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#### Abstract

$T$ his paper discusses the form ulation of the non-com $m$ utative $C$ hem-Sim ons (CS) theory where the spatial slice, an in nite strip, is a manifold $w$ ith boundaries. As standard products are not correct for such $m$ anifolds, the standard non-com mutative CS theory is not also appropriate here. Instead we form ulate a new nite-dim ensionalm atrix CS m odel as an approxim ation to the CS theory on the strip. A work which has points of contact with ours is due to Lizzi, V itale and Zam pini where the authors obtain a description for the fiuzzy disc. The gauge elds in our approach are operators supported on a subspace of nite dim ension $N+$ of the $H$ ilbert space of eigenstates of a sim ple harm onic oscillator $w$ ith N ; $2 \mathrm{Z}^{+}$and N . This oscillator is associated w th the underlying M oyalplane. The resultant $m$ atrix C S $m$ odel has a fuzzy edge. It becom es the required shanp edge $w$ hen $N$ and ! 1 in a suitable sense. The non-com mutative CS theory on the strip is de ned by this lim iting procedure. A fter perform ing the canonical constraint analysis of the $m$ atrix theory, we nd that there are edge observables in the theory generating a Lie algebra $w$ ith properties sim ilar to that of a non-abelian $K$ ac-M oody algebra. O ur study show sthat there are $(+1)^{2}$ abelian charges (observables) given by the $m$ atrix elem ents $\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ and $\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{n m}$ (where n orm N ) of the gauge elds, that obey certain standard canonical com $m$ utation relations. In addition, the theory contains three unique non-abelian charges, localized near the $N$ th level. W e observe that all non-abelian edge observables except these three can be constructed from the $(+1)^{2}$ abelian charges above. U sing som e of the results of th is analysisw e discuss in detail the lim it where this $m$ atrix $m$ odel approxim ates the $C S$ theory on the in nite strip. Finally, we include a short section containing our com $m$ ents on the com $m$ utative lim it of our $m$ odel, where we also give a closed form ula for the central charge of the K ac -M oody-like algebra of the non-com $m$ utative CS theory in term $s$ of the diagonal coherent state $m$ atrix elem ents of operators and star products.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

$R$ ecently, there has been $m$ uch interest in form ulating $C$ hem-Sim ons theories on non-com $m$ utative $m$ anifolds $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$. In $[\overline{[ }]$ the non-com $m$ utative CS theory has been used for the punpose of obtaining a new description of the $Q$ uantum $H$ all $E$ ect ( $Q H E$ ). Polychronakos $[\bar{\beta} 1 / 1]$ and M orariu and Polychronakos [ [- $\left[_{1}\right]$ ] have proposed nite-dim ensionalm atrix m odels of CS theory and used them to explain certain properties of the Fractional Q uantum $H$ all E ect (FQHE).

In this paper we report on our work on the form ulation of non-com m utative C S theory where the spatial slice, an in nite strip, is a manifold $w$ ith boundaries. It can serve to describe noncom m utative Q HE in such a strip .
$T$ here have been previous attem pts to carry out such a form ulation on a disc and on a halfplane in the presence of spatial non-com $m$ utativity $\mid$ can be traced to the absence of a well-de ned ?-product for these types ofm anifolds. W e discuss this problem in the beginning of section 3 in som e detail to better explain our motivation and the need for the $m$ atrix C S m odel we introduce afterw ards. R ecently, P inzul and Stem [ī $]_{1}$ ] have studied the CS theory w ritten on a non-com m utative plane with a hole'. They have show $n$ that in this case the algebra of observables is a nonlinear deform ation of the $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ algebra. In another work [iqull, Lizzi, V itale and Zam pini have form ulated a fuzzy disc, on which the non-com m utative CS theory can be form ulated. It has overlaps w ith our work here and has also points of contact w ith [15].

O urm odel stem s from taking gauge elds as operators supported on an $N+$-dim ensional subspace of the H ibert space, where $\mathrm{N} ; 2 \mathrm{Z}^{+}$and $\mathrm{N} \in 0$. It is spanned by the eigenstates of a sim ple harm onic oscillator associated to the underlying $M$ oyal plane. $T$ he resultant $m$ atrix CS $m$ odelhas a fiuzy edge and it becom es the required shanp edge when $N$ and ! 1 in a suitable sense. The non-com mutative CS theory on the strip is de ned by this lim iting procedure. In th is fram ew ork we perform the canonical constraint analysis for the nite-dim ensionalm atrix C S m odel and nd out that there are edge observables in the theory generating a Lie algebra w ith properties sim ilar to that of a non-abelian $K$ acM oody algebra. O ur study show s that there are $(+1)^{2} \backslash a b e l i a n "$ charges (observables) given by the $m$ atrix elem ents $\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ and $\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{n m}$ (where norm $N$ ) of the gauge elds, that obey certain standard canonical commutation relations. In addition, the theory contains three unique \non-abelian" charges, localized near the $\mathrm{N}^{\text {th }}$ level. $W$ e show that all \non-abelian" edge observables, except these three can be constructed from the $(+1)^{2}$ \abelian" charges above. U sing som e of the results of this analysis we discuss in detail the lim it where this $m$ atrix $m$ odel approxim ates the CS theory on the in nite strip. Finally, we discuss the com $m$ utative lim it of our $m$ odel, where we also give a closed form ula for the central charge of the K acM oody-like algebra of the non-com m utative CS theory in term s of the diagonal coherent state $m$ atrix elem ents of operators and star products.

