

Lagrangian quantum field theory in momentum picture

II. Free spinor fields

Bozhidar Z. Iliev^{y,z}

Short title: QFT in momentum picture: II

Basic ideas: ! June 4{9, 2001
 Began: ! August 16, 2001
 Ended: ! September 14, 2001
 Initial typeset: ! August 24 { September 15, 2001
 Revised: ! October, 2001
 Last update: ! April 27, 2004
 Produced: ! December 5, 2021

<http://www.arXiv.org> ePrint archive No.: hep-th/0405008

$\overline{BO} / \underline{HO}^{\text{r TM}}$

Subject Classes:
 Quantum field theory

2000 MSC numbers:	2003 PACS numbers:
81Q 99, 81T 99	03.70.+k, 11.10.Ef, 11.10.-z, 11.90.+t, 12.90.+b

Key-Words:
 Quantum field theory, Pictures of motion
 Pictures of motion in quantum field theory, Momentum picture
 Free spinor field, Free Dirac field, Equations of motion for free spinor field
 Dirac equation, Dirac equation in momentum picture
 Anticommutation relations for free spinor field
 State vectors of free spinor field

Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling in Physics, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Boul. Tzarigradsko chaussee 72, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

^yE-mail address: bozho@inmebas.bg

^zURL: <http://theo.inmebas.bg/~bozho/>

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The momentum picture	3
3	Description of free spinor field in momentum picture	8
4	Analysis of the Dirac equation(s)	12
5	Frequency decompositions	16
6	The dynamical variables in terms of creation and annihilation operators	22
7	The field equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators	32
8	The anticommutation relations	36
9	Vacuum and normal ordering	42
10	State vectors	46
11	On the choice of Lagrangian and its consequences	49
12	Conclusion	60
	References	60
	This article ends at page	62

Abstract

Free spinor elds, with spin $1/2$, are explored in details in the momentum picture of motion in Lagrangian quantum eld theory. The eld equations are equivalently written in terms of creation and annihilation operators and on their base the anticommutation relations are derived. Some problems concerning the vacuum and state vectors of free spinor eld are discussed. Several Lagrangians, describing free spinor elds, are considered and the basic consequences of them are investigated.

1. Introduction

The paper is devoted to a detailed investigation, in Lagrangian quantum field theory¹, of a free spinor (spin $\frac{1}{2}$) field in momentum picture, introduced in [9] and developed in [10]. It is a direct continuation of [11], where similar exploration of free scalar fields was carried out, and, respectively, most of the methods of loc. cit. are mutatis mutandis applied to problems of free spinor fields. Most of the known fundamental results are derived in a new way (and in a slightly modified form), but the work contains and new ones; e.g. the field equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators and a uniform consideration of the massive and massless cases.

The basic moments of the method, we will follow in this work, are the following ones:

(i) In Heisenberg picture is fixed a (second) non-quantized and non-normally ordered operator-valued Lagrangian, which is supposed to be polynomial (or convergent power series) in the field operators and their first partial derivatives;

(ii) As conditions additional to the Lagrangian formalism are postulated the commutativity between the components of the momentum operator (see (2.6) below) and the Heisenberg relations between the field operators and momentum operator (see (2.7) below);

(iii) Following the Lagrangian formalism in momentum picture, the creation and annihilation operators are introduced and the dynamical variables and field equations are written in their terms;

(iv) From the last equations, by imposing some additional restrictions on the creation and annihilation operators, the (anti)commutation relations for these operators are derived;

(v) At last, the vacuum and normal ordering procedure are defined, by means of which the theory can be developed to a more or less complete form.

The main difference of the above scheme from the standard one is that we postulate the below-written relations (2.6) and (2.7) and, then, we look for compatible with them and the field equations (anti)commutation relations. (Recall, ordinary the (anti)commutation relations are postulated at first and the validity of the equations (2.6) and (2.7) is explored after that [12].)

The layout of the work is as follows.

Section 2 contains a review of the momentum picture of motion in quantum field theory. As a new material, a consideration of the angular momentum in it is added. On that base, in Sect. 3, a free spinor field is described in momentum picture. In particular, the Dirac equation in momentum picture is derived and special attention is paid to the operators of momentum, charge and angular momentum.

In Sect. 4, the (system of) Dirac equation(s) describing a free spinor field is analyzed in terms of operators which, possibly, up to normalization and pure phase factor, are identical with the Fourier coefficients of the field in Heisenberg picture. From these operators, in Sect. 5, is constructed a set of operators which admit interpretation as creation and annihilation operators. Then, the last operators are expressed via a new set of operators with the

¹ In this paper we considered only the Lagrangian (canonical) quantum field theory in which the quantum fields are represented as operators, called field operators, acting on some Hilbert space, which in general is unknown if interacting fields are studied. These operators are supposed to satisfy some equations of motion, from them are constructed conserved quantities satisfying conservation laws, etc. From the view point of present-day quantum field theory, this approach is only a preliminary stage for more or less rigorous formulation of the theory in which the fields are represented via operator-valued distributions, a fact required even for description of free fields. Moreover, in non-perturbative directions, like constructive and conformal field theories, the main objects are the vacuum mean (expectation) values of the fields and from these are reconstructed the Hilbert space of states and the acting on it fields. Regardless of these facts, the Lagrangian (canonical) quantum field theory is an inherent component of the most of the ways of presentation of quantum field theory adopted explicitly or implicitly in books like [1,8]. Besides, the Lagrangian approach is a source of many ideas for other directions of research, like the axiomatic quantum field theory [3,7,8].

same physical interpretation, which operators, up to a phase factor, coincide with the creation/annihilation operators known from the investigation of free spinor field in Heisenberg picture. The field's dynamical variables, i.e. the momentum, charge and angular momentum operators, are expressed via the creation and annihilation operators in Sect. 6, which results in expressions similar to ones in the momentum representation in Heisenberg picture.

In Sect. 7, the equations of motion for a free spinor field are equivalently written as a system of operator equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators. These equations are trilinear ones and their form is similar to the one of the parafermi relations. The obtained system of equations is analyzed in Sect. 8, where from it, under some explicitly presented additional conditions, the anticommutation relations for the creation and annihilation operators are derived.

The concept of a vacuum for a free spinor field is introduced in Sect. 9. Some problems in the theory are pointed and their solution is described via the introduction of normal ordering of products (compositions) of creation and annihilation operators. In Sect. 10 are discussed some general aspects regarding state vectors of free spinor field.

In Sect. 11 are investigated different Lagrangians, which do not differ by a full 4-divergence, from which the quantum theory of free spinor fields can be derived. They and their consequences are compared from different positions and the 'best' one of them is pointed out. It is the one which is charge symmetric; so that in it is encoded the spin-statistics theorem for free spinor fields.

Section 12 closes the work.

The books [1,3] will be used as standard reference works on quantum field theory. Of course, this is more or less a random selection between the great number of (text)books and papers on the theme to which the reader is referred for more details or other points of view. For this end, e.g., [4,13,14] or the literature cited in [1,4,13,14] may be helpful.

Throughout this paper \hbar denotes the Planck's constant (divided by 2π), c is the velocity of light in vacuum, and i stands for the imaginary unit. The superscripts y and $>$ means respectively Hermitian conjugation and transposition of operators or matrices, the superscript $*$ denotes complex conjugation, and the symbol \circ denotes compositions of mappings/operators.

By δ_{fg} , or δ_f^g or δ^{fg} ($\delta^{fg} = 1$ for $f = g$, $\delta^{fg} = 0$ for $f \neq g$) is denoted the Kronecker symbol, depending on arguments f and g , and $\delta^n(y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, stands for the n -dimensional Dirac δ -function; $\delta^n(y) = \delta^{n-1}(y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

The Minkowski spacetime is denoted by M . The Greek indices run from 0 to $\dim M - 1 = 3$. All Greek indices will be raised and lowered by means of the standard 4-dimensional Lorentz metric tensor $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and its inverse $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ with signature $(+---)$. The Latin indices a, b, \dots run from 1 to $\dim M - 1 = 3$ and, usually, label the spacial components of some object. The Einstein's summation convention over indices repeated on different levels is assumed over the whole range of their values.

At the end, a technical remark is in order. The derivatives with respect to operator-valued (non-commuting) arguments will be calculated according to the rules of the classical analysis of commuting variables, which is an everywhere silently accepted practice [1,12]. As it is demonstrated in [15], this is not quite correct. We shall pay attention on that item at the corresponding places in the text. With an exception of the consequences of a 'charge symmetric' Lagrangian, considered and explained in Sect. 11, this method for calculation of derivatives with respect to operators does not lead to incorrect results when free spinor fields are involved.

2. The momentum picture

In this section, we present a summary of the momentum picture in quantum field theory, introduced in [9] and developed in [10].

Let us consider a system of quantum fields, represented in Heisenberg picture of motion by field operators $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x): F \rightarrow F$, $i = 1, \dots, n \in \mathbb{N}$, acting on the system's Hilbert space F of states and depending on a point x in Minkowski spacetime M . Here and henceforth, all quantities in Heisenberg picture will be marked by a tilde (wave) \sim over their kernel symbols. Let \tilde{P} denotes the system's (canonical) momentum vectorial operator, defined via the energy-momentum tensorial operator \tilde{T} of the system, viz.

$$\tilde{P} = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}} \tilde{T}_0(x) d^3x: \quad (2.1)$$

Since this operator is Hermitian, $\tilde{P}^\vee = \tilde{P}$, the operator

$$U(x; x_0) = \exp \frac{1}{i\hbar} (x - x_0) \tilde{P}; \quad (2.2)$$

where $x_0 \in M$ is arbitrarily fixed and $x \in M$,² is unitary, i.e. $U^\vee(x_0; x) = (U(x; x_0))^\vee = U^{-1}(x; x_0) = (U(x; x_0))^{-1}$ and, via the formulae

$$\tilde{X}^\vee \tilde{T} \tilde{X}(x) = U(x; x_0) (X^\vee) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tilde{A}(x) \tilde{T} A(x) = U(x; x_0) (\tilde{A}(x)) U^{-1}(x; x_0); \quad (2.4)$$

realizes the transition to the momentum picture. Here \tilde{X} is a state vector in system's Hilbert space of states F and $\tilde{A}(x): F \rightarrow F$ is (observable or not) operator-valued function of $x \in M$ which, in particular, can be polynomial or convergent power series in the field operators $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x)$; respectively $X(x)$ and $A(x)$ are the corresponding quantities in momentum picture. In particular, the field operators transform as

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(x) \tilde{T} \varphi_i(x) = U(x; x_0) \varphi_i(x) U^{-1}(x; x_0): \quad (2.5)$$

Notice, in (2.2) the multiplier $(x - x_0)$ is regarded as a real parameter (in which \tilde{P} is linear). Generally, $X(x)$ and $A(x)$ depend also on the point x_0 and, to be quite correct, one should write $X(x; x_0)$ and $A(x; x_0)$ for $X(x)$ and $A(x)$, respectively. However, in the most situations in the present work, this dependence is not essential or, in fact, is not presented at all. For that reason, we shall not indicate it explicitly.

As it was said above, we consider quantum field theories in which the components \tilde{P} of the momentum operator commute between themselves and satisfy the Heisenberg relations/equations with the field operators, i.e. we suppose that \tilde{P} and $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x)$ satisfy the relations:

$$[\tilde{P}; \tilde{P}] = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

$$[\tilde{\varphi}_i(x); \tilde{P}] = i\hbar \tilde{\varphi}_i(x): \quad (2.7)$$

Here $[A; B] := A \circ B - B \circ A$, being the composition of mappings sign, is the commutator/anticommutator of operators (or matrices) A and B . The momentum operator \tilde{P} commutes with the 'evolution' operator $U(x; x_0)$ (see below (2.12)) and its inverse,

$$[\tilde{P}; U(x; x_0)] = 0 \quad [\tilde{P}; U^{-1}(x; x_0)] = 0; \quad (2.8)$$

² The notation x_0 , for a fixed point in M , should not be confused with the zeroth covariant coordinate $x_0 = x^0$ of x which, following the convention $x = (x^0, \mathbf{x})$, is denoted by the same symbol x_0 . From the context, it will always be clear whether x_0 refers to a point in M or to the zeroth covariant coordinate of a point $x \in M$.

due to (2.6) and (2.2). So, the momentum operator remains unchanged in momentum picture, viz. we have (see (2.4) and (2.8))

$$P = P' : \quad (2.9)$$

Since from (2.2) and (2.6) follows

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial U(x; x_0)}{\partial x} = P U(x; x_0) \quad U(x_0; x_0) = I_F; \quad (2.10)$$

we see that, due to (2.3), a state vector $X(x)$ in momentum picture is a solution of the initial-value problem

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial X(x)}{\partial x} = P(X(x)) \quad X(x)|_{x=x_0} = X(x_0) = X' \quad (2.11)$$

which is a 4-dimensional analogue of a similar problem for the Schrodinger equation in quantum mechanics [16, 18].

By virtue of (2.2), or in view of the independence of P of x , the solution of (2.11) is

$$X(x) = U(x; x_0)(X(x_0)) = e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}(x - x_0)P}(X(x_0)); \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, if $X(x_0) = X'$ is an eigenvector of $P (= P')$ with eigenvalues p ,

$$P(X(x_0)) = p X(x_0) \quad (= p X' = P'(X')); \quad (2.13)$$

we have the following explicit form of the state vectors

$$X(x) = e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}(x - x_0)p}(X(x_0)); \quad (2.14)$$

It should clearly be understood, this is the general form of all state vectors as they are eigenvectors of all (commuting) observables [3, p. 59], in particular, of the momentum operator.

In momentum picture, all of the field operators happen to be constant in spacetime, i.e.

$$\phi_i(x) = U(x; x_0) \phi_i(x) U^{-1}(x; x_0) = \phi_i(x_0) = \phi_i(x_0) = : \phi_{(0)i} : \quad (2.15)$$

Evidently, a similar result is valid for any (observable or not such) function of the field operators which is polynomial or convergent power series in them and/or their first partial derivatives. However, if $\tilde{A}(x)$ is an arbitrary operator or depends on the field operators in a different way, then the corresponding to it operator $A(x)$ according to (2.4) is, generally, not spacetime-constant and depends on the both points x and x_0 . As a rule, if $A(x) = A(x; x_0)$ is independent of x , we, usually, write A for $A(x; x_0)$, omitting all arguments.

It should be noted, the Heisenberg relations (2.7) in momentum picture transform into the identities $\partial \phi_i = 0$ meaning that the field operators ϕ_i in momentum picture are spacetime constant operators (see (2.15)). So, in momentum picture, the Heisenberg relations (2.7) are incorporated in the constancy of the field operators.

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the system's Lagrangian (in Heisenberg picture). It is supposed to be polynomial or convergent power series in the field operators and their first partial derivatives, i.e. $\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}(\phi_i(x); \partial \phi_i(x))$ with ∂ denoting the partial derivative operator relative to the i -th coordinate x_i . In momentum picture it transforms into

$$L = \tilde{\Gamma}(\phi_i(x); y_j) \quad y_j = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\phi_j; P_L]; \quad (2.16)$$

i.e. in momentum picture one has simply to replace the field operators in Heisenberg picture with their values at a fixed point x_0 and the partial derivatives $\partial \phi_j(x)$ in Heisenberg picture

with the above-defined quantities y_j . The (constant) field operators ψ_i satisfy the following algebraic Euler-Lagrange equations in momentum picture:³

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma(\psi_j; y_1)}{\partial \psi_i} - \frac{1}{i\hbar} \frac{\partial \Gamma(\psi_j; y_1)}{\partial y_i}; P - y_j = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \Gamma_j; P - L = 0: \quad (2.17)$$

Since L is supposed to be polynomial or convergent power series in its arguments, the equations (2.17) are algebraic, not differential, ones. This result is a natural one in view of (2.15).

Suppose a quantum system under consideration possesses a charge (e.g. electric one) and angular momentum, described by respectively the current operator $J^\mu(x)$ and (total) angular momentum tensorial density operator

$$M^{\mu\nu}(x) = L^{\mu\nu}(x) = x^\mu T^{\nu\alpha} - x^\nu T^{\mu\alpha} + S^{\mu\nu}(x) \quad (2.18)$$

with $x^\mu = x^\mu$ and $S^{\mu\nu}(x) = S^{\mu\nu}(x)$ being the spin angular momentum (density) operator. The (constant, time-independent) conserved quantities corresponding to them, the charge operator Q and total angular momentum operator $M^{\mu\nu}$, respectively are

$$Q = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z J^0(x) d^3x \quad (2.19)$$

$$M^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu}(x) + S^{\mu\nu}(x); \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$L^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z f x^\mu T^{\nu\alpha}(x) - x^\nu T^{\mu\alpha}(x) g d^3x \quad (2.21a)$$

$$S^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z S^{\mu\nu}(x) d^3x \quad (2.21b)$$

are the orbital and spin, respectively, angular momentum operators (in Heisenberg picture). Notice, we write $L^{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $S^{\mu\nu}(x)$, but, as a result of (2.21), these operators may depend only on the zeroth (time) coordinate of $x \in M$. When working in momentum picture, in view of (2.4), the following representations turn to be useful:

$$P = P = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z U^{-1}(x; x_0) T_0 U(x; x_0) d^3x \quad (2.22)$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z U^{-1}(x; x_0) J_0 U(x; x_0) d^3x \quad (2.23)$$

$$L^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z U^{-1}(x; x_0) f x^\mu T^{\nu\alpha} - x^\nu T^{\mu\alpha} g U(x; x_0) d^3x \quad (2.24)$$

³ In (2.17) and similar expressions appearing further, the derivatives of functions of operators with respect to operator arguments are calculated in the same way as if the operators were ordinary (classical) fields/functions, only the order of the arguments should not be changed. This is a silently accepted practice in the literature [2,3]. In the most cases such a procedure is harmless, but it leads to the problem of non-unique definitions of the quantum analogues of the classical conserved quantities, like the energy-momentum and charge operators. For some details on this range of problems in quantum field theory, see [15]. In Sect. 11, we shall meet a Lagrangian whose field equations are not the Euler-Lagrange equations (2.17) obtained as just described.

$$\tilde{S}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z U^{-1}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) S^0 U(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) d^3 \mathbf{x} : \quad (2.25)$$

These expressions will be employed essentially in the present paper.

The conservation laws $\frac{d\tilde{Q}}{dx^0} = 0$ and $\frac{d\tilde{M}^a}{dx^0} = 0$ (or, equivalently, $\partial_a \tilde{Q} = 0$ and $\partial_a \tilde{M}^a = 0$), can be rewritten as

$$\partial_a \tilde{Q} = 0 \quad \partial_a \tilde{M}^a = 0 \quad (2.26)$$

since (2.19)–(2.21) imply $\partial_a \tilde{Q} = 0$ and $\partial_a \tilde{M}^a = 0$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$.

As a result of the skew symmetry of the operators (2.20) and (2.21) in the subscripts and , their spacial components form a (pseudo-)vectorial operators. If ϵ^{abc} , $a, b, c = 1, 2, 3$, denotes the 3-dimensional Levi-Civita (totally) antisymmetric symbol, we put $\tilde{M}^a := (\tilde{M}^1; \tilde{M}^2; \tilde{M}^3)$ with $\tilde{M}^a := \epsilon^{abc} \tilde{M}_{bc}$ and similarly for the orbital and spin angular momentum operators. Then (2.20) and the below written equation (2.37) imply

$$\tilde{M} = \tilde{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{S}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (2.27)$$

$$\tilde{M}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_0) = \tilde{L}(\mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}_0) \cdot \mathbf{P} + \tilde{S}(\mathbf{x}); \quad (2.28)$$

where $\mathbf{x} := (x^1; x^2; x^3) = (\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{x}_3)$, denotes the Euclidean cross product, and $\mathbf{P} := (P^1; P^2; P^3) = (\mathbf{P}_1; \mathbf{P}_2; \mathbf{P}_3)$. Obviously, the correction in (2.28) to \tilde{M} can be interpreted as a one due to an additional orbital angular momentum when the origin, with respect to which it is determined, is change from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{x}_0 .

The consideration of \tilde{Q} and \tilde{M} as generators of constant phase transformations and 4-rotations, respectively, leads to the following relations [1, 2, 13]

$$[\tilde{\chi}_i(\mathbf{x}); \tilde{Q}] = "(\tilde{\chi}_i) q_i \tilde{\chi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (2.29)$$

$$[\tilde{\chi}_i(\mathbf{x}); \tilde{M}^j] = i\hbar \mathbf{x} \otimes \tilde{\chi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \times \otimes \tilde{\chi}_i(\mathbf{x}) + I_i^j \tilde{\chi}_j(\mathbf{x}) g; \quad (2.30)$$

Here: $q_i = \text{const}$ is the charge of the i^{th} eld, $q_j = q_i$ if $\tilde{\chi}_j = \tilde{\chi}_i^Y$, $"(\tilde{\chi}_i) = 0$ if $\tilde{\chi}_i^Y = \tilde{\chi}_i$, $"(\tilde{\chi}_i) = -1$ if $\tilde{\chi}_i^Y \notin \tilde{\chi}_i$ with $"(\tilde{\chi}_i) + "(\tilde{\chi}_i^Y) = 0$, and the constants $I_i^j = I_i^j$ characterize the transformation properties of the eld operators under 4-rotations. (If $"(\tilde{\chi}_i) \notin 0$, it is a convention whether to put $"(\tilde{\chi}_i) = +1$ or $"(\tilde{\chi}_i) = -1$ for a fixed i .) Besides, the operators (2.19)–(2.21) are Hermitian,

$$\tilde{Q}^Y = \tilde{Q}; \quad \tilde{M}^Y = \tilde{M}; \quad \tilde{L}^Y = \tilde{L}; \quad \tilde{S}^Y = \tilde{S}; \quad (2.31)$$

and satisfy the relations⁴

$$[\tilde{Q}; \tilde{P}] = 0 \quad (2.32)$$

$$[\tilde{M}^i; \tilde{P}^j] = i\hbar \delta^{ij} \tilde{P}^k g; \quad (2.33)$$

⁴ The author is completely aware of the fact that in the literature, for instance in [3, p. 77, eq. (2-87)] or in [4, eq. (2.187)], the relation (2.33) is written with an opposite sign, i.e. with $+i\hbar$ instead of $-i\hbar$ on its r.h.s. (In this case (2.33) is part of the commutation relations characterizing the Lie algebra of the Poincare group – see, e.g., [7, pp. 143–147] or [8, sect. 7.1].) However, such a choice of the sign in (2.33) contradicts to the explicit form of \tilde{P} and \tilde{L} in terms of creation and annihilation operators (see sections 6 and 7) in the framework of Lagrangian formalism. For this reason and since the relation (2.33) is external to the Lagrangian formalism, we accept (2.33) as it is written below. In connection with (2.33) – see below equation (7.28) and the paragraph containing it.

Combining the last two equalities with (2.2) and (2.6), we, after a simple algebraic calculations, obtain⁵

$$[Q; U(x; x_0)] = 0 \quad (2.34)$$

$$[\tilde{M}; U(x; x_0)] = f(x - x_0) \tilde{P} - (x - x_0) \tilde{P} g - U(x; x_0): \quad (2.35)$$

Consequently, in accord with (2.4), in momentum picture the charge and angular momentum operators respectively are

$$Q(x) = Q = Q \quad (2.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{M} U^{-1}(x; x_0) = \tilde{M} + [U(x; x_0); \tilde{M}] U^{-1}(x; x_0) \\ &= \tilde{M} + (x - x_0) \tilde{P} - (x - x_0) \tilde{P} \\ &= \tilde{L} + (x - x_0) \tilde{P} - (x - x_0) \tilde{P} + \tilde{S} = \tilde{L} + \tilde{S}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}(x) &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{L}(x) U^{-1}(x; x_0) \\ \tilde{S}(x) &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{S}(x) U^{-1}(x; x_0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

and (2.9) was taken into account. Notice, the correction to \tilde{M} on the r.h.s. of (2.37) is typical for the one of classical orbital angular momentum when the origin, with respect to which it is determined, is changed from x to x_0 .⁶

In momentum picture, by virtue of (2.4), the relations (2.29) and (2.30) respectively read $Q' = Q(\sim)$

$$[Q; Q] = Q' \quad (2.43)$$

$$[\tilde{M}(x; x_0)] = x [\tilde{P}] - x [\tilde{P}] + i I_1^j \tilde{P}_j: \quad (2.44)$$

The first of these equations is evident. To derive the second one, we notice that, by virtue of the Heisenberg relations/equations (2.7), the equality (2.30) is equivalent to

$$[\tilde{L}_i(x); \tilde{M}] = x [\tilde{L}_i(x); \tilde{P}] - x [\tilde{L}_i(x); \tilde{P}] + i I_1^j \tilde{L}_j(x) \quad (2.45)$$

⁵ To derive equation (2.35), notice that (2.33) implies $[\tilde{M}; \tilde{P}_1 \dots \tilde{P}_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{P}_i [\tilde{M}; \tilde{P}_1 \dots \tilde{P}_{i-1} \tilde{P}_{i+1} \dots \tilde{P}_n]$; due to $[A; B]C = [A; B]C + B[A; C]$, and expand the exponent in (2.2) into a power series. More generally, if $[A(x); \tilde{P}] = B(x)$ with $[B(x); \tilde{P}] = 0$, then $[A(x); U(x; x_0)] = \frac{1}{i\epsilon} (x - x_0) B(x) U(x; x_0)$; in particular, $[A(x); \tilde{P}] = 0$ implies $[A(x); U(x; x_0)] = 0$. Notice, we consider $(x - x_0)$ as a real parameter by which the corresponding operators are multiplied and which operators are supposed to be linear in it.

