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#### Abstract

W e carry out a system atic study of entanglem ent entropy in relativistic quantum eld theory. This is de ned as the von Neum ann entropy $S_{A}=\operatorname{Tr} A_{A} \log$ A corresponding to the reduced density $m$ atrix $A$ of a subsystem $A$. For the case of a 1+1-dim ensional critical system, whose continuum $\lim$ it is a conform al eld theory with central charge $c$, we re-derive the result $S_{A}$ $(c=3) \mathrm{log}$ ' of $H$ olzhey et $a l$. when $A$ is a nite interval of length ' in an in nite system, and extend it to $m$ any other cases: nite system $s$, nite tem peratures, and when $A$ consists of an arbitrary num ber of disjoint intervals (See note added). For such a system aw ay from its critical point, $w$ hen the correlation length is large but nite, we show that $S_{A} A(C=6) \log$, where $A$ is the num ber ofboundary points of $A$. T hese results are veri ed for a free $m$ assive eld theory, whidh is also used to con $m$ a scaling ansatz for the case of nite-size $o$-critical system $s$, and for integrable lattige m odels, such as the Ising and XXZ m odels, which are solvable by comer transfer m atrix $m$ ethods. $F$ inally the free- eld results are extended to higher dim ensions, and used to m otivate a scaling form for the singular part of the entanglem ent entropy near a quantum phase transition.


## I. IN TRODUCTION.

$R$ ecently there has been considerable interest in form ulating $m$ easures ofquantum entanglem ent and applying them to extended quantum system $s \mathrm{w}$ ith m any degrees of freedom, such as quantum spin chains.

O ne of these $m$ easures [1] is entanglem ent entropy. Suppose the whole system is in a pure quantum state $j i$, $w$ ith density $m$ atrix $=j$ ih $j$ and an observer $A$ m easures only a subset A of a com plete set of com m uting observables, while another observer B m ay m easure the rem ainder. A's reduced density $m$ atrix is $A_{A}=T r_{B}$. . The entanglem ent entropy is just the von $N$ eum ann entropy $S_{A}=T_{\text {A }} \log$ A associated w ith this reduced density m atrix. It is easy to see that $S_{A}=S_{B}$. For an unentangled product state, $S_{A}=0$. C onversely, $S_{A}$ should be a $m$ axim um for a $m$ axim ally entangled state.

For example, for a system w ith two binary (spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ) degrees of freedom, with $j i=$ cos j"\#i+ sin j\#"i, where A observes only the rst spin and B the second, $S_{A}$ takes its $m$ axim um value of $\log 2$ when $\cos ^{2} \quad=\frac{1}{2}$, which agrees $w$ ith our intuitive idea of $m$ axim al entanglem ent. For this system it has been shown, [1] even in the partially entangled case, that if there are $M$ copies of the state available, by making only local operations A can produce $M^{0}<M$ states which are maxim ally entangled. The optim al conversion ration $\mathrm{M}^{0}=\mathrm{M}$ is given, for large M , by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$. [28]

A though there are other $m$ easures of entanglem ent, [2] the entropy is $m$ ost readily suited to analytic investigation. In several papers[3, 4, 5, 6], the concept has been applied to quantum spin chains. Typically, the subset A consists of a com muting set of com ponents of the spin degrees of freedom in som e interval of length ', in an in nitely long chain. It is found that the entanglem ent entropy generally tends to a nite value as 'increases, but that this value diverges as the system approaches a quantum critical point. At such a critical point, the entropy grow sproportional to log 'for large '.

C lose to a quantum criticalpoint, where the correlation length is m uch larger than the lattioe spacing a, the low -lying excitations and the long-distance behaviour of the correlations in the ground state of a quantum spin chain are believed to be described by a quantum eld theory in $1+1$ dim ensions. If the dispersion relation of the low-lying excitations is linear for wave numbers $k$ such that ${ }^{1} \mathrm{kj} \quad \mathrm{a}^{1}$, the eld theory is relativistic. W e shall consider only those cases in this paper. At the criticalpoint, where ${ }^{1}=0$, the eld theory
is $m$ assless, and is a conform al eld theory (CFT).
In this case, the von Neum ann entropy of subsystem corresponding to an interval of length ' was calculated som e tim e ago by H olzhey et al.[7], in the context of black hole physics (although that connection has been questioned), where it was term ed geom etric' entropy. U sing $m$ ethods of conform al eld theory, based in part on earlier work of C ardy and $P$ eschel[8], they found $S_{A} \quad(c=3) \log (=a)$, where $c$ is the conform al anom aly num ber (som etim es called the central charge) of the corresponding CFT.

This result hasbeen veri ed by analytic and num erical calculations on integrable quantum spin chains corresponding to CFTS w ith $\mathrm{C}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}=1 .[3,4,5]$

In this paper, we rst put the CFT argum ents of Holzhey et al. [] on a m ore system atic basis, and generalise their result in a num ber of ways. O urm ethods are based on a form ula for the entropy in term $s$ of the partition function in the path integral form ulation of the quantum theory as a euclidean eld theory on an $n$-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface, in the lim it $\mathrm{n}!1$.

For a 1+1-dim ensional theory at a critical point, we derive analogous form ulas for the entropy in the cases when the subsystem A consists of an arbitrary number of disjoint intervals of the real line (see Eq. 41), and when the whole system has itself a nite length L. For exam ple, for the case when $A$ is a single interval of length ', and periodic boundary conditions are im posed on the whole system, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=(c=3) \log ((L=a) \sin (\quad=L))+c_{1}^{0}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for a nite system of total length $L$ w ith open boundaries, divided at som e interior point into an intervalA of length 'and its com plem ent, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=(c=6) \log ((L=a) \sin (\quad=L))+2 g+c_{1}^{0} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g$ is the boundary entropy of A eck and Ludw ig [g]. W e also treat the case when the system is in nitely long but is in a therm alm ixed state at nite tem perature ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=(c=3) \log ((=a) \sinh (=))+q: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all these cases, the constant $c_{1}^{0}$ is the sam e, but non-universal.
For a m assive 1+ 1-dim ensional relativistic QFT (which corresponds to an o-critical quantum spin chain where the correlation length
a) the simplest results are for an
in nite system divided at some point into two sem i-in nite pieces. In this case we verify that the entanglem ent entropy is nite, and derive the universal form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{A}} \quad(\mathrm{c}=6) \log (=\mathrm{a}): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $m$ ore general case when A consists of a collection of disjoint intervals, each of length , we expect (4) to be multiplied by a factor A which counts the num ber of boundary points betw een A and B (the 1d analogue of surface area.)

For A $=1$ the entropy is exactly calculable in the case of a free eld theory. W e verify the above form ula, and exhibit the nite-size cross-over which occurs when is of the size L of the system. For a lattioe $m$ odel in this geom etry, w ith $L$ in nite, we point out that $A$ is sim ply related to B axter's comer transfer $m$ atrix, and thus, for integrable $m$ odels whose weights satisfy a Yang-Baxter relation, all its eigenvalues can be determ ined exactly. We treat explicitly the case of the Ising $m$ odel and its anisotropic lim it, the transverse Ising spin chain, and also the XXZ m odel, com puting exactly at all values of the coupling the nite part of the entropy $S$. This agrees $w$ ith our continuum result (4) for $c=\frac{1}{2} ; 1$ when the correlation length is large.

The analysis for the free theory is straightforw ard to extend to higher dim ensions, at least in suitable geom etries. This leads to the well-known law, rst found by Srednidki[10], that the entropy should be proportional to the surface area A of the subsystem A. A s pointed out by Srednidki, the coe cient of this term, for $\mathrm{d}>1$, depends on the UV cut-o and is therefore non-universal. H ow ever, based on our calculations, we propose that there should be a non-leading piece in $S_{A}=A$, proportional to (d 1), which depends in a singular way on the couplings near a quantum phase transition, and whose form is, m oreover, universal.
$T$ he layout of this paper is as follow s . In the next section, we discuss the entropy in term s of the euclidean path integral on an $n$-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface. In Sec. III we consider the 1+1-dim ensional conform al case. W e use the powerfiulm ethods of CFT to show that the partition function on the $R$ iem ann surface of interest is given, up to a constant, by a calculable correlation function of vertex operators in a c = 1 CFT in the complex plane. Sím ilar results apply to a system with boundaries. This general result allow $s$ us to derive all the special cases described above. In Sec. IV we consider the case of a m assive 1+1dim ensional eld theory. W e derive the result $S \quad(c=6) \log (=a)$ from com pletely general properties of the stress tensor in the relevant geom etry. T his is then veri ed for a free bosonic
$m$ assive eld. In the last part of this section we relate the lattioe version of this problem to the comer transfer $m$ atrix, and com pute the o -criticalentropy for the case of the Ising and XXZ H eisenberg spin chains. In Sec. V we study the o critical case in a nite-size system, propose a scaling law, and verify it for the case of a free m assive eld theory. W e com pute the relevant scaling function in a system atic sequence of approxim ations. Sec. VI is devoted to the discussion of higher dim ensions.