The organization of our paper is as follows: In section 2 we start with a brief review of the form ulation of edge currents in a com m utative CS theory on an in nite strip. Here we also dem onstrate how one can form ulate these edge e ects while working on the fullplane and encoding the boundary structure in the test functions. T he next three sections system atically develop the ideas outlined in the last paragraph. W e conclude w ith high lighting the $m$ ain results of our work and their possible physical signi cance.

## 2 E dge C urrents Revisited

Here we brie y review the derivation of $K a c M$ oody algebra obeyed by edge observables in an abelian $C$ hem-Sim ons theory. R esults sum $m$ arized in this section are wellestablished and extensively discussed in $[\overline{9}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$. W e consider the sim plest case of an in nite strip (say in $x_{2}$ direction) in $R^{2} \quad R^{1}$, where $R^{-1}$ stands for time. This form ulation closely follow $s$ the one given in [1010 for a disc. H ere we also describe another way in which we can work on the fillplane and encode the boundary structure in the dom ains of the test functions. This will serve as a prototype approadh we shalluse for the nite-dim ensionalm atrix CS m odel.

Let $x \quad\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ denote the points of $R^{2}$. The strip $T$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=f\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) 2 R^{2} \quad L \quad x \quad L g: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The usual action for the abelian Chem-Sim ons theory on $T$ is

$$
S=\frac{Z}{4}_{T R^{1}}^{Z} A^{\wedge} d A \quad A=A d x:
$$

The gauge elds satisfy the equal tim e P oisson B rackets (P B .'s)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fA}_{i}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{A}_{j}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}^{0}\right) \mathrm{g}=\boldsymbol{"}_{\mathrm{ij}} \frac{2}{}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}^{1} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j=1 ; 2$ and $"_{12}=\quad "_{21}=1$.
From the variation of $S$ under $A_{0}$ we have the $G$ auss law constraint, which can be w ritten by introducing test functions ${ }^{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left({ }^{0}\right)=\frac{Z}{2}^{Z} \quad{ }^{0}(x) d A(x) \quad 0: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D$ i erentiability of $g\left({ }^{0}\right)$ imposes the condition ${ }_{\text {@t }}^{0}=0 . U \operatorname{sing}(\overline{3})$ and ( $\left.\overline{1} \mathbf{1}\right)$ it can be shown that $g\left({ }^{0}\right)$ are rst class constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fg}\binom{0}{1} ; g\binom{0}{2} g \quad 0 ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and generate the gauge transform ations A ! A $d^{0}$.
$T$ he charges of this theory are

$$
q()=\frac{Z}{2}_{T}^{Z} d \wedge A:
$$

Since they are nst class:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f q() ; g\left({ }^{0}\right) g \quad 0 ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

they constitute the observables of this theory. W e note that are test functions associated w ith q()$^{\prime}$ 's and unlike ${ }^{0}$ they in general do not vanish on ©T.

N ow note that for $1 \quad 2=0$,

$$
q\left(1_{1}\right) \quad q(2)=g\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{8}
\end{array}\right) \quad 0:
$$

This $m$ eans that test functions which are equal on＠T generate charges which are equalm odula constraints．Thus，$q()$ are indeed edge observables．They generate the transform ations A ！ A d which do not necessarily vanish on＠T．

The P B．＇s of charges can be identi ed to be the $U(1) K$ ac $M$ oody algebra on $@ T$ ，since

$$
f q\left(~_{1}\right) ; q\left(~_{2}\right) g=\frac{Z}{2} \mathrm{~d}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2}{ }_{@ T} \mathrm{~d}_{2}:
$$

C hoosing the basis for the test functions on＠T as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{x_{1}=L}=e^{i k_{1} x_{2}} ; \quad 2_{x_{1}=L}=e^{i k_{2} x_{2}} ; \quad 1_{x_{1}=L}=0 ; \quad 2_{x_{1}=L}=0 ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\underset{1}{9})$ can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f q\left(1_{1}\right) ; q(2) g=i k_{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the usual form of the $U$（1）K ac $-M$ oody algebra．
A $n$ equivalent form ulation of the above can be given as follow s ．C onsider the C S theory on $R^{2} \quad R^{1}$ ．H ow ever，now the spatial com ponents of the gauge elds are supported in the region $\dot{x}_{1} j \mathrm{~L}$（i．e．in $T$ ），whereas $A_{0}$ has support in $\dot{j}_{1} j<L$ ．Obviously，（ $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} 2 \mathrm{~T}$ ，otherw ise its R H S．vanishes．

From the variation of $S$ under $A_{0}$ we still have the $G$ auss law constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left({ }^{0}\right)=\frac{Z}{2}_{R^{2}}{ }^{0} d A \quad 0 ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here now the integration is over $R^{2}$ ．The condition that ${ }^{0}$＇s are supported in $\dot{x}_{1} j<L$ follow $s$ from that of $A_{0}$ which is of course the same as that of $A_{0}$ ．Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=0 \quad \text { for } \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1} j \quad \mathrm{~L}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results of the canonical analysis（given in $\left.\left(\overline{(⿹ 丁 口 ⿹ 丁 口 N}_{1}\right)-\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)\right)$ go through where now integrals are over all $R^{2}$ and ${ }^{0}$ is as given in（112）．This establishes $G\left({ }^{0}\right)^{\prime}$＇s as rst class constraints and

$$
Q()=\frac{Z}{2}_{R^{2}}^{Z} d^{\wedge} A
$$

as the observables．C learly，can be supported on all of $R^{2}$ ，but if it is supported only on $R^{2} n T$ （i．e．$\dot{x}_{1} j>L$ ）then we im m ediately see from（14