⁶ In Sections 6 and 7, for a free spinor field, it will be proved that $\tilde{S} = {}^0\tilde{S} + {}^1\tilde{S}$, $\tilde{L} = {}^0\tilde{L} + {}^1\tilde{L}$, where ${}^0\tilde{S}$ and ${}^0\tilde{L}$ are such that $\partial {}^0\tilde{S} = \partial {}^0\tilde{L} = 0$, $\tilde{M} = {}^0\tilde{S} + {}^0\tilde{L}$ and

$$[{}^0\tilde{S}; \tilde{P}] = 0; \quad (2.39)$$

which implies

$$[{}^0\tilde{S}; U(x; x_0)] = 0; \quad (2.40)$$

Amongst other things, from here follow the equations

$${}^0\tilde{S} = {}^0\tilde{S} \quad (2.41)$$

$${}^0\tilde{L} = {}^0\tilde{L} + (x - x_0) \tilde{P} - (x - x_0) \tilde{P}; \quad (2.42)$$

from where (2.44) follows.

It should be emphasized, the Heisenberg relations (2.29) and (2.30), as well as the commutation relations (2.32) and (2.33), are external to the Lagrangian formalism. For this reason, one should be quite careful when applying them unless they are explicitly proved in the framework of Lagrangian scheme.

3. Description of free spinor field in momentum picture

A spinor field of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ is described by four operators $\tilde{\psi}$ which are collected in a matrix operator $\tilde{\psi}$ considered as a 4-component column, i.e. $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_0(x); \tilde{\psi}_1(x); \tilde{\psi}_2(x); \tilde{\psi}_3(x) \end{pmatrix}^>$; where $>$ is the sign of matrix transposition. In Heisenberg picture, the theory of free spinor field is derived from the Lagrangian [1]⁷

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{2} i c \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x) (\partial \tilde{\psi}(x)) - (\partial \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x)) \tilde{\psi}(x) g_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\mu} \tilde{\psi}(x) \partial^{\nu} \tilde{\psi}(x) : \quad (3.1)$$

Here: ∂ are the Dirac's matrices (see equations (3.2), (3.17), and (3.26) below), $\tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}$ is the composition of mappings/operators sign, and $\tilde{\psi}^{\dagger} = \tilde{\psi}^y 0$, with $\tilde{\psi}^y = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_0^y; \tilde{\psi}_1^y; \tilde{\psi}_2^y; \tilde{\psi}_3^y \end{pmatrix}$; is the Dirac conjugate spinor to $\tilde{\psi}$. Besides, in expressions like $\tilde{\psi}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^y 0$ a matrix multiplication is understood, i.e., in these examples, we have $\tilde{\psi}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_0^y & \tilde{\psi}_1^y & \tilde{\psi}_2^y & \tilde{\psi}_3^y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_0 \\ \tilde{\psi}_1 \\ \tilde{\psi}_2 \\ \tilde{\psi}_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^y 0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_0^y & \tilde{\psi}_1^y & \tilde{\psi}_2^y & \tilde{\psi}_3^y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. As a particular realization of the Dirac's gamma matrices, we shall use the following one [1,2]:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In momentum picture, in view of (2.5), the spinor's components and their Dirac conjugate transform into

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(x) &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}(x_0) & U^{-1}(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}(x_0) &= \tilde{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\psi}(x_0) = : \\ \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x) &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) & U^{-1}(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) &= \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x) = \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) = : \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

If we identify $U(x; x_0)$ with $U(x; x_0) \mathbb{1}_4$, where $\mathbb{1}_4 = \text{diag}(1; 1; 1; 1)$ is the unit (identity) 4 × 4 matrix, the last equalities can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(x) &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}(x_0) & U^{-1}(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}(x_0) &= \tilde{\psi}(x_0) = \tilde{\psi}(x_0) = : \\ \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x) &= U(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) & U^{-1}(x; x_0) \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) &= \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) = \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(x_0) = : \end{aligned} \quad (3.3^0)$$

Regardless of the explicit dependence of $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}$ on the point $x_0 \in M$, further it will not be indicated as insignificant for our present work. By virtue of (2.17), the Lagrangian (3.1) in momentum picture reads

$$L = \tilde{L}(\tilde{\psi}; \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}; y; \tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{2} c \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger} [\tilde{\psi}; P] - [\tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}; P] \tilde{\psi} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\mu} \tilde{\psi} \partial^{\nu} \tilde{\psi} : \quad (3.4)$$

⁷ The theory of free spinor field can be derived also from the Lagrangian $L = i c \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger} \partial \tilde{\psi} - m c^2 \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}$; as it is done in [3,12]. The problem of a selection of Lagrangian for free spinor field will be considered in Sect. 11.

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y &:= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\psi; P] := \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\psi_0; P] + \dots + [\psi_3; P] \\ \bar{Y} &:= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\bar{\psi}; P] := \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\bar{\psi}_0; P] + \dots + [\bar{\psi}_3; P] : \end{aligned}$$

(Notice, to simplify the notation, here, as we did above with $U(x; x_0)$, we identify P with the matrix operator $P \mathbb{1}_4$. For the same reason, we identify ψ with the matrix operator $\psi \mathbb{1}_F$, F being the system's Hilbert space of states.) Therefore, we have:⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \psi} = \frac{1}{2} c [\bar{\psi}; P] - m c^2 \bar{\psi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial Y} = + \frac{1}{2} i\hbar c \bar{\psi} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{\psi}} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = + \frac{1}{2} c [\psi; P] - m c^2 \psi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{Y}} = - \frac{1}{2} i\hbar c \psi : \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, the equations of motion (2.17) now read:

$$[\psi; P] - m c = 0 \quad [\bar{\psi}; P] + m c = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

where we have applied the equality

$$[\psi; P] = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

as $[\psi; P] = [\psi \mathbb{1}_F; P \mathbb{1}_4] = [[\psi \mathbb{1}_F; P]]^3 = 0$ since ψ are constant complex numbers. The first of the equations (3.6) is the Dirac equation in momentum picture and the second one is its Dirac conjugate. They correspond to the famous equations

$$i\hbar \partial_t \tilde{\psi} - m c \tilde{\psi} = 0 \quad i\hbar \partial_t \bar{\tilde{\psi}} + m c \bar{\tilde{\psi}} = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

in Heisenberg picture. It is worth noting, the equations (3.6) are valid in any picture of motion which cannot be said with respect to (3.8). Indeed, since the transitions to an arbitrary picture of motion is achieved via a unitary operator $U: F \rightarrow F$ [9] and

$$[\psi; U] = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

(see the proof of (3.7) above), this statement is a consequence of the algebraic structure of (3.6).

We shall comment on the choice of the Lagrangians (3.1) and (3.4) in Sect. 11.

We emphasize, the fields $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\bar{\tilde{\psi}}$ will be treated as independent field variables in this work. However, because of the connection $\bar{\tilde{\psi}} = \tilde{\psi}^\dagger$, most of the relations regarding $\bar{\tilde{\psi}}$ can be obtained from the ones concerning $\tilde{\psi}$ by Dirac conjugation, i.e. via the change $(\tilde{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}) \Rightarrow (\bar{\tilde{\psi}} \rightarrow \tilde{\psi})$, where the dots stand for any spinor-matrix operator expression.

It is well known, a spinor field possesses energy-momentum, electric charge and angular momentum. In Heisenberg picture, the operators of these conserved operator quantities respectively are:⁹

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\psi}^\dagger (i\partial^\mu \tilde{\psi}^\nu - i\partial^\nu \tilde{\psi}^\mu) = \frac{1}{2} i\hbar c \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \gamma^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\psi} \quad (3.10)$$

$$J^\mu = \frac{q}{i\hbar} \int d^3x \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \gamma^\mu \tilde{\psi} = q c \int d^3x \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \gamma^\mu \tilde{\psi} \quad (3.11)$$

$$M^{\mu\nu} = x^\mu T^{\nu\rho} - x^\nu T^{\mu\rho} + S^{\mu\nu} \quad (3.12)$$

⁸ As pointed at the end of the Introduction, the calculation of the derivatives in (3.5) is not quite correct mathematically. However, the field equations (3.6) and the formulae (3.10)-(3.13) below are correct. For their rigorous derivation, see [15].

⁹ See, for instance, [1] or [13]. However, the order of the operators in the compositions below is not proved in these books, there are only some arguments justifying the made choice. For a rigorous proof | see [15].

where the spin angular momentum operator density \tilde{S} is¹⁰

$$\tilde{S} = + \sum_{j=1,2}^X \tilde{f} \sim (I \sim) + (\tilde{I} \sim) \tilde{g} = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{c} \tilde{f} + \tilde{g} \sim; \quad (3.13)$$

with

$$\tilde{f} = \frac{i}{2} (\quad); \quad (3.14)$$

and the coefficients $I \sim$ and $\tilde{I} \sim$, characterizing the transformation properties of \sim and $\tilde{\sim}$ under 4-rotations, are [1,3].

$$I \sim = \frac{1}{2} i \quad \tilde{I} \sim = + \frac{1}{2} i \quad : \quad (3.15)$$

Often the spacial components of S^0 , defining the spin angular momentum via (2.21b), are combined into the (pseudo-)vector $\frac{1}{2} e^{abc} S_{bc}^0$ with $a, b, c = 1, 2, 3$. Equation (3.13) implies

$$S^a = \frac{1}{2} e^{abc} S_{bc}^0(x) = + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} \tilde{\sim}^y(x) \sim^a \sim(x) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} \tilde{\sim}^y(x) \sim_a \sim(x) \quad (3.16)$$

where $\sim^a = e^{abc} \sim_{bc}$ and the relation

$$+ \quad = 2 \quad 1_4 \quad (3.17)$$

was applied. So, the vectorial spin operator $S = (S^1; S^2; S^3)$, appearing in (2.27) and (2.28), is

$$S(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x^0 = \text{const}}^Z \tilde{\sim}^y(x) \sim(x) d^3x = (\sim^1; \sim^2; \sim^3): \quad (3.18)$$

In accord with (2.4) and (2.15), the dynamical characteristics (3.10)–(3.13) in momentum picture are

$$T = \frac{1}{2} c \tilde{f} \quad [\sim; P] \quad [\tilde{\sim}; P] \quad g \quad (3.19)$$

$$J = q c \tilde{f} \quad (3.20)$$

$$M = x T \quad x T + S \quad (3.21)$$

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{c} \tilde{f} + g \quad (3.22)$$

In particular, the vector (3.16) takes the form

$$S^a = e^{abc} S_{bc}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} \tilde{\sim}^y \sim^a = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} \tilde{\sim}^y \sim_a \quad (3.23)$$

in momentum picture.

To specify the relations (2.43) and (2.44) for a spinor field, we, by convention, put $\sim(\sim) = +1$ and $\sim(\tilde{\sim}) = -1$ and get

$$[\sim; Q] = q \quad [\tilde{\sim}; Q] = -q \quad (3.24)$$

¹⁰ We adopt the definition of spin angular momentum operator density from [2, x 78, eq. (13.47)] or [13, sect. 3.3.1, eq. (3.115)]. It agrees with the commutation relation (2.33). In [1, eqs. (2.16) and (7.31)] is used a definition with an opposite sign.

$$[\gamma^a; M(x; x_0)] = x [\gamma^a; P] - x [\gamma^a; P] + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^a \quad (3.25a)$$

$$[\bar{\gamma}^a; M(x; x_0)] = x [\bar{\gamma}^a; P] - x [\bar{\gamma}^a; P] - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^a \quad (3.25b)$$

due to (3.15). The last terms in (3.25) have their origin in the spin angular momentum and the remaining ones are due to the orbital angular momentum.

Besides (3.17), the gamma matrices are supposed to satisfy the conditions $(\gamma^0)^Y = \gamma^0$ and $(\gamma^a)^Y = -\gamma^a$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$, i.e. (do not sum over a !)

$$(\gamma^a)^Y = (\gamma^a)^Y = \gamma^a = \gamma^0 \quad (3.26)$$

As a consequence of (3.14) and (3.26), we have (do not sum over a and b !)

$$(\gamma^a)^Y = \gamma^a \quad (3.27)$$

Important corollaries from (3.14) and (3.17) are the equalities (do not sum over a and b !)

$$[\gamma^a; \gamma^b] = 2i(\gamma^a \gamma^b - \gamma^b \gamma^a) \quad (3.28)$$

$$\gamma^0 = \gamma^0 \quad (3.29)$$

the last of which implies¹¹

$$\gamma^0_{0a} + \gamma^0_{0a} = 0 \quad \gamma^0_{ab} = -\gamma^0_{ab} \quad (3.30)$$

Combining (3.27) and (3.29), we get

$$(\gamma^a)^Y = \gamma^a \quad (3.31)$$

As result of (3.22) and (3.30), we have

$$S^0_{0a} = 0 \quad S^0_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{C}^{-0}_{ab} \quad (3.32)$$

From here, we see that the vector S^a and the components S^0 carry one and the same information. Notice, the equations (3.32) are specific for the Lagrangian (3.1); for instance, the first of them does not hold for the Lagrangian (11.3) — see [12, eq. (13.47)].

According to the Dirac equation (3.6), the operators

$$M = \gamma^0 + \frac{1}{c} [\gamma^a; P] - \overline{M} = -\gamma^0 - \frac{1}{c} [\gamma^a; P] \quad (3.33)$$

when acting on the solutions of (3.6), have the mass parameter m of the spinor field as eigenvalue. Applying the relations (3.17), the Jacobi identity and (2.6), we see that

$$M^2 = M M = \overline{M}^2 = \overline{M} \overline{M} = -\gamma^0 \frac{1}{c^2} [[\gamma^a; P]; P] \gamma^0 = -\gamma^0 \quad (3.34)$$

has a meaning of a square-of-mass operator as its eigenvalues on the solutions of (3.6) are equal to m^2 . Therefore the operator $\frac{1}{c^2} [[(\gamma^a); P]; P]$, rather than P^2 , determines the square of the mass (parameter) of a free spinor field. Obviously, this conclusion is valid in any picture of motion. This situation is similar to the one with free scalar fields [11]. It is well known, the operator P^2 has a sense of a square-of-mass operator for the field's states (on which it acts).

¹¹ The equations (3.29) and (3.30) are also corollaries from (3.28) with $\gamma^0 = 0$ and $\gamma^0_a = i \gamma^0 \gamma^a = -i \gamma^a \gamma^0$.

4. Analysis of the Dirac equation (s)

The Dirac equations (3.6), together with the equality (2.22) and the explicit expression (3.10) for the energy-momentum operator, form a complete algebraic-functional system of equations for determination of the spinor field and its Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ (and its momentum operator \hat{P} too). This situation is similar to the one concerning a free charged scalar field, investigated in [11]; respectively similar results hold for the structure of spinor field operators. Moreover, there is now a simplification due to the linearity of the Dirac equation relative to the momentum operator, contrary to the scalar field case, when the corresponding Klein-Gordon equations are quadratic in momentum operator.¹²

To begin with, we single out the 'degenerate' solutions

$$[\psi; \hat{P}] = 0 \quad [\bar{\psi}; \hat{P}] = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

of the Dirac equation (3.6), which solutions, in view of (2.7), in Heisenberg picture read

$$\psi(x) = \psi(x_0) = \psi_0 = (\text{const}) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x_0) = \bar{\psi}_0 = (\text{const}) \quad \text{for } m = 0: \quad (4.2)$$

According to equations (3.19)-(3.22) (see also (3.30)), the energy-momentum, charge and (spin) angular momentum operators for the solutions (4.1) respectively are:

$$\hat{T} = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$\hat{J} = q c \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (4.4)$$

$$\hat{M} = \hat{S} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi \quad (4.5)$$

Since (4.3) and (2.22) imply

$$\hat{P} = 0; \quad (4.6)$$

the equalities (4.4) and (4.5) hold in momentum and Heisenberg pictures for any constant spinors ψ_0 and $\bar{\psi}_0$. Thus, the solutions (4.1) (or (4.2) in Heisenberg picture) describe a massless spinor field with vanishing energy-momentum characteristics which, however, carries, generally, a non-(if $q \neq 0$) zero charge and spin angular momentum. It seems, such a spinor fields has not been observed until now.

13

Now, we are ready to describe the general structure of the solutions of the Dirac equations (3.6).

Proposition 4.1. The solutions of (2.7) and the Dirac equations (3.6) can be written as

$$\psi = \int d^3k \, f_+(k) \psi(k)_{k_0=+} + \int d^3k \, f_-(k) \psi(k)_{k_0=-} \quad (4.7a)$$

$$\bar{\psi} = \int d^3k \, \bar{f}_+(k) \bar{\psi}(k)_{k_0=+} + \int d^3k \, \bar{f}_-(k) \bar{\psi}(k)_{k_0=-} \quad (4.7b)$$

or, equivalently as

$$\psi = \int d^4k \, (k^2 - m^2 c^2) f(k) \psi(k) \quad \bar{\psi} = \int d^4k \, (k^2 - m^2 c^2) \bar{f}(k) \bar{\psi}(k): \quad (4.8)$$

¹² In Heisenberg picture, the last statement is equivalent to the one that Dirac and Klein-Gordon equations are respectively first and second order partial differential equations.

¹³ This situation is completely different from a similar one, when free scalar fields are concerned; in the last case, solutions like (4.1) are unobservable in principle as all their dynamical characteristics vanish | see [11].

Here: $k = (k^0; k^1; k^2; k^3)$ is a 4-vector with dimension of 4-momentum, $k^2 = k k = k_0^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - k_3^2 = k_0^2 - k^2$ with k being the components of k and $k := (k^1; k^2; k^3) = (k_1; k_2; k_3)$ being the 3-dimensional part of k , $\delta(k)$ is the (1-dimensional) Dirac delta function, the spinor operators $(k); \bar{(k)} : F \rightarrow F$ are solutions of the equations

$$[(k); P] = k (k) \quad [\bar{(k)}; P] = k \bar{(k)} \quad (4.9a)$$

$$f(k + m c \mathbb{1}_4) (k) g_{k^2 = m^2 c^2} = 0 \quad \bar{f}(k) (\bar{k} + m c \mathbb{1}_4) g_{k^2 = m^2 c^2} = 0; \quad (4.9b)$$

$f(k)$ and $\bar{f}(k)$ are complex-valued functions (resp. distributions (generalized functions)) of k for solutions different from (4.1) (resp. for the solutions (4.1)), and f and \bar{f} are complex-valued functions (resp. distributions) of k for solutions different from (4.1) (resp. for the solutions (4.1)). Besides, we have the relations $f(k) \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f(k)$ and $\bar{f}(k) \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \bar{f}(k)$ for solutions different from (4.1).

Remark 4.1. Evidently, in (4.7) and (4.8) enter only the solutions of (4.9) for which

$$k^2 := k k = k_0^2 - k^2 = m^2 c^2; \quad (4.10)$$

This circumstance is a consequence of the fact that the solutions of (3.6) are also solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation, viz. [11]

$$m^2 c^2 [(k); P] [P] = 0 \quad m^2 c^2 [\bar{(k)}; P] [P] = 0; \quad (4.11)$$

To prove this one should apply to the first (resp. second) equation in (3.6) the operator $[(k); P] + m c \mathbb{1}_4 \partial_k (k)$ (resp. $[\bar{(k)}; P] - m c \mathbb{1}_4 \partial_k \bar{(k)})$ and, then, to apply the Jacobi identity, (2.6) and (3.17).

Remark 4.2. Obviously, the solutions (4.1) correspond to (4.9a) with $P = 0$. Hence

$$\tilde{\psi}(x; 0) = \psi(0) = \text{const} \quad \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x; 0) = \bar{\psi}(0) = \text{const} \quad P = \bar{P} = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

with (see (2.4))

$$\tilde{\psi}(x; k) := U^{-1}(x; x_0) (k) U(x; x) \quad \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x; k) := U^{-1}(x; x_0) \bar{(k)} U(x; x); \quad (4.13)$$

These solutions, in terms of (4.7) or (4.8), are described by $m = 0$ and, for example, $f(k) = \bar{f}(k) = (\frac{1}{2} a)^3 (k)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ or $f(k) = \bar{f}(k)$ such that $f(k) \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = (1 - 2a) k j^3(k)$, respectively. (Here $j^3(k) := (k^1) (k^2) (k^3)$ is the 3-dimensional Dirac delta-function.) To prove that, use the equality $(y^2 - b^2) = \frac{1}{b} ((y + b) + (y - b))$ for $b > 0$. In that case, the equations (4.9a) reduce to

$$k (k) = 0 \quad \bar{(k)} k = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

as in it $m = 0$. Since the rank of the matrix k is equal to one for $k^2 = m^2 c^2 = 0$ but $k \neq 0$ (see below), the equations (4.14) have non-vanishing solutions in this case only if distributions are taken into account.

Remark 4.3. Since $\bar{\bar{\psi}} = \psi^0$, from (4.7) (resp. (4.8)) is clear that there should exist some connection between $f(k) (k)$ and $\bar{f}(k) \bar{(k)}$ with $k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$ (resp. between $f(k) (k)$ and $\bar{f}(k) \bar{(k)}$). A simple examination of (4.7) (resp. (4.8)) reveals that the Dirac conjugation can either transform these expressions into each other or 'changes' the signs plus and minus in them according to:

$$\overline{f(k) (k)} \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = \bar{f}(\bar{k}) \bar{(k)} \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}; \quad (4.15a)$$

$$\overline{\bar{f}(k) \bar{(k)}} \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = f(k) (k) \big|_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \quad (4.15b)$$

$$\overline{f(k)} \underline{(k)} = \overline{f(k)} \underline{(k)} \quad (4.16a)$$

$$\overline{\overline{f(k)} \underline{(k)}} = f(k) \underline{(k)}: \quad (4.16b)$$

From the below presented proof of proposition 4.1 and the comments after it, it will be clear that (4.15) and (4.16) should be accepted. Notice, the above equations mean that $\overline{(k)}$ is not the Dirac conjugate of (k) .

Proof. The proposition was proved for the solutions (4.1) in remark 4.2. So, below we suppose that $(k; m) \notin (0; 0)$.

The equivalence of (4.7) and (4.8) follows from $(y^2 - b^2) = \frac{1}{b}((y + b) + (y - b))$ for $b > 0$.

Since the solutions $\underline{(k)}$ and $\overline{(k)}$ of the Dirac equations (3.6) are also solutions of the Klein-Gordon equations (4.11), the representations (4.7) and the equalities (4.15) and (4.16), with $\underline{(k)}$ and $\overline{(k)}$ satisfying (4.9a), follow from the proved in [11] similar proposition 4.1 describing the structure of the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation in momentum picture.¹⁴

At the end, inserting (4.7) or (4.8) into (3.6), we obtain the equations (4.9b) due to (4.9a).

From the proof of proposition 4.1, as well as from the one of [11, proposition 4.1] the next two conclusions can be made. On one hand, the conditions (4.9a) ensure that (4.7) and (4.8) are solutions of (2.7) and the Klein-Gordon equations (4.11), while (4.9b) single out between them the ones satisfying the Dirac equations (3.6). On the other hand, since up to a phase factor and, possibly, normalization constant, the expressions $\underline{f(k)} \underline{(k)}$ and $\overline{f(k)} \underline{(k)}$ coincide with the Fourier images of respectively $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ and $\overline{\tilde{\psi}}(x)$ in Heisenberg picture, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \underline{f(k)} \underline{(k)} d^4k \tilde{\psi}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \overline{f(k)} \underline{(k)} e^{i\frac{1}{c}(x - x_0)k} d^4k \quad (4.17)$$

and similarly for $\overline{(k)}$ (with $\overline{\overline{(k)}} = \underline{(k)}$), where $\underline{(k)}$ are suitably normalized solutions of (4.9). Therefore, up to normalization factor, the Fourier images of $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ and $\overline{\tilde{\psi}}(x)$ are

$$\underline{\tilde{\psi}}(k) = e^{i\frac{1}{c}x_0 k} \underline{(k)} \quad \overline{\tilde{\psi}}(k) = e^{i\frac{1}{c}x_0 k} \overline{(k)} \quad (4.18)$$

where x_0 is a fixed point (see Sect. 2). So, the momentum representation of free spinor field in Heisenberg picture is an appropriately chosen operator base for the solutions of the Dirac equation in momentum picture. This conclusion allows us freely to apply in momentum picture the existing results concerning that basis in Heisenberg picture.

The equations (4.9b) are well known and explicitly solved in the textbooks where the Dirac equation, in momentum representation of Heisenberg picture, is explored [1, 2] (for a summary, see, e.g., [3, appendix 1] or [1, appendix 3A]). Here are some facts about them, which we shall need further in the present paper; for references | see loc. cit.

Working in a representation in which γ^0 is diagonal (see (3.2)), by a direct calculation, one can prove that the rank of the matrices $\underline{k} + m c \mathbb{1}_4$, with $k_0 = m^2 c^2 + k^2$, is equal to 0, if $(k; m) = (0; 0)$ and to 2, if $(k; m) \notin (0; 0)$.¹⁵ Since the matrices are defined up to a change $\mathbb{T} \mathbb{O} \mathbb{O}^{-1}$, \mathbb{O} being a non-degenerate matrix (usually taken to be unitary), from here follows that any one of the equations (4.9b) has r linearly independent solutions,

¹⁴ One can prove the representations (4.7), under the conditions (4.9), by repeating mutatis mutandis the proof of [11, proposition 4.1]. From it the equalities (4.15) and (4.16) rigorously follow too.

