

Matrix elements of the operator $T\bar{T}$ in integrable quantum field theory

Gesualdo Delfino and Giuliano Niccoli

International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA)

via Beirut 2-4, 34014 Trieste, Italy

INFN sezione di Trieste

E-mail: delfino@sissa.it, niccoli@sissa.it

Abstract

Recently A. Zamolodchikov obtained a series of identities for the expectation values of the composite operator $T\bar{T}$ constructed from the components of the energy-momentum tensor in two-dimensional quantum field theory. We show that if the theory is integrable the addition of a requirement of factorization at high energies can lead to the exact determination of the generic matrix element of this operator on the asymptotic states. The construction is performed explicitly in the Lee-Yang model.

1 Introduction

It is commonly believed that the knowledge of the S -matrix implicitly amounts to the complete solution of a quantum field theory, in the sense that the off-shell physics (all operators and their correlation functions) can be reconstructed starting from the particle dynamics. The integrable theories in two dimensions are the only interacting quantum field theories which are exactly solved on shell, and then provide an unique framework for testing the status of this belief.

The determination of the S -matrix in integrable theories follows from the combination of the general analyticity properties [1] with the elasticity and factorization of the scattering processes imposed by the existence of an infinite number of quantum integrals of motion [2]. Moving off-shell means evaluating the matrix elements (form factors) of the operators on the asymptotic particle states; if this is achieved the correlators can be expressed as spectral sums. The simplifications of the dynamics allow to prescribe the analytic properties of the form factors through a set of functional equations whose only input is the S -matrix [3, 4]. A solution of these equations, i.e. a set of matrix elements on all asymptotic states, corresponds to a local operator of the theory [4]. Some general features of the form factor equations are readily established: i) they admit an infinite dimensional linear space of solutions, as needed to account for the infinitely many operators of a quantum field theory; ii) subspaces of solutions can be selected according to the asymptotic behavior for large momenta; iii) there exist “minimal solutions” characterized by the mildest asymptotic behavior; iv) the asymptotic behavior of the non-minimal solutions differs by integer powers of the momenta from that of the minimal ones.

The operator content of a massive theory does not change along the renormalization group flow. In particular, it should coincide with that of the conformal theory describing the ultraviolet limit [5]. We know from the solution of the conformal theories in two dimensions [6] that the operators are organized in families, each consisting of a primary operator and infinitely many descendants whose scaling dimension exceeds by integers that of the primary. On this basis, it is very natural to conjecture a correspondence between the primary operators and the minimal solutions of the form factor equations, and to expect some relation between the scaling dimension and the asymptotic behavior of the form factors.

It was first shown in [7] for the thermal Ising model that the counting of independent solutions of the form factor equations matches the operator counting prescribed by conformal field theory. This enumeration has later been performed for more complicated models, in all cases confirming the expected isomorphism between the critical and off-critical operator spaces [8, 9, 10].

Clearly, in such a context, the main problem becomes that of identifying the solution of the form factor equations corresponding to a given operator. If the correspondence between primary operators and minimal form factor solutions is many times unambiguous, even the identification of primaries can become non-trivial in absence of internal symmetries: the Ising model without [11] and with a magnetic field [12, 13, 14] provides the simplest illustration of the two situations. It was shown in [12] for unitary theories that the scaling dimension of the operator determines a very restrictive upper bound on the asymptotic behavior of the form

factors. This allows the selection of finite dimensional linear subspaces of form factor solutions corresponding to operators with dimension smaller than a fixed value, and naturally suggests an identification procedure which starts from the primaries and then moves up to the descendants through discrete steps.

It was argued in [15] that the absence of interaction between right- and left-moving particles at high energies in 1+1 dimensions is at the origin of a factorization of form factors when a subset of momenta becomes asymptotically large. Being non-linear in the operators the factorization equations single out specific solutions within the linear subspaces selected by the asymptotic bound and have been exploited for the identification of primary operators, in particular in the above mentioned case of the Ising model in a magnetic field [13, 14].

In this paper we investigate to which extent the ingredients discussed above are sufficient for the continuation of the programme, namely for the selection of non-trivial descendant operators. This problem, so far unexplored, is intrinsically complicated by the fact that the descendant operators enter the theory in multiplets degenerate with respect to the scaling dimension, and are classified in the conformal limit in a way which, also in consequence of operator mixing, loses much of its effectiveness in the S -matrix approach away from criticality. We concentrate our attention on the lowest scalar descendant in the operator family of the identity, i.e. the operator $T\bar{T}$, which can be defined in a massive theory through a regularized product of the components of the energy-momentum tensor [16]. In order to minimize the technicalities, we perform our analysis within the simplest interacting massive quantum field theory, namely the Lee-Yang model [17] which describes the edge singularity of the Ising model in a pure imaginary magnetic field [18, 19, 20, 21]. Our strategy is the following. We first require an upper bound on the asymptotic behavior and show that it indeed leads to a subspace of solutions with the expected dimensionality. Then we impose an asymptotic factorization condition which is a generalization of that discussed in [15] and identifies the operator $T\bar{T}$ up to terms which are subleading in the massless limit. Finally, these subleading terms are fixed exploiting the conditions on the expectation values of $T\bar{T}$ recently derived in [16]. It turns out that these conditions also determine part of the leading terms, and then provide a confirmation of the validity of the asymptotic factorization. Our construction leaves unfixed the coefficient of a single derivative operator which is known from conformal perturbation theory to represent an intrinsic additive ambiguity in the definition of the composite operator $T\bar{T}$.

The paper is organized as follows. We recall the main features of the Lee-Yang model in the next section and the determination of the primary operator in the massive theory in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the identification of the solution for the operator $T\bar{T}$ while some final considerations are collected in section 5. Three appendices contain some results and derivations which are referred to in section 4.

