A Quantum HallFluid of Vortices

David Tong

Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A.

A bstract

In this note we dem onstrate that vortices in a non-relativistic Chem-Sim ons theory form a quantum Hall uid. We show that the vortex dynamics is controlled by the matrix mechanics previously proposed by Polychronakos as a description of the quantum Hall droplet. As the number of vortices becomes large, they lithe plane and a hydrodynamic treatment becomes possible, resulting in the non-commutative theory of Susskind. Key to the story is the recent Debrane realisation of vortices and their moduli spaces.

The Introduction

Chem-Sim ons theories [1] provide an elective, long distance description of the fractional quantum Halle ect (FQHE). In fact, they provide several such descriptions. The range of models on the market fall roughly into one of two categories depending on the physical interpretation of the vector potential A appearing in the A ^ F term. In the initial papers on the subject [2, 3], A acts as a statistical gauge eld of the type suggested by W ilczek [4]. Its role is to endow the excitations of the model with the charge and statistics appropriate to the quantum Hall system. Later works concentrate on hydrodynamic properties of the quantum Hall uid in which either A or F are vector elds associated with conserved currents and charge density [5, 6].

M ore recently, Susskind has suggested that the hydrodynam ic properties of the quantum Hall uid are captured by a Chem-Sim ons theory at level k, de ned on a non-commutative background [9]. The electrons sit at Laughlin lling fraction = 1 = (k+1) and the uid lls the in nite plane. Subsequently, Polychronakos proposed a matrix model regularisation of Susskind's theory in order to describe a nite quantum Hall droplet consisting of N electrons [10]. As N ! 1, the droplet expands to ll the plane and we recover Susskind's non-commutative dynamics. Several properties of this matrix model have since been explored, including the relationship to Laughlin wavefunctions [11, 12] and the coupling to external electromagnetic elds [13].

In this paper we study a non-relativistic Chem-Sim ons theory does not give an ordinary, mundane space in which the coordinates commute. The theory does not give an immediate description of a fractional quantum Hall uid, but rather de ness a background into which spin-polarised (i.e. spinless) electrons may be injected. These electrons arise as the vortices of the theory and we show that their quantum dynamics is controlled by the matrix model of Polychronakos. The vortices thus form a fractional quantum Hall droplet. As the number of vortices becomes large, they may be described by Susskind's hydrodynamic, non-commutative Chem-Sim ons theory.

The key to the connection between vortex dynam ics and the FQHE is provided by the recent string theory realisation of vortices and their moduli spaces given in [14]. While [14] considered vortices in the relativistic MaxwellHiggs theory, we here extend the results to the non-relativistic Chem-Simons case. Rather than present a new D-brane picture, we instead make use of known connections between vortex dynamics in

¹For readers whose brane activity usually takes place at the Planck scale, introductions to facets of the FQHE may be found in [2, 7, 8].

Maxwell and Chem-Sim ons theories [15, 16].

The observation that, under favourable conditions, vortices may form a quantum Hall uid is hardly new. It is implicit in the hierarchy construction of FQH states through the condensation of quasiparticles. In the context of superconductivity, it was rst suggested by Stem [17], motivated by the similarity between the Magnus force and the Lorentz force. Recently the idea has received much attention in the context of rotating Bose systems – see for example [18]. Here we give a simple, string theory inspired, derivation of this e ect.

The Vortex

The non-relativistic m odel that we consider consists of a single complex scalar eld , coupled to a U (1) gauge eld a ,

$$L = d^{2}x i^{y}D_{0} \frac{k}{4} \quad \text{a@a} \quad a_{0} \quad \mathcal{D}_{i} \dot{\mathcal{I}} \frac{2}{k} \dot{\mathcal{I}} \qquad 2$$
 (1)

where the covariant derivative is given by D=0 ia. The theory was previously proposed by M anton [15] as a non-dissipative m odel for vortex m otion in superconductors². Here a will play the role of a statistical gauge eld. The equation of m otion for a_0 yields G auss' law,

$$b = {}^{ij} @_i a_j = \frac{2}{k} \quad j \quad j^2$$
 (2)

