Herm itian versus holom orphic com plex and quaternionic generalized supersymmetries of the M-theory. A classi cation.

Francesco Toppan

CCP - CBPF Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, œp 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro (RJ) Brazil

A bstract

Relying upon the division-algebra classi cation of C li ord algebras and spinors, a classication of generalized supersymmetries (or, with a slight abuse of language,\generalized supertranslations") is provided. In each given space-time the maximal, saturated, generalized supersymmetry, compatible with the division-algebra constraint that can be consistently im posed on spinors and on superalgebra generators, is furnished. Constraining the superalgebra generators in both the complex and the quaternionic cases gives rise to the two classes of constrained hermitian and holom orphic generalized supersymmetries. In the complex case these two classes of generalized supersymmetries can be regarded as complementary. The quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetry only exists in certain space-time dimensions and can admit at most a single bosonic scalar central charge.

The results here presented pave the way for a better understanding of the various M algebratype of structures which can be introduced in di erent space-tim e signatures and in association with di erent division algebras, as well as their mutual relations. In a previous work, e.g., the introduction of a complex holom orphic generalized supersymmetry was shown to be necessary in order to perform the analytic continuation of the standard M -theory to the 11-dimensional Euclidean space. As an application of the present results, it is shown that the above algebra also admits a 12-dimensional, Euclidean, F -algebra presentation.

CBPF-NF-001/04

E-mail: toppan@dpfbr Homepage: http:=www:dpfbr= toppan

1 Introduction.

The problem of classifying supersymmetries has recently regained interest and found a lot of attention in the literature. We can cite, e.g., a series of papers where the notion of \spin algebra" has been introduced and investigated [1]. An even more updated reference concerns the classi cation of the so-de ned \polyvector super-Poincare algebras" [2].

The reasons behind all this activity are clear. In the seventies the HLS scheme [3] was a cornerstone providing the supersymmetric extension of the Coleman-Mandula no-go theorem . However, in the nineties, the generalized space-time supersymmetries going beyond the HLS scheme (and admitting, in particular, a bosonic sector of the Poincare or conform al superalgebrawhich could no longer be expressed as a tensor product B_{geom} B_{int}, where B_{geom} describes space-time Poincare or conform al algebras, while the remaining generators spanning B_{int} are scalars) found widespread recognition [4, 5] in association with the dynam ics of extended objects like branes (see [6, 7]). The eleven-dimensional M-algebra underlying the M-theory as a possible \Theory Of Everything" (TOE), adm itting 32-real component spinors and maxim alnum ber (= 528) of saturated bosonic generators [4, 5] falls into this class of generalized supersymmetries. The physical motivations for dealing with and classifying generalized supersymmetries are therefore quite obvious (later on, we will discuss som e further evidence concerning the im portance of such a classication). The purely mathematical side as well presents very attracting features. The ingredients that have to be used have been known by mathematicians since at least the sixties (8], see also [9] and, for quite a convenient presentation for physicists, [10]). They include the division-algebra classi cation of Cli ord algebras and fundam ental spinors. It is quite rewarding that, by using these available tools, we can conveniently form ulate and solve the problem of classifying generalized supersymmetries. This is indeed the content of the present paper.

Before introducing the results here obtained, let m e further elucidate the basic m otivations. In a series of previous works in collaboration with Lukierski [11]-[13], we discussed the role of division algebras (complex, quaternionic and octonionic) in the context of generalized supersymmetries. The last work in particular deals with a problem not previously addressed in the literature, namely how to perform the analytic continuation from the M inkowskian 11dimensional M - theory to its Euclidean counterpart. We proved that this could be achieved by introducing the notion of \11-dim ensional Euclidean com plex holom orphic supersymmetry". This is a constrained generalized supersymmetry admitting a complex structure compatible with the one generated by the 32 complex Euclidean supercharges. In formulating an Euclidean version of the M -theory, whatever the latter could possibly be, we should therefore naturally expect that the above Euclidean supersymm etry would play a fundam ental role. On the other hand, while eleven dimensions are singled out on a physical ground through the TOE viewpoint previously recalled, on a purely algebraic level, no limitation concerning the dimensionality of the space-time is found for the introduction of generalized supersymmetries algebras. Indeed, specic examples of dynamical systems admitting generalized supersymmetries in dierent space-time dimensions have been explicitly constructed in the literature (four-dimensional superparticle models with tensorial central charges have been constructed, e.g., in [14], see also [15]). It is therefore worth providing a purely mathematical classi cation of the generalized supersymm etries algebras in each given space-time signature, as we will address here. To be more specic, we classify here the most general supersymmetry algebra

whose ferm ionic generators can be considered as the \square roots" of the bosonic generators, the latter being expanded as tensorial central charges. W ith a slight abuse of language, such structures can also be referred to as \generalized supertranslations" even if, strictly speaking, in som e given space-time signatures there are no translations at all. For instance, the M -algebra (16) can be rexpressed in the F -view point [16] in terms of D = 12 dimensional (with (10;2) signature) 32-component M a jorana-W eyl spinors. In this fram ework the 528 bosonic generators are split into 66 rank-two antisymm etric tensors and 462 self-dual rank six antisymm etric tensors (18). Therefore, in the F -view point there are no vectors, which means no translations.

It is well-known that the C li ord algebra imeps [10] are put in correspondence with the R ;C ;H division algebras. An analogous scheme works for fundam ental spinors (here and in the following, fundam ental spinors are de ned to be the spinors admitting, in a given spacetime, the maximal division algebra structure compatible with the minimal number of real components). B oth the M -algebra and the F -algebra presentations recalled above are based on real spinors, which makes life quite simple. On the other hand, the presence of both complex and quaternionic spinors allows intoducing division-algebra compatible extra-constraint on the available generalized supersymmetries. The reason for that lies in the fact that in these two extra cases one has at disposal the division-algebra principal conjugation (which simply coincides, for real numbers, with the identity operator) to further play with. As a consequence, the two big classes of (com plex or quaternionic) constrained hermitian versus holom orphic generalized supersymmetries can be consistently introduced (see the end of Section 5 and the following sections).

It is of particular in portance to determ ine the biggest (\saturated") generalized supersymmetry compatible with the given division-algebra structure and constraint. The complete classi cation is here presented in a series of tables. We should recall that, in the M -algebra case, the Lorentz covariance requires that all combinations of the 11 vectors, 55 rank-two and 462 rank- ve antisymmetric tensors are allowed as a consistent subalgebra while, on the other hand, the 528 = 11 + 55 + 462 bosonic components of the M -algebra provide the maximal admissible algebra. A similar situation is faced in the complex and quaternionic cases. It is worth stressing that the hermitian/ holom orphic constraint mentioned before admits an algebraic interpretation and in this respect it can be regarded on a di erent plan w.rt., let's say, the restriction of setting equal to zero all 462 rank- ve generators in (16). The latter is an admissible, however hand-im posed, restriction, while the hermitian-versus-holom orphic constraint has a deeper division-algebra motivation. The recognition of this property could becom e in portant in the future when investigating a certain class of dynam ical systems (e.g., as a protecting mechanism towards the arising of extra anom alous term s).

For the sake of simplicity, in this work we are only concerned with \generalized supertranslations", in the loose sense mentioned before. This means in particular that the bosonic generators are all abelian. The construction of, e.g., Lorentz generators requires a bigger algebra than the ones here exam ined. One viable scheme to produce them consists in introducing a generalized superconform all algebra (which, in its turn, allows recovering a generalized super-Poincare algebra through an Inonu-W igner type of contraction). Following [11], this can be easily achieved by taking two separate copies of \generalized supertranslations" and in posing the Jacobi identities on the whole set of generators to fully determ ine the associated superconform all algebra. This scheme is rather straightforward and will not be addressed here. It corresponds to technical developments which are left to future investigations.

