# Free boson form ulation of boundary states in $W_{3}$ m inim alm odels and the critical P otts m odel 

A lexandre F. C aldeira<br>D epartm ent of $P$ hysics, U niversity of $O$ xford<br>Theoretical $P$ hysics,<br>1 K eble Road, O xford OX1 3NP, UK<br>$\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{m}$ ail: ${ }^{\text {ICaldeira@thphys.ox.ac.uk }}$<br>Shinsuke Kawai<br>H elsinki Institute of P hysics,<br>P .O Box 64,<br>F IN -00014 U niversity of H elsinki,<br>$F$ inland<br><br>John F.W heater<br>D epartm ent of $P$ hysics, U niversity of $O$ xford<br>Theoretical Physics,<br>1 K eble Road,<br>O xford OX1 3NP, UK<br>E-m ail: 'j.wheater@physics.ox.-ac.uk

Abstract: W e develop a Coulomb gas form alism for boundary conform al eld theory having a $W$ sym $m$ etry and ilhustrate its operation using the three state Potts model. We nd that there are free- eld representations for six $W$ conserving boundary states, which yield the xed and $m$ ixed physical boundary conditions, and tw O W violating boundary states which yield the free and new boundary conditions. O ther W violating boundary states can be constructed but they decouple from the rest of the theory. Thus we have a com plete free- eld realization of the know $n$ boundary states of the three state P otts m odel. $W$ e then use the form alism to calculate boundary correlation functions in various cases. W e nd that the conform alblocks arising when the two point function of $2 ; 3$ is calculated in the presence of free and new boundary conditions are indeed the last two solutions of the sixth order di erential equation generated by the singular vector.
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## 1. Introduction

T he C oulom b gas form alism [1]', functions and conform alblocks in minim alrationalconform al eld theories (CFTs) w thout having to solve com plicated di erential equations. Boundary CFTs have been of great interest since C ardy's fam ous paper [
 the case of the $V$ irasoro diagonalm inim alm odels. T he boundary states appear as coherent states in the free- eld form alism. As in the bulk case these $m$ ethods again provide a $m$ echanism for calculating correlation functions, this tim e in the presence of a boundary.
$T$ he three state $P$ otts $m$ odel is of particular interest because the conform al eld theory describing its critical point is the sim plest in which there is a higher dim ensional chiral operator of integer dim ension. The resulting extended sym metry, generated by a $\mathrm{W}_{3}$ algebra, has im portant consequences. In particular the theory is diagonal in the bigger $\mathrm{W}_{3}$ algebra but not in the sm aller V irasoro algebra. Such theories can be represented by a
 states in this $m$ odel. There are six states originally found by $C$ ardy in whidh the $W$ current is conserved at the boundary $\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right]$ and which correspond to xed and $m$ ixed boundary
conditions in the spin system. In addition there are know $n$ to be tw o m ore states in which the $W$ current is not conserved. O ne of them is the state corresponding to free boundary conditions on the spin system, which was of course expected to exist, and the other is the so-called new boundary condition; these were found in $[\underline{[ }]$. Subsequently it w as shown in $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[\underline{9}}\end{array}\right]$ that the set of eight states is in som e sense com plete and in $[\underline{1} \underline{1} \underline{d}]$ it w as suggested that this com pleteness am ounts to the set of boundary conditions for which the model is an integrable system. C learly none of these boundary states can correspond to boundary conditions on the spin system which are not conform ally invariant.

The purpose of this paper is to show how the m ulti-com ponent C oulom b gas form alism $m$ ay be used to provide a free- eld description of the boundary states in theories w ith a W algebra using the three state P otts $m$ odel to illustrate the $m$ ethod. W e start in section 2 by brie y review ing those aspects of the standard form alism that we need. In section 3 we record in detail the representations of the prim ary elds for the P ottsm odeland in section 4 explain how to construct the free- eld representations for C ardy's boundary states. Section 5 contains som e exam ple calculations of correlation functions in the presence ofboundaries; these act as a usefiul cross-check that the states we have constructed are correct.

Finally in section 6 we discuss som e open issues and som e useful results are recorded in the appendioes.

## 2. Free- eld construction of CFTswith W algebra

The usual C oulom b gas form alism [1,
 $j=1::: r$, which is a vector in the root space of a nite dim ensional Lie A lgebra A of rank $r$. In practice we willbe considering the sim plest case in this paper so wewill con ne ourselves to the algebra $A_{r}$ from the outset. Let us rst x som e notation. T he sim ple roots will be denoted $e_{j} i j=1::: r$, and the corresponding dual weights $!_{j} ; j=1::: r$. We willuse \" to denote multiplication of vectors and $m$ atrices in the root space. So the scalar product of two vectors $u$ and $v$ in the root space $w$ illbe w ritten $u v$, the product of tw o $m$ atrices $m_{1} \quad m_{2}$ and so on. The sim ple roots and dual weights then satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j} \quad \rho=2 ; \quad e_{j} \quad \Theta_{1}=1 ; \quad e_{j} \quad \frac{1}{1}=i_{i j}: \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The W eylvector is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=X_{j=1}^{X^{r}} e_{j}=X_{j=1}^{X^{r}}!_{j} \tag{2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the fundam ental weights $h_{K} ; K=1::: r+1$; satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{h}_{1} & =!_{1} ; \\
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{K}} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{K}+1} & =\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{K}}: \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e denote the $W$ eyl group of $A$ by $W$, an elem ent of it by $w$, and let ${ }_{w}=\operatorname{det} w$; it is conven ient also to de ne the shifted $W$ eyltransform ation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w} \quad()=\mathrm{w}(+) \quad: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case of $A_{2}$ we have the useful relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}_{1}=2!_{1} \quad!_{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{e}_{2}=2!_{2} \quad!_{1} ; \\
& \mathrm{h}_{2}=!_{2} \quad!_{1} ; \\
& \mathrm{h}_{3}=!_{2}: \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he $W$ eyl group is of order 6 and is generated by $w_{1}$ (re ections in $e_{1}$ ) and $w_{2}$ (re ections in $e_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{fw}_{0}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{w}_{3}=\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{w}_{1} \quad \mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w}_{4}=\mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~g}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action for takes the usual form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[]=\frac{1}{8}^{Z} d^{2} z^{p} \bar{g}(@ \quad @+4 i \quad 0 \quad R) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $R$ is the scalar curvature, $g$ the $m$ etric, and 0 a constant. W e now split into a holom orphic com ponent (z) and an anti-holom orphic com ponent (z). The eld has m ode expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{j}(z)=j_{0}^{j} \quad i a_{0}^{j} \ln z+i_{n \notin 0}^{X} \frac{a_{n}^{j}}{n} z^{n} ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for . C anonicalquantization gives the usual com $m$ utation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[a_{m}^{j} ; a_{n}^{1}\right]=m^{j 1} m+n ; 0 ;}  \tag{2.9}\\
& {\left[{ }_{0}^{j} ; a_{0}^{l}\right]=i^{j 1}:} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Variation of the action $w$ ith respect to the $m$ etric $y$ ields the energy-m om entum tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{zz}}=\frac{1}{2}: @ \quad @ \quad:+2 \mathrm{i}_{0} \text { @ ; } \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the usual expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{n} 2} ; \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=\frac{1}{2}_{m 2 z}^{X}: a_{m} \quad \text { a } m: 20(n+1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

obey the $V$ irasoro algebra w ith central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=r \quad 48{\underset{0}{2}}^{2}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fock spaces $F$ are labeled by a vacuum $j i$, which is an eigenvector of the $a_{0}^{j}$ operator, and annihilated by the positive $m$ odes

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}^{j} j i=j_{j} i ; \\
& a_{n}^{j} j i=0 ; n>0: \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The Fock space is form ed by applying the creation operators to the vacuum,
and di erent Fock spaces are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i} \quad{ }^{0} j i=j+i ; \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as can be checked using the com m utation relations $[2$ the theory is much sm aller than the direct sum of the Fock spaces and is given by the cohom ology of a nilpotent BRST operator [1] ${ }^{1} 1$ ].