The chem ical potential term a_0 ensures that the potential energy can be minimised by j = 0. The theory lives in a gapped phase with broken gauge symmetry, and therefore admits topologically stable vortices with winding number N 2 Z

$$d^2x b = 2 N (3)$$

The coe cients in (1) are not arbitrary. Firstly, we require that the Chem-Sim ons level is quantized: $k \ 2 \ Z \ . \ W \ e \ pick \ k \ > \ 0$. Secondly, the coe cients in the potential energy have been ne-tuned so that the second order equations of motion may be integrated once [19]. It can be checked that, for winding number $N \ > \ 0$, the equations of motion are satisfied by solutions to (2) together with the rst order equation,

$$D_{\tau} = 0 \tag{4}$$

 $^{^2}$ Strictly speaking, the Lagrangian agrees with that of [15] only after in posing G auss' law .

where we have de ned the complex coordinate $z=x^1+ix^2$ and $a_z=\frac{1}{2}(a_1-ia_2)$. The energy required to excite N such vortices is E=2 N . This formula contains no hint of binding energy and, indeed, it can be shown that that there are no static forces between the vortices. Note that because equation (2) comes from Gauss' law, vortices with this property exist only for N > 0.

Although our starting point was a non-relativistic Chem-Sim ons theory, the vortex equations (2), (3) and (4) coincide with those arising in the relativistic Maxwell-Higgs model. The solutions to these equations therefore also describe vortices in a critically coupled superconductor (i.e. on the borderline between type I and type II). The fact that the same vortices are shared by the non-relativistic Chem-Sim ons theory and the relativistic Maxwell theory will prove crucial in the following.

While no analytic solutions to the vortex equations are known, index theorems reveal that the most general solution contains 2N parameters [20]. These may be taken to be unordered N-tuple of positions z^a , $a=1;\dots;N$ on the complex plane, each of which corresponds to a zero of the Higgs eld. The moduli space of vortices, dened as the space of solutions to the vortex equations, is therefore a 2N-dimensional manifold which we shall denote as M $_N$. Geometrically, M $_N=C^N=S_N$, where S_N is the permutation group of N elements, rejecting the fact that the vortices are indistinguishable. In the asymptotic region of M $_N$, when $z^a=z^b$ is larger than all other length scales, the solution looks like N well-separated vortices, each containing a single quantum of ux. However, as the vortices approach, the orbifold singularities of $C^N=S_N$ are smoothed out. At this point the z^a are no longer good coordinates and one should transform to another basis in which M $_N$ is manifestly smooth. The purpose of this paper is to show that in this regime, as the vortices approach, they form a quantum Hall uid.

The Dynamics

The Lagrangian (1) was chosen so that there are no static forces between vortices. In a derivative expansion, the velocity dependent interactions are therefore dom inant. For slow moving vortices, these may be elegantly captured using the Manton moduli space approximation. This assumes that all time dependence is restricted to the collective coordinates $z^a = z^a$ (t). Substituting the time dependent congurations into the kinetic terms of (1) then gives rise an elective quantum mechanics for z^a .

Let us rst recall the story for vortices in the relativistic M axwell-H iggs m odel [21], since this situation will turn out to be intim ately woven with our own. Here the kinetic

term s are second order and M $_{\rm N}$ is understood as the con guration space of the vortex system . The moduli space approximation de nes a Kahler metric g on M $_{\rm N}$ which captures the low-energy energy dynamics,

$$L_{\text{M axwell}} = \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \left(z^{c}; z^{c} \right) \underline{z}^{a} \underline{z}^{b}$$
 (5)

The metric g is constructed in such a way that the geodesics track the classical scattering of vortices.