The scheme of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we review the basic properties of C li ord algebras and of their association with division algebras. An algorithm to explicitly construct the Cli ord irreps in any (s;t) space-time is introduced. Division algebras are quickly reviewed in Section 3. In section 4 we discuss the spinors-versus-C li ord algebras division-algebra character. Since our later construction is based on matching the division-algebra properties of both spinors and C li ord algebras, we point out that, depending on the given space-time, we can look at their maximal common division algebra character or, if necessary, we can work either with reducible C li ord representations or with non-fundam ental spinors. In Section 5 generalized supersymmetries (real, complex and quaternionic) are introduced. The notion of consistently constrained herm it ian and holom orphic (com plex and quaternionic) generalized supersymm etries is explained. These constrained supersymmetries are further discussed and classi ed in the following Sections 6 and 7. A series of tables will be provided expressing their main properties, the total number of bosonic components, etc. The case of the quaternionic holom orphic generalized supersymmetry is particularly interesting. It will be proven that it can only exist in special dimensions of the space-time and that it can admit at most a single (scalar) central extension. In Section 8, as a special application of our method, we prove that the Euclidean M -algebra of reference [13] nds a 12-dim ensional Euclidean F -algebra counterpart, which is a holom orphic supersymm etry based on W eylprojected (in the generalized sense discussed in Section 2) complex spinors. In the Conclusions we nally discuss some promising applications (ranging from higher-dimensionalChem-Sim on supergravities, the theory of higher spins, som e dynam icalm odels based on supersym m etries with tensorial central charges, etc.) of the form alism here introduced.

2 On Cli ord algebras.

The classi cation of generalized supersymm etries requires the preliminary classi cation of C lifford algebras and spinors and of their association with division algebras.

To make this paper self-consistent, in this section we review the classi cation of the C li ord algebras associated to the R , C , H associative division algebras, following [10] and [17]. Some further material, which is useful for later purposes, will also be integrated.

The most general irreducible realmatrix representations of the Cli ord algebra

$$+ = 2;$$
 (1)

with being a diagonal matrix of (p;q) signature (i.e. p positive, +1, and q negative, 1, diagonal entries)¹ can be classified according to the property of the most general S matrix commuting with all the 's ([S;] = 0 for all). If the most general S is a multiple of the identity, we get the normal (R) case. O therwise, S can be the sum of two matrices, the second one multiple of the square root of 1 (this is the almost com plex, C case) or the linear combination of 4 matrices closing the quaternionic algebra (this is the H case). According to [10] the real irreducible representations are of R, C, H type, according to the following table,

 $^{^{1}}$ T hroughout this paper it will be understood that the positive eigenvalues are associated with space-like directions, the negative ones with time-like directions.

whose entries represent the values p q m od 8

| R | С | Η |
|-----|--------------|--------------|
| 0;2 | | 4 ; 6 |
| 1 | 3 ; 7 | 5 |

The real inreducible representation is always unique unless $p = q \mod 8 = 1$;5. In these signatures two inequivalent real representations are present, the second one recovered by ipping the sign of all 's (7).

Let us denote as C (p;q) the C li ord irreps corresponding to the (p;q) signatures. The norm al (R), almost complex (C) and quaternionic (H) type of the corresponding C li ord irreps can also be understood as follows. W hile in the R -case the matrices realizing the irrep have necessarily real entries, in the C -case matrices with complex entries can be used, while in the H -case the matrices can be realized with quaternionic entries.

Let us discuss the simplest examples. The C -type C (0;1) C li ord algebra can be expressed either through the 2 2 m atrix with real-valued entries $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or through the imaginary number i.

The H -type C li ord algebra C (0;3), on the other hand, can be realized as follows: i) with three 4 4 matrices with realentries, given by the tensor products A 1, A 2 and 1_2 A, where the matrices A, 1 and 2 furnish a real irrep of C (2;1) (A = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$ ii) with three 2 2 complex-valued matrices given by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & i \\ 1 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 &$

iii) with the three in aginary quaternions e_i (see for m ore details the section 3).

The formulas at the item s i) and ii) provide the real and complex representations, respectively, for the imaginary quaternions. They can be straightforwardly extended to provide real and complex representations for the H-type C li ord algebras by substituting the quaternionic entries with the corresponding representations (the quaternionic identity 1 being replaced in the complex representation by the 2 2 identity matrix 1_2 and by the 4 4 identity matrix 1_4 in the real representation).

It is worth noticing that in the given signatures $p = q \mod 8 = 0;4;6;7$, without loss of generality, the matrices can be chosen block-antidiagonal (generalized W eyHype matrices), i.e. of the form

$$= \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \sim 0 \end{array}$$
(3)

In these signatures it is therefore possible to introduce the W eylprojected spinors, whose number of components is half of the size of the corresponding -m atrices².

A very convenient presentation of the irreducible representations of C li ord algebras with the help of an algorithm allowing to single out, in each arbitrary signature space-time, a representative (up to, at most, the sign ipping) in each irreducible class of representations

²This notion of W eyl spinors, which is convenient for our purposes, is dierent from the one usually adopted in connection with complex-valued C li ord algebras and has been introduced in [17].

of Cli ord's gamma matrices has been given in [17]. We recall and extend here the results presented in [17], making explicit the connection between the maxim al-C li ord algebras in the table (6) below and their division-algebra property.

The construction goes as follows. At rst one proves that starting from a given D spacetimedimensional representation of C li ord's G amm a matrices, one can recursively construct D + 2spacetime dimensional Cli ord Gamma matrices with the help of two recursive algorithms. Indeed, it is a simple exercise to verify that if i's denotes the d-dimensional G amm a matrices of a D = p + q spacetime with (p;q) signature (namely, providing a representation for the C (p;q) C li ord algebra) then 2d-dimensional D + 2 G amma matrices (denoted as $_{i}$) of a D + 2 spacetime are produced according to either

0

 1_d

or

 $\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 0 & & & & 0 & 1_{d} \\ & & i & i & & 1_{d} & 0 \end{array};$ 1_{d} (p;q) 7 (q+2;p):(5)

It is immediate to notice that the three matrices $_{\rm A}$, $_{1}$, $_{2}$ introduced before and realizing the C li ord algebra C (2;1) are obtained by applying either (4) or (5) to the number 1, i.e. the one-dimensional realization of C(1;0).

All C li ord algebras of R-type are obtained by recursively applying the algorithm s (4) and (5) to the C li ord algebra C (1;0) (1) and the C li ord algebras of the series C (0;7+8m) (with m non-negative integer), which must be previously known. Sim ilarly, all C li ord algebras of H type are obtained by recursively applying the algorithm s to the C li ord algebras C (0;3+8m), while the C -type C li ord algebras are obtained by recursively applying the algorithm s to the Cli ord algebras C (0;1+8m) and C (0;5+8m). This is in accordance with the scheme illustrated in the table below, taken from [17]. A ctually, the table below is slightly modi ed w.r.t. the one in [17], since for our purposes here we need to emphasize the complex character of the C-type Cliord algebras. W e get

Table with the maxim all li ord algebras (up to d = 256).

j

| | 1 | | 2 | | 4 | | 8 | | 16 | | 32 | | 64 | | 128 | | 256 | |
|------|-------|---|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|---|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|---|
| R | (1;0) |) | (2;1) |) | (3,2) |) | (4,3) |) | (5,4) |) | (6,5) |) | (7,6) |) | (8,7) |) | (9,8) |) |
| с | | | (0,1) | % & | (1,2) | ! | (2,3) | ! | (3,4) | ! | (4,5) | ! | (5,6) | ! | (6,7) | ! | (7,8) | ! |
| | | | | | (3,0) | ! | (4,1) | ! | (5,2) | ! | (6,3) | ! | (7,4) | ! | (8,5) | ! | (9,6) | ! |
| Н | | | | | (0,3) | °° & | (1,4) | ! | (2,5) | ! | (3,6) | ! | (4,7) | ! | (5,8) | ! | (6,9) | ! |
| | | | | | | | (5,0) | ! | (6,1) | ! | (7,2) | ! | (8,3) | ! | (9,4) | ! | (10,5) | ! |
| С | | | | | | | (0,5) | 00 & | (1,6) | ! | (2,7) | ! | (3,8) | ! | (4,9) | ! | (5,10) | ! |
| | | | | | | | | | (7,0) | ! | (8,1) | ! | (9,2) | ! | (10,3) | ! | (11,4) | ! |
| R /0 | | | | | | | (0,7) | 90 60 | (1,8) | ! | (2,9) | ! | (3,10) | ! | (4,11) | ! | (5,12) | ! |
| | | | | | | | | | (9,0) | ! | (10,1) | ! | (11,2) | ! | (12,3) | ! | (13,4) | ! |
| С | | | | | | | | | | | (0,9) | 90 64 | (1,10) | ! | (2,11) | ! | (3,12) | ! |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | (11,0) | ! | (12,1) | ! | (13,2) | ! |
| Н | | | | | | | | | | | | | (0,11) | % & | (1,12) | ! | (2,13) | ! |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (13,0) | ! | (14,1) | ! |
| С | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (0,13) | о ^ю & | (1,14) | ! |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (15,0) | ! |
| r /o | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (0,15) | % & | (1,16) | ! |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (17,0) | ! |

(6)

Concerning the above table some remarks are in order. The columns are labeled by the matrix size d (in real components) of the maxim all li ord algebras. Their signature is denoted by the (p;q) pairs. Furthermore, the underlined C li ord algebras in the table can be named as \primitive maxim all li ord algebras". The remaining maxim all li ord algebras appearing in the table are the \maxim aldescendant C li ord algebras". They are obtained from the primitive maxim all C li ord algebras by iteratively applying the two recursive algorithms (4) and (5). Moreover, any non-maxim all C li ord algebra is obtained from a given maxim all C li ord algebra

by deleting a certain number of G amm a matrices (this point has been fully explained in [17] and will not be further elaborated here).