The chiral vertex operators for this theory are de ned by generalizing the vertex operators of the $U(1)$ C oulom b gas form alism ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \quad(z)=: e^{i} \quad(z):: \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to check, by computing the OPE T $(z) V\left(z^{0}\right)$, that vertex operators are prim ary elds w th conform aldim ensions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h()=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(\quad 4_{0}\right): \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that

$$
h()=h\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 0 & ): \tag{220}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$T$ his generalized free- eld construction contains an extra sym $m$ etry which corresponds to the presence of the higher dim ensional conserved chiral prim ary elds found in theories w th a $W$ symmetry (see $[1], i]$ system atically in the follow ing way. First de ne the generating functional $D_{N}$, where $N=r+1, b y$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 i & 0 \tag{2,21}
\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}}=:_{\mathrm{K}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}}\left(2 \mathrm{i} \quad 0 @_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{K}} \quad @ \quad(\mathrm{z})\right)::
$$

T his can be evaluated to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{N}=@^{N}+X_{K=1}^{X^{N}}(2 i \quad 0)^{K} u_{K}[(z)] @^{N} \quad K ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it tums out that $u_{1}=0$ and $u_{2}=T(z)$ and the higher $W$ currents are given by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
W_{k}=u_{k}+b @ u_{k} & 1+b^{0} @^{2} u_{k} \\
& 2+: b^{\infty} u_{2} u_{k} \\
2+::  \tag{223}\\
\vdots & ;
\end{array}
$$

where $b$ etc are constants. For our punposes in this paper it is su cient to know the generating functional $D_{N}$ and the zerom ode of $W_{3}(z)$ for $A_{2}$ which is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{0}=\mathrm{ix}^{1} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{1} \quad \underset{\mathrm{q} h_{2}}{ } \mathrm{~h}_{3} \text { a } \\
& 1+m+n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { m } \\
& +8{ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{1} \quad \text { Q }+2 \mathrm{oL}_{0} \text {; } \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{p} \overline{(22+5 \mathrm{c})=48}$.
Screening operators $Q$ are de ned by analogy w th the one com ponent $C$ oulom $b$ gas form alism as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=d z: e^{i} \quad(z): \tag{2,25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now is any vector living on the $r 1$ dim ensionalmanifold $h()=1$. Since for any such

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z) V(w)=@_{w}(:::)+\text { regular term } s ; \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $Q \quad c o m m$ ute autom atically $w$ th the $V$ irasoro algebra,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{n}} ; Q\right]=0: \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever in general the $Q$ do not com $m$ ute with the $W$ algebra and it can be show (see appendix A) that the only ones which do are (in the particular case of $A_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{(1)}={ }^{I} d z: e^{i} \quad e_{1} \quad(z): \\
& Q^{(2)}=\quad d z: e^{i} \quad e_{2} \quad(z):: \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Free- eld approach to the three state $P$ otts $m$ odel

$T$ he three state $P$ otts $m$ odel is a $m$ in $\dot{m}$ alm odel that is not diagonal in the $\backslash s m$ all" V irasoro algebra but becom es diagonal in the larger $W_{3}$ algebra. To nd its representation in term $s$ of the $A_{2}$ C oulom b gas we have to nd irreducible highest weight representations for which the Verm a $m$ odules are com pletely degenerate, that is to say have as $m$ any singular vectors as possible. This can be done by considering a theory, $\mathrm{W}_{3}\left(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p}^{0}\right)$, param etrized by relative prim es $p$ and $p 0 w$ here

$$
\begin{align*}
& 20=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}} ; \\
&+=\mathrm{p} 0 \\
&=\frac{\mathrm{p} 0}{\mathrm{pp} 0} ;  \tag{3.1}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{pp0}}
\end{align*}
$$

for which the central charge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\left(p ; p^{0}\right)}^{(3)}=2 \quad 24 \frac{\left(\mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{p}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{pp} 0}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

C hoosing $p=4, p^{0}=5$ gives the correct central charge, $4=5$, for the $P$ otts $m$ odel. $T$ he eld content is represented by highest w eight states through

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad+\quad+\quad \text {; } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where are dom inant weights of the algebra param etrized by tw o pairs of integers, ( $r_{1} ; r_{2}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{2}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
+=r_{1}!_{1}+r_{2}!_{2} ; \quad=s_{1}!_{1}+s_{2}!_{2} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $0 \quad s_{1 ; 2} \quad 3$ and $0 \quad r_{1 ; 2} \quad$ 2. For each prim ary eld $F$ there are six $s w h i c h ~ a l l ~ l i e ~$ in the sam e W eyl orbit and can be w ritten

$$
\begin{align*}
i(F) & =20 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{p} \quad(\mathrm{~F})) ; \quad \mathrm{i}=0 ;::: 5 ; \\
(\mathrm{F}) & =\mathrm{s}_{1}!_{1}+\mathrm{s}_{2}!_{2} ; \\
+(F) & =r_{1}!_{1}+r_{2}!_{2} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $r_{1 ; 2}$ and $s_{1 ; 2}$ are read $o$ from the rst colum $n$ of $T a b l e 1$. An equivalent way of generating these is to com pute the $s$ from all three colum ns of $T a b l e 1$ and form the sets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { I; : f ; } 40 \quad \text { g; } \\
& \text {; : f ; ;4 0 y; y } 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

T he con form aldim ensions of the elds obtained this w ay using ( $\overline{(2)} \overline{19})$ are the sam e as for the elds in the three state $P$ otts $m$ odelw hidh are show $n$ in $T$ able $2 . T$ his six-fold redundancy in the choige of $s$ for the prim ary elds in the bulk theory is a consequence of the property $\left[\underline{2}^{-2} 0^{\prime}\right)$ and the $Z_{3}$ sym $m$ etry of the root diagram for $A_{2}$ which is in tum responsible for the existence of the chiral $W_{3}$ algebra. This changes when we consider boundary conditions which only preserve the $V$ irasoro sym $m$ etry and not the fullw sym m etry. A s we w ill see in section 4, only the Felder com plexes (discussed below ) built on the rst colum n of Table 1 yield $W$ violating boundary states which do not decouple from the bulk theory.

|  | $\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{2}\right)$ | $(0 ; 0)$ | $(0 ; 1)$ | $(1 ; 0)$ |
| $(0 ; 0)$ | I | y |  |
| $(0 ; 1)$ | y |  |  |
| $(1 ; 0)$ |  |  | y |
| $(0 ; 2)$ |  | I | y |
| $(1 ; 1)$ |  | y |  |
| $(2 ; 0)$ | y |  | I |


| I |  | y |  | y |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{15}$ | $\frac{1}{15}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ |

[^0]Table 2: The conform al dim ensions of the prim ary elds in the 3 -state $P$ otts $m$ odel.

