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In this review, we discuss con ning and nite-tem perature properties of the 3D SU (N) Georgi-G lashow model, and of 4D com pact QED.At zero tem perature, we derive string representations ofboth theories, thus constructing the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-version ofP olyakov's theory of con ning strings. $W$ e discuss geom etric properties of con ning strings, as well as the appearance of the string term from the eld-theoretical one in 4D, and $k$-string tensions at $\mathrm{N}>2$. In particular, we point out the relevance of negative sti ness for stabilizing con ning strings, an e ect recently re-discovered in $m$ aterial science. At nite tem perature, we present a derivation of the con ning-string free energy and show that, at the one-loop level and for a certain class of string $m$ odels in the large-D lim it, it $m$ atches that of QCD at large $N$. This crucialm atching is again a consequence of the negative sti ness. In the discussion of the nite-tem perature properties of the 3D G eorgi-G lashow m odel, in order to be closer to Q CD, we m ostly concentrate at the e ects produced by som e extensions of the $m$ odelby extemalm atter elds, such as dynam ical fundam ental quarks or photinos, in the supersym $m$ etric generalization of the $m$ odel.
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$M$ any papers related to this review w ould have neverbeen $w$ ritten $w$ thout Ian K ogan's sem inal ideas on the nite-tem perature phase transitions in string theory and in the 3D Georgi-G lashow model. The sudden death of Ian $K$ ogan was the loss for physics and a personal tragedy for everybody who had known him, in particular for the authors of this $m$ anuscript. In the personal sense, Ian w as a very bright m an w th a warm heart, and he w illbe deeply $m$ issed as a good friend. W e nevertheless strongly believe that Ian's ideas w ill survive over decades, inspiring many new generations of physicists.

## 1. Introduction

D uring last 30 years, the problem of quark con nem ent rem ains as a great challenge not only to Q CD theorists, but to the w hole theoreticalh igh-energy physics comm unity. In general, con nem ent can be de ned as the absence in the spectrum of a certain eld theory of physical j̈ni and juti states of som e particles, whose elds are nevertheless present in the Lagrangian of that theory (see e.g. [1\{3] for review $s$ and books on con nem ent). W ith regard to $Q C D$, this de nition $m$ eans the absence of asym ptotic quark and ghon states, i.e. states which carry color. This fact is re ected in the linear grow th $w$ th the distance of the potentialbetw een tw o color particles, as well as in the logarithm ic grow th of the strong-coupling constant. The latter $m$ akes the standard pertunbative diagram $m$ atic techniques inapplicable at the distances larger than $0 \quad \stackrel{1}{2 C D}$. H ow ever, such distances are of the prim ary interest, since only there physically observable colorless states of hadrons are form ed, whereas at sm aller distances one deals w th the unphysical colored states \{ quarks, gluons, and ghosts. A question, which may naturally be posed at this point, is whether the Q CD Lagrangian, operating w th these colored states only, yields eventually the correct description of colorless degrees of freedom as well. A reliable indication that this is really the case com es from the sim ulations of the Q CD Lagrangian on a lattioe (for recent review s see e.g. [2,5,6]), which yield a reasonably good description of hadronic spectra. H ow ever, as of today, a system atic analytic way of description of large-distance e ects in QCD in term s ofm icroscopic (colored) degrees of freedom is unfortunately lacking. The breakdown of the perturbative expansion at large distances under discussion naturally introduces the notion nonperturbative for these e ects, as well as for techn iques, which

[^1]physicists attem pt to invent for their description.
It is actually not a great fault that the perturbative expansion is inapplicable at large distances, since, being form ulated in term $s$ of colored states, it is anyw ay not suitable to keep gauge invariance under control. Indeed, an individual diagram is alw ays gauge-dependent, m erely because a certain gauge xing should be perform ed before one starts to com pute diagram $s$. $C$ ontrary to that, hadronic states, being colorless, are gauge-invariant. M oreover, since the QCD vacuum possesses an unbroken gauge sym metry, only gauge-invariant am plitudes, being averaged over the vacuum, yield a nonvanishing result. A though the gauge dependence disappears w hen one sum sup contributions of individualdiagram s to a certain gauge-invariant quantity, it would be m ore natural to have an expansion operating $w$ th such quantities already at any interm ediate stage. Such an expansion, which is the expansion in the inverse num ber of colors, has been proposed by 't H ooft [9] and further developed in the fram ew ork of loop equations [10] (see e.g. [11] for review s$)$. This is a classic exam ple of a nonperturbative approach to Q CD .

A nother nonperturbative phenom enon in QCD,whidh is of the sam e fundam ental im portance as con nem ent, and whose com plete analytic understanding is also still lacking, is the spontaneous chiral sym m etry breaking (SCSB). This is the symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian $w$ th $N_{f} m$ assless avors under the global transform ations, which are the $U\left(\mathbb{N}_{f}\right) \quad U\left(\mathbb{N}_{f}\right)$ independent rotations of left-and right-handed quark elds. T hese are equivalent to the independent vector and axial $U\left(\mathbb{N}_{f}\right)$ rotations of the fill fourcom ponent Dirac spinors, under which the QCD Lagrangian rem ains invariant as well. At the sam e time, the axial transform ations $m$ ix states $w$ th di erent $P$-parities. T herefore, were the chiral sym m etry unbroken, one would observe parity degeneracy of all the states, whose other quantum numbers are the sam e. The observed splittings betw een such states

[^2]are, how ever, too large to be explained by the $s m$ all quark $m$ asses. $N$ am ely, this splitting is of the order of hundreds M eV , whereas the current $m$ asses of light $u$ - and d-quarks are of the order of a few $\mathrm{MeV} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{Th}$ is observation tells us that the chiral sym metry of the QCD Lagrangian is broken down spontaneously. The phenom enon of SC SB naturally leads to the appearance of light pseudoscalar G oldstone bosons, whose role is played by pions, which are indeed the lightest of all the hadrons. T he order param eter of SC SB is the chiral quark condensate $\quad$, $(250 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})^{3}$. Its appearance is quite natural in QCD, where, due to the strong attraction between quarks and antiquarks, it costs very little energy to create a m assless quark-antiquark pair. O nce having zero totalm om entum and angularm om entum, such pairs should carry net chiral charge, hence is the notion \chiral condensate".

Since the early days of Q CD, when it has been realized that its nonperturbative phenom ena, con nem ent and SCSB, are of the fundam ental im portance, num erous nonperturbative approaches have been proposed in an attem pt to describe som e of these tw o phenom ena in a controllable way. O n the side of con nem ent, these approaches include e.g. the already m entioned expansion in the large num ber of colors [9], loop equations [10], stochastic vacuum m odel [1], m ethod ofA belian projections [14]. O $n$ the side of SC SB, the classic approach is the one based on the N am bu \{Jona-Lasinio \{type m odels [17], as well as on the related nonlinear chiralm eson Lagrangians [18] (the latter approach has further been developed in [19]; for a review see [20]). There exist also microscopic models of SCSB in QCD, based on instantons [21] or dyons [22], which have been put forw ard in Refs. [23] and [24], respectively.

A though a lot of physical e ects have been captured by the above$m$ entioned $m$ ethods, none of these $m$ ethods unfortunately provides the full solution of Q CD. T he concept of \fill solution" has several facets. A ccording to a conventional understanding of a solution of a certain eld theory,

[^3]it $m$ eans a prescription of how to com pute an anbitrary gauge-invariant vacuum am plifude. In QCD, the results should be in an agreem ent with the experim entaland lattige data on the properties of hadrons. The ghonic and quark averages should then give a correct quantitative description of connem ent and SC SB, respectively. The values of local averages (condensates) and relations betw een these quantities should also agree w ith those known from QCD sum rules [25]. Furthem ore, the standard diagram m atic expansion should be reproducible (giving, in particular, the asym ptotic freedom), and the nonperturbative dim ensionfulquantities should be m ade expressible in term s of the only dim ensionfulQCD param eter, QCD. M oreover, some constraints, which are accepted to be rigorous in Q CD, should be obeyed. For instance, the above-m entioned expansion in the large num ber of colors should be correctly reproducible and should respect the large $N$ loop equation. The obtained solution of $Q C D$ should also accom m odate classical vacuum con gurations of the ghodynam ics action, such as instantons.

In this review, we are going to discuss the 3D Georgi-G lashow (G G ) m odel, which is the QCD related m odel possessing the property of con ne$m$ ent [26]. O urprim ary goalw ill therefore be the study of con nem ent (and not of the SC SB ), as wellas of the decon ning phase transition at nite tem peratures. The advantage of the $3 \mathrm{D} G \mathrm{Gm}$ odelw ith respect to $Q C D$ is that con nem ent in it takes place in the weak-coupling regim e. It tums out that, already in this regim $e$, the vacuum (i.e. the ground state) of this m odel is nonperturbative, being populated by 't H ooft-P olyakov m onopoles [27], which provide the perm anent con nem ent of extemal fundam ental charges. A s a guiding principle of our analysis we w ill use the string picture of connem ent, therefore let us brie y discuss it.

In QCD , the linearly rising con ning interquark potential is associated to a string-like con guration of the ghonic eld betw een quarks, usually called the Q CD string. Indeed, the energy of a string grow s linearly w ith its length, $E(R)=R$. $A$ Acording to the $R$ egge phenom enology, the string energy density , called the string tension, is approxim ately equal ( 440 M eV$)^{2}$. The string can naturally be called con ning (the notion, which is alw ays used in con ning gauge theories other than $Q C D$, such as the $3 D G G \mathrm{~m}$ odel), since w ith the increase of the distance $R$, it stretches and prevents a quark and an antiquark from the separation to $m$ acroscopic distances. As any

[^4]dim ensionfulquantity in QCD, the string tension should be proportional to the respective pow er of QCD. Nam ely,
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text {, a }{ }^{2} \exp \frac{16^{2}}{\frac{11}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~g}^{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)} \text {; } \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where a ! 0 stands for the distance UV cuto (e.g. the lattice spacing). Furtherm ore, \' " m eans \at the one-loop level", at which

$$
\left(9^{2}\right)^{\prime} \quad \frac{11}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad \frac{9^{2}}{16^{2}}:
$$

A s it is explicitly seen from Eq. (1.1), all the coe cients in the expansion of in (positive) powers of $g^{2}$ vanish, which m eans that the QCD string is indeed an essentially nonperturbative ob ject. T he string should therefore be produced by som e nonperturbative background elds. O n top of these, how ever, one expects to have som e quantum uctuations of the gauge eld, which give rise to the string excitations.

The con ning quark-antiquark potentialcorresponds to the so-called area law of the $W$ ilson loop: ${ }^{\text {h }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * } 0 \text { I } 1+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere, $m$ in ( $C$ ) is the surface of the $m$ inim al area, bounded by the tra jectory C of the quark-antiquark pair, and j:::jm eans either a length or an area. The con ning string, which sweeps out the $m$ inim al surface, can naturally be view ed as a product of the above-m entioned strong background elds. Instead, quantum uctuations around these enable the string to sw eep out w ith a nonvanishing probability any other surface (C), di erent from $m$ in (C). To derive the string representation of QCD would then $m$ ean to give sense
${ }^{h}$ It should be confronted $w$ ith the perim eter law,

$$
\text { hW (C) } i^{\text {jC } j!}!^{1} e^{\text {const }}{ }^{j C} ;{ }^{j}
$$

which corresponds to the C oulom b potential and takes place in uncon ning theories, e.g. (noncom pact) Q ED .
to the follow ing form ula:
hN (C)i $\quad$ hW $(m$ in $(C)) i={ }^{X} \quad e^{S[(C)]}:$
(C)

Here, ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ and S [ (C ) ] stand for a certain sum over string world sheets and (C)
a string e ective action, both of which are yet unknown in QCD.
The rst problem one encounters in trying to determ ine $S$ [ (C)] is that fundam entalstrings [28] can be quantized only in criticaldim ensions: a consistent quantum theory describing strings out of critical dim ensions has not yet been found. The sim plest $m$ odel, the $N$ am bu \{G oto string, can be quantized only in space-tim e dim ension $D=26$ orD 1 because of the conform al anom aly. It is appropriate to describe an e ective string picture for con ne$m$ ent in QCD, but is inappropriate to describe fundam ental sm ooth strings dual to QCD [29], since large Euclidean w orld-sheets are crum pled. The picture of a fundam ental string theory dual to QCD is strongly supported by a recent lattioe calculation by Luscher and W eisz [30], where evidence of a string behavior in the static quark-antiquark potential has been found dow $n$ to distances of 0.5 fm .

In the rigid-string action $[31,32]$, the $m$ arginal term proportional to the square of the extrinsic curvature, introduced to avoid crum pling, tums out to be infrared irrelevant and, thus, unable to provide sm ooth surfaces.

Recent progress in this eld is based on a new type of action. In its local form ulation [33,34], the string action is induced by an antisym $m$ etric tensor eld. This action realizes explicitly the necessary zig-zag invariance of con ning strings $[34,35]$. It can be derived w ithout extra assum ptions [36] for the con ning phase of com pact $U$ (1) gauge theories [3]. A $n$ altemative approach to the induced string action was originally proposed in [37], and is based on a ve-dim ensional, curved space-tim e string action w th the quarks living on a four-dim ensional horizon [38]. The form ulation of the string theory in the ve-dim ensional curved space-tim e is closely related to the AdS/CFT (A ntide Sitter/C onform alF ield Theory) correspondence [39]. In fact, with a special choice of the $m$ etric in the curved space, one recovers the $\mathrm{AdS}_{5}$ space, thereby providing a string theory description of a conform al gauge theory [39].

The $m$ ain characteristic of the e ective string action obtained by integrating out the tensor eld is a non-local interaction w ith negative sti ness, that can be expressed as a derivative expansion of the interaction between surface elem ents. To perform an analytic analysis of the geom etric proper-
ties of these strings, this expansion $m$ ust be truncated: this clearly $m$ akes the $m$ odel non-unitary, but in a spurious way. M oreover, since the sti ness is negative, a stable truncation $m$ ust, at least, include a sixth-order term in the derivatives. The role of negative sti ness, as rst pointed out in $[36,40]$ is crucial. It is in fact the sixth-order term, foroed by the negative sti ness, that suppresses the form ation of spikes on the surfaces and leads to a sm ooth surface in the large-D approxim ation. In fact, in $[40,41]$ it has been show $n$ that, in the large- $D$ approxim ation, this $m$ odel has an infrared xed point at zero sti ness, corresponding to a tensionless sm ooth string whose world sheet has $H$ ausdor dim ension 2, exactly the desired properties to describe Q CD ux tubes. As rst noticed in [42,43], the long-range orientational order in this $m$ odel is due to an antiferrom agnetic interaction betw een nom als to the surface, a $m$ echan ism con $m$ ed by num erical sim ulations [44]. The presence of the infrared xed point does not depend on the truncation [41] and it is present for all ghost-and tachyon-free truncations. M oreover, the e ective theory describing the infrared behavior of the con ning string is a conform al eld theory (CFT) w ith central charge $\mathrm{c}=1$.

A nother im portant feature of the negative-sti ness $m$ odel is its hightem perature behavior. C ontrary to all previous string m odels for Q CD, it is $a b l e$ to reproduce the large-N QCD behavior, found by Polchinskiand Yang in [89], in both sign and reality properties [45].

It is rem arkable that the role of negative sti ness, while rst discovered in the context of string $[36,40]$ and $m$ em branes [47], has been rediscovered, and actually experim entally tested, also in $m$ aterial science [48]. In fact, it has been found that com posites with negative sti ness inclusions have higher overall sti ness than that of their constituents. Such com posites nd applications in which high sti ness and dam ping are needed, perm itting extrem e properties not previously anticipated.

Equation (12) would clearly be a generalization of a path-integral representation for a propagator of a point-like particle, say boson, $h(x)\left(x^{0}\right) i=$
$e^{\left.S \mathbb{P}_{x \times}{ }^{00}\right]}$, where $P_{x x^{0}}$ is a path connecting the points $x$ and $x^{0}$. In par$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x} \times}{ }^{0}$ ticular, with in this analogy, the role of the classical tra jectory of a particle would be played by $m$ in (C ). For a point-like particle, the $m$ easure in the sum over paths is known and depends only on the dim ensionality of the space-tim e. The world-line action $\left.S \mathbb{P}_{x x}{ }^{0}\right]$ can also be evaluated, either analytically [for certain potentials $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{)}$ ) or extemal gauge elds, in case if is charged and interacts w ith any], or using Feynm an's variationalm ethod. O n the string side, a derivation of the m easure in the sum over world sheets has been discussed in Ref. [49] for the case of the A belian $H$ iggs $m$ odel in
the London lim it. As it willbe seen below, in a certain case, string e ective action derivable in the 3D G G m odel is the sam e as that of the London lim it of the dualA belian $H$ iggs $m$ odel. T he sum $m$ ation over string w orld sheets is, how ever, realized in these tw o m odels in di erent ways. N am ely, in the dual A belian $H$ iggs $m$ odel it stem $s$ from the integration over singularities of the dualH iggs eld (vortex cores), which is already present in the originalpartition function. Instead, the 3D G G m odeldoes not contain any dualA belian $H$ iggs eld, and the sum $m$ ation over w orld sheets in this $m$ odel is realized by $m$ eans of the resulting string e ective action itself [34]. $N$ um erous investigations of this action have further been perform ed (see e.g. R efs. $[36,42,43]$ ), and som e of these will be discussed in this review. A separate section will be devoted to the nite-tem perature properties of con ning strings [45].

For the punpose of study of the so-called $k$-strings and $m$ erely to be closer to the realQCD, we w illdealw th the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-generalization of the standard SU (2) G G m odel, which will be introduced in the next section. As for the $(\mathbb{N}=2) 3 D \quad G G \mathrm{~m}$ odel, 4 is a classic exam ple [26] of a theory, which allow $s$ for an analytic description of con nem ent. A $s$ it has already been $m$ entioned, con nem ent in this odel is due to the plasm a ofpoint-like m agnetic $m$ onopoles, which produce random $m$ agnetic uxes through the contour of the $W$ ilson loop. In the weak-coupling regim e of the $m$ odel, this plasm $a$ is dihute, and the interaction betw een m onopoles is C oulom bic, being induced by the dualphoton exchanges. Since the energy of a single monopole is a quadratic function of its ux, it is energetically favorable for the vacuum to support a con guration of two monopoles of unit charge (in the units of the $m$ agnetic coupling constant, $g_{m}$ ), rather than a single, doubly-charged m onopole. O w ing to this fact, m onopoles of unit charge dom inate in the vacuum, whereas m onopoles of higher charges tend to dissociate to them . Sum $m$ ing over the grand canonical ensem ble of $m$ onopoles of unit charge, interacting w th each other by the C oulomblaw, one arrives at an e ective low -energy theory, whidh is a 3D sine-G ordon theory of a dualphoton. The latter acquires a m ass (visible upon the expansion of the cosine potential) by m eans of the D ebye screening in the C oulom b plasm a . T he appearance of this (exponentially $s m a l l) \mathrm{m}$ ass and, hence, of a nite (albeit exponentially large) vacuum correlation length is cnucial for the generation of the funda$m$ ental string tension, i.e. for the con nem ent of an extemal fundam ental $m$ atter. It is w orth noting that a physically im portant interpretation of these ideas in term $s$ of spontaneous breaking of $m$ agnetic $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry has been presented in review $s$ [50] and Refs. therein.

W hile con ning properties of the 3D G G m odelare well known since the
pioneering Polyakov's paper [26], the nite-tem perature properties of this m odel have been addressed only recently, starting w th the papers $[51,52]$. It tumed out [52] that charged $m$ atter elds of $W$-bosons play the crucial role for the dynam ics of the phase transition. Below we w ill review this issue and also discuss the in uence of otherm atter elds to the nite-tem perature properties of the $m$ odel. Such elds are either already present in the original Lagrangian (e.g. Higgs [53], or dual photinos in the supersym $m$ etric generalization of the $m$ odel (54]), or can be included in the fram ew ork of a certain extension of the $m$ odel (e.g. $m$ assless fundam ental quarks 55] or a heavy fundam entalbosonic $m$ atter [56]).

The outline of the review is the follow ing. In the next section, we will introduce the 3D GG model in the general SU (N) case. In section 3, we will nd the string tension of the fundam entalW ilson loop de ned at a at contour (henceforce called for shortness $\backslash$ at $W$ ilson loop"). In section 4, we will develop a theory of con ning strings based on the $K a l b-R a m$ ond eld, which enables one to dealw ith non- at W ilson loops. U sing for concreteness the case of fundam ental representation, we w ill present in subsection 4.1 tw o $m$ ethods, by $m$ eans of which the theory of con ning strings can be derived. In subsection 42, the case of the adjoint $W$ ilson loop w illbe considered, and the respective theory of con ning strings will be constructed in the large N lim it. In subsection 4.3, we w ill study the spectrum of $k$-strings, i.e. strings between souroes in (higher) representations with a nonvanishing $N$ ality. $T$ hese souroes carry a charge k with respect to the center of the gauge group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and can be seen as a superposition of k fundam ental charges. C learly, the spectrum of such strings is an im portant ingredient for the com plete description of the con ning dynam ics of the 3D GG m odel. In section 5, the obtained $S U(\mathbb{N})$-theory of con ning strings will be generalized to the SU (N) -version of 4D compact QED (in the continuum lim it) with the eldtheoretical term. As it has been found in Refs. [33,43], for the usual com pact QED, th is term leads to the appearance of the string term. The latter, being proportional to the num ber of self-intersections of the world sheet, $m$ ight help in the solution of the problem of crum pling of large w orld sheets [3,31]. C ritical values of , at which this happens, will be derived in the generalSU $(\mathbb{N})$-case for fundam entaland adjoint representations, as well as for $k$-strings. In section 6, various geom etric features of con ning strings will be studied. In section 7, them odynam ics of con ning strings will be discussed, and a derivation of the one-loop free energy of a string in the large-D lim it will be presented. A gain, we will show that it is the presence of negative sti ness that allows one to reproduce the large-N behavior of
high-tem perature QCD.
In section 8, we will pass from the therm odynam ics of con ning strings to the them odynam ics of the 3D G G m odel itself. A fter an introduction into this subject in subsection 8.1, we will pay a particular attention to the in uence of various $m$ atter elds to the dynam ics of the decon ning phase transition. In subsections 82 and 8.3, we will consider an approxim ation where W -bosons are disregarded. Subsection 82 w ill be devoted to the in uence of the Higgs eld (when it is not in nitely heavy) to the RG
ow, while in subsection 8.3 we will consider the m odel in the presence of extemal dynam ical fiundam ental quarks. In subsection 8.4 , we will rst discuss the crucial role of $W$-bosons to the dynam ics of the phase transition in the nite-tem perature 3D G G m odeland then consider the supersym $m$ etric generalization of the m odel. In the Sum $m$ ary section 9 , the $m$ ain points discussed in the review will.be em phasized once again.
2. The SU (N) 3D G G m odel

The Euclidean action of the $3-d \mathrm{G}$ eorgi-G lashow m odel reads [26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=d^{Z} \times \frac{1}{4 g^{2}} F^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\left(D \quad{ }^{a}\right)^{2}+\frac{-}{4}\left({ }^{a}\right)^{2} \quad 2^{2} ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $H$ iggs eld a transform s by the adjoint representation, i.e. D a @ ${ }^{a}+\operatorname{mabc}_{A}{ }^{b}$ c. The weak-coupling regime $g^{2} \quad m_{w}$, which w ill be assum ed henceforth, parallels the requirem ent that should be large enough to ensure the spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking from $S U$ (2) to $U$ (1). At the perturbative level, the spectrum of the $m$ odel in the $H$ iggs phase consists of a $m$ assless photon, two heavy, charged $W_{p}$-bosons $w$ ith $m$ ass $m_{W}=g$, and a neutral Higgs eld $w$ th $m$ ass $m_{H}=P \frac{1}{2}$.