The maxim alCli ord algebras generated by the C (0;7 + 8m) series are associated to both the real (R) and octonionic (O) division algebras, since (1), for the (0;7 + 8m)-signature, can be realized either associatively (in the norm al, R, case), or non-associatively through the octonions (see [17] and [18]).

The primitive maximal Cli ord algebras C (0;3) and C (0;7) can be explicitly realized through, respectively, three 4 4 matrices (as already recalled) and seven 8 8 matrices given by

C (0;3) A = 2; $1_2 = A$: (7)

and

The complex primitive maximal Cli ord algebras C (0;1) and C (0;5) can be obtained from C (1;2) and C (0;7), respectively, by deleting two gamma-matrices. From C (0;7) we can, e.g., consider the last tensor-product column, eliminate the two terms containing $_1$ and $_2$ and replacing 1_2 ? 1, A ? i, to get

| | A | 1 ; | |
|--------|----------------|------------|-----|
| | A | 2 ; | |
| C(0;5) | i ₁ | 12; | (9) |
| | i ₂ | 12; | |
| | i _A | A : | |

It is worth pointing out that the C (0;1) and C (0;5) series were correctly considered as \descendant" series in [17] due to the fact that they can be obtained from C (1;2), C (0;7)after erasing extra-G am m a matrices. We ind how ever convenient here to explicitly insert them in table (6) and consider them as \primitive", since they admit a dimension-algebra structure (they are almost complex, C) wirt. the norm al (R)-type maxim alcli ord algebras they are derived from.

The remaining primitive maximal Cli ord algebras C (0; x + 8m), for positive integers m = 1;2;::: and x = 1;3;5;7, can be recovered from the mod 8 properties of the G am m a-m atrices. Let $\overline{}_i$ be a realization of C (0; x) for x = 1;3;5;7. By applying the (4) algorithm to C (0;7) we construct at rst the 16 16 m atrices realizing C (1;8) (the matrix with positive signature is denoted as $_9, _9^2 = 1$, while the eight matrices with negative signatures are denoted as $_j$, j = 1;2:::;8, w ith $j^2 = 1$). We are now in the position [17] to explicitly construct the whole series of primitive maximal C li ord algebras C (0; x + 8n), through the form ulas

| | i | 9 | ::: | | | ::: | ::: | 9 ; | |
|----------------|-------|---|----------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|------|
| | 1_4 | j | 1_{16} | ::: | | ::: | ::: | 1 ₁₆ ; | |
| C (0;x+8n) | 14 | 9 | j | 1 ₁₆ ::: | | ::: | ::: | 1 ₁₆ ; | (10) |
| C (0, X + 011) | 14 | 9 | 9 | j 1 ₁₆ | ::: | ::: | ::: | 116; | (10) |
| | ::: | | | | | ::: | | :::; | |
| | 14 | 9 | ::: | | | ::: | 9 | j ; | |

P lease notice that the tensor product of the 16-dim ensional representation is taken n times.

3 On division algebras.

In the previous section we furnished a simple algorithm to explicitly construct any given C li ord irrep of speci ed division-algebra type. It is convenient to review here the basic features of division algebras which will be needed in the following.

The four division algebra of real (R) and complex (C) numbers, quaternions (H) and octonions (O) possess respectively 0, 1, 3 and 7 in aginary elements e_i satisfying the relations

$$\mathbf{e}_{i} \quad \mathbf{e} = _{ij} + C_{ijk} \mathbf{e}_{k}; \tag{11}$$

(i; j;k are restricted to take the value 1 in the com plex case, 1;2;3 in the quaternionic case and 1;2;:::;7 in the octonionic case; furtherm ore, the sum over repeated indices is understood).

 C_{ijk} are the totally antisymmetric division-algebra structure constants. The octonionic division algebra is the maximal, since quaternions, complex and real numbers can be obtained as its restriction. The totally antisymmetric octonionic structure constants can be expressed as

$$C_{123} = C_{147} = C_{165} = C_{246} = C_{257} = C_{354} = C_{367} = 1$$
 (12)

(and vanishing otherwise).

The octonions are the only non-associative, however alternative (see [19]), division algebra. Due to the antisymmetry of C_{ijk} , it is clear that we can realize (1) by associating the (0;3)

and (0;7) signatures to, respectively, the in aginary quaternions and the in aginary octonions.

For our later purposes it is of particular in portance the notion of division-algebra principal conjugation. Any element X in the given division algebra can be expressed through the sum

$$X = x_0 + x_i e_i;$$
 (13)

where x_0 and x_i are real, the sum m ation over repeated indices is understood and the positive integral i are restricted up to 1, 3 and 7 in the C, H and O cases respectively. The principal conjugate X of X is de ned to be

$$X = x_0 \quad x_i e_i: \tag{14}$$

It allows introducing the division-algebra norm through the product X X. The norm ed-one restrictions X X = 1 select the three parallelizable spheres S^1 , S^3 and S^7 in association with C, H and O respectively.

Further com m ents on the division algebras and their relations with C li ord algebras can be found in [17] and [19].

4 On fundam ental spinors.

In section 2 we discussed the properties of the C li ord irreps, presenting a m ethod to explicitly construct them and m entioning their division-algebra structure. It is worth rem inding that the division-algebra character of fundam ental spinors does not necessarily (depending on the given space-tim e) coincide with the division-algebra type of the corresponding C li ord irreps.

In the Introduction we already mentioned that the fundamental spinors carry a representation of the generalized Lorentz group with a minim alnumber of real components in association with the maximal, compatible, allowed division-algebra structure.

The following table, taken from the results in [1] and [10], see also [17], presents the com – parison between division-algebra properties of C li ord irreps () and fundam ental spinors (), in di erent space-tim es param etrized by $= s \pm m \text{ od } 8.W \text{ e have}$

| 0 | R | R |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | R | R |
| 2 | R | С |
| 3 | С | Η |
| 4 | Н | Н |
| 5 | Н | Н |
| 6 | Н | С |
| 7 | С | R |

(15)

It is clear from the above table that, for = 2;3, the fundam ental spinors can accomm odate a larger division-algebra structure than the corresponding C li ord irreps. C onversely, for =6;7, the C li ord irreps accommodate a larger division-algebra structure than the corresponding spinors. In several cases this m ism atch of division-algebra structures plays an important role. For instance in [11] a method was introduced to construct superconform alalgebras based on the minimal division algebra structure common to both C li ord irreps and fundam ental spinors. This method can be straightforwardly modi ed to produce extended superconform al algebras based on the largest division-algebra structure. The price to be paid, in this case, would imply the introduction, for = 2;3, of reducible C li ord representations and, conversely, for = 6;7of non-minim al spinors.

The reason behind the m ism atch can be easily understood on the basis of the algorithm ic construction of Section 2 and of table (6). Indeed, all the m axim al, descendant C li ord algebras appearing in table (6) have all block-antidiagonal G am m a m atrices with the exception of a single G am m a m atrix given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, all non-m axim al C li ord algebras which are produced by erasing this extra G am m a m atrix (a detailed discussion can be found in [17]) are of block-antidiagonal form. We recall now that the fundam ental spinors carry a representation of the generalized Lorentz group whose generators are given by the com m utators am ong G am m a m atrices, [i; j]. For the non-m axim al C li ord algebras under considerations these com m utators are all in 2 2 block-diagonal form s, allow ing to introduce a (generalized, in the sense speci ed in [17]) W eylprojection for fundam ental spinors, with non-vanishing upper or low er com ponents.