For $1 \quad 2=0$ we have

$$
Q\left(1_{1}\right) \quad Q(2)=G\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{15}
\end{array}\right) \quad 0:
$$

It follow s from this and the rem ark after（14）that $Q()$ are observables localized at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}$ ． T he P B ．＇s of charges gives the K ac－M oody algebra：

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f Q\left(1_{1}\right) ; Q()_{2}\right) g=\bar{R}_{R^{2}} d_{1} \wedge d_{2}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A suitable choige for 's to com pute this algebra at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\quad\left(x_{1} \quad L\right) e^{j k_{i} x_{2}} ; \quad(i=1 ; 2) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{1} \quad L\right)$ is the step function centered at $x_{1}=L$ with $(0)=1$. Then we have from (1才) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f Q(1) ; Q(2) g=i k_{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as $\left(\mathbb{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$. In order to get the algebra of observables at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}$ one has to replace ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=1 \quad\left(x_{1}+L\right) e^{i k_{1} x_{2}}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This way of treating edge properties is not com pletely new and has exam ples in planar system s of condensed $m$ atter physics [1111]. W e now tum our attention to the treatm ent of the noncom $m$ utative case.

## 3 N on-com m utative Chern-S im ons Theory on the In n ite Strip

3.1 Rem arks on the $N$ on-com m utative C S theory on a M an ifold w ith B oundaries

A s pointed out by $[\underline{[ }$ $m$ anifold $w$ ith boundaries (such as a disc, an in nite strip etc.) presents serious di culties. In fact the problem underlying such a form ulation is that the standard ?-product on the M oyalplane is no longer valid on $m$ anifolds $w$ th boundaries. To $m$ ake this point clear and supply enough $m$ athem atical basis for this assertion let us consider rst the $C$ hem-Sim ons action on the M oyal plane w th no boundaries:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{NCcs}}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{4} \quad \mathrm{dx}_{0} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { A } \quad ?_{\mathrm{M}} @ A+\frac{2}{3} A \quad ?_{\mathrm{M}} A \quad ?_{\mathrm{M}} \quad A \quad: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(; ;=0 ; 1 ; 2), x_{0}$ is tim $e x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are coordinates on the $M$ oyal plane and $"_{123}=1$.
The M oyal algebra is characterized by the ?-product

$$
\begin{equation*}
f ?_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(@ \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{x}_{2}} \quad @ \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{\left.\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)} \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right): ~: ~\right.} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ning the ?-com $m$ utator of $f$ and $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}]_{?_{\mathrm{M}}}=\mathrm{f} ?_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{f} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

the spatial non-com $m$ utativity can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right]_{?_{M}}=\mathrm{i} ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the non-com $m$ utativity param eter.

N aively, one m ay consider $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{C}$ c s on a m anifold w ith boundaries, say the in nite strip T , and w rite the $G$ auss law constraint as

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(0^{0}\right)=\overline{2}_{T} d^{2} x "_{i j}{ }^{0}\left(@_{i} A_{j}+A_{i} ?_{M} A_{j}\right) \quad 0 ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(i ; j=1 ; 2)$ and $0{ }_{@ T}=0$. H ow ever, this expression as well as the $S_{N C C S}$ when w ritten on the strip $T$ is not well de ned. This is because ? ${ }_{M}$ product does not exist on T. To prove this fact we note that the formula for the $?_{M}$ product in $\left[2 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ contains the exponential of the di erential operator $\quad i Q_{x_{1}}$. W ith the usual de nition of its dom ain $i Q_{x_{1}}$ generates translations so that $e^{i\left(i c @_{x_{1}}\right)}$ translates functions of $x_{1}$ by $c: e^{i\left(i c e_{x_{1}}\right)} \quad\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1}+c\right)$. C onsequently, if has support [ L;L], $\mathrm{e}^{j\left(\text { ic }_{x_{1}}\right)}$ does not, and $?_{M}$ is not de ned on functions supported in [ L;L] [12 [12].

A ltematively, to circum vent the im possible task of obtaining a well-de ned and usefiul ?product on these types ofm anifolds we propose a nite-dim ensionalm atrix $m$ odelw here the edges are fiuzzy. It becom es the C S theory on a non-com m utative in nite strip in the lim it where the size of the $m$ atrices approaches in nity. In this respect, weem phasize that our approach is com pletely di erent from the previous attem pts in the literature as the follow ing section illustrates.