W hile all this work was being carried out, som e other related papers have appeared in the literature. In Ref. [11], the result $S_{A} \quad(c=3) \log (=a)$, rst found by Holzhey et al[[7], was obtained by the follow ing argum ent: it was assum ed that the entropy should be conform ally invariant and therefore som e function $F(x)$ ofthe variable $x=(=) \sinh (\fallingdotseq)$; by com paring w ith known case ' [12, 13] it was observed that $F(x)$ should behave as ( $(=3$ ) $\log \mathrm{x}$ as x ! 1 ; nally it was assum ed (w ith no justi cation being given) that the $\log x$ form for $F$ is valid for all values of $x$; nally the lim it 'wastaken. In the present paper, we should stress, we have derived all these statem ents from rst principles of CFT .

Very recently Casini and H uerta[6] have considered the case of two intervals A and B, and argued that the quantity $F(A ; B) \quad S(A)+S(B) \quad S(A \backslash B) \quad S(A \quad[B)$ is $U V$ nite as a ! 0, and is given by a universal logarithm ic function of the cross-ratio of the four end points. This corresponds to, and agrees with, our case $N=2$. These authors, how ever, assum e the conform al invariance of the entropy, while, once again, we stress that in the present paper we derive this from fundam ental properties of the stress tensor. These authors also give a very nige altemative derivation of Zam olodchikov's c-theorem [14] based on this quantity $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B})$.

## II. VON NEUMANN ENTROPY AND RIEMANN SURFACES.

C onsider a lattice quantum theory in one space and one tim e dim ension, initially on the in nite line. The lattice spacing is a, and the lattice sites are labelled by a discrete variable $x$. The dom ain of $x$ can be nite, ie. som e interval of length $L$, sem i-in nite, or in nite. Time is considered to be continuous. A com plete set of local com m uting observables w ill be denoted by $f^{\wedge}(x) g$, and their eigenvalues and corresponding eigenstates by $f(x) g$ and $x$ if (x)gi respectively. For a bosonic lattice eld theory, these $w$ ill be the fundam ental bosonic elds of the theory; for a spin model som e particular com ponent of the local spin .

The dynam ics of the theory is described by a tim e-evolution operator $\hat{H}$. The density m atrix in a therm al state at inverse tem perature is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (f } \left.\left(x^{\infty}\right)^{\infty} g \text { ff } \quad\left(x^{0}\right)^{0} g\right)=Z()^{1} h f \quad\left(x^{\infty}\right)^{\infty} g j^{\hat{H}} \text { if } \quad\left(x^{0}\right)^{0} g i \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z()=\operatorname{Tre} \hat{H}^{\hat{H}}$ is the partition function.
This $m$ ay be expressed in the standard way as a (euclidean) path integral:

$$
=Z^{1^{Z}}[\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x} ;)]_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
(\mathrm{x} ; 0) & \left.(\mathrm{x})^{0}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{Y}} & \left.(\mathrm{x} ;) \quad(\mathbb{X})^{\infty}\right) e^{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}} ; \tag{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S_{E}={ }_{0}^{R} L_{E} d$, with $L_{E}$ the euclidean lagrangian. (For a spin $m$ odel this would be replaced by a coherent state path integral.)

The norm alisation factor of the partition function ensures that $\operatorname{Tr}=1$, and is found by setting $f(x)^{\infty} g=f(x)^{0} g$ and integrating over these variables. This has the ect of sew ing together the edges along $=0$ and $=$ to form a cylinder of circum ference

Now let A be a subsystem consisting of the points $x$ in the disjoint [29] intervals $\left(u_{1} ; v_{1}\right) ;::: ;\left(u_{N} ; v_{N}\right)$. An expression for the the reduced density $m$ atrix $A m$ ay be found from (6) by sew ing together only those points $x$ which are not in A. This will have the e ect of leaving open cuts, one for each interval $\left(u_{j} ; v_{j}\right)$, along the the line $=0$.

W em ay then com pute $\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}$, for any positive integer $n$, by $m$ aking $n$ copies of the above, labelled by an integer $k$ with $1 \quad k \quad n$, and sew ing them together cyclically along the the cuts so that $(x)_{k}^{0}=(x)_{k+1}^{\infty}$ (and $\left.(x)_{n}^{0}=(x)_{1}^{\infty}\right)$ for all x 2 A. Let us denote the path integral on this n -sheeted structure by $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}=\frac{Z_{n}(A)}{Z^{n}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}={ }^{P} \quad n$, where are the eigenvalues of $A$ (which lie in $[0 ; 1)$, ) and since $\operatorname{Tr}_{A}=1$, it follows that the left hand side is absolutely convergent and therefore analytic for all Ren > 1. The derivative $w$ rt $n$ therefore also exists and is analytic in the region. M oreover, if the entropy $A_{A}=P \quad \log$ is nite, the lim it asn! $1+$ of the rst derivative converges to this value.

W e conclude that the right hand side of (7) has a unique analytic continuation to Ren $>1$ and that its rst derivative at $\mathrm{n}=1$ gives the required entropy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=\lim _{n!1} \frac{@}{@} \frac{Z_{n}(A)}{Z^{n}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(N ote that even before taking this lim it, (7) gives an expression for the $T$ sallis entropy [15] $\left.\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{A}^{n} \quad 1\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & n\end{array}\right).\right)$

So far, everything has been in the discrete space dom ain. W e now discuss the continuum lim it, in which a! 0 keeping all other lengths xed. The points $x$ then assum e realvalues, and the path integral is over elds ( x ; ) on an n -sheeted R iem ann surface, with branch points at $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$. In this lim it, $S_{E}$ is supposed to go over into the euclidean action for a quantum eld theory. $W$ e shall restrict attention to the case when this is Lorentz invariant, since the fiull pow er of relativistic eld theory can then be brought to bear. T he behaviour of partition functions in this lim it has been well studied. In tw o dim ensions, the logarithm of a general partition function $Z$ in a dom ain with totalarea A and with boundaries oftotal length L behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log Z=f_{1} A a^{2}+f_{2} L a^{1}+::: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are the non-universalbulk and boundary free energies. $N$ ote, how ever, that these leading term s cancel in the ratio of partition functions in (7). H ow ever, as w as argued by C ardy and Peschel][8] there are also universal term s proportional to loga. These arise from points of non-zero curvature of the $m$ anifold and its boundary. In our case, these are conical singularities at the branch points. In fact, as we shall show, it is precisely these logarithm ic term swhich give rise to the non-trivial dependence of the nal result for the entropy on the short-distance cut-o a. For the m om ent let us sim ply rem ank that, in order to achieve a nite lim it as a! 0, the right hand side of 7) should be multiplied by some renom alisation constant $Z(A ; n)$. Its dependence on a will em erge from the later analysis.

## III. ENTANGLEMENTENTROPY IN 2D CONFORMALFIED THEORY.

N ow specialise the discussion of the previous section to the case when the eld theory is relativistic and massless, i.e. a conform al eld theory (CFT), w ith central charge c, and intitially consider the case of zero tem perature.

W e show that in this case the ratio of partition functions in (7) is the sam e as the correlation function arising from the insertion of prim ary scaling operators $n\left(u_{j}\right)$ and ${ }_{n}\left(v_{j}\right)$, w th scaling dim ensions $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{c}=12)\left(1 \quad 1=\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$, into each of the n (disconnected) sheets. M oreover, this 2 N -point correlation function is com putable from the W ard identities of CFT.

In the language of string theory, the ob jects we consider are correlators of orbifold points in theories whose target space consists of $n$ copies of the given CFT. W e expect that som e of our results $m$ ay therefore have appeared in the literature of the sub ject.

## A. Single interval

W e rst consider the case $\mathrm{N}=1$ and no boundaries, that is the case considered by H olzhey et al.[7] of a single interval of length 'in an in nitely long 1d quantum system, at zero tem perature. The conform alm apping $w!\quad=\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & u\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & v\end{array}\right) m$ aps the branch points to $(0 ; 1)$. This is then uniform ised by the mapping $\quad!\quad z=1=n=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(w \quad u)=(w \quad v\end{array}\right)^{1=n}$. $T$ his $m$ aps the whole of the $n$-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface $R_{n}$ to the $z-p l a n e ~ C . N$ ow consider the holom orphic com ponent of the stress tensor $T(w)$. This is related to the transform ed stress tensor $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z})$ by [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{w})=(\mathrm{dz}=\mathrm{dw})^{2} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{z})+\frac{\mathrm{c}}{12} \mathrm{fz} ; \mathrm{w} g ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where fz;wg is the Schwartzian derivative $\left(z^{\infty 0} z^{0} \quad \frac{3}{2} z^{0^{2}}\right)=z^{02}$. In particular, taking the expectation value of this, and using $\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}=0$ by translationaland rotationalinvariance, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{w}) i_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}}=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{12} \mathrm{fz} ; \mathrm{w} g=\frac{\mathrm{c}\left(1 \quad(1=\mathrm{n})^{2}\right)}{24} \frac{(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u})^{2}}{(\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{u})^{2}(\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{v})^{2}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is to be com pared $w$ th the standard form [16] of the correlator of $T$ w th two prim ary operators ${ }_{n}(u)$ and ${ }_{n}(v)$ which have the sam e complex scaling dimensions ${ }_{n}=$ - $_{n}=$ $(c=24)\left(1 \quad(1=n)^{2}\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{w})_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{(\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{u})^{\ell}\left(\mathrm { w } \quad \mathrm { v } ^ { f } \left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u}^{f} \mathrm{n}^{2}(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u})^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\right.\right.} \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{n}$ are norm alised so that $h_{n}(u){ }_{n}(v) i_{c}=j j^{N} \quad u j^{2} n^{n^{2}}{ }^{n}$. (12) is equivalent to the conform alW ard identity [16]
$h T(w)_{n}(u){ }_{n}(v) i_{c}=\frac{n}{\left(w u^{2}\right.}+\frac{n}{\left(w v^{2}\right.}+\frac{1}{w} \frac{@}{u @ u}+\frac{1}{w} \frac{@}{v @ v} h_{n}(u) \quad n(v) i_{c}:$