It is convenient to explicitly discuss the simplest M inkowskian cases where the m ism atch appears (the general procedure can be straightforwardly read from table (6)). In the ordinary (3;1) space-time the (R) C li ord irrep is obtained as the non-m axim al C li ord algebra (3;1) (3;2), obtained from the maximal (R) (3;2) after erasing a time-like G amma matrix. On the other hand, the fundamental complex spinors are obtained from the reducible C li ord representation (3;1) (4;1), obtained by erasing a space-like G amma matrix from the (C) C li ord irrep (4;1).

In the other M inkow skian cases we get

i) (4;1): coincides with the maximal Cli ord (4;1) (C), while is constructed in terms of the reducible, non-maximal Cli ord representation (4;1) (6;1) (H),

ii) (7;1): coincides with the non-maximal C li ord (7;1) (7;2) (H), while is constructed in terms of the reducible, non-maximal C li ord representation (7;1) (8;1) (C),

iii) (8;1): coincides with the maximal Cli ord (8;1) (C), while is constructed in terms of the reducible, non-maximal Cli ord representation (8;1) (10;1) (R).

5 On generalized supersymmetries.

In this section we will discuss the generalized supersymmetries, introducing at rst the most physically important example, the minkowskian eleven-dimensional M-algebra which, together with its 12-dimensional (10;2) counterpart, the so-called F-algebra, is based on real spinors (M a jurana and M a jurana-W eyl respectively). Later, we will introduce generalized supersymmetries for complex and quaternionic spinors.

At rst we need to recall (see [20]) that three matrices, denoted as A; B; C, have to be introduced in association with the three conjugations (herm itian, complex and transposition) acting on G amma matrices. Since only two of the above matrices are independent we choose here, following [17], to work with A and C. A plays the role of the time-like ⁰ matrix in the M inkowskian space-time and is used to introduce barred spinors. C, on the other hand, is the charge conjugation matrix. Up to an overall sign, in a generic (s;t) space-time, A and C are given by the products of all the time-like and, respectively, all the symmetric (or antisymmetric) G amma-matrices³. The properties of A and C immediately follow from their explicit construction, see [20] and [17].

In a representation of the C li ord algebra realized by matrices with real entries, the conjugation acts as the identity, see (14). In this case the space-like gam m a matrices are symmetric, while the time-like gam m a matrices are antisymmmetric, so that A can be identied with the charge conjugation matrix C_A .

For our purposes the importance of A and the charge conjugation matrix C lies on the fact that, in a D -dimensional space-time (D = s + t) spanned by d d G am m a matrices, they allow to construct a basis for d d (anti)herm it an and (anti)symmetric matrices, respectively. It is indeed easily proven that, in the real and the complex cases (the quaternionic case is di erent

D

k

and will be separately discussed later), the

antisymmetrized products of k Gamma

 $^{^{3}}$ D epending on the given space-time (see [20] and [17]), there are at most two charge conjugations matrices, C_S, C_A, given by the product of all symmetric and all antisymmetric gamma matrices, respectively. In special space-time signatures they collapse into a single matrix C. This is true, in particular, for the maximal Cli ord algebras entering the table (6)

matrices A $[1]^{III} \times]$ are all herm it ian or all antiherm it ian, depending on the value of k D. Sim ilarly, the antisymmetrized products C $[1]^{III} \times]$ are all symmetric or all antisymmetric.

For what concerns the M -algebra, the 32-component real spinors of the (10;1)-spacetime adm it anticommutators $fQ_a;Q_bg$ which are 32 32 symmetric realmatrices with, at most, $32 + \frac{32}{2} = 528$ components. Expanding the rh.s. in terms of the antisymmetrized product of G amma matrices, we get that it can be saturated by the so-called M -algebra

$$fQ_{a};Q_{b}g = (A)_{ab}P + A_{[]ab}Z^{[]} + A_{[1:::5]ab}Z^{[1:::5]}:$$
(16)

Indeed, the k = 1;2;5 sectors of the rhs. furnish 11 + 55 + 462 = 528 overall components. Besides the translations P , in the rhs. the antisymmetric rank-2 and rank-5 abelian tensorial central charges, $Z^{[]}$ and $Z^{[1:1:5]}$ respectively, appear.

The (16) saturated M -algebra admits a nite number of subalgebras which are consistent with the Lorentz properties of the M inkow skian eleven dimensions. There are 6 such subalgebras which are recovered by setting either one or two among the three sets of tensorial central charges P , $Z^{[1]}$, $Z^{[1]}$; identically equal to zero (a completely degenerate subalgebra is further obtained by setting the whole rhs. identically equal to zero).

The fact that the fundam ental spinors in a (10;2)-spacetim e are also 32-component, due to the existence of the W eyl projection as discussed in Section 4,⁴ in plies that the saturated M -algebra adm its a (10;2) space-tim e presentation, the so-called F -algebra, in term s of (10;2) M a jorana-W eyl spinors Q_{α} , $\alpha = 1;2;:::;32$.

In the case of W eyl projected spinors the rh.s. has to be reconstructed with the help of a projection operator which selects the upper left block in a 2 2 block decomposition. Specifcally, if M is a matrix decomposed in 2 2 blocks as M = $\frac{M_1 M_2}{M_3 M_4}$, we can de ne

$$P(M) M_1$$
: (17)

The saturated M -algebra (16) can therefore be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} n & o \\ \mathcal{Q}_{a}; \mathcal{Q}_{b} &= P & \mathbb{A}^{\sim}_{ab} & \mathbb{Z}^{[\sim]} + P & \mathbb{A}^{\sim}_{[\sim_{1}:::\sim_{6}]} & \mathbb{Z}^{[\sim_{1}:::\sim_{6}]}; \end{array}$$
(18)

where all tible's are referred to the corresponding (10;2) quantities. The matrices in the rh.s. are symmetric in the exchange a \$ b. This time the rank-2 and selfdual rank-6 antisymmetric abelian tensorial central charges, $Z^{[\sim\sim]}$ and respectively $Z^{[\sim_1:::\sim_6]}$, appear. Their total number of components is 66 + 462 = 528, therefore proving the saturation of the rh.s. . The saturated equation (18) is named the F-algebra.

For what concerns its consistent subalgebras which respect the 12-dimensional Lorentz covariance, besides the trivial one (i.e. a vanishing r.h.s.) only two of them are allowed. Either one can set identically to zero the rank-2 ($\mathbb{Z}^{\lceil n \rceil}$ 0) or the self-dual rank-6 antisymmetric tensorial central charges ($\mathbb{Z}^{\lceil n \rceil}$ 0).

These two 11-dimensional and 12-dimensional examples were explicitly discussed for their physical relevance. On the other hand, the above construction can be straightforwardly repeated

⁴The (10;2) C li ord algebra is obtained from the maximal C li ord algebra (11;2) appearing in table (6), with the extra $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ G amma matrix employed to construct W eyl-projected spinors.

for any given space-time admitting real fundamental spinors. If the real spinors Q_a have n components, the most general supersymmetry algebra is represented by

$$fQ_{a};Q_{b}g = Z_{ab};$$
(19)

where them atrix Z appearing in the rh.s. is the most general n symmetric matrix with total number of $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ components. For any given space-time we can easily compute its associated decomposition of Z in terms of the antisymmetrized products of k-G ammamatrices, namely

$$Z_{ab} = \bigwedge_{k} (A_{[1 \dots k]})_{ab} Z^{[1 \dots k]}; \qquad (20)$$

where the values k entering the sum in the rhs. are restricted by the symmetry requirement for the a b exchange and are specic for the given spacetime. The coe cients Z [1000 k] are the rank-k abelian tensorial central charges.

W hen the fundam ental spinors are complex or quaternionic (in accordance with table (15)) they can be organized in complex (for the C and H cases) and quaternionic (for the H case) multiplets, whose entries are respectively complex numbers or quaternions.