### 3.2 The M atrix M odel

W e now describe our m atrix $m$ odel. Since we will be working in the operator form alism in this subsection, we discrim inate the operators from the elem ents of the corresponding M oyal? algebra of functions by putting a hat symbol on the elem ents of the form er. Thus the M oyal plane is described by operators $\hat{x}_{i}(i=1 ; 2) w$ th the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{x}_{1} ; \hat{x}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{i}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To supply the $m$ athem atical basis for our argum ents we think of a sim ple harm on ic oscillator in the $\mathrm{x}_{1}$-direction, described by the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{\widehat{\mathrm{x}}_{2}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the oscillation frequency ! $=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{m}}}$. N ow consider the H ilbert space $H$ spanned by the eigenstates of this H am iltonian. In the $m$ atrix CS m odelwew ill construct H w illbe associated to the underlying $M$ oyal plane, and its nite-dim ensional subspaces $w$ ill serve as the carrier spaces of the operators that we are going to introduce in our matrix m odel. M ore precisely, we will de ne these operators in term s of their action on the elem ents of a nite-dim ensional subspace of $H$ and its orthogonal com plem ent.
$T$ he num ber of energy eigenstates of this H am iltonian below the energy $\mathrm{E}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~kL}{ }^{2}$ w ith L being the $m$ axim um classical am plitude (given by the location of the edges) is nite and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{\mathrm{kL}^{2}+!}{2!} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[\frac{\mathrm{kL}^{2}+!}{2!}\right]$ is the largest integer sm aller than $\frac{\mathrm{kL}^{2}+!}{2!}$. These $M$ states span a subspace $H_{M}$ of the harm onic oscillator $H$ ilbert space $H$ and they can be taken as an orthonorm al basis in
 oscillation amplitude $L$ xed while increasing $k$ (i.e. steepening the potential) results in larger num ber of states $w$ ith energy $E \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~kL}^{2}$. H ereafter we keep! xed unless otherw ise stated. Let us consider a level K w ith K M . Then the large K M levels w ith energy E $\frac{1}{2} k L^{2}$ get localized near the edges $x_{1}=L$, since their probability am plitudes are $m$ axim um there. On the contrary, those levels w ith $\mathrm{E} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~kL}^{2}$ are localized well inside $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{L}$ for large M . We also note that all levels decay exponentially outside $\dot{x}_{1} j \quad$ L. Linear operators on $H_{M}$ which are zero on the orthogonal com plem ent $\mathrm{H} \stackrel{?}{\mathrm{M}}$ behave also in a sim ilar fashion. Later we will show that diagonal coherent state $m$ atrix elem ents of operators, say like $k><K j w i t h K \quad M \quad w i l l$ peak near $L$ for all $j_{2} j<M$ for large $M$, while those $w$ ith $K$ much less than $M$ acquire maxima $w$ thin $\mathrm{x}_{1} j<L$. The characteristic $w$ idth of the peaks at $L$, which gets narrower as M gets larger, gives us a natural scale to which we w ill relate the thickness ' of the \fuzzy edge" of our $m$ atrix $m$ odel.

For the nite-dim ensional m atrix CS theory we have in $m$ ind, we treat spatial com ponents of the gauge elds as operators supported on this $M$-dim ensional subspace $H_{M}$ of $H$. This and the physical picture described above for the underlying $M$ oyal plane im ply two im m ediate consequences:

It is those states contained w ithin the thickness ' of the edges that $w$ illbe responsible for the edge observables in our nite-dim ensionalm atrix CS m odel;

The M ! 1 lim it of this $m$ atrix CS theory can be taken to de ne the non-com $m$ utative CS theory on the in nite strip $w$ ith boundaries at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}$.

A fter writing the $m$ atrix C S m odel and perform ing the constraint analysis for $\mathbb{I}^{2}$, we will nd these observables, and we w ill have the necessary inform ation to explain and elaborate on the properties of the large $M$ lim it and how it is realized.

We now split $M$ as $M=N+w h e r e N ; 2 Z^{+}$and $N \in 0$, and use this separation of the total dim ension to de ne the dom ains and the ranges of our operators. In our form alism the gauge elds $\hat{A} ;(=0 ; i$ where $i=1 ; 2)$ are antiH em itian operators that we choose to take in the way given below :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{A}_{i}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}+\quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}+; \quad \hat{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{H}} \stackrel{?}{\mathrm{~N}}+\quad=\mathrm{f} 0 \mathrm{~g} ; \\
& \hat{A_{0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 ; \quad \hat{\mathrm{A}_{0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{?} \quad=\mathrm{f} 0 \mathrm{~g}: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Here for any $K 2 Z^{+}, H_{K}^{?}$ denotes the orthogonal com plem ent of $H_{K}$. The fact that $\hat{A_{0}}$ is nonzero only on $H_{N} \quad 1$ and not on $H_{N}+$ should be noted. The reason behind this condition $w$ ill be explained later in connection $w$ ith the canonical analysis of the $m$ odel.

If jn $>$ denotes the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ norm alized energy level and $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nm}}}$ is the operator given by $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nm}}}=$ jn $><m$ j then we can w rite,

$$
\hat{A}_{i}=\hat{N}_{n, m=0}^{(\mathbb{X}} \mathrm{X}^{1+}\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{n m} \hat{P_{n m}} ; \quad\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{n m}=0 \text { for } n \text { or } m>N \quad 1+:
$$

[^1]For $\hat{A}_{0}$, the sam e equation is valid if we replace $N \quad 1+w$ ith $N \quad 2$. It also follow N from $\hat{A^{Y}}=\hat{A^{\prime}}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\hat{A^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathrm{nm}}=\hat{A^{\wedge}}\right)_{\mathrm{mn}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here is another way to express (2, $\overline{1} \mathbf{\prime})$. For this let us introduce the orthogonal pro jector $\hat{1}_{\mathrm{K}}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{I}_{K}=\hat{X}^{1}\left(\hat{I}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)_{\mathrm{nm}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{n m}}=\mathrm{XX}^{1}()_{\mathrm{nm}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nm}}}=\hat{\mathrm{X}}^{1} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nn}}} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}=0 \quad \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}=0 \quad \mathrm{n}=0 \\
& \left(\hat{1}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)_{\mathrm{nm}}=()_{\mathrm{nm}}=0 \text { for } \mathrm{n} \text { or } \mathrm{m}>\mathrm{K} \quad 1 \text { : } \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2") is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A_{i}}=\hat{I}_{N}+\hat{A_{i}} \hat{I}_{N}+\quad ; \quad \hat{A_{0}}=\hat{I_{N}} \quad \hat{A_{0}} \hat{I}_{N} \quad: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $C$ hem-Sim ons Lagrangian for the $m$ odel reads (up to a total space derivative)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{NCCS}}=\frac{-}{2} "_{i j} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\hat{A}_{i} \hat{A}_{j}}+2 \hat{A_{0}}\left(@_{i} \hat{A_{j}}+\hat{\hat{A}_{i}} \hat{A_{j}}\right) ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{A}_{j}=@_{0} \hat{A}_{j}$, trace is over the $H$ ilbert space $H$, and derivations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.@_{i}(:)=\frac{\text { i }_{i j}}{}{ }_{i j} ;(:)\right]: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Several rem arks about properties of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Nc}} \mathrm{Ccs}$ are in order. F irst, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Nccs}}$ changes by total derivatives under in nitesim al gauge transform ations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A^{n}}!\hat{A^{n}}+@^{\wedge}+i\left[\hat{A^{\wedge}} i^{\wedge}\right] ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge}$ is a m atrix $w$ th in nitesim al elem ents. $N$ ext, as in Chem-Sim ons theory on a com mutative $m$ anifold, the conjugate $m$ om enta ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{0}$ to $\hat{A_{0}}$ are weakly equal to zero and rst class, thus $\hat{A_{0}}$ is not an observable and can be elim inated from the rest of our discussion.