In writing the above, we are assum ing that w is a com plex coordinate on a single sheet C , which is now decoupled from the others. $W$ e have therefore show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h T(w) i_{R_{n}} \frac{\left.{ }^{R} d_{R}\right] T(w) e^{S_{E}\left(R_{n}\right)}}{[d] e^{S_{E}\left(R_{n}\right)}}=\frac{h T(w)_{n}(u){ }_{n}(v) i_{C}}{h_{n}(u){ }_{n}(v) i_{C}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the ect of an in nitesim al conform altransform ation $w!w^{0}=w+(w)$ of C which act identically on all the sheets of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The ect of this is to insert a factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 i}^{\mathrm{z}} \quad(w) \mathrm{T}(w) \mathrm{dw} \quad \frac{1}{2 i}^{\mathrm{z}} \overline{(w) \bar{T}(w) d w} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the path integral, where the contour $C$ encircles the points $u$ and $v$. The insertion of $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{w})$ is given by (12). Since this is to be inserted on each sheet, the right hand side gets multiplied by a factor $n$.

Since the $W$ ard identity (13) determ ines all the properties under conform al transform ations, we conclude that the renorm alised $Z_{n}(A)=Z^{n} / \operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}$ behaves (apart from a possible overall constant) under scale and conform al transform ations identically to the nth power of two-point function of a prim ary operator $n$ with $n==_{n}=(c=24)\left(1 \quad(1=n)^{2}\right)$. In particular, this $m$ eans that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}=C_{n}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{a})^{(\mathrm{c}=6)(\mathrm{n} \quad 1=\mathrm{n})} ; ~ \tag{16}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

where the exponent is just $4 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The power of a (corresponding to the renorm alisation constant Z ) has been inserted so as the $m$ ake the nal result dim ensionless, as it should be. The constants $c_{1}$ are not determ ined by this $m$ ethod. However $c_{1} m$ ust be unity. D i erentiating with respect to n and setting $\mathrm{n}=1$, we recover the result of H olzhey et al.
 function of prim ary operators $n \mathrm{~m}$ eans that it is sim ple to com pute in other geom etries, obtained by a conform alm apping $z!z^{0}=w(z)$, using the form ula [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)::: i={ }_{j}^{Y} j^{0}\left(z_{j}\right)\right\}^{n} h\left(w_{1} ; w_{1}\right)\left(w_{2} ; w_{2}\right)::: i: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple, the transform ation $w!w^{0}=(=2)$ logw $m$ aps each sheet in the $w-p l a n e$ into an in nitely long cylinder of circum ference. T he shets are now sewn together along a branch cut joining the im ages of the points $u$ and $v$. By arranging this to lie parallel to the axis of the cylinder, we get an expression for $\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}$ in a therm alm ixed state at nite tem perature ${ }^{1}$. This leads to the result for the entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}() \quad(\mathrm{c}=3) \log ((=a) \sinh (=))+\xi: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ' we nd $S_{A} \quad(c=3) \log (=a)$ as before, while, in the opposite lim it , , $S_{A} \quad(c=3)(=)$. In this $\lim$ it, the von $N$ eum ann entropy is extensive, and its density
agrees w ith that of the $G$ ibbs entropy of an isolated system of length ', as obtained from the standard CFT expression [12,13] $F \quad(c=6)(=)$ for its free energy.

A ltematively, we may orient the branch cut perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder, which, w th the replacem ent ! $L$, corresponds to the entropy of a subsystem of length ' in a nite 1d system of length $L$, w ith periodic boundary conditions, in its ground state. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{A}} \quad(c=3) \log ((L=a) \sin (\quad=L))+q: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this expression is sym metric under `! L `. It is maxim alwhen `= $\mathrm{L}=2$.

## B. Fin ite system with a boundary.

N ext consider the case when the 1 d system is a sem i-in nite line, say $[0 ; 1$ ), and the subsystem $A$ is the nite interval [ 0 ; ${ }^{\top}$. The $n$-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface then consists of n copies of the half-plane $\mathrm{x} \quad 0$, sewn together along $0 \mathrm{x}<\mathrm{i}$; $=0$. O nce again, we work initially at zero tem perature. It is convenient to use the com plex variable $\mathrm{w}=+\mathrm{ix}$. The uniform ising transform ation is now $\left.z=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(w \quad i\end{array}\right)=(w+i)\right)^{l=n}$, which $m$ aps the whole $R$ iem ann surface to the unit disc $\dot{\mathrm{z} j}$ 1. In this geom etry, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i}=0$ by rotational invariance, so that, using (10), we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
h T(w) i_{R_{n}}=\frac{n(2)^{2}}{\left(w \quad i `(w+i)^{2}\right.} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=(c=24)\left(1 n^{2}\right)$ as before. N ote that in the half-plane, $T$ and $T$ are related by analytic continuation: $\bar{T}(w)=T(w)$. (20) has the sam eform ashT (w) $n(i `) i, w h i c h$ follow $s$ from the $W$ ard identities of boundary CFT [17], w th the norm alisation $h_{n}\left(i^{\prime}\right) i=(2)^{n}{ }^{n}$.

The analysis then proceeds in analogy w ith the previous case. $W$ e nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad G(2=a)^{(\mathrm{c}=12)(\mathrm{n} \quad 1=\mathrm{n})} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $S_{A} \quad(c=6) \log (2=a)+\&$.
O nce again, this result can be conform ally transform ed into a num ber of other cases. At nite temperature ${ }^{1}$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}() \quad(\mathrm{c}=6) \log ((=a) \sinh (2 \quad=))+\notin: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the lim it when ' we nd the sam e extensive entropy as before. H ow ever, we can now identify $e_{1}^{0} \&$ as the boundary entropy $g$, rst discussed by A eck and Ludw ig [ 9 ].

For a com pletely nite 1d system, of length $L$, at zero tem perature, divided into two pieces of lengths 'and L ', we sim ilarly nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{A}}=(c=6) \log ((L=a) \sin (\quad=L))+2 g+c_{1}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

C. G eneral case.

For general $N$, the algebra is $m$ ore com plicated, but the $m$ ethod is the same. The uniform ising transform ation now has the form $z=Q_{i}\left(w \quad w_{i}\right)^{i}, w$ th ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} i_{i}=0$ (so there is no singularity at in nity.) Here $w_{i}$ can be $u_{j}, v_{j}$, or $i u_{j}$ in the case of a boundary. In our case, we have $i=1=n$, but it is interesting to consider the $m$ ore general transform ation, and the notation is sim pler. O nœe again we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h T(w) i=\frac{c}{12} f z ; w g=\frac{c}{12} \frac{z^{\infty} z^{0} \frac{3}{2} z^{\omega^{2}}}{z^{02}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider $\mathrm{fz} ; \mathrm{w} \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{z}$. A s a function of w , this is m erom onphic, has a double pole at each $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)$ as w ! 1 . Hence it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f z ; w g=z={ }^{x} \frac{A_{i}}{i}\left(w w_{i}\right)^{2}+{ }^{x} \frac{B_{i}}{i} \text { w } W_{i} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} B_{i}=0$. In order to determ ine $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$, we need to com pute $z^{0}$, etc, to second order in their singularities at $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$. A fter som e algebra, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{0}=4 \frac{i}{w} W_{i}+{ }_{j \in i}{\frac{j}{w} W_{j}}^{5} z ;  \tag{26}\\
& 2{ }^{26 i} 3 \\
& z^{\infty}=4 \frac{i+i_{i}^{2}}{\left(W \quad W_{i}\right)^{2}}+2{\frac{i}{W} W_{i}}^{x} \frac{j}{{ }_{j 6 i}}+\frac{W_{j}}{}+5 z ;  \tag{27}\\
& z^{\infty}=4 \frac{2 i 3_{i}^{2}+i_{i}^{3}}{\left(w w_{i}\right)^{3}}+\frac{3 i_{i}+3_{i}^{2} x}{\left(w w_{i}\right)^{2}} \frac{j}{j 6 i} \frac{w_{i}}{w_{j}}+5 z: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i} \sum_{j f_{i}}^{x} \frac{j}{w_{i} \quad W_{j}}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the coe cient of $\left(\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i & \left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & 1
\end{array}\right)+2{ }_{i} C_{i}\left(w \quad W_{i}\right)+\quad 2^{0}=\rrbracket_{i}+C_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(W & W_{i}
\end{array}\right)+\quad{ }^{2} ; \quad\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we nd after a little m ore algebra that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & { }_{i}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \text {; }  \tag{30}\\
& B_{i}=C_{i} \frac{1 \quad \begin{array}{l}
i \\
i
\end{array}}{\text { i }} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have shown that
$T$ his is to be com pared w th the conform alW ard identity

For these to be equivalent, we m ust have $\quad i=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & { }_{i}^{2}\end{array}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\left.\frac{(1}{1} \quad \underset{i}{2}\right)}_{j}^{j \neq i} \frac{j}{w_{i}} W_{j}=\frac{@}{@ w_{i}} \operatorname{logh}_{k}^{Y}{ }_{k}\left(w_{k}\right) i: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A necessary and su cient condition for this is that
for each pair $(i ; k)$. This reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1 \quad \sum_{i}^{2}}{i} \frac{k}{\left(W_{k} W_{i}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1 \sum_{k}^{2}}{k} \frac{i}{\left(W_{i} W_{k}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $i=k$ for each pair $(i ; k)$. Since $_{i}^{P} i_{i}=0$ the only way to satisfy this is to have ${ }_{i}=\quad{ }_{i}$, with $_{i}=1$, and half the $i_{i}=+1$ and the rem ainder 1 . Interestingly enough, this is precisely the case we need, w ith $=1=\mathrm{n}$.