The real generalized supersymmetry algebra (19) can now be replaced by the most general complex or quaternionic supersymmetry algebras, given by the anticommutators among the fundamental spinors Q_a and their conjugate Q_a (where the conjugation refers to the principal conjugation in the given division algebra, see (14)). We have in this case

$$fQ_{a};Q_{b}g = Z_{ab} \quad ; \quad fQ_{a};Q_{b}g = Z_{ab}; \quad (21)$$

together with

$$fQ_{a};Q_{b}g = W_{ab}; \qquad (22)$$

where the matrix Z_{ab} (Z $_{ab}$ is its conjugate and does not contain new degrees of freedom) is symmetric, while W $_{ab}$ is hermitian.

The maxim alnum ber of allowed components in the rhs. is given, for complex fundam ental spinors with n complex components, by

ia) n (n + 1) (real) bosonic components entering the symmetric n n complex matrix Z_{ab} plus iia) n² (real) bosonic components entering the hermitian n n complex matrix W_{ab} .

Sim ilarly, the maxim alnum ber of allowed components in the rhs. for quaternionic fundamental spinors with n quaternionic components is given by

ib) 2n (n + 1) (real) bosonic components entering the symmetric n n quaternionic matrix Z $_{ab}$ plus

ib) $2n^2$ n (real) bosonic components entering the herm itian n n quaternionic matrix W_{ab} .

The previous numbers do not necessarily mean that the corresponding generalized supersymmetry is indeed saturated. This is in particular true in the quaternionic case, which will be discussed at length in Sections 6 and 7.

Some further remarks are in order. We can expand the rhs. of (21) and (22) in terms of the antisymmetrized product of G amma matrices only when the division-algebra character of the G amma matrices coincides with the division-algebra character of spinors. As discussed at length in Section 4, for the spacetimes presenting a spinor-versus-C li ord mismatch, the

supersymm etry algebra (21) and (22) stillmakes sense by letting either non-fundam ental spinors enter the lhs. or, conversely, reducible representations of the C li ord algebra enter the rhs.

It is convenient, in order to facilitate the comparison among the di erent generalized supersymmetries (real, complex or quaternionic) that can be introduced in some given space-time, to summarize the above results in a table which speci es the maximal number of allowed (real) bosonic components for symmetric (SYM) and hermitian (HER) matrices (Z and respectively W) in correspondence to real, complex or quaternionic spinors carrying the same number of (real) components. O byiously, these spinors can only be employed in association with spacetimes which support the corresponding spinor representations. We have

| | SYM | ΗER |
|--------|------|------|
| R (4) | 10 | |
| C (2) | 6 | 4 |
| H (1) | 4 | 1 |
| R (8) | 36 | |
| C (4) | 20 | 16 |
| H (2) | 12 | 6 |
| R (16) | 136 | |
| C (8) | 72 | 56 |
| H (4) | 40 | 28 |
| R (32) | 528 | |
| C (16) | 272 | 256 |
| H (8) | 144 | 120 |
| R (64) | 2080 | |
| C (32) | 1056 | 1024 |
| H (16) | 544 | 496 |

(23)

(please notice that the blanks associated to the (R) spinors and the H E R column are due to the fact that, in this case, the herm itian matrix W coincides with the symmetric matrix Z).

It is evident from the above table (and in general from the counting of independent (real) bosonic components given at the items ia) and iia) above) that any real generalized supersymmetry admitting a complex structure can be re-expressed in a complex formalism with n-component complex spinors and total number of n (2n + 1) (real) bosonic components split into n (n + 1) components entering the symmetric matrix Z and n^2 components entering the hermitian matrix W. The situation is different in the quaternionic case. The quaternionic structure requires a restriction on the total number of bosonic components, instead of $8n^2 + 2n$ of the most general supersymmetric real algebra. We will later show that the Lorentz-covariance further restricts the number of bosonic generators in a quaternionic supersymmetry algebra.

We conclude this section mentioning the two big classes of subalgebras, respecting the Lorentz-covariance, that can be obtained from (21) and (22) in both the complex and quaternionic cases. They are obtained by setting identically equal to zero either Z or W, namely

I) Z $_{ab}$ Z $_{ab}$ 0, so that the only bosonic degrees of freedom enter the herm itian matrix W $_{ab}$ or, conversely,

II) W $_{\rm ab}$ 0, so that the only bosonic degrees of freedom enter Z $_{\rm ab}$ and its conjugate m atrix Z $_{\rm ab}$.

A coordingly, in the following we will refer to the (com plex or quaternionic) generalized supersymmetries satisfying the I) constraint as \hermitian" (or \type I") generalized supersymmetries, while the (com plex or quaternionic) generalized supersymmetries satisfying the II) constraint will be referred to as \holom orphic" (or \type II") generalized supersymmetries. It is worth mentioning that the hermitian-versus-holom orphic restriction of com plex and quaternionic generalized supersymmetries is not only mathematically meaningful, but potentially interesting for physical applications as well. E.g., in [13] it was proven that the analytical continuation of the (16) M -algebra can be carried out to the Euclidean, the corresponding Euclidean algebra being a complex holom orphic supersymmetry.

6 On complex and quaternionic generalized supersym - metries.

Let us sum marize the previous section results. Generalized supersymmetries can be classified according to their division-algebra character Y (with Y R;C;H). They can be conveniently labeled with a pair of division algebras as X Y", where X specifies whether spinors are realized as column vectors of real numbers (X = R), complex numbers (X = C) or quaternions (X = H). A coordingly, generalized supersymmetries fall into different cases:

i) R R ,

ii) RC and CC,

iii) RH,CH and HH.

In the CC, CH and HH cases a su x can be added, specifying whether we are dealing with a herm itian (type I, therefore CC_I, CH_I, HH_I) or a holom orphic (type II, CC_{II}, CH_{II}, HH_I) generalized supersymmetry. A closer inspection shows that the following identities hold for hermitian supersymmetries

$$RC CC_{I}$$
 (24)

and

$$RH CH_I HH_I$$
: (25)

The stidentity means that representing complex spinors in real notations is tantam ount to realize a complex herm it in supersymmetry. The second set of identities holds for supersymmetry metries realized with quaternionic spinors.

It should be pointed out that the results furnished in the following, unless explicitly stated, are valid for any complex or quaternionic supersymmetry, even the ones non-minimally realized (see table (15)), that is, the ones constructed either with reducible representations of the C li ord algebra or with non-minimal spinors.

In the following, for simplicity, it will be symbolically denoted as M_k the space of $\frac{D}{k}$ -

com ponent, totally antisym m etric rank-k tensors of a D -dim ensional spacetim e, associated to the basis provided by the herm it an A [1] m atrices (nam ely, entering \type I" supersym m e-tries). Sim ilarly, the rank-k totally antisym m etric tensors associated to the sym m etric m atrices

C $[1 \dots k]$ and entering the type II, holom orphic, supersymmetries will be denoted as M_k " (the symbol M_k " will be reserved to real, RY", supersymmetries).

It is quite convenient to illustrate how complex and quaternionic supersymmetries work by discussing speci c examples. The extension of both reasonings and results to general spacetimes is in fact guaranteed by the algorithm ic construction introduced in Section 2. C om plex supersymmetries can be illustrated by the C-type (4;1) spacetime. Quaternionic supersymmetries, on the other hand, by the H-type (5;0) Euclidean space.

The C li ord algebra of the C -type spacetime (4;1) is explicitly realized through the (C) algorithm ic lifting of table (6), given by (0;1) ! (3;0) ! (4;1), while the quaternionic (5;0) space is obtained through the (0;3) ! (5;0) lifting.

Let us discuss the (4;1)-spacetime at rst. It should be noticed that, besides the complex lifting, (4;1) can be obtained from a real lifting (the rst row in table (6)), as a non-m axim al C li ord algebra contained in (4;3) ((4;1) (4;3)). We recall, following the language of [17], that maximal C li ord algebras are the ones directly obtained from the so-called \primitive m axim al C li ord algebras" through the algorithm ic lifting procedure of Section 2, while non-maximal C li ord algebras are recovered from them after deleting a certain number of G am m a matrices associated to the extra dimensions. The explicit procedure for constructing non-maximal C li ord algebras has been presented in [17].