2 The Lee-Yang model

It is known since the work of Lee and Yang [18, 19] that the partition function of the Ising model in a pure imaginary magnetic field ih possesses zeros which in the thermodynamic limit become dense for real values of h larger than a critical value h_c . Fisher [20] argued that this edge singularity admits a field theoretical description in terms of the Landau-Ginzburg action

$$\mathcal{A}_{LG} = \int d^d x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - i(h - h_c)\varphi + ig\varphi^3 \right] \quad (2.1)$$

with upper critical dimension $d = 6$. The equation of motion implies that the field φ is the only relevant operator of the theory. On this basis, Cardy [21] identified the two-dimensional model at $h = h_c$ with the only conformal field theory possessing a single operator family apart from that of the identity, i.e. the minimal model $\mathcal{M}_{2,5}$ with central charge $-22/5$. The classification of primary operators in minimal conformal theories prescribes the identification

$$\varphi = \phi_{1,2} = \phi_{1,3} \quad (2.2)$$

as well as the scaling dimension $X_\varphi = -2/5$. The negative values of both the scaling dimension and the central charge indicate that the model is non-unitary (in the sense that it does not satisfy reflection positivity), a feature which is expected from the imaginary couplings entering the action (2.1).

The operators at criticality undergo the general conformal field theory classification [6]. A scaling operator Φ is characterized by a pair $(\Delta_\Phi, \bar{\Delta}_\Phi)$ of conformal dimensions which determine the scaling dimension X_Φ and the euclidean spin s_Φ as

$$X_\Phi = \Delta_\Phi + \bar{\Delta}_\Phi \quad (2.3)$$

$$s_\Phi = \Delta_\Phi - \bar{\Delta}_\Phi. \quad (2.4)$$

Each operator family consists of a primary operator Φ_0 and infinite descendants obtained through the repeated action on the primary of the generators L_{-i} , $\bar{L}_{-j}$ of two copies of the Virasoro algebra. A basis in the space of descendants is given by the operators

$$L_{-i_1} \dots L_{-i_I} \bar{L}_{-j_1} \dots \bar{L}_{-j_J} \Phi_0 \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$0 < i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_I \quad (2.6)$$

$$0 < j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_J. \quad (2.7)$$

The levels

$$(l, \bar{l}) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^I i_n, \sum_{n=1}^J j_n \right) \quad (2.8)$$

determine the conformal dimensions of the descendants (2.5) in the form

$$(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\Delta_{\Phi_0} + l, \bar{\Delta}_{\Phi_0} + \bar{l}). \quad (2.9)$$

As we already said, the Lee-Yang critical point possesses two scalar (i.e. spinless) primary operators: the identity $I = \phi_{1,1} = \phi_{1,4}$ with conformal dimensions $(0, 0)$, and the operator (2.2) with dimensions $(-1/5, -1/5)$. Here we use the notation $\phi_{r,s}$ to denote primary scalar operators possessing a ‘null vector’ (i.e. a vanishing linear combination of the descendants (2.5)) when $l = rs$ or $\bar{l} = rs$. The level one null vector of the identity operator arises from the fact that¹ $L_{-1} = \partial$ and $\bar{L}_{-1} = \bar{\partial}$. It follows in particular that the only descendants of the identity with $l, \bar{l} \leq 2$ are the components of the energy momentum tensor $T = L_{-2}I$, $\bar{T} = \bar{L}_{-2}I$ and their product $T\bar{T} = L_{-2}\bar{L}_{-2}I$. Concerning the operator family of the primary φ , the null vector at level two implies that all the descendants with $l, \bar{l} \leq 2$ are derivatives.

The description of the scaling limit away from criticality is obtained perturbing the conformal point with its relevant operator, namely through the action

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{CFT} - i\lambda \int d^2x \varphi(x), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\lambda \sim (h - h_c) \sim m^{2-X_\varphi} \quad (2.11)$$

with m a mass scale. It follows from the general results about perturbed conformal field theories [5] and the identification (2.2) that the theory (2.10) is integrable. Cardy and Mussardo [17] showed that the corresponding factorized scattering theory consists of a neutral particle A of mass m with the two-body amplitude

$$S(\theta) = \frac{\tanh \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta + \frac{2i\pi}{3} \right)}{\tanh \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta - \frac{2i\pi}{3} \right)}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$ is the difference of the rapidity variables parameterizing the on-shell momenta of the colliding particles as $(p_k^0, p_k^1) = (m \cosh \theta_k, m \sinh \theta_k)$. This amplitude satisfies in particular the unitarity and crossing equations

$$S(\theta)S(-\theta) = 1 \quad (2.13)$$

$$S(\theta) = S(i\pi - \theta). \quad (2.14)$$

The pole at $\theta = 2\pi/3$ corresponds to the particle A appearing as a bound state in the AA scattering channel, a property which agrees with the cubic coupling entering the action (2.1).

The behavior

$$S(\theta \simeq \frac{2i\pi}{3}) \simeq \frac{i\Gamma^2}{\theta - \frac{2i\pi}{3}} \quad (2.15)$$

determines a three-particle coupling

$$\Gamma = i2^{1/2}3^{1/4} \quad (2.16)$$

which is purely imaginary, again in agreement with (2.1).

¹We denote ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ the derivatives with respect to the complex coordinates $z = x_1 + ix_2$ and $\bar{z} = x_1 - ix_2$, respectively.