If these conditions are satis ed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@_{w_{i}}} \operatorname{logh}_{j}^{Y} \quad j\left(w_{j}\right) i=\frac{C}{12}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{X}{ }_{k \notin i} \frac{i k}{W_{i}} W_{k} \text {; } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{logh}_{j}^{Y} \quad j\left(w_{j}\right) i=\frac{c}{12}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \text { i } \log \left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad w_{k}\right)+E ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $E$ is independent of all the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$. In the case w th no boundary, E can depend however on the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$. A sim ilar calculation $w$ ith $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$ then gives a sim ilar dependence. W e conclude that $\operatorname{Tr}{ }_{A}^{n}$ behaves under conform al transform ations in the sam e way as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h_{j}^{Y} \quad j^{i /}{ }_{j<k}^{Y}\left(w_{i} \quad w_{k}\right)^{\frac{c}{12}(1}{ }^{2}\right)_{i k}\left(w_{i} \quad w_{k}\right)^{\left.\frac{c}{12}(1)^{2}\right) i_{k}}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking now $=1=n$, and $=1$ according as $w_{i}=u_{j}$ or $v_{j}$, we nd

The overall constant is xed in term sof the previously de ned $c_{n}$ by taking the intervals to be far apart from each other, in com parison to their lengths.

D i erentiating w ith respect to n and setting $\mathrm{n}=1$, we nd ourm ain result of this section

$$
S_{A}=\frac{C}{3}{ }_{j k}^{0}{ }_{j}^{x} \log \left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(v_{k}\right. & u_{j} \tag{41}
\end{array}\right)=a\right) \quad{ }_{j<k}^{x} \log \left(\left(u_{k} \quad u_{j}\right)=a\right) \quad{ }_{j<k}^{x} \log \left(\left(v_{k} \quad v_{j}\right)=a\right)^{A}+N C_{1}^{0}:
$$

A sim ilar expression holds in the case of a boundary, w ith half of the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ corresponding to the im age points.

F inally we com $m$ ent on the recent result of $C$ asini and $H$ uerta [ 6$]$, which corresponds to $\mathrm{N}=2$. In fact, it m ay be generalised to the ratio of T sallis entropies: from (40) we nd

$$
\frac{S_{n}(A) S_{n}(B)}{S_{n}(A \backslash B) S_{n}(A[B)}={\left.\frac{\left(v_{1}\right.}{} \quad u_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}\right.}_{\left(u_{2}\right)}^{\left(u_{2}\right.} \begin{array}{lll}
\left.u_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}\right. & \left.v_{1}\right) \tag{42}
\end{array}{ }^{(c=6)(n \quad 1=n)} \text {; }
$$

where $S_{n}(A) \quad \operatorname{Tr}_{A}^{n}$, and the expression in parentheses is the cross-ratio of the fourpoints. N otioe that in this expression the dependence on the ultraviolet cut-o a disappeared, so have the non-universal num bers $C_{n}$. D i erentiating $w$ ith respect to $n$ gives the result of C asiniand H uerta [6], who how ever assum ed that the result should depend only on .
IV. ENTROPY IN NON-CRITICAL1+1-D IMENSIONALMODELS
A. M assive eld theory - general case

In this section we consider an in nite non-criticalm odelin 1+1-dim ensions, in the scaling lim it where the lattioe spacing a! 0 w ith the correlation length (inverse $m$ ass) xed. This corresponds to a m assive relativistic QFT.We rst consider the case when the subset A is the negative real axis, so that the appropriate $R$ iem ann surface has branch points of order n at 0 and in nity. H owever, for the non-critical case, the branch point at in nity is unim portant: we should arrive at the sam e expression by considering a nite system whose length $L$ is much greater than.

O ur argum ent parallels that of Zam olodchikov [14] for the proof of his fam ous c-theorem. Let us consider the expectation value of the stress tensor $T$ of a massive euclidean QFT
on such a R iem ann surface. In com plex coordinates, there are three non-zero com ponents: $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{T}_{z z}, \overline{\mathrm{~T}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{z z}$, and the trace $=4 \mathrm{~T}_{z z}=4 \mathrm{~T}_{z z}$. These are related by the conservation equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{z} \mathrm{~T}+\frac{1}{4} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}}=0 ;  \tag{43}\\
& @_{z} \overline{\mathrm{~T}}+\frac{1}{4} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}}=0: \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

C onsider the expectation values of these com ponents. In the single-sheeted geom etry, $\mathrm{hT} i$ and hT i both vanish, but $h i$ is constant and non-vanishing: it $m$ easures the explicit breaking of scale invariance in the non-critical system. In the $n$-sheeted geom etry, how ever, they all acquire a non-trivial spatial dependence. By rotational invariance about the origin, they have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i} & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{zz})=\mathrm{z}^{2} ;  \tag{45}\\
\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i} \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{i}_{1} & =\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{zz})=(\mathrm{zz}) ;  \tag{46}\\
\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i} & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{zz})=\mathrm{z}^{2}: \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

From the conservation conditions (43) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (zz) } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we expect that $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ both approach zero exponentially fast for $\dot{j} j$, while in the opposite lim it, on distance scales , they approach the CFT values (see previous section) $F_{n}$ ! ( $\left.C=24\right)\left(1 \quad n^{2}\right), G_{n}!0$.

This m eans that if we de ne an e ective C-fiunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \quad F\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} G\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2} \frac{@}{@\left(R^{2}\right)} C_{n}\left(R^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} G_{n}\left(R^{2}\right): \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we were able to argue that $G_{n} \quad 0$, that is $h i_{n} \quad h i_{1}$, we would have found altemative form ulation of the ctheorem. H ow ever, we can still derive an integrated form of the ctheorem, using the boundary conditions:[30]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{G_{n}\left(R^{2}\right)}{R^{2}} d\left(R^{2}\right)=(c=6)\left(1 \quad n^{2}\right) ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
{ }^{Z}\left(\mathrm{~h} i_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~h} i_{1}\right) d^{2} R=\quad n(c=6)\left(1 \quad n^{2}\right) ;
$$

where the integral is over the whole of the the $n$-sheeted surface. $N$ ow this integral ( $m$ ultiplied by a factor $1=2$ corresponding to the conventional nom alisation of the stress tensor) $m$ easures the response of the free energy $\quad \log Z$ to a scale transform ation, i.e. to a change in the $m$ ass $m$, since this is the only dim ensionful param eter of the renorm alised theory. Thus the left hand side is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2) \mathrm{m}(@=@ m)\left[\log \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{Z}\right] \text {; } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving nally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{ma})^{(\mathrm{c}=12)(\mathrm{n} \quad 1=\mathrm{n})} ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a constant ( $w$ ith how ever $c_{1}=1$ ), and we have inserted a power of a, corresponding to the renorm alisation constant $Z$ discussed earlier, to $m$ ake the result dim ensionless.
$T$ his show s that the ( $n \quad 1=n$ ) dependence for the exponent of the $T$ sallis entropy is a general property of the continuum theory. D i erentiating at $\mathrm{n}=1$, we nd the m ain result of this section

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{A}} \quad(\mathrm{c}=6) \log (\mathrm{m} a)=(\mathrm{c}=6) \log (=\mathrm{a}) ; \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the correlation length. W e re-em phasise that this result was obtained only for the scaling lim it a. H ow ever, for lattioe integrable models, we shall show how it is possible to obtain the full dependence without this restriction.

So farwe have considered the sim plest geom etry in the which set A and its com plem ent B are sem i-in nite intervals. The m ore general case, when A is a union of disjoint intervals, is $m$ ore di cult in the $m$ assive case. H ow ever it is still true that the entropy can be expressed in term sof the derivative at $n=1$ of correlators of operators $n$. The above calculation can be thought of in term $s$ of the one-point function $h{ }_{n} i$. In any quantum eld theory a m ore generalcorrelator $h^{2}{ }_{i=1}^{n} \quad n\left(w_{i}\right) i$ should obey chuster decom position: that is, for separations j $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}$ all , it should approach $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{k}}$. This suggests that, in this lim it, the entropy should behave as $S_{A} \quad A(c=6) \log (=a)$, where $A=k$ is the num ber of boundary points between A and its com plem ent. This would be the 1d version of the area law [10]. W hen the interval lengths are of the order of , we expect to see a com plicated but universal scaling form for the cross-over.