The erasing of two time-like G amma matrices from (4;3) leading to (4;1) can be done without respecting the almost complex structure of (4;1). One is therefore led to a representation of (4;1) with 8 (real) components spinors (just like the C -type representation), but endowed with an R -structure, instead of a C -structure. The associated generalized supersymmetry would be of R R -type, with a total number of 36 expected bosonic components. Indeed, it can be easily checked that in D = 7 (for the (4;3) space-time) dimensions, the bosonic sector of the supersymmetry algebra is given by the 1 + 35 = 36 rank-k tensors M₀^(D=7) + M₃^(D=7). Expanding these tensors in the D = 5-dimensional ((4;1) spacetime) basis we are led to the follow ing identications

$$M_0^{(D=7)} + M_3^{(D=7)} = M_0^{(D=5)} + M_3^{(D=5)} + 2 M_2^{(D=5)} + M_1^{(D=5)};$$
 (26)

where the counting of the components reads as follows

$$1 + 35 = 1 + 10 + 2 \quad 10 + 5$$
: (27)

The equation (26) above corresponds to the saturated bosonic sector of the R R generalized supersymmetry in a (4;1) spacetime.

Let us discuss now the two complex supersymmetries (C C $_{\rm I}$ and C C $_{\rm II}$) associated with the (4;1) spacetime.

It can be easily shown that

i) in the C C $_{\rm I}$ case the bosonic sector is expressed as

$$M_1 + M_3 + M_5$$
; (28)

The expected 16 bosonic components (real counting) of the saturated complex herm it ian algebra are indeed recovered through

$$16 = 5 + 10 + 1; (29)$$

it should be noticed that the rank k antisym m etric tensors are not related by the H odge duality; ii) in the C C $_{\rm II}$ case the bosonic sector is expressed as

$$M_2 + M_3$$
; (30)

whose total number of bosonic components, 10 + 10 = 20, indeed saturates the number of bosonic components for the complex holom orphic supersymmetry; in this case as well the rank-2 and rank-3 bosonic tensors are not related by H odge duality (indeed one sector is real while the other one is completely in aginary since the product of the ve distinct gamma matrices is proportional to i). However, a reality constraint can be further imposed on the bosonic components corresponds to half the number of saturated bosonic components of the complex holom orphic supersymmetry. This consistent reduction is a common feature of all complex holom orphic supersymmetries and not a special case of just the (4;1) spacetime.

It should be noticed that the 36 bosonic components of the saturated (4;1) R R supersymmetry are recovered from the 16 + 20 bosonic components of the saturated hermitian and holomorphic supersymmetries. In a loose notation we can symbolically write

$$RR CC_{I} + CC_{II}:$$
(31)

The above decomposition is a common property of hermitian and holom orphic complex supersymmetries.

Let us now discuss the complex supersymmetries associated with a quaternionic spacetime such as (5;0), whose fundamental spinors are two-component quaternions. In this case we should expect that the total number of bosonic components would not exceed 6 for herm itian supersymmetry and respectively 12 for holom orphic supersymmetry (see table (23)). Perform – ing the same analysis as before for (5;0) we get

i) in the C H $_{\rm I}$ case the bosonic sector is expressed as

$$M_0 + M_1$$
; (32)

the expected 6 boson ic components (real counting) of the saturated herm it ian algebra are indeed recovered through

$$6 = 1 + 5;$$
 (33)

it should be noticed that now the rank k antisym metric tensors are related by the H odge duality (i.e. $M_0 = M_5$, $M_I = M_4$);

ii) in the C H $_{\rm II}$ case the bosonic sector is expressed as

the two sectos being related by H odge-duality, so that the total num ber of bosonic components (real counting) is given by 10. In the C H $_{II}$ case the reality condition discussed above for the C C $_{II}$ case is autom atically implemented.

Let us now discuss the quaternionic supersymmetries based on (5;0). For what concerns CH_I, due to the (25) isomorphisms (implying in particular HH_I CH_I), we recover the same

results as for the C H $_{\rm I}$ case above. Quite di erent is the situation for the H H $_{\rm II}$ case. W e recall that C li ord matrices are now expressed with quaternionic entries and that the bosonic sector in the rhs. of the supersymmetry algebra is expressed through symmetric matrices (related by transposition) with quaternionic entries. The problem with transposition applied to quaternions is that it does not respect the quaternionic composition law. Indeed, if we try in plementing transposition on in aginary quaternions we are in conject with their product since, e.g., $(e_1 \ e)^T = e_2^T \ e^T = e_3 \in e_3^T$ (the only consistent operation would correspond to setting $e_i^T = e_i$, which in fact coincides with the principal conjugation already employed in the construction of quaternionic herm itian matrices). In a D -dimensional quaternionic space-time, quaternionic Gamma matrices are split into D 3 m atrices with real entries plus 3 m atrices given by the three in aginary quaternions e, multiplying a common realmatrix T. The totally antisym metric products (C [1] metric [1] are no longer, for xed values of k 2, all symmetric or all antisymmetric in the a \$ b exchange. In the (5;0) example that we are discussing, realized 2 quaternionic m atrices, the basis of 12 sym m etric and 4 antisym m etric quaternionic by 2 m atrices are recovered through the following decom position. The 12 symmetric matrices are recovered from the 5 symmetric matrices given by C plus 7 symmetric matrices entering C []. The three remaining matrices in C [] are antisymmetric and, together with C, they provide the basis of the 4 antisymmetric 2 2 quaternionic matrices⁵. The Lorentz-covariance therefore requires that the M₂ bosonic sector cannot be used in constructing a quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetry for (5;0). Bosonic generators can only enter the 5-dimensional holom orphic quaternionic supersymmetry in the M₁ sector. This holom orphic quaternionic supersymm etry admits at most 5 bosonic generators. Since this number is less than 12 entering the (23) table, the quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetry cannot be saturated. This is a common feature of all H H II supersymmetries: they cannot possess bosonic tensorial central charges, but at most a single bosonic central charge given by the M $_0$ sector. Moreover, for dimensions above D = 4, they cannot be saturated. Their complete classi cation is provided in the next section.

It is convenient to sum marize this section results presenting a table with the basic properties of generalized supersymmetries, valid in any given space-time signature supporting the corresponding supersymmetry. In the table it is specified whether the H odge duality holds and the total number of bosonic components of the supersymmetry algebra. We have⁶

| SU SY | H odge | dpf: |
|-----------------|--------|------|
| R R | yes | S |
| RC | no | S |
| RΗ | yes | S |
| CCΙ | no | S |
| CΗI | yes | S |
| ΗΗ _I | yes | S |
| C C II | no | S [|
| $C H_{II}$ | yes | S] |
| H H $_{II}$ | yes | < |

(35)

 $^{^5\}mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{odge}\,\mathrm{duality}$ holds in the H H $_{\mathrm{II}}$ case.

 $^{^{6}}$ D ue to the (24) and (25) isom orphism s, som e repetitions are found in the table below . However, we prefer to leave these repetitions for clarity reasons.

The third column species the total number of bosonic components (bosonic degrees of freedom). S" stands for a supersymmetry algebra with maximal number of saturated bosonic components. $S^{["]}$ refers to the possibility of introducing a reality constraint such that the total number of bosonic components is halved (w.r.t. the saturation number). $S^{]"}$ species in which cases the reality condition is automatically implemented, so that the total number of bosonic components is half the number expected by saturation condition. Finally, < " species in which cases the saturation of the bosonic components can not be reached due to the Lorentz-covariance requirement for the bosonic sector (saturation only happens in D = 3;4 dimensions).

7 Generalized supersymmetries of the quaternionic spacetimes.

In this section we classify the complex and quaternionic generalized supersymmetries associated to quaternionic space-times carrying quaternionic fundamental spinors (i.e. those spacetimes possessing the quaternionic H entry in both the and the columns of table (15)).

| D = 3 | (0;3) |
|--------|------------------------------|
| D = 4 | (0;4), (4;0) |
| D = 5 | (1;4), (5;0) |
| D = 6 | (1;5), (5;1) |
| D = 7 | (2;5), (6;1) |
| D = 8 | (2;6), (6;2) |
| D = 9 | (3;6), (7;2) |
| D = 10 | (3;7), (7;3) |
| D = 11 | (4;7), (8;3), (0;11) |
| D = 12 | (4;8), (8;4), (0;12), (12;0) |
| D = 13 | (5;8), (9;4), (1;12), (13;0) |

Up to D = 13, such spacetimes are given by the following list

(36)

Due to the CH_{I} H H_I isomorphism (see (25)), only three di erent cases have to be considered, namely H H_I, CH_{II} and H H_{II}).