¹⁵ For $(k; m) = (0; 0)$ the statement is evident. Usually (see, e.g., [1]), when $m \notin 0$ (but $k \notin 0$), it is proved in a frame in which $k = 0$ and a subsequent return to a general one; when $k \notin 0$ and $m = 0$, the same method can be used with the only modification that a frame in which $k^1 = k^2 = 0$ has to be employed. In the last case, the Dirac equation is replaced with a system of the so-called Weyl equations [1, 2].

where $r = 1$ for $(k; m) = (0; 0)$ and $r = 2$ for $(k; m) \notin (0; 0)$. So, in these cases (4.9b) has respectively in nitely many linearly independent solutions and two linearly independent solutions. Since the case $(k; m) = (0; 0)$, corresponds to the 'degenerate' solutions (4.1), which require different treatment, we shall exclude it from our further considerations in this section.¹⁶ In the case $m \notin 0$, we shall label these $r = 2$ linearly independent solutions with an index s taking the values 1 and 2, $s = 1; 2$.¹⁷ Define the operator spinors $_{s;(\pm)}(k)$ and $\overline{_{s;(\pm)}}(k)$, where the index (\pm) indicates the sign of $k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\notin 0)$ in (4.9b), as linearly independent solutions of respectively the equations

$$\begin{aligned} k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} + m c \mathbb{1}_4} \quad _{s;(\pm)}(k) &= 0 \\ \overline{_{s;(\pm)}}(k) \quad k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} + m c \mathbb{1}_4} &= 0: \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

If $m = 0$, we set by definition $s = 0$ and label the linearly independent solutions of (4.9b) by the signs "+" and "-". Respectively, for $m = 0$, we define the operator spinors $_{0;(\pm)}(k)$ and $\overline{_{0;(\pm)}}(k)$ as linearly independent solutions of (4.19) with $m = 0$. As a result of (4.9a), the solutions of (4.19) satisfy also the equations

$$\begin{aligned} [_{s;(\pm)}(k); P] &= k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \quad _{s;(\pm)}(k) \\ [\overline{_{s;(\pm)}}(k); P] &= k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \quad \overline{_{s;(\pm)}}(k): \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Since any solution of the first (resp. second) equation in (4.9b) can be represented as a linear combination of $_{s;(\pm)}(k)$ (resp. $\overline{_{s;(\pm)}}(k)$), we can rewrite (4.7) as

$$= \sum_{\pm} \int d^3 k \quad f_{s;+}(k) \quad _{s;(+)}(k) + f_{s;-}(k) \quad _{s;(-)}(k) \quad \frac{P}{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \quad (4.21a)$$

$$= \sum_s \int d^3 k \quad \overline{f}_{s;+}(k) \quad \overline{_{s;(+)}}(k) + \overline{f}_{s;-}(k) \quad \overline{_{s;(-)}}(k) \quad \frac{P}{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \quad (4.21b)$$

where $f_{s; \pm}(k)$ and $\overline{f}_{s; \pm}(k)$ are some complex-valued functions of k for solutions different from (4.1). Regarding the solutions (4.1), in view of remark 4.2, for them (4.21) holds too for some distributions $f_{s; \pm}(k)$ and $\overline{f}_{s; \pm}(k)$ and some operators $_{s;(\pm)}(k)$ and $\overline{_{s;(\pm)}}(k)$, which can be chosen in different ways¹⁸

Below we shall need a system of classical, not operator-valued, suitably normalized solutions of the equations (4.19). The idea of their introduction is to be separated the invariant operator properties of the spinor field from its particular 'matrix' representation as a collection of (operator) components which depend on some concrete reference frame with respect to which it is studied. This will be done in Sect. 5 by expanding the operator-valued quantum spinors as linear combinations of the mentioned system, in fact a basis, of classical spinors, the coefficients of which expansion are frame-independent invariant operators characterizing the spinor field.

Let $(k; m) \notin (0; 0)$. Consider classical 4-spinors $v^{s; \pm}(k)$ and their Dirac conjugate $\overline{v}^{s; \pm}(k) \equiv (v^{s; \pm}(k))^{\gamma 0} = (\overline{v}^{s; \pm}(k)) = : v^{\gamma s; \pm}(k)^0$; where $s = 1; 2$ for $m \notin 0$, $s = 0$ for $m = 0$, and γ means Hermitian conjugation (i.e. matrix transposition combined with complex conjugation in the classical case), which are linearly independent solutions of the

¹⁶ On the exploration of the consequences of (4.1), see the paragraph containing equation (5.17) in Sect. 5.

¹⁷ Usually [1,2], the index s is referred as the polarization or spin index (parameter, variable).

¹⁸ For details, see below (5.17) and the paragraph containing it. Recall, the solutions (4.1) can be describe by $(k; m; P) = (0; 0; 0)$ in which case (4.9) (and, hence, (4.19) and (4.20)) reduce to the identity $0 = 0$.

equations

$$\begin{aligned} k_{k_0=+} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} + m c \mathbb{1}_4 v^{s;}(k) &= 0 \\ \bar{v}^{s;}(k) k_{k_0=+} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} + m c \mathbb{1}_4 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

and satisfy the conditions

$$v^{s;}(k)^Y = :v^{ys;}(k) \quad \overline{v^{s;}(k)} = :\bar{v}^{s;}(k) = v^{ys;}(k)^0 \quad (4.23)$$

$$v^{ys;}(k) v^{s^0;}(k) = s s^0 \quad \bar{v}^{s;}(k) v^{s^0;}(k) = \frac{m c}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} s s^0; \quad (4.24)$$

where $s; s^0 = 0$ for $m = 0$ (and $k \notin 0$) and $s; s^0 = 1; 2$ for $m \notin 0$. From here the following relations can be derived [1, subsect. 7.2]:

$$v^{ys;}(k) v^{s^0;}(k) = 0 \quad (4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v^{ys;}(k) f k^a{}^b k^b{}^a m c^a{}^b g v^{s;}(k) &= 0 \quad a; b = 1; 2; 3 = 9 \\ X \quad k^a f v^{ys;}(k) (k^a{}^b k^b{}^a) v^{s^0;}(k) g &= 0 \quad a; b = 1; 2; 3; \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

$$X_s \quad v^{s;}(k) \bar{v}^{s;}(k) = \frac{(k \quad m c \mathbb{1}_4)}{2k_0} \quad k_{k_0=+} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \quad \text{for } m \notin 0: \quad (4.27)$$

These formulae will be applied in different calculations in the next sections.

The explicit form of the spinors $v^{s;}(k)$ for $m \notin 0$ can be found in [3, pp. 617{618] or in [13, sect. 2.2.1], where the notation $u(k; s) := v^{s;}(k)$ and $v(k; s) := v^{s;+}(k)$ is used. Since $(k + m c \mathbb{1}_4)(k - m c \mathbb{1}_4) = (k^2 - m^2 c^2) \mathbb{1}_4$ and $k^2 = m^2 c^2$, we can write

$$v^{s;}(k) = v^{ys;}(k)^Y = A(k) \left(k_{k_0=+} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} + m c \mathbb{1}_4 \right) v_0^{s;}; \quad (4.28)$$

where $A(k)$ are some normalization constants, that can be found by using (4.24),¹⁹ and $v_0^{s;}$ are constant spinors given by:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^{1;} &= (1; 0; 0; 0)^> \quad v_0^{2;} = (0; 1; 0; 0)^> \\ v_0^{1;+} &= (0; 0; 1; 0)^> \quad v_0^{2;+} = (0; 0; 0; 1)^> \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } m \notin 0 \quad (4.29a)$$

$$v_0^{0;} = (0; 0; 1; 0)^> \quad v_0^{0;+} = (0; 0; 0; 1)^> \quad \text{for } m = 0: \quad (4.29b)$$

5. Frequency decompositions

As a consequence of the results of Sect. 4, one can expect the existence of decompositions of the constant Dirac spinors and similar to the one in Heisenberg picture [1, 2]. Such expansions, in fact, exist and can be introduced in almost the same way as it was done for a free charged scalar field in [11]; the only differences being the spinor (polarization) index s in (4.21) and the multi-component character of the spinors.

¹⁹ Explicitly, we have $A(k) = f 2m c (m c + \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}) g^{-1=2}$ for $m \notin 0$; see [3, Appendix A, eq. (A.1-29)]. For $m = 0$, one may set $A(k) = f 2k^2 g^{-1=2}$:

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_s(k) &:= \begin{cases} f_{s;+}(k) & \text{for } k_0 > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } k_0 < 0 \end{cases} \\ \bar{\psi}_s(k) &:= \begin{cases} \bar{f}_{s;+}(k) & \text{for } k_0 > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } k_0 < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(k) &:= \sum_s \psi_s(k) \quad \bar{\psi}(k) := \sum_s \bar{\psi}_s(k) \\ \psi_s(k) &:= \psi_s(k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \quad \bar{\psi}_s(k) := \bar{\psi}_s(k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \\ \psi(k) &:= \psi(k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = \sum_s \psi_s(k) \\ \bar{\psi}(k) &:= \bar{\psi}(k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} = \sum_s \bar{\psi}_s(k); \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where $k^2 = m^2 c^2$ and (the summation is over) $s = 0$ for $m = 0$ (and $k \neq 0$) or $s = 1, 2$ for $m \neq 0$.²⁰

The equalities (4.16) imply

$$\bar{\psi}(k) = \bar{\psi}(k) \quad \bar{\psi}(k) = \bar{\psi}(k) \quad (5.4)$$

which mean that $\bar{\psi}(k)$ is not the Dirac conjugate of $\psi(k)$.

Combining (5.1), (4.20), (4.21) and proposition 4.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s \int d^3k \psi_s(k) &= \sum_s \int d^3k \bar{\psi}_s(k) \quad \bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}^+ + \bar{\psi}^- \\ \sum_s \int d^3k \psi_s(k) &= \sum_s \int d^3k \bar{\psi}_s(k) = \sum_s \int d^3k \bar{\psi}(k) \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi(k); P] &= k \psi(k) \quad k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \\ [\bar{\psi}(k); P] &= k \bar{\psi}(k) \quad k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (5.7a) \quad (5.7b)$$

Notice, now equations (4.9b) are incorporated in the definitions (5.1) via the equations (4.19).

To reveal the physical meaning of the operators introduced, we shall rewrite (3.24) and (3.25) in their terms:²¹

$$[\psi(k); Q] = q \psi(k) \quad [\bar{\psi}(k); Q] = q \bar{\psi}(k) \quad (5.8)$$

$$[\psi(k); M(x)] = f(x, k, x, k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g(k) \quad (5.9a)$$

$$[\bar{\psi}(k); M(x)] = \bar{\psi}(k) f(x, k, x, k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \mathbb{1}_4 - \frac{1}{2} \sim g(k) \quad (5.9b)$$

where (5.7) was taken into account. Recall, here Q and M stand for the charge and (total) angular momentum operators, respectively, and the spin matrices are defined via (3.14). Besides, the last terms in (5.9) are due to the spin angular momentum while the remaining ones originate from the orbital angular momentum. We should remind, the equations (5.8)

²⁰ On the case $(k; m) = (0; 0)$, or, more generally, the case regarding the solutions (4.1) | vide infra the paragraph containing equation (5.17).

²¹ To derive rigorously (5.8) and (5.9) from (3.24) and (3.25), respectively, one has to take into account that $\psi(k)$ and $\bar{\psi}(k)$ are, up to a phase factor and, possibly, normalization factor, the Fourier images of $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ and $\tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x)$, respectively (see (4.17)).

and (5.9) originate from (2.29) and (2.30), which are external to the Lagrangian formalism. Therefore the below presented results, in particular the physical interpretation of the creation and annihilation operators, should be accepted with some reserve. However, after the establishment of the particle interpretation of the theory (see Sections 9 and 10), the results of this section will be confirmed (see also Sect. 11).

Let X_p , X_e and X_m denote state vectors of a spinor field with fixed respectively 4-momentum p , (total) charge e and (total) angular momentum $m(x)$, i.e.

$$P(X_p) = p X_p \quad (5.10a)$$

$$Q(X_e) = e X_e \quad (5.10b)$$

$$M(x)(X_m) = m(x) X_m : \quad (5.10c)$$

Combining these equations with (5.7)–(5.9), we obtain²²

$$P(k)(X_p) = (p-k) \cdot (k)(X_p) \quad k_0 = \frac{p \cdot \overline{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \quad (5.11a)$$

$$P(k)(X_p) = (p-k) \cdot \overline{(k)(X_p)} \quad k_0 = \frac{p \cdot \overline{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \quad (5.11b)$$

$$Q(X_e) = (e-q) \cdot (X_e) \quad Q(X_e) = (e+q) \cdot \overline{(X_e)} \quad (5.12a)$$

$$Q(X_e) = (e-q) \cdot (X_e) \quad Q(X_e) = (e+q) \cdot \overline{(X_e)} \quad (5.12b)$$

$$Q(k)(X_e) = (e-q) \cdot (k)(X_e) \quad Q(k)(X_e) = (e+q) \cdot \overline{(k)(X_e)} \quad (5.12c)$$

$$M(x) \cdot (k)(X_m) = f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 \cdot (x \cdot k - x \cdot k)_{k_0} \frac{p \cdot \overline{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g \cdot (k)(X_m) \quad (5.13a)$$

$$M(x) \cdot \overline{(k)(X_m)} = \overline{(k)(X_m)} f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 \cdot (x \cdot k - x \cdot k)_{k_0} \frac{p \cdot \overline{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g : \quad (5.13b)$$

If the field configuration happens to be such that

$$[\cdot; P] = A \quad [\cdot; P] = \overline{A} \quad \overline{A} = {}^0 A^Y {}^0 \quad (5.14)$$

for some 4 \times 4 matrices A , then to (5.13) can be added the equations (cf. (5.12a) and (5.12b)):

$$M(x) \cdot (X_m) = f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 \cdot (x \cdot A - x \cdot A)_{k_0} \frac{p \cdot \overline{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g \cdot (X_m) \quad (5.15a)$$

$$M(x) \cdot \overline{(X_m)} = \overline{(X_m)} f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 \cdot (x \cdot \overline{A} - x \cdot \overline{A})_{k_0} \frac{p \cdot \overline{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g : \quad (5.15b)$$

In particular, for the 'exotic' solutions (4.1), we have (cf. (5.12)):

$$M(x) \cdot (X_m) = f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \sim g \cdot (X_m) \right) \quad (5.16a)$$

$$M(x) \cdot \overline{(X_m)} = \overline{(X_m)} f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g$$

$$M(x) \cdot (X_m) = f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \sim g \cdot (X_m) \right) \quad (5.16b)$$

$$M(x) \cdot \overline{(X_m)} = \overline{(X_m)} f m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g$$

²² Expressions like ${}_s(k)(X_p)$ should be understood as a vector-column of vectors of the form ${}_{s,0}(k)(X_p); \dots; {}_{s,3}(k)(X_p)$. Similarly, the quantity $\overline{{}_s(k)(X_p)} = \overline{{}_{s,0}(k)(X_p); \dots; {}_{s,3}(k)(X_p)}$ is a vector-row of vectors.

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x)(k)(X_m) &= f_m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 - \frac{1}{2} \sim g(k)(X_m) \\
M(x) \bar{(k)}(X_m) &= \bar{(k)}(X_m) f_m(x) \mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \sim g:
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.16c}$$

Besides, the equations (5.12) remain the same for the solutions (4.1) and (5.11) reduce to the identity $0 = 0$ for them.

The equations (5.11) (resp. (5.12)) show that the eigenvectors of the momentum (resp. charge) operator are mapped into such vectors by the operators (k) and $\bar{(k)}$ (resp. (k) , $\bar{(k)}$, $\bar{(k)}$, and $\bar{(k)}$). However, by virtue of the equalities (5.13) (5.16), no one of the operators (k) , $\bar{(k)}$, $\bar{(k)}$, and $\bar{(k)}$ maps an eigenvector of the angular momentum operator into such a vector. The cause for this fact are the matrices $\frac{1}{2} \sim$, appearing in (5.13) (5.16), which generally are non-diagonal [1,19] and, consequently mix the components of the matrix vectors (X_m) , (X_m) , $(k)(X_m)$, $\bar{(X_m)}$, $\bar{(X_m)}$, and $\bar{(k)}(X_m)$ in (5.13) (5.16). Since the matrices $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ have a dimension of angular momentum and, obviously, originate from the pure spinor properties of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ elds, we shall refer to them as spin-mixing angular momentum matrices or simply as spin-mixing matrices; by definition, the spin-mixing matrix of the eld (resp. its Dirac conjugate $\bar{}$) is $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ (resp. $+\frac{1}{2} \sim$). More generally, if X is a state vector and $M(x)(k)(X) = f_l(x) \mathbb{1}_4 + s g(k)(X)$ or $M(x) \bar{(k)}(X) = \bar{(k)}(X) f_{\bar{l}}(x) \mathbb{1}_4 + \bar{s} g$; where l and \bar{l} are some operators and s and \bar{s} are matrices, not proportional to the unit matrix $\mathbb{1}_4$, with operator entries, then we shall say that the operators (k) or $\bar{(k)}$ have respectively spin-mixing (angular momentum) matrices s and \bar{s} relative to the state vector X ; we shall abbreviate this by saying that the states $(k)(X)$ and $\bar{(k)}(X)$ have spin-mixing matrices s and \bar{s} , respectively.

The other additional terms in, e.g., equation (5.13) are $(x k \quad x k)_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \mathbb{1}_4$. They do not mix the components of $(k)(X_m)$ and $\bar{(k)}(X_m)$. These terms may be associated with the orbital angular momentum of the (matrix) state vectors $(k)(X_m)$ and $\bar{(k)}(X_m)$.

Thus, from (5.11) (5.13), the following conclusions can be made:

- The operators $^{+}(k)$ and $^{++}(k)$ (respectively (k) and $\bar{(k)}$) increase (respectively decrease) the state's 4-momentum by the quantity $(\frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; k)$.
- The operators (k) and $\bar{(k)}$ (respectively $\bar{(k)}$ and $\bar{(k)}$) decrease (respectively increase) the states' charge by q .
- The operators $^{+}(k)$ and $^{++}(k)$ (respectively (k) and $\bar{(k)}$) increase (respectively decrease) the state's orbital angular momentum by $(x k \quad x k)_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}$.
- The operators (k) (respectively $\bar{(k)}$) possess spin-mixing angular momentum matrices $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ (resp. $+\frac{1}{2} \sim$) relative to states with fixed total angular momentum.

In this way, the operators (k) and $\bar{(k)}$ obtain an interpretation of creation and annihilation operators of particles (quanta) of a spinor eld, viz.

- the operator $^{+}(k)$ (respectively (k)) creates (respectively annihilates) a particle with 4-momentum $(\frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; k)$, charge $(-q)$ (resp. $(+q)$), orbital angular momentum $(x k \quad x k)_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}$, and spin-mixing angular momentum matrix $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ and
- the operator $^{++}(k)$ (respectively $\bar{(k)}$) creates (respectively annihilates) a particle with 4-momentum $(\frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; k)$, charge $(+q)$ (resp. $(-q)$), orbital angular momentum $(x k \quad x k)_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}}$, and spin-mixing angular momentum matrix $+\frac{1}{2} \sim$.

Let us say a few words on the solutions (4.1) of the Dirac equations (3.6) in momentum picture. If we try to describe them in terms of ordinary operators, not operator-valued distributions, in the scheme developed we should put $(k; m) = (0; 0)$. But the operators

(0) and $\bar{}(0)$ do not change a state's 4-momentum (see (5.11) with $p = k = 0, m = 0$ and $P = 0$) and orbital angular momentum and produce states with spin-mixing angular momentum matrix $\frac{1}{2}\sim$ (resp. $+\frac{1}{2}\sim$) (see (5.16c)). Since the interpretation of the operators $\underline{p}(k)$ and $\bar{}(k)$ for $(k; m) \notin (0; 0)$ is connected with a non-vanishing 4-momentum $(m^2 c^2 + k^2; k)$ and/or non-vanishing orbital momentum $(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{k})_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \mathbb{1}_4$; the interpretation of $\underline{p}(k)$ and $\bar{}(k)$ in the limit $(k; m) \rightarrow (0; 0)$ as creation/annihilation operators is lost. This is exactly the case if we make the limit $(k; m) \rightarrow (0; 0)$ in (4.19), (4.20), and (5.5)–(5.7) (see remark 4.2). But, as we said already, $\underline{p}(k)$ and $\bar{}(k)$ should be operator-valued spinor distributions (generalized functions) for the solutions (4.1). For them (5.11) transform into

$$k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \underline{p}(k)(X_0) = 0 \quad k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} \bar{}(k)(X_0) = 0 \quad k_0 = \frac{P}{k^2}; \quad (5.17)$$

as a result of $m = 0, P = 0$ and (5.7). So, if we suppose these equalities to hold for any $X_0 \notin 0$, we get

$$k \underline{p}(k) = 0 \quad k \bar{}(k) = 0 \quad k_0 = \frac{P}{k^2} \quad (5.18)$$

which convert (4.19) and (4.20) into identities, due to $m = 0$ for the solutions (4.1). So, for example, we can set

$$\underline{p}(k) = (2 \sim)^{3=2} \sum_s a_s(k) v^s; \quad \bar{}(k) = (2 \sim)^{3=2} \sum_s a_s^y(k) \bar{v}^s; \quad (5.19)$$

$$a_s(k) = {}^3(k)_s(k) \quad a_s^y(k) = {}^3(k)_s^y(k) \quad (5.20)$$

where ${}_s(k)$ and ${}_s^y(k)$ are some operators, $v^s; (k)$ and $\bar{v}^s; (k)$ are the classical spinors defined via the equations (4.22)–(4.24), and the constant factor $(2 \sim)^{3=2}$ is introduced for future convenience. (The fact that the solutions (5.19)–(5.20) of (5.17) are not the only ones is inessential for the following.) Consequently, when the solutions (4.1) are concerned, the operators (operator-valued distributions) $\underline{p}(k)$ (resp. $\bar{}(k)$) decrease (resp. increase) state's charge by q and spin-mixing angular momentum matrix by $\frac{1}{2}\sim$; they preserve the vanishing values of the 4-momentum and orbital angular momentum of the states. However, if we consider the solutions (4.1) as a limiting case when $([; P]; m) \rightarrow (0; 0)$ and $([\bar{ }; P]; m) \rightarrow (0; 0)$, we, by convention, can say that: (a) the distributions ${}^+(k)$ (resp. $\bar{}(k)$) create (resp. annihilate) particles with vanishing 4-momentum and angular momentum, charge $(-q)$, and spin-mixing angular momentum matrix $\frac{1}{2}\sim$, and (b) the distributions $\bar{}(k)$ (resp. $\bar{}(k)$) create (resp. annihilate) particles with vanishing 4-momentum and angular momentum, charge $(+q)$, and spin-mixing angular momentum matrix $+\frac{1}{2}\sim$.

Until now the operators ${}_{s; ()}(k)$ and $\bar{}_{s; ()}(k)$, $s = 0$ for $m = 0$ and $s = 1; 2$ for $m \notin 0$, entering in definition (5.1), were completely arbitrary linearly independent solutions of (4.19) and (4.20). As a result, the operators (or operator-valued spinor distributions) ${}_s(k)$ and $\bar{}_s(k)$ are arbitrary linearly independent solutions of the operator equations

$$k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} + m c \mathbb{1}_4 \quad {}_s(k) = 0 \quad \bar{}_s(k) \quad k_{k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} + m c \mathbb{1}_4 = 0 \quad (5.21)$$

which, in form, coincide with the classical equations (4.22). This fact makes it possible to be separated the 'pure operator' part from the 'pure matrix-spinor' part in the spinor operators ${}_s(k)$ and $\bar{}_s(k)$. The existence of such decompositions is intuitively clear as one can expect

the dynamical variables to be expressible through some invariant characteristics of the field (the 'operator part') while the transformation properties of the field should be expressible via some frame-dependent objects of the field (the 'matrix part'). This separation is most conveniently done by expanding $a_s(k)$ and $\bar{a}_s(k)$ as follows (do not sum over s !)

$$a_s(k) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} a_s(k) v^{sj}(k) \quad \bar{a}_s(k) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} a_s^y(k) \bar{v}^{sj}(k) \quad (5.22)$$

or, equivalently,

$$a(k) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \sum_s a_s(k) v^{sj}(k) \quad \bar{a}(k) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \sum_s a_s^y(k) \bar{v}^{sj}(k): \quad (5.23)$$

Here $v^{sj}(k)$ and $\bar{v}^{sj}(k)$ are defined via (4.22)–(4.24), $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ are some (1 × 1 matrix) operators, for solutions different from (4.1), or (1 × 1 matrix) operator-valued distributions, for the solutions (4.1), acting on the system's (spinor field's) Hilbert space F of states, $a_s(k); a_s^y(k): F \rightarrow F$, and $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ are such that

$$a_s(k)^y = a_s^y(k) \quad a_s^y(k)^y = a_s(k); \quad (5.24)$$

due to (5.4) and (4.23). We have met the settings (5.22) above (see (5.19)) when the special solutions (4.1) were considered, in which case the distributions $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ can be represented in the form (5.20). The operators $a_s^+(k)$ and $a_s^{y+}(k)$ (resp. $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$) will be referred as the creation (resp. annihilation) operators (of the field).

From (5.11)–(5.13) and (5.23), we, applying (4.22)–(4.24), derive the relations (with $k_0 = m^2 c^2 + k^2$)²³

$$P a_s(k)(X_P) = (p - k) a_s(k)(X_P) \quad P a_s^y(k)(X_P) = (p - k) a_s^y(k)(X_P) \quad (5.25a)$$

$$Q a_s(k)(X_e) = (e - q) a_s(k)(X_e) \quad Q a_s^y(k)(X_e) = (e + q) a_s^y(k)(X_e) \quad (5.25b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(x) a_s(k)(X_m) &= fm(x) \left((x - k) a_s(k)(X_m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_t \hat{v}^{stj}(k) a_t(k)(X_m) \right) \\ M(x) a_s^y(k)(X_m) &= fm(x) \left((x - k) a_s^y(k)(X_m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_t \hat{v}^{tsj}(k) a_t^y(k)(X_m) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.25c)$$

where

$$\hat{v}^{stj}(k) := v^{ysj}(k) \quad v^{tj}(k) = \hat{v}^{stj}(k) = \bar{v}^{sj}(k)^0 \quad v^{tj}(k) \quad (5.26)$$

and the indices s and t take the value 0 for $m = 0$ and the values 1 and 2 for $m \neq 0$. The numbers $\hat{v}^{stj}(k)$, appearing in (5.25c), generally depend on all of the arguments indicated. We shall comment on them in Sect. 6.