3 Form factors

We call form factors of a local operator $\Phi(x)$ in the Lee-Yang model the matrix elements

$$F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \langle 0 | \Phi(0) | A(\theta_1) \dots A(\theta_n) \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

between the vacuum and the n -particle states². They satisfy the functional equations [3, 4]

$$F_n^\Phi(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_n + \alpha) = e^{s_\Phi \alpha} F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.2)$$

$$F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i, \theta_{i+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = S(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{i+1}, \theta_i, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.3)$$

$$F_n^\Phi(\theta_1 + 2i\pi, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) = F_n^\Phi(\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n, \theta_1) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{Res}_{\theta'=\theta} F_{n+2}^\Phi(\theta' + \frac{i\pi}{3}, \theta - \frac{i\pi}{3}, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = i\Gamma F_{n+1}^\Phi(\theta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{Res}_{\theta'=\theta+i\pi} F_{n+2}^\Phi(\theta', \theta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = i \left[1 - \prod_{j=1}^n S(\theta - \theta_j) \right] F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n). \quad (3.6)$$

The solutions of these equations can be parameterized in the form

$$F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = U_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \prod_{i < j} \frac{F_{min}(\theta_i - \theta_j)}{\cosh \frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2} [\cosh(\theta_i - \theta_j) + \frac{1}{2}]}. \quad (3.7)$$

Here the factors in the denominator introduce the bound state and annihilation poles prescribed by (3.5) and (3.6), while

$$F_{min}(\theta) = -i \sinh \frac{\theta}{2} \exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\cosh \frac{t}{6}}{\cosh \frac{t}{2} \sinh t} \sin^2 \frac{(i\pi - \theta)t}{2\pi} \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

is a solution of the equations

$$F(\theta) = S(\theta)F(-\theta) \quad (3.9)$$

$$F(\theta + 2i\pi) = F(-\theta) \quad (3.10)$$

free of zeros and poles for $\text{Im}\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$. It behaves as

$$F_{min}(\theta) \sim e^{|\theta|} \quad (3.11)$$

when $|\theta| \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, all the information about the operator is contained in the functions U_n^Φ . They must be entire functions of the rapidities, symmetric and (up to a factor $(-1)^{n-1}$) $2\pi i$ -periodic in all θ_j 's. We write them in the form

$$U_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = H_n \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{(n)}} \right)^{(n-1)/2} Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.12)$$

²The generic matrix elements with particles also on the left can be obtained by analytic continuation of (3.1). See (4.17) below.

using the symmetric polynomials generated by

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (x + x_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n x^{n-k} \sigma_k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (3.13)$$

with $x_i \equiv e^{\theta_i}$. Choosing the constants

$$H_n = i^{n^2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{n/4} \gamma^{n(n-2)} \quad (3.14)$$

with

$$\gamma = \exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\sinh \frac{t}{2} \sinh \frac{t}{3} \sinh \frac{t}{6}}{\sinh^2 t} \right\}, \quad (3.15)$$

the equations (3.2)–(3.6) imply

$$Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_n + \alpha) = e^{\left(s_\Phi + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)\alpha} Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.16)$$

$$Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i, \theta_{i+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{i+1}, \theta_i, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.17)$$

$$Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1 + 2\pi i, \dots, \theta_n) = Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.18)$$

$$Q_{n+2}^\Phi\left(\theta + i\frac{\pi}{3}, \theta - i\frac{\pi}{3}, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\right) = x \prod_{i=1}^n (x + x_i) Q_{n+1}^\Phi(\theta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.19)$$

$$Q_{n+2}^\Phi(\theta + i\pi, \theta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = (-1)^n x D_n(x, x_1, \dots, x_n) Q_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n), \quad (3.20)$$

where $x \equiv e^\theta$ and

$$D_n(x, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=1, \text{odd}}^k (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi}{3}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)} x^{2(n-k)+m} \sigma_k^{(n)} \sigma_{k-m}^{(n)}. \quad (3.21)$$

The asymptotic bound of [12] does not apply to this non-unitary model. However, in this case the form factor solution corresponding to the primary operator (2.2) can be identified unambiguously relying on minimality. In explaining this point as well as in the rest of the paper we refer to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor $\Theta = \frac{1}{4} T_\mu^\mu$, which is proportional to φ since the latter perturbs the conformal point in the action (2.10).

The simplest form factor with non-trivial momentum dependence is the two-particle one. In the scalar sector $s = 0$ the two-particle solution of (3.2)–(3.4) and (3.6) with the mildest asymptotic behavior (and then associated to the primary operator) is

$$U_2^\Theta(\theta_1, \theta_2) = -\frac{\pi m^2}{4} \cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}, \quad (3.22)$$

where the constant is fixed through the condition

$$F_2^\Theta(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = \frac{\pi m^2}{2}, \quad (3.23)$$

which corresponds to our normalization

$$\langle A(\theta_1) | A(\theta_2) \rangle = 2\pi \delta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \quad (3.24)$$

of the particle states. The equations (3.16)–(3.20) with this initial condition lead to a solution which can be written as the determinant [22, 23, 8]

$$Q_n^\Theta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = -\frac{\pi m^2}{4\sqrt{3}} \det \|M_{i,j}^{(n)}\| \quad (3.25)$$

of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix with entries

$$M_{i,j}^{(n)} = \frac{\sin(2(i-j+1)\frac{\pi}{3})}{\sin \frac{2\pi}{3}} \sigma_{2i-j}^{(n)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Strictly speaking the form factor equations (3.3)–(3.6) put no constraint on the vacuum expectation value. Notice, however, that setting formally $n = 0$ in the previous formulae (taking in particular $M^{(0)} = 1$) gives the result

$$F_0^\Theta = \langle \Theta \rangle = -\frac{\pi m^2}{4\sqrt{3}}, \quad (3.27)$$

which coincides with that obtained through the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz [24]. The solution for Θ satisfies the asymptotic factorization property

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} F_n^\Theta(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_k + \alpha, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{\langle \Theta \rangle} F_k^\Theta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) F_{n-k}^\Theta(\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.28)$$

discussed in [15].