W hat is, how ever, $m$ ore im portant is the nonperturbative content of the $m$ odel, represented by the fam ous't H ooft-P olyakov $m$ onopole [27]. It is a solution to the classicalequations ofm otion, which has the follow ing H iggsand vector- eld parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{a}={ }^{33} u(r), u(0)=0, u(r)^{r!} \text { ! } \quad \exp (\mathrm{mf} r)=(\mathrm{gr}) ; \\
& A^{1 ; 2}(x)^{r!} \text { ! } O\left(e^{m_{W} r}\right) \text {, } \\
& \text { H " @ } A^{3}=\frac{x}{r^{3}} \quad 4 \text { (x) ( } x_{2} \text { ) ( } x_{3} \text { ) } \quad 3 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as the follow ing action $\delta=\underline{4}$. Here, $\quad g^{2}=m_{w}$ is the weak-coupling param eter, $=\left(m_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ is a certain m onotonic, slow ly varying function, $1, \quad(0)=1$ (BPS-lim it) [57],
(1 )' 1:787 [58].

T he following approxim ate saddle-point solution (w hich becom es exact in the BPS-lim it) has been found in Ref. [26]:
 at $m_{H}!1$, the usual com pact-Q ED action is recovered, in the BPS-lim it one has

$$
S^{\prime} N S_{0}+\frac{g_{m}^{2}}{8} \underbrace{N^{N}}_{\substack{a ; b=1 \\ a \in b}} \frac{q_{a} q_{b}}{\dot{k}_{a}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{j} j} ;
$$

i.e. the interaction of two monoles doubles for opposite and vanishes for equalcharges. Therefore, in this lim it, the standard $m$ onopole-antim onopole C oulom b plasm a recom bines itself into tw o m utually noninteracting subsystem s , consisting ofm onopoles and antim onopoles. T he interaction betw een ob jects inside each of these subsystem s has the double strength $w$ ith respect to the interaction in the initial plasm a.
$W$ hen $m_{H}<1$, the sum $m$ ation over the grand canonical ensem ble of $m$ onopoles has been perform ed in $R$ ef. [59] and reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \exp \\
& d^{3} x \frac{1}{2}(@)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(@ \quad)^{2}+\frac{m_{H}^{2}}{2}{ }^{2} \quad 2 \cos _{n} \cos \left(g_{m}\right): \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, is the dual-photon eld and is the eld additionalw ith respect to com pact Q ED , which describes the H iggs boson. Furtherm ore, the m onopole fingacily (i.e. the statisticalw eight of a single $m$ onopole), , has the follow ing form [26]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}^{7=2}}{\mathrm{~g}} e^{\mathrm{S}_{0}}: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $=\left(m_{H}=m_{W}\right)$ is determ ined by the loop corrections. It is known [60] that this function grow s in the vicin ity of the origin (i.e. in the BPS lim it). H ow ever, the speed of this grow th is such that it does not spoil the exponentialsm allness of in the weak-coupling regim e under study.

O ur next aim will be to construct the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-generalization of the partition function (2 2). Let us rst introduce the ( $\mathbb{N} \quad 1$ )-dim ensional vector $\tilde{H}$ of the mutually commuting diagonal generators of the group $\operatorname{SU}(\mathbb{N})$. Together w ith certain pairw ise linear combinations of the o -diagonal generators which, in analogy w ith the SU (2)-group, are called step (rising and low ering) generatons $E \quad i r i=1 ;::: ; \frac{N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)}{2}$, the diagonal generators form the follow ing algebra:

Vectors $q_{i}$ 's here are called root vectors of the group $S U(\mathbb{N})$. The vector potential, $A^{a}, a=1 ;::: ; N^{2} \quad 1$, can be respectively decom posed into photons and $W$-bosons as follow s:

$$
A^{a}={ }_{i}^{X} W^{h}+{ }^{i} E{ }_{i}+W{ }^{i} E_{i}+\widetilde{A} \tilde{H} ;
$$

where from now on $\quad \mathrm{N} \mathbb{N P}^{1)=2}$. N ext, em beddings of the $S U(2)$-m onopole into the $m$ axim al Abelian subgroup $U(1)^{N} \quad 1$ of the group $S U(\mathbb{N})$ can be characterized by the space-tim e variations of the $H$ iggs eld ${ }^{a}$. O utside the m onopole core, ${ }^{a}$ can be chosen along the $z$-axis: ${ }^{a}(0 ; 0 ; z){ }^{r}$ ! ! $\approx_{a} H^{r}$. Here, $\sim_{a}$ is the $(\mathbb{N} 1$ )-dim ensional vector of $v . e . v$.'s of the $H$ iggs eld a . For an arbitrary space-tim e direction,

$$
{ }^{a}\left(r ; ;^{\prime}\right)=\left(;^{\prime}\right)^{a}(0 ; 0 ; z) \quad{ }^{1}\left(;^{\prime}\right):
$$

Them atrix can be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{a}\left(r_{;} \quad ;^{\prime}\right)=Y^{a}+X_{i}^{a}(r) T_{i} \frac{\mathscr{r}}{r}: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, the 3-com ponent $m$ atrix-valued vector

$$
T_{i}=\frac{E_{i}+E}{p} \overline{2} ; \frac{E_{i}}{p_{\overline{2}}} \overline{2\{ } ; q_{i} H
$$

characterizes the em bedding of the $S U$ (2) Lie algebra into the root space of the group $S U(\mathbb{N})$, associated $w$ th the root $G_{i}$. There exist therefore $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$ em beddings, corresponding to the sam e num ber of $m$ onopoles. $T$ he constant $Y^{a}=\left(\sim_{a} \quad\left(\tilde{a}^{a} \mathscr{G}_{i}\right) q_{i}\right) \tilde{H}$, which param etrically depends on $i$, $Y^{a} \quad Y_{(i)}^{a}$, is the hypercharge associated w ith the i-th embedding. Since the vector $\sim_{a}\left(\tilde{a}^{( } G_{i}\right) G_{i}$ belongs to the plane containing $G_{i}$ and $\sim_{a}$ (and is perpendicular to $q_{i}$ ), the rst term on the rh.s. of Eq. (2.4) breaks $S U(\mathbb{N})$
 breaks further $S U$ (2) down to $U$ (1). A gain, like in the $S U(2)$-case, the only part of the $m$ onopole vector potential, which does not vanish exponentially at large distances, is the diagonal (photonic) one. It reads

$$
A^{i}=\frac{1}{g} n \quad T^{i} \frac{x}{r} ;
$$

that corresponds to the $m$ agnetic eld

$$
H^{i}=g_{m} \frac{x}{4 r^{3}} G_{i}\left(;^{\prime}\right) H^{1}\left(;^{\prime}\right) ;
$$

$w$ here again $g_{m}=4=g$. Therefore, in the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-case, m onopoles also interact by $m$ eans of the long-ranged $C$ oulom b forces. T his interm onopole interaction, m ediated by dual photons, results into the follow ing SU (N )analogue of the partition function (2) 2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{m \text { on }}^{N}=\quad D \sim D \quad \exp \quad d^{Z} x \\
& \frac{1}{2}(@ \sim)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(@)^{2}+\frac{m_{H}^{2}}{2}{ }^{2} \quad 2 \AA_{i}^{g_{n}^{n}} \cos \left(g_{m} G_{i} \sim\right):(2.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere, the dual-photon eld is described by the ( $\mathbb{N} \quad 1$ )-dim ensional vector ~ .
A veraging in Eq. (2.5) over the Higgs eld by m eans of the cum ulant expansion one gets in the second order of this expansion [64]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2^{2} d^{3} x d^{3} y_{i ; j}^{X} \cos \left(g_{m} q_{i} \sim(x)\right) K(x \quad y) \cos \left(q_{i} q_{j} \sim(y)\right) \quad \text { (2.6) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In this equation, $\quad \exp ^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{1}$ is the m odi ed fugacity (which can be show $n$ to rem ain exponentially sm all as long as the cum ulant expansion is convergent) and $K(x) \quad e^{2} D_{m}(x) \quad 1 . T$ he D ebye ${ }_{P}$ ass of the dual photon, stem $m$ ing from Eq. (2.6) by virtue of the form ula ${ }_{i} q_{i} q_{i}=\frac{N}{2}$ ; $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ 1, reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{D}=g_{m} \frac{p}{N} 1+I \frac{N(N \quad 1)}{2} ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

R
where I $\quad d^{3} x K(x)$. At $m_{H} \quad m_{W}$, the following expression for $I$ has been obtained [64]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime} \frac{4}{m_{H} m_{W}^{2}} \exp \frac{4}{-} e^{m_{H}=m_{W}} \quad: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The param eter of the cum ulant expansion is $0 \quad \mathrm{IN}^{2}$. By virtue of Eqs. (2.3) and (2.8), one can readily see that the condition for this param eter to be (exponentially) sm all reads $N<\exp \underline{2} \quad \frac{1}{e}$. Approxim ating by its value at in nity, we nd $N<e^{8: 9=}$. Therefore, when one takes into account the propagation of the heavy $H$ iggs boson, the necessary condition for the convergence of the cum ulant expansion is that the num ber of colors $m$ ay grow not arbitrarily fast, but should rather be bounded from above by som e param eter, which is nevertheless exponentially large. ${ }^{i}$ A sim ilar analysis can be perform ed in the BPS lim it, $m_{H} \quad g^{2}$. There, one readily nds $I^{\prime} \quad\left(g_{m}=m_{H}\right)^{2}$, and

$$
\mathrm{IN}^{2} / \mathrm{N}^{2} \exp \quad \frac{4}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}:
$$

A pproxim ating by its value at the origin, we see that the upper bound for $N$ in this lim it is sm aller than in the vicinity of the com pact-Q ED lim it and reads $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{e}^{=}$.
3. String tension of the at $W$ ilson loop in the fundam ental representation

At zero tem perature, 3D GG m odel is a clear analogy of the 2D XY m odel in its continuum lim it (see e.g. Refs. $[26,62]$ ). The analogy is due to the fact that vortiges of the 2D XY m odel correspond to m onopoles of the 3D G G m odel, whereas spin waves correspond to free (non-dual) photons. D isorder in both theories is produced by topological defects, i.e. by vortioes or m onopoles. ${ }^{j}$ Instead, spin w aves or free photons cannot disorder correlation functions at large distances and a ect them only at the distances sm aller than the vacuum correlation length. A s a result, m onopoles lead to the area law of the $W$ ilson loop, whereas free photons lead to its perim eter law.

[^5]Thus, since con nem ent and string tension, we are interested in, are generated by m onopoles, in this section photons willbe om itted. T he partition function of the grand canonical ensemble of $m$ onopoles in the Euclidean space-tim e reads

$$
Z_{m \text { on }}^{N}=X_{N=0}^{X^{N}} \frac{N}{N!} \exp \quad \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} d^{3} x d^{3} y^{\sim^{N}}(x) D_{0}(x \quad y) \sim^{N}(y) \quad: \quad \text { (3.1) }
$$

Here, $D_{0}(x)=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & j\end{array}\right)$ is the 3D Coulomb propagator, and the m onopole
 Furtherm ore, the average is de ned as follow s:

U pon the explicit sum $m$ ation, the partition function (3.1) can be represented in the sine-G ordon \{type form, which is the large $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}$ lim it of the partition function (2.5):

The D ebye $m$ ass (2.7) becom es reduced to [64]: $m_{D}=g_{m} \mathrm{p} \bar{N}$.
$T$ he follow ing com $m$ ent is $w$ orth to bem ade at this point. $T$ he description of the grand canonical ensemble of $m$ onopoles in term $s$ of the dual-photon eld essentially im plies the validity of the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation. This approxim ation, which enables one to disregard uctuations of elds of individualm onopoles, is only valid if the num ber of $m$ onopoles contained in the Debye volum e, $m_{D}{ }^{3}$, is large. Up to exponentially sm all corrections, the $m$ ean value of the $m$ onopole density, evaluated according to the formula mean $=\frac{1}{V^{(3)}} \frac{@ \ln Z_{m} \text { on }}{@ \ln }$, reads mean ${ }^{\prime} N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$, where $V^{(3)}$ is the three-volum e occupied by the system. T herefore, the num ber of m onopoles contained in the Debye volum $e$ is

$$
\text { m ean }^{m}{ }_{D}^{3}, \frac{N}{9_{m}^{3}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}:
$$

This is indeed an exponentially large quantity, even at N $1 . W$ ith the increase of $N$, the accuracy of the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation is being further enhanced.

N ext, one can introduce the monopole eld-strength tensor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{N}}$ violating the Bianchi identity as $\frac{1}{2} " @ F^{N}=g_{m} \sim^{N}$. Since it was argued to om it photons throughout th is section, we obtain for $F^{N}$ the follow ing expression:

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N}(x)=\quad g_{n}^{\prime \prime} \quad @ \quad d^{3} y D_{0}(x \quad y) \sim^{N}(y): \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by virtue of the Stokes' theorem and the form ula

$$
\operatorname{tr} \exp \quad i \sigma H=X_{a=1}^{X^{N}} \exp \quad i \sigma \sim_{a} ;
$$

where $\sigma$ is an arbitrary ( $\mathbb{N}$ 1)-com ponent vector and $\sim_{a}$ 's are the weight vectors of the group $S U(\mathbb{N}), a=1 ;::: ; N$, we obtain the follow ing expression for the $W$ ilson loop de ned at the $N$ m onopole con guration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W} \quad(C)_{m \text { on }}^{N}=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \exp \quad \frac{i g}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F^{N} \quad=\frac{1}{N}_{a=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{N}} \mathrm{~W}_{a}^{N}: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{a}^{N} \quad \exp \frac{i g}{2} \sim_{a}^{Z} \quad d^{3} x F^{N} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
 (C)
ned at a certain surface (C ) bounded by the contour $C$ and param etrized by the vector $x()$ with $=(0 ; 1)$ standing for the 2 D coordinate. A straightforw ard calculation w th the use of Eq. (3.4) yields

$$
W{ }_{a}^{N}=\exp \quad i \sim_{a}^{Z} \quad d^{3} x^{\sim} \sim^{N}
$$

where $(x ; C)=\int_{\text {(C) }}^{R} d \quad(x()) @ \frac{1}{x()}$ jis the solid angle underwhich the surface (C) is seen by an observer located at the point $x, \mathrm{~d}=\frac{1}{2}$ " d . (N ote that ow ing to the G auss' law, 4 for a closed surface, i.e. when C is shrunk to a point.) $T$ he ratio of $t w o W_{a}^{N}$ 's de ned at di erent surfaces, 1 and 2 , bounded by the contour $C$, reads

D ue to the G auss' law, the last integral in this equation is equal either to
4 or to 0 , depending on whether the point lies inside or outside the volum e bounded by the surface $1_{1}$ [ 2 . Since the product $\sim_{a} \Phi_{i_{k}}$ is equal either to $\frac{1}{2}$ or to 0 , we conclude that $\frac{W_{N}^{N}(1)}{W_{a}^{N}(2)}=1$. This fact proves the independence of $W{ }_{a}^{N}$ of the choice of the surface in the de nition (3.6). C learly, th is is the consequence of the quantization condition $g_{m}=4$ used in the derivation of Eq. (3.7).

A representation of the partition fiunction (3.1), altemative to Eq. (3.3) and $m$ ore appropriate for the investigation of the $W$ ilson loop, is the one in term $s$ of the dynam icalm onopole densities [64]. It can be obtained by multiplying Eq. (3.1) by the follow ing unity:

$$
\mathrm{Z} \sim \sim \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{D} \sim \mathrm{D} \sim \exp \operatorname{ig}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{x} \sim \sim \sim{\underset{\sim}{N}}_{\sim}^{\sim} ;
$$

so that the eld ~ plays the role of the Lagrange multiplier. W e obtain for the partition function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{m \text { on }}^{N}=\quad D \sim D \sim \exp \quad \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} \quad d^{3} x d^{3} y \sim(x) D_{0}(x \quad y) \sim(y)+i g h \quad d^{3} x \sim \sim
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last sum is equal to

A ccordingly, Eq. (3.7) becom es replaced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{a}^{N}!W_{a}={\frac{1}{Z_{m \text { on }}^{N}}}^{Z} D \sim D \sim \exp \quad \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} \quad d^{3} x d^{3} y \sim(x) D_{0}(x \quad y) \sim(y)+ \\
& \text { Z } X \\
& \text { X \# } \\
& +d^{3} \mathrm{x} \text { igm} \sim \sim+2 \quad \cos \left(g_{m} g_{i} \sim\right)+i \sim{ }_{a} \sim \text {; } \\
& \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the full expression for the $m$ onopole contribution to the $W$ ilson loop [instead of Eq. (3.5)] reads W (C $)_{\text {m on }}=\frac{1}{N}{ }_{a=1}^{\mathbb{P}} W_{a}$.

Let us next introduce the $m$ agnetic eld according to the formulae @ $B=\sim$," @ $B=0$.This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{a}=\frac{1}{Z N}_{Z_{m \text { on }}^{N}}^{Z} D B \quad \text { (B } D \sim \exp d^{Z} x^{h} \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} B^{2}+ \\
& +i g_{m} \sim @ B+2^{\mathrm{X}} \cos \left(g_{m} G_{i} \sim\right)^{i}+4 \quad i \sim_{a}^{Z} \quad d \quad B \quad \text {; }  \tag{3.11}\\
& \text { (C) }
\end{align*}
$$

where, as it has been discussed, the surface (C) is anbitrary. Them agnetic and the dual-photon elds can be integrated out of Eq. (3.11) by solving the respective saddle-point equations. From now on in this section, we will consider the contour C located in the (x;y)-plane. This naturally leads to the follow ing A nsatze for the elds to be inserted into the saddle-point equations: $B={ }_{3} B(z), \sim=\sim(z)$. For the points (x;y) lying inside the contour $C$, these equations then read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i g_{m} \sim^{0}+g_{m}^{2} B \quad 4 \quad i \widetilde{a} \quad(z)=0 ;  \tag{3.12}\\
& i B^{0} 2{ }_{i}^{X} \quad G_{i} \sin \left(g_{m} g_{i} \sim\right)=0 ; \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{0} \quad d=d z$. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that di erentiating Eq. (3.12) and substituting the result into Eq. (3.13), we obtain the equation

$$
\sim^{\infty} \quad 2 g_{n} \quad \begin{gathered}
X \\
G_{i} \sin \left(g_{m} G_{i} \sim\right)=g \sim{ }_{a}^{0}(z) ; ~
\end{gathered}
$$

which is the $S U(\mathbb{N}$ )-generalization of the respective saddle-point equation obtained in Ref. [26].] These equations can be solved by using one m ore natural A nsatz for the saddle points of the elds, $B(z)=\sim{ }_{a} B(z), \sim(z)=$ $\sim_{a}(z)$, that, due to the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{a} \sim_{b}=\frac{1}{2} \quad a b \quad \frac{1}{N} \quad ; \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ akes $W$ a a-independent. Let us next m ultiply Eq. (3.13) by $\sim_{a}$, taking into account that for any $a$, $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$ positive roots yield the scalar product w ith $\sim_{a}$ equal to $1=2$, while the others are orthogonal to $\sim_{a}$. Equations (3.12), (3.13) then go over to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i^{0}+g_{m}^{2} B=4 i(z) ; B^{0}+2 i N \sin =0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad$ q $=2$. O ne can readily check that the solution to this system of equations has the follow ing form :

Taking the value $B$ ( 0 ) for the evaluation of the $W$ ilson loop, we obtain for the string tension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=4 \frac{N}{2 N} \frac{14 m_{D}}{g_{m}^{2}}=\frac{8}{g_{m}} \frac{N}{P} \frac{1 P}{N}- \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result dem onstrates explicitly the nonanalytic dependence of on $g$, but does not yield the correct overall num erical factor. T hat is because B ( $z$ ), necessary for this calculation, is de ned ambiguously. The am biguity originates from the exponentially large thidkness of the string: j$j \mathrm{j}$ $m_{D}{ }^{1}$. It is therefore unclear which value of $B(z)$ we should take: either $B(0)$, as 止 was done, or the one averaged over som e range of $z$. It tums out that, in the weak-eld (low-density) lim it, the problem can be solved for an arbitrarily-shaped surface, by using the representation in term s of the $K$ alb $R$ am ond eld, which will be described in the next section.

## 4. $\operatorname{SU}$ ( $N$ ) con $n$ ing strings

### 4.1. Fundam ental representation

Thedualphoton can altematively be described in term sof the $\mathrm{K} a \mathbb{l}-\mathrm{R}$ am ond eld. O ne of the ways to introduce this eld is to consider it as the eldstrength tensor corresponding to the eld $B$, nam ely $B=\frac{1}{2 g_{m}}$ " $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}$. TheW ilson loop (3.11) then takes the form ${ }^{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z Z ( Z " }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (C ) } \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The eld ~ can furtherbe integrated out by solving the saddle-point equation of the form (3.13), where $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ is replaced by $\frac{1}{2 g_{m}}$ " @ $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}} \quad$ (and $\sim$ depends

[^6]on all three coordinates). U sing the A nsatz $\check{\mathrm{h}}=\sim_{a} \mathrm{~h}$, we arrive at the follow ing substitution in Eq. (4.1):
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.d^{3} x^{h} \frac{i}{2} \sim " \quad @ \widetilde{h^{2}}+2^{X} \cos \left(g_{m} \mathscr{C}_{i} \sim\right)^{i}=\right) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} \frac{1}{2 g_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)^{2}} \sim^{"} @ \tilde{\mathrm{~h}} \quad ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V \widetilde{K}$ is the multivalued potential of the $K a l b R a m$ ond eld (or of $m$ onopole densities). Sim ilarly to the case of com pact QED [34], it is the sum $m$ ation over branches of this potential, which yields the sum $m$ ation over world sheets (C) in Eq. (4.1).