## B . Free bosonic eld theory

In this subsection we verify Eq. (55) by an explicit calculation for a m assive free eld theory (G aussian m odel.) The action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{Z}{2}(@ \prime)^{2}+m^{2 \prime 2} d^{2} r ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

is, as before, considered on a $n$-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface with one cut, which we arbitrarily $x$ on the real negative axis.

To obtain the entanglem ent entropy we should know the ratio $Z_{n}=Z^{n}$, where $Z_{n}$ is the partition function in the $n$-sheeted geom etry. There are several equivalent ways to calculate such partition function. In the follow ing, we nd easier to use the identity [31]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ m^{2}} \log Z_{n}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} G_{n}(r ; r) d^{2} r ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{n}\left(r ; r^{0}\right)$ is the two-point correlation function in the $n$-sheeted geom etry. Thus we need the com bination $G_{n} \quad n G_{1} . G_{n}$ obeys

$$
\left(r_{r}^{2}+m^{2}\right) G_{n}\left(r ; r^{0}\right)={ }^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & r^{0} \tag{58}
\end{array}\right):
$$

Its solution (see the A ppendix) $m$ ay be expressed in polar coordinates as (here $0<r$; $r^{0}<1$ and $0 \quad ;^{0}<2 n$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}\left(r ; ~ ; r^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n_{k=0}^{x}} d_{k}^{Z_{1}} \quad d \frac{J_{k=n}(r) J_{k=n}\left(r^{0}\right)}{2+m^{2}} C_{k}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}\left(;^{0}\right)=\cos (k=n) \cos \left(k^{0}=n\right)+\sin (k=n) \sin \left(k^{0}=n\right), d_{0}=1, d_{k>0}=2$, and $J_{k}(x)$ are the Bessel functions of the rst kind. At coincident points (i.e. $r=r^{0},={ }^{0}$ ), and after integrating over and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}(r) \quad G_{n}(r ; r)={ }_{k=0}^{x^{1}} d_{k} I_{k=n}(m r) K_{k=n}(m r) ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{k}(x)$ and $K_{k}(x)$ are the $m$ odi ed Bessel functions of the rst and second kind respectively [18].

The sum over $k$ in (60) is UV divergent. This re ects the usual short-distance divergence which would occur even in the plane. H ow ever, ifwe form ally exchange the order of the sum and integration we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ m^{2}} \log Z_{n}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2} r G_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{2}_{k}^{x} d_{k}^{Z_{1}} I_{k=n}(m r) K_{k=n}(m r) r d r={\frac{1}{4 n m^{2}}}_{k}^{x} d_{k} k: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interpreting the last sum as $2(1)=1=6$, we obtain the correct result, which we now derive m ore system atically.

Let us rst regularise each sum over $k$ by inserting a function $F\left(k={ }_{n}\right): F$ is chosen so that $F(0)=1$, and allits derivatives at the origin vanish: how ever it goes to zero su ciently fast at in nity. Since $k=n$ is conjugate to the angle , we should think of this cut-o as being equivalent to a discretisation . Thuswe should choose $n=n$, where ( f.

To perform the sum over $k$, we use the EulerłM acLaurin (EM L) sum form ula [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}{ }_{k=0}^{X^{2}} d_{k} f(k)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f(k) d k \quad \frac{1}{12} f^{0}(0) \quad x_{j=2}^{x^{2}} \frac{B_{2 j}}{(2 j)!} f^{(2 j 1)}(0) ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $B_{2 n}$ are the Bemoulli num bers [18]. U sing standard identities of the B essel function (nam ely $@_{k} K_{k}(x) \dot{j}_{k}=0=0$ and $@_{k} I_{k}(x){ }_{k}=0=K_{0}(k)$ 18]), we obtain
$G_{n}(r)=2_{0}^{Z} d k I_{k=n}(m r) K_{k=n}(m r) F(k=n)+\frac{1}{6 n} K_{0}^{2}(m r)+\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} r d r_{j_{1}}^{x} \frac{B_{2 j}}{(2 j) n^{2 j+1}} D_{2 j+1}(r) ;$
where we de ne $D_{i}(x)=@^{i}\left(I_{k}(x) K_{k}(x)\right)=@ k^{i} \dot{j}_{k=0}$. In the last term in Eq. (63) the order of the integral, derivative, and sum can be exchanged and each term in the sum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho^{i}}{\varrho k^{i}}{ }_{0}^{1} x d x I_{k}(x) K_{k}(x)=\frac{@^{i}}{@ k^{i}} \frac{k}{2}=0 \quad \text { for } i=2 j+1 \quad 2 ; \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the sum in Eq. (63) is vanishing.

Thus, still w ith the regulator in place,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ m^{2}} \log Z_{n}=\sum_{0}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{0 d r}^{Z_{1}} d k I_{k=n}(m r) K_{k=n}(m r) F(k=n) \quad \frac{1}{24 n^{2}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used ${ }_{0}^{R_{1}} r K_{0}^{2}(m r) d r=1=\left(2 m^{2}\right)$.
N ow the point is that in the integral over $k$ the factor of $n$ can be scaled out by letting $k!n k$. Thus this potentially divergent term cancels in the required com bination $G_{n} \quad n G_{1}$. H aving taken this com bination, we $m$ ay now rem ove the regulator to nd the $m$ ain result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@^{2}} \frac{\log Z_{n}}{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}=\frac{1}{24 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration of the last expression $w r \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $m$ ade follow ing the recipe for the integration lim its given in the previous section) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{n}}=\log \frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}=\frac{\log \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{24} \mathrm{n} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nally the entanglem ent entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\operatorname{Tr} \log =\frac{@}{@ n} \operatorname{Tr}_{n=1}^{n}=\frac{@}{@ n}\left(m^{2} a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{24}\left(n \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n=1}}=\frac{1}{12} \log m^{2} a^{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

that agrees w ith the general form ula we derive (55), w ith $\mathrm{c}=1$ and $\mathrm{m}={ }^{1}$.

## C. Integrable models and the corner transfer $m$ atrix

In this subsection we verify (55) for the transverse Ising chain and the uniaxial XXZ H eisenberg m odel. T hese results are also an independent check of the uniform convergence of the derivative $w$ rt $n$ of ${ }^{n}$ when $n!1$, since for these models we can com pute the eigenvalues of $A$ exactly.

A lthough these system $s$ are integrable and their ground state is know $n$, a direct calculation of is di cult. T he di culties arising in a direct calculation can be avoided mapping the quantum chains onto two-dim ensional classical spin system $s$. As rstly pointed out by $N$ ishino et al. [19] the density $m$ atrix of the quantum chain is the partition function of a tw o-dim ensional strip w ith a cut perpendicular to $i t$. In fact the ground state of a quantum chain described by an H am iltonian H is also eigenstate of the transferm atrix T ofa classical system $s$ satisfying $\mathbb{H} ; T]=0$. Therefore the reduced density $m$ atrix of a subsystem $A$ of the chain (de ned in the Introduction as $A=T r_{B} j$ ih $j$ with $B$ the complement of $A$ ) is the partition function of tw o half-in nite strips, one extending from 1 to 0 and the other from +1 to $0, w$ ith the spins in $B$ identi ed.
$T$ his partition function is the product of four B axter comer transferm atriges (C TM s) [20] $\hat{A}$. If the lattioe is choose in a clever way (i.e. rotated by $=4 \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the cut) one ends in the in nite length lim it w ith [21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}=\hat{A}^{4}=e^{\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\text {Стм }}} ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}_{\text {Стм }}$ is an e ective H am iltonian, which, for the $m$ odels under consideration, $m$ ay be diagonalised by $m$ eans of ferm ionisation (see form ore details about this equivalence [21] and references therein.) $N$ ote that $\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \in 1$, thus the usual density matrix is ${ }_{A}=\hat{A}_{A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \wedge_{A}$.

The method just outlined is very general. H ow ever, for the integrable chains under consideration (and indeed for any $m$ odel satisfying sultable $Y$ ang-B axter equations[20]) it is possible to $w$ rite $H_{\text {стм }}=\hat{O}$, w ith the scale giving the distance betw een the energy levels,
and $\hat{O}$ is an operator w ith integer eigenvalues (for the Ising and XXZ models it is expressed in term $s$ of free ferm ions.) $U$ sing this property the entropy is given by [32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \log { }_{A}=\frac{\hat{K}_{A}^{A} \log \wedge_{A}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{A}}+\log \operatorname{Tr}_{A} \wedge_{A}=\frac{@ \log Z}{@}+\log Z ; \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we de ned $Z=T r_{A}=T r e \hat{A}^{\hat{A_{C T M}}}$.
$T$ he Ising $m$ odelin a transverse eld can be described by the one-dim ensionalH am iltonian
where $n$ are the Paulim atrices at the site $n$, and we norm alise the H am iltonian (follow ing 21]) by im posing the transverse eld in the x direction to be one, thus the transition is driven by the param eter . The classical equivalent of (71) is tw o dim ensional Ising $m$ odel. For $=0$ the ground state of the H am iltonian (71) is a quantum \param agnet" with all the spins aligned w th the $m$ agnetic eld in the $x$ direction, and $h{ }_{n}^{z} i=0$. In the opposite lim it $=1$ the $m$ agnetic eld is negligible and the ground state is ferrom agnetic w ith $h{ }_{n}^{2} i=1 . T$ he (second-order) transition between this two regim es happens at $=1$. The exponent characterizing the divergence of the correlation length is $=1$,ie. $\quad$, $j 1 j$.