The physical H ibert space is determ ined by the BRST structure rst elucidated by
 to write ( $F$ ) in the form

$$
(F)=\left(\left(1 \quad m_{1}\right)!_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & m_{2}
\end{array}\right)!_{2}\right)++\left(\left(1 \mathrm{n}_{1}\right)!_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{n}_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{array}\right)!_{2}\right)
$$

and to denote the corresponding Fock space by $F=F_{n_{1} ; n_{2}}^{m}$ where $m=\left(m_{1} ; m_{2}\right)$. The
rst two BRST charges are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Q_{k}^{(1)}=e^{i(k} 1\right) \frac{\sin k}{\sin } Y_{j=1:: k}^{I} d z_{j} V_{+e_{1}}\left(z_{j}\right) ; \\
& \left.Q_{k}^{(2)}=e^{i(k} 11\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \frac{\sin k}{\sin } Y_{j=1: k} d z_{j} V_{+e_{2}}\left(z_{j}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

w th the usual Felder contour prescription and where $=2{ }_{+}^{2}$. These do not (anti-) com $m$ ute and it is necessary [1] $\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{-1}$ ] introduce a third charge $Q_{k}^{(3)}$ having the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k_{1}}^{(3)} Q_{k_{2}}^{(1)}=Q_{k_{1}+k_{2}}^{(1)} Q_{k_{1}}^{(2)}: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $l l$ the $Q^{(i)}$ com m ute w ith the V irasoro algebra by construction and their action on the Fock spaces is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(1)} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}^{\mathrm{m}} 2 \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{k}
\end{align*} ;
$$

T he Felder com plex is built up out of the base cell

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}= \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$


where $m$ aps incom ing to the cell have been suppressed. The operation of the $Q^{(i)}$ on the Fock spaces w ithin the base cell corresponds to the operation of the $W$ eyl group on the vectors $\mathrm{n}_{1}!{ }_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}!2$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(i)} \quad!\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operation outside the base cell is the sam e plus a translation by $\mathrm{pe}_{\mathrm{i}}$. $N$ ote that the action of $Q^{(3)}$ show $n$ by the double arrow changes the ghost num ber by 3 and is exchuded from the BRST charge de ned below. It is straightforw ard to convince oneselfthat repeated application of the $Q{ }^{(i)}$ generates the in nite com plex

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sum_{M ; N 2 Z}^{\mathbb{N} 2} \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{p}+\left(\mathrm{M} e_{1}+\mathrm{N} e_{2}\right) ; \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: A part of the complex C. The open circles correspond to the root of the base cell $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}-}^{\mathrm{m}}$ and its im ages under translations by $\mathrm{pe}_{\mathrm{i}}$. D i erent types of arrow have the sam emeaning as in $13.1 \overline{11}$ and only those which are part of $Q_{B}$ are show $n$.
a portion of which is shown in $F$ ig.1. It was shown in $[\overline{1} \overline{14}, 1]$ that a nillpotent BRST operator $Q_{B}$ graded in the direction can be de ned on $C$. To do this we rst de ne operators $d_{n}^{(i)}$ whose action on the Fodk spaces is given by $Q_{n}^{(i)}$ for $i=1 ; 2$, and which increase the ghost num ber $g$ by one. To keep track of the phases occuring we then de ne a cocycle operator N w ith the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)} \mathrm{N}=0 ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)} ; \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)} \mathrm{N} ; \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(3)}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(3)}(1)^{1+\mathrm{nN}} ; \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\mathbb{N} ; d^{(3)}\right]=0$. The BRST operator $Q_{B}$ for ghost num ber $g$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{B}=d^{(1)}+d^{(2)}+d^{(3)} \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad(1)^{g}\right) ; \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has the property $\left(Q_{B}\right)^{2}=0$ when acting on $C . T$ he $H$ ilbert space $H$ is then expected


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{Kemel} Q_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{Im} \operatorname{age}_{\mathrm{B}}}: \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $W$ charactens for the representations in $T a b l e 1$ can be calculated by com puting the expectation value of $\operatorname{Trq}^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}=24}$ from the altemating sum over the BRST com plex [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$ w ith the result
where the D edekind function is given by
 one of the representations, this form ula gives the sam e as for the corresponding operators in the three state P otts $m$ odel (see eg [1] $\overline{1}[1)$ w hich allow s us to conclude that $W_{3}(4 ; 5)$ does indeed describe the P otts m odel.

## 4. B oundary states in free- eld representation

C oherent boundary states $m$ ay be de ned in a straightforw ard generalization of the procedure for the one com ponent $C$ oulom b gas. First we introduce the states $j$; ; iuwhich are constructed by applying the vertex operator $V(z)$ and its antinolom onphic counterpart, $\bar{V}(z)$ to the $S L(2 ; C)$-invariant vacuum $j 0 ; 0 ; 0 i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j ; \quad \text {; } \quad \text { i }=\lim _{z \overline{\bar{z}}!} \bar{V} \quad(z) V \quad(z) j 0 ; 0 ; \quad \text { i }=e^{\bar{i}^{-}}{ }^{0} e^{i} \quad{ }^{0} j 0 ; 0 ; \quad \text { i: } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These states satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}^{i} j ; ~ ; ~ o i={ }^{i} j ; \text {; } 0^{i} ; \\
& a_{0}^{i} j ; 0^{i}={ }^{i} j ; \text { oi: } \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

T he corresponding bra states are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h ; i o j=h 0 ; 0 ; ~ o \dot{j}^{i^{-}}{ }^{0} e^{i} \quad 0 \text { : } \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e then $m$ ake the coherent state ansatz

$$
\begin{align*}
B(;) i & =C j ; \quad \text {; } i_{;}  \tag{4.4}\\
C & =\exp _{k>0} \frac{1}{k} a_{k} \quad a_{k} \quad ; \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where is a m atrix to be determ ined by im posing the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{n} \quad L \quad n\right) \beta(;) i=0: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For positive n we nd the constraint

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}_{l=1}^{\mathbb{X}} \bar{a}_{n} 1 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & I) \\
-a_{1}+\left(a_{0}\right. & 20(n+1)
\end{array}\right) \quad-a_{9} \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{a}_{0} & \left.20\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)^{-} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j} ; \quad ; \quad \mathrm{oi}=0 \text {; }
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix, and a sim ilar constraint for negative $n$. From these we nd the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { T } & =I ; \\
+ & =0 ; \\
\text { T }+40 & =0: \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

There are two solutions to (

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}: \quad=\mathrm{I} ; \quad-=40  \tag{4.9}\\
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{W}}: \quad=\mathrm{W} ; \quad-=40 \quad+\mathrm{W}: \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

T hese allow us to introduce the abbreviated notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { B ( ; )i=C j;4 o }+\quad \text {; } 0 i: \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding out-state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h B(;) j=h ; 40+\quad ; 0 j C^{T} \text {; } \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{T}={ }_{k>0}^{Y} \exp \frac{1}{k} a_{k} \quad{ }_{k} a: \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conservation of the $W$ current across the boundary can be checked by an explicit calculation. Since $W(z)$ is a prim ary eld of conform alweight $h=3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{m}}\right]=(2 \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}}: \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $m=0$ gíves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{W}_{0}\right] ; \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(W_{n}+W_{n}\right) B(;) i & =\frac{1}{2 n}\left(L_{n} \quad L_{n}\right)\left(W_{0}+W_{0}\right) \quad\left(W_{0}+W_{0}\right)\left(L_{n} L_{n}\right) B(;) i \\
& =\frac{1}{2 n}\left(L_{n} \quad L_{n}\right)\left(W_{0}+W_{0}\right) B(;) i_{i} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (4.6). A fter som e calculation using $(\underline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{4})$ we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{0}+W_{0}\right)-B(\quad I) i=0 ; \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

but that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{W}_{0}+\mathrm{W}_{0}\right) B(; \mathrm{F}) \mathrm{B} \in 0: \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the $W$ current is conserved for coherent boundary states $m$ ade $w$ ith the operator $C$ I but not for those $m$ ade $w$ th the operator $C_{w}$.