A nother w ay to discuss the connection of the $K$ alb $R$ am ond eld w th the string w orld sheets is based on the sem i-classical analysis of the saddle-point equations stem $m$ ing from Eq. (4.1). They are analogous to Eqs. (3.15) and read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 i @+\frac{g_{m}}{2} " \quad h=4 i ;  \tag{4.4}\\
& \frac{1}{2 g_{m}} " @ h+2 i N \sin =0: \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\quad \frac{1}{2}$ " , and the eld is de ned by the relation $\sim=\frac{2}{g_{m}} \sim_{a}$. It is this auxiliary eld, which establishes the corresp ondence betw een the $K$ alb $R$ am ond eld and the stationary surfage in the present approach. T he sum $m$ ation over branches of the potentialV $\check{h}$ becom es now replaced by the follow ing procedure. O ne should restrict oneself to the dom ain j j and solve Eqs. (4.4), (4.5) w th the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{j \times j!1}(x)=0 ; \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{)}$ is the norm alvector to at an arbitrary point $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$. A fter that, one should get rid of the so-appearing -dependence of $W$ a by extrem izing the latter $w$ th respect to $x() . O w$ ing to the form ula

Z
Z
$\mathrm{d} h \quad[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}]=\mathrm{d} \mathrm{H} \quad[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}] \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$;
such an extrem ization is equivalent to the de nition of the extrem al surface through the equation $H \quad[x()]=0$. D ue to Eqs. (4.5), (4.7), H indeed vanishes on both sides of the stationary surface. T he reason for that is the follow ing distinguished property of the extrem al surface: is equal to on one of its sides and to on the other, whereas for any other surface, $j j$ is sm aller than on one side and larger than on the other side. Therefore, according to Eq. (4.5), on both sides of the extrem al surface, " @ h = 0 . This is equivalent to the equation " $\mathrm{H}=0$ and hence (upon the m ultiplication ofboth sides by " 000 ) to $\mathrm{H}=0$. In particular, for a at contour the extrem al surface is the at surface inside this contour. $T$ his can explicitly be seen from the solutions (3.16) of Eqs. (3.15). N am ely, at any $z$ such that $\bar{\xi} j \quad d,(z)^{\prime} \quad s g n z, B(z)^{\prime} 0$, where both equalities hold w th the exponential accuracy. The equation $\mathrm{B}^{0}(\mathrm{z})^{\prime} 0$ is equivalent to the condition of vanishing of $H$ on both sides of the at surface.]
$N$ ext, the general form of an antisym $m$ etric rank-2 tensor eld $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{h}}=@ \mathbb{A} \quad @ \mathbb{A}+" @ C: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constraint @ $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}=0$, im posed in Eq. (4.1), is equivalent to setting $\widetilde{\AA}$ equal zero. From now on, we will prom ote $\check{n}$ to include also the elds of free photons, $\mathbb{A}$, by abolishing this constraint. Let us further go into the weak- eld lim 立, fHaj 1. U sing the C auchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}} j \frac{\dot{j} \mathrm{j} j \mathfrak{j}}{(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)}=\frac{\mathfrak{j} j}{2 \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)} ; \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the weak $\bar{p}$ eld lim it is equivalent to the follow ing low-density approxim ation: $j \underset{2 N(N \quad 1)}{j}$. To understand in whidh sense this inequality im plies the low-density approxim ation, recall the $m$ ean $m$ onopole density we had from Eq. (3.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{\text {子hean }}{ }^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1): \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, at large $N$, the low-density approxim ation im plies that j jshould be of the order $N$ tim es sm aller than its $m$ ean value.

In the weak- eld lim it, we now have the follow ing expression for the total W ilson loop, where the constraint @ $\overline{\mathrm{h}}=0$ is rem oved:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{C} ;)_{\text {weak }}^{\text {tot; } \mathrm{a}} \text { eld }={\frac{1}{Z^{\text {tot }}}}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{D} \tilde{h} .} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \exp \\
& d^{3} x \frac{1}{12 m_{D}^{2}} \mathrm{H}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \breve{K}^{2} \quad \frac{i g}{2} \sim_{a} \check{H} \quad: \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{Z}^{\text {tot }}$ is given by the sam e integral over $\check{\mathrm{h}}$ - eld, but with set to zero, and $\tilde{H}=@ \AA+@ \widetilde{K}+@ \widetilde{K}$ is the $K a \mathbb{l}-R$ am ond eld-strength tensor. [I he apparent -dependence of the rh.h. of Eq. (4.11) is due to the fact that in course of the $\check{\mathrm{h}}$-expansion of $\mathrm{V} \widetilde{\mathrm{h}}$, only one branch of this potential has been taken into account. A s it was discussed above, the dependence disappears upon the sum $m$ ation over all the branches.] $\mathrm{O} w$ ing to Eq. (4.8), Eq. (4.11) is obviously factorized asW (C ; ) weak eld $=W_{a} W{ }_{a}^{\text {phot }}$, where the free photonic contribution to the $W$ ilson loop reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\text {phot }}=\exp \quad g^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~N} \quad 1^{I}}{4 \mathrm{~N}}{ }_{c}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{dx}{ }^{0} \mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}^{0} \quad: \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

D oing the integration over $\check{n}$ in Eq. (4.11), we obtain
w

$$
+{\frac{m_{D}^{2}}{2}}^{z} d \quad(x())
$$

$Z$
(c)
 the free photonic contribution is com pletely canceled out of th is expression, ie. it is only the dual photon (of the $m$ ass $m_{D}$ ) which $m$ ediates the $C \quad C$ and -interactions.

O ne can further expand the nonlocal string e ective action

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.S_{\text {str }}=\left(g m_{D}\right)^{2} \frac{N^{Z}}{8 N} \quad d \quad(x(1))^{Z} \quad d \quad\left(x\left({ }^{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right) D_{m_{D}} \quad x() \quad x()^{0}\right) \\
& \text { (c) }  \tag{4.14}\\
& \text { (C) }
\end{align*}
$$

in the pow ers of derivatives $w$ ith respect to the world-sheet coordinates a's. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ote that the actual param eter of this expansion is $1=\left(m_{D} R\right)^{2}$, where $R$
$\bar{A}$ rea ( ) is the size of (see the discussion below ). The resulting quasilocal action reads (cf. Refs. $[36,65]$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\text {str }}= & d^{2}{ }^{p} \bar{g}+{ }^{1} d^{2} \bar{g}_{\bar{g}}^{a b}\left(@_{a} t\right)\left(@_{b} t\right)+ \\
& +d^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{g} R}+0 \overline{m_{D}^{4} R^{2}}:
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $@_{a} @=@^{a}$, and the following quantities characterize : $\left.g_{a b}()=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{a}}()\right)\left(\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{( }\right)\right)$ is the induced-m etric tensor, $\mathrm{g}=\operatorname{detkg}^{2 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{k}$,
$t \quad()={ }^{1 \mathrm{ab}}\left(\varrho_{a} x \quad()\right)\left(@_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x}()\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{\mathrm{g}}$ is the extrinsic-curvature tensor, $\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a}} \varrho_{a} \ln ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{g}}$ is the expression for the scalar (or extrinsic) curvature in the conform al gauge $g_{a b}={ }^{P} \bar{g}_{a b}$.

The third term on the rh.s. of Eq. (4.15) is known to be a fullderivative, and therefore it does not actually contribute to the string e ective action, while the second term describes the so-called rigidity (or sti ness) of the string [31,32]. The reason for the notation ${ }^{1}$, introduced in $R$ ef. [31], is that, as it has been shown in that paper, it is , which is asym ptotically free. This asym ptotic freedom then indicates that the rigidity term can only be infrared relevant, provided the respective -function has a zero in the infrared region. H ow ever, such a zero has not been found. D ue to this fact, the rigidity term is not a good candidate to solve the old-standing problem of crum pling of large world sheets in the Euclidean space-tim e. This necessitates to seek other possible solutions of this problem. O ne of such solutions, based on the string term, has been proposed already in Ref. [31]. A possible derivation of such a term, within the SU $(\mathbb{N}$ ) -analogue of the 4D com pact QED w illbe presented below.

The string coupling constants in Eq. (4.15) read

$$
\begin{gather*}
=22^{2} \frac{N}{p} \frac{1^{p}-}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}} ;  \tag{4.16}\\
{ }^{1}=\quad 3=2=\frac{{ }^{2}(\mathrm{~N} \quad 1)}{4 g_{m}^{3} \mathrm{~N}^{3=2}}=: \tag{4.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

A comment is in order regarding the negative sign of . Up to a total derivative, the rigidity term reads

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(@_{\mathrm{a}} t \quad\right)\left(@_{\mathrm{b}} t\right)=^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{x})^{2} ;
$$

where the Laplacian, associated to the metric $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, acts onto x() as $\mathrm{x}=$
 rigidity term ${ }^{R} d^{2} p_{\overline{\bar{g}}}^{1} @^{2} x{ }^{2}$. For a nearly at surface, $g^{\prime}$ const $d^{2}$, and we have for the string propagator (in the 2D Euclidean space):

$$
h x \quad() x(0) i=-\quad d^{2} p \frac{e^{i p}}{p^{2} 1+\frac{1}{c} p^{2}}:
$$

For < 0, this propagator has a tadhyon ic pole, whereas an unphysicalm ass pole show s up otherw ise. W e therefore conclude that the negative sign of is im portant for the stability of strings.

In the weak- eld (or low-density) approxim ation, we can thus $x$ the proportionality factor $2^{2}$ at , that is close to the factor 8 ofEq. (3.17) which, how ever, could have not been xed within the m ethod of section 3. A nother im portant fact is that (in the weak- eld approxim ation) the string tension and higher string coupling constants are the sam e for all large enough surfaces (C). TT hew ords \large enough" herem ean the validity of the inequalIty $R \quad d$, i.e. the string length $R$ should signi cantly exceed the exponentially large string thickness d. Indeed, the generaln th term of the derivative (or curvature) expansion of $S_{\text {str }}$ is of the order of $m_{D}^{2} R^{4}(R=d){ }^{2 n}$.] It is, how ever, w orth noting that som e of the term $s$ in the expansion (4.15) vanish at the surface of the $m$ inim al area corresponding to a given contour $C$ (e.g. in the case of a at surface considered in section 3). This concems, for instance, the rigidity term.

Let us nally dem onstrate how to introduce the $\mathrm{K} a \mathbb{l} b \mathrm{R}$ am ond eld in a way altemative to its de nition via the B - eld and inconporating autom atically the free-photonic contribution to the $W$ ilson loop. It is based on the direct com bination of Eqs. (3.1) and (3.7), that yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{a}=X_{N=0}^{X^{\prime}} \frac{N}{N!} \exp \quad \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} \quad d^{3} x d^{3} y^{\sim^{N}}(x) D_{0}(x \quad y) \sim^{N}(y)+ \\
& +i \sim{ }_{a} \quad d^{3} x^{\sim}{ }^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sim=\sim+\frac{\sim_{a}}{g_{\mathrm{m}}}$. O ne can further use the following form ula, which show s explicitly how the $K$ alb $R$ am ond eld uni es the m onopole and the free-photonic contributions to the $W$ ilson loop:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp 4 \frac{1}{2}^{2} d^{3} x \text { @ } \sim \frac{\sim_{a}}{g_{m}} @ g^{2} \frac{N 1^{I}}{4 N}{ }_{c}^{I} d x d x^{I} D_{0}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right)^{5}= \\
& =\quad \mathrm{D} \text { K } \exp \\
& \text { Z } \\
& d^{3} \times \frac{1}{4} \check{n}^{2}+\frac{i}{2} \sim " \quad \text { @ } \check{n} \quad \frac{\text { ig }}{2} \sim{ }_{a} \check{\hbar} \quad:(4.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^7]In fact, one can prove that both sides of this form ula are equal to ${ }^{m}$

$$
\exp \quad \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{3} x\left(@ \sim+q_{a}\right)^{2}:
$$

Inserting further Eq. (4.19) into Eq. (4.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{a}!W(C)_{a}^{\text {tot }}=\frac{1}{Z \operatorname{tot}}^{Z} D \widetilde{h} \quad D \sim \exp \quad d^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{X}^{\frac{1}{4}} \widetilde{h}^{2}+ \\
& +\frac{i}{2} \sim " \text { @ } \check{n} \quad 2^{\mathrm{X}} \cos \left(g_{\mathrm{m}} G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \frac{i g}{2} \sim{ }_{a} \tilde{h} \quad \text { i } \tag{420}
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting ~ ~, we arrive back to Eq. (4.1) w ith $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{fl} \text { on }}^{\mathrm{N}}$ ! $\mathrm{Z}^{\text {tot }}$ and the constraint @ $\check{\mathrm{h}}=0$ abolished, as it should be.

### 4.2. A djoint representation

Let us now extend the ideas of the previous subsection to the case of the W ilson loop in the adjoint representation. The charges of quarks in this representation are distributed along the roots, so that in the form ulae for the W ilson loop, Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7), ~a should be replaced by Gif $^{( }$. N oting that any root is a di erence of tw o weights, we w ill henceforth in this subsection label roots by two indiges running from 1 to $N$, e.g. $G_{a b}=\sim_{a} \quad r_{b} \cdot{ }^{n}$ Equation (3.14) then leads to the follow ing form ula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{b b} G_{c d}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{ac}^{+}+\mathrm{bd} \quad \mathrm{ad} \quad \mathrm{bc}\right) ; \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to which the product $G_{G b} 9_{c d} m$ ay take the values $0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; 1.0 \mathrm{w}$ ing to this fact, the ratio (3.8) is again equal to 1 , i.e. for adjoint quarks, whose charge obeys the quantization condition $\mathrm{gg}_{\mathrm{m}}=4$, the W ilson loop is as surface independent, as it is for fundam entalquarks.
$T$ he adjoint-case version ofEq. (4.1) w th the constraint @ $\mathfrak{h}=0 \mathrm{abol}$ ished [or of Eq. (420)] has the form :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W \quad(C)_{a b}^{\text {tot }}={\frac{1}{Z^{\text {tot }}}}^{Z} D \widetilde{h^{\prime}} \quad D \sim \exp \quad d^{3} x^{h} \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{n}^{2}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (C) }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^8]The saddle-point equation, em erging from the above form ula in the course of integration over ~ ,
is to be solved in a w ay sim ilar to the one of the previous subsection, nam ely by using the Ansatz $\check{K}=G_{a b} h, \sim=G_{a b}$. M ultiplying both sides of Eq. (4 23) by Gab, we obtain:

Let us now com pute the sum on the rh.s. of this equation by virtue of Eq. (4.21). To this end, im agine ourselves the antisym $m$ etric $N \quad N \quad m$ atrix of roots $q_{b d}$ 's. O bviously, for a xed root $q_{a b}$, there is one root $q_{c d}$ (equal to $G_{a b}$ ) and a negative sym $m$ etric to it, whose scalar products $w$ ith $G_{a b}$ are equal to 1 and 1 . O ur aim is to calculate the number $n$ of roots, whose scalar product with $G_{a b}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2} .0$ A ccording to Eq. (4 21), these roots belong either to the row $\mathrm{Sc}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b}$, or to the colum $\mathrm{ns} \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{b}$, which in total contain 4N 4 elem ents. Am ong these, two are diagonal and other two are those, which yield the scalar product 1. The rem aining $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathbb{N} \quad$ 2) roots are precisely those, whidh yield the scalar product $\frac{1}{2}$, so that this product equals $\frac{1}{2}$ for $2\left(\mathbb{N} \quad 2\right.$ ) of them and $\frac{1}{2}$ for the other $2(\mathbb{N} \quad 2) . T$ he sum on the rh.s. of Eq. (4 24) thus takes the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sin (2) \quad \sin (2)+2(\mathbb{N} \quad 2) \frac{1}{2} \sin \quad \frac{1}{2} \sin (\quad)= \\
=2\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\sin (2)+(\mathbb{N} & 2) \sin
\end{array}\right] ;
\end{gathered}
$$

where the eld hasbeen de ned after Eq. (3.15). Let us now consider the $\lim$ it N 1, in which Eq. (4 24) takes the form $\sin =i H a b$ where [cf. Eq. (4.3)]

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}} \frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~N} g_{\mathrm{m}}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ab}} " \quad @ \tilde{\mathrm{n}}:
$$

The analogue of the potential (42) in the sam e lim it then reads

$$
V \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{h}}=4 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}} \operatorname{arcsinh} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{1+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{2}}:
$$

[^9]The string representation of the adjoint $W$ ilson loop in the large-N lim it is therefore given by Eq. (4 22) w ith the substitution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& \left.d^{3} x^{4} \frac{i}{2} \sim " @ \widetilde{\kappa}+x_{c ; d=1}^{N} \cos \left(g_{m} 9_{c d} \sim\right)^{5}=\right) \quad V \tilde{h}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

(and the sym bol \D ~" rem oved).
W e are nally interested in the adjoint-case counterparts of Eqs. (4.16), (4.17), one can obtain in the weak-eld $\lim$ it $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{j} \quad 1 \mathrm{P}$ In this lim it, the form ula (4.11) recovers itself, w ith the substitution $\sim_{a}$ ! $\Phi_{a b}$. A s a consequence, the ratios of adjoint-case values of string couplings adjr adjr and adj to the respective fundam ental-case values, Eqs. (4.16) and (4.17), are equal to $\frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}} 1$, 2. In particular, for the string tensions this ratio coincides w th the known leading large N Q CD result (see e.g. R ef. [66]). H ow ever, unlike the fundam entalstring, the adjoint one is unstable at large distances due to the production of $W^{+} W$-pairs. The string-breaking distance, $R_{C}$, can be estim ated from the balance of the string free energy, adj $R_{c}$, and the m ass of the produced pair, $2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{w}}$ [50]. By virtue of Eq . (4.16), one obtains $R_{c} / d=$. Therefore, the breaking length of the adjoint string is in the factor
${ }^{1}$ larger than its thickness. H ow ever, since the very existence of the string im plies that its length is much larger than its thickness [cf. the discussion in the paragraph preceding Eq. (4.18)], the question of (non-)existence of the adjoint string becom es purely num erical.

## 4.3. k-strings

The large $N$ ideas discussed in the Introduction have recently found a novel realization in the studies of spectrum of $k$-strings in $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge theories. A $k$-string is de ned as the con ning ux tube betw een sources in higher representations, carrying a charge $k \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the center of the gauge group $Z_{N}$, i.e. representations $w$ ith nonvan ishing $N$-ally. $T$ hese sources can be seen as the superposition of $k$ fundam ental charges, and charge con jugation exchanges $k$-and $(\mathbb{N} \quad k$ )-strings, so that non-trivialk-strings exist only for $N>3 ;$ their string tensions $k$ can be-and should be - used to

[^10]constrain $m$ echanism $s$ of con nem ent [69,70]. Results for the values of $k$ can be obtained by various approaches. E arly results suggest the so-called \C asim ir scaling" hypothesis for the ratio of string tensions [71]:
$$
R(k ; N) \quad \frac{k}{1}=\frac{k(\mathbb{N} \quad k)}{N} \quad C(k ; N)
$$
where 1 is the fundam ental string tension. T hese are based on the argu$m$ ents of the $P$ arisi-Sourlas dim ensional reduction of the 4D QCD to the 2D one, which is due to stochastic vacuum elds, and the further use of the fact that in 2D con nem ent is produced by the one-ghon exchange. Recent studies in supersym $m$ etric $Y$ ang $-M$ ills theories and $M$ theory suggest instead a \Sine scaling" form ula:
$$
R(k ; N)=\frac{\sin (k=N)}{\sin (=N)}:
$$

C orrections are expected to both form ulae, but the form of such corrections is unknow $n$ for the physically relevant case of a four dim ensional, nonsupersym $m$ etric, $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge theory.

In the large $N$ lim it, where the interactions betw een ux tubes are suppressed by powers of $1=\mathrm{N}$, the low est-energy state of the system should be $m$ ade of $k$ fundam ental ux tubes connecting the sources, hence:

$$
R(k ; N)^{k_{N}!} \stackrel{\text { xed }}{ }^{k} \text { : }
$$

B oth the C asim ir and the Sine scaling form ulae satisfy this constraint; they also rem ain invariant under the replacem ent k! (N k), which corresponds to the exchange of quarks w ith antiquarks. H ow ever, it has been argued in Refs. $[72,73]$ that the correction to the large $N$ behavior should occur as a power series in $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ rather than $1=\mathrm{N} .{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{C}$ learly, such a behavior would exclude $C$ asim ir scaling as an exact description of the $k$-string spectrum .

Recent lattioe calculations have provided new results for the spectrum of $k$-strings both in three and four dim ensions [74\{79]. They all con m that C asim ir scaling is a good approxim ation to the $Y$ ang $M$ ills results. To be $m$ ore quantitative, one could say that all lattice results are within 10\% of the $C$ asim ir scaling prediction, and that deviations from it are larger in four than they are in three dim ensions, in agreem ent w th strong-coupling predictions [78]. T he tam ing of system atic errors is a crucialm atter for such lattioe calculations, and it can only be achieved by an intensive num erical

[^11]analysis. In four dim ensions, the higher statistics sim ulations presented in $R$ ef. [78] show that corrections to the $C$ asim ir scaling form ula are statistically signi cant, and actually favor the Sine scaling. F inally, it has been pointed out in $\mathrm{Ref}$. . 79] that higher-dim ensional representations with com m on N ality do yield the sam e string tension, as expected because ofghon screen ing.
$T$ hese num erical results trigger a few com $m$ ents on $C$ asim ir scaling. The originalargum ent [71] w as based on the idea that a 4D gauge theory in a random magnetic eld could be described by a 2D theory w thout such a eld. Besides the num erical results, there is little support for such an argum ent in QCD; m oreover it is not clear that the sam e hypothesis could explain the approxim ate $C$ asim ir scaling observed in three dim ensions. On the other hand, C asim ir scaling appears \naturally" as the lowest-order result, both at strong-coupling in the case of $k$-strings in the ham iltonian form ulation of gauge theories, and in the case of the spectrum of bound states in chiral $m$ odels. C orrections can be com puted in the strong-coupling form ulation and they tum out to be / (D 2) $=\mathrm{N}$ - see e.g. R ef. [78] for a sum $m$ ary of results and references. W hile strong-coupling calculations are not directly relevant to describe the physics of the continuum theory, it is nonetheless instructive to have som e quantitative analytic controlw ithin that fram ew ork. Last but not least, C asim ir scaling also appears at the low est order in the stochastic $m$ odel of the Q CD vacuum [80]. In view of these considerations, it is fair to say that approxim ate $C$ asim ir scaling should be a prerequisite for any $m$ odel of con nem ent, that corrections should be expected, and that these corrections are liable to yield further inform ations about the non-perturbative dynam ics of strong interactions. M oreover, it would be very interesting to im prove our understanding of som e other aspects of the $k$-string spectrum, like e.g. the origin of the Sine scaling for non-supersym $m$ etric theories, or the structure of the corrections to this scaling form .

Below in this subsection, we w ill prove that the C asim ir scaling w ith a high accuracy takes place in the low-density approxim ation of the SU (N) 3D G G m odel [81]. The $k$-string tension is de ned by $m$ eans of the $k$-th power of the fundam ental $W$ ilson lop. T he surface-dependent part of the latter can be w ritten, in term s of the dual-photon eld, as follow s:

$a_{1} ;::: ; a_{k}=1$
In this equation, ( $x$ )

R
$\mathrm{d} \quad(\mathrm{x}()) \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)})$, where again
is an arbitrary surface bounded by the contour $C$ and param etrized by the vector $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$.

The independence of Eq. (4.28) of the choige of (C) can readily be seen in the sam ew ay as for the $(k=1)$-case. The -dependence rather appears in the weak-eld, or low-density, approxim ation, which is equivalent to keeping only the quadratic term in the expansion of the cosine in Eq. (3.3). A s it has been dem onstrated in subsection 4.1 [cf. the discussion around Eqs. (4.9), (4.10)], the notion \low-density" im plies that the typicalm onopole density is related to the $m$ ean one, $m$ ean $=N(\mathbb{N} 1)$, by the follow ing sequence of inequalities:

$$
\text { typical } \quad O(\mathbb{N})_{m \text { ean }}=\quad \mathrm{ON}^{2}:
$$

Below [nam ely, in the paragraph follow ing after Eq. (4.36)] we w ill discuss in som e m ore details the correspondence betw een the low-density approxi$m$ ation and the large $N$ one.