It is not difficult to check that the above solution is uniquely selected by the initial condition (3.22) and the requirement of mildest asymptotic behavior. Indeed, a different solution should necessarily coincide with (3.25) for n smaller than some $N > 2$; at $n = N$ the difference between the two solutions should satisfy the residue equations (3.5) and (3.6) with zero on the r.h.s., namely it should correspond to a function U_N factorizing the N -particle ‘kernel’

$$K_N(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) = \prod_{i < j} \left[\cosh \frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2} \left(\cosh(\theta_i - \theta_j) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (3.29)$$

Since the matrix elements of scalar operators depend on rapidity differences only and all the singularities are already included in (3.7), the factor multiplying K_N cannot decrease the asymptotic behavior of U_N . Defining the asymptotic degree in the variables e^{θ_i} by

$$\lim_{\theta_i \rightarrow \infty} f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \sim \exp [\deg(f) \theta_i], \quad (3.30)$$

one has

$$\deg(U_n^\Theta) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1) \quad (3.31)$$

$$\deg(K_n) = \frac{3}{2}(n-1), \quad (3.32)$$

and this shows that any solution originating from a N -particle kernel diverges at infinity more rapidly than (3.25).

The solution for Θ immediately fixes those for the other components of the energy-momentum tensor. Indeed, the conservation equations

$$\bar{\partial}T = \partial\Theta \quad (3.33)$$

$$\partial\bar{T} = \bar{\partial}\Theta \quad (3.34)$$

imply

$$F_n^T(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = -\frac{\sigma_1^{(n)}\sigma_n^{(n)}}{\sigma_{n-1}^{(n)}} F_n^\Theta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.35)$$

$$F_n^{\bar{T}}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = -\frac{\sigma_{n-1}^{(n)}}{\sigma_1^{(n)}\sigma_n^{(n)}} F_n^\Theta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (3.36)$$

for $n > 0$. Of course

$$\langle T \rangle = \langle \bar{T} \rangle = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

on the plane as for any operator with non-zero spin.

4 The operator $T\bar{T}$

When looking for descendant operators we have to deal with the solutions of the form factor equations which diverge at infinity more rapidly than the F_n^Θ . Since in this non-unitary model we lack the asymptotic bound, we simply assume that the generic scalar descendant Φ_l of level (l, l) behaves asymptotically as the derivative operator $\partial^l \bar{\partial}^l \Theta = L_{-1}^l \bar{L}_{-1}^l \Theta$. Since

$$F_n^{\partial^l \bar{\partial}^l \Theta}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \left(m^2 \frac{\sigma_1^{(n)} \sigma_{n-1}^{(n)}}{\sigma_n^{(n)}} \right)^l F_n^\Theta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) , \quad (4.1)$$

this amounts to say

$$\deg(F_n^{\Phi_l}) = l , \quad n \geq 2 \quad (4.2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\deg(U_n^{\Phi_l}) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1) + l , \quad n \geq 2 . \quad (4.3)$$

It follows that the two-particle solution has the form

$$U_2^{\Phi_l}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = P_2^{\Phi_l}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2} , \quad (4.4)$$

with $P_2^{\Phi_l}(\theta)$ a polynomial of degree l in $\cosh \theta$. The power $\cosh^l \theta$ in $P_2^{\Phi_l}$ is absent at lower levels and accounts for one solution of level (l, l) . Additional independent solutions at this level may arise from kernels K_N with $N > 2$. Comparing (3.32) and (4.3) we see that a N -particle kernel exists if

$$2 < N \leq l + 1 . \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, for $l = 1$, the absence of kernels leaves us with a single solution, in agreement with the fact that $\partial\bar{\partial}\Theta$ is the only descendant at this level in the Lee-Yang model.

For $l = 2$, instead, a 3-particle kernel is allowed by (4.5). Since $\deg(K_3) = \deg(U_3^{\Phi_2})$, K_3 can only be multiplied by a constant and introduces a single new solution. We then conclude that the form factor bootstrap prescribes that the space of level $(2, 2)$ descendant operators in the Lee-Yang model is two-dimensional. Again this agrees with the result of conformal field theory that the only independent operators at this level are $\partial^2 \bar{\partial}^2 \Theta$ and $T\bar{T}$.

Our task now is that of characterizing the matrix elements of $T\bar{T}$. It follows from our construction that they can be expressed as

$$F_n^{T\bar{T}} = a m^{-2} F_n^{\partial^2 \bar{\partial}^2 \Theta} + b m^4 F_n^{\mathcal{K}_3} + c F_n^{\partial \bar{\partial} \Theta} + d m^2 F_n^{\Theta} + e m^4 \delta_{n,0}, \quad (4.6)$$

with a, b, c, d, e dimensionless constants to be determined. We are denoting by $F_n^{\mathcal{K}_3}$ the solution of the form factor bootstrap originating from the 3-particle kernel K_3 . By definition

$$F_n^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2. \quad (4.7)$$

The $F_n^{\mathcal{K}_3}$ with $n = 3, \dots, 9$ are given explicitly in appendix A.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}_l \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{l}} \Phi_0$ a level $(l, \bar{l})$ descendant of a primary operator Φ_0 which in the critical limit assumes the form (2.5) with $\mathcal{L}_l$ ($\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{l}}$) accounting for the product of L_{-i} ($\bar{L}_{-j}$). It is not difficult to check (see appendix B) that the simplest among these descendants, i.e. the derivatives $L_{-1}^l \bar{L}_{-1}^{\bar{l}} \Theta$, satisfy in the Lee-Yang model the asymptotic factorization equations

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-l\alpha} F_n^{\mathcal{L}_l \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{l}} \Phi_0}(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_k + \alpha, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{\langle \Phi_0 \rangle} F_k^{\mathcal{L}_l \Phi_0}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) F_{n-k}^{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{l}} \Phi_0}(\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n), \quad (4.8)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Relying on the arguments of [15] we expect that these factorization conditions actually hold for any operator $\mathcal{L}_l \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{l}} \Phi_0$ in the Lee-Yang model. This requires in particular that the solution for $T\bar{T}$ factorizes as

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} F_n^{T\bar{T}}(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_k + \alpha, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = F_k^T(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) F_{n-k}^{\bar{T}}(\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n). \quad (4.9)$$

It can be checked (see appendix C) that the matrix elements (4.6) satisfy these factorization equations if and only if

$$a = \frac{\langle \Theta \rangle}{m^2} \quad (4.10)$$

$$b = -\frac{\langle \Theta \rangle^2}{m^4}. \quad (4.11)$$

The coefficients c, d, e multiply terms which are subleading in the limit (4.9) and are left unconstrained by this requirement. Since the l.h.s. of (4.9) is in fact a limit into the conformal point [15], these subleading terms depend on the way the composite operator $T\bar{T}$ is defined away from criticality.