U sing the free- eld representation of the boundary states and the V irasoro operators, we nd the cylinder am plitudes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{hB}(; \mathrm{w}) \dot{\mathrm{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\overline{\mathrm{L}}_{0}\right.} \frac{\mathrm{c}}{12}\right)-\mathrm{B}(; \mathrm{w}) \mathrm{i}=\frac{\mathrm{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(20)^{2}}}{(f)} ; \text {; } \\
& \left.\mathrm{hB}(; \mathrm{I}) \dot{\mathrm{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\overline{\mathrm{L}}_{0}\right.} \frac{\mathrm{c}}{12}\right) \mathrm{B}(; \mathrm{w}) \dot{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(20)^{2}}}{(2)} ; \quad ; \mathrm{w}: \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

W e see im m ediately that only those representations forwhich is proportional to the $W$ eyl vector have non-zero $m$ ixed am plitudes betw een $W$ conserving and $W$ violating boundary states. It is straightforw ard to check by exam ining Table 1 that in the Potts model case only I and have this property and only for the charges and 40 where the $s$ are drawn from the rst colum $n$ of $T a b l e 1$. Thus $w e$ can construct $W$ conserving boundary states built on the six operators in the three state P otts m odeland two extra W violating boundary states corresponding to I and. Linear combinations of these represent the six physical boundary states rst found by Cardy [ill plus the free and new boundary states which were only found much later [ $\left[\frac{10}{-1}\right]$. It was argued in $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$ that these eight states are the com plete set of boundary states for this $m$ odel. In the present form alism four other $W$ violating boundary states corresponding to , ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ can also be w ritten down but are com pletely decoupled; thus of course they never appear in the fusion rule analysis of陽].

The states $\nexists \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{i}$ lie in the Fock spaces. To obtain states in the H ibert space of the conform al eld theory we m ust sum over the Felder com plex to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j \text { jii }=\mathrm{X} \quad \text { wM N } \nrightarrow\left(+w(+(F)) \quad 2 \operatorname{opp}^{0}\left(\mathbb{N} e_{1}+M e_{2}\right) \quad\right. \text { (F); I)i; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~W} \\
\mathrm{M}
\end{array} \mathrm{~N} 2 \mathrm{Z} \tag{4,20}
\end{align*}
$$

$w^{w h e r e ~ t h e ~ c o n s t a n t s ~}{ }_{\mathrm{wM}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ have magnitude 1. T here are sim ilar expressions for the bra states butw ith wM N replaced by $\underset{\mathrm{wM}}{0} \mathrm{~N}$ satisfying

U sing $(3.10$ ) and $(4 . \overline{9})$, we nd the cylinder am plitudes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{m} \hat{F}^{0} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\overline{\mathrm{L}}_{0}\right.} \frac{\mathrm{c}}{12}\right) j \hat{\mathrm{~F}} \mathrm{i}=\quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{q})_{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{F}^{0}} \text {; } \\
& \left.m \hat{I} \dot{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}\right.} \frac{c}{12}\right) j I \text { ii }=Q_{k>0}^{\left.q^{\frac{1}{30}} q^{2 k}\right)}{ }_{n 2 z}^{X} q^{20 n^{2}+2 n} \quad q^{20 n^{2}+18 n+4} ; \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith all other $m$ ixed am plitudes being zero．
W e can compare these results $w$ th the discussion of $A$ eck et al［ Q$]$ ］．The $\mathrm{c}=4=5$ $m$ odels are $V$ irasoro theories $w$ ith $(p ; q)=(5 ; 6)$ and on each conform al tower $(r ; s)$ can be built V irasoro Ishibashistates jr；sii which are related to the characters by

$$
\left.r ; s=\mathrm{Mr} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}} \dot{\mathrm{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\overline{\mathrm{L}}_{0}\right.} \frac{c}{12}\right) \underset{j}{ } ; \text { sii }:
$$

Follow ing $\left[\frac{1-1}{-1}\right]$ we expect that the cylinder am plitudes for the P otts m odel should be given in term $s$ of the $V$ irasoro characters by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mI } \left.\left.\dot{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}\right.} \frac{c}{12}\right) j I \text { ii }=m \hat{\mathcal{I}} \dot{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}\right.} \frac{c}{12}\right) j \hat{\mathcal{I}} \ddot{i}=1 ; 1+{ }_{4 ; 1} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} \dot{j}^{\frac{1}{9}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\bar{L}_{0} \frac{c}{12}\right)} \boldsymbol{j} \text { ii }=2 ; 1 \quad 3 ; 1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be checked that the identities betw een $R$ iem ann－Jacobi functions im plied by these relationships and our results（ $4-2 \overline{2})$ are all true；as an exam ple we give a proof in A ppendix $B$ for a case which has not appeared in the literature before，nam ely the $m$ ixed am plitude for the identity operator．

In term s of these Ishibashi states C ardy＇s physical boundary states $[\underline{\bar{\eta}}]$ take the usual form for the three state $P$ otts $m$ odel，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { jॅi } \left.=N \quad \text { (弞ii }+j \text { ii }+j^{y} \text { ii }\right)+\left(j \text { ii }+j \text { ii }+j^{y} \text { ii }\right) ; \\
& j i=N_{n}^{n}{ }^{n}\left(\text { jitii }+j \text { ii }+j y^{y} \text { ii }\right) \quad{ }^{1}\left(j \text { ii }+j \text { ii }+j^{y} \text { iii) }{ }^{0}\right. \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& j^{\sim y} i=N \quad\left(j \text { ii }+!j \text { ii }+!y^{y} \text { ii }\right)+\left(j \text { ii }+!j \text { ii }+!j^{y} \text { ii }\right) ; \\
& j \sim i=N{ }^{n}{ }^{n}{ }^{2}\left(\text { 仁ii }+!j \text { ii }+!j^{y} \text { ii }\right) \quad{ }^{1}\left(j \text { ii }+!j \text { ii }+!j^{y} \text { ii) }{ }^{\circ}\right. \text {; } \\
& j^{y} i=N{ }^{n}{ }^{2}\left(j i i+!j \text { ii }+!{ }^{y_{i i}}\right) \quad{ }^{1}\left(j \text { ii }+!j \text { ii }+!j^{y} \text { ii) }\right. \text {; } \tag{425}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\frac{\mathrm{s} \overline{2}}{\frac{2}{15} \sin \frac{1}{5}} ; \quad=\frac{s \overline{\sin (2=5)}}{\sin (=5)} ; \tag{426}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=e^{2 \quad i=3} ; \quad!=e^{4} \quad i=3 \tag{427}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese states represent the $x e d$ and $m$ ixed boundary conditions for the $P$ otts $m$ odel spins． In addition there are tw o m ore physicalboundary states

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { freei }=N^{P} \overline{3} \text { j서 ii } \quad j^{\wedge} \text { ii ; } \\
& \text { jnew } i=N{ }^{P} \overline{3} \quad{ }^{2} j \hat{\mathrm{I}} \text { ii }+\quad{ }^{1} j^{\wedge} \text { ii ; } \tag{428}
\end{align*}
$$

which represent the free and so－called new boundary conditions for the spin $m$ odel．