In the present case, the kinetic term s in our non-relativistic Lagrangian are $\,$ rst order and M $_{\rm N}\,$ now plays the role of the phase space of the vortex system . The low-energy dynam ics of the vortices is of the form ,

$$L_{CS} = \frac{i}{2} f_a(z^b; z^b) \underline{z}^a f_a(z^b; z^b) z^a$$
 (6)

where $A=f_adz^a-f_adz^a$ is a connection on M_N . The task of determining A was undertaken by M anton [15] and R om so [16]. For far separated vortices, they show that f_a ! z^a which $\sin p l y$ describes non-interacting uxes in the condensate . In this regime, the Lagrangian becomes equivalent to one describing non-interacting electric charges in a large magnetic eld B=2, providing a dual picture to which we shall return later. For the purposes of this paper we are more interested in the physics when the vortices approach. Here an explicit expression for A is not known. However, it can be shown that A has the $\sin p l e$ geometrical interpretation [15, 16]

$$dA = i (7)$$

where is the Kahler form with respect to the metric g on M $_{\rm N}$. This result provides a connection between the dynamics of vortices in the Chem-Sim ons theory and the dynamics of vortices in the M axwell theory, and will play an important role in the following section. However, it is not of immediate use in determining the physics of closely packed vortices. The trouble lies in the fact that, like A, little is known about the metric g. In the asymptotic regime jz^a 2j 1 the metric becomes at, once again rejecting the fact that far-separated vortices may be thought of as non-interacting particles. To make progress in understanding the dynamics in the limit in which the vortices approach, we turn to string theory for inspiration.

The Matrix

Let us start once m ore with vortices in the relativistic M axwellH iggs theory. Recently, a D-brane construction of this model was given in type IIB string theory [14]. In this

set-up, the vortices appear as D-strings suspended between NS5-branes and D3-branes, and their dynam ics can be easily determ ined. Let us quickly review the main result. It was found that the dynam ics of ND-strings is encoded in a U(N) gauged quantum mechanics, containing a complex matrix Z_b^a , a;b=1;:::;N transform ing in the adjoint of U(N), and a complex vector a transform ing in the fundamental representation. The low-energy dynamics of the D-strings is given by 3.

$$L_{D \text{ brane}} = Tr$$
 $D_{t}Z^{y}D_{t}Z$ 2 $^{y}+$ $[Z;Z^{y}]$ $^{2}+D_{t}$ (8)

Here $D_tZ = Z - i[A_0; Z]$ and $D_t = - iA_0$ where A_0 is a vector potential which may be completely gauged away. String theory instructs us to take the 2 ! 1 lim it, imposing the N 2 constraints

$${}^{a} {}^{y} + {}^{x} {}^{y} + {}^{z} {}^{y} {}^{a} = {}^{a} {}^{b}$$
 (9)

on the 2N (N + 1) degrees of freedom contained within Z and . Restricting to U (N) invariant objects as required by the gauge sym m etry in poses a further N 2 constraints, leaving a remaining 2N degrees of freedom . These describe the positions of the ends of N D-strings moving on the plane. Since the D-strings are identified with vortices, these 2N degrees of freedom given give natural coordinates on the moduli space M $_{\rm N}$.

The details of the classical D-brane dynam ics described by the matrix model (8) do not coincide with the vortex dynamics described by the moduli space metric (5). Nevertheless, the matrix mechanics does capture many of the qualitative features of the vortices, including the symmetries, singularity structure and scale of the moduli space. Moreover, when attention is restricted to certain \topological" or \BPS" quantum correlation functions in supersymmetric theories, one can replace the true vortex dynamics (5) with the D-brane dynamics (8) and obtain quantitatively correct answers—see [14] for further discussions.

In this paper we shall describe the dynam ics of vortices in the Chem-Sim ons theory in a sim ilar matrix fashion. Without supersymmetry as our guardian, it is hard to rigorously justify this step. Nevertheless, we continue forward under the assumption that the matrix mechanics correctly captures the relevant qualitative features of the vortex moduli space. Given the conclusions of this paper, it would be interesting to return to the moduli space description (6), perhaps using the geometric quantisation techniques propounded in [16], in an attempt to reproduce the results without resorting to string theory.

 $^{^{3}}$ W e have rescaled Z by the vortex m ass relative to [14] so that it has the correct dimension. To compare with the conventions of [14], note that and e^{2} 2 = .