The CTM of the Ising $m$ odelm ay be diagonalised in term $s$ of ferm ionic operators. The C TM H am iltonian, written in term sof the ferm ion occupation number $\hat{r}_{i}$ (w ith eigenvalues 0 and 1) is [21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\text {CTM }}={ }_{j=0}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }_{j \hat{\mathrm{r}}_{j}}: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy levels are

$$
j=\begin{array}{cc}
(2 j+1) & \text { for }<1 ;  \tag{73}\\
2 j & \text { for }>1 ;
\end{array} \quad w \text { ith }=\frac{k\left(\bar{p} \overline{k^{2}}\right)}{k(k)}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{k})$ is the com plete elliptic integral of the rst kind [18], and $k=m$ in $\left[;^{1}\right]$.
For < 1
and the entropy from Eq. (70) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{j=0}^{X^{2}} \frac{2 j+1}{1+e^{(2 j+1)}}+\sum_{j=0}^{X^{1}} \log \left(1+e^{(2 j+1)}\right): \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nalogously in the quantum ferrom agnetic phase ( > 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{j=0}^{X^{2}} \frac{2 j}{1+e^{2 j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{X^{2}} \log \left(1+e^{2 j}\right): \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.1: Entanglem ent entropy for the 1D Ising chain as function of . The dashed line is the lim it for ! 1 , i.e. $\log 2$.

Fig. 1 shows a plot of the entropy as function of, characterised by a divergence at the quantum criticalpoint $=1 . N$ ote that $S(0)=0$ and $S(1)=\log 2$, in agreem ent $w$ ith the expectation that the pure ferrom agnetic ground state ( $=1$ ) has two possible accessible con gurationsw ith opposite sign ofm agnetisation (i.e. $S(1)=\log 2$ ) and the pure quantum param agnetic ground state $(=0)$ has only one con guration available w ith all the spins aligned in the direction of the $m$ agnetic eld $x$ and the resulting entropy is zero.

Let us analyze in details the behaviour at the critical point. For ! $1,!0$ in both the phases, thus the sum s Eqs. (75) and (76) can be approxim ated by the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime} \int_{1}^{Z} d x \frac{2 x}{1+e^{2 x}}+\log \left(1+e^{2 x}\right)=\frac{2}{12}_{12}^{1} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith ' we mean in the critical region. The dependence (we use $\mathrm{K}(0)==2$, $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{x})=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.1=2 \log (1 \quad \mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{x})
\end{array} \mathrm{[18}\right]\right) \\
& \\
& \\
& \quad \mathrm{S}, \frac{2}{12} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{12} \log (1 \quad \mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{12} \log +\mathrm{C}_{1} ; \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last equality / j1 $\mathrm{kj}^{1}$ has been used. This agrees with 55, w ith $\mathrm{c}=1=2$ for the Ising $m$ odel. $T$ he constant $C_{1}$ is not universal.

A nother m odel whose density m atrix has been derived by using the CTM is the XXZ m odel

$$
\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{xxz}}={\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{x}}}_{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}  \tag{79}\\
\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{n}+1
\end{array}+\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{y}} \underset{\mathrm{n}+1}{\mathrm{y}}+\right.}^{\mathrm{n}}+\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{z} \\
\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{n}+1
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

for $>1$ (whose classical equivalent is the B axter six-vertex $m$ odel [20].) T his $m$ odel has an Ising-like ferrom agnetic state for $>1$ and a planar XX ferrom agnetic ground state at $0 \ll 1$ (the case $<0$ describes the antiferrom agnetic regim $e$, in which we are not interested.) At $=1$ the H am iltonian is isotropic ( XXX ) and approaching such a point from large values the correlation length diverges as (see e.g. [20])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{a^{\prime}}{}, \frac{p-p}{2^{p}} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

because at $=1$ a $m$ assless excitation (G oldstone $m$ ode) is present in the spectrum .
The CTM Ham iltonian $\hat{H}_{\text {CTM }}$ for this $m$ odel has been obtained in Ref. 21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\text {CTM }}={ }_{j=0}^{x^{\mathcal{L}}} 2 j n_{j} ; \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $=$ arccosh , for $>1$. The entropy is given by Eq. (76). C lose to the isotropic point ( = 1) it holds , $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{p}-1$ and (see Eq. 77) )

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime} \frac{{ }^{2}}{12} \frac{1}{-}, \frac{2^{2}}{12^{2}} \overline{2}-\frac{1}{1}: \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. (80) to write in term of the correlation length, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime} \frac{1}{6} \log -; \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent with 55, with $c=1$. A gain, in the lim it ! $1, S=\log 2$, since the ferrom agnetic ground state is Ising-like.

Them ethod used here for the transverse Ising $m$ odeland the X X Z m odelcan in principle be applied to all those integrable $m$ odel whose w eights satisfy $Y$ ang-B axter equations.
V. FIN ITE-SIZE EfFECTS

So far, we have studied the entropy either at the critical point, for a nite subsystem, or away from criticality in an in nite system. These two regim es may be linked by a generalisation of nite-size scaling theory. This would assert, for exam ple, that the entropy of a subsystem A which form se.g. the left half of a nite non-critical system of length 2L should have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}(L ;)=\frac{C}{6}\left(\log L+S_{F S S}(L=)\right) ; \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{FSS}}(0)=0$ (this because we are referring to the scaling part, the constant term found before is not universal and it de nes an overall additive norm alisation of S ) and $S_{\text {FSS }}(x) \quad \log x$, for large $x$, so as to recover $S=(c=6) \log \quad$ in the in nite length lim it. $S_{\text {FSS }}(\mathrm{x})$ should adm it a sm all x expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{FSS}}(\mathrm{x})={ }_{{ }_{j 1} \mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{X}^{2 j} ; ~} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $S_{j}$ universalcoe cients, and a large $x$ expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{FSS}}(\mathrm{x})=\quad \log \mathrm{x}+{ }_{\mathrm{j} 0}^{\mathrm{x}} \frac{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}^{1}}{\mathrm{x}^{j}} ; \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $s_{j}^{1}$ also universal (in special situations logarithm ic corrections could also be generated.) In the next section we test this hypothesis for the free $m$ assive eld theory.
A. FSS in the G aussian m odel

O nœe again we consider the n -sheeted surface, but it now consists of n discs of nite radius $L$, sew $n$ together along the negative real axis from $L$ to 0 . The G aussian two-point function in a nite geom etry has been calculated in the A ppendix Eq. A2). Setting $={ }^{0}$, $r=r^{0}$ and integrating over ${ }^{0}$ the propagator at coincident point is
where ;i denotes the i th zero of $J(x) . G(r)$ is the analogous of (60) in a nite geom etry.
A s we showed in the previous section, the right order to proceed to get a sensitive $Z_{n}$ is rst to perform the sum over $i$, then sum over $k$ and nally integrate over $r$. This is really hard, requiring a sum of $B$ essel functions for generic argum ent, over the zeroes of di erent Bessel functions. W hat one can do is inverting the order of the sum $s$ and integrations and try to understand what happens. From the previous exercise we know that this operation has to be done w ith care.

H ow ever, the form al result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log Z_{n}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{k}^{X} d_{k}{ }_{i}^{X} \log \frac{{\underset{k=n ; i}{ }=L^{2}+m^{2}}_{2}^{k=n ; i}=L^{2}+a^{2}}{2} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the large ibehaviour of the zeroes of the Bessel functions is ;ii $\quad(i+\quad=2 \quad 1=4)$ (see e.g. [18]), this sum diverges.

A nst, qualitatively correct expression for the universal function $S_{F S S}(x)$ can be obtained from Eq. (88), truncating the EM L formula Eq. (62) in the variable $k$ at the rst order in the derivative. This approxim ation gives a correct form of the result because it takes into account the com plete in nite volum e result (whose truncated expression is exact.) T he integral term in the EM L is divergent, but it cancels as before in the ratio $Z_{n}=Z^{n}$ if the cut-o in the angular modes is properly chosen as in the in nite volume case. The EM L approxim ation at the rst order is (we do not w rite the integral)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log Z_{n}=\frac{L^{2}\left(a^{2} m^{2}\right)}{12 n} \sum_{i} \frac{0 ; i}{\left(2_{0 ; i}^{2}+m^{2} L^{2}\right)\left({ }_{0 ; i}^{2}+L^{2}=a^{2}\right)} \frac{Y_{0}(0 ; i)}{J_{1}(0 ; i)} \quad \frac{F_{1}(m L ; L=a)}{n} ; \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ k ; i}{}_{@ k}^{k=0}=\frac{Y_{0}(0 ; i)}{2} \frac{J_{1}(0 ; i)}{J_{1}} ; \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be derived using the form ulas [18] of the derivative of the B essel functions w ith respect to the order. T he rem aining sum over $i$ (the zeroes of the Bessel function) is now nite.