The equal tim eP B s of $\left(\hat{A}_{i}\right)_{n m}$ can be written as
which in the operator form alism is the statem ent that $\left(\hat{A}_{1}\right)_{n m}$ and $\left(\hat{A_{2}}\right)_{\text {rs }}$ are canonically con jugate. In term $s$ of the operators $\hat{A}_{i}$ in ( $2 \bar{q}$ ), this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{i} ; \hat{A_{j}}=\frac{1}{(N+) "_{i j}{ }_{n=0}^{N} X^{1+}} \hat{P_{n n}}=\frac{1}{(N+) "_{i j} \hat{I}_{N}+: ~} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now tum our attention to the canonical constraint analysis of this $m$ odel.

### 3.3 C anonical A nalysis of the $M$ atrix $M$ odel

From the variation of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{ccs} \mathrm{w}$ th respect to $\hat{\mathrm{A}_{0}}$, we have the G auss law constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{j} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{A_{0}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{i} \hat{A_{j}}+\hat{A_{i}} \hat{A_{j}}\right) \quad 0: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\hat{A_{0}}$ is not zero only in $H_{N} \quad$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)="_{i j} \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{\wedge}\left(@_{i} \hat{A_{j}}+\hat{A_{i}} \hat{A}_{j}\right) \quad 0 ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ is of the same form as $\hat{A_{0}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 ; \quad{ }^{\wedge} 0_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{N}} \stackrel{?}{2} \quad=\mathrm{f} 0 \mathrm{~g} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where we have changed the sign of ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{9})$ com pared to $(\overline{3} \overline{\underline{q}} \overline{\text { g }}$ ) for future convenience. In term $s$ of the orthogonal pro jectors introduced in ( $31 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge^{\wedge}=\hat{I}_{N} \quad 1^{\wedge 0} \hat{I}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the basis spanned by $f \hat{\mathcal{P}_{n m}} \hat{g}^{g}$ we have

A nti-hem ticity requires that $\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)_{n m}=\left({ }^{0}\right)_{m n}$.
Equation $(\underline{3} \overline{3} \overline{9})$ is the statem ent ofG auss law in ourm atrix $m$ odeland ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ 's are non-com $m$ utative analogues of the test functions of the com $m$ utative C S theory.
\Integrating" by parts $g\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)$ can be w ritten as

Here the rst term is the trace of a \total derivative" on a nite-dim ensional H illert space and It van ishes. $N$ ote that $@_{i}\left({ }^{\wedge}{ }^{0} \hat{A}_{j}\right)$ is identically zero in $f H \stackrel{?}{\mathrm{~N}}+{ }_{+1} g$. Hence, in our matrix $m$ odelwe can write the $G$ auss law as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\wedge^{0}\right)="_{i j} \operatorname{Tr} \quad \mathbb{Q}^{\wedge} \hat{0}^{0} \hat{A_{j}}+{ }^{\wedge} \hat{A}_{i} \hat{A^{\prime}}{ }_{j} \quad 0: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions on ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ translate to those on $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\wedge}{ }^{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{C}_{i}{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \quad\left(\mathfrak{C}_{i}{ }^{\wedge 0}\right) \mathrm{H}_{\stackrel{?}{\mathrm{~N}}}=\mathrm{f} 0 \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{C}_{i}{ }^{0}\right)=\hat{I}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\wedge 0}\right) \hat{I}_{\mathrm{N}}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider now the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\hat{\prime})=\quad{ }_{i j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{Q_{1}} b_{\hat{A_{j}}}+b_{\hat{A_{i}} \hat{A_{j}}}\right) ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary operator b. A straightforw ard calculation show $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{fq}\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right) ; q\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2}\right) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{b}_{2}\right]\right) \quad \quad \prod_{i j} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right) \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{j} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $b_{i}=\wedge_{i}^{0}(i=1 ; 2)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\binom{\wedge_{i}^{0}}{i}=g\binom{0}{i}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A lso w ith this substitution the central term in (4)
where we have once $m$ ore $m$ ade use of the fact that the trace of a total derivative term van ishes on a nite-dim ensional H ilbert space. Thusfor the P B.'s of $g\left({ }^{\wedge}{ }^{0}\right)$ we nd

$$
\operatorname{fg}\binom{\wedge}{1} ; g\binom{\wedge}{2} g=g\left[\begin{array}{c}
\wedge  \tag{51}\\
1
\end{array} ; \wedge_{2}^{0}\right] \quad 0 ;
$$