Recently, A. Zamolodchikov showed that in two-dimensional quantum field theory the composite operator $T\bar{T}$ can be consistently defined away from a fixed point of the renormalization group as [16]

$$T\bar{T}(x) = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} [T(x')\bar{T}(x) - \Theta(x')\Theta(x) + \text{derivative terms}]. \quad (4.12)$$

Here ‘derivative terms’ means terms containing powers of $x' - x$ times local operators which are total derivatives. This implies in particular that, if $|n\rangle$ denotes a n -particle asymptotic state with energy E_n and momentum P_n , the quantity

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \langle n|T(x)\bar{T}(0)|n\rangle - \langle n|\Theta(x)\Theta(0)|n\rangle \quad (4.13)$$

does not depend on x and coincides with $\langle n|T\bar{T}(0)|n\rangle$. We now adapt to our present purposes one of the arguments of [16]. Consider $\mathcal{C}_1$ and introduce in between the two pairs of operators a resolution of the identity, which within our normalization of asymptotic states reads

$$I = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \cdots \frac{d\theta_k}{2\pi} |k\rangle \langle k|, \quad (4.14)$$

where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ are the rapidities of the particles. The dependence of the k -th term in the expansion on the point $x = (x_1, x_2)$ on the euclidean plane can then be extracted in the form $e^{(E_1 - E_k)|x_2| + i(P_1 - P_k)x_1}$. The x -independence of the result implies that only the $k = 1$ intermediate state gives a non-vanishing contribution, and we can write

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\theta e^{m[(1 - \cosh \theta)|x_2| - ix_1 \sinh \theta]} f(\theta) \quad (4.15)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) &= \langle A(0)|T(0)|A(\theta)\rangle \langle A(\theta)|\bar{T}(0)|A(0)\rangle - \langle A(0)|\Theta(0)|A(\theta)\rangle \langle A(\theta)|\Theta(0)|A(0)\rangle \\ &= -2\pi\delta(\theta)\langle\Theta\rangle [2F_2^\Theta(i\pi, 0) + 2\pi\delta(0)\langle\Theta\rangle] . \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Here we used the crossing relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(\theta'_m) \dots A(\theta'_1) | \Phi(0) | A(\theta_1) \dots A(\theta_n) \rangle &= \langle A(\theta'_m) \dots A(\theta'_2) | \Phi(0) | A(\theta'_1 + i\pi) A(\theta_1) \dots A(\theta_n) \rangle + \\ &2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(\theta'_1 - \theta_i) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} S(\theta_k - \theta'_1) \langle A(\theta'_m) \dots A(\theta'_2) | \Phi(0) | A(\theta_1) \dots A(\theta_{i-1}) A(\theta_{i+1}) \dots A(\theta_n) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where the second term in the r.h.s. accounts for the disconnected parts that appear if the crossed particle hits a particle with exactly the same energy and momentum and annihilates it. It contains the product of the scattering amplitudes with the particles that need to be crossed on the way. It follows from (3.35) and (3.36) that the terms which do not factorize $\delta(\theta)$ correctly cancel in (4.16) to leave the coordinate independent result

$$\langle A(0)|T\bar{T}(0)|A(0)\rangle = \mathcal{C}_1 = -\langle\Theta\rangle [2F_2^\Theta(i\pi, 0) + 2\pi\delta(0)\langle\Theta\rangle] . \quad (4.18)$$

Comparison with (4.17) with $\Phi = T\bar{T}$ and $n = m = 1$ then shows that

$$F_2^{T\bar{T}}(i\pi, 0) = -2\langle\Theta\rangle F_2^\Theta(i\pi, 0) = -\pi m^2 \langle\Theta\rangle \quad (4.19)$$

$$\langle T\bar{T} \rangle = -\langle\Theta\rangle^2 . \quad (4.20)$$

The last relation was originally observed in [25] and follows already from (4.13) with $n = 0$ and $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we have from (4.6) that

$$\langle T\bar{T} \rangle = d m^2 \langle \Theta \rangle + e m^4 \quad (4.21)$$

$$F_2^{T\bar{T}}(i\pi, 0) = d m^2 F_2^\Theta(i\pi, 0), \quad (4.22)$$

so that we conclude

$$d = -\frac{2}{m^2} \langle \Theta \rangle \quad (4.23)$$

$$e = \frac{\langle \Theta \rangle^2}{m^4}. \quad (4.24)$$

Summarizing, we have determined all the coefficients entering (4.6) apart from c which, being a derivative, remains unconstrained by the study of the expectation values (4.13). This indetermination perfectly agrees with the fact that the scaling dimensions of the operators $T\bar{T}$ and $\partial\bar{\partial}\Theta$ satisfy a resonance condition which introduces in the definition of $T\bar{T}$ an intrinsic ambiguity of the form $T\bar{T} \rightarrow T\bar{T} + c \partial\bar{\partial}\Theta$ in a large class of perturbed conformal field theories which includes the Lee-Yang action (2.10) [16].