## 5. C ritical Potts $m$ odel correlation functions $w$ ith boundary

W e will now show how the free- eld form alism can be used to com pute exact correlation functions ofbulk operators in the presence of a boundary when the boundary condition is


### 5.1 G eneral considerations

W e consider a unit disk in the z-plane, where a boundary with condition ~ is placed at $\dot{k} j=1$. At the origin we place the M obius invariant vacuum $j 0 ; 0$; oi. Then p-point correlation functions on the disk are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \sim j V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{p} V\left(z_{p}\right) V_{p}\left(z_{p}\right) \quad \text { (screening operators) j0; } 0 \text {; oi: } \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a C ardy state $h \sim j$ is a linear sum of the Ishibashistates $\mathrm{lhF} j$ and $\mathrm{lmF} \hat{j}$ which are in tum linear sum s of the coherent states $\mathrm{hB}(;) j$ nding the correlation functions (5. dow $n$ to evaluating the disk am plitudes with boundary charges and boundary types ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hB}(;) \not \mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) V_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{p}{ }^{\mathrm{V}\left(z_{\mathrm{p}}\right) V_{\mathrm{p}}\left(z_{\mathrm{p}}\right)} \\
& \quad\left(Q_{+}^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{1}}\left(Q^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\left(Q_{+}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{2}}\left(Q^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}\left(Q_{+}^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{1}}\left(Q^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\left(Q_{+}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{2}}\left(Q^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}-0 ; 0 ; \text { oi; } \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{1}$, etc. are num bers of the screening operators. P hysical correlation functions are sim ply linear com binations of the am plitudes (5-2). Since the inner products of the Fock spaces are non-vanishing only when both the holom onphic and antiholom onphic charge neutrality conditions are satis ed, the am plitudes ( $\overline{\mathrm{F}} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) are sub ject to the conditions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\quad+\quad 1+ & \text { 古 }+m_{1}+e_{1}+m_{2}+e_{2}+n_{1} & e_{1}+n_{2} & e_{2}=0 ;  \tag{5.3}\\
40 & +{ }_{1}+\quad \frac{1}{p}+m_{1}+e_{1}+m_{2}+e_{2}+n_{1} & e_{1}+n_{2} & e_{2}=0 ; \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

otherw ise they vanish. These neutrality conditions relate the boundary charges to possible con gurations of the screening operators. The disk am plitudes are evaluated using the form ula,


The presence of screening operators leads to integral representations for the am plitudes. The integration contours are originally Felder's contours (concentric circles around the origin and attached to vertex operators). It is argued [G] That at least in the cases of 1 and 2 -point functions these contours can be deform ed suitably to give convergent functions which correspond to certain con form alblocks. W ew illnow use this sim ple setup to com pute Potts m odel correlation functions.

### 5.2 Vertex operators

In order to com pute disk correlation functions in the C oulom b gas form alism, we need to de ne non-chiral prim ary operators in term $s$ of chiral operators and then represent them w th vertex operators.

The rst step involves som e intricacies because the non-chiral spin operators for instance can be either

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; z)=\quad(z) \quad(z) ; \quad{ }^{y}(z ; z)={ }^{y}(z) \quad{ }^{y}(z) ; \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; z)=\quad(z) \quad y(z) ; \quad y(z ; z)=y^{y}(z) \quad(z): \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A though the rst one $\binom{5}{5} \mathrm{~m}$ ight seem m ore natural, this does not represent the P otts m odel correctly since it would lead to vanishing spin 1-point functions even near a xed boundary. Thus we shall adopt the de nition ( $\overline{5}$. sim ilarly we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; z)=\quad(z) \quad{ }^{y}(z) ; \quad{ }^{y}(z ; z)={ }^{y}(z) \quad(z): \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identily and energy operatons do not have this am biguity and we shall sim ply de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z ; z)=I(z) \quad I(z) ; \quad(z ; z)=\quad(z) \quad(z): \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the second step, we need to represent each $W$ prim ary eld by a vertex operator having one of the six charges of (3.5). In the bulk theory and also in presence of a $W$ conserving boundary, one $m$ ay allocate whichever of the six charges is $m$ ost convenient as they should be all equivalent under the chiral algebra. H ow ever, as we have seen, in the presence of $W$ violating boundary conditions this equivalence breaks dow $n$ and only charges associated $w$ th the rst colum $n$ of $T$ able 1 are allow ed. W e are still free to use or its conjugate $40+w$ to construct a chiral vertex operator and can, in particular, represent a non-chiraloperator as a product of di erent holom orphic and antiholom orphic vertex operators, e.g. $I(z ; z)=V_{0}(z) \quad V_{4} 。(z)$. In practice we shall choose a set of vertex operators $w$ hich $m$ inim izes the num ber of screening operators.

### 5.3 O ne point functions

In the case of the spin 1-point function $h(z ; z) i_{\text {moundary }} w$ ith various boundary conditions represented by the $C$ ardy states, the num ber ofscreening operators ism in im ized by de ning $(z ; z)=V_{1}(z) V_{4}{ }_{1}(z)$, where 1 is either $l_{1}=\quad!_{1}$ or itsconjugate $40+!_{2}$. $T$ hen the 1-point function is a linear sum of the disk 1-point am plitudes,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hB}(;) \neq V_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{V}_{4} \quad \underset{1}{ }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{Q}_{+}^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \\
& \quad\left(\mathrm{Q}_{+}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{+}^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{(1)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{+}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{m}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}-\mathrm{J} ; 0 ; \quad \text { i; } \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

sub ject to the charge neutrality conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& +1+m_{1}+e_{1}+m_{2}+e_{2}+n_{1} \quad e_{1}+n_{2} \quad e_{2}=0 ;  \tag{5.11}\\
& 1+m_{1}+e_{1}+m_{2}+e_{2}+n_{1} \quad e_{1}+n_{2} \quad e_{2}=0: \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

A dding the above tw o expressions we have

$$
(I+)=\left(m_{1}+m_{1}\right)+e_{1}+\left(m_{2}+m_{2}\right)+e_{2}+\left(n_{1}+n_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(n_{2}+n_{2}\right) e_{2}: \text { (5.13) }
$$

For $=I$, this condition is satis ed by $m_{1}=m_{1}=m_{2}=m_{2}=n_{1}=n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{2}=0$, that is, $w$ ith no screening operators, and from (5.1in) we have $=1$. Thus in this case,

$$
\mathrm{hB}(; \quad \mathrm{I}) \nexists \mathrm{V}_{1}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}_{4}{ }_{0} \quad{ }_{1}(\mathrm{z}) j 0 ; 0 ; \quad 0 i=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & z z \tag{5.14}
\end{array}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~h}} \quad ;{ }_{1} ;
$$

where $h=\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 4 & 0\end{array}\right)=1=15$, is the only non-trivialdisk am plitude. $T$ hism eans that the charge neutrality condition picks up the coe cient of hB ( 1 ; I) jfrom the boundary state. W hen $=W$, the left hand side of $(513)$ is proportional to $e_{1} e_{2}$ and it cannot be neutralized w ith non-negative powers of the screening operators. The only exception is $w$ hen $(I+w)=0$, but this does not happen in the case of the spin 1 -point function. $T$ hus the charge neutrality can never be satis ed for $=w$ and we have no contribution from hB ( ; w ) jboundary states.