assuring that $g\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)$ are rst class constraints.
 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(@_{i}{ }^{\wedge}\right) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2=0 ; \quad\left(@_{i}{ }^{\wedge}\right)^{0} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{?} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}^{?} \quad 2 \text {; } \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\hat{1}_{N} \quad{ }^{\wedge}{ }^{0} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the basis spanned by $f i \hat{P}_{n m} g$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge^{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{A}} \quad i_{1}^{\wedge 0} \hat{n m}_{\mathrm{P}_{n m}} ; \quad \wedge_{\mathrm{nm}}^{0}=0 \text { for } n \text { or } m<N \quad 1: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nti-herm iticity gives $\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)_{n m}=\quad\left({ }^{0}\right)_{\mathrm{mn}}$.
N ow note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\wedge)=q\left(\wedge^{0}+\wedge^{\wedge}\right)=q\left(\wedge^{\wedge}\right)+g\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad q\left(\hat{( }^{0}\right): \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext, from ( $4 \overline{4} \bar{q})$ and ( $\overline{5} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[{ }^{\wedge 0} ;{ }^{\wedge}\right]=0: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\left[\wedge ;{ }_{2}^{\wedge}\right]=\left[\wedge^{0}+\wedge_{1}^{0} ;{ }_{2}^{\wedge}{ }_{2}^{0}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\wedge  \tag{57}\\
1
\end{array} ;{ }_{2}^{0}\right]
$$

which is of the form ful lling (

It now follow s at once that the PB.s of q( ${ }^{\wedge}$ ) w th the G auss law is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{fq}\left({ }^{\wedge}\right) ; q\left({ }_{2}^{0}\right) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{fq}(\hat{\prime}) ; g\left({ }_{2}^{0}\right) \mathrm{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=g\left(\hat{1}{ }_{1}^{0} ;_{2}^{\wedge}\right]\right) \quad 0 \text {; } \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

where the \œentral term" vanishes. This is because $@_{i}{ }^{\wedge}{ }^{0}$ is projected to $H_{N}+$, and due to antisym $m$ etry of the indioes it becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1 j} \operatorname{Tr} @_{j}\left(\hat{1}_{N}+{@_{i}}^{\wedge} \hat{1}_{N}+{ }_{2}^{0}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is zero on a nite-dim ensional H ilbert space.
In fact one can take the vanishing of the central term as a requirem ent on ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ 's and nd out that the $m$ axim ally large subspace of $H$ where ${ }^{\wedge 0}$ is supported and for which ( 5 (5]) holds is $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{1}$. This explains how we arrive at the particular form of the operators for $\hat{A_{0}}$ and ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ given in ( $\overline{2} \overline{G_{1}}$ ) and $(\overline{4} \overline{\underline{q}})$ respectively.

A s a consequence of ( $\overline{5} \overline{-1} \overline{-1}), q\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)$ 's with ${ }^{\wedge}={ }^{\wedge} 0^{\circ}{ }^{\wedge} 0$ are rst class, and they constitute a set of non-abelian observables of our $m$ atrix $m$ odel.

Furtherm ore, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{1}_{1}=\wedge^{\wedge}+\wedge_{1}^{0} ; \quad \wedge_{2}=\wedge^{\wedge}+\wedge_{2}^{0} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(\wedge_{1}\right) \quad q\left(\hat{\zeta}_{2}\right)=g\left(\wedge_{1} \quad \wedge_{2}\right) \quad 0 ; \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

im plying that the actions of $q\left(\wedge_{1}\right)$ and $q\left(\wedge_{2}\right)$ on physical states give the sam e result. Finally, we com pute the P B sofq( )'s and nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{fq}\left(\hat{\iota}_{1}\right) ; q\left(\wedge_{2}\right) g=q\left(\hat{\Gamma}_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right]\right) \quad \ln _{j} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{I}_{N}+\left(\mathbb{@}_{i} \wedge_{1}\right) \hat{I}_{N}+\left(@_{j} \wedge_{2}\right): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to discuss im plication of these results w e need one $m$ ore ingredient, th is is contributed by the PB.
for $n$ orm $\quad N$ and for $n=m=N \quad 1$. Thus $\left.\hat{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\right)_{n m}$ for $n$ orm $\quad N$ and $\left.\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ are observables of our $m$ atrix theory. The algebra of these observables is standard and given by ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{\text { In }}$ ) together w th the same restrictions on indices $r$ and $s$ as on $n$ and $m$. It is easy to see that for xed $N$ and there are $(+1)^{2}$ of these observables. For instance, for $=0$ we have $\left(A_{i}\right)_{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ as an observable, for $=1$, we have $\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{N}} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1,\left(\hat{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{N}} ;\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{N} N} 1 ;\left(\hat{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{N}} 1 \mathrm{~N}$ as observables.

From ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ we see that the observables $q$ ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) generate a nite-dim ensional Lie algebra w ith properties sim ilar to a non-abelian K acM oody algebra. The di erences are that th is Lie algebra is nite-dim ensional and its central charge is $m$ odi ed, due to the appearance of the pro jectors $\hat{I}_{\mathrm{N}+}$ in the expression.

Independently of the value of, $\mathrm{q}\left(\hat{)}\right.$ ) is nonzero for nonzero entries of ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{N} 1 N_{N} ;^{\wedge}{ }_{N} 1 N_{N}$ and
 xed $N$ and the rest of such non-abelian observables are $(+2)^{2} \quad 1 \quad 3=(+4)$ in num ber and they can be constructed from the $(+1)^{2}$ observables $\left(\hat{A_{i}}\right)_{n m}$ given above.