D enoting for brevity a $\quad \stackrel{R}{g} d^{3} x \quad(x) \sim(x)$, we can rew rite Eq. (4 28) as
where in the low-density approxim ation the average is de ned w ith respect to the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Z } d^{3} x \frac{1}{2}(@ \sim)^{2}+\frac{m_{D}^{2}}{2} \sim^{2}: \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly to the fundam ental representation, in this approxim ation, the string tension for a given $k$ is the sam e for all surfaces (C), which are large enough in the sense $\bar{S} \quad d$, where $S$ is the area of (C). In particular, the fundam ental string tension, found above, reads $1=\frac{N N_{1}}{2 N}$, where $4^{2} \frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}}}$, and the factor $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ is the square of a w eight vector.
To evaluate Eq. (4.30) for $k>1$, we should calculate the expressions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \sim_{a_{i}}+{\underset{j=1}{\mathrm{X}} \sim_{a_{j}}^{!_{2}} ;}^{j} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $k \quad n$ ) weight vectors $\sim_{a_{j}}$ 's are mutually di erent and also di erent from the vector $\sim_{a_{i}}$. By virtue of the form ula $\sim_{a} \sim_{b}=\frac{1}{2}$ ab $\frac{1}{N}$, we obtain for Eq. (4.32) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N} \quad 1}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{n}^{2}+\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~N}} 2 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{n})+2_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} 1^{\#} 1=\frac{\mathrm{k}(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{k})}{2 \mathrm{~N}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{n}: \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e should further calculate the num ber of tim es a term with a given $n$ appears in the sum (4.32). In what follow s , we w ill consider the case $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{N}$, although $\mathrm{k} m$ ay be of the order of N . Then, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{k} \text { ! }}{\mathrm{n}!(\mathrm{k}) \text { ! } \text { possibilities exist }}$ to choose out ofk weight vectors $n$ coinciding ones, whose index $m$ ay acquire any values from 1 to N . The index of any weight vector out of other ( $k \quad n$ ) ones $m$ ay then acquire only ( $\mathbb{N}$ 1) values, and so on. Finally, the index of the last weight vectorm ay acquire ( $\mathbb{N} \quad k+n$ ) values. Therefore, the desired num ber of tim es, a term w ith a given $n$ appears in the sum (4.32), reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =C_{k}^{n} A_{N}^{k}{ }^{n+1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & n)!= \\
n!(n+N \quad k \quad 1)!
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{N}^{k}{ }^{n+1} \frac{N!}{(N)} k+n$ ) : Equations (4.33) and (4.34) together yield for the m onopole contribution to the W ilson loop, Eq. (4.30) :
where C $\quad \frac{\mathrm{k} N \mathrm{~N})}{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ is proportional to the C asim ir ofthe rank-k antisym $m$ etric representation of $\operatorname{SU}(\mathbb{N})$. W e have thus arrived at a Feynm an $-K$ ac\{type form ula, where, in the asym ptotic regim e of interest, $S$ ! 1 , only the rst term in the sum is essential. $T$ he $k$-string tension therefore reads $k=C$, that yields the C asim ir-scaling law (425). It is interesting to note that the Casim ir of the original unbroken $S U(\mathbb{N})$ group is recovered. This is a consequence of the D irac quantization condition [61], which distributes the quark charges along the weights of the fiundam ental representation and the m onopole ones along the roots. The orthonom ality of the roots then yields the action (4.31), which is diagonal in the dual m agnetic variables; the sum of the weights squared is responsible for the $C$ asim ir factor, since $C=\left(\sim_{a_{1}}+\quad a_{k}+\right)^{2} r$ where all $k$ weight vectors are di erent from each other. Therefore, term $s$ where all $k$ weight vectors are $m$ utually di erent yield the dom inant contribution to the sum (4.30). Their number in the sum is equal to $\frac{k \mathbb{N}!}{(\mathbb{N})!}$ that corresponds to the ( $n=1$ )-term in Eq. (4.35).

Let us further address the leading correction to the obtained C asim ir scaling, which originates from the non-diluteness of plasm a. Expanding the cosine up to the quartic term, we obtain the action p ne should use the form ula

$$
{\underset{i=1}{N} \mathbb{N}_{X} q_{i} q_{i} q_{i} q_{i}=\frac{N}{2(\mathbb{N}+1)} \quad+\quad+\quad ; ~ ; ~}_{\quad}
$$

which stem $s$ from the orthonorm ality of roots.]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{3} x \frac{1}{2}(@ \sim)^{2}+\frac{m_{D}^{2}}{2} \sim^{2} \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{12(N+1)} \sim^{4}: \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of this form ula, one can analyze the correspondence betw een the $1=\mathrm{N}$-expansion and corrections to the low-density approxim ation. T he natural choige for de ning the behavior of the electric coupling constant in the large-N lim it is the QCD-inspired one, $g=O\left(\mathbb{N}{ }^{1=2}\right)$. To m ake som e esti$m$ ates, let us use an obvious argum ent that a ~- eld con guration dom inating in the partition function is the one, where every term in the action ( 4 .36) is of the order of unity. W hen applied to the kinetic term, this dem and tells us that the characteristic wavelength lof the eld ~ is related to the am plitude of this eld as $1 \quad j j^{2}$. Substituting further this estim ate into the condition $l^{3} m_{D}^{2} j \sim j \quad 1$, we get $j \mathcal{j} m_{D}$. The ratio of the quartic and $m$ ass teqm $s$, being of the order of $j \sim g_{m}^{2}=N$, can then be estim ated as $\frac{m_{D} g_{m}^{2}}{N}=g_{m}^{3} \bar{N} \quad N^{p}-W$ ith the exponentially high accuracy, this ratio is sm all, provided N . $\mathrm{O} e^{\mathrm{S}_{0}=2}$. . Therefore, the non-diluteness corrections are suppressed only for N 's bounded from above by a certain param eter. H ow ever, due to the exponential largeness of this param eter, the derived constraint leaves enough space for N to be su ciently large, in order to provide the validity of the inequalities (429).

To proceed w th the study of the non-dihuteness correction, one needs to solve iteratively the saddle-point equation, corresponding to the average (4.30) taken w ith respect to the approxim ate action (4.36). Since it has been dem onstrajed above that the string tension is de ned by the averages $e^{i a}\left(\sim_{a_{1}}+\quad a_{k}+\right) \sim$, where all $k$ weight vectors are mutually di erent, let us restrict ourselves to such term $s$ in the sum (4.30) only. Solving then the saddle-point equation $w$ th the Ansatz $\sim=\sim_{0}+\sim_{1}$, where jrij jojwe obtain for such a term :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln ^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{e}^{i a\left(\sim_{a_{1}}+\right.} \quad \stackrel{E}{\left.a_{k}+\right)^{\sim}}=\frac{g^{2}}{2} C^{Z} \quad d^{3} x d^{3} y \quad(x) D m_{D}(x \quad y) \quad(y)+S: \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term on the rh.s. of Eq. (4.37) yields the string tension $k=C$,

[^12]while the second term yields the desired correction. This term reads
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
S=\frac{2^{2}}{3} \frac{\left(g m_{D} C\right)^{2}}{N+1} d^{Z} x^{Y^{4}} \quad Z \\
=\frac{2}{6} \frac{\left(g m_{D} C\right)^{2}}{N+1} d \quad\left(x_{1}\right) d \quad\left(x_{1} D_{m_{D}}\left(x^{2} \quad x_{1}\right) \quad\left(x_{1}\right)=\right.  \tag{4.38}\\
=
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

where I $\quad R^{3} x_{l=1}^{Q} D_{m_{D}}\left(x \quad x_{1}\right)$. The action (4.38) can be represented in the form

$$
S=\frac{(g C)^{2}}{Z^{(N+1) m_{D}^{5}}} d \quad\left(x_{1}\right) d \quad\left(x_{2}\right) D\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{d} \quad\left(x_{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \quad\left(x_{4}\right) \mathrm{D}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x_{3} & x_{4} \tag{4.39}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad x_{3}\right):
$$

Here, $D$ and $G$ are somepositive functions, which depend on $m_{D} \dot{\xi}_{i} \quad x_{j} j$ and vanish exponentially at the distances \& $d$. They can be represented as $D(x)=m_{D}^{4} D\left(m_{D} \dot{j x} \mathcal{J}\right), G(x)=m_{D}^{4} G\left(m_{D} \dot{j} \mathcal{D}\right)$, where the fiunctions $D$ and $G$ are dim ensionless. ${ }^{t}$
$T$ he derivative expansion yields as leading term $s$ the $N$ am bu-G oto actions:

## Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \quad\left(x_{1}\right) d \quad\left(x_{2}\right) D\left(x_{1} \quad x_{1}\right)=D^{L} \quad d^{2} \overline{g\left(x_{1}\right)}+O \quad \frac{D}{m_{D}^{2}}: \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $D=p_{2}^{2 m_{D}^{2}}{ }^{R} d^{2} z D$ ( $\left.\dot{K} \mathcal{D}\right)$ ( $w$ ith $z$ being dim ensionless). Recalling that $d \quad(x)=P \overline{g(x)} t \quad(x) d^{2}$, we $m$ ay further take into account that we are interested in the leading term of the derivative expansion of the action $S$, which corresponds to the so short distance ${ }^{\prime} x_{1} x_{3} j$ that $t \quad\left(x_{1}\right) t \quad\left(x_{3}\right)^{\prime}$ 2. (H igher term $s$ of the derivative expansion contain derivatives of $t$ and do not contribute to the string tension.) This yields for the integral in Eq. (4.39) :

$$
\left.{\underset{D}{2}}^{Z} d^{2} \quad d^{2} d^{p} \overline{g\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{3}\right) G\left(x_{1}\right.} \quad x_{3}\right)^{\prime}
$$

where $G=2 m_{D}^{2} d^{2} z G(\dot{\mathcal{K}})$. We nally obtain from Eq. (4.39):
${ }^{t}$ O ur investigations can readily be translated to the stochastic vacuum $m$ odel of $Q C D$ [ 80 ] for the evaluation of a correction to the string tension, produced by the four-point irreducible average of eld strengths. In that case, the functions D and G would be proportional to the gluonic condensate.

$$
k^{\prime} \quad \frac{(g C)^{2}{\underset{D}{D}}_{G}^{2(N+1) m_{D}^{5}}=\frac{(g C)^{2} m_{D}}{4} \frac{C^{2}}{N+1} ; ~}{N+1} ;
$$

where is som e dim ensionless positive constant. This yields

$$
k+k=C 1+\frac{C}{N+1}:
$$

In the lim it k N 1 of interest, the obtained correction is $\mathrm{O}(1)$, while for $k=O$ ( 1 ) it is $\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{N})$. The latter fact enables one to w rite dow n the follow ing nal result for the leading correction to Eq. (4 25) due to the nondiluteness ofm onopole plasm a:

$$
R(k ; N)+R(k ; N) \quad \frac{k+1}{1+1}=C(k ; N) 1+\frac{(k \quad 1)(\mathbb{N} 1}{2 N(\mathbb{N}+1)}:
$$

This expression is as invariant under the replacem ent $k!(\mathbb{N} \quad k)$ as the expression (4 25), which does not account for non-diluteness. T he fact that, at k N 1, the obtained correction to the C asim ir-scaling law is O (1), indicates that non-diluteness e ects can signi cantly distort the C asim irscaling behavior.
5. Generalization to the $S U(N)$-analogue of $4 D$ com pact

QED w ith the -term
In this section, we will consider the 4D-case and introduce the eldtheoretical term ${ }^{\text {u }}$ As it has been rst found for compact QED in Refs. [33,36] by $m$ eans of the derivative expansion of the resulting nonlocal string e ective action, this term generates the string term. Being proportional to the num ber of self-intersections of the w orld sheet, the latter $m$ ight be im portant for the solution of the problem of crum pling of large w orld sheets [3,31]. In this section, we w ill perform the respective analysis for the general $S U(\mathbb{N})$-case under study, in the fundam ental and in the adjoint representations, as well as for $k$-strings. It is worth noting that, in the lattice 4D com pact Q ED, con nem ent holds only at strong coupling. On the opposite, the continuum counterpart $[33,36]$ [of the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-version] of this m odel, we are going to explore, possesses con nem ent at arbitrary values of coupling. H ow ever, we w illse that the solution to the problem of crum pling

[^13]due to the -term is only possible in the strong-coupling regin e, im plied in a certain sense. N ote also that the continuum sine-G ordon theory of the dual-photon eld possesses an ultraviolet cuto , , which appears in course of the path-integral average over the shapes of $m$ onopole loops. H ow ever, the -param eter is dim ensionless, and consequently its values, at which one $m$ ay expect the disappearance of crum pling, w ill be cuto -independent.

The full partition function, including the term and the average over free photons, reads [cf. Eq. (3.1)]
where
and $F^{N} @ \mathbb{A} @ \mathbb{A}$. For $N=0$, the monopole current $j^{N}$ is equal to zero, whereas for $N \quad 1$, it is de ned as $\mathcal{J}^{N}=$
$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{E}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{dz} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{)} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{)}\right.\right.$. The couplings g and $g_{m}$ are now dimen$\mathrm{k}=1$
sionless and obey the same condition $\mathrm{gg}_{\mathrm{m}}=4$ as in the 3D-case. We have also param etrized the trajectory of the $k$-th $m$ onopole by the vector $x^{k}()=y^{k}+z^{k}()$, where $y^{k}={ }_{0}^{R^{k}} d x^{k}()$ is the position of the tra jectory, whereas the vector $\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}$ ( ) describes its shape, both of which should be averaged over. The fugacity of a single-m onopole loop, , entering Eq. (5.1), has the dim ensionality $[m \text { ass }]^{4}, ~ / e^{S_{m}}$ on , where the action of a single $k$ th loop, obeying the estim ate $S_{m}$ on $/ \frac{1}{g^{2}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{q}^{\left(\underline{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}$, is assum ed to be of the same order ofm agnitude for all loops. Finally, in Eq. (5.1), $D_{0}(x)=1=\left(4^{2} x^{2}\right)$ is the 4D C oulom b propagator, and the average over m onopole loops is de ned sim ilarly to Eq. (32) as follow s:

The particular form of the path-integral average over the shapes of the loops, $z_{n}()$ 's, here is im $m$ aterial for the nal (ultraviolet-cuto dependent) expression for the partition function [see e.g. Ref. [68] for a sim ilar situation in the plasm a of closed dual strings in the A belian H iggs\{type m odels]. T he only
thing which $m$ atters is the norm alization $h i_{i_{n}()}=1$, that $w i l l$ be im plied henceforth. The analogue of the partition function (3.9), (3.10) then reads
w ith the action $S$ given by Eq. (5.2), while the fullpartition function is

In Eq. (5.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
j j^{q} \overline{1_{1}^{1}} ;::: ;{ }^{q} \overline{N_{1 N 1}^{1}} \text {; } \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad y^{k}=z^{k}{ }^{2} \quad z^{k} \quad{ }^{1}$ is the ultraviolet cuto. C learly, unlike the 3D-case w thout the -term, the $\mathbb{A}$ - eld is now coupled to $\tilde{j}, \mathrm{~m}$ aking $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ on A -dependent. This eventually leads to the change of the $m$ ass of the dual photon ~ , as well as to the appearance of the string -tem .

Let us address the fiundam ental case rst. A fter the saddle-point integration over ~ , the analogue ofEqs. (4.1), (42) takes the form
(C)
where the constraint @ $\mathfrak{K}=0$ was already abolished. Here, the K albR am ond action reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S h^{i}=d^{4} x \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{n}^{2} \frac{i g^{2}}{32^{2}} \check{n} \widetilde{n}+V \widetilde{h}^{i}: \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potentialV in this equation is given by Eq. (4.2) w ith the sym bol ${ }^{R} d^{3} x$ replaced by $d^{4} x$, and

[^14]$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{g}}{(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)^{a}} \tilde{\mathrm{~N}} @ \tilde{\mathrm{~h}}:
$$

In these formulae, $\sigma \quad \frac{1}{2} " \quad O$, and the absolute value is de ned in the sam e way as in Eq. (5.4), i.e. again w ith respect to the Lorentz indiaes only. $N$ ote that the form, to which the term hasbeen transform ed, is quite natural, since the respective in itial expression of Eq . (5 2) can be rew ritten m odulo fiullderivatives as

$$
\frac{i g^{2}}{8^{2}} d^{4} x \AA \tilde{H}^{N}={\frac{i g^{2}}{32}}^{Z} d^{4} x F^{2}+F^{N} \quad \tilde{F^{N}}+\tilde{F}^{N} ;
$$

where [cf. Eq. (3.4)]
Z

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})=\quad " \quad @ \quad d^{4} y D_{0}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y})^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{y}) ; @{\tilde{F^{N}}}^{\mathrm{N}}=\tilde{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{N}}:
$$

N ext, them ass of the K alb-R am ond eld, equal to the D ebyer m ass of the dual photon, which follow s from the action (5.6), readsm $D_{D}=\frac{9}{4} \quad{\frac{4}{g^{2}}}^{2}+\overline{2}^{2}$, where $\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{N}}=$. In the extrem e strong-coupling lim it, $\mathrm{g}!1$, this expression dem onstrates the im portant di erence of the case $=0$ from the case $0 . \mathrm{N}$ am ely, since ( g$) / \mathrm{e}^{\text {const }=g^{2}}!1, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ! 0 at $=0$, whereas $m_{D}!1$ at 0 . In another words, in the extrem e strong-coupling lim it, the correlation length of the vacuum, equal to $d$, goes large ( sm all) at $=0$ ( $\xi_{0}$ ).

In the weak-eld lim 立, H aj 1, Eq. (5.5) yields [cf. Eq. (4.11)]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { W (C; ) })_{\text {weak }}^{\text {tot; } a} \text { eld }={\frac{1}{Z^{\text {tot }}}}^{Z} D \tilde{\hbar} \\
& \text { " } \\
& \exp \quad d^{4} x \frac{g^{2}}{12^{2}} H^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \check{\kappa}^{2} \quad \frac{i g^{2}}{32^{2}} \check{\kappa} \quad \widetilde{\kappa} \quad \frac{i g}{2} \sim{ }_{a} \check{\kappa} \\
& \text { \#) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where again $Z^{\text {tot }}$ is the sam e integral over $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}$, but w ith set to zero. Integrating over $Ћ$, we then obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{2^{Z}}{2} d^{4} x d^{4} x D_{m_{D}}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \quad(x) \quad\left(x^{0}\right)+\frac{i g^{2}}{8^{2}} \quad(x)^{\sim} \quad\left(x^{0}\right) \quad \text {; } \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{m}(x) \quad m K_{1}(m \dot{x} j)=\left(4^{2} \dot{x} j\right)$ is the 4D Yukaw a propagator $w$ ith $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ denoting the m odi ed Bessel function. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that, at $=0, \mathrm{Eq}$. (5.8) takes the form of Eq. (4.13).] Further curvature expansion of the ~ interaction [analogous to the expansion of the interaction in the action (4.14)] yields the string term equal to iqund. Here, $\frac{1}{2}^{R} d^{2}{ }^{P} \bar{g} g^{a b}\left(@_{a} t\right)\left(@_{b} t\right)$ is the num ber of self-intersections of the w orld sheet, and the coupling constant c reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {fund }}=\frac{\left.h^{h} \frac{(N}{} 1\right)}{{\frac{4}{9^{2}}}^{2}+\overline{2}^{2}}: \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s it has already been discussed, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {fund }}$ is -independent, since (sim ilarly to the rigidity coupling constant ) it is dim ensionless. W e therefore see that, at

Cfiund becom es equal to, and self-intersections are weighted in the string partition function $w$ th the factor ( 1 ), that $m$ ight cure the problem of crum pling. This is only possible at $g \quad g^{\text {fund }} 4 \frac{2 N}{\frac{N}{N} 1}$, w that parallels the strong-coupling regim e, which should hold in the lattice version of the $m$ odel (cf. the discussion in the beginning of this section). In the extrem e strong-coupling lim it, understood in the sense $g \quad g^{\text {fund }}$, only one critical value, $\underset{+}{\text { fund }}$, survives, fund ! $\frac{\mathrm{N} 1}{2 \mathrm{~N}}$, whereas fund ! 0 , i.e. fund becom es a spurious solution, since $C_{\text {fund }} j=0=0$.
$W$ ith the use of the results of subsection 42, the adjoint-case large-N counterpart of Eq. (5.10) can readily be found. Indeed, Eq. (5.9) in that case becom es replaced by
so that $C_{\text {adj }}$ is equal to at 2

$$
\operatorname{adj}=\frac{1}{2}^{4} 1 \quad 1 \quad \frac{16}{g^{2}}{ }^{2} 5:
$$

Note that the respective lower bound for the critical value of $g, g_{N}^{\text {adj }}{ }_{1}=$ $4^{\mathrm{P}}-\mathrm{x}$ is only slightly di erent from the value $g_{N}^{\text {fund }}{ }_{1}=4^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{2}{2}$. Sim ilarly

[^15]to the fundam ental case, at $g \quad g_{N}^{\text {adj }}{ }_{1}$, ${ }^{\text {adj }}$ becom es a spurious solution, whereas ${ }_{+}^{\text {adj }!~ . ~ T h u s, ~ a t ~} N \quad 1$ and in the strong-coupling lim it, understood in the sense $g \quad g_{N}^{\text {fund }}{ }_{1}$, the critical fundam ental- and adjoint-case values of , at which the problem of crum pling $m$ ight be solved, are given by the follow ing sim ple form ula: $\underset{+}{\text { fund }}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{+}^{\text {adj }}=\frac{1}{2}$.
$F$ inally, for $k$-strings, the ratio $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {fund }}$ is the sam eC asim ir one, $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{N})$, as the ratio of string tensions, as long as $N . O e^{S_{m} \text { on }=2} .{ }^{Y}$ This enables one to readily nd ${ }^{k}$ as well. Nam ely,
$$
k=2_{2}^{4} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{N})}
$$

that is only possible at $g>g_{k} \quad g^{\text {fund }} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{C} \mathrm{(k;N)}} \quad g^{\text {fund }}$.
6. G eom etric aspects of con $n$ ing strings: the physics of negative sti ness
The role ofantisym $m$ etric tensor eld theories forcon nem ent hasbeen studied in detail in Ref. [33]. By analyzing com pact antisym $m$ etric tensor eld theories of rank h $1 Q$ uevedo and $T$ rugenberger have show $n$ that, starting from a \Coulomb" phase, the condensation of (d h 1)-branes (where $D=d+1$ is the space-tim e dim ension) leads to a generalized con nem ent phase for ( $\mathrm{h} \quad 1$ )-branes. Each phase in the $m$ odelhas tw o dualdescriptions in term sofantisym $m$ etric tensor ofdi erent ranks, $m$ assless for the $C$ ou lom $b$ phase and $m$ assive for the con nem ent phase. Upon the integration over the $m$ assive antisym $m$ etric tensor eld (in the case ofeven num ber ofdim ensions \{ when the term is absent), one obtains string e ective action (4.14). The derivative expansion of this nonlocal string e ective action, up to the tem next to the rigidity one, produces the follow ing con ning-string action:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\quad d^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \bar{g} g^{a b} D_{a} x \quad T \quad S D^{2}+\frac{1}{M^{2}} D^{4} \quad D_{b x}: \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

H ere, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the covariant derivative w ith respect to the induced m etric $g_{a b}=$ $\left(@_{a} x\right)\left(@_{b} x\right)$ on the surface $x(0 ; 1)$.