This gives the entropy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{@}{@ n} \operatorname{Tr}_{n=1}^{n}=2 F_{1}(m L ; L=a) ; \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reproduces the correct $\lim$ its for $m=0$ and $L=1$.
From this form ula we m ay com pare w th the FSS ansatz (84), w ith $c=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{FSS}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{mL})=12\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{~mL} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a}) \quad \mathrm{F}_{1}(0 ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a})\right)=\quad \mathrm{X} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Y}_{0}(0 ; i)}{\mathrm{J}_{1}(0 ; i)} \frac{\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{0 ; i\left({ }_{0 ; i}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)} ; \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

that, as expected, is a function only of the product $\mathrm{m} L$ and all the dependence upon a disappears after the subtraction. This agrees $w$ ith $S_{F S S}(0)=0$ and $S_{F S S}(0)=\quad \log x$, for large $x$ (五 can be easily show $n$, since in this lim it the sum can be replaced by an integral.) $T$ he over-script ( 1 ) is there to rem ind that we m ade a rst-order approxim ation in EML.

Eq. (92) characterises com pletely the crossover betw een the mass dom inated (noncritical) and the geom etry dom inated (critical) regim e.

A plot of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{FSS}}^{(1)}$ is shown in Fig . 2 (calculated as the sum of the rst 1000 zeroes of $J_{0}$.) From this gure we see that an optim um approxim ation for $x \quad 2$ of ${\underset{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{SS}}}_{(1)}^{(x)}$ is $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{FSS}}^{\mathrm{asy}}(\mathrm{x})=$
$\log x+\frac{1}{0}$, w ith $s_{0}^{1}=0: 120912$ (this value of $s_{0}^{1}$ is a t.) W e can also calculate analytically all the universal coe cients of the $s m$ allm $L$ expansion [22]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}^{(1)}=\quad X_{i=1}^{A} \frac{Y_{0}(0 ; i)}{J_{1}(0 ; i)} \frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{4} ; \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.2: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{FSS}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x})$ : E xact expression obtained as num erical sum s over the rst 1000 zeroes of the Bessel fiunctions com pared with sm all (quadratic, quartic and sextic) and large $\mathrm{x}\left(\log \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{s}_{0}^{1}\right.$ ) approxim ations. Even the use of quadratic and large $x$ approxim ation $m$ ay reproduce the right form ula over all the range. Inset: C om parison of several sm all $x$ approxim ants for $x<2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2}^{(1)}=X_{i=1}^{X} \frac{Y_{0}(0 ; i)}{J_{1}(0 ; i)} \frac{1}{Y_{0 ; i}^{5}}=\frac{5}{128} \text {; }  \tag{94}\\
& S_{3}^{(1)}=\quad x_{i=1}^{X} \frac{Y_{0}(0 ; i)}{J_{1}(0 ; i)} \frac{1}{0_{0 ; i}^{7}}=\frac{23}{3456} \text {; }  \tag{95}\\
& s_{4}^{(1)}, \quad 1: 15 \quad 10^{3} ; s_{5}^{(1)}, \quad 1: 98 \quad 10^{4} ; s_{6}^{(1)}, 3: 42 \quad 10^{5}: \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

Fig. 2 (see also the inset) show s a plot of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{FSS}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x})$, com pared w ith quadratic, quartic, and sextic approxim ation. The agreem ent is excellent in the region x 2.

Before starting the full calculation of the function $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{FS}}(\mathrm{x})$ let us sum $m$ arise what we can leam from the rst order approxim ation in the EM L expansion: A rather good approxim ation of the full function $m$ ay be obtained by $m$ atching only the rst-order sm all argum ent expansion w th the large x behaviour $\log \mathrm{x}$ (which is exact and does not depend upon the approxim ation.) Thus in the follow ing we show how to calculate the coe cients $S_{j}$ w ithout
any approxim ation.
O ur starting point is again Eq. (88), but this tim e we will not use EM L sum formula. For this reason we have to be care since the sum is divergent.

The interesting ob ject is $\log Z_{n} \quad n \log Z$. In particular we can write an FSS ansatz also for this \free-energy" and so subtract the $m=0$ part, obtaining the universal function $f(x=m L)$
form which $S_{F S S}(x)=\frac{@ f(x)}{@ n}{ }_{n=1}$. This form ula has to be intended in a form alway: in fact it is the di erence of two diverging sum $s$. To $m$ ake this di erence sensible the recipe for the cut-o explained in the previous section has to be used. A nyway from the com putational point of view it is sim pler to $m$ ake the calculation $w$ thout care of the cut-o and to adjust only at the end the result. The num erical sum over the zeroes of the Bessel functions of generic order cannot be done as before, but the sm all $x$ expansion of $f(x)={ }^{P} f_{j} x^{2 j}$ w ith coe cients
can be calculated analytically. For exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}^{\text {wrong }}=\frac{@}{@ n} f_{1}=3_{n=1}^{x} d_{k}^{x} \underset{i}{x} \frac{1}{2} \quad 1+\frac{2 k}{k ; i} @_{k ; i}^{@ k}=3_{k}^{\#} d_{k} \frac{@}{@ k} k_{i}^{x} \frac{1}{\sum_{k ; i}} ; \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

that using [22]

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i=1}^{X} \frac{1}{\underset{k ; i}{2}}=\frac{1}{4(k+1)} ; \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}^{\mathrm{wrong}}=3_{k}^{\mathrm{X}} d_{k} \frac{@}{@ k} \frac{k}{4(k+1)}=\frac{3}{4}_{k}^{x} d_{k} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}=\frac{3}{4}\left(2 \frac{2}{6} \quad 1\right): \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use the over-script \w rong" because it is not strictly correct to $m$ ake the calculation th is way, since we are im plicitly using the sam e cut-o for $Z$ and $Z_{n}$. In fact, applying the EM $L$ to the sum in (101), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}^{\text {wrong }}=\frac{3}{4}_{k}^{x} d_{k} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}=\frac{3}{4}_{2}^{z_{1}} \frac{d k}{(k+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{6} 2+::: ; \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

that has a rst order term $s_{1}^{(1)}=1=4$ (in agreem ent with what previously found) but the integral is not vanishing. $T$ his is a nite di erence of the tw o divergent expressions $w$ th the
wrong cut-o . The value of the integral $\left(2^{R} d k(k+1)^{2}=2\right) \mathrm{m}$ ust be properly subtracted to have the right result

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=\frac{3}{4}\left(2 \frac{2}{6} \quad 3\right)=0 \cdot 217401:::: \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is close to the rst order EM L result $\quad 1=4$, signaling that such approxim ation, not only reproduces the qualitative physics but is also quantitatively reliable (at the level of 10\% .) In the sam emanner one can calculate all the coe cients $s_{j}$, using the $m$ ore com plicated expressions for the sum of higher negative pow ers of zeroes of B essel functions reported in the literature [22].
VI. H IG HER D IM ENSIONS:SCALING OF ENTROPY AND AREA LAW

The scaling hypothesis plays a fundam ental rule in understanding classical phase transitions. C rudely speaking, it asserts that the $m$ icroscopic length scale a does not enter explicitly into them odynam ic relations near the critical point, as long as the various therm odynam ic variables are suitable norm alised and allowed to scale with their non-trivial scaling dim ensions, related to the various universal critical exponents.

In fact, from the scaling ofthe singularpart ofthe free energy density (heret $=j \Gamma \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}} \dot{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, / $t$ is the correlation length, $h$ the extemalm agnetic eld, $f(x)$ a universal function, the subscript refers to the tw o phases, and y is the scaling dim ension of $h$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\text {sing }}(t ; h)=d_{f} \quad\left(h^{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}}\right) ; \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

the criticalbehaviour of all the them odynam ic observables and in particular the scaling law s $m$ ay be derived. $N$ ote that $f_{\text {sing }}$ is not the total free energy density: there is another nonuniversal piece which has an explicit a ${ }^{d}$ dependence on the $m$ icroscopic cut-o . H owever, this term is analytic in the therm odynam ic variables.

A s argued by Srednicki[10], for $d>2$ the entropy $S_{A}$ is proportional to the surface area A of the subsystem A. Thus we should discuss the entropy per unit area $s=S_{A}=A$. In analogy w ith the classical case, we $m$ ay conjecture a scaling form for the singular part of the entropy per unit area near a quantum phase transition

$$
S_{\text {sing }}(g ; h ; T)=\quad\left(d^{1)} S \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}} & ; T & { }^{\mathrm{z}} \tag{105}
\end{array}\right) ;\right.
$$

where $y_{h}>0$ describes the relevant e ect of the eld conjugated to the order param eter, $z>0$ the relevance of the tem perature close the the quantum phase transition (in all the exam ples considered up to now $z=1$ ), and allother neglected operators are supposed to be irrelevant. s should be a universal function apart from the nom alisations of its argum ents. $T$ he relation betw een and $g$ (the param eter driving the transition) is $=j$ gj . As for the free energy, $s_{\text {sing }}$ is not the totalentropy: we also expect to nd explicitly a-dependent pieces which are, how ever, analytic in $g$.