The following results do not depend on the signature of the space-time, but only on its dimensionality D. The odd-dimensional D spacetimes are maximal Cli ord spacetimes (see Section 2). They admit a uniquely de ned charge conjugation matrix. The even-dimensional spacetimes entering the (36) table above are non-maximal. Their fundamental spinors are W eyl-projected (according to the discussion in Section 2). The associated generalized supersymmetry algebras are therefore constructed by requiring hermitian (or, respectively, symmetric) conditions on the bosonic rhs., together with a non-vanishing upper-left diagonal block (let's recall that the supersymmetry algebras involving W eyl-projected spinors require the P projection introduced in (17)). These even-dimensional spacetimes support two inequivalent charge-conjugation matrices C_s , C_A (see the footnote at the beginning of Section 5). They

di er by a \generalized ⁵" m atrix ${}^{5} = {}_{def} \frac{1}{0} {}_{1} (C_{A} = C_{S} {}^{5})$. However, since the projection onto the upper-left diagonal block does not discrim inate between C_{S} , C_{A} , it is irrelevant in the r.h.s. expansion for bosonic generators (see example the formula (42) below for the Euclidean F-algebra) which charge conjugation m atrix is chosen.

Let us start with the herm itian quaternionic supersymmetry $H H_{I}$. In association with each one of the quaternionic spacetimes (36) the bosonic sector is decomposed in rank-k antisymmetric tensors, with total number of (real counting) bosonic components according to the table

| spacetim e | bosonic sectors | bosonic com ponents |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| D = 3 | M ₀ | 1 |
| D = 4 | M ₀ | 1 |
| D = 5 | $M_0 + M_1$ | 1 + 5 = 6 |
| D = 6 | M 1 | 6 |
| D = 7 | $M_1 + M_2$ | 7 + 21 = 28 |
| D = 8 | M 2 | 28 |
| D = 9 | $M_2 + M_3$ | 36 + 84 = 120 |
| D = 10 | M ₃ | 120 |
| D = 11 | $M_0 + M_3 + M_4$ | 1 + 165 + 330 = 496 |
| D = 12 | $M_0 + M_4$ | 1 + 495 = 496 |
| D = 13 | $M_0 + M_1 + M_4 + M_5$ | 1 + 13 + 715 + 1287 = 2016 |

(37)

P lease notice from the above table that the herm itian quaternionic supersymmetry saturates the bosonic sector, as expected.

In this one, as well as in the following tables, the signature of the space-times does not a ect the properties of the bosonic sector.

Let us now discuss the holom orphic supersym m etries associated with the (36) quaternionic spacetimes. The complex holom orphic supersymmetry C H $_{\rm II}$ is characterized by the table

| spacetim e | bosonic sectors | bosonic com ponents |
|------------|--|------------------------|
| D = 3 | M 1 | 3 |
| D = 4 | M∮ 2 | 3 |
| D = 5 | M 2 | 10 |
| D = 6 | M∮ ₃ | 10 |
| D = 7 | M ₀ + M ₃ | 1 + 35 = 36 |
| D = 8 | M ₀ + № 4 | 1+ 35 = 36 |
| D = 9 | $M_0 + M_1 + M_4$ | 1 + 9 + 126 = 136 |
| D = 10 | M ₁ + M 5 | 10 + 126 = 136 |
| D = 11 | M ₁ + M ₂ + M ₅ | 11 + 55 + 462 = 528 |
| D = 12 | M ₂ + № 6 | 66 + 462 = 528 |
| D = 13 | $M_2 + M_3 + M_6$ | 78 + 286 + 1716 = 2080 |

(38)

The tilde on the rank-k (for $k = \frac{D}{2}$) sectors $M_{\frac{D}{2}}$ speci es that they are self-dual (as such, their total num ber of bosonic components, in the real counting, is given by $\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{D}{D}$).

It should be noticed that the total counting of bosonic components in the third column in plies that the C H_{II} superalgebras adm it (see table (23)) half the number of bosonic components expected for complex spinors of the corresponding size, in accordance with (35). The recognition of this property becomes quite in portant when applied to the D = 11 and D = 12 rows of the table above. Their total number of bosonic components (528 = $\frac{1}{2}$ 1056) coincides with the number of bosonic components entering the M-algebra (16) and the F-algebra (18). In the next section we will see that their analytic continuation to the Euclidean is given by generalized supersymmetry algebras of C H_{II} type.

The last two tables are devoted to the quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetries H H $_{\rm II}$. A coording to the previous section discussion, we can state as a theorem that quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetries do not involve bosonic tensorial central charges. The only admissible sectors are given by the following table (together with the counting of their bosonic components)

| spacetim e | bosonic sectors | bosonic com ponents |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| D = 3 | M ₀ + M ₁ | 1 + 3 = 4 |
| D = 4 | M 1 | 4 |
| D = 5 | M 1 | 5 |
| D = 6 | | |
| D = 7 | | |
| D = 8 | | |
| D = 9 | М 0 | 1 |
| D = 10 | M ₀ + M ₁ | 1 + 10 = 11 |
| D = 11 | M ₀ + M ₁ | 1 + 11 = 12 |
| D = 12 | M 1 | 12 |
| D = 13 | M 1 | 13 |

(39)

The mod 8 property of C li ord G am m a matrices allows to generalize the previous table for quaternionic holom orphic H H $_{II}$ supersymmetries in quaternionic space-times, according to

| | D = 0;6;7 | m od | 8 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---|
| М о | D = 1 | m od | 8 |
| M 1 | D = 4;5 | m od | 8 |
| M ₀ + M ₁ | D = 2;3 | m od | 8 |

(40)

The above results can be interpreted as follows. Quaternionic holom orphic H H $_{II}$ supersym – metries only arise in D -dimensional quaternionic space-times, where D = 2;3;4;5 m od 8. No H H $_{II}$ supersymmetry exists in D = 0;6;7 m od 8 D -dimensional spacetimes.

In $D = 1 \mod 8$ dimensions, H H_{II} supersymmetries only involve a single bosonic charge. In this respect they fall into the class of quaternionic supersymmetric quantum mechanics, rather than supersymmetric relativistic theories.

Finally, the H H $_{II}$ supersymmetry algebra only admits a bosonic central charge in D - dimensional quaternionic spacetimes for D = 2;3 m od 8.

8 The 12-dim ensional Euclidean F-algebra.

This section is devoted to furnish some physical motivations for our framework, the notions that we have introduced and the results that have been obtained. We will discuss some potentially interesting physical applications, especially in connection with the holom orphic (C H $_{\rm II}$) supersymmetry.

In [13] the problem of nding an Euclidean counterpart to the M -algebra (16) was addressed. Euclidean 11-dimensional spinors need to be complexied. For what concerns the bosonic generators, on the other hand, their total number should not be changed in the Euclidean w.r.t. the M inkow skian spacetime. This means realizing an 11-dimensional Euclidean supersymmetry with 528 bosonic charges. By inspecting the table (23) and taking into account that 11-dimensional spinors have 32 complex components, it becomes clear that such an Euclidean supersymmetry with extra reality condition (see table (35)), which precisely means $\frac{1}{2}$ 1056 = 528 bosonic components.

The Euclidean M -algebra of reference [13] is indeed realized as follows

$$fQ_{a};Q_{b}g = (C_{a})_{ab}P + (C_{a})_{ab}Z_{[]} + (C_{a})_{ab}Z_{[1}..., 5];$$
(41)

together with its complex conjugation. All remaining (anti)commutation relations are vanishing (the bosonic charges P, $Z_{[]}, Z_{[1:1:5]}$ are abelian). In particular the relation $fQ_a; Q_b; g = 0$ is the holom orphic condition. The reality condition on the bosonic charges provides the correct counting of the bosonic components. In [13] it was further shown how to obtain (41) by analytic continuation of the M inkowskian M -algebra (16). We will prove now that, just like the M inkowskian M -algebra adm its the (10;2)-spacetim eF -algebra (18) counterpart, the Euclidean M -algebra (41) adm its a 12-dimensional counterpart, also Euclidean, which can be named \the Euclidean F -algebra".