So, if the m atrix m odel (8) describes the dynam ics of vortices in the M axwell theory, what is the relevant m atrix m odel to describe the dynam ics of vortices in our C hem-Sim ons theory? The answer lies in the relationship dA = i which relates the vortex dynam ics in the two theories. We must simply ensure that our two matrix models obey a similar relationship. To do this, we is need an expression for the counterpart of in the matrix model. In fact, this is rather simple since the matrix model is constructed in such a way that the Kahler form on M $_{\rm N}$ is inherited from the canonical Kahler form on the unconstrained space parameterised by Z and . This process, known as the symplectic quotient construction, ensures that we may work with the obvious is order system using the variables Z and ,

$$L = i Tr Z^{y}Z + i^{y}$$

and subsequently restrict to the moduli space M $_{\rm N}$ de ned by U (N) invariant observables subject to the constraint (9). This latter step may be achieved by re-introducing A $_{\rm O}$, now playing the role of a Lagrange multiplier. The low-energy dynamics of the vortices may therefore be described by the matrix mechanics

$$L_{m \text{ atrix}} = Tr \text{ i } Z^{y}D_{t}Z \qquad A_{0} + \text{ i }^{y}D_{t}$$
 (10)

This expression, describing the dynamics of Chem-Sim ons vortices, is the main result of this paper.

The Hall Fluid

The matrix model (10) was previously proposed by Polychronakos as a description of N electrons moving in the lowest Landau level of a background magnetic eld B=2 [10]. The electrons are identified with our vortices, and from now on we treat the terms synonymously. The classical and quantum dynamics arising from the matrix model have been studied in great detail (see [10] and references therein). Here we mention a few choice details. Most pertinently, it can be shown that when the electrons coalesce, they manifest the properties of a quantum Hall uid of density where

$$B = 2$$
 ; $= \frac{1}{k}$

This gives rise to a classical lling fraction = 2 = B = 1 = k. In fact, there is an important quantum shift pointed out in [10] (see also [22]) so that the system actually describes a Hall uid at lling fraction = 1 = (k + 1).

Let us try to understand this behaviour from the perspective of critically coupled vortices. At rst glance it seems peculiar that the vortex dynamics would give rise to a FQH uid since the Lagrangian (6) contains no sign of the repulsive particle interactions that are usually held accountable for such an elect. Indeed, the Hamiltonian associated to (6) vanishes and, for $jz^a = \frac{b}{2}j$ suitably large, the solution to the vortex equations can be understood as N far-separated, non-interacting vortices. Each has size L

k= which (ignoring factors of 2 and) is the penetration depth in the language of superconductivity. In this paper we are interested in the situation with \dot{z}^a \dot{z}^b j < L. W hat do the vortex con gurations look like in this regime? We suggest that the vortices should not be thought of as overlapping particles, but rather as a classically incom pressible uid whose density remains constant at L 2 for all values of \dot{z}^a \dot{z}^b \dot{z}^c L. To see that this gives rise to a consistent picture, note that the vortices see a background condensate which, as we have seen, can be thought of as a background magnetic ux for charged particles in a dual picture. The density of vortex states required to lithe . W ith the vortices at a density of L², this gives "dual Landau level" is therefore $1 = L^2$ 1=k. C learly the speculations o ered rise to the required lling fraction in this paragraph refer to properties of the classical vortex solutions, and it is to be hoped that they can be con med (or dismissed) by an explicit study of the vortex equations.

Finally, recall that as the number of electrons/vortices becomes large and N $\,!\,\,1$, the constant term in the constraint (9) may be absorbed by the commutator rather than the $\,^{y}$ term.

$$[\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z}^{Y}] = \frac{k}{2} \qquad 2 \tag{11}$$

Expanding around this background, the matrix model (10) may be re-written as a U (1) Chem-Sim ons theory at level k de ned on the plane with the coordinates satisfying (11). This is Susskind's hydrodynamic description of the FQHE [9]. It is amusing that, having started with a commutative U (1) Chem-Sim ons-Higgs theory at level k, we return via vortex dynamics to a non-commutative U (1) Chem-Sim ons theory at level k.