Thus, for exam ple, the spin 1-point function w th the boundary condition $I$ w ill be (after norm alization)

$$
\frac{h \mathcal{T} J J_{1}(z) V_{4} \quad 1_{1}(z) j 0 ; 0 ; i_{0}}{h \mathcal{T}-0 ; 0 ; i^{i}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z z \tag{5.15}
\end{array}\right)^{2=15}:
$$

$T$ his 1-point function on the disk is conveniently $m$ apped onto the half-plane, via the global conform al transform ation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\quad \mathrm{i} \mathrm{y}_{0} \frac{\mathrm{z} \quad 1}{\mathrm{z}+1} ; \quad \mathrm{w}=\text { iyo } \frac{\mathrm{z} \frac{1}{z+1} ; ~}{z} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes the origin $(z ; z)=(0 ; 0)$ to $(w ; w)=(i y o ; ~ i y o) . T$ he spin 1 -point function on the half-plane is then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h i_{\mathrm{I}}=(2 \mathrm{y})^{2=15} ; \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $y$ is the distance from the boundary. Sim ilarly the spin 1-point function $w$ th other boundary conditions are (on the half-plane),

$$
\begin{align*}
& h i_{\sim}=!(2 y)^{2=15} ;  \tag{5.18}\\
& h i_{\sim y}=!(2 y)^{2=15} ;  \tag{5.19}\\
& h i_{\sim}=\frac{1}{3}(2 y)^{2=15} ;  \tag{5,20}\\
& h i_{\sim}=\frac{!}{3}(2 y)^{2=15} ;  \tag{521}\\
& h i_{\sim y}=\frac{!}{3}(2 y)^{2=15}  \tag{522}\\
& h i_{\text {free }}=0 ; \\
& h i_{\text {new }}=0: \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst six C ardy states are identi ed as the xed and $m$ ixed boundary conditions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { F ixed }: I=(A) ; \sim=(B) ; \sim Y=(C) ;  \tag{5,25}\\
& \text { M ixed }: \sim=(B C) ; \sim=(C A) ; \sim=(A B): \tag{526}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he free and new boundary conditions are not im m ediately distinguishable from the spin 1-point function only.
$N$ ext we consider the energy 1 -point function. For de niteness we let $\quad(z ; z)=V_{1}(z)$ $V_{4} \quad{ }_{1}(z)$, w ith $1=\quad\left(!_{1}+!_{2}\right)$. The com putation is alm ost the sam e as above. For $=I$ boundary, the charge neutrality condition is satis ed $w$ ith no screening operators, and ( 5.14 ) w ith $\mathrm{h}=2=5$ is the only non-trivial contribution in this case. H ow ever, the charge neutrality condition is ful lled for $=\mathrm{w}$ as well, with $=1$ and no screening operators. T hus the am plitude
also contributes in this case. T he resulting energy 1 -point function for various boundary conditions are (on the half plane)

$$
\begin{align*}
& h i_{1}=h i_{\sim}=h i_{v y}=(2 y)^{4=5} ;  \tag{528}\\
& h i=h i=h i_{v y}=\frac{1}{3}(2 y)^{4=5} ; \\
& h i_{\text {fee }}=(2 y)^{4=5} ;  \tag{5.30}\\
& h \text { Hew }=\frac{1}{3}(2 y)^{4=5}: \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

A s we have seen, the 1-point functions com e along w ith the coe cients of the corresponding Ishibashistates in the C ardy states, and this is in agreem ent w the com $m$ only accepted understanding ${ }^{1}$ that such coe cients are essentially the 1 -point bulk-brane coupling constants [ $\left[\underline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$.
5.4 Spin two point functions

N ext, we consider the spin 2-point functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \quad\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right) i_{\text {boundary }} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{y}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \quad\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right) i_{\text {boundary }} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nd their exact form sfor various boundary conditions. In principle they should also be obtainable by the conventional approach [3] ; that is, by solving a 6th order di erential equation arising from the singular vector at level 6,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}_{6} \frac{96367}{136856} \mathrm{~L} \\
& { }_{1} \mathrm{~L}
\end{align*} \frac{5}{} \frac{1630}{17107} \mathrm{~L}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~L}{ }_{4}+\frac{33795}{136856} \mathrm{~L}^{2}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{4} \quad \frac{2437}{102642} \mathrm{~L}^{2}{ }_{3} .
$$

[^1]and then xing their coe cients using the solutions of sew ing relations. The C oulom b gas calculation presented below is equivalent, but practically it is considerably sim pler.

Let us consider $h$ łoundary rst. $W$ e represent the prim ary operators, $\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)=$ $V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \quad V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), \quad\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=V_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) \quad V_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)$, by the vertex operatons having charges,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1= & !_{1} ; & \\
2= & !_{1} ; & \\
1= & !_{2} ; & \\
2=4 & 0 & !_{1}: \tag{5.38}
\end{array}
$$

T he holom orphic and antiholom onphic charge neutrality conditions on the disk are,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2!_{1}+m_{1}+e_{1}+m_{2}+e_{2}+n_{1} \quad e_{1}+n_{2} \quad e_{2}=0 ;  \tag{5.39}\\
& +\left(!_{1} \quad!_{2}\right)+m_{1}+e_{1}+m_{2}+e_{2}+n_{1} \quad e_{1}+n_{2} \quad e_{2}=0: \tag{5.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Sum $m$ ing $(\overline{5}-\overline{3} \overline{9})$ and $(\overline{5}, \overline{4} \overline{0})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(m_{1}+m_{1}\right)+e_{1}+\left(m_{2}+m_{2}\right)+e_{2}+ & \left(n_{1}+n_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(n_{2}+n_{2}\right) e_{2} \\
& =(I+)+\left(!_{1}+!2\right) \\
& =(I+)+\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right): \tag{5.41}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown that when $=\mathrm{w}$ the charge neutrality is not satis ed. For $=\mathrm{I}$, (5. $\overline{5} . \overline{11}$ ) im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=0 ; \mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{1}=1 ; \mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{2}=1: \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are potentially four cases where the charge neutrally is satis ed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (I) }: \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=1 ; \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0 ; & =\left(!_{2}!_{1}\right) ;  \tag{5.43}\\
\text { (II) }: \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=1 ; \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0 ; & =(2 ;  \tag{5.44}\\
\text { (III) }: \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=1 ; \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0 ; & =\left(2!_{2} 3!_{1}\right) ;  \tag{5.45}\\
\text { (IV ) }: \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=1 ; \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0 ; & =2 \quad!_{1}: \tag{5.46}
\end{align*}
$$

W e notige that the charge con gurations (I) and (III) can never be realized since the corresponding boundary charges do not exist in the $C$ ardy states. The boundary charges of (II) and (IV) are included in the Ishibashistates $\mathrm{m}{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ jand m " ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ jrespectively, indicating which interm ediate state is re ected by the boundary. This of course is in agreem ent w ith the fusion rules,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =y^{y}+{ }^{y} \text {; }  \tag{5.47}\\
& \text { y } \quad \mathrm{y}=+\quad \text { : } \tag{5.48}
\end{align*}
$$

W e shall denote the disc 2 -point am plitudes corresponding to (II) and (IV) as I and I , respectively. They correspond to the tw o conform alblocks depicted in the gure below.