For the solutions (4.1), the equations (5.25) reduce to:

$$k a_s(k)(X_0) = 0 \quad k a_s^y(k)(X_0) = 0 \quad (5.27a)$$

$$Q a_s(k)(X_e) = (e - q) a_s(k)(X_e) \quad Q a_s^y(k)(X_e) = (e + q) a_s^y(k)(X_e) \quad (5.27b)$$

²³ The relations involving $a_s(k)$ are obtained from the similar ones involving $\bar{a}(k)$ by multiplying the latter from the left by $v^{ysj}(k)$. Analogously, the relations involving $a_s^y(k)$ are obtained from the similar ones involving $\bar{a}^y(k)$ by multiplying the latter from the right by $\bar{v}^{sj}(k)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\mathbf{x}) a_s(\mathbf{k})(X_m) &= m(\mathbf{x}) a_s(\mathbf{k})(X_m) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_t \hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k}) a_t(\mathbf{k})(X_m) \\
M(\mathbf{x}) a_s^y(\mathbf{k})(X_m) &= m(\mathbf{x}) a_s^y(\mathbf{k})(X_m) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_t \hat{\sim}^{ts;}(\mathbf{k}) a_t^y(\mathbf{k})(X_m);
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27c}$$

where, the quantities $m(\mathbf{x})$ in (5.27c) contain only a spin angular momentum.

As a consequence of (5.25), the interpretation of $a_s(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_s^y(\mathbf{k})$ is almost the same as the one of $\hat{a}_s(\mathbf{k})$ and $\hat{a}_s^y(\mathbf{k})$, respectively, with an only change concerning the spin angular momentum.

If $m = 0$, then $s, t = 0$ and the equations (5.27c) say that the particles created/annihilated by $a_0(\mathbf{k})$ possess spin angular momentum $\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{00;}(\mathbf{k})$ and the ones created/annihilated by $a_0^y(\mathbf{k})$ have a spin angular momentum equal to $+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{00;}(\mathbf{k})$. However, for $m \neq 0$, the eigenstates of M are, generally, not mapped into such states by $a_0(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_0^y(\mathbf{k})$ as they are mixed through the quantities $\hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k})$ via the polarization indices they carry. Now the matrices $\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k})$ and $+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{ts;}(\mathbf{k})$, with

$$\hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k})^2_{s,t=1} \tag{5.28}$$

play a role of polarization-mixing matrices since we can rewrite (5.27c) for $m \neq 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\mathbf{x}) a(\mathbf{k})(X_m) &= f_m(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{1}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k}) g a(\mathbf{k})(X_m) \\
M(\mathbf{x}) a^y(\mathbf{k})(X_m) &= a_t^y(\mathbf{k})(X_m) f_m(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{1}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{ts;}(\mathbf{k}) g;
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the unit 2×2 matrix, $a(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(\mathbf{k}) \\ a_2(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$; and $a^y(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^y(\mathbf{k}) \\ a_2^y(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$.

So, we can say that $a(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $a^y(\mathbf{k})$) creates/annihilates particles (states) with polarization-mixing matrices $\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sim}^{ts;}$). This interpretation holds also for the massless case, $m = 0$, if we set $a(\mathbf{k}) = a_0(\mathbf{k})$, $a^y(\mathbf{k}) = a_0^y(\mathbf{k})$, and $\hat{\sim}^{st;}(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{\sim}^{00;}(\mathbf{k})$ in that case. It is worth mentioning, as a consequence of (5.27), the particles and antiparticles of a free spinor field are always different regardless of their mass m and charge q .

Ending this section, we must note, the interpretation of $\hat{a}_s(\mathbf{k})$, $\hat{a}_s^y(\mathbf{k})$, $a_s(\mathbf{k})$, and $a_s^y(\mathbf{k})$ as operators creating/annihilating particles with fixed charge and spin (polarization) mixing matrices is entirely based on the equations (3.24) and (3.25), or, more generally, on (2.43) and (2.44), which are external to the Lagrangian formalism and whose validity depends on the particular Lagrangian employed. In particular, for the Lagrangian (3.4) (or (3.1) in Heisenberg picture), the equalities (3.25) do not hold for $s = 0$ and $s = 1; 2; 3$ | see, e.g., (3.32) and (2.25). The below-written Lagrangian (11.4) (or (11.3) in Heisenberg picture), used in [2], is an example of a one for which all of the equalities (3.24) and (3.25) hold. With an exception of the present sections, the equations (3.24) and (3.25) are not used in this work.

6. The dynamical variables in terms of creation and annihilation operators

The main purpose of this section is a technical one: to be derived expressions for the momentum, charge and angular momentum operators in terms of the creation and annihilation operators introduced in Sect. 5. The results will promote the interpretation of these operators and will be applied significantly in the subsequent sections.

As (5.5) (5.7) imply

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi; P] &= \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^+(k) + \psi_s^-(k)) g d^3 k \\ [\bar{\psi}; P] &= \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\bar{\psi}_s^-(k) + \bar{\psi}_s^+(k)) g d^3 k; \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

the energy-momentum operator (3.19), by virtue of (5.5) and (5.6), can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{2} c \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^+(k) + \psi_s^-(k)) (\psi_s^+(k) + \psi_s^-(k)) \\ &= \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^+(k) + \psi_s^-(k)) (\psi_s^+(k) + \psi_s^-(k)) : \quad (6.2) \end{aligned}$$

Since the spinors ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation (4.11), this is true also for their components and, consequently, according to [11, eq. (6.4)], the equality

$$\psi_s^{\mu}(k) \psi_s^{\nu}(k^0) U(x; x_0) = e^{\frac{1}{i} (x - x_0) (\mu k + \nu k^0)} U(x; x_0) \psi_s^{\mu}(k) \psi_s^{\nu}(k^0) \quad (6.3)$$

holds for $\mu, \nu = +, -$, $k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$, $k_0^0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (k^0)^2}$, $\psi_s^{\mu}(k) = \psi_{s\mu}^{\mu}(k); \bar{\psi}_s^{\mu}(k)$; with μ being an index denoting spinor's components, and $U(x; x_0)$ being the operator (2.2) by means of which the transition from Heisenberg to momentum picture is performed.

Substituting (6.2) into (2.22), commuting $U(x; x_0)$ according to (6.3) until it meets $U^{-1}(x; x_0)$, performing the integration over x (resulting in $(2\pi)^{-3} \delta(k - k^0)$ and a phase factor), and, at last, integrating over k^0 , we get²⁴

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^+(k) - \psi_s^-(k)) (\psi_s^+(k) - \psi_s^-(k)) \\ &+ (\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3) e^{\frac{2}{i} (x^0 - x_0^0)} \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^+(k) - \psi_s^-(k)) (\psi_s^+(k) - \psi_s^-(k)) \\ &+ (\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3) e^{\frac{2}{i} (x^0 - x_0^0)} \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^-(k) - \psi_s^+(k)) (\psi_s^-(k) - \psi_s^+(k)) : \quad (6.6) \end{aligned}$$

²⁴ The integrals, appearing in the transition from (2.33) to (6.6) and similar ones required for the derivation of (6.9) and (6.12) below, are of the type

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^{\mu}(k) - \psi_s^{\nu}(k)) (\psi_s^{\mu}(k) - \psi_s^{\nu}(k)) \\ &= \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^{\mu}(k) - \psi_s^{\nu}(k)) (\psi_s^{\mu}(k) - \psi_s^{\nu}(k)) e^{\frac{1}{i} (x - x_0) (\mu k + \nu k^0)}; \quad (6.4) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{\mu\nu}(k; k^0)$, $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots$, are some matrices, $k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$, $k_0^0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (k^0)^2}$, and (6.3) was applied. Representing the exponent in the integrand as

$$e^{\frac{1}{i} (x^0 - x_0^0) (\mu k_0 + \nu k_0^0)} e^{\frac{1}{i} \sum_{a=1}^3 x_a^0 (\mu k_a + \nu k_a^0)} e^{\frac{1}{i} \sum_{a=1}^3 x_a (\mu k_a + \nu k_a^0)}$$

and taking into account that the integral over x results in $(2\pi)^{-3} \delta(\mu k + \nu k^0)$, we see that, after trivial integration over k^0 , the above integral takes the form

$$J = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \int_{s^0}^{\infty} ds \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (\psi_s^{\mu}(k) - \psi_s^{\nu}(k)) (\psi_s^{\mu}(k) - \psi_s^{\nu}(k)) e^{\frac{1}{i} (x^0 - x_0^0) (\mu k_0 + \nu k_0^0)} : \quad (6.5)$$

The reader can easily write the concrete form of the matrices $A_{\mu\nu}(k; k^0)$ in the particular cases we consider in this paper.

Inserting the expansions (5.22) in the last result and applying (4.24) (respectively (4.25)) to the different (resp. equal) frequency terms in the obtained expression, we derive the familiar result (cf. [1,12])

$$P = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{Y+}(k) a_s(k) a_s^Y(k) a_s^\dagger(k) g d^3k : \quad (6.7)$$

We turn now our attention to the charge operator in Heisenberg picture.²⁵ In view of the decompositions (5.5)–(5.6), the current operator (3.20) reads

$$J = qc \sum_{s,s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} d^3k dk^0 \left(\bar{\psi}_s^+(k) + \bar{\psi}_s(k) \right) \left(\psi_{s^0}^+(k^0) + \psi_{s^0}(k^0) \right) : \quad (6.8)$$

Substituting the last equation into (2.23), commuting the operator $U(x; x_0)$ with $\psi_s^+(k)$ and $\bar{\psi}_s^+(k)$ according to (6.3), integrating over x (which gives $(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(k - k^0)$ and a phase factor), and integrating over k^0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & q(2\pi)^3 \sum_{s,s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\bar{\psi}_s^+(k) \psi_{s^0}^+(k) + \bar{\psi}_s(k) \psi_{s^0}(k) \right) \\ & + e \frac{2}{i} (x^0 - x_0^0) \sum_{s,s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\bar{\psi}_s^+(k) \psi_{s^0}^+(k) + \bar{\psi}_s(k) \psi_{s^0}(k) \right) : \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

At the end, the insertion of (5.22) here entails (cf. [1,12])

$$Q = q \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f a_s^{Y+}(k) a_s(k) + a_s^Y(k) a_s^\dagger(k) g d^3k \quad (6.10)$$

where (4.24) and (4.25) were used.

Now comes the order of the angular momentum to be expressed via the creation and annihilation operators. Combining (3.21), (3.22) and (5.5)–(5.6), we see that

$$M = L + S = x T(x) - x T(x) + S \quad (6.11)$$

where T is given by (6.2) and the spin angular momentum density is

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} d^3k dk^0 \left(\bar{\psi}_s^+(k) + \bar{\psi}_s(k) \right) \left(\psi_{s^0}^+(k^0) + \psi_{s^0}(k^0) \right) : \quad (6.12)$$

Taking into account (3.30), substituting (6.12) into (2.25) and performing with the r.h.s. of the obtained equality manipulations similar to the ones leading from (6.8) to (6.9), we derive the following representation for the spin angular momentum in Heisenberg picture:

$$\tilde{S}_{0a} = 0 \quad (6.13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{ab} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} d^3k dk^0 \left(\bar{\psi}_s^+(k) \psi_{s^0}^+(k) + \bar{\psi}_s(k) \psi_{s^0}(k) \right) \\ & + e \frac{2}{i} (x^0 - x_0^0) \sum_{s,s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\bar{\psi}_s^+(k) \psi_{s^0}^+(k) + \bar{\psi}_s(k) \psi_{s^0}(k) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.13b)$$

²⁵ In momentum picture, it will be found in Sect. 7; see (7.30).

Similar transformations of the orbital angular momentum (2.24) give its value in Heisenberg picture as²⁶

[illegible]

²⁶ To prove (6.16), one has to substitute (6.2) into (2.24), then to apply (6.3), to calculate the space integral over x , and, at the end, to integrate over k^0 . The integrals, one has to calculate, are of the type

$$J = \int d^3x d^3k d^3k^0 \int d^3x_a d^3k^0 f_s^-(k) A_{nn0}(k; k^0) \int d^3x d^3k d^3k^0 f_s^-(k) A_{nn0}(k; k^0) \int d^3x d^3k d^3k^0 g_{s0}^0(k^0) g_{s0}^0(k^0) U(x; x_0) \quad (6.14)$$

where $a = 1; 2; 3, A_{\alpha\alpha}(k; k^0) = 1; 2; \dots$, are semimetrics, $k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$, and $k_0^0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^{02}}$. The integration over x results in $(2\pi)^{-3} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y} \right) dy$ (simple manipulation with the remaining terms, by invoking the equality $f(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y} (y)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3 y d^3 z f(y; z) \frac{\partial^3 (y-z)}{\partial (y^a z^a)} &= \int d^3 y d^3 z \frac{1}{2} f(y; z) - f(z; y) \frac{\partial^3 (y-z)}{\partial (y^a z^a)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 y d^3 z \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} f(y; z); \end{aligned}$$

[illegible]

The particular form of $A_{mn0}(k; k^0)$ is clear from (2.24) and (6.2). So, applying several times (6.15), calculating the appearing derivatives, and, at last, performing the trivial integration over k or k^0 by means of $\delta^3(k + k^0)$, one can derive (6.16) after simple, but lengthy and tedious algebraic manipulations.

be set equal to zero, and²⁷

$$\begin{aligned} A(k)k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} B(k) &= k \frac{\partial A(k)}{\partial k} B(k) + A(k)k \frac{\partial B(k)}{\partial k} \\ &= k A(k) \frac{\partial}{\partial k} B(k) \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

for (matrix) operators $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ having C^1 dependence on k . If the operators $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ tend to zero sufficiently fast at spacial infinity, then, by integration by parts, one can prove the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3k A(k)k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} B(k) &= \int d^3k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} [k A(k) B(k)] - \int d^3k A(k) B(k) \\ &= 2 \int d^3k A(k)k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} B(k) - \int d^3k A(k) B(k) \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

By means of these equations, one can reduce (two times) the number of terms in (6.16), but we prefer to retain the more (anti)symmetric form of the results by invoking the operation introduced via (6.17).

Let us represent the spin and orbital angular momentum operators (6.13) and (6.16) as sums of time-dependent and time-independent terms:

$$S = S^0 + S^1(x^0) \quad L = L^0 + L^1(x^0); \quad (6.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S^1(x^0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,s^0} (2\vec{\alpha})^3 \int d^3k e^{\frac{2}{i}(x^0 - x_0^0)} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^+ \\ &\quad + e^{+\frac{2}{i}(x^0 - x_0^0)} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^- \end{aligned} \quad (6.20a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L^1(x^0) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,s^0} (2\vec{\alpha})^3 \int d^3k e^{\frac{2}{i}(x^0 - x_0^0)} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^+ \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^- \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^+ \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^- \\ &\quad + e^{+\frac{2}{i}(x^0 - x_0^0)} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^+ \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^- \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \\ &\quad + e^{+\frac{2}{i}(x^0 - x_0^0)} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^- \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^+ \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.20b)$$

Now we shall prove that

$$S^1(x^0) + L^1(x^0) = 0; \quad (6.21)$$

²⁷ More generally, if $f: F \rightarrow F$ is a mapping on the operator space over the system's Hilbert space, we put $f(A)B = f(A)B + A f(B)$ for any $A, B: F \rightarrow F$. Usually [2,13], this notation is used for $f = \partial$.

For the purpose, define the quantities

$$\hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} := v^{ys^0}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad v^{s^0}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (6.22)$$

$$\Lambda^{ss^0}_{(\mathbf{x}^0;\mathbf{k})} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \mathbf{k} = 0 \text{ or } \mathbf{k} = 0 \\ e^{\frac{2}{i}(\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}_0^0) \cdot \mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} & \text{for } \mathbf{k} \neq 0 \end{cases}; \quad (6.23)$$

in terms of which (6.20a) reads

$$\tilde{S}^1_{\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^0}(\mathbf{x}^0) = \frac{1}{2} \sim \int_{\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^0}^Z d^3k \quad \Lambda^{ss^0,+}_{(\mathbf{x}^0;\mathbf{k})} a_s^{y+}(\mathbf{k}) \quad \hat{a}_{\mathbf{s}^0}^+(\mathbf{k}) + \Lambda^{ss^0}_{(\mathbf{x}^0;\mathbf{k})} a_s^y(\mathbf{k}) \quad \hat{a}_{\mathbf{s}^0}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (6.24)$$

as a result of the substitution of (5.22) into (6.20a). Inserting (4.28) into equation (6.22) and using (3.28) and (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} &= 2iA_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}} (v_0^{s^0})^> (\mathbf{k} + m c \mathbb{1}_4) (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}) v_0^{s^0}; \\ &= 2iA_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}} (v_0^{s^0})^> (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k} + m c \mathbb{1}_4) v_0^{s^0}; \quad (6.25) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.22) into (6.20b), we see, on one hand, that $\tilde{L}^1_{0a}(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ for $a = 1;2;3$ as a consequence of (4.25). On the other hand, performing the differentiations in the obtained equation, with $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, by means of (4.28) (see equation (6.48) below) and applying (6.25), we get $\tilde{L}^1_{ab}(\mathbf{x}^0) = \tilde{S}^1_{ab}(\mathbf{x}^0)$; $a;b = 1;2;3$. These results, together with $\tilde{S}^1_{0a}(\mathbf{x}^0) = 0$ (see (6.13a)), complete the proof of (6.21). Q E D .

Inserting (5.22) into (6.13b), introducing the quantities

$$\Lambda^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \mathbf{k} = 0 \text{ or } \mathbf{k} = 0 \\ \hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} & \text{for } \mathbf{k} \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.26)$$

with $\hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined by (5.26), and using (6.13a) and (6.19), we obtain the time-independent part of the spin angular momentum in Heisenberg picture as²⁸

$$\tilde{S}^0_{\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^0} = \frac{1}{2} \sim \int_{\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^0}^Z d^3k \quad \Lambda^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} a_s^{y+}(\mathbf{k}) \quad \hat{a}_{\mathbf{s}^0}(\mathbf{k}) + \Lambda^{ss^0,+}_{\mathbf{k}} a_s^y(\mathbf{k}) \quad \hat{a}_{\mathbf{s}^0}^+(\mathbf{k}) : \quad (6.27)$$

We should note that the quantities (5.26) and hence (6.26), generally, depend on all of the arguments indicated and, for $m \neq 0$, are not diagonal in s and s^0 , i.e. they are not proportional to δ_{ss^0} ($= 1$ for $s = s^0$, $= 0$ for $s \neq s^0$). However, if $m = 0$, the indices s and s^0 take the single value 0, $s; s^0 = 0$, so that (6.27) reduces to

$$\tilde{S}^0_{m=0} = \frac{1}{2} \sim \int^Z d^3k \quad \Lambda^{00}_{\mathbf{k}} a_0^{y+}(\mathbf{k}) \quad \hat{a}_0(\mathbf{k}) + \Lambda^{00,+}_{\mathbf{k}} a_0^y(\mathbf{k}) \quad \hat{a}_0^+(\mathbf{k}) : \quad (6.28)$$

The diagonal part of the quantities (5.26) is real due to (4.23), viz.

$$\Lambda^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} = \Lambda^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}}^*; \quad (6.29)$$

where the asterisk means complex conjugation, but, because of (see §25) and do not sum over \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}

$$\hat{\Lambda}^{ss^0}_{\mathbf{k}} = v^{ys^0}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad v^{s^0}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\Lambda}^{s^0s}_{\mathbf{k}}; \quad (6.30)$$

²⁸ In momentum picture, the spin angular momentum will be found in Sect. 7 | see equation (7.9) below.

the non-diagonal part of the quantities (5.26) is, generally, complex for some s and s^0 and if $s \neq s^0$ (for, of course, $m \neq 0$). However, using (5.24) and (6.30), one can prove that the sums over s and s^0 of the first/second terms in the integrand in (6.27) are Hermitian operators (with, as it is well known, real eigenvalues).

To get a concrete understanding of the quantities (5.26) and (6.22), we shall present below their particular values in some special frames. As $\hat{ss}^0(k) = \hat{ss}^0(k)$, not all of the quantities $\hat{ss}^0(k)$ are independent. Below we present only the independent ones, corresponding to $(;) = (0;1); (0;2); (0;3); (1;2); (2;3); (3;1)$. We also omit the argument k to save some space.

If $m \neq 0$, there is a frame such that $k = 0$. Following [1,2], in this frame, we choose see (4.28) with $k = 0$ and $A(0) = \frac{1}{2mc}$

$$\begin{aligned} v^{Y1; } &= v^{1;+ } = (0;0;0;1) & v^{Y2; } &= v^{2;+ } = (0;0;1;0) \\ v^{Y1;+ } &= v^{1; } = (1;0;0;0) & v^{Y2;+ } &= v^{2; } = (0;1;0;0): \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

Then, working with the representation (3.2) of the matrices [1,2], from equations (5.26) and (6.22), we, via a straightforward calculation, get:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{ss}^0_{0a} &= 0 & \hat{ss}^0_{12} &= (1)^s \hat{ss}^0 & a = 1;2;3 & s; s^0 = 1;2 \\ \hat{ss}^0_{23} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } s \neq s^0 \\ 0 & \text{for } s = s^0 \end{cases} & \hat{ss}^0_{31} &= \begin{cases} (1)^s i & \text{for } s \neq s^0 \\ 0 & \text{for } s = s^0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

$$\hat{ss}^0_{01} = \hat{ss}^0 i \quad \hat{ss}^0_{02} = (1)^s \hat{ss}^0 \quad \hat{ss}^0_{03} = (1)^s (1 - \hat{ss}^0) i \quad \hat{ss}^0_{ab} = 0: \quad (6.33)$$

Similarly, for $m \neq 0$, in a frame in which $k^1 = k^2 = 0$, we put [1, sec. 7.3]:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{Y1; } &= v^{1;+ } = N^{-1} (;0;1;0) & v^{Y2; } &= v^{2;+ } = N^{-1} (0; ;0;1) \\ v^{Y1;+ } &= v^{1; } = N^{-1} (1;0; ;0) & v^{Y2;+ } &= v^{2; } = N^{-1} (0;1;0;) \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

where $\hat{ss}^0 = \frac{p k^3}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$ and $N = \frac{p}{1 + \hat{ss}^0}$. Then, in the representation (3.2) of the matrices, from (5.26) and (6.22), we obtain for $m \neq 0$ and $k_0 = \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{ss}^0_{0a} &= 0 & \hat{ss}^0_{12} &= (1)^s \hat{ss}^0 & a = 1;2;3 & s; s^0 = 1;2 \\ \hat{ss}^0_{23} &= \begin{cases} \frac{m c}{k_0} & \text{for } s \neq s^0 \\ 0 & \text{for } s = s^0 \end{cases} & \hat{ss}^0_{31} &= \begin{cases} (1)^s \frac{m c}{k_0} i & \text{for } s \neq s^0 \\ 0 & \text{for } s = s^0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{ss}^0_{01} &= (1 - \hat{ss}^0) i & \hat{ss}^0_{02} &= (1)^s (1 - \hat{ss}^0) & \hat{ss}^0_{03} &= (1)^s \hat{ss}^0 \frac{m c}{k_0} \\ \hat{ss}^0_{12} &= 0 & \hat{ss}^0_{23} &= (1)^s 2 (1 - \hat{ss}^0) \frac{m c}{N^2} & \hat{ss}^0_{31} &= 2 (1 - \hat{ss}^0) \frac{m c}{N^2} i: \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

Notice the appearance of the imaginary unit i in the last formulae in (6.32) and (6.35), which is in conformity with (6.30); the rest of the results agree with (6.29).

For $m = 0$, in a frame in which $k^1 = k^2 = 0$, we set see (4.28) with $A(k) = \frac{p}{2 m^2 c^2 + k^2}$

$$v^{Y0; } = v^{0;+ } = \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{2} (;0;1;0) \quad v^{Y0;+ } = v^{0; } = \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{2} (0; ;0;1); \quad (6.37)$$

where $\hat{ss}^0 = \frac{p k^3}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} = \frac{p k^3}{(k^3)^2} = 2 f 1;+ 1g$; and, correspondingly, we get:

$$\hat{ss}^0_{0a} = \hat{ss}^0_{23} = \hat{ss}^0_{31} = 0 \quad \hat{ss}^0_{12} = 1 \quad a = 1;2;3 \quad (6.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha}_{01}^{00;} &= i & \hat{\alpha}_{02}^{00;} &= & \hat{\alpha}_{03}^{00;+} &= i \\
\hat{\alpha}_{03}^{00;} &= \hat{\alpha}_{12}^{00;} = 0 & \hat{\alpha}_{23}^{00;} &= 1 & \hat{\alpha}_{31}^{00;} &= i
\end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

To calculate the quantities (5.26) and (6.22) in an arbitrary frame, one should use (4.28) or an equivalent to it explicit form of the spinors $v^{s;}(k)$ with $s = 1; 2$ for $m \neq 0$ or $s = 0$ for $m = 0$.

If we write the spin vector (3.18) as

$$\vec{S} = \int d^3k \vec{S}(k); \quad (6.40)$$

the results (6.28), (6.35), (6.38), and (6.39) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
S^3(k)_{m \neq 0} &= S^3(k)_{m \neq 0} = \frac{1}{2} \sim a_1^{y+}(k) a_1(k) + a_2^{y+}(k) a_2(k) \\
&\quad a_1^y(k) a_1^+(k) + a_2^y(k) a_2^+(k)
\end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

$$S^3(k)_{m=0} = S^3(k)_{m=0} = \frac{1}{2} \sim a_0^{y+}(k) a_0(k) + a_0^y(k) a_0^+(k) \quad (6.42)$$

in a frame in which $k^1 = k^2 = 0$. From here, for $m \neq 0$, follows that the spin projection, on the third axis (of the chosen frame of reference) is $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ for the particles corresponding to $a_1^{y+}(k)$, $a_1(k)$, $a_1^y(k)$, and $a_1^+(k)$, while it equals $+\frac{1}{2} \sim$ for the ones corresponding to $a_2^{y+}(k)$, $a_2(k)$, $a_2^y(k)$, and $a_2^+(k)$. Similarly (see (6.42)), for $m = 0$, the spin projection, on the third axis (of the chosen frame of reference) is $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ for the particles corresponding to any creation/annihilation operator.²⁹ Further details regarding the spin angular momentum and its interpretation can be found in [3,12,13].