The Potential

As it stands, there is nothing to keep the electrons in (10) from wandering over the plane. When the electrons coalesce they form a FQH uid, but when they sit far apart they return to their individual, yet indistinguishable, electronic identities. In order to energetically distinguish these two scenarios and coax the electrons together,

Polychronakos introduced a simple harm onic oscillator potential [10] whose role is to trap the electrons close to the origin,

$$V = \frac{B w}{2} Tr Z^{y} Z$$
 (12)

In this section, we will see how to generate such a potential for the vortex dynam ics of the Chem-Sim ons theory. First note that if our only requirement is to provide a rotationally symmetric potential which will be seen by the vortices and pen them near the origin, then one could simply add to (1) a term of the form

$$V_0 = \frac{B w}{2}^{Z} d^2 x j z^2 \frac{k}{2} b^2 + j p_i j^2$$

However, if we want to match to the energetics of [10], then it is possible to provide the deformation that gives rise to the harm onic oscillator potential (12). The key observation is that equation (12) is a mass term for Z which induces a potential on the moduli space M $_{\rm N}$ that is (up to an unimportant constant) proportional to the norm-squared of the K illing vector associated to rotational symmetries. Such potentials appear frequently in soliton dynamics and can be written as the overlap of the corresponding zero modes of the soliton using the method of [23]. Here we omit the details (mostly associated with gauge xing the zero mode) and simply state the result: the potential (12) for the vortex dynamics is generated by augmenting the Chem-Simons Lagrangian with the potential

$$V = V_0 + \frac{Bwk}{4}^Z d^2x \frac{1}{2}(\theta_i)^2 + \frac{2}{k}^2 j^2 + 2b$$

Here the function arises when xing the gauge for the vortex zero mode and is to be evaluated on the solution to its classical equation of motion in the background of the vortex.

The End

Let us mention a few generalisations of the story. The Lagrangian of our Chem-Sim ons theory (1) was ne-tuned to ensure that the vortices experience no static force. It is natural to wonder what happens if this is no longer the case. For example, we may change the coe cient of the potential term in (1) by adding,

$$V = d^2x j^2$$

Then for < 0, the vortices attract (type I superconductivity), while for > 0, the vortices repel (type II). In this latter case, the repulsive force competes with the

harm onic oscillator potential (12) which pushes the vortices towards the origin. For small , we expect the quantum Hall state to persist. In contrast, for suitably large the vortices will undergo a phase transition to the more familiar Abrikosov lattice or, in the dual language of electrons, the Wigner crystal.

The D-brane construction of [14] provides several further generalisations, including non-Abelian Chem-Sim ons terms, extra scalar elds, and non-commutative backgrounds. For example, one could consider vortices in U (m) Chem-Sim ons Higgs theory. The low-energy dynamics of these vortices is described by the matrix model (10), now with m vectors. This model describes m quantum Hall layers and was previously studied in [24]. As the number of vortices becomes large, it reduces to U (m) non-commutative Chem-Sim ons theory.

To sum marise, we have shown that the fractional quantum Hall matrix model of Polychronakos [10] can be thought of as describing the low-energy dynamics of vortices in a non-relativistic Chem-Sim ons theory. We suggest that the physical reason for this behaviour is the classically incompressible nature of vortices as they coalesce. A crucial ingredient in our story was the D-brane construction of [14] and, in the absence of a eld theory derivation, the quantum Hall uid of critically coupled vortices can be taken as a prediction of string theory. It is to be hoped that this new perspective on the quantum Hall matrix model may help in building the dictionary to physical quantities such as currents, particle density and the Laughlin wavefunctions.

The Acknowledgments

I'm extremely grateful to Alexios Polychronakos, Jan Troost and Ashvin Vishwanath, each of whom spent many hours patiently listening and explaining. I'd also like to thank Ami Hanany and Arun Paramekanti for useful discussions. I'm supported by a Pappalardo fellow ship, and would like to thank the Pappalardo family for their generosity. This work was also supported in part by funds provided by the U.S.D epartment of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement # DF-FC02-94ER40818.