From the scaling of the entropy all the scaling law s can be obtained, e.g. the speci c heat goes like

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=T \frac{@ S}{@ T} \quad T \quad(d \quad 1+z): \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilar identities can be derived for other observables.
This scaling can be explicitly checked in the case of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel in alldim ensions for $h=T=0$ and $z=1$. To calculate the entropy of a d-dim ensional system close to a quantum critical point, one has to consider a d+1 eld theory. W e consider the geom etry where d 1 dim ensions are translational invariant in a dom ain delim ited by an hypersurface of area $A$, and on the rem aining two dim ensional plane there is a branch cut going from 0 to 1 in an arbitrary direction. The choiae of a di erent geom etry is not expected to change the $m$ ain results.
$T$ he tw o-point function is translational invariant in $d \quad 2$ directions and coincides w ith Eq. (59) on the cut plane, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(r_{?} ; r ; ; r^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{d}{ }^{d} k_{?}} e^{i k_{?} r_{?}} \frac{1}{2 n_{k=0}^{Z}} d_{k}^{Z} \int_{1}^{d} \frac{J_{k=n}(r) J_{k=n}\left(r^{0}\right)}{2+m^{2}+k_{?}^{2}} C_{k}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\text {? }}$ is the vector betw een the tw o considered points in the $d \quad 1$ dim ensional subspace.
The calculation proceeds as in the one-dim ensional case $w$ ith the substitution $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ! $m^{2}+k_{?}^{2}$, and the integration over $k_{?}$ in front of all the equations. The space integration is obviously over $d^{d}{ }^{1} r_{?}^{0}$ rdrd (we use $r_{?}^{0}$ to stress that it is di erent from that appearing in Eq. (107) that instead is $r_{?}=0$, since the two-point function at coincident point has to be considered.) T hus the analogue of Eq. (67) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{n}={ }^{Z} d^{d}{ }^{1} r_{?}^{0} \quad \frac{d^{d}{ }^{1} k_{?}}{(2)^{d} 1} \frac{\log \left(k_{?}^{2}+m^{2}\right)}{24} n \frac{1}{n}: \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

To have a nite result both the integrations are in a nite region. This m eans that the system must live in a nite box with ${ }^{R} d^{d}{ }^{1} r_{?}^{0}=A$ and a cut-o proportional to a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{must}$
be understood for the integration over $\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}$.
In this way the entropy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{A}{12}^{z} \frac{d^{d^{1}} k_{?}}{(2)^{d}} \log \frac{k_{?}^{2}+m^{2}}{k_{?}^{2}+a^{2}}: \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the integral diverges like a ${ }^{(d)}{ }^{1)}$. H ow ever the coe cient of this divergence (and other sub-leading divergences which can occur for su ciently large d) are in general analytic in $m$. There is, how ever, a nite piece which behaves asm ${ }^{d 1}$ in agreem ent with (105). N ote how ever that as d! 1 this singular term combines with the non-singular piece / $\mathrm{a}^{(d)}$ to give the previously found result / log (m a).

Just as for the classical free energy, one $m$ ay also conjecture a nite-size scaling form for the entropy of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {sing }}(L ; g ; h ; T)=L \quad^{\left(d{ }^{1)} S_{F S S}\left(L^{1=} \dot{j} \quad g \dot{j} L^{Y_{h}} h ; L^{{ }^{2}} T\right): ~\right.} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{T}=0$ it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {sing }}(L ; g ; h=T=0)=A_{S} L \quad\left(d^{1)} S_{F S S}(L=) ;\right. \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S_{F S S}(L=)$ a function which satis es $S_{S S S}(0)=1$ and $S_{F S S}(x) / 1=x$ for large $x$, in order to reproduce the in nite volume $\lim$ 五, and $A_{s}$ is a non universal constant xing the norm alisation of the entropy. In one dim ension, this relation again does not $m$ ake sense. The log L behaviourm ay be seen as resulting from a cancellation between the L (d ${ }^{1)}$ behaviour of $S_{\text {sing }}$ against a constant term com ing from the regular part, with $A_{s}=O\left((d \quad 1)^{1}\right)$ as d! 1. This gives the anticipated form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {sing }}(L ;)=\frac{c}{6}\left(\log L+S_{F S S}(L=)\right) ; \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

that has been explicitly checked for the G aussian m odel in Sec. V .
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VII. NOTEADDED.

W e recently were $m$ ade aw are, som e tim e after this paper appeared in print, that the result ( 3.32 ) for $m$ ore than one interval is incorrect in general. This error can be traced to the assum ption at the beginning of Sec. 3C that there exists a uniform izing transform ation for the $n$-sheeted $R$ iem ann surface into the sphere. In fact the surface has in general a non-trivial genus and therefore such a transform ation does not exist. For the case of two intervals and $n=2$ it can readily be seen that the surface is topologically a tonus, and therefore the partition function $Z_{2}$ depends on the whole operator content of the theory, not only the central charge as claim ed. For other values of $n$ the partition function should also depend on the structure constants (OPE coe cients). It is therefore highly unlikely that this would not rem ain true for the derivative at $n=1$. Indeed, a study of the com putation for the 2-interval case for a com pacti ed boson, rst carried out in 1987 by D ixon et al. 24], show s that this is the case, and that our (3.32) is incorrect. T his is also the result of recent num erical investigations [25,26]. W e are grateful to $V$. P asquier and $F$. G liozzi for pointing out this work.

A though this error also carries over to the case of a sem i-in nite system, mentioned just below (3.32) and also to Eq. (3.33), it does not im pact on our later application of these $m$ ethods to studying the tim e-dependence of the entanglem ent entropy follow ing a quantum quench [27]. T his is because in this case only the lim iting behavior, when the various crossratios are either sm all or large, is needed, and this is insensitive to the precise form of (3.32). Likew ise, as far as we are aw are, all the other conclusions of the present paper rem ain valid.

APPENDIX A:TWOPOINTEUNCTION IN A A -SHEETED RIEMANN GEOMETRY: INFINITEAND FINITEVOLUMERESULTS

The $G$ reen function $G\left(r ; r^{0}\right)$ of the $H$ elm holtz di erential equation $\left(r_{r}^{2}+m^{2}\right) f(r)=0$, w ith speci c boundary condition, is the solution of

$$
\left(r_{r}^{2}+m^{2}\right) G\left(r ; r^{0}\right)={ }^{d}\left(r \quad r^{0}\right):
$$

G (r; ri) adm its an eigenfunction expansion

$$
G\left(r ; r^{0}\right)=\int_{k}^{X} N_{k} \quad k(r)_{k}\left(r^{0}\right) ;
$$

in term sof $k(r)$, eigenfunctions of the $H$ elm holtz di erential operator $\left(r_{r}^{2}+m^{2}\right) \cdot N_{k}$ is a norm alisation constant that should be derived from the orthonorm ality requirem ent of the eigenfunctions

$$
N_{m} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad{ }_{m}(r)_{n}\left(r^{0}\right) d^{d} r={ }_{n m}:
$$

In the case of a $n$-sheted $R$ iem ann surface we are interested in, the eigenvalue problem is solved in polar coordinates $r=(x ; y)=(r c o s ; r \sin )$. A com plete set of eigenfunctions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i i}=\cos (i \quad) J\left({ }_{i} r\right) ; \quad b_{i i}=\sin (i \quad) J\left({ }_{i} r\right) ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $J$ ( $x$ ) Bessel functions of the rst kind. N ote that the Bessel functions of the second kind $Y(x)$, that are also eigenfunctions of the sam e di erential operator, do not enter in the expansion since we require regularity at $r=0$.

Let usconsider rst the solution in a nite geom etry. T he in nite volum e lim it is recovered by taking the lim it L! 1 in the sense of distributions. Im posing the 2 n periodicity boundary condition we have that is an integer multiple of $1=n$, i.e. $=k=n$. C onstraining the eigenfunctions to vanish at $r=L$, the eigenvalues are ${ }_{i} \mathrm{~L}=\quad$;ir w ith $\quad$;i the i -th zero of the $B$ essel function.

U sing the orthogonality relation of the Bessel functions (see e.g. [18])

$$
{ }_{0}^{Z_{L}} \operatorname{rdrJ}(\quad ; i \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{J} 0\left(\quad 0_{; i} \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{L}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{L}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~J}_{+1}^{2}(\quad ; i) \quad 0 ;
$$

we get from the orthonorm ality requirem ent

$$
\left.N_{i ; k}=\frac{d_{k}}{2 n} \frac{2=L^{2}}{J_{k=n+1}^{2}(k=n ; i}\right):
$$

that gives the G reen function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(r ; ; r^{0} ; \quad{ }^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n_{k=0}^{X}} d_{k}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{\mathbb{1}}} \frac{2=L^{2}}{J_{k=n+1}^{2}(k=n ; i)} \frac{J_{k=n}(k=n ; i r=L) J_{k=n}\left(k=n ; i I^{0}=L\right)}{\underset{k=n ; i}{ }=L^{2}+m^{2}} C_{k}\left(; \quad{ }^{0}\right): \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $d_{k}$ com es from $\begin{gathered}R_{2} \\ 0\end{gathered} 1 d x=2$ and $\begin{gathered}R_{2} \\ 0\end{gathered} d x \cos ^{2} x=\begin{gathered}R_{2} \\ 0\end{gathered} d x \sin ^{2} x=$.
In the lim it $\mathrm{L}!1$, the index $i$ becom es continuous ${ }_{i \mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{L}!$ and the 0 in the orthonorm ally condition is replaced by ( ${ }^{0}$ ). The lim it of the nom alisation factor is $N_{k}()=d_{k}=(2 \mathrm{n})$, that leads to the tw o-point function reported in the text (59). N ote that
a slightly di erent form of this tw o-point function (satisfying D irichlet boundary conditions) was used to investigate the criticalbehaviour at an edge [23].
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