Let us express now the orbital angular momentum (6.16) in terms of the operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$. Substituting (5.22) and (6.7) into (6.16) and applying (6.19), (4.23) and (4.24), we obtain the time-independent part of the orbital angular momentum in Heisenberg picture as³⁰

$$\begin{aligned}
L^0 &= \int d^3k (x_0 k - x_0 k) j_{k_0} = \int d^3k \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{y+}(k) a_s(k) a_s^y(k) a_s^+(k) g \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sim \int d^3k l^{ss^0;}(k) a_s^{y+}(k) a_{s^0}(k) + l^{ss^0;+}(k) a_s^y(k) a_{s^0}^+(k) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} i \int d^3k a_s^{y+}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s(k) \\
&\quad + a_s^y(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s^+(k) \int d^3k \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \quad (6.43)
\end{aligned}$$

²⁹ After the normal ordering, this interpretation of $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ will be partially changed. In that connection, the reader may notice some contradiction of the interpretation of the creation and annihilation operators, given in Sect. 5, and the expressions (6.7), (6.10) and (6.27). It will disappear after normal ordering of products. For details, see Sect. 9.

³⁰ In momentum picture, the orbital angular momentum will be found in Sect. 7 | see equations (7.11) and (7.14) below.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 l^{ss^0}_{;}(k) &:= i v^{ys}_{;}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} v^{s^0}_{;}(k) = l^{ss^0}_{;}(k) \\
 &= 2i k \frac{\partial v^{ys}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial v^{ys}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} v^{s^0}_{;}(k) \\
 &= +2i v^{ys}_{;}(k) k \frac{\partial v^{s^0}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial v^{s^0}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} :
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

with the restriction $k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}$ done after the differentiation (so that the derivatives with respect to k_0 vanish). The last two equalities in (6.44) are consequences of (see (4.24))

$$\frac{\partial v^{ys}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} v^{s^0}_{;}(k) + v^{ys}_{;}(k) \frac{\partial v^{s^0}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} = 0; \tag{6.45}$$

so that

$$v^{ys}_{;}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} v^{s^0}_{;}(k) = -2k \frac{\partial v^{ys}_{;}(k)}{\partial k} v^{s^0}_{;}(k) = 2k v^{ys}_{;}(k) \frac{\partial v^{s^0}_{;}(k)}{\partial k}; \tag{6.46}$$

Notice, the equation (6.45) implies (see (4.23))

$$l^{ss^0}_{;}(k) = l^{s^0s}_{;}(k); \tag{6.47}$$

So, $l^{ss^0}_{;}(k)$ are real and, by virtue of (5.24), the sums of the first/second terms in the last integrand in (6.43) are Hermitian. Using (4.28), one sees that the derivatives in the last equality in (6.44) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial v^{s^0}_{;}(k)}{\partial k^0} &= 0 \\
 \frac{\partial v^{s^0}_{;}(k)}{\partial k^a} &= A_a(k) + \frac{k_a}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} v_0^{s^0} + A^{-1}(k) \frac{\partial A_a(k)}{\partial k^a} v^{s^0}_{;}(k);
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.48}$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3$, $A_a(k)$ are some normalization constants and $v_0^{s^0}$ are given by (4.29).

The charge, spin and orbital angular momentum operators in momentum picture will be found in Sect. 7.

Since the right-hand-sides of (6.27) and (6.43) are constant (in spacetime) operators, we have

$$\partial^0 \tilde{S} = 0 \quad \partial^0 \tilde{L} = 0; \tag{6.49}$$

Besides, by virtue of (6.19), (6.21) and (2.20), the total angular momentum operator of the spinor field under consideration is

$$\tilde{M} = \tilde{S} + \tilde{L} \tag{6.50}$$

and, as a result of (6.49), satisfies the evident conservation equation

$$\partial^0 \tilde{M} = 0; \tag{6.51}$$

which agrees with (2.26). We shall call the conserved operators \tilde{S} and \tilde{L} the spin (or spin charge) operator and the angular operator, respectively, of the spinor field. In fact, these invariant characteristics, not the non-conserved spin and orbital angular momentum, of the field are the ones which are used practically for the description of a free spinor field; for

instance, the vector components (6.41) and (6.42) are, actually, the only spin characteristics of a free spinor field examined in the (text)books [1,2,13].

Ending this section, we would like to make a comparison with the expressions for the dynamical variables in terms of the creation/annihilation operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ in (the momentum representation of) Heisenberg picture of motion [13,13]. As a consequence of (4.18), the analogues of the creation/annihilation operators, defined in terms of spinors via (5.1) and (5.2), are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_s(k) &= e^{\frac{1}{i}x_0 k} \psi_s(k) & \bar{\psi}_s(k) &= e^{\frac{1}{i}x_0 k} \bar{\psi}_s(k) & k_0 &= \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \\ \tilde{\psi}^y(k) &= e^{\frac{1}{i}x_0 k} \psi^y(k) & \bar{\psi}^y(k) &= e^{\frac{1}{i}x_0 k} \bar{\psi}^y(k) & (k_0 &= \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.52)$$

in Heisenberg picture. Therefore, defining (cf. (5.22))

$$\tilde{\psi}_s(k) = : (2\pi)^{-3/2} a_s(k) v^{s,i}(k) \quad \bar{\psi}_s(k) = : (2\pi)^{-3/2} a_s^y(k) \bar{v}^{s,i}(k); \quad (6.53)$$

we get the creation/annihilation operators in Heisenberg picture as

$$a_s(k) = e^{\frac{1}{i}x_0 k} a_s(k) \quad a_s^y(k) = e^{\frac{1}{i}x_0 k} a_s^y(k) \quad k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2} : \quad (6.54)$$

Evidently, these operators satisfy the equations

$$a_s(k)^y = a_s^y(k) \quad a_s^y(k)^y = a_s(k); \quad (6.55)$$

due to (5.24), and have all other properties of their momentum picture counterparts described in Sect. 5.

The connection (2.4) is not applicable to the creation/annihilation operators, as well as to operators in momentum representation (of momentum picture), i.e. to ones depending on the momentum variable k . In particular, the reader may verify, by using the results of Sections 4 and 5, the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} a_s(k) &= e^{\frac{1}{i}x \cdot k} U(x; x_0) a_s(k) U^{-1}(x; x_0) & k_0 &= \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \\ a_s^y(k) &= e^{\frac{1}{i}x \cdot k} U(x; x_0) a_s^y(k) U^{-1}(x; x_0) \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

from which equations (6.54) follow for $x = x_0$. (Notice, the right hand sides of the equations (6.56) are independent of x , due to the Heisenberg relations (2.7).)

From (6.6) (6.13), (6.27), and (6.52) (6.54), it is clear that all of the obtained expressions for the momentum, charge and spin angular momentum operators in terms of the (invariant) creation/annihilation operators remain unchanged in Heisenberg picture; to obtain a Heisenberg version of these equations, one has formally to add a tilde over the creation/annihilation operators in momentum picture. However, this is not the case with the orbital operator (6.43) because of the existence of derivatives in the integrands in (6.16) and (6.43). We leave to the reader to prove as exercise that, in terms of the operators (6.54), in (6.16) the term $x_0 \cdot \nabla x_0 \cdot \nabla$ should be deleted and tildes over the creation/annihilation operators must be added. Correspondingly, equation (6.43) in Heisenberg picture will read

$$\begin{aligned} L^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0}^{\infty} d^3k \left[\tilde{\psi}^{ss^0, i}(k) a_s^{y+}(k) a_{s^0}(k) + \tilde{\psi}^{ss^0, +}(k) a_s^y(k) a_{s^0}^+(k) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^{\infty} d^3k \left[a_s^{y+}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s(k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + a_s^y(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s^+(k) \right] \right]_{k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} : \quad (6.57) \end{aligned}$$

7. The field equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators

As we said at the beginning of Sect. 4, the equalities (3.6), (2.22) and (3.19) form a closed algebraic-functional system of equations for determination of the spinor field operators and, consequently, of the dynamical quantities characterizing a free spinor field. Since, from sections 5 and 6, we know that the field operators and dynamical variables of a free spinor field can be expressed uniquely via the creation and annihilation operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$, which are invariant (frame-independent), in the present section we shall derive a system of equations for these operators, which system is equivalent to the one just described. In fact, this procedure will be equivalent to write the field equations (in Heisenberg or momentum picture) in terms of creation and annihilation operators.

The problem, we want to analyze, is as follows. Given field operators ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ with decompositions (see (5.5), (5.6), and (5.22))

$$\psi = \sum_s \int dk \left(a_s^+(k) + b_s^-(k) \right) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \sum_s \int dk \left(a_s^+(k) v^{s,+}(k) + a_s^-(k) v^{s,-}(k) \right) \quad (7.1a)$$

$$\bar{\psi} = \sum_s \int dk \left(\bar{a}_s^+(k) + \bar{b}_s^-(k) \right) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \sum_s \int dk \left(a_s^+(k) \bar{v}^{s,+}(k) + a_s^y(k) \bar{v}^{s,-}(k) \right); \quad (7.1b)$$

and (the explicit equations describing) $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ such that the equalities (5.7) hold.

The results of sections 4 and 5 show that (5.7) are the implicit equations of motion for ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ as, under the definitions of the quantities in them, they are equivalent to the initial Dirac equations (3.6). The equations (5.7) and (6.6) form a closed system of equations with respect to $a_s(k)$ and $\bar{a}_s(k)$. The substitution of (6.6) into (5.7) results into an explicit system of equations relative to $a_s(k)$ and $\bar{a}_s(k)$; one can easily write it out in full. However, it is rather complicated, which is due to the non-invariant, frame-dependent, character of the operators $a_s(k)$ and $\bar{a}_s(k)$. This dependence can be removed by specifying these operators as in (5.22). In this way one gets a closed system of equations for the invariant, frame-independent, operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$. It can be derived in the following way.

Inserting (5.22) into (5.7) and taking into account that $v^{s,-} \notin 0$ and $\bar{v}^{s,-} \notin 0$, due to the linear independence of these spinors (see also the normalization conditions (4.24)), we see that (5.7) is tantamount to:

$$[a_s(k); P] = k a_s(k) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} q a_s(k) \gamma^0 \gamma^3 (k - q) \quad (7.2a)$$

$$[a_s^y(k); P] = k a_s^y(k) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} q a_s^y(k) \gamma^0 \gamma^3 (k - q) \quad (7.2b)$$

$$k_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \quad q_0 = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + q^2}; \quad (7.2c)$$

Substituting here (6.7), with an integration variable q for k and summation index t for s , we get (do not sum over s !)

$$\sum_t \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} a_s(k); a_t^{y,+}(q) \bar{a}_t(q) a_t^y(q) \bar{a}_t^+(q) = \quad (7.3a)$$

$$\sum_t \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} a_s^y(k); a_t^{y,+}(q) \bar{a}_t(q) a_t^y(q) \bar{a}_t^+(q) = \quad (7.3b)$$

$$a_s^y(k) \gamma^0 \gamma^3 (k - q) d^3q = 0;$$

Consequently, the operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ must be solutions of

$$a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q) - a_t(q) a_t^y(q) - a_t^\dagger(q) - a_s(k) \int d^3k' q = f_{st}(k; q) \quad (7.4a)$$

$$a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q) - a_t(q) a_t^y(q) - a_t^\dagger(q) - a_s^y(k) \int d^3k' q = f_{st}^y(k; q); \quad (7.4b)$$

where $f_{st}(k; q)$ and $f_{st}^y(k; q)$ are (generalized) functions such that

$$\int_t d^3q \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} f_{st}(k; q) d^3q = 0 \quad \int_t d^3q \int_{q_0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} f_{st}^y(k; q) d^3q = 0: \quad (7.4c)$$

Since any solution of the Dirac equations (3.6) can be written in the form (7.1) with $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ being solutions of (7.4), we can assert that the system (7.4) is equivalent to the initial system (3.6) under the subsidiary condition (2.7). In this sense, (7.4) is the system of field equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators in momentum picture. Comparing it with the system(s) of field equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators for a free charged scalar field [11], we see that the structure of these two types of algebraic-functional equations is quite similar; the only essential difference being in the signs before the second terms in the commutators in (7.4a) and (7.4b) as in a case of free charged scalar field in this place stands $+a_s^y(q) - a_s^\dagger(q)$ instead of the term $-a_s^y(q) - a_s^\dagger(q)$.³¹

As a first application of the field equations (7.4), we shall calculate the commutator $[S^0; P]$ between the spin angular momentum operator in Heisenberg picture, S^0 , and the momentum operator $P = P^0$. Applying the equalities (6.27), with q for the integration variable k , and (6.7), with summation variable t for s , we get

$$[S^0; P] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0, t} d^3q d^3k \int_{k_0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f_{ss^0}^{0+}(q) B_{ss^0t}(q; k) + f_{ss^0}^{0-}(q) B_{ss^0t}^+(q; k) g$$

where

$$B_{ss^0t}(q; k) := [a_s^y(q) - a_{s^0}^y(q); a_t^{y+}(k) - a_t(k) a_t^y(k) - a_t^\dagger(k)]:$$

Using the identity $[A - B; C] = [A; C] - [B; C]$, (7.4a) and (7.4b), we see that (do not sum over s and s^0)

$$B_{ss^0t}(q; k) = \left(\int_{st} d^3k' q - \int_{s^0t} d^3k' q \right) a_s^y(q) - a_{s^0}^y(q) + a_{s^0}^y(q) f_{st}^y(q; k) + a_s^y(q) f_{s^0t}(q; k):$$

Substituting this result into the above expression for $[S^0; P]$, using (7.4c) (with k for q and vice versa) and summing over t , we obtain

$$[S^0; P] = 0: \quad (7.5)$$

So, for free spinor fields the spin operator in Heisenberg picture commutes with the momentum operator in Heisenberg or momentum picture (see (2.9)).

Similar manipulations with the time-dependent part (6.24) of the spin angular momentum give the relation

$$[S^1(x^0); P] = \int_{s; s^0} d^3k \int_{k_0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f_{ss^0}^{0+}(x^0; k) a_s^{y+}(k) - a_{s^0}^+(k) + f_{ss^0}^{0-}(x^0; k) a_s^y(k) - a_{s^0}^-(k); \quad (7.6)$$

³¹ This comparison is based on the 'first' choice of a Lagrangian and the 'third' choice of an energy-momentum operators in [11]. Regarding some problems of a choice of Lagrangian(s) and structure of conserved quantities | see Sect. 11.

which, by virtue of (7.5) and (6.19), implies

$$[\tilde{S}^0; P] = 0 \sim \int_{s; s^0}^X d^3k \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \left(1^{ss^0;+} (x^0; k) a_s^{y+}(k) - a_{s^0}^+(k) + 1^{ss^0; } (x^0; k) a_s^y(k) - a_{s^0}(k) \right) : \quad (7.7)$$

By virtue of (2.2) (or footnote 5) and (7.5), we have

$$[\tilde{S}^0; U(x; x_0)] = 0 \quad (7.8)$$

where $U(x; x_0)$ is the operator responsible for the transition from Heisenberg to momentum picture. So, (2.4) implies

$$S = S^0 \quad (7.9)$$

i.e. the spin operators is one and the same in Heisenberg and momentum pictures.³² Combining the above results with (6.50), (6.21), (2.33), (2.35) and (2.37), one can easily derive the following equalities:

$$\tilde{M} = \tilde{L}^0 + \tilde{S}^0 \quad M = L^0 + S \quad (7.10)$$

$$L^0 = \tilde{L}^0 + (x - x_0) P \quad (x - x_0) P \quad (7.11)$$

$$[\tilde{L}^0; P] = [L^0; P] = i\hbar f - P g : \quad (7.12)$$

$$[\tilde{L}^0; U(x; x_0)] = [L^0; U(x; x_0)] \\ = f(x - x_0) P - (x - x_0) P g - U(x; x_0) : \quad (7.13)$$

As a result of (6.43) and (7.11), the explicit form of the orbital operator in momentum picture in terms of creation and annihilation operators is

$$L^0 = \int_s^X d^3k (x - k - x - k) \int_{k_0=0}^P \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{y+}(k) - a_{s^0}(k) - a_s^y(k) - a_{s^0}^+(k) g \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0}^X d^3k 1^{ss^0; } (k) a_s^{y+}(k) - a_{s^0}(k) + 1^{ss^0;+} (k) a_s^y(k) - a_{s^0}^+(k) \\ + \frac{1}{2} i\hbar \int_s^X d^3k a_s^{y+}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} - k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_{s^0}(k) \\ + a_s^y(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} - k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_{s^0}^+(k) \int_{k_0=0}^P \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} : \quad (7.14)$$

For the purposes of the present work, the equalities (7.9) and (7.14) are very important as they, in view of (6.27), give explicit representations of the spin and orbital operators (and, hence, of the total angular momentum operator) in momentum picture via the creation and annihilation operators (in momentum picture).

If we split L^0 into a sum of two conserved operators as

$$L^0 = L^2 + L^3 \quad (7.15)$$

$$L^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0}^X d^3k 1^{ss^0; } (k) a_s^{y+}(k) - a_{s^0}(k) + 1^{ss^0;+} (k) a_s^y(k) - a_{s^0}^+(k) \quad (7.16)$$

³² From (7.9) does not follow the conservation of the spin operator; it is a consequence from the considerations in Sect. 6.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^3 = & \int_s^X d^3k (x_k - x_0) j_{k_0}^P \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{y+}(k) a_s(k) a_s^y(k) a_s^\dagger(k) g \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^X d^3k a_s^{y+}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s(k) \\
& + a_s^y(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s^\dagger(k) \bigg|_{k_0=0} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \quad (7.17)
\end{aligned}$$

then, from the proof of (7.5), it immediately follows

$$[\tilde{L}^2; P] = 0; \quad (7.18)$$

which implies

$$[\tilde{L}^2; U(x; x_0)] = 0 \quad (7.19)$$

$$\tilde{L}^2 = \tilde{L}^2: \quad (7.20)$$

Combining the above results with (7.11)-(7.13), we obtain

$$\tilde{L}^3 = \tilde{L}^3 + (x - x_0) P (x - x_0) P \quad (7.21)$$

$$[\tilde{L}^3; P] = [\tilde{L}^3; P] = \text{if } P \quad P g: \quad (7.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tilde{L}^3; U(x; x_0)] &= [\tilde{L}^3; U(x; x_0)] \\
&= f(x - x_0) P (x - x_0) P g U(x; x_0): \quad (7.23)
\end{aligned}$$

As a result of (7.21), in Heisenberg picture and in terms of the Heisenberg creation and annihilation operators (6.54), the terms proportional to the momentum operator in (7.17) disappear,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^3 = & \frac{1}{2} \int_s^X d^3k a_s^{y+}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s(k) \\
& + a_s^y(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s^\dagger(k) \bigg|_{k_0=0} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \quad (7.24)
\end{aligned}$$

So, the conclusion can be made that the non-commutativity between the total angular momentum or orbital operator with the momentum operator is entirely due to the operator (7.17) or, more precisely, to the terms containing derivatives in (7.17), i.e. in the expression for the orbital operator \tilde{L}^0 .

It is important to be noted, the operator \tilde{L}^3 is a carrier of the pure orbital angular momentum of a system while the sum $\tilde{L}^2 + S^0$ represents its pure spin angular momentum.

Using the explicit results (6.7), (6.10) and (6.43), in a way similar to the derivation of (7.5) (by essentially employing the equation of motion (7.4)), one can derive by direct calculation the relations:

$$[P; P] = 0 \quad (7.25)$$

$$[Q; P] = 0 \quad (7.26)$$

$$[\tilde{L}^0; P] = \text{if } (P \quad P): \quad (7.27)$$

We emphasize once again, the relations (7.25)–(7.27) are external to the Lagrangian formalism and their validity depends on the Lagrangian one employs. The equation (7.27) agrees with (7.12) (obtained from (7.9) and (2.33)) and, by virtue of (7.5), (7.9), (2.38) and (2.20) implies

$$[\tilde{M}^{\mu\nu}; \tilde{P}^{\lambda}] = i\hbar \tilde{P}^{\mu} \tilde{P}^{\nu} g^{\lambda\mu} \quad (7.28)$$

which justifies the choice of the sign on the r.h.s. of (2.33). Notice, (7.14) agrees with (5.13) and (5.25c) (see also Sect. 10) which will not be the case if on the r.h.s. of (2.33) stands $+i\hbar$ instead of $-i\hbar$.

Since (7.26) implies (see footnote 5 or (2.2))

$$[\tilde{Q}; U(x; x_0)] = 0; \quad (7.29)$$

from the general equation (2.4), with $A = Q$, we get

$$Q = \tilde{Q}; \quad (7.30)$$

So, the charge operator is one and the same in momentum and Heisenberg pictures.

8. The anticommutation relations

As we said in Sect. 7, the main difference between (7.4) and a similar system of equations for a free charged scalar field is in the sign in the second terms in the commutators in them. There is also and a second difference, which is and the last one. We have in mind the presence of the polarization (spin) indices s and t (taking the values 1 and 2 for $m \neq 0$ and the value 0 for $m = 0$) in (7.4). However, this second difference is (formally) insignificant as we, for instance, can introduce new variables, say \tilde{p}_R and \tilde{q}_R , and put $\tilde{p} = (s; k)$ and $\tilde{q} = (t; q)$. So, if we set $\tilde{a}_{\tilde{p}} := a_{st}^{-3}(k, q)$ and $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{p}} := \int_t d^3q$, the mentioned second difference will disappear. Hence we can treat the polarization and momentum variables on equal footing. These reasonings allow us to transfer the investigation of the (anti)commutation relations in [11] for scalar fields to spinor ones *mutatis mutandis*, almost automatically.

Applying the identity

$$[A; B \cdot C] = [A; B] \cdot C + B \cdot [A; C] \quad (8.1)$$

where $\cdot = 1$ and $[A; B]_1 := [A; B] := A \cdot B - B \cdot A$ for any operators A and B (with common domain), we rewrite (7.4) as³³

$$\begin{aligned} [a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] &= a_t(q) [a_t^{y+}(q); a_s(k); a_t(q)] \\ [a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] &= a_t^+(q) + [a_t^y(q); a_s(k); a_t^+(q)] \end{aligned} \quad (8.2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_s(k) \int_{st} d^3(k, q) &= f_{st}(k; q) \\ [a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] &= a_t(q) [a_t^{y+}(q); a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] \\ [a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] &= a_t^+(q) + [a_t^y(q); a_s^y(k); a_t^+(q)] \end{aligned} \quad (8.2b)$$

$$a_s^y(k) \int_{st} d^3(k, q) = f_{st}^y(k; q):$$

³³ Here and below, do not sum over s in expressions like $a_s(k) \int_{st}$.

Writing these equalities explicitly for the upper, "+", and lower, "-", signs, we see that they can equivalently be written respectively as:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] &= a_t(q) [a_t^y(q); a_s(k); a_t(q)] \\ [a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] &= a_t(q) [a_t^y(q); a_s(k); a_t(q)] \end{aligned} \quad (8.3a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_s(k) \delta^3(k-q) &= f_{st}(k; q) \\ [a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] &= a_t(q) [a_t^y(q); a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] \\ [a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] &= a_t(q) [a_t^y(q); a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] \end{aligned} \quad (8.3b)$$

$$a_s^y(k) \delta^3(k-q) = f_{st}^y(k; q):$$

Following the book [1, subsect. 10.1], we shall assume, as an additional condition that the (anti)commutators of creation and annihilation operator are proportional to the identity operator of system's Hilbert space F of states.³⁴ Namely, we assume the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_s(k); a_t(q)] &= g_{n,st}(k; q) \text{id}_F & [a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] &= g_{n,st}^y(k; q) \text{id}_F \\ [a_s(k); a_t(q)] &= b_{n,st}(k; q) \text{id}_F & [a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] &= b_{n,st}^y(k; q) \text{id}_F \\ [a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] &= d_{n,st}(k; q) \text{id}_F & [a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] &= d_{n,st}^y(q; k) \text{id}_F \\ [a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] &= e_{n,st}(k; q) \text{id}_F & [a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] &= e_{n,st}^y(q; k) \text{id}_F \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

where $n = 1$ and $g_{n,st}, g_{n,st}^y, \dots, e_{n,st}$ are some complex-valued (generalized) functions, which we have to determine. These last (generalized) functions are subjected to a number of restrictions which were derived in [11, sections 7 and 15]. Their explicit form is:

$$(k+q)g_{n,st}(k; q) = 0 \quad (k+q)g_{n,st}^y(k; q) = 0 \quad (k+q)d_{n,st}(k; q) = 0 \quad (8.5a)$$

$$(k-q)b_{n,st}(k; q) = 0 \quad (k-q)b_{n,st}^y(k; q) = 0 \quad (k-q)e_{n,st}(k; q) = 0 \quad (8.5b)$$

$$\frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} + \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} (k; q) = 0 \quad \text{for } = g_{n,st}; g_{n,st}^y; d_{n,st} \quad (8.6a)$$

$$\frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} - \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} (k; q) = 0 \quad \text{for } = b_{n,st}; b_{n,st}^y; e_{n,st}: \quad (8.6b)$$

Regarding $g_{n,st}, g_{n,st}^y, \dots, e_{n,st}$ as distributions, from (8.5), we derive:

$$f(q) \delta(k; q) = f(-k) \delta(k; q) \quad \text{for } = g_{n,st}; g_{n,st}^y; d_{n,st} \quad (8.7a)$$

$$f(q) \delta(k; q) = f(+k) \delta(k; q) \quad \text{for } = b_{n,st}; b_{n,st}^y; e_{n,st} \quad (8.7b)$$

for any function f which is polynomial or convergent power series. In view of (8.7), the equalities (8.6b) are identically satisfied, while (8.6a) are equivalent to the equations

$$\frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} (k; q) = 0 \quad \text{for } = g_{n,st}; g_{n,st}^y; d_{n,st}: \quad (8.8)$$

Substituting (8.4) into (8.3) and taking into account the equalities (8.7), we obtain, from (7.4c), the following system of equations for the unknown (generalized) functions $g_{n,st},$

³⁴ The authors of [1] refer to [17, x 21], where the introduction of commutation relations in quantum mechanics is discussed for systems which have a classical analogue. The introduction of anticommutation relations, which are adequate for description of spinor fields, cannot be confined in this way as half-integer spin (Fermi-Dirac) systems have not classical analogues.

$$g_{n,st}^y, \dots, e_{n,st}:$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_t \int d^3q \, a_t(q) d_{n,st}(k;q) - a_t^y(q) g_{n,st}(k;q) \\ & a_t^y(q) b_{n,st}(k;q) - a_t(q) e_{n,st}(k;q) - \sum_{st}^3 (k-q) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8.9a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \sum_t \int d^3q \, a_t(q) d_{n,st}(k;q) - a_t^y(q) g_{n,st}(k;q) \\ & a_t^y(q) b_{n,st}(k;q) - a_t(q) e_{n,st}(k;q) - \sum_{st}^3 (k-q) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8.9b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_t \int d^3q \, a_t(q) g_{n,st}^y(k;q) - a_t^y(q) d_{n,st}(q;k) \\ & a_t(q) b_{n,st}^y(k;q) - a_t^y(q) e_{n,st}(q;k) - \sum_{st}^3 (k-q) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8.9c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \sum_t \int d^3q \, a_t(q) g_{n,st}^y(k;q) - a_t^y(q) d_{n,st}(q;k) \\ & a_t(q) b_{n,st}^y(k;q) - a_t^y(q) e_{n,st}(q;k) - \sum_{st}^3 (k-q) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (8.9d)$$

where $\alpha = 1$ is a free parameter and $a = 1; 2; 3$.

