian dom inance and quark con nement in Yang { M ills theories, Phys. Rev. D 25, 2681 {2689 (1982).
- [71] T. Suzuki and I. Yotsuyanagi, Possible evidence of abelian dom inance in quark con nem ent, Phys. Rev. D 42, 4257 (4260 (1990).
 J.D. Stack, S.D. Neim an and R. Wensley, String tension from monopoles in SU (2) lattice gauge theory, [hep-lat/9404014], Phys. Rev. D 50, 3399 (3405 (1994).

[72] K.Amemiya and H.Suganuma, O diagonal gluon mass generation and infrared Abelian dom inance in the maxim ally Abelian gauge in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 60, 114509 (1999).

K.Amemiya and H.Suganuma, E ective mass generation of o -diagonal gluons and Abelian dominance in the maximally Abelian gauge in QCD, [heplat/9909096], Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 83{84, 419{421 (2000).

H. Suganum a, K. Am em iya, H. Ichie, N. Ishii, H. Matsufuru and T. T. Takahashi, Strong random ness of o -diagonal phases and o -diagonal gluon mass in the maxim ally Abelian gauge in QCD, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 106, 679{681 (2002).

[73] V.G. Bornyakov, M.N. Chemodub, F.V. Gubarev, S.M. Morozov and M.J. Polikarpov, Abelian dom inance and gluon propagators in the Maxim ally Abelian gauge of SU (2) lattice gauge theory, [hep-lat/0302002], Phys. Lett. B 559, 214-222 (2003).

V.G. Bomyakov, S.M. Morozov and M.J. Polikarpov, Gluon propagators in maximal abelian gauge of SU (2) lattice gauge theory, hep-lat/0209031.

[74] A C. Hirschfeld and H. Leschke, Quantization of Yang {M ills elds in superspace, Phys. Lett. B 101, 48 {50 (1981).

- [75] L.Bonora and M.Tonin, Super eld formulation of extended BRS symmetry, Phys.Lett.B 98, 48{50 (1981).
- [76] I. O jim a, Comments on massive and massless Yang{M ills lagrangians with a quartic coupling of Faddeev{Popov ghosts, Z.Phys.C 13, 173{177 (1982).
- [77] F.Delduc and S.P.Sorella, A note on som e nonlinear covariant gauges in Y ang { M ills theory, Phys. Lett. B 231, 408 (1989).
- [78] V. Periwal, Infrared regularization of non-Abelian gauge theories, [hep-th/9509084].
 Unitary theory of massive non-Abelian vector bosons, [hep-th/9509085].
- [79] J.DeBoer, K. Skenderis, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and A.Waldron, On the renormalizability and unitarity of the Curci{Ferrarim odel form assive vector bosons, [hep-th/9510167], Phys. Lett. B 367, 175{182 (1996).
- [80] A.Blasi and N.Maggiore, Infrared regularization of Yang {M ills theories, [hepth/9511068], M od. Phys. Lett. A 11, 1665 (1996).
- [81] JA. Gracey, Two-bop M S-bar renorm alization of the Curci{Ferrari m odel, [hep-th/0112014], Phys. Lett. B 525, 89{94 (2002). JA. Gracey, Three bop M S-bar renorm alization of the Curci{Ferrari m odel and the dimension two BRST invariant composite operator in QCD, [hepth/0211144], Phys. Lett. B 552, 101-110 (2003).
- [82] C.Becchi, A.Rouet and R.Stora, Renorm alization of the Abelian Higgsm odel, Commun.Math.Phys. 42, 127 (162 (1975); Renorm alization of gauge theories, Ann.Phys. 98, 287 (321 (1976).
 IV.Tyutin, Lebedev preprint, FIAN No.39 (in Russian) (1975).
- [83] G. Curci and R. Ferrari, Slavnov transform ations and supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B 63, 91{94 (1976).
 I.O jim a, Another BRS transform ation, Prog. Theor. Phys. 64, 625{638 (1980).
- [84] G. 't Hooff, D in ensional regularization and the renorm alization group, Nucl. Phys. B 61, 455{468 (1973).
 S. W einberg, New approach to the renorm alization group, Phys. Rev. D 8, 3497{3509 (1973).
- [85] H.Um ezawa and S.Kam efuchi, The vacuum in quantum electrodynamics, Prog. Theor. Phys. 6, 543{558 (1951).
 G.Kallen, Helv. Phys. Acta. 25, 417 (1952).
 H. Lehm ann, On the properties of propagation functions and renormalization constants of quantized elds (In German), Nuovo Cimento 11, 342{357 (1954).
- [86] J.C. Taylor, W and identities and charge renorm alization of the Yang{M ills eld, Nucl.Phys.B 33, 436{444 (1971).
 G. 't Hooft, R enorm alization of m assless Yang{M ills theory, Nucl.Phys.B 33, 173{199 (1971).
- [87] I.O jim a, O bservable and quark con nem ent in the covariant canonical form alism of Yang {M ills theory, Nucl. Phys. B 143, 340 {352 (1978).
- [88] T.Kugo and I.O jim a, M anifestly covariant canonical form ulation of Y ang {M ills theories, physical state subsidiary condition and physical S-m atrix unitarity, Phys. Lett. 73B, 459 (462 (1978).