In (6.1), the rst term provides a bare surface tension 2 T , while the second accounts for rigidity $w$ ith sti ness param eter $s$. T he last term can be ritten

[^16](up to surface term s) as a com bination of the fourth pow er and the square of the gradient of the extrinsic curvature $m$ atrioes, $w$ ith $M$ being a new $m$ ass scale. It thus suppresses world-sheet con gurations w th rapidly changing extrinsic curvature; due to its presence, the sti ness $s m$ ay not necessarily be negative, as in Eq. (4.17) above, but also positive. W e analyze the m odel (6.1) in the large $-D$ approxim ation. To this end, we introduce a Lagrange $m$ ultiplierm atrix $L^{a b}$ to im pose the constraint $g_{a b}=\left(@_{a} x\right)\left(@_{b} x\right)$, extending the action (6.1) to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+d^{2} P \bar{g} L^{a b}\left(@_{a} x @_{b} x \quad g_{b}\right): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Then we param etrize the world-sheet in a Gauss map by $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{r}=$

$$
0 ; 1 ;{ }^{i}(\text { ) , }(i=2 ;::: ; D \quad 1) \text {, where } \quad=2 \quad 0 \quad=2, \quad R=2 \quad 1
$$

$R=2$, and ${ }^{i}($ ) describe the $D \quad 2$ transverse uctuations. $W$ th the usual hom ogeneity and isotropy A nsatz $g_{a b}=a b, L^{a b}=L g^{a b}$ of in nite surfaces ( ; R ! 1 ) at the saddle point, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{Z}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=2 \quad d^{2} \quad[T+L(1 \quad)]+d^{2} \quad Q_{a}{ }^{i} V \quad T ; s ; M ; L ; D^{2} @_{a}^{i} ; \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D}^{2}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{SD}^{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \mathrm{D}^{4}: \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating over the transverse uctuations, in the in nite-area lim it, we get the e ective action

$$
\begin{gather*}
S^{e}=2 A_{\text {ext }}[T+L(1 \quad)]+ \\
+A_{\operatorname{ext}} \frac{D 2^{2}}{8^{2}} d^{2} p \ln p^{2} V(T ; S ; M ; L ; p) ; \tag{6.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{\text {ext }}=R$ is the extrinsic, physical, space-tim e area. For large D, the uctuations of $L$ and are suppressed and these variables take their \classical values", determ ined by the tw o saddle-point equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{L}) ; \quad=\frac{1}{\mathrm{f}^{0}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{L})} ; \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes a derivative $w$ ith respect to $L$ and the \saddlefunction" $f$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(T ; s ; M ; L) \quad L \frac{D}{8}^{Z} d p p \ln p^{2} V(T ; s ; M ; L ; p): \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U \operatorname{sing}(6.6)$ in (6.5) we get $S^{e}=2(T+L) A_{\text {ext }}$ show ing that $T=2(T+L)$ is the physical string tension.
$T$ he stability condition for the Euclidean surfaces is that $V$ ( $\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{M}$; $\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{p}$ ) be positive for all $p^{2} \quad 0$. H ow ever, we w ill require the sam e condition also for $\mathrm{p}^{2} \quad 0$, so that strings propagating in $M$ inkow ski space-tim e are not a ected by the propagating states of negative norm which plague rigid strings. The stability condition becom es thus $\bar{P} \overline{T+L} \quad \dot{\mathrm{j} M}=2 j$ which allow s us to introduce the real variables $R$ and I de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2} \quad \frac{M}{2} P \overline{T+L}+\frac{s M^{2}}{4} ; \quad I^{2} \quad \frac{M P}{2} \overline{T+L} \quad \frac{S M^{2}}{4}: \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term $s$ of these, the kemelV can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} V(T ; s ; M ; L ; p)=R^{2}+I^{2}+2 R^{2} \quad I^{2} p^{2}+p^{4}: \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to analyze the geom etric properties of the string model (6.1) we w ill study tw o correlation functions. First, we consider the orientational correlation function

$$
g_{a b}\left(0^{0}\right) \quad h Q^{i}() \Phi^{i}\left({ }^{0}\right) i
$$

for the norm al com ponents of tangent vectors to the w orld-sheet.
Secondly, we com pute the scaling law of the distance $d_{E}$ in embedding space betw een two points on the world-sheet when changing its projection $d$ on the reference plane. T he exact relation betw een the two lengths is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{E}^{2}=d^{2}+{ }^{X} h j^{i}() \quad{ }^{i}\left({ }^{0}\right){ }^{\jmath} i: \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen $d_{E}^{2} / d^{2}$ this implies that the $H$ ausdor dim ension of the surfaces, de ned as $d_{E}^{2}=\left(d^{2}\right)^{2=D_{H}}$, is equal to 2 , and surface is sm ooth.

In order to establish the properties of our $m$ odel, we analyze the saddlepoint function $f(T ; s ; M$;L) in (6.7). To this end, we must prescribe a regularization for the ultraviolet divergent integral. W e use dim ensional regularization, com puting the integral in (2 ) dim ensions. For sm all , this leads to
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{L})=\mathrm{L}+\frac{1}{16^{3}} \mathrm{R}^{2} \quad \mathrm{I}^{2} \ln \frac{\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{I}^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{1}{8^{3} R I} \frac{-\arctan \frac{\mathrm{I}^{2}}{2} R^{2}}{2 R I} ;$
where $\quad \exp (2=)$ and is a reference scale which m ust be introduced for dim ensional reasons. The scale plays the role of an ultraviolet cuto , diverging for ! 0 .

The saddle-point function above is best studied by introducing the dim ensionless couplingst $\mathrm{T}={ }^{2}, \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{M}=$ and $\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{L}={ }^{2}$. We will study in detail the case $s=0$, in which the saddle-point equations can be solved
analytically since $R=I$. $T$ his choice is not too restrictive since, as we will show, $s=0$ is the infrared xed point. $W$ e get

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\frac{m^{2} c^{2}}{2} 1+\frac{r}{1+\frac{4 t}{m^{2} c^{2}}} \text { ! }  \tag{6.12}\\
& =1 \frac{m c}{2 \overline{t+1}} \quad 1 \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{c} \quad 1=32^{2}$. This shows that the point $(t=0, \mathrm{~s}=0, \mathrm{~m}=$ 0 ) constitutes an infrared-stable xed-point $w$ ith vanishing physical string tension T . T his point is characterized by long-range correlations $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{d})=$ $2^{2}=a$, $w$ th a constant $a$, and by the scaling law

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} ; \quad 1 \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{a}} \quad \text {; } \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the H ausdor dimension of world sheets is $D_{H}=2$. For $s=0$, the constant a can be com puted analytically:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\lim _{\substack{t!0 \\ m!0}} \frac{1+\left(2 t=m^{2} c^{2}\right)+{ }^{p} \overline{1+4 t=m^{2} c^{2}}}{2} ; \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we recognize that $1 \quad 2$.
At the infrared xed point we can rem ove the cuto. . The renorm alization of the $m$ odel is easily obtained by noting that the e ective action for transverse uctuations to quadratic order decouples from other m odes and is identical w ith the second term in (6.3) w ith $D^{2}=@^{2}=$ and taking its saddle-point value. From here we identify the physical tension, sti ness and m ass as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T={ }^{2}(t+1) ; S=\frac{S}{-} ; M=m: \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s=0$, we can com pute analytically the corresponding -functions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{t} & \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \ln \mathrm{t}=2+\mathrm{o} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} ; \\
\mathrm{m} & \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \ln \mathrm{~m}=1+\mathrm{O} \frac{\mathrm{t}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{4}}: \tag{6.18}
\end{array}
$$

The vicinity of the infrared xed-point de nes a new theory of sm ooth strings for which the range of the orientational correlations in embedding space is alw ays of the same order or bigger than the length scale $1=\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{T}}$ associated w ith the tension. The naively irrelevant term $D^{4}=M$ in (6.1) becom es relevant in the large-D approxim ation since it generates a string
tension proportional to $M^{2}$ which takes over the control of the uctuations after the orientational correlations die o. N ote m oreover, that it is exactly this new quartic term which guarantees that the spectrum $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~V}$ ( $\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{p}$ ) has no other pole than $p=0$, contrary to the rigid string, which necessarily has a ghost pole at $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{s}$.

By studying the nite-size scaling [87] of the Euclidean model (6.1) on a cylinder of (spatial) circum ference $R$ it is possible to determ ine the universality class of con ning strings. In the lim it of large $R$, the e ective action on the cylinder takes the form [41]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{!1} \frac{S^{e}}{}=\mathrm{TR} \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{D}}{6 \mathrm{R}}+2\right) \mathrm{:}: ; \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for (D 2) transverse degrees of freedom, the universality class being encoded in the pure num ber $c$. $T$ his suggests that the e ective theory describing the infrared behavior is a conform al eld theory (CFT) with centralcharge c. In th is case the num ber calso xes the Luscher term [88] in the quark-antiquark potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(R)=T R \quad \frac{C(D \quad 2)}{24 R}+:::: \tag{6,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [41] it has been shown that con ning strings are characterized by $\mathrm{c}=1$. A lthough they share the sam e value of c , con ning strings are clearly di erent $\mathrm{C}=1$ theories than N am bu-G oto or rigid strings. Indeed, the form er are sm ooth strings on any scale, while the latter crum ple and ll the am bient space, at least in the infrared region. O ur result $c=1$ is in agreem ent w ith recent precision num erical determ inations of Luscher and $W$ eisz [30] of th is constant.
$H$ aving established that the $m$ odel (6.1) describes $s m$ ooth strings $w$ ith $c=1$, the question arises as to how much these results depend on the truncation of the original non-local action after the $D^{4}$ term. In [41] it has been proved that the answer to this question is no: the value of $c$ and the sm ooth geom etric properties are independent ofan in nite set oftruncations, provided that a solution for the polynom ial \gap" equation exists. These properties are presum ably com $m$ on to a large class of non-local w orld-sheet interactions.
7. $H$ igh tem perature behavior of con $n$ ing strings

Thehigh-tem peraturebehavior oflarge-N Q CD hasbeen studied by Poldhinski in [46], where he shows that the decon ning transition in QCD is due to the condensation of $W$ ilson lines, and the partition function of $Q C D$
ux tubes can be continued above the decon ning transition; this hightem perature continuation can be evaluated perturbatively. So, any string theory that is equivalent to Q CD m ust reproduce this behavior. H ow ever, the N am bu \{G oto action has the w rong tem perature dependence, while the rigid string param etrically has the correct high-tem perature behavior but w th a w rong sign and an im aginary part signaling a world-sheet instabil-斗y [89].

T he high tem perature behavior of the con ning string model proposed in [40] has been studied in [45], where it has been show $n$ that this m odelhas a high-tem perature behavior that agrees in tem perature dependence, sign and reality properties w ith the large-N QCD result [46]. The starting point w ill be again (62). In the $G$ auss $m$ ap, the value of the periodic coordinate 0 is $=20 \quad=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith $=1=\mathrm{T}$ and T the tem perature. N ote that, at high tem peratures ( 1 ), the scale $M^{2}$ can be tem perature-dependent. This is not unusual in closed string theory as has been shown by Atick and $W$ itten [90]. The value of 1 is $R=2 \quad 1 \quad R=2$; ${ }^{i}($ ) describe the D 2 transverse uctuations. W e look for a saddle-point solution with a diagonal $m$ etric $g_{a b}=$ diag ( $0 ; 1$ ), and a Lagrange $m$ ultiplier of the form $\mathrm{ab}=\operatorname{diag}(0=0 ; 1=1)$.

A fter integration over transverse uctuations we obtain, in the lim it R ! 1 , four gap equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{0}=0 ;  \tag{7.1}\\
& \frac{1}{1}=1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{D} 2}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{P} \frac{2 \mathrm{M}}{(1+\mathrm{t})^{3=4}} ;}{\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{t} \quad 1)+\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{t} \quad 0+\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2} \frac{2}{2^{2}}=0 ;}  \tag{72}\\
& (\mathrm{t} \quad 1) \quad \frac{1}{1}(1+\mathrm{t})+\frac{\mathrm{D} \quad 21}{2} \mathrm{p} \frac{}{2 \mathrm{M}}(1+t)^{1=4}-=0 ; \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and a simpli ed form of the e ective action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ext}} \mathrm{~T} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{1}{1}} ; \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{D}=2\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{t}\right)$ representing the physical string tension. By inserting (72) into (7.4), we obtain an equation for ( $1+\mathrm{t}$ ) alone:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+t) \frac{D}{2} \frac{2}{8} \mathrm{P} \overline{2 M}(1+t)^{1=4}+\frac{D}{2} \frac{2}{2^{2}} \quad t=0: \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ithout loss of generality we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1_{1}+t\right)^{1=4}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{2 \mathrm{M}}}{} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a dim ension less param eter. It is possible to show that, at high tem peratures, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2} \frac{D \quad 2}{2} ; \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can com pletely neglect $t$ in (7.6). Indeed, as we now show, 1 is proportional to (D 2$)^{2}={ }^{2}$. W e can thus rew rite (7.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{\mathrm{D} \quad 2}{2} \frac{5}{8}{ }_{1}^{1=2}+\frac{\mathrm{D} \quad 2}{2} \frac{}{2^{2}}=0: \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now restrict ourselves to the regim e

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(; D)=\frac{25}{64} 2^{L^{2} \quad 2^{2}} \quad 2 \frac{D \quad 2}{2}>0 ; \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which (7.9) adm its tw o real solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{1}{1}^{1=2}=\frac{5}{16} \quad \frac{D \quad 2}{2}+\frac{1}{2} P \overline{G(; D)} ;  \tag{7.11}\\
& \binom{2}{1}^{1=2}=\frac{5}{16} \quad \frac{D \quad 2}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} P \overline{G(; D)}: \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

In both cases, 1 is proportional to (D 2 ) $={ }^{2}$, which justi es neglecting $t$ in (7.6) and im plies that the scale $M^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be chosen proportional to $1={ }^{2}$. $M$ oreover, since the physical string tension is real we are guaranteed that $M^{2}>0$, as required by the stability of our m odel. A ny com plex solutions for $D$ would have been incom patible $w$ ith the stability of the truncation.

Let us start w ith analyzing the rst solution (7.11). By inserting (7.11) into (73), we obtain the follow ing equation for 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1}=1 \quad \frac{4}{5+1 \frac{128}{25 \frac{128}{2 \frac{D}{2}}}}: \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O wing to the condition (7.10), $1=1$ is positive and, since ${ }_{1}^{2}$ is real, the squared free energy is also positive:

$$
\mathrm{F}^{2}\left(\mathrm{)} \frac{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{16} \frac{\mathrm{D} \quad 2}{2} \frac{1 \mathrm{P}}{2} \frac{0}{\mathrm{G}(; \mathrm{D})}{ }^{4} @ 1 \frac{4}{5+\frac{4}{25 \frac{128}{2 \frac{\mathrm{D} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{2}}} \mathrm{~A}}\right. \text { : }
$$

In this case the high-tem perature behavior is the sam e as in QCD, but the sign is wrong, exactly as for the rigid string. T here is, how ever, a crucial di erence: (7.14) is real, while the squared free energy for the rigid string is im aginary, signaling an instability in the m odel.

If we now look at the behavior of 1 at low tem peratures, below the decon ning transition [41], we see that $1=_{1}$ is positive. The decon ning transition is indeed determ ined by the vanishing of $1={ }_{1}$ at $=\mathrm{dec}$. In the case of (7.11) this $m$ eans that $1={ }_{1}$ is positive below the $H$ agedom transition, touches zero at dec and rem ains positive above it. Exactly the sam e will happen also for $\mathrm{F}^{2}$, which is positive below dec, touches zero at dec and rem ains positive above it. This solution thus describes an unphysical $\backslash m$ irror" of the low -tem perature behavior of the con ning string, w thout a real decon ning $H$ agedom transition. For this reason we discard it.

Let us now study the solution (7.12). A gain, by inserting (7.12) into (7.3), we obtain for 1 the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1}=1 \quad \frac{4}{5} \quad \frac{9}{25 \frac{128}{2 \frac{\mathrm{D}}{2}}} \text { : } \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, when

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{D}  \tag{7.16}\\
>\mathrm{D}_{3} \quad 2 \\
2
\end{array}
$$

$1={ }_{1}$ becom es negative. The condition (7.16) is consistent with (7.10) and $w$ illbe taken to $x$ the values of the range of param eter that enters (7.7). W e will restrict to those that satisfy (7.16). Since $0=1$ and 1 is real and proportional to $1={ }^{2}$, we obtain the follow ing form of the squared free energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{2}()=\frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{16} \frac{\mathrm{D} \quad 2}{2} \frac{1 \mathrm{P}}{2} \frac{0}{\mathrm{G}(; \mathrm{D})}{ }^{4} @ \frac{4}{5} \frac{4}{25 \frac{128}{2 \frac{D}{2}}} \quad \mathbb{A}: \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the range de ned by (7.16) this is negative. For this solution, thus, both $1=1$ and $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ pass from positive values at low tem peratures to negative values at high tem peratures, exactly as one would expect for a string modelundergoing the H agedom transition at an interm ediate tem perature. In fact, this is also what happens in the rigid string case, but there, above the $H$ agedom transition, there is a second transition above which, at high tem perature, 1 becom es large and essentially im aginary, giving a positive squared free energy. This second transition is absent in our m odel.

Let us now com pare the result (7.17) w ith the corresponding one for large N Q CD [46]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{2}()_{Q C D}=\frac{2 g^{2}() N}{22} ; \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{2}()$ is the QCD coupling constant. First of all let us simplify our result by choosing large values of :

$$
\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{128}{25}} \frac{\mathrm{D}}{2}^{1=2}:
$$

In this case (7.17) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{2}()=\frac{1}{2} \frac{8^{3}}{125} \frac{\mathrm{D} \quad 2}{2}: \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his corresp onds exactly to the Q CD result (7.18) w ith the identi cations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{g}^{2} / \frac{1}{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D} \quad 2:
\end{aligned}
$$

The weak -dependence of the QCD coupling $g^{2}()$ can be accom m odated in the param eter . N ote that our result is valid at large values of , i.e. sm all values of $g^{2}$, as it should be for QCD at high tem peratures [91]. N ote also the interesting identi cation betw een the order of the gauge group and the num ber of transverse space-tim e dim ensions. M oreover, since the sign of 1 does not change at high tem peratures, the eld $x$ is not unstable. The opposite happens in the rigid string case [89], w here the change of sign of 1 gives rise to a w orld-sheet instability.
8. The in uence of $m$ atter elds to the decon nem ent phase transition in the 3D G G m odel at $n$ ite tem perature

### 8.1. In troduction

The phase structure of the 3 D G G m odel at nite tem perature has for the rst tim e been addressed in Ref. 51], where it has been show $n$ that, in the absence of $W$-bosons, the weakly coupled monopole plasm a undergoes the B erezinsky-K osterlitz-T houless (BKT) [82] phase transition into the m olecular phase at the tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} \mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{g}^{2}=2$. Then, in Ref . [52], it has been shown that the true phase transition, which takes into account $W$ boosons, occurs at approxim ately tw ice sm aller tem perature. Let us rst discuss in som em ore details the above-m entioned BK T phase transition, which takes
place in the absence of $W$-bosons (i.e. in the continuum lim it of the 3D lattioe com pact QED extended by the Higgs eld).

At nite temperature $T \quad 1=$, equations of $m$ otion of the elds and , entering the partition function (2.2),

should be supplem ented by the periodic boundary conditions in the tem poral direction, w ith the period equal to . Because of that, the lines ofm agnetic eld em litted by a m onopole cannot cross the boundary of the one-period region. C onsequently, at the distances larger than in the direction penpendicular to the tem poral one, $m$ agnetic lines should go alm ost parallel to this boundary, approaching it. Therefore, $m$ onopoles separated by such distances interact via the 2D C oulomb potential, rather than the 3D one. Since the average distance betw een $m$ onopoles in the plasm a is of the order of $\quad 1=3$, we see that at $T \&{ }^{1=3}$, the $m$ onopole ensem ble becom es two-dim ensional. O w ing to the fact that is exponentially sm all in the weak-coupling regim e under discussion, the idea of dim ensional reduction is perfectly applicable at the tem peratures of the order of the above-m entioned critical tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} \mathrm{T}}$.
$N$ ote that, due to the $T$-dependence of the strength of the m onopoleantim onopole interaction, which is a consequence of the dim ensional reduction, the BKT phase transition in the 3D Georgi-G lashow model is inverse $w$ th respect to the standard one of the $2 \mathrm{D} X Y \mathrm{~m}$ odel. N am ely, m onopoles exist in the plasm a phase at the tem peratures below $T_{B K} T$ and in the molecular phase above this tem perature. A s it has already been discussed, the analogy w ith the 2D XY $m$ odelestablished in $R$ ef. [62] is that spin $w$ aves of that $m$ odel correspond to free photons of the 3D G G m odelat zero tem perature, while vortioes correspond to $m$ agnetic $m$ onopoles. At nite tem perature, disorder is rather produced by the therm ally excited W łoosons [52], whereas m onopoles order the system, binding $W$-bosons into pairs. H ow ever, the analogy is still true in case of the continuum version of 3D com pact QED (w th the Higgs eld) under discussion.

Let us therefore brie y discuss the BKT phase transition, occurring in the 2D XY m odel at a certain critical tem perature $T=T_{B K T}^{X Y}$. At $T<$ $T_{B K T}^{X Y}$, the spectrum of the $m$ odel is dom inated by $m$ assless spin $w$ aves, and the periodicity of the angular variable is unim portant in this phase. The spin waves are unable to disorder the spin-spin correlation functions, and those decrease at large distances by som e power law. On the contrary, at
$T>T_{B K T}^{X Y}$, the periodicity of the angular variable becom es im portant. $T$ his leads to the appearance of topological singularities (vortices) of the angular variable, which, contrary to spin w aves, have nonvanishing winding num bers. Such vortiges condense and disorder the spin-spin correlation functions, so that those start decreasing exp onentially with the distance. T hus, the nature of the BKT phase transition is the condensation of vortiges at $T>T_{B K T}^{X Y}$. In another words, at $T>T_{B K T}^{X Y}$, free vortices do exist and $m$ ix in the ground state (vortex condensate) of an inde nite global vorticity. C ontrary to that, at $T<T_{B K T}^{X Y}$, free vortiges cannot exist, and they ratherm utually couple into bound states of vortex-antivortex pairs. Such vortex-antivortex m olecules are $s m$ all-sized and short-living (virtual) ob jects. Their dipole-type elds are short-ranged and therefore cannot disorder signi cantly the spin-spin correlation functions. H ow ever, when the tem perature starts rising, the size of these $m$ olecules grow $s$, until at $T=T_{B K T}^{X Y}$ it diverges, that corresponds to the dissociation of the $m$ olecules into pairs. T herefore, one of the $m$ ethods to determ ine the critical tem perature of the B K T phase transition is to evaluate the $m$ ean squared separation in the $m$ olecule and to $n d$ the tem perature at which it starts diverging.

Let us now retum to the continuum lim it of the nite-tem perature 3D com pact Q ED , extended by the Higgs eld, and determ ine there the $m$ ean squared separation in the $m$ onopole-antim onopole molecule. O ne can then see that, up to exponentially sm all corrections, the respective critical tem -
 $m$ ean squared separation reads ${ }^{z}$

$$
L^{2}=\frac{R}{\underset{j x>m_{W}{ }^{1}}{R} d^{2} x \dot{x} j^{2} \frac{8 T}{g^{2}} \exp \frac{h}{\frac{4 T}{g^{2}} K_{0}\left(m_{H} \dot{x} j\right)^{i}} d^{2} x \dot{j} j^{\frac{8 T}{g^{2}}} \exp \frac{4 T}{g^{2}} K_{0}\left(m_{H} \dot{j} j\right)^{i} ; ;}
$$

where $K_{0}$ denotes them odi ed B esselfiunction. D isregarding the exponential factors in the num erator and denom inator of this equation, we obtain $L^{2}$, $\frac{4 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~g}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}\left(2 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~g}^{2}\right)}$, that yields the abovem entioned value of the BKT critical tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} T}=g^{2}=2$. Besides that, we see that, as long as $T$ does not tend to $T_{B K T}$, and in the weak-coupling regim e under study, the value of $\overline{\mathrm{hL}^{2} \mathrm{i}}$ is exponentially sm aller than the characteristic distance in the $m$ onopole plasm a, $\quad 1=3$, i.e. m olecules are very sm all-sized $w$ ith respect to that distance.