W e now investigate the lim it M ! 1 .

## 4 The Large M Lim it

First we introduce the coherent state je by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k}>=e^{\left.\frac{1}{2} \dot{z}\right\}^{X^{\prime}}} \underset{r=0}{p \frac{z^{r}}{r_{r}}} \dot{j} r>; \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{a} j \dot{z}}>=\mathrm{z} \dot{\mathrm{z}}>,\left\langle\mathrm{z} \dot{\mathrm{j}}>=1\right.$ and $\left[\hat{a} ; \hat{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=$.
The diagonal coherent state elem ent of the operator $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}} \quad 1 \mathrm{M} \quad 1=\mathbb{M} \quad 1><\mathrm{M} \quad 1$ j reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{M} \quad 1(z ; z)=\frac{1}{1}<z \mathbb{M} \quad 1><M \quad 1 \dot{j}>=e^{\frac{j z j^{2}}{}} \frac{\left.\dot{z}^{2}{ }^{(M} 1\right)}{M}(M \quad 1)! \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have included the nom alization factor $\frac{1}{}$ so that ${ }^{R} d^{2} z P(z ; z)=1$. From the de nitions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1}=\frac{L}{2} \frac{L}{\left(M \frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\hat{a}+\hat{a}^{y}\right) ; \quad \hat{x}_{2}=\frac{\left.i \overline{(M} \frac{1}{2}\right)}{L}\left(\hat{d} \quad \hat{a}^{v}\right) ; \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can deduce the relation betw een $z=\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)$ and $x=\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ to be
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dot{\mathrm{K}} \tilde{J}^{2}=\mathrm{z}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{z}_{2}^{2}=\mathbb{M} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{L}^{2}}{4\left(\mathbb{M} \frac{1}{2}\right)} x_{2}^{2}: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M} \quad 1\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) \quad P_{M} \quad 1(z ; z): \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqn. (65) we see $P_{M}{ }_{1}$ hasmaxim a ${ }_{1}^{Z_{1}}$ at the value $z=z_{0}$ given by $\dot{z}_{0} \xlongequal[J]{\rho}=(\mathbb{M} \quad 1$ ). This im plies that the function $Q_{M} \quad 1\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ has $m$ axim a on an ellipse given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\frac{M}{M} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} L^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{\frac{4^{2}}{L^{2}}(M} \quad 1\right)\left(M \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad=1 ; \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

the axes of the ellipse being given by $\frac{q}{\frac{M}{M} \frac{1}{2}} L$ and $\frac{2}{L} \quad \begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{L} & (M)(M) & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right) & \text { respectively. N ow }\end{array}$ holding constant and taking $M$ large we see from $F$ igure 1 that the $m$ axim a of $Q_{M} \quad 1\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ have the geom etry of an ellipse which is extended along the $x_{2}$ direction. For large $M$ and xed and $L$ this conchision is also seen from the ratio of sem im a jor axis of the ellipse to its sem im inor axis, which is approxim ately $\frac{2}{L} \quad M: L$. From this reasoning and from $F$ igure 1 and $F$ igure 2 we conclude that the extended elliptical geom etry in the lim it M ! 1 converges to an in nite


Figure 1: Sample plot of $Q_{M}{ }_{1}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ for $M=100 . H$ ere the axes are labeled by $y:=\frac{x_{1}}{L}$ and ! := $\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2} \mathrm{x}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~T}$ he geom etry approxim ates an in nite strip along $w$ axis $w$ ith peaks localized at $\mathrm{y}=1$ asM ! 1 .


Figure 2: B low up of $Q_{M}{ }_{1}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ for $M=$ 100 and j! j 65.
strip along the $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ axis $w$ ith peaks at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}$. This proves our assertion in section 32 about the behavior of diagonal coherent state $m$ atrix elem ents of operators on $H_{M}$ for large $M$.

In order to get a $m$ easure of the shanpness of them axim of ${ }_{M} \quad 1$, we now ogm pute the $w$ idth of the function $Q_{M} \quad 1$ at $x_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{\frac{M}{M} \frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}=0$, the com putation for $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~L}} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}=0$ being sim ilar. It $m$ ay also be noted that although we do the com putation at $x_{2}=0$ our result is valid for all $\mathrm{j}_{2} j$ independent of M in the large M lim it, where the geom etry is that of an in nite strip. Let the width of the $m$ axim a of the function $Q_{M} \quad{ }_{1}$ by denoted by $x_{1}$. We estim ate $x_{1}$ by im posing the requirem ent that $Q_{M} \quad 1\left(x_{1}+\frac{1}{2} x_{1} ; x_{2}=0\right)=\frac{1}{e} Q_{M} \quad 1\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}=0\right)$. For large values of $M$, the width $X_{1}$ can be obtained (using $M$ aple) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1 m!1}!\quad \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{2}{M}} L+O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right): \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the width $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ gets narrower as M gets larger. It is how ever, im portant to rem ark the follow ing: The value of the $m$ axim a of $Q_{M} \quad 1$ for large $M$ is proportional to $+\frac{1}{\bar{M}}$. This im plies that for $R^{x e d}$, the height of the peaks decreases asM gets larger. $N$ evertheless, the norm alization integral $Q_{M} 1\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) d^{2} x=1$ is preserved. A rough estim ate of the volum e under the graph of $Q_{M} \quad 1$ would be enough to see that th is is the case. For large values of $M$, the width $x_{1} \frac{1}{\bar{M}}$,

[^2]the height $h \quad \frac{1}{\bar{M}}$ and $x_{2}$ extends to order $M$. Thus for large values of $M$, the volum e under the graph is independent of $M$.