- [89] T.Kugo and I.O jim a, Local covariant operator form alism of non-Abelian gauge theories and quark con nem ent problem, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 66, 1 (130) (1979).
- [90] T.Kugo, Quantum Theory of Gauge Fields, I and II (in Japanese), (Baifukan, Tokyo, 1989).
- [91] N.Nakanishi and I.O jim a, Covariant operator form alism of gauge theories and quantum gravity (World Scienti c Pub., Singapore, 1990).
- [92] T.Kugo, The universal renormalization factors $Z_1=Z_3$ and color connement condition in non-Abelian gauge theory, [hep-th/9511033].
- [93] K.Nishijima, Color con nement and the asymptotic condition. II, Prog. Theor. Phys. 75, 1221 (1230 (1986).
- [94] K.Nishijima, Color con nem ent and a superconvergence relation, Prog. Theor. Phys. 77, 1035 (1039 (1987).
- [95] K.Nishijim a, Con nem ent of quarks and gluons, Intern.J.M od.Phys.A 9, 3799{3819 (1994).
 K.Nishijim a, Con nem ent of quarks and gluons II, Intern.J.M od.Phys.A10, 3155{3167 (1995).
 K.Nishijim a and N.Takase, Spectral function sum rule for gauge elds, Intern.J.M od.Phys.A11, 2281{2292 (1996).
 K.Nishijim a, BRS invariance, asymptotic freedom and color con nem ent (A review), Czech.J.Phys.46,1 (1996).
- [96] M. Chaichian and K. Nishijima, Renormalization constant of the color gauge eld as a probe of con nement, [hep-th/0010079], Eur. Phys. J.C 22, 463{477 (2001).
- [97] F A. Chishtie, V. E lias, V A. M iransky and T G. Steele, Pade-sum m ation approach to QCD -function infrared properties, [hep-ph/9905291], Prog. Theor. Phys. 104, 603(631 (2000).
- [98] V.Novikov, M.Shifman, A.Vainstein and V.Zakharov, Exact Gell-M ann {Low function of supersymmetric Yang {M ills theories from instanton calculus, Nucl. Phys. B229, 381 {393 (1983). The beta function in supersymmetric gauge theories. Instantons versus traditional approach, Phys. Lett. B166, 329 {333 (1986).
- [99] I.Kogan and M. Shifman, Two phases of supersymmetric gluodynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2085 (2087 (1995).
- [100] S.J.Stainsby and R.T.Cahill, Is space-tim e Euclidean "inside" hadrons?, Phys. Lett. B 146, 467{470 (1990).
 S.J.Stainsby and R.T.Cahill, The analytic structure of quark propagators, Intern.J.M od.Phys.A 7, 7541{7559 (1992).
- [101] P. Maris and H.A. Holties, Determ ination of the singularities of the Dyson { Schwinger equation for the quark propagator, Intern. J.M od. Phys. A 7, 5369 { 5386 (1992).

P. Maris, Nonperturbative analysis of the ferm ion propagator,: complex singularities and dynam ical mass generation, Ph.D. thesis, G roningen University, 1993.

P.Maris, Analytic structure of the full ferm ion propagator in quenched and unquenched QED, Phys. Rev. D 50, 4189 (4193 (1994).

P.Maris, Con nement and complex singularities in three-dimensional QED, Phys.Rev.D 52, 6087{6097 (1995).

- [102] H.Aiso, J.Fromm, M.Fukuda, T.Iwamiya, A.Nakamura, T.Nakamura, M. Stingland M.Yoshida, Towards understanding of con nement of gluons, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 53, 570 (573 (1997).
- [103] A.C. Aguilar, A.A. Natale and P.S. Rodrigues da Silva, Relating a gluon mass scale to an infrared xed point in pure gauge QCD, [hep-ph/0212105], Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 152001 (2003).
- [104] Ph.Boucaud, F.De Soto, A.Le Yaouanc, J.P.Leroy, J.M ichelli, H.M outarde, O.Pene, J.Rodriguez-Quintero, Transparent expression of the A² condensate's renorm alization, [hep-ph/0208008], Phys. Rev. D 67, 074027 (2003).