[^17]$\mathrm{R}^{T}$ he factor at the action of the dim ensionally-reduced theory, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}$ : r := $d^{2} x L\left[\right.$; $\dot{g}_{m}$; ], can be rem oved [and this action can be cast to the original form of Eq. (2 2) w th the substitution $\left.d^{3} x!d^{2} x\right]$ by the obvious rescaling:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d}: r:=d^{Z} x L^{h} \text { new } ; \text { new }{\underset{j}{j}}_{\mathrm{K}} \text {; } \quad \text { i } \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here, $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{q}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~T}$, new $=\mathrm{P}-$, new $=\mathrm{P}-$, and in what follow s we w ill denote forbrevity new and new simply as and, respectively. A veraging then over the eld w ith the use of the cum ulant expansion we arrive at the follow ing action:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll} 
& S_{d}: r:^{\prime} & d^{2} x & \frac{1}{2}(@ \quad)^{2} \quad 2 & \cos \bar{K} \\
2^{2} & d^{2} x d^{2} y \cos & p \bar{K} & (x) \quad K^{(2)}(x & y) \cos & p \bar{K} \quad(y) \quad: \tag{8.3}
\end{array}
$$

In this expression, sim ilarly to Eq. (2.6), we have disregarded all the cumulants higher than the quadratic one, and the lim its of applicability of this bilocal approxim ation will be discussed below. Further, in Eq. (8.3), $K^{(2)}(x) \quad \quad^{K_{m} D_{H}^{(2)}(x)} \quad 1$, where $D_{m_{H}}^{(2)}(x) \quad K_{0}\left(m_{H} \dot{x} \mathcal{J}=2\right.$ is the 2D Yukawa propagator, and $\exp \frac{K}{2} D_{m_{H}}^{(2)} m_{W}^{1}$ denotes the $m$ onopole fugacity m odi ed by the interaction ofm onopoles via the H iggs eld. The exponential factor entering reads

$$
/ \exp \frac{4}{g^{2}} m_{W}+T \ln \frac{e}{2} c \quad:
$$

H ere, we have introduced the notation $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}, \mathrm{c}<1$, and $\quad 0: 577$ is the E uler constant, so that $\frac{e^{2}}{2}$ ' $0: 89<1$. W e see that the m odi ed fugacity rem ains exponentially $s m$ all, provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T<\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\ln \frac{\mathrm{e}}{2} \mathrm{C}}: \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This constraint should be updated by another one, which would provide the convergence of the cum ulant expansion. A nalogously to the zerotem perature case, the divergence of the cum ulant expansion would indicate that the H iggs vacuum loses its norm al stochastic property and becom es a coherent one. In order to get the new constraint, nofice that the param eter of the cum ulant expansion reads $I^{(2)}$, where $I^{(2)} \quad d^{2} x K^{(2)}(x)$. Evaluation of the integral I ${ }^{(2)}$ yields [53]: (N ote that at $T \quad g^{2}=4, \frac{1 \frac{2}{c} \frac{8 T}{g^{2}}}{1 \frac{4 T}{g^{2}}}$ ! $2 \ln C$, ie. $I^{(2)}$ rem ains nite.) In the derivation of this expression, the param eter a $4 \mathrm{P} \overline{2} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ as assum ed to be of the order of unity. T hat is because the tem peratures we are working at are of the order of $T_{B K T}$. D ue to the exponential tem in Eq. (8.5), the violation of the cum ulant expansion may occur at high enough tem peratures that parallels the above-obtained constraint (8.4)]. The m ost essential, exponential, part of the param eter of the cum ulant expansion thus reads

$$
\mathrm{I}^{(2)} / \exp \frac{4}{\mathrm{~g}^{2}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{w}}+\mathrm{T} \ln \frac{\mathrm{e}}{2} \mathrm{c} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{2}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\# \#)} \text { : }
$$

Therefore, the cum ulant expansion converges at the tem peratures obeying the inequally

$$
\mathrm{T}<\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}}{\frac{2}{\mathrm{e}} \quad \ln \frac{\mathrm{e}}{2} \mathrm{c}} ;
$$

which strengthens the inequality (8.4). On the other hand, since we are working in the plasm a phase, i.e. $T \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{BK} T}$, it is enough to im pose the follow ing upper bound on the param eter of the weak-coupling approxim ation, :

$$
\frac{2}{\frac{p}{e} \quad \ln \frac{e}{2} c}:
$$

$N$ ote that, although this inequality is satis ed autom atically at $\frac{e}{2} c \quad 1$ (or C 1), since it then takes the form $\overline{2} \mathrm{e}$, this is not so autom atic in the BPS lim it, c 1. Indeed, in this case, we have $\ln \frac{2}{c e} 2$. It is, how ever, quite feasible to obey this inequality, since the logarithm is a w eak function.
8.2. H iggs-inspired corrections to the RG ow in the absence of $W$-bosons

A though we have seen in the previous subsection that the propagating H iggs eld does not change the value of the critical tem perature $T_{\text {B } T}$, it is instructive to derive corrections it produces to the RG ow. Such a derivation can
also be extended to the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-case (2.5). In that case [83], it is qualitatively clear that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK}} \mathrm{T}$ should rem ain the sam e , since it only di ers from that of the $S U(2)$-case in the factor $G_{i}{ }^{2}$, which is equal to unity. H ow ever, som e peculiarities of the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-case at $N>2$ becom e clear only upon a derivation of the RG equations, which willbe quoted below .

Let us thus start w th the SU (2)-case (22), whose action after the di$m$ ensional reduction has the form (82). In what follow $s$, we w ill use the usualRG strategy based on the integration over the high-frequency m odes. $N$ ote that this procedure $w i l l$ be applied to all the elds, i.e. not only to , but also to . Splitting the $m$ om enta into two ranges, $0<p<0$ and $0<p<$, one can de ne the high-frequency modes as $h=0 \quad 0$, $=\quad 0$, where $O 0(x)=\sum_{0<p<0} \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} e^{i p x} O(p)$ and consequently, e.g.
$h(x)=\operatorname{lic}_{0<p<}^{R} \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} e^{i p x} \quad$ (p). The partition function, Z

$$
Z=\underset{\substack{0<p<}}{ } D \quad(p) D \quad(p) \exp f \quad S_{d}: r:[\quad ; \quad] g ;
$$

can be rew ritten as follow s:

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& \begin{aligned}
Z^{0}=\quad & D \quad(p) D \quad(p) \exp \quad d^{2} x \frac{1}{2} h @^{2} h+\frac{1}{2} @^{2} m_{H}^{2}+ \\
& +2 e^{p} \bar{K}(0+) \cos \bar{K}(0+h) \quad \text { io }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad$ O wing to the exponential sm allness of the fugacity, $\%$ can further be expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z^{0,} 1+2 d^{Z} x^{D} e^{p} \bar{K}\left(0^{+}\right)^{E} D \cos \bar{K}(0+h){ }_{h}^{E}+2^{2} d^{2} x d^{2} y \\
& e^{p} \bar{K}(0(x)+(x)) e^{p} \bar{K}(0(y)+(y)) \quad \operatorname{Dos} \quad \mathrm{P} \bar{K}(0(x)+h(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

where


R

$$
D \quad(p) \exp \frac{1}{2}^{R} d^{2} x \quad @^{2} \quad m_{H}^{2} \quad 0
$$

ho i

$C$ arrying out the averages we arrive at the follow ing expression for $\mathrm{Z}^{0}$ : $Z^{0,} 1+2 A(0) B(0) \quad d^{2} x e^{p} \bar{K} \quad{ }^{0} \cos { }^{p} \bar{K} \quad 0+(A(0) B(0))^{2} \quad d^{2} x d^{2} y$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{p} \bar{K}(\quad 0(x)+\quad o(y)) \quad \begin{array}{llll}
X & A^{2 k}(x & y) B^{2}(x & y) \\
1
\end{array} \\
& \left.\cos _{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\mathrm{k}=}{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{k}} 0 \mathrm{o}(\mathrm{y})\right)^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; } \tag{8.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where A (x) $\quad e^{K G_{h}(x)=2}, B(x) \quad e^{K G(x)=2,}$

$$
G_{h}(x)=\underbrace{Z}_{0<p<} \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} \frac{e^{i p x}}{p^{2}} ; \quad G(x)=\underbrace{Z}_{0<p<} \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} \frac{e^{i p x}}{p^{2}+m_{H}^{2}}:
$$

Since in what follows we will take $0=d$, the factors $A^{2 k}\left(\begin{array}{lll}x & y\end{array}\right) B^{2}(x \quad y) \quad 1, k=1$, are sm all. $O \mathrm{w}$ ing to this fact, it is convenient to introduce the coordinates $r \quad x \quad y$ and $R \quad \frac{1}{2}(x+y)$, and Taylor expand Eq. (8.6) in pow ers ofr. C learly, this expansion should be perform ed up to the induced-interaction term $\quad 2^{R} d^{2} R e^{2^{P}} \bar{K} \quad 0(R) \cos 2^{P} \frac{R^{\prime}}{K} \quad 0(R)$, that itself should already be disregarded. A s a result, we obtain the follow ing expression for $Z$ (For the sake of uniform ity, we replace $d^{2} R$ by $d^{2} x$.):

$$
Z=\sum_{0<p<0}^{Z} \quad D \quad(p) D \quad(p) \exp
$$

$+a_{2}(A(0) B(0))^{2} e^{2^{p} \bar{K}} \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} 1+a_{1}(A(0) B(0))^{2} \frac{K}{2} e^{2^{p} \bar{K}} \quad 0 \quad(@ \quad 0)^{2}+$ $+2 A(0) B(0) e^{p} \bar{K} \quad{ }^{0} \cos { }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{K}} \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{OO}$;
where we have introduced the notations

$$
a_{1} \quad d^{2} r r^{2} A \quad{ }^{2}(r) B^{2}(r) \quad 1 ; a_{2} \quad d^{2} r A{ }^{2}(r) B^{2}(r) \quad 1 \text { : }
$$

Taking into account that ${ }^{0}=d$ it is straightforw ard to get

$$
a_{1}={ }_{1} K \frac{d}{5} 1+\frac{2}{m_{H}^{2}} ; \quad a_{2}={ }_{2} K \frac{d}{3} \quad 1+\frac{2}{m_{H}^{2}}:
$$

Here, 1;2 stand for som e m om entum-space-slicing dependent positive constants, whose concrete values will tum out to be unim portant for the nal expressions describing the RG ow .
$N$ ext, since $a_{1 ; 2}$ occur to be in nitesim al (because these are proportional to $d$ ), the term s containing these constants on the rh.s. of Eq. (8.7) can be treated in the leading-order approxim ation of the cum ulant expansion that we w ill apply for the average over. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& \text { D (p) } \exp \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2} x \quad 0 \quad @^{2} \quad m_{H}^{2} \quad 0 \\
& \exp ^{0<p<} \mathrm{b}^{0} d^{2} x^{2^{2} \bar{K}} \quad{ }^{0} f(x) \quad \text {, } \exp \quad b e^{2 K G(0)} \quad d^{2} x f(x)+ \\
& +\frac{b^{2}}{2} e^{4 K G(0)} \quad d^{2} x d^{2} y \quad e^{4 K G(x \quad y)} \quad 1 f(x) f(y) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where f is equaleither to unity or to ( $(0)^{2}, \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{a}_{1} ; 2(\mathrm{~A}(0) \mathrm{B}(0))^{2}$, and

G (x)

$$
\underset{0<p<0}{Z} \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} \frac{e^{i p x}}{p^{2}+m_{H}^{2}} ; G(0)=\frac{1}{4} \ln 1+\frac{0^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}:
$$

In order to estim ate the param eter of the cum ulant expansion, $b e^{2 K G(0)} d^{2} x e^{4 K G(x)} \quad 1$, note that we are working in the phase where $m$ onopoles form the plasm a, i.e. below $T_{B K T}$. Because of this fact, $4 K J G(x) j 32\left({ }^{0}=m_{H}\right)^{2}$, that, due to the factor $\left({ }^{0}=m_{H}\right)^{2}$, is generally much sm aller than unity. O wing to that, we get

$$
\text { , } \mathrm{b} 1+\frac{\mathrm{O}}{}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}}^{!\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2}} \mathrm{Z}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{x})^{\prime} \frac{2 \mathrm{bK}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}} 1+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2}{\frac{0}{m_{\mathrm{H}}}}^{\text {" }} \text { : }
$$

Choosing for concreteness $b=a_{2}(A(0) B(0))^{2}$ and taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(0)^{\prime} 1 \quad \frac{K}{2} G_{h}(0)=1 \quad \frac{K}{4} \frac{d}{} \text {; } \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(0)^{\prime} 1+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2} G \quad(0)^{\prime} 1+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{} \text {; } \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain to the leading order: $\quad, 2 a_{2} K^{2}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}\right)$. This quantity possesses the double sm allness \{ rstly, because $a_{2}$ is in nitesim aland, secondly, due to the exponentialsm allness of .

Such an extrem ely rapid convergence of the cum ulant expansion enables one to replace $e^{2} \overline{\mathrm{~K}} \quad 0$ in the term sproportional to $a_{1 ; 2}$ on the r.h.s. of Eq. (8.7) by the average value of th is exponent equal to $1+\frac{0^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}}{ }^{\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2}}$. C om paring the so-obtained expression $w$ ith the in itial one, we arrive at the follow ing renorm alizations of elds and param eters of the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{0}^{\text {new }}=C \quad 0 ; \quad{ }_{0}^{\text {new }}=C \quad 0 ; \quad K^{\text {new }}=\frac{K}{C^{2}} ; \quad{ }^{\text {new }}=\frac{C^{2}}{C^{2}} \quad{ }^{n e w}=A(0) B(0) ; \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{H}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { C } \quad 41+\frac{K}{2}(A(0) B(0))^{2} \quad 1+\frac{0^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}}{ }^{!\frac{K}{2}^{3}} 5^{3} \text {, } \\
& \text {, } 1+\frac{K a_{1}}{2}(A(0) B(0))^{2} \quad 1+\frac{2}{m_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{2} \quad 1=2 \tag{8.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Besides that, we obtain the follow ing shift of the free-energy density $F$ $\frac{\ln Z^{0}}{V}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}^{\text {new }} \quad a_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~A}(0) \mathrm{B}(0))^{2} 1+\frac{0^{2}!\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}}, \\
\quad \mathrm{~F}^{\text {new }} \quad a_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~A}(0) \mathrm{B}(0))^{2} \quad 1+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2} \frac{02}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where $V$ is the 2D -volume (i.e. area) of the system.
By m aking use of the relations (8.9), (8.10), it is further straightforw ard to derive from Eqs. (8.11)-(8.13) the RG equations in the di erential form . Those read

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=\frac{\mathrm{K}}{4} 1-\frac{2}{2} ; \quad \mathrm{dK}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}^{3} 21+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2}+1-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{5} ; \\
\mathrm{d}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{~K})^{2} 1+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{2}+1-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{5} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
d F={ }_{2} K^{2} 1+\frac{K}{2}+1-\frac{d}{3}:
$$

Let us further $m$ ake the change of the $m$ om entum scale to the real-space one: ! a $1=$, $d!d$, that $m$ odi es the above equations as

$$
\begin{gather*}
d=\frac{K}{4} \frac{d a}{a} 1 \frac{1}{a^{2}} ;  \tag{8.14}\\
d K=\frac{1}{2} K^{3}{ }^{2} a^{3} d a 1+\frac{K}{2}+1 \frac{1}{a^{2}} ;  \tag{8.15}\\
d=\frac{1}{2}(K)^{2} a^{3} d a 1+\frac{K}{2}+1 \frac{1}{a^{2}} ;  \tag{8.16}\\
d F={ }_{2} K^{2} a d a 1+\frac{K}{2}+1 \frac{1}{a^{2}}: \tag{8.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

O ur $m$ ain aim below is to derive from Eqs. (8.14)-(8.16) the leadingorder corrections in $\left(a^{2}\right)^{1}$ to the BKT RG ow in the vicinity of the criticalpoint, $K_{C}^{(0)}=8$ (that clearly corresponds to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} \mathrm{T}}$ ), $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{(0)}=0$, where y $\quad 2$, and the supenscript $\backslash(0)$ " denotes the zeroth order in the $\left(a^{2}\right)^{1}{ }^{1}$ expansion. These values of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{(0)}$ and $\mathrm{yc}^{(0)} \mathrm{w}$ illbe derived below. B esides that, it w illbe dem onstrated that, in the critical region, is evolving very slow ly. O w ing to this fact, the initial assum ption on the largeness of (nam ely, that it is of the order ofm ${\underset{W}{2}}_{2}$ ), w ill be preserved by the RG ow, at least in that region. This enables one to consider as alm ost a constant and seek corrections to the RG ow of $\mathrm{K}^{(0)}$ in powers of $\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)^{1}$. The zeroth-order equation stem $m$ ing from Eq. (8.15) then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~K}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}^{(0) 3} 2 \mathrm{a}^{3} \mathrm{da}: \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Respectively, the zeroth-order in $\left(a^{2}\right)^{1}$ equation for $y$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y^{(0) 2}=2 \frac{d a}{a} y^{(0) 2} x ; \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x} \quad 2 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~K}^{(0)}}{4}$. Equations (8.18) and (8.19) yield the above-m entioned leading critical value of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{(0)}$. Next , w ith this value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{(0)}$, Eq. (8.18) can be rew ritten in the vicinity of the critical point as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=(8 \quad)^{2} \quad 1^{2} a^{3} d a: \tag{8,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing further instead of Y a new variable $\mathrm{z}=(8)^{2} 1 \mathrm{Y}^{2}$ and perform ing the rescaling $a^{\text {new }}=a^{\beta} \overline{8}{ }_{1}=2$ we get from Eqs. (8.17), (8.19),
and (820) the follow ing system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z^{(0)}=2 \frac{d a}{a} x z^{(0)} ; d x=z^{(0)} \frac{d a}{a} ; d F^{(0)}=z^{(0)} \frac{d a}{a^{3}}: \tag{821}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations yield the standard RG ow in the vicinity of the critical point, $x=z^{(0)}=0$, which has the form $[82,84] z^{(0)} x^{2} \overline{\bar{p}}$, where
/ ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BKT}} \mathrm{T}$ ) $=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BKT}}$ is some constant. In particular, $\mathrm{x}^{\prime},{ }^{\mathrm{P}_{\bar{z}}}$ at T ! $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BKT}} \quad 0.0 \mathrm{w}$ ing to the rst ofEqs. (821), this relation yields $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{in}}^{(0)} \quad 1=2$ $z^{(0)}{ }^{1=2}=\ln \left(a=a_{\text {in }}\right)$, where the subscript $\backslash$ in $" m$ eans the initial value. Taking into account that $z_{\text {in }}^{(0)}$ is exponentially sm all, while $z^{(0)} \quad 1$ (the value at which the grow th of $z^{(0)}$ stops), we obtain in the case $x_{\text {in }} P-$ : In $\left(a=a_{\text {in }}\right) \quad z_{\text {in }}^{(0)} \quad{ }^{1=2} \quad{ }^{1=2}$. A coording to this relation, at $T!T_{B K} T$ 0 , the correlation length diverges with an essential singularity as a( ) $\exp$ (const $={ }^{\mathrm{P}}-$ ). (At $\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BKT}}, a \mathrm{~d}$, while at $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BKT}}$, the correlation length becom es in nite due to the short-rangeness of $m$ olecular elds.) As far as the leading part of the free-energy density is concemed, it scales as $F^{(0)} \quad a^{2}$ and therefore rem ains continuous in the criticalregion. M oreover, the correction to this behavior stem $m$ ing from the niteness of the $H$ iggsboson $m$ ass the last term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (8.17)] is clearly of the sam e functional form, $\exp \left(\right.$ const $^{\mathrm{p}}-$ ), i.e. it is also continuous.

W e are now in the position to address the leading-order [in $\left.\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)^{1}\right]$ corrections to the above-discussed BKTRG ow ofK ${ }^{(0)}$ and $z^{(0)}$. To this end, let us represent $K$ and $z$ as $K=K{ }^{(0)}+K^{(1)}=\left(a^{2}\right), z=z^{(0)}+z^{(1)}=\left(a^{2}\right)$ that, by virtue of Eqs. (8.14) and (8.15), leads to the follow ing novel equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d K^{(1)} \quad 2 K^{(1)} \frac{d a}{a}=4 \frac{d a}{a} z^{(0)}+\frac{z^{(1)}}{a^{2}} \quad 1+\frac{K^{(0)}}{2}+\frac{3 K^{(1)}}{K^{(0)}} ;  \tag{8.22}\\
& d z^{(1)} \quad 2 z^{(1)} \frac{d a}{a}=2 \frac{d a}{a} z^{(1)} \frac{K^{(0)}}{4} \quad 2+\frac{z^{(0)}}{4} K^{(1)} K^{(0)} \text { \# }
\end{align*}
$$

In the vicinity of the critical point, we can insert into Eq. (8 23) the aboveobtained critical values of $K{ }^{(0)}$ and $z^{(0)}$, that yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z^{(1)}=2 z^{(1)} \frac{d a}{a}: \tag{824}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{z}^{(1)}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{a}^{2}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is the integration constant of dim ensionality (m ass) ${ }^{2}, \mathrm{C}_{1}$. Inserting further this solution into Eq. (8 22), consid-
ered in the vicinity of the critical point, one obtains the follow ing equation:

$$
d K^{(1)} \quad 2 K^{(1)} \frac{d a}{a}=\frac{4 C_{1}}{a} \frac{d a}{8} \frac{3 K^{(1)}}{8}+5:
$$

Its integration is straightforw ard and yields

$$
K^{(1)}=C_{2} \quad a^{21} \frac{3 C_{1}}{4}+\frac{40 \mathrm{C}_{1}}{4} \text { 3C} ;
$$

where the dim ensionless integration constant $C_{2}$ should be much sm aller than $\left(a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3 C_{1}}{4}}$. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that the last addendum in Eq. ( 8.26 ) is positive.] Therefore, the total correction, $K{ }^{(1)}=\left(a^{2}\right)$, approxim ately scales $w$ ith $a$ in the critical region as $\frac{40 C_{1}}{\left(4 \frac{\left.3 C_{1}\right)}{} a^{2}\right.}$. W e see that, at the critical point, this expression vanishes due to the divergence of the correlation length. N ote also that Eq. $(826)$ can obviously be rew ritten as the follow ing dependence of $K^{(1)}=\left(a^{2}\right)$ on $z^{(1)}\left(z^{(1)} \quad a^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{K^{(1)}}{a^{2}}=C_{2} \quad a^{2} \frac{3 z^{(1)}}{4 a^{2}}+\frac{40 z^{(1)}}{4 a^{2} 3 z^{(1)} a^{2}}:
$$

W ith the above-discussed critical behavior of the correlation length, a( ), this relation determ ines the correction to the BKT RG ow, $z^{(0)}$ $2 \quad \frac{K}{}(0)_{4}{ }^{2}=$.