At this point all the conditions obtained equating (4.13) with $\langle n|T\bar{T}(0)|n \rangle$ for $n > 1$ should be automatically satisfied by the form factors (4.6) as determined so far. Let us check that this is indeed the case for $n = 2$. In order to deal with the singular configurations associated to (3.6) we impose the identity in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle A(\theta_2 + \epsilon) A(\theta_1 + \epsilon) | T\bar{T}(0) | A(\theta_1) A(\theta_2) \rangle = \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle A(\theta_2 + \epsilon) A(\theta_1 + \epsilon) | T(x)\bar{T}(0) - \Theta(x)\Theta(0) | A(\theta_1) A(\theta_2) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

As we did for $n = 1$, we now insert the resolution of the identity (4.14) in between the operators in the r.h.s., keep only the two-particle intermediate state as required by the x -independence of the result for all choices of the external rapidities, and use (4.17) to express everything in terms of the ordinary form factors with particles only on the right. Comparison of the terms factorizing the same delta functions on the two sides in the final result gives again the identities (4.19) and (4.20), plus the new condition

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} F_4^{T\bar{T}}(\theta_2 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \\ g(\theta_1 - \theta_2) - 2\langle \Theta \rangle \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} F_4^\Theta(\theta_2 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1, \theta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$g(\theta) = 2[F_2^\Theta(i\pi, 0)]^2 (\cosh 2\theta - 1) \quad (4.27)$$

comes from the terms of type

$$F_2^T(\theta_1 + i\pi, \theta_1) F_2^{\bar{T}}(\theta_2 + i\pi, \theta_2) - F_2^\Theta(\theta_1 + i\pi, \theta_1) F_2^\Theta(\theta_2 + i\pi, \theta_2) = [e^{2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} - 1] [F_2^\Theta(i\pi, 0)]^2 \quad (4.28)$$

in the r.h.s. of (4.25). Recalling now (4.6) and the coefficients that we determined, we see that (4.26) is satisfied provided

$$-\langle\Theta\rangle^2 \lim_{\epsilon\rightarrow 0} F_4^{\mathcal{K}3}(\theta_2 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1, \theta_2) = g(\theta_1 - \theta_2), \quad (4.29)$$

an identity that can indeed be checked using the results of appendix A. Hence we see that the value (4.11) of the coefficient b that we first determined imposing the factorization condition (4.9) is actually required also by (4.25). We take this as non-trivial evidence of the validity of the factorization (4.9).

It can be checked that this conclusion is unchanged if the limit in (4.25) is not taken symmetrically on the two rapidities. The symmetric limit gives the model independent finite result [26]

$$\lim_{\epsilon\rightarrow 0} F_4^\Theta(\theta_2 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1 + \epsilon + i\pi, \theta_1, \theta_2) = 2\pi m^2 \varphi(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cosh^2 \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}, \quad (4.30)$$

with

$$\varphi(\theta) = -i \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln S(\theta). \quad (4.31)$$

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have shown that the form factor bootstrap for massive integrable quantum field theories allows the determination of the matrix elements of the composite operator $T\bar{T}$ in the Lee-Yang model. The identification of the operator within the infinite dimensional linear space of solutions of the form factor equations has been achieved adding to the constraints on expectation values obtained in [16] some requirements about the asymptotic behavior at high energies. Importantly, these two ingredients turn out to possess a non-trivial overlap, in the sense that they independently prescribe the same value for the coefficient b in the expansion (4.6). Also, they are certainly both necessary since, while the information on expectation values does not constrain the coefficients of the derivative operators appearing in the expansion, asymptotic factorization says nothing about the components which do not contribute to the dominant part in the conformal limit. The only ambiguity left by the construction (the indetermination of the coefficient c) is that predicted by the short distance analysis.

The study of other operators and other models will tell us to which extent these ideas allow the characterization of the operator space in integrable quantum field theory.

In concluding this paper we find interesting to consider the case in which corrections to scaling are taken into account in the approach to criticality. For the case we discussed in this paper this means adding to the scaling action (2.10) the contribution of the irrelevant operators, $T\bar{T}$ among them. Since the scaling theory is integrable one can think of computing perturbatively the corrections to the S -matrix [26]. In particular, the first order correction coming from $T\bar{T}$ to the amplitude of a process with n particles in the initial state and m particles in the final state will be proportional to the form factor $F_{m+n}^{T\bar{T}}$ on a $(m+n)$ -particle state with vanishing total energy and momentum. It is not difficult to check on the $T\bar{T}$ form factors explicitly computed

in this paper (i.e. up to $m + n = 9$) that they vanish on states corresponding to inelastic processes, i.e. processes whose amplitudes vanish in the integrable unperturbed theory. If true for all $m + n$ this fact would imply that the operator $T\bar{T}$ does not contribute to the breaking of integrability to first order in perturbation theory. The next natural question would then be about the persistence of this phenomenon at higher orders.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the European Commission TMR programme HPRN-CT-2002-00325 (EUCLID) and by the COFIN “Teoria dei Campi, Meccanica Statistica e Sistemi Elettronici”.

A Appendix

We list in this appendix the functions $Q_n^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ which through (3.12) and (3.7) fix the 3-particle kernel solution $F_n^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ up to $n = 9$. They are³

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) &\equiv 64\sigma_3 K_3(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_3^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^3 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^4 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 + \sigma_2^3 \sigma_3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3^2 \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_4) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_4^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^4 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 + \\ &\quad 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_4 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4^2 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 - \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^3 \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_5) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_5^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^4 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 - \\ &\quad 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_5 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_5 - \\ &\quad \sigma_3 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_5 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 - \\ &\quad 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^3 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_6^{\mathcal{K}_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_6) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_6^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^4 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^4 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5^5 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 - \\ &\quad \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 - 4 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 + \\ &\quad \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 - \\ &\quad \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_6 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_6 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_6 - \\ &\quad 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_6 - \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_6 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_6^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 + \\ &\quad 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 + \sigma_1^5 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 - \\ &\quad 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^3 \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