It is natural to take the thickness ' of the 'fuzzy edge"'s in ourm atrix m odel to be given by the characteristic $w$ idth $x_{1}$ of $Q_{M} \quad$. ASM ! 1 we have $x_{1}=p \frac{L}{\bar{M}}!0$, and consequently we get shanp boundaries (i.e. thin edges) at $L$. Thus this lim it de nes the non-com $m$ utative CS theory on the in nite strip.

W e end this section by estim ating the spacing betw een the edge states of ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}$ urm odel. F irst recall that for a given $2 \mathrm{Z}^{+}$, the num ber of edge states in ourm atrix model is $\frac{\mathrm{p}}{(+2)^{2} 1}+2$. Let $(M)$ be the spacing of these edge states. A s argued before, the thidkness ' of the \furzy edge" is given by $\mathrm{x}_{1} . W$ e therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{M})(+2)=x_{1}: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, we note that by construction of our m odel we have $0<M$. This enables us to extract both an upper bound and a low er bound for the spacing of the edge states $(\mathbb{M})$. Thus in the large M lim it, using (71) and (72), we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(M) \quad v=\frac{r}{\frac{2}{M}} L<M \quad(M) \quad \frac{P \overline{2} L}{M^{\frac{3}{2}}}<\quad(M) \quad P \frac{L}{M_{2} M^{\frac{1}{2}}}: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 C om m utative L im it

In this section, we would like to com $m$ ent on the com $m$ utative lim it of our $m$ atrix $m$ odel de ned by $M!1$ and ! 0. First, we de ne $F(z ; z):=\langle z \hat{F} \dot{j}\rangle$ for an arbitrary operator $\hat{F}$. T he standard star product is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F} ? \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z})=\langle\mathrm{z} \hat{F} \hat{\mathrm{G}} \hat{\mathrm{~J}}\rangle \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

M aking use of the form ula

$$
d^{2} z F(z ; z)=2 \quad \operatorname{Tr} \hat{F} ;
$$

we can express $[\overline{6} \bar{Z})$ in the diagonal coherent state representation as

$$
f q(1) ; q(2) g=\quad q\left([1 ; 2]_{?}\right) \quad \int_{2} "_{i j}^{Z} \quad d^{2} z 1_{M} \quad ?\left(@_{i} 1\right) ? 1_{M} \quad ?\left(@_{j} 2\right):
$$

The rst term in R H S. of the above expression vanishes as ! 0 since the ?-product becom es the ordinary product and the com m utator of functions i under ordinary product is zero. A lso
 K acM oody algebra of the edge observables of com $m$ utative C $S$ theory :

$$
\left.f q\left(1_{1}\right) ; q(2) g=\frac{Z}{4} "_{i j} d^{2} x \hat{@}_{i} 1\right)\left(\hat{@}_{j} 2\right):
$$

In getting this nal result we have $m$ ade use of the identity [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} z F(z ; z)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2} x \mathbb{E}^{巴}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) ; \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{F}^{E}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ denotes the M oyal representation of the operator $\hat{\mathrm{F}}$, and the fact that the $?_{\mathrm{M}}$ is rem oved under integration over the $M$ oyal plane.
$F$ inally it $m$ ay be noted that the param eter $m$ ay tend to zero in di erent fashions resulting to di erent geom etries in the com $m$ utative lim it. For exam ple, taking $\frac{1}{\bar{M}}$ still gives a strip geom etry in the large $M \lim$ it. In this case the height of them axim a of the function $Q_{M} \quad 1\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ at $x_{1}=L$ is constant, $x_{2}$ extends to $\bar{M}$ and $x_{1}$ varies as $p \frac{1}{\bar{M}}$. To be m ore precise for all M ! 1 as M ! 1 results in a strip geom etry. O $n$ the other hand, as discussed by Lizzi, V itale and Zam pini in $[\underline{[ }]$, the ! 0 lim it keeping the product $M$ xed gives the geom etry of a disc.

## 6 C oncluding Rem arks

In this w ork we have form ulated the $C$ hem-Sim ons theory on an in nite strip on the $M$ oyalplane. O ur form ulation involved the construction of a new m atrix C S m odelw hose features are associated w th the underlying M oyal plane. P erform ing canonical analysis revealed that this m atrix m odel has \fuzzy" edges whose thidkness ', we found out to be inversely proportional to $\bar{M}$ in the large M lim it. Thus in this lim it ourm atrix $m$ odelapproxim ated the non-com $m$ utative $C S$ theory on the in nite strip. O ur results show that the edge observables on the boundaries of the noncom m utative in nite strip are given by a nite-dim ensionalLie algebra $w$ ith properties sim ilar to that of a non-abelian K ac-M oody algebra. O ur ndings generalize the well-know n results of the usualC S theory to non-com $m$ utative $m$ anifoldsw ith boundaries, thereby opening new possibilities for the treatm ent of non-com m utative $Q$ uantum $H$ all $E$ ect and for other applications in such dom ains.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ To avoid any confusion that our notation $m$ ight create later on we note that the Fock basis we are using for H is the usual one where states are labeled starting $w$ ith quantum number 0 , therefore the top state in $H_{M}$ has the quantum number $M \quad 1$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} W$ e thank Fedele Lizzi for $h$ is private com $m$ unications to us regarding the nature of the $m$ axim $a$. $H$ is com $m$ ents together w ith the discussion in the paper by L izzi, V itale and Z am pini $\left[\underline{l} \mathrm{l}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ have led us to a better understanding of this issue.