Now we shall impose as a second, after (8.4), additional condition the requirement that (8.9) to be valid for arbitrary $a_t(q)$ and $a_t^y(q)$. In this case, for $(k;m) \notin (0;0)$, the equations (8.9) have the following unique solution with respect to the $g_{n,st}, g_{n,st}^y, \dots, e_{n,st}$:

$$g_{n,st}(k;q) = g_{n,st}^y(k;q) = b_{n,st}(k;q) = b_{n,st}^y(k;q) = d_{n,st}(k;q) = 0 \quad (8.10a)$$

$$e_{n,st}(k;q) = \sum_{st}^3 (k-q); \quad (8.10b)$$

Evidently, (8.10a) convert (8.8) into identities, and, consequently, under the hypotheses made, (8.10) is the general solution of our problem (for $(k;m) \notin (0;0)$).

Let us consider now the case $(k;m) = (0;0)$, when (8.9) and (8.6) reduce to the identity $0 = 0$. In it, the operators

$$a_s(k) \text{ and } a_s^y(k) \quad \text{for } m = 0 \text{ and } k = 0, \quad (8.11)$$

must satisfy (8.4) in which the (generalized) functions $g_{n,st}(0;q), g_{n,st}^y(0;q), \dots, e_{n,st}(0;q)$ remain as free parameters of the theory. To insure a continuous limit at $(k;m) \rightarrow (0;0)$, we shall assume, by convention, that these (generalized) functions are given via (8.10) with $k = 0$ (and $m = 0$). Physically (see Sect. 5), the operators (8.11) describe creation/annihilation of massless particles (of massless spinor field) with vanishing 4-momentum and angular momentum, but carrying charge q and having different spin operators. Thus, the theory admits existence of free, charged, spin $\frac{1}{2}$, massless particles with vanishing spacetime dynamical variables (4-momentum and angular momentum).³⁵ This is the 'quantized' version of the 'exotic' solutions (4.1) (see the paragraph containing equation (5.17) in Sect. 5). Meanwhile, we notice that the solutions (4.1) are rejected by (8.10) and (8.4) (see (5.17) & (5.20)).

The developed until now theory cannot make a distinction between the cases $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = +1$ in (8.4), corresponding to quantization with commutators and anticommutators, respectively. For the purpose, a new additional conditions (hypotheses, postulate) is required. As such additional condition can serve the spin-statistics theorem (or an equivalent to it

³⁵ It seems, until now such particles/ fields have not been observed.

In particular, the equation

$$[S^0; S_{\{}}] = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 1 \quad (8.16)$$

is an evident consequence of (6.26) and (8.15). Besides, in the massless case, when the polarization indices take the single value 0, we obtain

$$[S^0; S_{\{}}]_{m=0} = 0: \quad (8.17)$$

For $m \neq 0$, the r.h.s. of (8.15) is, generally, a non-zero operator, which means that in the massive case not all of the spin operator components are simultaneously measurable, contrary to the massless one. More details on this problem will be given in Sect. 10 (see (10.14)–(10.19)).

For $m \neq 0$, the summation over s^0 in (8.15) can be performed explicitly by means of (6.26), (5.26), (4.27), (4.22), and $[k; 0]_+ = 2k_0 - 2i_0(k - k)$ (see (3.28)). The result reads:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s^0} f^{\wedge ss^0;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{s^0 t;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{ss^0;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{s^0 t;}(k) g \\ &= v^{ys;}(k) \left[\wedge_{\{}}^{n;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{0;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{0;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{0;}(k) \right] v^{ys;}(k) \\ &= 2i_{\{}} \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k) + \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k) \\ & \frac{i}{k_0} v^{ys;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{0;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{0;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{0;}(k) v^{ys;}(k) \quad \text{for } m \neq 0; \quad (8.18) \end{aligned}$$

where $[\wedge_{\{}}; \wedge_{\{}}] = 2i_{\{}} \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k) \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k) + \wedge_{\{}}^{st;}(k)$ (see (3.28)) was used and one should set $\wedge_{\{}}; \wedge_{\{}} = 1; 2; 3$ in the context of §.15, due to (6.26).

The commutativity between the spin and charge operators, i.e.

$$[S^0; Q] = 0; \quad (8.19)$$

is an almost trivial corollary from (6.10), (6.27), and (8.14). This implies that the spin and charge are simultaneously measurable quantities.

Important corollaries from (8.13) are the commutation relations between the field operators and the total angular momentum operator M , viz.

$$[\psi; M(x; x_0)] = x [\psi; P] - x [\psi; P] + \frac{1}{2} \sim \quad (8.20a)$$

$$[\bar{\psi}; M(x; x_0)] = x [\bar{\psi}; P] - x [\bar{\psi}; P] - \frac{1}{2} \sim \quad ; \quad (8.20b)$$

which in Heisenberg picture read

$$[\tilde{\psi}(x); M] = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\psi}(x) - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\psi}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}(x) \quad (8.21a)$$

$$[\tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x); M] = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) \quad ; \quad (8.21b)$$

and, together with (2.7) for $\psi_1(x) = \tilde{\psi}(x); \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x)$, represent the relativistic covariance of the theory considered [12, x 80].

Since (8.20b) is a consequence of (8.20a), $\tilde{\bar{\psi}} = \gamma^0 \tilde{\psi}$, and (3.31), we shall prove only the equation (8.20a); besides, for brevity, only the massive case, $m \neq 0$, will be considered.³⁶ We

³⁶ One can prove independently (8.20b) in a similar way. For an alternative proof of (8.21) | see [5, x 19.1].

shall prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [;^0_S] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int d^3p \frac{1}{p_0} (p_+ - p_-) (a_+^\dagger(p) + a_-(p)) a_0(p) \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + p^2} \quad \text{for } ; = 1;2;3 \\
 &\quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int d^3p \frac{1}{p_0} (p_+ - p_-) (a_+^\dagger(p) + a_-(p)) a_0(p) \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + p^2} \quad \text{for } = 0 \text{ or } = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{8.22a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [;^0_L] &= x [;^0_P] - x [;^0_P] \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int d^3p \frac{1}{p_0} (p_+ - p_-) (a_+^\dagger(p) + a_-(p)) a_0(p) \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + p^2} \quad \text{for } ; = 1;2;3 \\
 &\quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int d^3p \frac{1}{p_0} (p_+ - p_-) (a_+^\dagger(p) + a_-(p)) a_0(p) \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + p^2} \quad \text{for } = 0 \text{ or } = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{8.22b}$$

from where equation (8.20a) follows, due to (7.10).

For $= 0$ or $= 0$, (8.22a) is an evident corollary of (6.26) and (6.27). Let $; = 1;2;3$. Substituting the equations (7.1a) and (6.27) (see also (7.9)) in $[;^0_S]$ and applying the identity $[A;B]C = [A;B]C - B[A;C]$; the anticommutation relations (8.13), and then (6.26), (4.28) and (4.27), we get ($; = 1;2;3$)

$$[;^0_S] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^3p \frac{p}{2p_0} \frac{m c}{p_0} a_0(p) + (a_+^\dagger(p) + a_-(p)) \frac{p}{2p_0} \frac{m c}{p_0} a_0(p) \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + p^2} :$$

Since $[p ;^0_L]_+ = 2p_0 - 2i_0(p_+ - p_-)$ (use $[A;B]C = [A;B]C - B[A;C]$; and (3.28)), the last equality implies (8.22a) for $; = 1;2;3$, as a result of (5.3), (5.1), and (4.19) (or (5.22) and (4.22)).

Performing similar manipulations with the l.h.s. of (8.22b), by applying (6.43) for (6.27) and (6.44) for (6.26), we see that the last displayed equation should be replaced with

$$\begin{aligned}
 [;^0_L] &= x [;^0_P] - x [;^0_P] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^3p \frac{p}{p_0} \frac{m c}{p_0} a_0(p) - 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^3p \frac{p}{p_0} \frac{m c}{p_0} a_0(p) \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + p^2} ; \\
 &\quad \frac{\partial v^{s+}}{\partial p} a_s^+(p) + p \frac{p}{p_0} \frac{m c}{p_0} a_0(p) - 2 \frac{\partial v^{s+}}{\partial p} a_s(p) - (\text{ }) \frac{p}{p_0} \frac{m c}{p_0} a_0(p) ;
 \end{aligned}$$

where () means that one should subtract the previous terms in the braces with the change $\text{ } \rightarrow \text{ } + 1$, (6.18) has been applied, and integration by parts of terms proportional to $\frac{\partial a_s}{\partial p} a_s(p)$ has been performed. Since $[p ;^0_L]_+ = 2p_0 1_4$ (see (3.17)) and $\frac{\partial v^{s+}}{\partial p} a_s(p) = 0$, the equation (8.22b) follows from the last equality, due to (4.22), (5.22), $a_0 = i_0 a$ for $a = 1;2;3$, (see (3.14) and (3.17)), and the fact that for $= 0$ (resp. $= 0$) the derivatives with respect to () (resp. ()) identically vanish, so that, e.g., for $= 0$ and $\neq 0$ the terms denoted by () in the last equation identically vanish. Q.E.D.

It is easy to be seen, the terms containing commutators with the momentum operator in (8.20) are due to the orbital operator (see (7.14)) and, more precisely, the operator (7.17) is entirely responsible for their appearance.

We should also mention the equations

$$[;^0_Q] = q \quad [;^0_Q] = -q ; \tag{8.23}$$

which are trivial corollaries from (7.1), (7.10), the identity $[A; B \quad C] = [A; B] \quad C + B \quad [A; C]$; and (8.13). Similarly one can prove that

$$[a_s(k); Q] = qa_s(k) \quad [a_s^Y(k); Q] = qa_s^Y(k): \quad (8.24)$$

Equations (8.23) and (8.24) entail that, if \tilde{G} is any 4×4 matrix and $G(k; k^0)$ (resp. $G(x)$) is any matrix-valued operator build from k, k^0 (resp. x) and the derivatives with respect to them, then $(\tilde{G}; \tilde{G}^0 = + :)$

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{G}; Q] &= 0 \quad [\tilde{G}(x) G(x) \tilde{G}(x); Q] = 0 \\ [a_s^Y(k) G(k; k^0) \quad a_s^0(k^0); Q] &= 0 \quad [a_s(k) G(k; k^0) \quad a_s^{Y0}(k^0); Q] = 0: \end{aligned} \quad (8.25)$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [P; Q] &= 0 \quad [Q; Q] = 0 \\ [S^0; Q] &= [L^0; Q] = [M; Q] = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

as a result of (6.7), (6.10), (6.27), (6.43), (6.50), and (7.9)–(7.11).

Ending this section, we would like to mention the relation

$$[M_{\{ \quad \}; M_{\{ \quad \}}] = i\hbar \{ M_{\{ \quad \}} \quad M_{\{ \quad \}} \} + M_{\{ \quad \}}; \quad (8.27)$$

which can be proved via a long and tedious direct calculation based on (7.10), (6.27), (7.11), (7.14) and (8.13).³⁷ It should be noted the opposite sign of the r.h.s. of (8.27) relative to similar relations in the literature; see, e.g., [5, eq. (9.1.15)] or [3, eq. (2.84)]. This sign, as well as the whole structure of (8.27), is in agreement with the first 4 terms, proportional to $2i$, in (8.18) (see also (8.15) or similar result obtained in [11] for a free scalar field).

An alternative prove of (8.27) is based on (8.12), which is equivalent to $(k_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + k^2})$

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\sim}(k); M] &= i\hbar k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad \tilde{\sim}(k) + \frac{1}{2} i\hbar \quad \tilde{\sim}(k) \\ [\tilde{\sim}^Y(k); M] &= i\hbar k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad \tilde{\sim}^Y(k) - \frac{1}{2} i\hbar \tilde{\sim}^Y(k); \end{aligned} \quad (8.28)$$

where $\tilde{\sim}(k) = e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} x_0 k} \quad (k)$ and $\tilde{\sim}^Y(k) = e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} x_0 k} \quad (k)$ are the Heisenberg analogues of (k) and (k) , respectively, (see (6.52)). Combining (8.28) with (6.11)–(6.21) and applying the identity $[A; B \quad C] = [A; B] \quad C + B \quad [A; C]$, one can easily verify (8.27) without invoking (8.13). This quite more simple derivation of (8.27) is remarkable with the fact that if one imposes (8.21) (or (8.20) in momentum picture) as a subsidiary restriction on the Lagrangian formalism, it immediately implies (8.27) for a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field regardless of the validity of the anticommutation relations (8.13). Similarly, (8.23) entails (8.26) irrespectively of the validity of (8.13).

9. Vacuum and normal ordering

The introduction of the vacuum (state) of a free scalar fields was discussed in momentum picture in [11].³⁸ Here is a brief mutatis mutandis summary of the arguments leading to a correct definition of the vacuum of free spinor field.

³⁷ The proof in Heisenberg picture is more simple, but also too long.

³⁸ For similar problems in Heisenberg picture, see [13].

The vacuum of a field is a particular its state which describes, in a sense, the 'absence' of the field itself. Since the field is considered as a collection of particles, the vacuum should contain no particles of the field. Therefore the conserved dynamical characteristics of the vacuum should vanish as an 'absent' particle has zero momentum, no charge, etc. Besides, since the vacuum does not contain any particles, the action of an annihilation operator on it should produce the zero state vector as one cannot destroy something that does not exist. On the contrary, the action of a creation operator on the vacuum should produce a non-zero vector describing a state with one particle in it and, consequently, the vacuum cannot be represented by the zero vector. Applying these heuristic ideas to a free spinor field, we may say that its vacuum should be represented by a non-vanishing state vector which has zero momentum, charge and total angular momentum and the action of an annihilation operator on it results in the zero vector. So, denoting by X_0 the state vector representing the vacuum, which is also called the vacuum, we should have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_0) &= 0 \quad Q(X_0) = 0 \quad M(X_0) = 0 \\ X_0 &\neq 0; \quad a_s(k)(X_0) = a_s^{\dagger}(k)(X_0) = 0: \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

Besides, by virtue of $P(X_0) = 0$, (2.2) and (2.3), one can expect the vacua in Heisenberg and momentum picture to coincide, i.e.

$$X_0 = X_0: \quad (9.2)$$

However, one can easily see that the conditions (9.1) do not agree with the expressions (6.7), (6.10), (6.27), and (6.43) for the conserved quantities of a spinor field. Indeed, substituting in them (see (8.13)) $a_s^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) = a_s^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) + \delta_{ss}^3(k-k)$ and applying the so-obtained operators on X_0 , we, in view of (9.1), get senseless combinations of infinities; e.g., for the charge operator the result is $Q(X_0) = q^3(0)1^3 X_0$ instead of the expected $Q(X_0) = 0$. The problem originates from the terms $a_s^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k)$ in the obtained expressions for the dynamical variables. The accepted and well working procedure for its removal is known as normal ordering of products (compositions) of creation and/or annihilation operators. It is described at length in the literature [13,22] and consists in the following, when applied to a free spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field. The Lagrangian and dynamical variables should be written in terms of creation and annihilation operators and, then, any composition (product) of such operator must be replaced by its normally ordered form. By definition, the normal form of a composition of creation and/or annihilation operators is called a composition of the same operators, in which all creation operators stand to the left relative to all annihilation operators, multiplied by minus one or plus one, depending on is the permutation, bringing the operators from the initial composition to the normal one, odd or even, respectively.³⁹ The normal form of a composition of creation and/or annihilation operators is known as their normal product (composition) and the mapping assigning to a product of such operators their normal product will be denoted by N and it is called normal ordering (operator). The action of N on polynomials or convergent power series in creation and/or annihilation operators is extended by linearity. The dynamical variables after normal ordering are denoted by the same symbols as before this operation.

Since, obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} N a_s(k) \bar{a}_t^{\dagger}(k) &= N a_t^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) = a_t^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) \\ N a_s^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_t(k) &= N a_t^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) = a_t^{\dagger}(k) \bar{a}_s(k); \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

³⁹ The so-formulated definition holds only for half integer spin fields/particles. The relative order of the creation/annihilation operators with respect to each other is insignificant due to the anticommutation relations (8.13).

equations (6.7), (6.10), (6.27), (6.41), (6.42) and (7.14), after normal ordering, take respectively the forms:

$$P = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{y+}(k) a_s(k) + a_s^+(k) a_s^y(k) g d^3k \quad (9.4)$$

$$Q = q \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f a_s^{y+}(k) a_s(k) a_s^+(k) a_s^y(k) g d^3k \quad (9.5)$$

$$Q_S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s; s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{ss^0}; (k) a_s^{y+}(k) a_{s^0}(k) \delta^{ss^0, +} (k) a_{s^0}^+(k) a_s^y(k) \quad (9.6)$$

$$Q_{S^3}^{m \neq 0} = S^3(k)_{m \neq 0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \left(a_1^{y+}(k) a_1(k) + a_2^{y+}(k) a_2(k) + a_1^+(k) a_1^y(k) + a_2^+(k) a_2^y(k) \right) \quad (9.7)$$

$$Q_{S^3}^{m=0} = S^3(k)_{m=0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \left(a_0^{y+}(k) a_0(k) + a_0^+(k) a_0^y(k) \right) \quad (9.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_L = & \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (x(k) - x(k)) \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{y+}(k) a_s(k) + a_s^+(k) a_s^y(k) g \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s; s^0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{ss^0}; (k) a_s^{y+}(k) a_{s^0}(k) \delta^{ss^0, +} (k) a_{s^0}^+(k) a_s^y(k) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} a_s^{y+}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s(k) \\ & + a_s^+(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} a_s^y(k) \bigg|_{k_0 = \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}} : \quad (9.9) \end{aligned}$$

Accepting the above-described normal ordering procedure, we can formalize the definition of the vacuum of a free spinor field as follows.

Definition 9.1. The vacuum of a free spinor field is its physical state that contains no particles and has vanishing 4-momentum, (total) charge and (total) angular momentum. It is described by a state vector, denoted by X_0 (in momentum picture) and called also the vacuum (of the field), such that:

$$X_0 \neq 0 \quad (9.10a)$$

$$X_0 = X_0^* \quad (9.10b)$$

$$a_s(k)(X_0) = a_s^y(k)(X_0) = 0 \quad (9.10c)$$

$$\langle X_0 | X_0 \rangle = 1 \quad (9.10d)$$

where $\langle j | i \rangle = \langle F | F \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is the (Hermitian) scalar product of system's (field's) Hilbert space of states.

As we noted in [11], the condition (9.10d) is of technical character and, usually, is imposed for pure computational convenience.

Taking into account the expressions of the dynamical variables after normal ordering, we see that (cf. (9.1))

$$P(X_0) = 0 \quad Q(X_0) = 0 \quad M(X_0) = Q_L(X_0) = Q_S(X_0) = 0 \quad (9.11)$$

which equalities solve the problem with the senseless eigenvalues of the conserved quantities, corresponding to the vacuum before normal ordering.

The normal form of the dynamical variables solves also two other problems we premeditated did not mention earlier.

The first problem is connected with the positivity of the energy operator which is identified, up to a constant, with the zeroth component of the momentum operator, viz.

$$E = cP_0: \quad (9.12)$$

Before a normal ordering, according to (6.7), it is

$$E = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{m^2c^2 + k^2} f_{a_s^{y+}}(k) a_s(k) a_s^y(k) a_s^+(k) g d^3k \quad (9.13)$$

which is not positive defined. After normal ordering, in view of (9.4), it takes the form

$$E = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{m^2c^2 + k^2} f_{a_s^{y+}}(k) a_s(k) + a_s^+(k) a_s^y(k) g d^3k \quad (9.14)$$

which is a Hermitian operator with positive eigenvalues.

The second problem concerns the interpretation of the operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$ as creation/annihilation operators (see Sect. 5). Since the field can be thought as a collection of particles, one can expect that the dynamical variables should be expressible as sums/integrals of the corresponding individual characteristics of these particles; this is rigorously expressed via results like (6.6) (6.16) and (6.41) (6.43). However, if we want to retain the interpretation of $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^y(k)$, introduced in Sect. 5, the signs before the second terms in the braces in (6.7) and (6.10) should be opposite. The reason being quite simple: the 4-momentum of a system of two particles, one created by $a_s^+(k)$ and another one by $a_s^{y+}(k)$, should be a sum of the 4-momenta of these particles, while the charge of this system should be the difference of the charges of the particles, measured in the units q .⁴⁰ As we see from (9.4) and (9.5), this problem automatically vanishes after normal ordering.

The equality (9.7) partially changes the interpretation of the creation and annihilation operators with respect to the polarization (spin) index s (see (6.41) and the conclusions after it). So, in the frame in which (9.7) is derived, the projection of the spin vector on the third axis is $+\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $-\frac{1}{2}$) for the particles corresponding to $a_1^+(k)$, $a_1^y(k)$, $a_2(k)$, and $a_2^{y+}(k)$ (resp. $a_1(k)$, $a_1^{y+}(k)$, $a_2^+(k)$, and $a_2^y(k)$).

The general formulae (9.6), with $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon = 0$, and (9.9) for the spin and orbital operators agree with (5.25c) and the interpretation of a_s and a_s^y given in Sect. 5. This problem will be discussed and solved in Sect. 11; in particular, see equation (10.10) below.

The normal ordering changes not only the dynamical variables, but also the field equations (7.4). Since the quadratic combinations of creation and annihilation operators in the commutators in (7.4) originate from the momentum operator (see (7.2)), the field equations (7.4), after normal ordering, will read

$$a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q) a_t(q) + a_t^+(q) a_t^y(q) - a_s(k) t s^3(k-q) = f_{st}(k;q) \quad (9.15a)$$

$$a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q) a_t(q) + a_t^+(q) a_t^y(q) - a_s^y(k) t s^3(k-q) = f_{st}^y(k;q) \quad (9.15b)$$

$$\sum_t \int \frac{d^3q}{m^2c^2 + q^2} f_{st}(k;q) d^3q = 0 \quad \sum_t \int \frac{d^3q}{m^2c^2 + q^2} f_{st}^y(k;q) d^3q = 0: \quad (9.15c)$$

⁴⁰ Recall, $a_s^+(k)$ produces particles with charge $-q$, while $a_s^{y+}(k)$ produces ones with charge $+q$. The 4-momentum of the both kind of particles is $(m^2c^2 + k^2; k)$.

However, applying (8.1) with $\eta = +1$, one can verify that (9.15) is identically valid as a result of the anticommutation relations (8.13). This means that, under the hypotheses made when deriving (8.13), the anticommutation relations (8.13) play a role of field equations with respect to the creation and annihilation operators, considered as field operators (variables).

The normal ordering in (9.15) does not change the r.h.s. of (8.15) too. In fact, applying (9.6) and (8.14), we, via a direct calculation, see that

$$[S^0; S_{\{1}] = \frac{1}{4} \int d^3k \sum_{s,s^0,t} \left(\begin{matrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{matrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) a_s^{y+}(k) a_t^y(k) - \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) a_t^+(k) a_s^y(k); \quad (9.16)$$

which agrees with (9.3). Therefore, in the massless case, we have

$$[S^0; S_{\{1}]_{m=0} = 0 \quad (9.17)$$

as in it $s = s^0 = t = 0$, i.e. the relation (8.17) is preserved after normal ordering of products. Notice, the equality

$$[S^0; S_{\{1}] = 0 \quad \text{for } s^0 = 0, t^0 = 0, s = 0, t = 1 \quad (9.18)$$

is an evident corollary from (9.6) and (6.26).

However, the commutativity between the charge and spin operators, expressed by (8.19), is not influenced by the normal ordering procedure (see (9.5), (9.6) and (8.14)). Similarly, the relations (8.20)-(8.23) remain valid after normal ordering.

10. State vectors

The description of the state vectors of a free spinor field is almost identical with the one of state vectors of free charged (with non-zero charge) scalar field [11]. Formally, the only essential difference is in the polarization index s carried by the creation and annihilation operators of a spinor field.

In momentum picture, in accord with the general theory of Sect. 2, the state vectors of a spinor field are spacetime-dependent, contrary to the field operators and dynamical variables constructed from them. In view of (2.12), the spacetime-dependence of a state vector $X(x)$ is

$$X(x) = U(x; x_0) X(x_0) \quad (10.1)$$

where x_0 is an arbitrarily fixed spacetime point and the evolution operator $U(x; x_0): F \rightarrow F$ is

$$U(x; x_0) = \exp \left(\frac{i}{4} \int_{x_0}^x d^3k \sum_s \left(\begin{matrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{matrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) \begin{pmatrix} s^0; \\ \{ \end{pmatrix} k \right) a_s^{y+}(k) a_s^y(k) + a_s^+(k) a_s^y(k) \right) \quad (10.2)$$

due to (2.2) and (9.4) (see also (2.10)-(2.12)). The operator (10.2) plays also a role of an 'S-matrix' determining the transition amplitudes between any initial and final states, say $X_i(x_i)$ and $X_f(x_f)$ respectively. In fact, we have

$$S_{fi}(x_f; x_i) = \langle X_f(x_f) | X_i(x_i) \rangle = \langle X_f(x_f) | U(x_i; x_f) | X_i(x_i^{(0)}) \rangle \quad (10.3)$$

For some purposes, the following expansion of $U(x_i; x_f)$ into a power series may turn to be useful:

$$U(x_i; x_f) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} U^{(n)}(x_i; x_f) \quad (10.4)$$

$(n^0; \dots; 1) \nabla (i_1^0; \dots; i_{n^0}^0)$ (resp. $(n^0; \dots; 1) \nabla (i_1^0; \dots; i_{n^0}^0)$) even or odd, respectively. The conclusions from this formula are similar to the ones concerning free scalar fields [11]. For instance, the only non-forbidden transition from an n^0 -particle + n^0 -antiparticle state is into n^0 -particle + n^0 -antiparticle state; the both states may differ only in the spacetime positions of the (anti)particles in them. This result is quite natural as we are dealing with free particles/ fields.