³We simplify the notation by dropping the superscript (n) on the symmetric polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_7^{K_3}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_7) = & \frac{1}{\sigma_7^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^4 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^4 + \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^4 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^5 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5^4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - 5 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 + \\
& \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \\
& \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \\
& \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 + \sigma_1^5 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 - \\
& \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^4 \sigma_7 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_7^2 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2^4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 - \sigma_2^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 - \\
& 3 \sigma_1^4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 + \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^3 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 - \sigma_2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^4 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^4 \} \\
& \tag{A.5}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_8^{\mathcal{K}_3} = & \frac{1}{\sigma_8^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^4 + \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^4 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^4 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^4 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^5 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^5 - \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5^4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \\
& \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - 6 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \\
& \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^5 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \\
& 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \\
& 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \\
& \sigma_1 \sigma_2^4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + 2 \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - \sigma_2^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - \\
& 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - 2 \sigma_1^4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \\
& \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^4 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^4 \sigma_8 - \\
& \sigma_2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^4 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - \\
& 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^3 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \\
& \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + 6 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1^5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 + \\
& 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_7^4 \sigma_8^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 + \\
& \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \\
& 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - \\
& 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_8^4 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 + \\
& 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_8^5 \} \quad (\text{A.6})
\end{aligned}$$

$$Q_9^{\mathcal{K}_3} = \frac{1}{\sigma_9^2} \{ \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_8^5 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_8^5 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^5 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^5 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^5 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^5 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^5 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_8^6 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^4 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^4 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^4 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5^4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 - 7 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^5 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_2^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^2 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^3 \sigma_8^3 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_6^3 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_2^3 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 5 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^5 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1^4 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - 2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 - 3 \sigma_1 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_7^3 \sigma_8^3 + \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_8^4 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_8^4 - 2 \sigma_1^4 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_8^4 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_8^4 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^5 \sigma_2 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 + 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 + 3 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_4 \sigma_6 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1^4 \sigma_2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 - 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_7 \sigma_8^4 + \sigma_1^5 \sigma_8^5 - 2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_8^5 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7^2 \sigma_9^2 + 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8 \sigma_9^2 - 2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_4 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8 \sigma_9^2 -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_5\sigma_6^3\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_4\sigma_5^3\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - 3\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_5^2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_6^2\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_5\sigma_6^2\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3^2\sigma_4\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_5\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_5^2\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_6\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + \\
& 2\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_6\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + \\
& \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_7^3\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_5\sigma_7^3\sigma_8\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_4^4\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_3^3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1\sigma_2^3\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \\
& \sigma_1^4\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_3^2\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_4^3\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 3\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_4^3\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_3\sigma_4^3\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 3\sigma_1^3\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 5\sigma_1^2\sigma_4^2\sigma_5^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_2\sigma_4^2\sigma_5^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_4^2\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^5\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 5\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_6^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_6^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \\
& 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_5\sigma_6^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3^3\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1\sigma_4^2\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^4\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_2^3\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 4\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^2\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_4^2\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \\
& 3\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_4^2\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_3^2\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^4\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \\
& \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^5\sigma_2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1\sigma_2^3\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 4\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^4\sigma_2\sigma_7^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_3\sigma_7^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_7^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_7^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_5\sigma_7^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_3^3\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^4\sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + \\
& 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^3\sigma_4\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^5\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_5^3\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^2 + 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_3^2\sigma_4\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + \\
& 2\sigma_1^4\sigma_4^2\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + 3\sigma_1^4\sigma_3\sigma_5\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - 4\sigma_1^3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + \\
& \sigma_1^6\sigma_6\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^4\sigma_2\sigma_6\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_6\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_3\sigma_6\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_4\sigma_6\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \\
& 2\sigma_1^5\sigma_7\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_7\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_7\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_3\sigma_7\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8^3\sigma_9^2 - \\
& \sigma_1^4\sigma_8^4\sigma_9^2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_8^4\sigma_9^2 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_6\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_4\sigma_5^3\sigma_6\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_5^2\sigma_6^2\sigma_9^3 - \\
& \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_4^2\sigma_5^2\sigma_7\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_9^3 - \\
& \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_5^2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_7^2\sigma_9^3 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7^2\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - \\
& 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3^2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^2\sigma_5\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_4^2\sigma_5^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + \\
& 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_5^2\sigma_6\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_6^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + \\
& 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3^2\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + 3\sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_6\sigma_7^2\sigma_9^3 - \\
& 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_5^2\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - \\
& \sigma_1^4\sigma_2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_6\sigma_7\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 - \sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_7^2\sigma_8\sigma_9^3 + 3\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_3^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2^3\sigma_9^5 + \\
& \sigma_1^5\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 - \sigma_1\sigma_2^3\sigma_4\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 - 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_4^2\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 - \\
& 3\sigma_1^4\sigma_2\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_3\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 - 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_6\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^4\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + \\
& 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_7\sigma_8^2\sigma_9^3 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_5\sigma_9^4 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_4\sigma_5^2\sigma_9^4 - 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_5\sigma_6\sigma_9^4 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^3\sigma_3\sigma_7\sigma_9^4 - \\
& \sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_7\sigma_9^4 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_5\sigma_7\sigma_9^4 - 3\sigma_1^3\sigma_2^2\sigma_3\sigma_8\sigma_9^4 + 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_4\sigma_8\sigma_9^4 + 2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\sigma_5\sigma_8\sigma_9^4\}. \quad (\text{A.7})
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that all the terms contain some power of σ_1 or σ_{n-1} .