Finally, in order to justify the above-adopted approxim ation, within which was considered as a constant, we should check that, under the RG ow, indeed evolves slow ly. To this end, let us pass in Eq. (8.16), considered in the critical region, from the variable to the above-introduced variable $z$ and perform again the rescaling $a!a^{\text {new }}$. This yields $\frac{d}{=} \frac{z}{2} \frac{d a}{a}$ or $d=\frac{c_{1}}{2} \frac{d a}{a}$. Since $C_{1} \quad$, we conclude that $\frac{j d}{j} \frac{d a}{a}$. The obtained inequality m eans that, in the vicinity of the BKT critical point, is really evolving slow ly. This fact justi es our treatm ent of as a large (w ith respect to ${ }^{2}$ ) constant quantity, approxim ately equal to its initial value, of the order of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}$.

Let us now proceed w ith a sim ilarRG analysis of the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-version of the theory (2.2) given by Eq. (2.5). Applying to the respective dim ensionallyreduced theory the above-described RG procedure, we arrive at the follow ing analogue of Eq. (8.7) :

$$
Z=\sum_{0<p<0} D \sim(p) D \quad(p) \exp
$$

Z
$d^{2} x \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 0 \quad @^{2} \quad m_{H}^{2} \quad o+$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(A(0) B(0))^{2} e^{2^{p} \bar{K}} \quad 0^{X} \quad a_{2}^{i j} \cos _{"}^{h_{p}} \bar{K}\left(q_{i} \quad q_{j}\right) \sim 0^{i}+2 A(0) B(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, ; $=1 ;::: ;(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$, and we have introduced the notations sim ilar to (8.8),

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Z & h \\
d^{2} r r^{2} & B^{2}(r) e^{K q_{i} q_{j} G_{h}(r)} & i \\
1 ; a_{2}^{i j} & d^{2} r B^{2}(r) e^{K \sigma_{i} q_{j} G_{h}(r)} & i \\
1 ;
\end{array}
$$

so that, at ${ }^{0}=\mathrm{d}$,

$$
a_{1}^{i j}={ }_{1} K \frac{d}{5} \quad G_{i} \mathscr{G}_{j}+\frac{2}{m_{H}^{2}} ; a_{2}^{i j}={ }_{2} K \frac{d}{3} G_{i} \mathscr{G}_{j}+\frac{2}{m_{H}^{2}}:
$$

Them anin di erence ofEq. (8 27) from Eq. (8.7) is due to the term $s$ containing cos $\bar{K}$ ( $\mathcal{G}_{i} \quad$ Gf) ~ 0, which violate the RG invariance. N evertheless, approxim ately this invariance does hold, since the respective sum $s$ are dom inated by the term $s w$ ith $j=j$. W orking $w$ ithin this approxim ation and $m$ aking use of the identity $\quad q_{i} q_{i}=\frac{N}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \sim(p) D \quad(p) \exp \quad d^{2} x \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 0 \quad @^{2} \quad m_{H}^{2} \quad 0+ \\
& 0<p<0 \\
& +2 A(0) B(0) e^{p} \bar{K} \quad 0^{X} \quad \cos { }^{p} \bar{K} q_{i} \sim 0 \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2} 1+1+{\frac{0}{}{ }^{2}}_{m_{H}^{2}}^{!} \frac{\mathrm{K}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{NK} \mathrm{a}}{4}(\mathrm{~A}(0) \mathrm{B}(0))^{2}(@ \sim)^{2}\right) \text { ) } \tag{8,28}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression has again been derived in the leading order of the cum ulant expansion applied in course of the average over.

The shift of the free-energy density stemming from Eq. (828) [cf. Eq. (8.13)] reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\text {new }} \quad F^{\prime} \quad a_{2} \frac{N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)}{2}(A(0) B(0))^{2} 1+\frac{K}{2} \frac{0^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}} \text { ! } \tag{8,29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sfar as the renom alization of elds and coupling constants is concemed, it is given by Eq. (8.11), where the rst equation should be modi ed as $\sim 0^{\text {new }}=C \sim 0$, and the param eter C from Eq. (8.12) now reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=41+\frac{K N a_{1}}{4}(A(0) B(0))^{2} 1+\frac{0^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}} \frac{K}{2}^{3} 5^{1=2} \text {, } \\
& \text {, } 1+\frac{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{a}}{4}(\mathrm{~A}(0) \mathrm{B}(0))^{2} \quad 1+\frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{2} \quad 1=2 \text { : } \tag{8.30}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs. (8.29) and (8.30) we deduce that, in the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-case at $N>2$, the RG ow of couplings and of the free-energy density is identical to that of the SU (2)-case. Indeed, all the N -dependence can be absorbed into the constants $1 ; 2$ by rescaling them as $1 \quad N_{1}=2,2=N\left(\mathbb{N} \quad\right.$ 1) ${ }_{2}=2$ and further rede ning [cf. the notations introduced after Eq. (8.20)] $z=(8)^{2} 1 \mathrm{Y}^{2}$ and $a^{\text {new }}=a \overline{8 \quad 1=2}$. In particular, the critical tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} \text { T }}$ rem ains the sam e as in the SU (2)-case. (A s it has already been $m$ entioned, th is follow $s$ also from the estim ate of the $m$ ean squared separation in the $m$ onopoleantim onopole $m$ olecule, if one takes into account that the square of any root vector is equal to unity.) Thus, the principal di erence of the SU $(\mathbb{N})-$ case, $\mathrm{N}>2$, from the SU (2)-one is that, while in the SU (2)-case the RG invariance is exact ( $m$ odulo the negligibly $s m$ all higher-order term $s$ of the cum ulant expansion applied to the average over ), in the $S U(\mathbb{N})$-case it is only approxim ate, even in the $\lim$ it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ! 1 .

### 8.3. F in ite-tem perature 3D com pact QED with massless fundam ental ferm ions

Let us consider the extension of the $m$ odel (2.1) by fundam ental dynam ical quarks [55] (for the sake of sim plicity, we om it the sum $m$ ation over the avor indioes, $\frac{a l t h}{\mathrm{R}}$ ough consider the general case of an anditrary num ber of avors): $S=i^{R} d^{3} x \quad \sim D+h \frac{a}{2}{ }^{a}$. In this form ula, the Yukaw a coupling $h$ has the dim ensionality $(m \text { ass })^{1=2}, D=@ \quad i g \frac{a}{2} A^{a}, \quad y$, where the Euclidean $D$ irac $m$ atrices are de ned as $\sim=\quad i \sim w$ ith $=\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0\end{aligned}$, $\sim=0 \sim 0$, and $\sim$ denote the Paulim atrioes. A s it will be dem onstrated, at $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} \mathrm{T}}$, quark zero m odes in the m onopole eld lead to the additional attraction of a $m$ onopole and an antim onopole ( $M$ and $M$ for shortness) in the $m$ olecule. In particular, when the num ber of these $m$ odes (equal to
the num ber of $m$ assless avors) is su ciently large, the $m$ olecule shrinks so strongly that its size becom es of the order of the inverse $W$-boson $m$ ass. A $n-$ other factor which de nes the size of the molecule is the characteristic range of localization of zero modes. Nam ely, it can be shown that the stronger zero $m$ odes are localized in the vicinity of the $m$ onopole center, the sm aller m olecular size is. W e will consider the case when the Yukaw a coupling $h$ of quarks w th the $H$ iggs eld vanishes, and originally m assless quarks do not acquire any $m$ ass. This $m$ eans that zero $m$ odes are $m$ axim ally delocalized. Such a w eakness of the quark-m ediated interaction ofm onopoles opens a possibility for $m$ olecules to undergo eventually the phase transition into the plasm a phase at the tem peratures of the order of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK}}$. H ow ever, this w illbe show $n$ to occur only provided that the num ber of avors is equal to one, whereas at any larger num ber of avors, the respective critical tem perature becom es exponentially $s m$ all. $T$ his $m$ eans that the interaction $m$ ediated by such a num ber of zero $m$ odes is already strong enough to $m$ aintain the $m$ olecular phase at any tem perature larger than that one.

For a short while, let us consider the general case $h=0$. O ne can then see that the D irac equation in the eld of the third isotopic com ponent of the 't HooftPolyakov m onopole [27] decom poses into two equations for the com ponents of the SU (2)-doublet. T he $m$ asses of these com ponents stem $m$ ing from such equations are equal to each other and read $m_{q}=h=2$. $N$ ext, the D irac equation in the fullm onopole potential has been show $n$ [85] to possess the zero mode, whose asym ptotic behavior at $r$ j j $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{1}$ has the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
+{ }_{n}=N \frac{e^{m_{q} r}}{r} s^{+} S_{n} \quad s s_{n}^{+} ; \quad{ }_{n}=0: \tag{8,31}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, ${ }_{n}$ are the upper and the low er com ponents of them ode, i.e. $={ }_{n}^{+}$. N ext, $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2$ is the isotopic index, $=1 ; 2$ is the D irac index, $\mathrm{s}^{+}=\begin{gathered}\mathrm{n} \\ 1 \\ 0\end{gathered}$, $\mathrm{s}={ }_{1}^{0}$, and N is the nom alization constant.

It is a well known fact that, in 3D, the 't H ooft-P olyakov m onopole is actually an instanton $[3,26]$. T herefore, we can use the results of $R$ ef. [86] on the quark contribution to the e ective action of the instanton-antiinstanton m olecule in Q C D . Let us thus recapitulate the analysis of $R$ ef. [86] adopting it to our model. To this end, we $x$ the gauge ${ }^{a}=a^{a 3}$ and de ne the analogue of the free propagator $S_{0}$ by the relation $S_{0}{ }^{1}=i \sim \mathbb{\sim}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{3}$. $N$ ext, we de ne the propagator $S_{M}$ in the eld of a m onopole located at the origin, $\mathbb{A}^{a M} \not A_{i}^{a M}$ ! $"^{a i j} X^{j}=g r^{2}$ atr $\left.m_{W}{ }^{1}\right]$, by the formula $S_{M}{ }^{1}=S_{0}{ }^{1}$ $g \sim \frac{a}{2} A^{\mathrm{M}}$. Obviously, the propagator $S_{M}$ in the eld of an antim onopole
located at a certain point $R, \mathbb{A}^{a M}(x)=\widetilde{A}^{a M} \quad x \quad R \quad$, is de ned by the equation for $S_{M}^{1} w$ th the replacem ent $\mathbb{A}^{a M}!\mathbb{A}^{a M}$. Finally, one can consider the $m$ olecule $m$ ade out of these $m$ onopole and antim onopole and de ne the total propagator $S$ in the eld of such a molecule, $\widetilde{A}^{a}=\AA^{a^{a}}+$ $\mathbb{A}^{a M}$, by $m$ eans of the equation for $S_{M}{ }^{1} w$ th $\mathbb{A}^{a M}$ replaced by $\mathbb{A}^{a}$.

O ne can further introduce the notation $j_{n} i, n=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$, for the eigenfunctions of the operator ivT de ned at the eld of the m olecule, nam ely
$i \sim \tau j_{n} i=n j{ }_{n} i$, where $0=0$. Thisyields the follow ing form alspectral representation for the total propagator $S$ :

$$
S(x ; y)=x_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{j_{n}(x) i h_{n}(y) j}{n} \operatorname{im}_{q}^{3}:
$$

$N$ ext, it is worth recalling the $m$ ean-eld approxim ation, discussed in section 3 , w thin which zero $m$ odes dom inate in the quark propagator, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)^{\prime} \frac{j 0(x) i h o(y) j}{\mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{3}}+S_{0}(x ; y): \tag{8.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approxim ation (8.32) rem ains valid for the m olecular phase near the phase transition (i.e. when the tem perature approaches $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} \mathrm{T}}$ from above), we willbe interested in. That is m erely due to the fact that, in this regim e, $m$ olecules becom e very m uch in ated being about to dissociate.
$W$ ithin the notations adopted, one now has $S=S_{M}^{1}+S_{M}^{1} S_{0}^{1}{ }^{1}=$ $S_{M} S \quad{ }^{1} S_{M}$, where

$$
S=S_{0} \quad\left(S_{M} \quad S_{0}\right) S_{0}{ }^{1}\left(S_{M} \quad S_{0}\right)=S_{0} \quad \frac{M_{0}^{M}{ }_{0}^{M}}{i_{q}{ }^{3}} S_{0} \frac{{ }_{0}^{M} \underbrace{M}_{0}}{\mathrm{im}_{q}{ }^{3}} ;
$$

and ${ }_{0}^{M},{ }_{0}^{M}$ are the zero $m$ odes of the operator $i \sim \tau$ de ned at the eld of a m onopole and an antim onopole, respectively. D enoting further $a=\quad \begin{gathered}M \\ 0\end{gathered} \sim^{a} \frac{a}{2} \mathbb{A}^{a M} \quad 0_{0}^{M}$, it is straightforw ard to see, by the de nition of the zero m ode, that $a=\begin{gathered}M \\ 0\end{gathered}$ i~ © ${ }_{0}^{M}=c_{0}^{M} S_{0}^{1}{ }_{0}^{M}$. This yields $S=$ $S_{0}+\left(a=m{ }_{q}^{2}\right) \quad \begin{array}{cc}M & { }_{0}^{M} \\ 0\end{array}$, where the star stands for the com plex con jugation, and therefore $\operatorname{det} S=1+(\dot{j}=m)^{2} \quad \operatorname{det}_{\Phi} . F$ inally, de ning the desired e ective action as $=\ln \left[\operatorname{det} S \quad{ }^{1}=\operatorname{det} S_{0}{ }^{1}\right]$, we obtain for it, in the general case $w$ th $N_{f}$ avors, the follow ing expression: $\quad=$ const $+N_{f} \ln \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\dot{j}{ }^{2}$. The constant in this form ula, standing for the sum ofe ective actions de ned at the $m$ onopole and at the antim onopole, cancels out in the norm alized expression for the $m$ ean squared separation in the M M molecule.

Let us further set $h$ equal to zero, and $s o m_{q}$ is equal to zero as well. N otice rst of all that, although in this case the direct Yukaw a interaction of the $H$ iggs boson $w$ ith quarks is absent, they keep interacting $w$ ith each other via the gauge eld. Ow ing to this fact, the problem of nding a quark zero $m$ ode in the $m$ onopole eld is still legitim ate. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that, according to Eq. (8.31), this m ode will be non-norm alizable in the sense of a discrete spectrum. H ow ever, it is clear that, in the gapless case $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=0$ under discussion, the zero mode, which lies exactly on the border of the tw o contiguous D irac seas, should be treated not as an isolated state of a discrete spectrum, but rather as a state of a continuum spectrum. This $m$ eans that it should be understood as follow $s$ : jo(x)i $\underset{p!}{\lim _{0}} e^{i p r}=r$, where $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}$. O nce being considered in this way, zero modes are norm alizable by the standard condition of norm alization of the radial parts of spherical w aves, $R_{p l}$, which reads [92] $\left.{ }_{0}^{R} d r r^{2} R_{p{ }^{0} 1} R_{p l}=2 \quad(p \quad p).\right]$ The dependence of the absolute value of the $m$ atrix elem ent $a$ on the distance $R$ betw een $a$ m onopole and an antim onopole can now be readily found. Indeed, we have jaj/ $d^{3} r=r^{2} R \quad, 4 \ln (R)$, where stands for the infrared cuto.

W e can now sw itch on the tem perature are explore a possible m odi cation of the BKT critical tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} ~}$, due to the zerom ode me diated interaction. As it has been discussed, this can be done upon the evaluation of the $m$ ean squared separation in the M M molecule and further nding the tem perature below which it starts diverging. In this way we should take into account that, in the dim ensionally-reduced theory, the usual C oulom b interaction ofm onopoles (W thout the loss of generality, we consider the $m$ olecule $w$ th the tem poral com ponent of K equal to zero.) $R^{1}=P_{n=1}^{H 1} R^{2}+(n)^{2} \quad 1=2$ goes over into $2 T \ln (R)$, where $R$ denotes the absolute value of the 2 -vector $R$. This statem ent can be checked e.g. by virtue of the Euler - M ac Laurin form ula. As far, as the novel logarithm ic interaction, $\ln (R)=P_{n=1}^{P^{1}} \ln ^{h} R^{2}+(n)^{1=2^{i}}$, is concemed, it trans form $s$ into

$$
T R+\ln [1 \quad \exp (2 T R)] \quad \ln 2:
$$

Let us prove this statem ent. To this end, we m ake use of the follow ing formula [93]:

$$
x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{1}{n^{2}+x^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 x} \quad \operatorname{coth}(x) \quad \frac{1}{x}:
$$

This yields

$$
x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+(2 n=a)^{2}}=\frac{1}{x}+{\frac{x a^{2}}{2^{2}}}_{n=1}^{n^{1}} \frac{1}{n^{2}+(x a=2)^{2}}=\frac{a}{2} \operatorname{coth} \frac{a x}{2}:
$$

On the other hand, the lh s. of this expression can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x} x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \ln x^{2}+\frac{2 n}{a}^{2^{\#}}:
$$

Integrating over x , we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{1} \ln x^{2}+\frac{2 n n^{2}}{a}=a^{Z} d x \operatorname{coth} \frac{a x}{2}= \\
& n=1 \\
& =2 \ln \sinh \frac{a x}{2}=a x+2 \ln 1 e^{a x} \quad 2 \ln 2:
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\frac{2}{a}=$ and $x=R$ we arrive at Eq. (8.33).
Thus, the statistical weight of the quark-m ediated M M -interaction in the molecule at high temperatures has the form $\exp \left(2 N_{f} \ln \dot{j}\right)$ ) / $[T R+\ln [1 \quad \exp (2 T R)] \quad \ln 2]^{2 N_{f}}$. A ccounting for both, (form er) logarithm ic and C oulom b, interactions we eventually arrive at the follow ing expression for the $m$ ean squared separation $L^{2}$ in the $m$ olecule as a function of $T, g$, and $N_{f}$ :

$$
L^{2}=\frac{\left.m_{W}{ }^{R} d R R^{3} \frac{8 T}{g^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
T R+\ln [1 & \exp ( & 2 & T R)
\end{array}\right] \ln 2\right]^{2 N_{f}}}{m_{W}{ }^{1} d R R^{1 \frac{8 T}{g^{2}}}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
T R+\ln [1 & \exp ( & 2 & T R) & \ln 2]^{2 N_{f}}
\end{array}: ~\right.}
$$

At large $R, \ln 2 T R$ and $\ln [1 \exp (2 T R)]^{\prime} \exp (2 T R)$ TR.C onsequently, we see that $L^{2}$ is nite at $T>T_{B K T}^{N_{f}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & N_{f}\end{array}\right) g^{2}=4$, that reproduces $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BKT}}$ at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=0$. For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=1$, the plasm a phase is still present at $T<g^{2}=4$. Instead, when $N_{f} \quad 2$, at whatever tem peratures, which are param etrically larger than the tem perature of dim ensional reduction, $O\left({ }^{1=3}\right)$, the $m$ onopole ensem ble exists only in the $m$ olecular phase. At such tem peratures, $m$ assless dynam icalquarks produce their ow $n$ deconnem ent. T hey also destroy the $m$ onopole-based con nem ent of any other fiundam entalm atter. C learly, the obtained tem perature $\left.T_{B K T}^{N} \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=1\right)$ is as exponentially larger than ${ }^{1=3}$ as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK}}$, that fully validates the idea ofdim ensional reduction. Note also that, at $N_{f} \mathrm{max} 1 ; 4 \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{g}^{2}, \overline{\mathrm{hL}{ }^{2} \mathrm{i}!} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{1}$, which $m$ eans that such a large num ber of zero $m$ odes shrinks the $m$ olecule to the
$m$ inim al adm issible size. In conclusion, notice that, in the presence of $W$ bosons, the in uence of heavy fundam ental scalar m atter to the dynam ics of the decon ning phase transition has been studied in Ref. [56].
8.4. 3D Georgi-G lashow m odel and its supersym m etric generalization at $n$ ite tem perature

The crucial di erence in the nite-tem perature behavior of the 3 D GG m odel [52] from that of 3D compact QED [51] stem $s$ from the presence in the form er of the heavy charged $W$ bosons. A lthough being practically irrelevant at zero tem perature due to their heaviness, at nite tem perature these bosons, due to their them al excitation, form a plasm a whose density is com patible to that of $m$ onopoles. The density of the $W$-plasm a can be evaluated as follows (see e.g. R ef. [94]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=6 T \frac{@^{Z}}{@ \sim} \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} \ln 1 \quad e^{(\sim n(p))^{i}} \underset{\sim=0}{Z}=6 \frac{d^{2} p}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{e^{"(p)} 1}= \\
& =\frac{3 m_{W}^{2}}{Z^{Z}} \frac{d z z}{e^{m_{W}} z^{2}}, \frac{3 m_{W}^{2}}{1}{ }_{1}^{Z} d z z e^{m_{W}} \quad z=\frac{3 m_{W} T}{1+\frac{T}{m_{W}} \quad e^{m_{W}} \quad: ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, ~ stands for the chem ical potential, " $(p)=\frac{q \overline{p^{2}+m_{W}^{2}} \text {, and the factor }}{}$ $\backslash 6^{\prime \prime}$ represents the total num ber of spin states of $W^{+}$- and $W$ boosons. $W$ e have also denoted $z \quad "(p)=m_{W}$ and taken into account that, since we $w$ ant to stay in the plasm a phase ofm onopoles and the tem peratures under consideration should not exceed $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} T}, \mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{W}}$. Therefore, up to sm all corrections, $w=\frac{3 m_{W} T}{} e^{m_{W}}$.

It has been found in [52], further elaborated in [53,56], etc., and review ed in [95] that, when the nite-tem perature 3D com pact QED is pro$m$ oted, upon the incorporation of $W$ bosons, to the nite-tem perature 3D G G m odel, the critical tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} ~}$ and the U (1) univensality class of the decon ning phase transition are changed by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{4}$ and the 2 D -Ising universality class, respectively. In what follow s , we will survey the m ain ideas of R ef. [52], proceeding further to the analysis of the SU SY 3D G G model at nite tem perature [54].
$T$ he Lagrangian of 3 D com pact $Q E D$, being rew ritten in term $s$ of the vortex operator $V=e^{i o f}=2$,

$$
\mathrm{L}_{3 \mathrm{D}}=\frac{1}{2}(@)^{2} \quad 2 \cos (\oiint)=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}} \mathfrak{j} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~J}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}^{2}+(\mathrm{V})^{2} ;
$$

explicitly has the $m$ agnetic $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry [50]. At zero tem perature, this sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken, since hV (x)V (0) $i^{j \times j!}!^{1} \quad 1$. It can be show $n$ [50] that the breakdow $n$ of the $m$ agnetic sym $m$ etry im plies con ne$m$ ent. Since this symmetry is inherited in the 3D com pact QED from the initial 3D G G m odel, the decon nem ent phase transition, occurring in the latter at a certain tem perature (equal to $T_{C}$ ), should be associated $w$ th the restoration of this very sym $m$ etry. A lready this fact alone indicates that the phase transition in the nite-tem perature 3D GG m odel should be of the sam e kind as that of the ( $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-invariant) 2 D Ising m odel, rather than the BKT phase transition of com pact QED. It has quantitatively been shown in Ref. [52] that this is indeed the case if $W$ bosons are additionally included in the com pact-Q ED Lagrangian. At nite tem perature, this can be done by noting that $W$ boosons are nothing but vortioes of the - eld and they can be inconporated into the dim ensionally-reduced Lagrangian, $L_{2 D}=\frac{1}{2}(@ \quad)^{2} \quad 2 \cos (\bar{K})$, by adding to it the term $2 \cos \sim$ (from now on, will denote the dual-photon eld in the dimensionally-reduced theory). The eld ~, dual to the eld , is de ned through the relation id $\sim=g^{P-" ~ @ ~ . ~ T h e ~ f u g a c i t y ~ o f ~} W$-bosons, , is proportional to their density $w$, therefore $/ \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{Te} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}$. $\mathbb{N}$ ote also that an altemative way to introduce $W$ boosons into $L_{2 D}$ has been proposed in $R$ ef. [96]. W ith in that approach, the above de nition of $\sim$ through is abolished. Instead, an extra interaction of these, now independent, elds of the type $i\left(@_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\right)\left(@_{\mathrm{x}_{2}} \sim\right)$ appears.]