In particular, if X_n denotes any state containing n particles and/or antiparticles, $n = 0; 1; \dots$, then (10.7) says that

$$hX_n | X_0 \rangle = \delta_{n0}; \quad (10.8)$$

which expresses the stability of the vacuum.

We shall end the present section with a simple, but important, example. Consider the one (anti)particle states $a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0)$ and $a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0)$. Applying (9.4) (9.9) and (8.13), we find $(p_0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2})$:⁴³

$$P a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = p a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) \quad Q a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = q a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) \quad (10.9)$$

$$P a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = p a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) \quad Q a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = + q a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0)$$

$$^0_S a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s X^{ts;+}(\mathbf{p}) a_s^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) \quad (10.10)$$

$$^0_S a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s X^{st;+}(\mathbf{p}) a_s^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0)$$

$$S^3_{m \neq 0} a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = (-1)^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_s f_{t1} a_1^+(\mathbf{p}) + t_2 a_2^+(\mathbf{p}) g(X_0) \quad (10.11)$$

$$S^3_{m \neq 0} a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = + (-1)^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_s f_{t1} a_1^{y+}(\mathbf{p}) + t_2 a_2^{y+}(\mathbf{p}) g(X_0)$$

$$S^3_{m=0} a_0^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s a_s^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) \quad (10.12)$$

$$S^3_{m=0} a_0^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s a_s^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0)$$

$$^0_L a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = \sum_n (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{2} \sum_s X^{ts;+}(\mathbf{p}) i \sim p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0)$$

$$^0_L a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = \sum_n (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s X^{st;+}(\mathbf{p}) i \sim p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0); \quad (10.13)$$

where (10.11) and (10.12) are valid in a frame such that $p^1 = p^2 = 0$ and, consequently, in which (6.35) and (6.38) are valid. Notice, the one-(anti)particle massive states are not, generally, eigenstates of the spin (angular momentum) operator. However, in a special frame in which $p^1 = p^2 = 0$ they are eigenstates of the third spin vector component. Conversely, the massless one-(anti)particle states are always eigenstates of the spin (angular momentum) operator. It should be remarked the agreement of (10.9) (10.13) with (5.25).⁴⁴

The equations (10.9) (10.13) confirm the interpretation, given in Sect. 5, of the operators $a_s(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_s^y(\mathbf{k})$ as ones describing creation/annihilation of fields (anti)particles.

⁴³ The easiest way to derive (10.13) is by applying (2.24), (6.2), (6.3) and (8.13). Notice, in Heisenberg picture and in terms of the Heisenberg creation/annihilation operators (6.54), equations (10.13) read $\tilde{L}^- a_t^+(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = 0$ and $\tilde{L}^- a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})(X_0) = 0$ which is quite understandable in view of the fact that \tilde{L}^- is, in a sense, the average orbital momentum with respect to all spacetime points, while $L^-(\mathbf{x}; x_0)$ is the one relative to \mathbf{x} and x_0 ; the dependence on x_0 being hidden in $L^-, a_t^+(\mathbf{p})$ and $a_t^{y+}(\mathbf{p})$.

⁴⁴ If the r.h.s. of (2.33) is with an opposite sign, this agreement will be lost. Besides, due to (6.26), the r.h.s. of (10.10) vanishes for $\mathbf{p} = 0$ or $\mathbf{p} = 0$, which is not generally the case with the spinor terms in (5.25c).

For instance, the state vector $\underline{a_s^+}(k)(X_0)$ can be interpreted as one representing a particle with 4-momentum $(m^2c^2 + k^2; k)$, charge (q) and polarization-mixing matrices $\frac{1}{2} [\begin{smallmatrix} st;+ \\ s;t=1 \end{smallmatrix} (k)]_{s;t=1}^{om}$.

Acting with (9.16) on the state vectors $\underline{a_t^+}(p)(X_0)$ and $\underline{a_t^{y+}}(p)(X_0)$ and using (8.13), we obtain

$$[S^0; S_{\{1}] \underline{a_t^+}(p)(X_0) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s^0, t^0}^{X^2} \begin{matrix} ts^0;+ \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \begin{matrix} s^0t^0;+ \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \begin{matrix} ts^0;+ \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \begin{matrix} s^0t^0;+ \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \underline{a_{t^0}^+}(p)(X_0) \quad (10.14a)$$

$$[S^0; S_{\{1}] \underline{a_t^{y+}}(p)(X_0) = + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s^0, t^0}^{X^2} \begin{matrix} t^0s^0; \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \begin{matrix} s^0t; \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \begin{matrix} t^0s^0; \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \begin{matrix} s^0t; \\ \{ \end{matrix} (p) \underline{a_{t^0}^{y+}}(p)(X_0): \quad (10.14b)$$

In particular, since $m \neq 0$ from (6.32) (or (8.18) with $k=0$) follows (do not sum over b !)

$$\sum_{s^0}^X \begin{matrix} ss^0; \\ ab \end{matrix} (0) \begin{matrix} s^0t; \\ bc \end{matrix} (0) \begin{matrix} ss^0; \\ bc \end{matrix} (0) \begin{matrix} s^0t; \\ ab \end{matrix} (0) = 2i \begin{matrix} st; \\ ca \end{matrix} (0); \quad (10.15)$$

where (abc) is an even permutation of (123) , we see that (10.10) and (10.14) imply

$$[S_{ab}^0; S_{bc}^0] X = i S_{ca}^0 X \quad (10.16)$$

where $m \neq 0$, $(abc) = (123); (231); (312)$, and $X = \underline{a_t^+}(0)(X_0); \underline{a_t^{y+}}(0)(X_0)$. The other non-vanishing components of (10.14) with $m \neq 0$ and $p=0$ can be obtained from (10.16) by using the skew symmetry of S_{ab}^0 in the indices a and b (see (5.26), (6.26), and (10.10)). Introducing the conserved spin 3-vector operator

$$S^a = \epsilon^{abc} S_{bc}^0; \quad (10.17)$$

we can rewrite (10.16) as

$$[S^a; S^b] (X) = i \sum_{c=1}^{X^3} \epsilon^{abc} S^c (X) \quad \text{for } m \neq 0 \quad (10.18)$$

with $a, b = 1; 2; 3$. Notice, in the massless case, we have

$$[S^a; S^b]_{m=0} = 0; \quad (10.19)$$

due to (9.17). Therefore, in the massless case all of the spin 3-vector components are simultaneously measurable, contrary to the massive one, when neither pair of them is simultaneously measurable (if the third one does not vanish).

11. On the choice of Lagrangian and its consequences

The developed until now theory of a free spinor field (in momentum picture) is based on the Lagrangian

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{2} i c \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} - \not{m}) \psi \quad (\not{\partial} \sim (x)) \quad (\not{\partial} \sim (x)) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) g \not{m} c^2 \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x): \quad (11.1)$$

in Heisenberg picture, or

$$L = \tilde{L}(\bar{\psi}; \bar{y}; \psi; \bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi} [\not{P}] [\not{P}] \bar{\psi} + g \not{m} c^2 \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.2)$$

in momentum picture. In this Lagrangian, the field and its Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi}$ are considered as independent variables. However, that choice of a Lagrangian for description of a free spinor field is not the only possible one. For instance, in [12, x 78] it is chosen as⁴⁵

$$\tilde{L}_0 = i\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})(\partial^\mu \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.3)$$

$$L_0 = \bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - m] \psi \quad (11.4)$$

in respectively Heisenberg and momentum pictures. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial \psi} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{L}_0}{\partial \psi} = -m \bar{\psi} & \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} &= +i \not{\partial} \psi \\ \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} = \partial^\mu \bar{\psi} & \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (11.5)$$

the equations of motion (2.17) for the Lagrangian (11.4) coincide with the system of Dirac equations (3.6) for the Lagrangian (11.2). As \tilde{L}_0 and L_0 differ by a full 4-divergence, $\tilde{L}_0 - L_0 = \partial_\mu f^\mu(\psi, \bar{\psi})$; these two Lagrangians give rise (under some conditions at infinity) to identical action integrals and, consequently, to identical theories.⁴⁶ However, the Lagrangian (11.1) has two advantages: on one hand, it is Hermitian contrary to (11.3) and, on the other hand, it vanishes when the field variables satisfy the field equations, which simplifies some calculations.

Before going on, we emphasize that the calculation of the derivatives in (11.5) and in (11.8) below according to the rules of the classical analysis of commuting variables is not quite correct. This method is harmless when the Euler-Lagrange equations are considered but it requires some additional operator ordering rules for the expressions of the conserved quantities; for details, see [15]. However, this approach breaks down for the Lagrangian (11.18) (or (11.15)) which we shall investigate below; the cause being that all its derivatives vanish if they are calculated according to the rules mentioned. For the correct treatment of that Lagrangian, the methods developed in [15] are required.

It is almost evident, the operator ψ and its Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi}$ do not enter in (11.1) (11.4) on an equal footing, i.e., in some sense, $\bar{\psi}$ is 'first' and ψ is 'second' in order (counting from the left to the right) operator in these Lagrangians. One can revert the situation by considering, for example, the Hermitian Lagrangian

$$\tilde{L}_1 = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})(\partial^\mu \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.6)$$

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - m] \psi \quad (11.7)$$

in Heisenberg and momentum picture, respectively.⁴⁷ A straightforward calculation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \psi} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{L}_1}{\partial \psi} = +\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - m] & \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \bar{\psi}} &= \frac{1}{2} i \not{\partial} \psi \\ \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{L}_1}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \partial^\mu & \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} &= +\frac{1}{2} i \not{\partial} \psi \end{aligned} \quad (11.8)$$

⁴⁵ In [12] as an independent variable is chosen $\psi(x)$, not $\bar{\psi}(x)$ and $\bar{\psi}(x)$.

⁴⁶ The expressions for the conserved currents (energy-momentum, charge current and angular momentum density) arising from the Lagrangians (11.1) and (11.3) differ significantly. But the conserved, time-independent, quantities (momentum, charge and angular momentum operators) for the both Lagrangians are identical.

⁴⁷ Here and below the matrix transposition sign \rightarrow is required to be ensured a proper matrix multiplication (see the conventions at the beginning of Sect. 3).

Therefore, in view of (2.17), the field equations for the Lagrangian (11.7) are

$$[\psi^>; P] + m c \psi^> = 0 \quad [\bar{\psi}^>; P] - m c \bar{\psi}^> = 0: \quad (11.9)$$

So, as one can expect, we get the system of Dirac equations (3.6) with interchanged places of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$.⁴⁸

In the Lagrangian (11.6), the fields ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ differ by their positions too; now ψ is 'first' and $\bar{\psi}$ is 'second'. However, since we are dealing with a free field, we, due to the charge symmetry [1,2], can try to start from a Lagrangian which describes in a symmetric way the field's particles and antiparticles. Since ψ is connected with field's particles and $\bar{\psi}$ with its antiparticles, a hypothesis can be made that this may be achieved via a Lagrangian in which ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ enter symmetrically. For example, as a possible candidate, the half sum of (11.2) and (11.6) can be taken, viz.

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{4} c f \bar{\psi} [\psi^>; P] [\bar{\psi}^>; P] + \int d^3x \left(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^>(x) \gamma^\mu \psi^>(x) - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^>(x) \gamma^\mu \psi^>(x) \right) g + \frac{1}{2} m c^2 f \bar{\psi} + \int d^3x \bar{\psi}^>(x) \psi^>(x) g: \quad (11.10)$$

Obviously, this Lagrangian has all required properties, including the symmetry $\psi \leftrightarrow \bar{\psi}$, which in matrix notation reads $\psi^> \leftrightarrow \bar{\psi}^>$ and $\bar{\psi}^> \leftrightarrow \psi^>$. However, it turns that the field described by the Lagrangian (11.10) has nothing to do with a spinor field. Indeed, one may verify that the field equations for it are

$$\begin{matrix} \times \\ [\psi^>; P] - m c \psi^> = 0 \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \times \\ [\bar{\psi}^>; P] - m c \bar{\psi}^> = 0 \end{matrix}$$

or, in a matrix form,

$$[\psi^>; P] - m c \psi^> = 0 \quad [\bar{\psi}^>; P] - m c \bar{\psi}^> = 0;$$

where $\bar{\psi}^> \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\psi^> - \psi^<)$ is the antisymmetric part of ψ . Besides, now ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ do not satisfy the Klein-Gordon equations (4.11) and the Lagrangian (11.10) happens to be singular.⁴⁹ The last fact means that (11.10) describes a system with constraints that requires a different treatment (see, e.g., [23]).

Similar will be the consequences of any Lagrangian symmetric in ψ and $\bar{\psi}$: it will not describe the field we are investigating here. One of the formal reasons for such a conclusion is that the field equations for ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, implied by a Lagrangian symmetric in them, will be identical, contrary to our expectation that they should be (equivalent to) (3.6). The physical reason for this situation is that the change particle \leftrightarrow antiparticle is describe by $a_s(k) \leftrightarrow a_s^\dagger(k)$, not by $\psi \leftrightarrow \bar{\psi}$, which is due to the half integer spin of Dirac spinor field (and its transformation properties under Lorentz transformations).⁵⁰

It is known (see, for instance, [1, x 13.4], [2, x 99], and [3, p. 114]), in terms of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, the change particle \leftrightarrow antiparticle is describe by the replacement

$$\psi \leftrightarrow C \bar{\psi}^> = (\bar{C} \psi^>)^> \quad \bar{\psi} \leftrightarrow \psi^> C^{-1} = (C^{-1} \psi^>)^>; \quad (11.11)$$

⁴⁸ Recall, the matrix transposition sign in (11.9) serves to provide a proper matrix multiplication as we consider ψ as vector-column and $\bar{\psi}$ as vector-row. If we write (11.9) and (3.6) in components, it will be obvious that these systems of 8 equations are identical up to notation.

⁴⁹ For instance, in the representation (3.2) of the matrices, in which γ^0 is diagonal, we have in Heisenberg picture $\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}_2}{\partial (t_0)} = \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}_2}{\partial (t_0)} = 0$:

⁵⁰ One should compare this situation with the one for free scalar field where the change $\psi \leftrightarrow \psi^*$ is equivalent to the transformation particle \leftrightarrow antiparticle; see [1] for considerations in momentum picture and, e.g., [1] for treatment in Heisenberg picture.

called charge conjugation, where the matrix C satisfies the conditions

$$C^{-1} C = 1, \quad C^T = -C, \quad C^\dagger = C \quad (11.12)$$

and, in a representation, like (3.2), in which $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma_i = 0; 1; 2; 3$, can be chosen as $C = \gamma_0 \gamma_2$ with $b_2 \in \text{Cnfg}$. The Lagrangian (11.1), under the conditions (8.13) and after (resp. before) normal ordering, is invariant (resp. changes sign) under the change (11.11).

So, if we want, from the very beginning, to have a suitable description of particle-antiparticle properties of a spinor field, we have to describe it via the variables ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, not via ψ and $\bar{\psi}$. In these new variables, due to $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger C^{-1}$ (see (11.11) and (11.12)), the Lagrangian (11.1) reads

$$\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) - (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi - \bar{\psi} g + m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.13)$$

We would like to emphasize on the change of the signs and the appearance of the matrix C in (11.13) with respect to (11.1). An alternative to this Lagrangian is a one with changed positions of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, viz.

$$\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) - (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi - \bar{\psi} g + m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.14)$$

Notice, the last Lagrangian is completely different from (11.6) and cannot be obtained from it by putting $\bar{\psi} \psi = C^{-1} \bar{\psi} \psi$. Evidently, the variables ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ do not enter in (11.13) and (11.14) on equal footing. We shall try to 'symmetrize' the situation by considering a Lagrangian which is the half sum of the last two ones, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^0 = & \frac{1}{4} i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) + (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi - \bar{\psi} g \\ & + \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) - (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi + \bar{\psi} g \\ & + \frac{1}{2} m \bar{\psi} \psi \end{aligned} \quad (11.15)$$

According to (2.16), the Lagrangians (11.13) and (11.15) in momentum picture respectively are:

$$\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} \not{p} \psi - \bar{\psi} (\not{p} \psi) - (\not{p} \bar{\psi}) \psi - \bar{\psi} g + m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.16)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^0 = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} \not{p} \psi - \bar{\psi} (\not{p} \psi) - (\not{p} \bar{\psi}) \psi - \bar{\psi} g + m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (11.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^0 = & \frac{1}{4} i \bar{\psi} \not{p} \psi - \bar{\psi} (\not{p} \psi) + (\not{p} \bar{\psi}) \psi - \bar{\psi} g \\ & + \bar{\psi} (\not{p} \psi) - (\not{p} \bar{\psi}) \psi + \bar{\psi} g \\ & + \frac{1}{2} m \bar{\psi} \psi \end{aligned} \quad (11.18)$$

The consequences of these Lagrangians will be described and compared below. But, before proceeding with this task, we must make an important remark regarding the Lagrangian (11.15) (or (11.18) in momentum picture). If one computes its derivatives with respect to ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ and their partial derivatives, according to the silently accepted rules valid for classical fields, one will see that all of them vanish.⁵¹ In a sense, this will mean that the

⁵¹ It is quite possible, the first reaction to such a result will be the rejection of the Lagrangian (11.15) as it leads to identities, like $0 = 0$, instead to field equations and to identically vanishing dynamical variables. However, this will be a hasty conclusion | vide infra.

Lagrangian (11.15) is constant as a functional of the field variables, which, obviously, is not the case. This 'paradox' is explained in the paper [15] in which it is proved that it is due to an incorrect transferring of the differentiation rules from the classical analysis of commuting variables and functions of them to the 'quantum' one of non-commuting variables, such as the operators on Hilbert spaces, and functions of them. In this work, it is shown that the derivatives of Lagrangians like (11.15) should be computed in a way different from the one of the classical analysis (and, in fact, are operators on the operator space over \mathcal{F}); in particular, the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian (11.15) are identities, like $0 = 0$, but it implies field equations according to a rigorous modified action principle. Without going into details, the new procedure, when applied to the Lagrangian (11.15), is equivalent to the standard one with new definitions of the derivatives of (11.15) with respect to its operator arguments. Here is the list of the derivatives of the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \psi} &= \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \psi} = +\frac{1}{2} c C^{-1} [\psi; P] - m c^2 C^{-1} \\
 \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} &= \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = -\frac{1}{2} c C^{-1} [\bar{\psi}; P] + m c^2 C^{-1} \\
 &= \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \psi} = \frac{1}{2} i c C^{-1} \\
 &= \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = \frac{\partial L^0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = +\frac{1}{2} i c C^{-1} :
 \end{aligned} \tag{11.19}$$

Notice, in this list the expressions for the derivatives of L^0 are definitions, while the derivatives of L^0 and L^0 are derived, using the equality

$$C^{-1} \psi = C^{-1} \tag{11.20}$$

implied by (11.12), according to the rules of the analysis of classical fields. As an exercise, the reader may wish to convert (11.19) into the more usual Heisenberg picture of motion. As a result of (11.19), the field equations (2.17) for all of the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18) now read:

$$[\psi; P] - m c = 0 \quad [\bar{\psi}; P] - m c = 0: \tag{11.21}$$

Consequently, as one may expect, the spinor field and its charge conjugate $\psi = C^{-1} \bar{\psi} = C^{-1} \psi$ are solutions of one and the same Dirac equation.⁵²

Regardless of the identical equations of motion obtained from the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18), these Lagrangians entail completely different conserved quantities (dynamical variables). In [15] is shown that to any operator Lagrangian in quantum field theory there corresponds a unique set of conserved operators.⁵³ The corresponding to the Lagrangians (11.13)–

⁵² It is a simple algebra to be proved, the substitution $\psi = C^{-1} \bar{\psi}$ transforms the second equation in (3.6) into the second equation in (11.21).

⁵³ The meaning of the last theorem is that there is a criterion for selecting the order of all operators in compositions (products) of operators appearing in the conserved quantities obtained from the Schwinger's variational principle [3] and/or from the first Noether theorem [1]. For rigorous derivation of equations (11.22)–(11.24) below, apply the results of [15, sec. 4] to the Lagrangians under consideration here.

(11.15) energy-momentum, current and spin angular momentum density operators are:

$$\begin{aligned} T^0 &= \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) + (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i c f \bar{\psi} C^{-1} (\not{\partial} \psi) + (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) C^{-1} \psi g \end{aligned} \quad (11.22a)$$

$$J^0 = \frac{q}{i} \bar{\psi} \psi = q c \bar{\psi} C^{-1} \psi \quad (11.22b)$$

$$S^0 = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi} \not{C} C^{-1} \psi + g \bar{\psi} \quad (11.22c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) - (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i c f \bar{\psi} C^{-1} (\not{\partial} \psi) + (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) C^{-1} \psi g \end{aligned} \quad (11.23a)$$

$$J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{q}{i} \bar{\psi} \psi = + q c \bar{\psi} C^{-1} \psi \quad (11.23b)$$

$$S^{\mu\nu} = + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi} \not{C} C^{-1} \psi + g \bar{\psi} \quad (11.23c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} f \bar{\psi} (\not{\partial} \psi) - (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{4} i c f \bar{\psi} C^{-1} (\not{\partial} \psi) + (\not{\partial} \bar{\psi}) C^{-1} \psi g \end{aligned} \quad (11.24a)$$

$$J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{i} \bar{\psi} \psi = \frac{1}{2} q c \bar{\psi} C^{-1} \psi g \quad (11.24b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} f \bar{\psi} (\not{C} C^{-1} \psi) - (\not{C} C^{-1} \bar{\psi}) \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \bar{\psi} \not{C} C^{-1} (\psi + \psi) + \not{C} C^{-1} (\psi + \psi) g \end{aligned} \quad (11.24c)$$

where (11.19), $\psi(\psi) = \psi(\psi) = +1$, $I = I = \frac{1}{2}i$ (see (3.15)), $C^T C^{-1} =$ (see (3.14) and (11.12)), and (11.20) were used. The corresponding expressions for the orbital angular momentum are obtained according to the general formula (2.21a). The reader may easily write (11.22)-(11.24) in momentum picture; formally, this can be done by replacing ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ by respectively $\psi = \frac{1}{i} [\dots; P]$ and $\bar{\psi} = \frac{1}{i} [\dots; P]$ and by removing the tildes (waves) from the remaining symbols.

Looking over (11.15) (or (11.18)) and (11.22)-(11.24), one can notice that the Lagrangian, energy-momentum and spin angular momentum density operators are symmetric while the charge current operator is antisymmetric under the charge conjugation, i.e. under the change $\psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi}$, as it should be [13]. In this way, we shall see that the symmetry particle-antiparticle for a free spinor field should be encoded into a Lagrangian which is symmetric relative to the spinor operators describing the corresponding fields/particles.

Since the field and its charge conjugate $\bar{\psi} = C^{-1} \psi$ satisfy identical Dirac equations for all of the Lagrangians (11.16)-(11.18) (see (11.21)), the field and its Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi} = \psi^0 = \psi^T C^{-1}$ satisfy the system of Dirac equations (3.6) for these Lagrangians. Thus for

and $\bar{\psi}$ are valid all considerations and results in sections 4 and 5.⁵⁴ As the Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi}$ enters linearly everywhere in sections 4 and 5, all of the material in these sections is valid mutatis mutandis for the charge conjugate $\bar{\psi} = C^{-1} \psi^T = (\bar{C}^T)^T \psi = (\bar{C})^T \psi$ whose components are linear combinations of those of $\bar{\psi}$; the only thing one should do, to rewrite this material in terms of $\bar{\psi}$, is to replace $\bar{\psi}$ with ψ or, more formally, to write the breve accent sign \ " for the line \ " over a symbol's sign. For example, the decomposition (4.21b) implies

$$\psi = \int d^3k \left[f_{s,+}(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{s;(+)}(\mathbf{k}) + f_{s,-}(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{s;(-)}(\mathbf{k}) \right] \quad (11.25)$$

where $f_{s,\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ are some (possibly, generalized) functions and $\psi_{s;(\pm)}(\mathbf{k})$ are spinor operators. It is essential to be mentioned the connection

$$\psi_{s;(-)}(\mathbf{k}) = C^{-1} \psi_{s;(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = \bar{\psi}_{s;(+)}(\mathbf{k}) C^T \quad (11.26)$$

which is a consequence of (4.21b) and (11.11). Further, the creation/annihilation operators for ψ should be introduced via the second formula in (5.1) with $\bar{\psi}$ for $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ for $\bar{\psi}$ and, by virtue of (11.26), are

$$\psi_s(\mathbf{k}) = C^{-1} \bar{\psi}_s(\mathbf{k})^T = \bar{\psi}_s(\mathbf{k}) C^T : \quad (11.27)$$

All of the remaining material of Sect. 5 concerning $\bar{\psi}_s(\mathbf{k})$ is then automatically valid for $\psi_s(\mathbf{k})$, provided the replacement $\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \psi$ is made. In particular, the interpretation of $\psi_s(\mathbf{k})$ as creation/annihilation operators of (anti)particles with 4-momentum $(m^2 c^2 + k^2; \mathbf{k})$, charge q , orbital angular momentum $(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{k})_{K_0} = \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} \mathbb{1}_4$, and spin mixing angular momentum matrices $\frac{1}{2} \sim$ is valid. The invariant, frame-independent, creation/annihilation operators $a_s^y(\mathbf{k})$ for $\psi_s(\mathbf{k})$ are the same as for $\bar{\psi}_s(\mathbf{k})$ and, as a consequence of (11.27) and (5.22), are introduced via the equation

$$\psi_s(\mathbf{k}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} a_s^y(\mathbf{k}) C (\bar{v}^{s;+}(\mathbf{k}))^T = (2\pi)^{-3/2} a_s^y(\mathbf{k}) \bar{v}^{s;+}(\mathbf{k}) C^T \quad (11.28)$$

where $v^{s;+}(\mathbf{k})$ are defined by (4.22) and (4.24). So, the equalities (5.22) and the following from them interpretation of $a_s(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_s^y(\mathbf{k})$ hold without changes.