The evaluation of the am plitudes $I$ and $I$ is straightforward. As (II) involves $Q{ }^{(1)}$ in the holom orphic and $Q^{(2)}$ in the antiholom onphic sector, w e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=h B\left(\quad!_{2} ; \quad I\right) J V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) V_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) Q_{I}^{(1)} Q^{(2)} V_{2}(0) V_{2}(0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i \\
& =z_{1}^{8=15} z_{1}^{2=15}\left(1 \quad z_{1} z_{1}\right)^{4=15} \quad d u \quad d v\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z_{1} & u
\end{array}\right)^{4=5} u^{4=5}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
z_{1} & v
\end{array}\right)^{4=5} v^{2=5}(1 \quad u v){ }^{4=5}: \tag{5.49}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e have used the global conform al invariance to set $z_{2}=z_{2}=0$ (this is equivalent to sending one of the four points to zero and another to in nity in the corresponding chiral four point function). As the screening operator $Q{ }^{(1)}$ lies in the holom orphic sector, the convergent integralm ust be proportionalto the u-integralbetw een $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. Sim ilarly, the antinolom onphic screening operator $Q^{(2)}$ leads to $v$-integration betw een $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. Hence the integration contours $m$ ay be deform ed into
and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=N \quad{ }^{1=15}(1 \quad)^{4=15} F\left(\frac{1}{5} ; \frac{3}{5} ; \frac{2}{5} ;\right) ; \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=z_{1} z_{1}$. The norm alization of the conform alblock is xed by its o booundary lim it and the constant N is identi ed w ith the 3-point coupling constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=C \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{(1=5)(3=5)}{(2=5)^{2}} \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

appearing in the bulk OPE [1] $\overline{1} \overline{1}]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \quad\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=C \quad{ }^{y} \dot{z}_{1} \quad z_{2} j^{2=15} y\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)+C \quad{ }^{y} \dot{z}_{1} \quad z_{2} \jmath^{16=15} \quad y\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)+ \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other conform alblock $I$ is sim ilarly evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I} & =\mathrm{hB}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & !_{1} ; & \mathrm{I}) \mathrm{J}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{Q}^{(1)} \mathrm{Q}^{(2)} \mathrm{V}_{2}(0) \mathrm{V}_{2}(0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i \\
& =\mathrm{N} \quad{ }^{8=15}(1 \quad)^{4=15} \mathrm{~F}\left(\frac{4}{5} ; \frac{6}{5} ; \frac{8}{5} ;\right) ;
\end{array} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=C \quad{ }^{y}=1=3: \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin 2-point function $h$ ظoundary w ith various boundary conditions is then given by
 picked out from the C ardy states. On the disk we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (A) : } \frac{h \mathcal{T} j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; 0^{i}}{h \mathcal{I} j 0 ; 0 ;{ }_{0} i}=I+I \text {; }  \tag{5.56}\\
& \text { (B): } \frac{h^{\sim} j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; 0 i}{h^{\sim} j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}=!(I+I) \text {; }  \tag{5.57}\\
& \text { (C) : } \frac{h^{\sim y} j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}{h^{\sim} y_{j} 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}=!(I+I) \text {; }  \tag{5.58}\\
& \text { (BC) : } \frac{h \sim j\left(z ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; 0 i}{h \sim j 0 ; 0 ; 0^{i}}=I \quad \frac{1}{3} I \text {; }  \tag{5.59}\\
& \text { (C A ) : } \left.\frac{h \sim j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ 0^{i}}{h \sim j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}=\text { ! (I } \frac{1}{3} I\right) \text {; }  \tag{5.60}\\
& \text { (AB): } \left.\frac{h^{V} j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}{h \sim Y j ; 0 ; ~ o i}=\text { ! (I } \frac{1}{3} I\right) \text {; }  \tag{5.61}\\
& \text { (free): } \frac{\text { hfreej }\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}{h f r e e j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}=0 \text {; }  \tag{5.62}\\
& \text { (new ) : } \frac{\text { hnew } j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}{\text { hnew } j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}=0 ; \tag{5.63}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $I$ and I given by $(5.511)$ and $(5.54)$.
$T$ he other type of $2-$ point function $h ~ Y ~ i_{\text {moundary }}$ can be com puted in a sim ilarm anner, but this tim e the $W$ violating boundary hB ( ; w ) jgives non-trivialam plitudes. There are four conform alblocks:

which are found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad{ }^{2=15}(1 \quad)^{4=15} \mathrm{~F}\left(\frac{4}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{3}{5} ;\right) \text {; }  \tag{5.64}\\
& I=N \quad{ }^{4=15}(1 \quad)^{4=15} \mathrm{~F}\left(\frac{6}{5} ; \frac{3}{5} ; \frac{7}{5} ;\right) \text {; }  \tag{5.65}\\
& \mathrm{I}_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}}=\mathrm{N}_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}} \quad{ }^{2=15}(1 \quad)^{8=15} \mathrm{~F}\left(\frac{4}{5} ; \frac{2}{5} ; \frac{3}{5} ;\right) \text {; }  \tag{5.66}\\
& I_{\wedge}=N \wedge^{4=15}(1 \quad)^{8=15} \mathrm{~F}\left(\frac{4}{5} ; \frac{6}{5} ; \frac{7}{5} ;\right): \tag{5.67}
\end{align*}
$$

The norm alization constants are determ ined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{N}_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}}=1 ;  \tag{5.68}\\
& \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\wedge}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{(1=5)(3=5)}{2(2=5)^{2}} ; \tag{5.69}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{y}$ is the 3-point coupling constant in the OPE,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=\dot{\underline{z}}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2} \dot{j}^{4=15}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \dot{\mathrm{z}}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2} \mathrm{~J}^{\beta=15} \quad(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w})+\quad: \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he 2 -point function $h$ y $i_{b o u n d a r y ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ e i g h t ~ b o u n d a r y ~ c o n d i t i o n s ~ i s, ~}^{\text {fit }}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (firee): } \frac{\text { hfreej }^{y}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; 0^{i}}{\text { hfreej } 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}=I_{\hat{1}} \quad I_{\wedge} \text {; }  \tag{5.73}\\
& \text { (new ) : } \frac{\text { hnew } j^{y}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)(0 ; 0) j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i}{\text { hnew } j 0 ; 0 ;{ }^{i}}=I_{\hat{1}}+\frac{1}{3} I_{\wedge} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

W e have seen that the 2 -point functions are determ ined com pletely, and it is easy to verify that the results have reasonable near-boundary behaviours. W e have checked boy com puter) that the six conform alblocks I , I , $I_{I}, I_{\hat{1}}, I$, $I_{\wedge}$ all satisfy the 6th order differential equation obtained from $(5 . \overline{3} \overline{4})$, and also that their $W$ ronskian is nontrivial. Thus these conform alblocks are indeed six independent solutions of the di erential equation.

## 6. D iscussion

The purpose of this paper has been to describe the extension of the $C$ oulom $b$ gas form ulation ofboundary CFT s to m odels w ith a higher chiral algebra. W e have shown in detail how this works for $A_{2}$ to yield exactly the conform al boundary states that are expected on the basis of general argum ents for the three state P otts $m$ odel. At the level of a single boundary (ie a disk topology) the correlation functions that we have com puted are all consistent w th our understanding of the physics of the model. The two point am plitudes lead naturally to the tw o extra conform alblocks that are expected over and above those associated in the ordinary (ie as in rationalm inim alm odels) way w ith the prim ary elds. $T$ his is very satisfactory; in this form ulation we can calculate an integral representation for essentially any correlation function of the CFT in the disk topology, just as the original C oulomb gas method can for the bulk theory.