The construction goes as follows. The quaternionic space (0;11) can be lifted, see (6), to the quaternionic space (13;0) ((0;11) ! (13;0)). The non-maximal Cli ord (12;0) (13;0) dimensional reduction is a quaternionic space admitting W eylprojected (in the sense specified in Section 2) quaternionic fundamental spinors with total number, in real counting, of 64 components. Am ong all possible supersymmetries carried by such spinors, the complex holom orphic C H_{II} supersymmetry (using the notation of Section 6) is the only one which automatically provides a total number of 528 bosonic components. The Euclidean F -algebra is given by the following relation

$$f \mathfrak{G}_{a}; \mathfrak{G}_{b} g = P (\mathfrak{C}^{e[ee]})_{ab} \mathfrak{E}_{[ee]} + P (\mathfrak{C}^{e[e_{1} \dots e_{6}]})_{ab} \mathfrak{E}_{[e_{1} \dots e_{6}]};$$
(42)

(together with its complex conjugate, while all other (anti)commutators are vanishing). The tilde's refer to quantities related to the 12-dimensional Euclidean space (12;0). The action of the operator P on the rhs. has been de ned in (17).

The bosonic rh.s. is given by a 66-component rank-2 antisymmetric tensor plus a 462component rank-6 selfdual antisymmetric tensor. It should be pointed out that the dimensional reduction to 11 dimensions immediately gives the Euclidean M -algebra (41).

It is likely that these complex holom orphic Euclidean algebras would play a role in form ulating quantized theories through functional integral. It is worth mentioning that, despite the fact that the M -theory itself has not yet been constructed, in the literature, how ever, dynam ical system s satisfying the supposed symmetry algebra of the M -theory (see [21]) have been introduced, see e.g. [22] and references therein. A proper functional integral quantization of such systems could require the above Euclidean supersymmetries. These considerations point towards a possible physical relevance of the mathematical construction discussed in this paper.

9 Conclusions.

This paper was devoted to perform a classi cation of (real, complex and quaternionic) generalized supersymmetries. We introduced the further notions of hermitian (complex and quaternionic) and holomorphic (complex and quaternionic) generalized supersymmetries and presented their classi cation in a series of tables, expressing their fundamental properties (such as the decomposition of their bosonic sector, the total number of their bosonic components, the Hodge-duality related properties, etc.).

In order to be successful we clari ed at rst the necessary ingredients entering such a classi cation (mainly the connection of C li ord algebras and spinors with division algebras). The content of the paper is mainly mathematical. It should not be however dismissed the fact that its main motivation is based on physical considerations. Indeed, within our classi cation we proved that the Euclidean analytic continuation of the M -algebra of reference [13] is an 11-dimensional complex holomorphic supersymmetry which also admits a 12-dimensional Euclidean presentation (see formula (42), the so-called \Euclidean F -algebra"). It should be stressed that these Euclidean counterparts of the M inkowskian M algebra and of the (10;2) F -algebra could nd physical applications in performing the functional integral quantization of dynamical systems and itting M -algebra related symmetries (see e.g. [21] and, for given examples of such systems, [22] and references therein).

The fact that the Euclidean F and M algebras arise as complex holom orphic algebras of C H $_{\rm II}$ type in our classi cation, clari es why in [13] the reality condition on the bosonic sector (halving the number of bosonic degrees of freedom) had to be im posed.

We further discussed and classi ed quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetries. We did not attempt in this paper to nd for them physical applications, but we succeeded in proving their fundamental properties which can be stated as a theorem (see table (40)). Quaternionic holom orphic supersymmetries only exist in speci c space-timed in ensions. They can not possess higher rank bosonic tensorial central charges, but at most (depending on the dimensionality of the space-time) a single bosonic central charge.

Finally, let us present a very partial list of physical topics and open problem s where the present results could hopefully ind application (some of the topics here mentioned are actually under current investigation). We have already mentioned in the text that superparticles models with tensorial central charges have been investigated in 4-dimensional spacetimes (in [14] in terms of real spinors and in [15] in terms of complex spinors). These models are linked with the Fronsdal proposal [23] of introducing bosonic tensorial coordinates for dealing with higher spin theories. This subject is related to the arising of a massless higher spin eld theory in the tensionless limit of superstring theory and can be addressed within several di erent view points (see e.g. [24]). For a recent review one can consult [25] and the references therein. Needless to say, our results pave the way for a uni ed description and generalization of the superparticle models with tensorial central charges (as well as of other dynam ical system s presenting analogous features).

A nother promising line of investigation concerns the deformation of superspace realized through the introduction of non-anticom muting odd coordinates, assumed to satisfy a C li ord algebra (see [26]). This is presently an active branch of research. The mathematical results and the formalism discussed in this paper are quite suitable for investigating these deformations.

Let us nally comment that, despite the fact that in this paper only the \generalized supertranslation algebras" (in the box sense discussed in the Introduction) were investigated and classi ed, the construction of (extended) superPoincare algebras can be straightforwardly recovered by adopting the procedure of [11]. This construction requires that at rst two separated copies of supertranslation algebras have to be introduced, so that the whole associated superconform all algebra can be extracted by imposing the closure of the Jacobi identities. Next, the (extended) superPoincare algebra is obtained by means of a Inonu-W igner type of contraction.

A cknow ledgm ents.

I have proted of precious discussions with H L. Carrion, J. Lukierski, M. Rojas and of helpfulrem arks by Z. Kuznetsova.

References

- R.D'Auria, S.Ferrara, M A.Lledo and V S.Varadarajan, J.Geom. Phys. 40 101 (2001);
 R.D'Auria, S.Ferrara and M A.Lledo, Lett. M ath. Phys. 57, 123 (2001); S.Ferrara and
 M A.Lledo, Rev. M ath. Phys. 14, 519 (2002).
- [2] D.V.Alekseevsky, V.Cortes, C.Devchand and A.van Proeyen, \Polyvector Super-Poincare Algebras", hep-th/0311107.
- [3] R.Haag, J.Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius, NuclPhys. B 88, 257 (1975).
- [4] JA.de Azcarraga, JP.G auntlett, JM. Izquierdo and PK.Townsend, Phys.Rev.Lett. 63 2443 (1989).
- [5] P. Townsend, hep-th/9712004, Cargese Lectures, 1997.
- [6] R.Guven, Phys. Lett. B 276 49 (1992)
- [7] K.S. Stelle, \Lectures on Supergravity p-branes", 1996 ICTP Summer School, hep-th/9701088.
- [8] M.F.Atiyah, R.Bott and A.Shapiro, Topology (Suppl. 1) 3, 3 (1964).
- [9] IR . Porteous, \C li ord A lgebras and the C lassical G roups", C am bridge Un. Press, 1995.
- [10] S.O.kubo, J.M ath. Phys. 32, 1657 (1991); ibid. 32, 1669 (1991).
- [11] J.Lukierski and F.Toppan, Phys. Lett. B 539, 266 (2002).
- [12] J.Lukierski and F.Toppan, Phys. Lett. B 567, 125 (2003).

- [13] J.Lukierski and F.Toppan, Phys. Lett. B 584, 315 (2004).
- [14] E. Sezgin and I. Rudychev, \Superparticles, p-form coordinates and the BPS condition", hep-th/9711128.
- [15] I.Bandos and J.Lukierski, Mod.Phys.Lett.A 14 1257 (1999).
- [16] C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 469 403 (1996).
- [17] H.L.Carrion, M.Rojas and F.Toppan, JHEP04 (2003) 040.
- [18] H.L.Carrion, M.Rojas and F.Toppan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18, 787 (2003).
- [19] J.Baez, The O ctonions", m ath RA /0105155.
- [20] T.Kugo and P.Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B 221, 357 (1983).
- [21] P.W est, JHEP 0008 (2000) 007.
- [22] M. Hassaine, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, E leven-dimensional supergravity as a gauge-theory for the M-algebra", hep-th/0306258.
- [23] C.Fronsdal, \M assless Particles, Ortosym plectic Sym metry and Another Type of Kaluza-Klein Theory", in \Essays on Supersymmetry", Reidel (1986) (M ath. Phys. Studies, v. 8).
- [24] M A. Vasiliev, \Higher Spin Gauge Theories in Various D in ensions", hep-th/0401177; \Higher Spin Superalgebras in any D in ension and their Representations", hep-th/0404124.
- [25] D. Sorokin, $\ln t$ to the C lassical Theory of H igher Spin", hep-th/0405069.
- [26] N. Seiberg, JHEP 0306 (2003) 010.