All of the above said, concerning ψ and its (frequency) decompositions, is valid for any one of the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18) as they entail identical field equations. However, from (11.22)–(11.24), it is evident that the energy-momentum, charge and angular momentum densities for these Lagrangians are completely different. The corresponding to them 4-momentum, charge and angular momenta can easily be calculated on the base of the results of Sect. 6 and the decomposition (11.28) in the following way.

At first, notice that the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18) vanish if ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ satisfy the field equations (11.21), i.e.

$$L^0 = L^{\text{tr}} = L^{\text{div}} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad [\psi; P]_{mc} = 0; \quad \psi = \bar{\psi}; \quad (11.29)$$

and $L = L^0$ as $\psi = C^{-1} \bar{\psi}^T$ (see (11.2)). Moreover, L^{tr} (resp. L^{div}) and the results following from it can be obtained by interchanging the positions of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, possibly with some indices and arguments, (resp. by forming the half sum of L^0 and L^{tr} and the results corresponding to them). Consequently, the results (6.2), (6.6), (6.8), (6.9), (6.11)–(6.16), all with $\bar{\psi}_s = \psi_s^T C^{-1}$, are valid for $L = L^0$, but for L^{tr} and L^{div} the just describe changes must be made.

⁵⁴ The only exception being the concrete forms of the expression in the r.h.s. of (4.4) and (4.5) for the 'degenerate' solutions (4.1).

Applying (11.28), (5.22) and (11.12), we derive:

$$(\bar{\psi}_s(k)) \gamma^0 \psi_s(k^0) = (\bar{\psi}_s(k)) \gamma^0 C^{-1} \gamma^0 \psi_s(k^0) \quad (11.30a)$$

$$= + (2\gamma)^3 a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \gamma^0 \psi_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \quad \bar{a}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \\ (\bar{\psi}_s(k)) \gamma^0 C^{-1} \gamma^0 \psi_s(k^0) = (2\gamma)^3 a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) C^{-1} C^{\gamma^0} \psi_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \quad \bar{a}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \quad (11.30b)$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_s(k)) \gamma^0 C^{-1} \gamma^0 \psi_s(k^0) = + (2\gamma)^3 a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \gamma^0 \psi_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \quad (11.30c)$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_s(k)) \gamma^0 C^{-1} \gamma^0 \psi_s(k^0) = (2\gamma)^3 a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0) \gamma^0 \psi_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \quad (11.30d)$$

where $\gamma^0 = +$ or $-$, is a constant 4×4 matrix (e.g., $\gamma^0 = \gamma^0_{ab}$), or an operator (e.g., $\gamma^0 = k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}$) or matrix operator (e.g., $\gamma^0 = \gamma^0_k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}$),⁵⁵ $s; s^0 = 1; 2$ for $m \neq 0$, and $s; s^0 = 0$ for $m = 0$. The rest of these equalities, together with the derivation of (6.7), (6.10), (6.27), and (6.43), implies the validity of (6.7), (6.10) and (6.27) for L^0 . The equations (11.30c) and (11.30d), in view of the derivation of (6.7), (6.10), (6.27), and (6.43), mean that the change $\psi_s(k) \rightarrow \psi_s(k)$ is equivalent to any one of the replacements $\psi_s(k) \rightarrow \psi_s(k)$ or $a_s(k) \rightarrow a_s^{\gamma^0}(k)$, both combined with the change of the sign of the charge operator and the changes $\bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k)$ and $\bar{a}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \rightarrow \bar{a}_s^{\gamma^0}(k)$. The equations (11.30), combined with the above-made conclusions, (11.22)-(11.24), (6.7), (6.10), (6.27) and (7.14), imply that the momentum, charge, spin and orbital (angular momentum) operators for the Lagrangians (11.16)-(11.18) respectively are:

$$P^0 = \int d^3k \int_{k_0=0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) a_s^{\gamma}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) g d^3k \quad (11.31a)$$

$$Q^0 = +q \int d^3k f a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) + a_s^{\gamma}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) g d^3k \quad (11.31b)$$

$$S^0 = + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0} d^3k \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) + \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) a_s^{\gamma}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) \quad (11.31c)$$

$$L^0 = \int d^3k (x \cdot k \times k) \int_{k_0=0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) a_s^{\gamma}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) g \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0} d^3k \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s(k) + \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) a_s^{\gamma}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_s d^3k a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \bar{a}_s(k) \\ + a_s^{\gamma}(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) \int_{k_0=0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \quad (11.31d)$$

$$P^0 = \int d^3k \int_{k_0=0}^{\infty} \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\gamma}(k) a_s(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) g d^3k \quad (11.32a)$$

$$Q^0 = q \int d^3k f a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\gamma}(k) + a_s(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) g d^3k \quad (11.32b)$$

$$S^0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s; s^0} d^3k \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) a_s^{\gamma^0}(k) \bar{a}_s^{\gamma}(k) + \bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k) a_s(k) \bar{a}_s^{\dagger}(k) \quad (11.32c)$$

⁵⁵ If γ^0 is an operator, one should take care of the direction to which it acts, as well as on what arguments it acts; e.g., if $\gamma^0 = k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}$, then the r.h.s. of (11.30b) is equal to a sum of two terms, due to the left action of $\bar{\psi}_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0)$ and the right action of $\psi_s^{\gamma^0}(k^0)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_L^0 = & \sum_s \int d^3k (x_k - x_k) j_{k_0} = P \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^+(k) \tilde{a}_s^Y(k) a_s(k) \tilde{a}_s^{Y+}(k) g \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s; s^0} \int d^3k [I^{ss^0; +}(k) a_{s^0}^+(k) \tilde{a}_s^Y(k) + I^{ss^0; -}(k) a_{s^0}(k) \tilde{a}_s^{Y+}(k)] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \int d^3k a_s^+(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \tilde{a}_s^Y(k) \\
& + a_s(k) k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \tilde{a}_s^{Y+}(k) \Big|_{k_0=0} P \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2}; \quad (11.32d)
\end{aligned}$$

$$P^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \int d^3k j_{k_0} = P \frac{1}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} f a_s^{Y+}(k) \tilde{a}_s^Y(k) a_s^Y(k) \tilde{a}_s^+(k) \quad (11.33a)$$

$$Q^0 = + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \int d^3k f a_s^{Y+}(k) \tilde{a}_s^Y(k) + a_s^Y(k) \tilde{a}_s^+(k) g d^3k \quad (11.33b)$$

$$Q_S^0 = + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s; s^0} \int d^3k [I^{ss^0; -}(k) [a_s^{Y+}(k); a_{s^0}(k)] + I^{ss^0; +}(k) [a_s^Y(k); a_{s^0}^+(k)]] \quad (11.33c)$$

$$Q_L^0 = \frac{1}{2} Q_L^0 + Q_L^0 : \quad (11.33d)$$

(To save some space, we do not write the evident, but rather long, explicit formula for Q_L^0 ; the reader can easily write it down with some patience. For the same reason we do not write at all the total angular momentum operators; they are simply sums of the corresponding spin and orbital (angular momentum) operators. Besides, we have omitted the evident expressions for the time-dependent part of the spin angular momentum (see (6.24), which is insignificant here and below.) Notice, in the above formulae the operators a_s and a_s^Y do not depend on the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18) we started with. As one can expect, the r.h.s. of (11.33a), (11.33c) and (11.33d) (resp. (11.33b)) is symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) with respect to the change particle ↔ antiparticle.

Since the obtained expressions for the momentum operators corresponding to the Lagrangians (11.16)–(11.18) are different, the field equations for them in terms of the creation and annihilation operators $a_s(k)$ and $a_s^Y(k)$ will be different, regardless of their coincidence in terms of ϕ and ψ (see (11.21)). These equations can be derived in the same way as we did in Sect. 7 for the Lagrangian (11.2), but the results at our disposal give us the possibility to write them without any calculations. As the expressions (11.31a) and (6.7) for the momentum operators of (11.16) and (11.2), respectively, coincide and the Lagrangians L and L^0 are equal up to a change of the independent variables in them, from the decompositions (7.1), a similar one for ψ (see (11.25) and (11.28)), and the derivation of (7.4) follows that the field equations for the Lagrangian L^0 , given by (11.16), in terms of a_s and a_s^Y coincide with the ones for L , given by (11.2). Therefore, the equations of motion for L^0 in terms of creation and annihilation operators are (7.4). Since (11.31a) and (11.32a) differ by the change $a_s \leftrightarrow a_s^Y$, the field equations for L^0 , given via (11.17), can be obtained from (7.4) by making the replacement $a_s(q) \leftrightarrow a_s^Y(q)$, i.e. they are

$$a_s(k); a_t^+(q) \tilde{a}_t^Y(q) a_t(q) \tilde{a}_t^+(q) - a_s(k) \tau_s^3(k-q) = Q_{st}^0(k; q) \quad (11.34a)$$

$$a_s^Y(k); a_t^+(q) \tilde{a}_t^Y(q) a_t(q) \tilde{a}_t^+(q) - a_s^Y(k) \tau_s^3(k-q) = Q_{st}^{Y0}(k; q); \quad (11.34b)$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q)$ are (generalized) functions such that

$$\int_t^X \int_Z \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} \mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q) d^3 q = 0 \quad \int_t^X \int_Z \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} \mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q) d^3 q = 0: \quad (11.34c)$$

At last, as (11.33a) is the halfsum of (11.31a) and (11.32a), the field equations for L^{st} , given via (11.18), in terms of creation and annihilation operators can be obtained from (7.4) by replacing the first terms in it by the halfsum of the first terms in left-hand-sides of (7.4) and (11.34), i.e. these equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q) \quad a_t(q) \quad a_t^y(q) \quad \bar{a}_t^+(q) \quad - \\ & + a_s(k); a_t^+(q) \quad \bar{a}_t^y(q) \quad a_t(q) \quad \bar{a}_t^{y+}(q) \quad - \\ & 2a_s(k) \int_t^3(k-q) = \mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q) \end{aligned} \quad (11.35a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q) \quad a_t(q) \quad a_t^y(q) \quad \bar{a}_t^+(q) \quad - \\ & + a_s^y(k); a_t^+(q) \quad \bar{a}_t^y(q) \quad a_t(q) \quad \bar{a}_t^{y+}(q) \quad - \\ & 2a_s^y(k) \int_t^3(k-q) = \mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q); \end{aligned} \quad (11.35b)$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q)$ are (generalized) functions such that

$$\int_t^X \int_Z \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} \mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q) d^3 q = 0 \quad \int_t^X \int_Z \frac{p}{m^2 c^2 + q^2} \mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q) d^3 q = 0: \quad (11.35c)$$

Consider now the problem regarding the possible (anti)commutation relations for the Lagrangians (11.16) { (11.18). As we saw in Sect. 8, the field equations (7.4a) { (7.4b) are equivalent to (8.2) (with $\alpha = 1$). Similarly, applying (8.1), we can rewrite equivalently (11.34a) { (11.34b) and (11.35a) { (11.35b) respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_s(k); a_t^+(q)] = \bar{a}_t^y(q) \quad [a_t^+(q); a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] \\ & [a_s(k); a_t(q)] = \bar{a}_t^{y+}(q) + [a_t(q); a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] \\ & a_s(k) \int_t^3(k-q) = \mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q) \end{aligned} \quad (11.36a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_s^y(k); a_t^+(q)] = \bar{a}_t^y(q) \quad [a_t^+(q); a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] \\ & [a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] = \bar{a}_t^{y+}(q) + [a_t(q); a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] \\ & a_s^y(k) \int_t^3(k-q) = \mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q) \end{aligned} \quad (11.36b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] = a_t(q) \quad [a_t^{y+}(q); a_s(k); a_t(q)] \\ & [a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] = \bar{a}_t^+(q) + [a_t^y(q); a_s(k); a_t^+(q)] \\ & + [a_s(k); a_t^+(q)] = \bar{a}_t^y(q) \quad [a_t^+(q); a_s(k); a_t^y(q)] \\ & [a_s(k); a_t(q)] = \bar{a}_t^{y+}(q) + [a_t(q); a_s(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] \\ & 2a_s(k) \int_t^3(k-q) = \mathbb{F}_{st}^{\text{st}}(k;q) \end{aligned} \quad (11.37a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] = a_t(q) \quad [a_t^{y+}(q); a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] \\ & [a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] = \bar{a}_t^+(q) + [a_t^y(q); a_s^y(k); a_t^+(q)] \\ & [a_s^y(k); a_t^+(q)] = \bar{a}_t^y(q) \quad [a_t^+(q); a_s^y(k); a_t^y(q)] \\ & [a_s^y(k); a_t(q)] = \bar{a}_t^{y+}(q) + [a_t(q); a_s^y(k); a_t^{y+}(q)] \\ & 2a_s^y(k) \int_t^3(k-q) = \mathbb{F}_{st}^y(k;q): \end{aligned} \quad (11.37b)$$

The analysis of (11.36) and (11.37) is practically identical with the one of (8.2) in Sect. 8 with one very important new consequence from (11.37). Imposing the additional conditions (8.4), we see that equations (11.37) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \eta) [a_s(k); a_t^{Y+}(q)] &= a_t(q) a_t^{Y+}(q) [a_s(k); a_t(q)] \\ [a_s(k); a_t^Y(q)] &= a_t^+(q) + a_t^Y(q) [a_s(k); a_t^+(q)] \\ 2a_s(k) \delta_{st}^3(k-q) &= \mathbb{M}_{st}^{\eta}(k; q) \end{aligned} \quad (11.38a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \eta) [a_s^Y(k); a_t^{Y+}(q)] &= a_t(q) a_t^{Y+}(q) [a_s^Y(k); a_t(q)] \\ [a_s^Y(k); a_t^Y(q)] &= a_t^+(q) + a_t^Y(q) [a_s^Y(k); a_t^+(q)] \\ 2a_s^Y(k) \delta_{st}^3(k-q) &= \mathbb{M}_{st}^{Y\eta}(k; q): \end{aligned} \quad (11.38b)$$

Let us see what entails (11.38) for $\eta = 1$, which corresponds to quantization of a spinor field via commutators, not via anticommutators. Inserting (11.38) with $\eta = 1$ into (11.35c), we get

$$k \int_{k_0=0}^{\infty} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} a_s(k) = 0 \quad k \int_{k_0=0}^{\infty} \frac{P}{m^2 c^2 + k^2} a_s^Y(k) = 0 \quad \text{for } \eta = 1; \quad (11.39)$$

which, by virtue of (11.33a), imply

$$P^{\eta} = 0 \quad \text{for } \eta = 1 \quad (11.40)$$

which, in its turn, reduce the Dirac equations (11.21) to

$$m c = 0 \quad m c = 0 \quad \text{for } \eta = 1: \quad (11.41)$$

Thus, we see that, when one starts from the Lagrangian (11.18), the only free spinor fields that are possible to be quantized via commutators are (4.1), in the massless case $m = 0$, and the 'missing' field $\psi = 0$ in the massive case $m \neq 0$. The former solutions of the field equations were investigated at length in the previous sections and the latter solution, $\psi = 0$, of the field equations is completely unphysical as it cannot lead to any physically observable consequences. But, moreover, these solutions are rejected by the properties (8.5) and (8.6) of the (generalized) functions in the right-hand-sides of the equations in (8.4). Therefore, the Lagrangian (11.18) does not admit quantization by commutators, contrary to the Lagrangians (11.16) and (11.17), and, consequently, for it we must put $\eta = +1$ in (8.4).

The further analysis of (11.36) with $\eta = 1$ and (11.37) with $\eta = +1$ is practically identical to the one of (8.2) and leads to the anticommutation relations (8.13).⁵⁶

Consequently, the Lagrangians (11.16){(11.18) lead to identical anticommutation relations, viz. (8.13), and in this direction the only difference between them is that (11.18) does not require as an additional condition the quantization via anticommutators, or an equivalent to it hypothesis, like the spin-statistics theorem or charge symmetry, as this condition is encoded in it from the very beginning.

Since the anticommutation relations for the Lagrangians (11.16){(11.18) are identical, the vacuum and normal ordering for them should be introduced in an identical way, viz. as it was described in Sect. 9. As a result of (11.31){(11.33), after normal ordering, the operators of the dynamical variables for them become identical and are given by (9.4){(9.9).

Let us summarize. The Lagrangians (11.16){(11.18) (or (11.13){(11.15) in Heisenberg picture) are equivalent in a sense that they entail identical quantum field theories.

⁵⁶ One can derive (8.13) without any calculations by taking into account that the l.h.s. of (11.36) is equal to the l.h.s. of (8.2) combined with the change $a_s(q) \rightarrow a_s^Y(q)$ and that the l.h.s. of (11.37) is equal to the sum of the left-hand-sides of (8.2) and (11.36). This implies similar changes in (8.13) under which it is invariant.

The principle difference between them is that in (11.18) is encoded additionally the charge symmetry of (or, equivalently, the spin-statistics theorem for) a free spinor field and there is not a need to impose it as additional condition on a later stage of theory's development.

12. Conclusion

In this paper, we made a more or less comprehensive investigation of free spin $\frac{1}{2}$ quantum fields in momentum picture of motion in Lagrangian quantum field theory. The methods we used and the results obtained are near (similar) to the ones in [11], concerning free charged scalar fields. The main difference from the scalar case comes from the multi-component character of a spinor field, which is due to its non-zero spin.

A feature of the momentum picture is the introduction of creation and annihilation operators without invoking explicitly the Fourier transform (as in Heisenberg picture). We have written the field equations in terms of these operators. In this form they turn to be trilinear algebraic (functional) equations relative to the creation and annihilation operators. On this base, the standard anticommutation relations are derived under some explicitly presented additional conditions. Under these conditions, the anticommutation relations are tantamount to the initial field equations for free spinor fields. We have also briefly studied the state vectors, vacuum and normal ordering procedure for these fields.

An analysis of the initial Lagrangian, from which the quantum theory of free spinor field is derived, is presented. The consequences of several Lagrangians are described and the 'best' one of them is singled out. It is the one which is charge symmetric, i.e. it is the one which is invariant under the change particle ↔ antiparticle described in suitable variables. This is the Lagrangian (11.15) in Heisenberg picture or (11.18) in momentum picture. It entails, before quantization and normal ordering, the field equations (11.35) in terms of creation and annihilation operators. Evidently, these equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} [a_t^{y+}(q); a_t(q)]_+; a_s(k) - + [a_t^+(q); a_t^y(q)]_+; a_s(k) - \\ = 2a_s(k) \delta_{st}^3(k-q) \omega_{F_{st}}(k;q) \end{aligned} \quad (12.1a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a_t^{y+}(q); a_t(q)]_+; a_s^y(k) - + [a_t^+(q); a_t^y(q)]_+; a_s^y(k) - \\ = 2a_s^y(k) \delta_{st}^3(k-q) \omega_{F_{st}}^y(k;q): \end{aligned} \quad (12.1b)$$

Trilinear relations, like equations (12.1), are typical for the so-called parastatistics and para field theory [24-28], where they play a role of (para)commutation relations. Elsewhere we shall show how from (12.1) the parafermion commutation relations for a free spinor field can be derived.

We shall end with the evident remark that the particles and antiparticles of a free spinor field (, described via some of the Lagrangians considered in this paper) are always different, due to the fact that the charge of field's particles and antiparticles is $-q$ and $+q$, respectively, and their spin and orbital (angular momentum) operators are always non-zero and different (see, e.g., (10.9), (10.10), (6.27) and (6.38)).

References

- [1] N. N. Bogolyubov and D. V. Shirkov. Introduction to the theory of quantized fields. Nauka, Moscow, third edition, 1976. In Russian. English translation: Wiley, New York, 1980.
- [2] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell. Relativistic quantum mechanics, volume 1 and 2. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1964, 1965. Russian translation: Nauka, Moscow, 1978.

- [3] Paul Roman. Introduction to quantum field theory. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1969.
- [4] Lewis H. Ryder. Quantum field theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985. Russian translation: Mir, Moscow, 1987.
- [5] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii. Quantum electrodynamics. Nauka, Moscow, 1969. In Russian. English translation: Authorized English ed., rev. and enl. by the author, Translated from the 2d Russian ed. by G. M. Volkov, New York, Interscience Publishers, 1965. Other English translations: New York, Consultants Bureau, 1957; London, Oxford Press, 1964, 1962.
- [6] Pierre Ramond. Field theory: a modern primer, volume 51 of Frontiers in physics. Reading, MA Benjamin-Cummings, London-Amsterdam-Dordrecht-Moscow, Ontario-Sidney-Tokyo, 1st edition, 1981. 2nd rev. print, Frontiers in physics vol. 74, Addison Wesley Publ. Co., Redwood city, CA, 1989; Russian translation from the 1st ed.: Moscow, Mir 1984.
- [7] N. N. Bogolubov, A. A. Logunov, and I. T. Todorov. Introduction to axiomatic quantum field theory. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., London, 1975. Translation from Russian: Nauka, Moscow, 1969.
- [8] N. N. Bogolubov, A. A. Logunov, A. I. Oksak, and I. T. Todorov. General principles of quantum field theory. Nauka, Moscow, 1987. In Russian. English translation: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [9] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Pictures and equations of motion in Lagrangian quantum field theory. In Frank Columbus, editor, Progress in Mathematical Physics, pages 1-10. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Suite, 2004. To appear.
<http://www.arXiv.org/ePrint/archive>, E-print No. hep-th/0302002, February 2003.
- [10] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Momentum picture of motion in Lagrangian quantum field theory.
<http://www.arXiv.org/ePrint/archive>, E-print No. hep-th/0311003, November 2003.
- [11] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Lagrangian quantum field theory in momentum picture. I. Free scalar fields.
<http://www.arXiv.org/ePrint/archive>, E-print No. hep-th/0402006, February 1, 2004.
- [12] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell. Relativistic quantum fields, volume 2. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965. Russian translation: Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
- [13] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber. Quantum field theory. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1980. Russian translation (in two volumes): Mir, Moscow, 1984.
- [14] Silvan S. Schweber. An introduction to relativistic quantum field theory. Row, Peterson and Co., Evanston, Ill., Elm St., N.Y., 1961. Russian translation: IL (Foreign Literature Pub.), Moscow, 1963.
- [15] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. On operator differentiation in the action principle in quantum field theory. In Stancho Dimiev and Kouei Sekigawa, editors, Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Complex Structures and Vector Fields, 3-6 September 2002, St. Konstantin resort (near Varna), Bulgaria, Trends in Complex Analysis, Differential Geometry and Mathematical Physics, pages 76-107. World Scientific, New Jersey-London-Singapore-Hong Kong, 2003.
<http://www.arXiv.org/ePrint/archive>, E-print No. hep-th/0204003, April 2002.

- [16] A . M . L . M essiah . Quantum m echanics, volum e I and II. Interscience, New York, 1958. Russian translation: Nauka, M oscow , 1978 (vol. I) and 1979 (vol. II).
- [17] P . A . M . D irac . The principles of quantum m echanics. O xford at the C larendon P ress, O xford, fourth edition, 1958. Russian translation in: P . D irac, P rinciples of quantum m echanics, M oscow , Nauka, 1979.
- [18] E . P rugovecki . Quantum m echanics in H ilbert space, volum e 92 of Pure and applied m athem atics. A cadem ic P ress, New York-London, second edition, 1981.
- [19] J . D . B jorken and S . D . D rell . Relativistic quantum m echanics, volum e 1. M cG raw -H ill Book Com pany, New York, 1964. Russian translation: Nauka, M oscow , 1978.
- [20] R . F . Streater and A . S . W ightm an . P C T , spin and statistics and all that. W . A . Benjam in, Inc., New York-Am sterdam , 1964. Russian translation: Nauka, M oscow , 1966.
- [21] R es Jost . The general theory of quantized elds. A m erican M athem atical Society, Rhode Island, 1965. Russian translation: M ir, M oscow , 1967.
- [22] G . C . W ick . Calculation of scattering m atrix. Physical Review , 80 (2) :268, 1950. Russian translation in [29, pp. 245{253].
- [23] P . A . M . D irac . Lectures on quantum m echanics. Belfer graduate school of science, Yeshiva University, New York, 1964. Russian translation in: P . D irac, P rinciples of quantum m echanics, M oscow , Nauka, 1979.
- [24] H . S . G reen . A generalized m ethod of eld quantization. Phys. Rev., 90 (2) :270{273, 1953.
- [25] D . V . Volkov . On quantization of half-integer spin elds. Zh. Eksperim . i Teor. Fiz. (Journal of experim ental and theoretical physics), 36 (5) :1560{1566, 1959. In Russian. English translation: Soviet Phys. {J E T P , vol. 9, p. 1107, 1959.
- [26] D . V . Volkov . S-m atrix in the generalized quantization m ethod. Zh. Eksperim . i Teor. Fiz. (Journal of experim ental and theoretical physics), 38 (2) :518{523, 1960. In Russian. English translation: Soviet Phys. {J E T P , vol. 11, p. 375, 1960.
- [27] O . W . G reenberg and A . M . I . M essiah . Sym m etrization postulate and its experim ental foundation. Phys. Rev., 136B (1B) :248{267, 1964.
- [28] O . W . G reenberg and A . M . I . M essiah . Selection rules for para elds and the absence of para particles in nature. Phys. Rev., 138B (5B) :1155{1167, 1965.
- [29] N ovejshee razvitie kvantovoj electrodinam iki. Sbornik statej (The newest developm ent of quantum electrodynam ics. Collection of papers), editor Ivanenko D . D . , M oscow , 1954. IL (Foreign Literature Pub.). In Russian.