There are som e questions that are not settled. Firstly the w ork reported here is not a com plete test of the form ulation at higher topology. The expectation of the identity operator in the cylinder topology is given correctly (ie as the cylinder am plitudes) but we have not calculated other correlation functions and the constants wM in (420) have not been com pletely determ ined (at least up to trivial am biguities). This is all intim ately related to the BRST form ulation of the Felder com plex for the $W_{3}$ algebra and there seem to be som e gaps in what is known about this; for exam ple, so far as we know, there is no proofof the statem ent $\left(3, \overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ in the literature. Secondly it is clear that the general structure of this form ulation can be extended w ithout m uch di culty to higher $W$ algebras and can be used as a m eans of classifying possible conform al invariant boundary states which do not preserve the higher sym $m$ etry; w hether all such states can be found this way is an open question.
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A. W conserving screen ing operators
$N$ ote that $W$ invariance of $Q$ is equivalent to the statem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{w})=\varrho_{\mathrm{w}}(:::)+\text { regular term } \mathrm{s}: \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In tum this can be checked by considering whether it is the case that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{3}: \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad(\mathrm{w}):=@_{\mathrm{w}}(:::)+\text { regular term } \mathrm{s}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If so then, since we know that the $V$ irasoro generators com $m$ ute $w$ ith $Q$, it would follow that so does $W$. It is a straightforw ard but tedious exercise to use $W$ idk's theorem to com pute the singular parts of the left hand side of ( (A-2 (2) for a general ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1_{1}+{ }_{2} e_{2}: \tag{A..3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter repeatedly discarding total derivatives w ith respect to w we are left w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +i{ }_{2} h_{1} @ \& @+{ }_{1} h_{3} @ \text { e @ } \\
& +\quad @\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}{ }_{2} h_{1} @+{ }_{1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) h_{3}\right. \text { @ } \\
& 1{ }_{2} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \text { @ } \frac{1}{2}(40 \quad 2)(20+2 \quad 1) \quad @ \quad: V(\mathrm{w}): \quad(\mathrm{A} .4)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{1}{2} & 2 & \left(\begin{array}{llll}
4 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right)(20+2 & 1
\end{array}\right)=0: \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst solution is $2=0 ; 1=$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2} \quad 20 \quad=1: \tag{A..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second solution is $1=0$ and we must then exam ine the quadratic term $s$ in which show that $2=$. There are no other solutions so we that the only W conserving screening operators are

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{(1)}={ }^{I} d z: e^{i} \quad e_{1} \\
& Q^{(2)}=  \tag{A.7}\\
& Q^{(2)} ; \\
& d z: e^{i}
\end{align*} e_{2} \quad(z) ; ; ~ \$
$$

and that all the others violate the $W$ sym m etry.

## B . function identities

The equivalence of the cylinder am plitudes $(4-\overline{2} \overline{2})$ and $(4-\overline{2} \overline{4})$ is not entirely straightforw ard to prove. W e show here one way of doing it for the $m$ ixed amplitude in the Identity representation case. The techniques we use are described for exam ple in the book [i] ${ }_{1}$ ].
$U$ sing the standard results for the $V$ irasoro characters in $(4-\overline{2}-\overline{4})$ gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
R & \left.=1 ; 1 q^{4 ; 1} q^{\frac{1}{30}} X_{k>0}^{(1} q^{k}\right) \\
& =q_{n 2 Z}^{30 n^{2}+n} \quad q^{30 n^{2}+11 n+1} \quad q^{30 n^{2}+19 n+3}+q^{30 n^{2}+29 n+7}: \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

O $n$ the other hand from (4-2 $2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ we expect this to be equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{k>0\left(1 q^{\frac{1}{30}} q_{n 2 z}^{2 k}\right.}^{X} q^{20 n^{2}+2 n} \quad q^{20 n^{2}+18 n+4}: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First note that L can be rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{k>0\left(1 q^{\frac{1}{30}} q_{n 2 z}^{2 k}\right.}^{X}(1)^{n} q^{5^{2} n^{n}} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{0}=q^{\frac{1}{30}}{ }^{Y} \quad\left(1 \quad q^{k}\right)^{2} L \\
& =\underbrace{Y}_{k>0} \underbrace{k>0} q^{2 k})\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q^{2 k} \quad 1
\end{array}\right)^{2}{ }_{n 2 Z}^{X}(1)^{n} q^{5 n^{2}} n \\
& ={ }^{k>0}(1)^{m} q^{m^{2}}(1)^{n} q^{5 n^{2} n^{n}} \text {; }  \tag{B.4}\\
& \text { m ; } 2 \text { 2 }
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last step we have used the Jacobi triple product form ula. Sim ilarly we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{0}=q^{\frac{1}{30}}{ }^{Y} \quad\left(1 \quad q^{k}\right)^{2} R \\
& =X^{k>0}(1)^{m} q^{\frac{3}{2} m^{2}} \frac{1}{2} m \quad q^{30 n^{2}+n} \quad q^{30 n^{2}+11 n+1} \quad q^{30 n^{2}+19 n+3}+q^{30 n^{2}+29 n+7} \\
& { }_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{x}^{2 \mathrm{Z}} \\
& =X^{X}\left(q^{6 m^{2}} m \quad q^{6 m^{2}+5 m+1}\right) \\
& m ; n 2 \mathrm{Z} \\
& q^{30 n^{2}+n} \quad q^{30 n^{2}+11 n+1} \quad q^{30 n^{2}+19 n+3}+q^{30 n^{2}+29 n+7}: \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

W e wish to prove that Q $L^{0} \quad R^{0}=0$.
N ote that writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{n}=5 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{p} ; \tag{B..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sum $m$ ing over $k ; 12 Z$ and $p=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5$ is equivalent to sum $m$ ing over $m ; n 2 Z$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k} ; 12 \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{p}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{5}}(\mathrm{I})^{\mathrm{p} \mathrm{q}^{30 \mathrm{k}^{2}+61^{2}+2 \mathrm{p}(5 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{p}^{2}} ; ~} \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so using $\left(\bar{B}-\overline{B_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{B}-\overline{\bar{T}_{1}}\right)$ we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q={ }^{X} \quad q^{61^{2}+1} q^{30 k^{2}+19 k+3} \quad X \quad q^{61^{2}+31} q^{30 k^{2}+9 k+1} \\
& \stackrel{1 ; k 2 Z}{X} \quad q^{6 l^{2}+5 l+1} q^{30 k^{2}+k} \stackrel{l^{i} k 2 z}{X} q^{6 l^{2}+7 l+2} q^{30 k^{2}+11 k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \quad X^{5} \\
& \left.=X^{X}(1)^{p} q^{30 k^{2}+6 l^{2}+(19} 10 p\right) k+(2 p+1) l+p^{2} \quad 3 p+3:  \tag{B.8}\\
& k ; 12 \mathrm{Z} \text { p=0 }
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ow change the sign of $k$ in $(\bar{B} \cdot \overline{-})$ and $m$ ake the change of variables $(\bar{B} \cdot \bar{d})$ in reverse to $n d$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\sum_{m ; n 2 Z}^{X}(1)^{m+n} q^{5 n^{2}} 4 n+\left(m \quad \frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4}: \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e see im mediately that the pow er of $q$ in $Q$ is invariant under $m!3 \mathrm{~m}$ but the phase factor changes sign; hence $Q=0$.
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[^0]:    Table 1: The representations for the pri$m$ ary elds in the 3-state P otts $m$ odel.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In our notation, which is traditional in the literature, the bra-Ishibashistate lh jcouples to but it is ${ }^{y}$ which couples to the ket-Ishibashistate $j$ ii. In this sense it would be m ore natural to exchange the de nitions of $j$ ii and $j^{\mathrm{y}}$ ii.