R eferences

- [1] S.Deser, R. Jackiw and S.Templeton, \Topologically Massive Gauge Theories" Annals Phys. 140, 372 (1982)
- [2] \The Quantum Hall E ect", edited by R.E.Prange and S.M. Girvin. (Springer-Verlg, New York, 1986). See S.M. Girvin, Chap 10.

- [3] S.C. Zhang, T.H. Hansson and S.K ivelson, \An E ective Field Theory Model For The Fractional Quantum Hall E ect." Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1988) 82.
- [4] F.W ilczek, \Quantum Mechanics Of Fractional Spin Particles" Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 957 (1982).
- [5] B. Blok and X. G. Wen, \E ective Theories Of Fractional Quantum Hall E ect At Generic Filling Fractions" Phys. Rev. B 42 (1990) 8133.
- [6] S.Bahcalland L.Susskind, \Fluid D ynam ics, Chem-Sim ons Theory And The Quantum HallE ect", Int. J.M od.Phys.B 5, 2735 (1991).
- [7] S.M.Girvin, \The Quantum HallE ect: NovelExcitations and Broken Symmetries", arXiv:cond-mat/9907022.
- [8] A.Zee, \Quantum HallFluids", arX iv:cond-m at/9501022
- [9] L. Susskind, \The quantum Hall uid and non-commutative Chem Simons theory" arX iv hep-th/0101029.
- [10] A.P.Polychronakos, \Quantum Hall states as matrix Chem-Simons theory" JHEP 0104,011 (2001) [arX iv:hep-th/0103013].
- [11] S.Hellerm an and M. Van Raam sdonk, \Quantum Hallphysics equals noncommutative eld theory" JHEP 0110,039 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0103179].
- [12] D.Karabali and B.Sakita, \Chem-Sim ons matrix model: Coherent states and relation to Laughlin wavefunctions", Phys.Rev.B 64, 245316 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0106016].

 D.Karabali and B.Sakita, \Orthogonal basis for the energy eigenfunctions of the Chem-Sim ons matrix model" Phys.Rev.B 65, 075304 (2002) [arX iv hep-th/0107168].
- [13] T.H. Hansson, J. Kailasvuori and A. Karlhede, \Charge and current in the quantum Hallmatrix model", arX iv cond-mat/0304271.
- [14] A. Hanany and D. Tong, \Vortices, Instantons and Branes" arX iv hep-th/0306150.
- [15] N. S. Manton, \First order vortex dynamics" Annals Phys. 256, 114 (1997) [arX iv:hep-th/9701027].
- [16] N.M.Romao, \Quantum Chern-Simons vortices on a sphere" J.Math.Phys. 42, 3445 (2001) [arX iv:hep-th/0010277].
- [17] A. Stern, \Quantum Hall uid of vortices in a two-dimensional array of Josephson junctions", Phys. Rev. B 50, 10092 (1994), [arX iv cond-m at/9403017].
- [18] N.K. Wilkin, J.M. F. Gunn, \Condensation of 'composite bosons' in a rotating BEC", Phys.Rev.Lett 84 6 (2000), [arX iv:cond-m at/9906282].

 U.Fischer, P.Fedichev and A.Recati, \Vortex liquids and vortex quantum Hall states in trapped rotating Bose gases", arX iv:cond-m at/0212419
- [19] R. Jackiw and S.Y. Pi, \Soliton Solutions To The Gauged Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation On The Plane" Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2969 (1990).
- [20] E.J.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 19, 3008 (1979).
- [21] T.M. Sam ols, \Vortex Scattering" Commun.Math.Phys.145, 149 (1992).

- [22] S. Hellerm an and L. Susskind, $\$ Realizing the quantum Hall system in string theory arX iv hep-th/0107200.
- [23] D. Tong, "A note on 1/4 BPS states", Phys. Lett. B 460, 295 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9902005].
- [24] B.M orariu and A.P.Polychronakos, \Finite noncommutative Chem-Sim onswith a Wilson line and the quantum Halle ect" JHEP 0107, 006 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0106072].