O w ing to the novel cosine term, even in the absence of the monopole plasm a, the dual photon never becom es $m$ assless [52]. Its $m$ ass $m_{D}$ rather increases, and the vacuum correlation length d decreases, w ith the increase of the tem perature. C onsequently, contrary to what we had in the case of the inverse BKT phase transition, where the correlation length was becom ing in nite at $T>T_{B K T}$, now it never becom es in nite. This result parallels the general expectation that, with the increase of the tem perature in any local eld theory, the degree of disorder becom es higher, and the correlation length decreases. Indeed, in the absence ofm onopoles (i.e. at $=0$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{D}}=\frac{1}{2}(@ \quad)^{2} \quad 2 \operatorname{cos~g}^{\mathrm{p}-} \text {; } \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~T}} \sim=\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{T} \text { herefore, } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2}()=2 \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{~g}^{2} e^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}} . \mathrm{W} \text { e see }
$$ that $m_{D}$ grow $s w$ ith the decrease of , i.e. $w$ ith the increase of $T$, that proves the above statem ent.

Evaluating further the $m$ ean squared separation in the $W^{+} W \quad$ m olecule
in the same way as we have done it earlier for the M M molecule [cf. Eqs. (8.1) and (8.34)], we have $L^{2} /{ }^{R} d^{2} R R^{2} \frac{\frac{g}{}^{2}}{2 T}$. The convergence of this integral at $T<T_{X Y} \quad \frac{g^{2}}{8} m$ eans that, in the absence of $m$ onopoles, w th the increase of tem perature W -bosons pass from the $m$ olecular phase into the plasm a one at $T=T_{X Y}$. In the sam e case of absence of dynam ical monopoles, a static M M -pair becom es linearly con ned at $T>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Xy}}$. Indeed, the M M -potential, $V$, is related to the correlation function of two vortex operators as hV $(x) V(y) i=e \quad V(x \quad y)$. In the phase where static $m$ onopoles are con ned, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
h V(x) V \quad(y) i^{\dot{j}} \quad \mathrm{y} \dot{j}!e^{\dot{x}} \quad \mathrm{yj} ; \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$ agnetic $Z_{2}$-sym $m$ etry is restored. Let us clarify the origin of Eq. (8.36) and get an idea of a mass param eter which appears in . To this end, notice that we are dealing $w$ ith the situation dual to that of nitetem perature 3D compact QED below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BK} T}$ [51]. In the latter case, extemal static quarks, represented by Polyakov loops, are linearly con ned. Therefore, sim ilarly to the correlation function of two Polyakov loops in that theory, which decreases $w$ th the distance at the inverse soliton m ass, the sam e happens in our case w ith the correlation function of two vortex operators. In fact, it can be shown that vortex operators create solitons in the theory (8.35). Solitons in this theory carry a unit topological charge, corresponding to the $U$ (1) sym m etry generated by the topological current
 erator, $V(x)=e^{\text {ig }(x)=2}$, becom es replaced by $V(x)=e^{\frac{p}{i} \bar{K}}(x)=2$, or equivalently,

The integration contour here goes either to in nity or to a point where the vortex operator of the opposite $m$ agnetic charge,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{y})=\exp @ \frac{0}{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{dx} @ \quad \mathrm{~A} ; \tag{8.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

is de ned. O ne can further dem onstrate that the operators (8.37) and (8.38) have topological charges 1 and 1 and are nothing, but the creation operators of a soliton and an antisoliton, respectively. This fact stem s from the relations hQ V (0) $i=h V(0) i$ and $h Q V(0) i=h V(0) i$, where
$Q=\| \quad{ }_{c}^{H} d x j(x)$ is the topological-charge operator $w$ ith the contour $C$ encircling anticlockw ise the origin. These relations stem from another ones
hj ( x$) \mathrm{V}(0) \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$
$\frac{\mathrm{x}}{2 \mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{hV}(0) \mathrm{i} ;$
hj ( x ) V
(0) $\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{x}}{2 \mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{hV}$ (0)i
(where $\backslash^{\prime}$ " m eans \neglecting correlation functions of topological currents higher than the two-point one"), that them selves can be obtained from the tw o-point correlation function of topological currents,

$$
\text { hj }\left(x j(0) i=\frac{g^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \quad 2 \frac{x x}{x^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{x^{2}}:\right.
$$

Owing to the fact that the operator (8.37) [(8.38)] creates a soliton [antisoliton], the large-distance behavior of the correlation function (8.36) is determ ined by the lightest state w ith the unit topological charge, i.e. by the solition. O ne can therefore identify on the r.h.s. of Eq. (8.36) with the soliton m ass $\mathrm{m}_{\text {sol }}$, therefore $=\mathrm{Tm}_{\text {sol }}$.

We have considered above the two idealistic situations, nam ely when either dynam icalm onopoles or $W$ toosons are absent. In reality, when both are present and interact $w$ ith each other, the decon ning phase transition tem perature is neither $T_{B K T}$ nor $T_{X Y}$, but is rather $T_{C}$, which in fact lies between the two. The decon ning phase transition takes place when the densities of $m$ onopoles and $W$-bosons becom e equal, i.e. $2=w . U p$ to inessential preexponential factors, this happens when the $m$ onopole action $S_{0}$ is equal to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}$, i.e. at $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$. At this tem perature, the thickness of the string connecting $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{W}^{+}$and a W boson is of the order of its length. The thickness of the string has been discussed [cf. e.g. after Eq. (3.17)] to be the inverse $D$ ebye $m$ ass of the dual photon, $d /{ }^{1=2}$. The length of the string is clearly of the order of the average distance betw een W 's, that is $\quad 1=2$. Therefore, again up to preexponential factors, the thickness and the length of the string are equal at $T=T_{C}$. N ote that these qualitative argum ents can be form alized by the RG procedure [52]. In the presence of W -bosons, the RG equations possess three xed points. The rst two are the zero-and in nite-tem perature ones. The third xed point of the RG ow is a nontrivial infrared unstable one, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}},=$, which corresponds to the phase transition. (In this point, how ever, both figacities becom e in nite. It has been dem onstrated in Ref. [96] that a correcting factor ( +2 )=(2 +1), by which $T_{c}$ should be m ultiplied, appears, if one dem ands that the RG ow of the fiugacities should be stopped when at least one of them becom es of the order of unity.]
$N$ ote that an independent condition of the decon ning phase transi-
tion stem $s$ from the coincidence of scaling dim ensions of the operators : cos $\overline{\mathrm{K}}$ : and : cos $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{P}}$ : at the critical tem perature. T he scaling dim ensions are equal $\frac{K}{4}$ and $\frac{g^{2}}{4}$ respectively, so that the $m$ onopole $c^{-}$ sine term is relevant at $T<T_{B K T}$, whereas the cosine term of $W$ bosons is relevant at $T>T_{X Y}$. The two scaling dim ensions becom e equal when $g_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{g}^{2}$, that yields the value of the critical tem perature $\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{4}$. A s we see, this value is indeed equal to $T_{c}$, up to the factor $\frac{1}{}$, which is of the order of unity and is generated by the loop corrections. At the tem perature $\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{4}$, both scaling dim ensions are equal to unity, therefore both cosine term $s$ in $L_{2 D}$ are relevant at this tem perature. In fact, in the whole region of tem peratures $T_{X Y}<T<T_{B K T}$, both term $s$ are relevant.

The supersym $m$ etric version of the 3 D G G m odel [54] contains, in addition to the dual photon, its superpartner $\{$ an adjoint ferm ion, which we w ill call photino. This m odel possesses a discrete parity sym m etry, which should lead to the $m$ asslessness of photinos. At zero tem perature, this is how ever not the case, since the parity is spontaneously broken via a nonvanishing photino condensate. Thus, at nite tem perature, one can anticipate two phase transitions \{ one related to the vanishing of the photino condensate and the other one due to decon nem ent. These two transitions could either be distinct and happen at di erent tem peratures, or could coincide. In this respect, the $m$ odel is sim ilar to QCD with adjoint quarks, where a sim ilar question can be asked about the (non-)coincidence of decon nem ent and restoration of discrete chiral sym $m$ etry.

The Lagrangian of the $m$ odel contains the bosonic elds of the nonsupersym $m$ etric $G$ G $m$ odel, that are the $m$ assless photon, the heavy $W$ vector bosons and the $m$ assive $H$ iggs eld, as well as their superpartners \{ photino, winos and H iggsino. It has been show n in [97] that, just like in the G G m odel, the m onopole e ects render the dual photon $m$ assive, although the $m$ ass in this case is param etrically sm aller, since it is due to the contribution from a two-m onopole sector, rather than a single-m onopole sector as in the G G m odel. The low -energy sector of the theory is described by the supersym $m$ etric sine-G ordon $m$ odel. Its Euclidean action in the super$\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{eld}}$ notation reads [ W e adopt here the notations of Ref . [98], in particular $\mathrm{d}^{2} \quad=1$.]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{2} \frac{1}{2} D \mathrm{D}+\cos \left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \quad: \tag{8.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, the scalar superm ultiplet and supercovariant derivatives have the form

$$
(x ;)=++\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F} ; \mathrm{D}=\frac{@}{@} \quad(\hat{@}) ; D=\frac{@}{@} \quad(\hat{\mathrm{C}}):
$$

Here, again denotes the dual-photon eld (real scalar), is the photino eld, which is the two-component M a jorana spinor ( $=T{ }^{2}$ ), F is an auxiliary scalar eld, $\hat{@} \quad{ }_{i} @_{i}$, and $\sim=\sim$. The monopole fugacity has dim ensionality $\left[\mathrm{m}\right.$ ass] ${ }^{2}$ and is exponentially sm all. In term s of the disorder operator, the action (8.39) in the com ponent notations can be rew ritten, up to an inessential constant, as

$$
S=d^{3} x \frac{2}{g_{m}^{2}} j \Omega V \frac{1}{\jmath} \quad \frac{1}{2} \hat{d} \quad \frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2} V^{2}+V \quad 2 \quad \frac{\left(g_{m}\right)^{2}}{2} V^{4}+V{ }^{4} ;
$$

where $==4$. Besides the $m$ agnetic $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry, this action has an additionaldiscrete parity sym $m$ etry inherited from the full supersym $m$ etric G G action,

$$
V\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)!\text { iV }\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right) ;\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)!\quad 3\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right):
$$

$T$ he photino $m$ ass term is odd under the parity transform ation. Thus, the photino can acquire a m ass only if the parity is spontaneously broken, that is indeed the case. The breaking of parity results in the non-vanishing photino condensate $\quad o^{2} m_{W}$ and leads to the non-vanishing photino $m$ ass $m=2 g_{m}^{2} \quad$ (equal to the $D$ ebye $m$ ass of the dual photon). It can be proved [54] that the equality of the dual-photon and the photino $m$ asses is preserved on the quantum level as well.

At nite temperatures, one can integrate photinos out in the dim ensionally-reduced theory. Including again $W$ boosons, we arrive at a theory sim ilar to the one we had in the non-supersym $m$ etric case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d: r}:=d^{2} x \frac{1}{2}(@ \quad)^{2} \quad 2 \cos 2^{p} \bar{k} \quad 2 \operatorname{cos~}^{p-} \text {; } \tag{8.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where / 2 is a certain positive and exponentially sm all fugacity. The crucialdi erence from the non-supersym $m$ etric $G G m$ odelis due to the factor $\backslash 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the term $\cos (2 \overline{\mathrm{~K}})$, which m akes the m odel (8.40) $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-invariant. Sim ilarly to the non-supersym $m$ etric case, up to higher-loop corrections, the condition for the determ ination of the decon nem ent phase transition tem perature reads $4 g_{m}^{2} T=g^{2}$, that yields $T_{C}=\frac{g^{2}}{8}$. $T$ he univensality class of the phase transition is therefore $Z_{4}$ rather than $Z_{2}$, as it was in the nonsupersym $m$ etric case. Further, it has been argued in $R$ ef. [54] that the parity order param eter, $\mathrm{V}^{2}+\mathrm{V}{ }^{2}$, vanishes at $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$. Therefore, the parity
restoring phase transition takes place at the same tem perature $T_{c}$ as the decon ning transition. W hile this is an interesting phenom enon, it seem s to be som ew hat non-generic. In particular, in 4D gauge theory with adjoint ferm ions there is no reason to expect the decon ning and chiral-sym m etry restoring phase transitions to coincide. The physical order param eter for decon nem ent is the 't H ooft loop V [99], while for chiral sym m etry it is the ferm ionic bilinear form . In four dim ensions, the tw o have very di erent nature. W hile is a local eld, $V$ is a string-like ob ject. It is thus di cult to im agine these tw o order param eters com bining into a single one as it is the case in the 3D theory discussed above. The lattice results indeed indicate that, at least in the SU (3)-theory, in four dim ensions the tw o transitions are distinct [100].

## 9. Sum m ary

Below we would like to $m$ ention once again som e speci $c$ issues discussed in this review.

3D G G m odelat $T=0, N \quad 2$
$\left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}<1\right.$ ) N must be smaller than $\exp$ [(certain constant) $\left.m_{W}=g^{2}\right]$, otherw ise the $H$ iggs vacuum is not stochastic;
$\left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}=1\right.$ ) string tension of the at $W$ ilson loop in the fiundam ental representation is obtained; it possesses an ambigulty in the nu$m$ erical factor due to the exponentially large thickness of the string; \{ the $K a l b R$ am ond eld, which incorporates both $m$ onopoles and free photons ) num erical factor at all the string coupling constants (in particular, at the string tension) is xed for an arbitrarily shaped surface, in the weak- eld (low -density) approxim ation; a generalization of the so-obtained theory of con ning strings to the adjoint case and to k -strings: $\frac{\operatorname{adj}}{\text { fund }}$ ' 2 at $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$; $\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\text { fund }}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{k})}{\mathrm{N}} 1 \mathrm{l}$ (C asim ir scaling) in the low -density approxim ation; the leading non-diluteness correction is derived, such that, at k N 1, it can signi cantly distort the C asim ir scaling;
\{ $4 D$-case with the eld-theoretical term in the strong-coupling regim e ) string term ; fundam ental, adjoint, and k-case critical values of , at which crum pling $m$ ight disappear; a m odi cation of the vacuum structure due to the term.
3D G G m odelat T drer: $^{1=3}$, e ects of $W$-bosons are neglected
$\left\{\mathrm{N}=2, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}<1\right.$ ) $\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{W}}} \mathrm{m}$ ay not be larger than a certain function of $\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}}$, otherw ise the H iggs vacuum is not stochastic;
\{ H iggs-induced corrections to the BKT RG ow in the leading order in $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}$ itself evolves very slow ly in the vicinity of the BKT critical point; $N>2$ ) unlike the $\operatorname{SU}_{P}^{(2)}$-case, the $R G$ invariance
 even at $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ! 1 ;
\{ in the presence of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ dynam ical fiundam ental quark avors, at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=1$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{BKT}}=\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{4}$; at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad 2$ and/or $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} \not 0$, any fundam entalm atter (including quarks them selves) is decon ned at $T \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}: ~}$;

W -bosons are taken into account, supersym $m$ etric generalization ) the decon ning and the discrete-parity restoring phase transitions occur at the sam e tem perature, $\frac{9^{2}}{8}$; the universality class of the decon ning phase transition is the sam e as in $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-invariant spin $m$ odels.
con ning string
\{ the presence of the negative-sti ness term forces the introduction of a term into the string action that suppresses the form ation of spikes and, thus, prevents the crum pling of the world-sheet. This action, in the large-D lim it, has an infrared stable xed point at zero sti ness, that corresponds to a tensionless sm ooth string with Hausdor dimension 2;
\{ the e ective theory describing the infrared behavior of the con ning string is a conform al eld theory with central charge $\mathrm{c}=1$;
$\{$ at high tem perature, the free energy of the con ning string goes like $\mathrm{F}^{2}() /\left(1={ }^{2}\right)$ w ith $=1=\mathrm{T}$ and it agrees in sign, tem perature behavior, and the reality property w ith the large N Q CD result obtained by Poldhinski.
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[^0]:    Perm anent address: IT EP, B. C herem ushk inskaya 25, RU-117 218, M oscow, R ussia.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ A $n$ attem pt to construct a $m$ om entum -space interpretation of this phenom enon has been done (see e.g. R ef. [4]). In th is review, we will, how ever, prefer to dealw ith the conventional space-tim e picture, which enables one to directly operate $w$ ith such notions as the vacuum correlation length or potential betw een color particles.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{W}$ e do not discuss in this review the m odem line of research, devoted to the so-called color superconductivity [7]. In the color superconducting phase of QCD, which takes place at high baryonic densities, corresponding to the values of the quark chem ical potential $\mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})$, the gauge sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken.
    ${ }^{c}$ To get a description of large-distance e ects in term $s$ of $m$ icroscopic degrees of freedom, it looks natural to integrate over the high-m om entum modes, in the sam eway as it is usually done in a derivation of renorm alization-group ( $\mathrm{R} G$ ) equations in statistical m echanics. For instance, th is approach gives a correct RG behavior of the running strong-coupling constant (at the one-loop level) [3]. H ow ever, it typically su ens from the above-m entioned problem of violation of the gauge invariance, as far as RG equations for $G$ reen functions are concemed. Recent progress in this direction of research is concentrated around the concept of the so-called exact RG ow (see e.g. R ef. [8] for a recent review ).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The current m ass of the s-quark, which is around 150 M eV , is still sm aller than the typical splitting values at least in a factor of three.
    ${ }^{e} \mathrm{U}$ nfortunately, practically no approaches exist, which describe both phenom ena. P artially, that is because it is not yet fully accepted that these phenom ena are related to each otherm icroscopically, i.e. that the sam e vacuum con gurations are responsible for both phenom ena. For instance, as it has been shown in Ref. [12], this is not so in the case of the so-called Faddeev-N iem ie ective action [13]. An exam ple of a nonperturbative approach, where the two phenom ena are related to each other, is the stochastic vacuum model of QCD [1], which does not refer to a particular $m$ icroscopic vacuum con guration.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ A com prehensive lattice analysis of the latter has recently been perform ed [15] (see Ref. [16] for review s).

[^4]:    ${ }^{g}$ In this review, we only brie $y$ discuss the phenom enon of string breaking (see the end of subsection 4.2). The string breaking alw ays happens at a certain distance if dynam icalm atter elds, transform ing by the sam e representation of the gauge group as the con ned external ones, are present.

[^5]:    ${ }^{i}$ A s for the convergence of the cum ulant expansion itself, it is a natural requirem ent, which should be obeyed by any eld theory w ith a norm al stochastic, rather than the coherent, vacuum .
    ${ }^{j}$ At $n$ ite tem peratures, disorder is prim arily generated by W -bosons [52], which, at zero tem perature under discussion, are practically irrelevant due to their heaviness. T he quantitative discussion of the role of $W$ bosons at nite tem perature $w$ ill be presented in subsection 8.4.

[^6]:    ${ }^{k} \mathrm{~N}$ ote the follow ing correspondence betw een the C oulom b interaction of m onopole densities and the actions of the $m$ agnetic- and $K a l b-R$ am ond elds:

    $$
    \frac{1}{4}^{Z} d^{3} x \tilde{n}^{2}={\frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2}}^{Z} \quad d^{3} x B^{2}={\frac{g_{m}^{2}}{2}}^{Z} \quad d^{3} x d^{3} y \sim(x) D_{0}(x \quad y) \sim(y):
    $$

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, one can prove the equality $g^{a b}\left(@_{a} t\right)\left(@_{b} t\right)=\left(D^{a} D_{a} x\right)\left(D^{b} D_{b} x\right)$, where $D_{a} D_{b} x=$
     other hand, the surface of the $m$ in m al area is de ned by the equation $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{l})=0$ together w ith the respective boundary condition at the contour $C$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{m}$ O bviously, in the noncom pact case, when monopoles are disregarded and $\mathfrak{n}=@ \mathbb{A}$ @ $\mathbb{A}$, the r.h.s. of Eq. (4.19) yields the free-photonic contribution to the $W$ ilson loop, Eq. (4.12).
    ${ }^{n}$ In particular, this $m$ akes explicit the num ber of positive roots, $\frac{N^{2} N}{2}$.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ For allother $N^{2}$ N 2 n roots, the scalar product van ishes, and so does the rh.s. ofE q. (4.24).

[^10]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{N}$ ote that, using the form ula $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{ab}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ab}} \sim$ and the C auchy inequality, one gets the follow ing analogue ofEq. (4.9): H abj $\frac{j \sim j}{2 N}$. This clearly leads to the sam e de nition of the weak- eld lim it in term $s$ of the low-density approxim ation, as in the fundam ental case. $N$ am ely, the weak- eld $\lim$ it corresponds to densities $j \dot{j}$ which are of the order $N t i m$ es sm aller than the $m$ ean one (4.10). ${ }^{q}$ W e will not consider here high-dim ensional representations that are screened by gluons and do not yield a genuine asym ptotic string tension.

[^11]:    ${ }^{r} O$ ne should study w ith som e care whether the argum ents presented in $R$ efs. [72,73] hold independently of the space-tim e dim ensionality.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ This constraint is sim ilar to those which were derived at the end of sect. 2 as the necessary conditions for the stochasticity of the $H$ iggs vacuum. W e therefore conclude that the conditions of stochasticity of the H iggs vacuum and of the validity of the dilute-plasm a approxim ation parallel each other.

[^13]:    ${ }^{u}$ In the case when the ensem ble of A belian-pro jected $m$ onopoles is $m$ odeled by the $m$ agneticallycharged dualH iggs eld, the ects, produced by this term to the respective string e ective action, have been studied in Ref. [67].

[^14]:    ${ }^{v}$ Unlike the superrenorm alizable 3D-case, where loop corrections to the saddle point are rapidly converging, this is not necessarily the case in four dim ensions. This fact is, how ever, clearly unim portant in the weak- eld lim it Eq. (5.7) below ], where the cosine in Eq. (5.3) is approxim ated by the quadratic term only, and the saddle-point integration over ~ becom es $G$ aussian. As we have seen in the previous section, this lim it is already enough for the discussion of the string representation. O ne of the authors (D A.) is gratefulto H.G ies and E.V icari for pointing out his attention to this issue.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{C}$ learly, at $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{g}^{\text {fund }}, \underset{+}{\text { fund }}=$ fund $=\frac{\mathrm{N} \quad 1}{4 \mathrm{~N}}$.
    ${ }^{x_{A}}$ A in the previous footnote, at the particular value of $g, g=g_{N}^{\operatorname{adj}}{ }_{1}, \quad{ }_{+}^{\operatorname{adj}}={ }^{\operatorname{adj}}=\frac{1}{2}$.

[^16]:    ${ }^{Y}$ In 4D, the ratio of string tensions indeed equals $C(k ; N)$ as in 3D, as long as the dual-photon eld can be treated as a free m assive eld, since the rest of the derivation of Eq. . (4.35) is based on the properties of fundam ental w eights only.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ In th is section, 3 -vectors are denoted as a, whereas 2 -vectors are denoted as a.