B Appendix

Let Φ be a scalar operator satisfying the asymptotic factorization equations

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} F_n^\Phi(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_k + \alpha, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{\langle \Phi \rangle} F_k^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) F_{n-k}^\Phi(\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) . \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The form factors of the derivatives $\partial^l \bar{\partial}^{\bar{l}} \Phi$ are given by

$$F_n^{\partial^l \bar{\partial}^{\bar{l}} \Phi}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = (-i)^l (i)^{\bar{l}} m^{l+\bar{l}} \frac{\left(\sigma_1^{(n)}\right)^l \left(\sigma_{n-1}^{(n)}\right)^{\bar{l}}}{\left(\sigma_n^{(n)}\right)^{\bar{l}}} F_n^\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) . \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The properties

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-k\alpha} \sigma_p^{(n)}(x_1 e^\alpha, \dots, x_k e^\alpha, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = \sigma_k^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \sigma_{p-k}^{(n-k)}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) , \quad k \leq p \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-p\alpha} \sigma_p^{(n)}(x_1 e^\alpha, \dots, x_k e^\alpha, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = \sigma_p^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) , \quad k \geq p \quad (\text{B.4})$$

of the symmetric polynomials imply in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-l\alpha} \left(\frac{\left(\sigma_1^{(n)}\right)^l \left(\sigma_{n-1}^{(n)}\right)^{\bar{l}}}{\left(\sigma_n^{(n)}\right)^{\bar{l}}} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, \dots, x_k e^\alpha, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = \\ = \left(\sigma_1^{(k)}\right)^l (x_1, \dots, x_k) \left(\frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}^{(n-k)}}{\sigma_{n-k}^{(n-k)}} \right)^{\bar{l}} (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The factorization

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-l\alpha} F_n^{\partial^l \bar{\partial}^{\bar{l}} \Phi}(\theta_1 + \alpha, \dots, \theta_k + \alpha, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{\langle \Phi \rangle} F_k^{\partial^l \Phi}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_l) F_{n-k}^{\bar{\partial}^{\bar{l}} \Phi}(\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

then follows. As we remarked in section 3 the primary operator of the Yang-Lee model possesses the property (B.1).

C Appendix

In this appendix we show how the form factors (4.6) satisfy the factorization equations (4.9) if and only if the coefficients a and b take the values (4.10) and (4.11). Let us denote the part contributing to the limit (4.9) as

$$D_n^{T\bar{T}} = a m^{-2} F_n^{\partial^2 \bar{\partial}^2 \Theta} + b m^4 F_n^{\mathcal{K}_3} . \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Due to (4.7) the value (4.10) for a is uniquely determined by (4.9) with $n = 2$. Taking the value (4.11) for b we can write

$$D_n^{T\bar{T}} = \langle \Theta \rangle F_n^\Theta R_n^{T\bar{T}} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

with

$$R_n^{T\bar{T}} = \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_1^{(n)} \sigma_{n-1}^{(n)}}{\sigma_n^{(n)}} \right)^2 - \langle \Theta \rangle \frac{Q_n^{\mathcal{K}_3}}{Q_n^\Theta} \right]. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

After defining

$$R_{n,k}^{T\bar{T}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} R_n^{T\bar{T}}(x_1 e^\alpha, \dots, x_k e^\alpha, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad (\text{C.4})$$

one can use (3.25) and the results of appendix A to check that⁴

$$R_{3,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3}{\sigma_3} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3) \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$R_{3,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^3}{\sigma_3^2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3) \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$R_{4,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3 \sigma_3}{\sigma_2 \sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3, x_4) \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$R_{4,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3, x_4) \quad (\text{C.8})$$

$$R_{4,3}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3^3}{\sigma_2 \sigma_4^2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4) \quad (\text{C.9})$$

$$R_{5,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3 \sigma_4}{\sigma_2 \sigma_5} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \quad (\text{C.10})$$

$$R_{5,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_4}{\sigma_3 \sigma_5} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3, x_4, x_5) \quad (\text{C.11})$$

$$R_{5,3}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3^2}{\sigma_2 \sigma_5} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4, x_5) \quad (\text{C.12})$$

$$R_{5,4}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_4^3}{\sigma_3 \sigma_5^2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5) \quad (\text{C.13})$$

$$R_{6,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3 \sigma_5}{\sigma_6 \sigma_2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$$R_{6,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_5}{\sigma_3 \sigma_6} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \quad (\text{C.15})$$

$$R_{6,3}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_5}{\sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_6} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4, x_5, x_6) \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$R_{6,4}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3 \sigma_6} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5, x_6) \quad (\text{C.17})$$

$$R_{6,5}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_5^3}{\sigma_6^2 \sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6) \quad (\text{C.18})$$

⁴We drop the superscript (n) on the symmetric polynomial entering the expressions for $R_{n,k}^{T\bar{T}}$ below.

$$R_{7,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3 \sigma_6}{\sigma_7 \sigma_2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) \quad (\text{C.19})$$

$$R_{7,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_6}{\sigma_7 \sigma_3} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) \quad (\text{C.20})$$

$$R_{7,3}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_6}{\sigma_7 \sigma_2 \sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) \quad (\text{C.21})$$

$$R_{7,4}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_6}{\sigma_7 \sigma_3 \sigma_5} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5, x_6, x_7) \quad (\text{C.22})$$

$$R_{7,5}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_5^2}{\sigma_7 \sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6, x_7) \quad (\text{C.23})$$

$$R_{7,6}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_6^3}{\sigma_5 \sigma_7^2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6 e^\alpha, x_7) . \quad (\text{C.24})$$

$$R_{8,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3 \sigma_7}{\sigma_8 \sigma_2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) \quad (\text{C.25})$$

$$R_{8,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_7}{\sigma_8 \sigma_3} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) \quad (\text{C.26})$$

$$R_{8,3}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_7}{\sigma_8 \sigma_2 \sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) \quad (\text{C.27})$$

$$R_{8,4}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_7}{\sigma_8 \sigma_3 \sigma_5} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) \quad (\text{C.28})$$

$$R_{8,5}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_7}{\sigma_8 \sigma_4 \sigma_6} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6, x_7, x_8) \quad (\text{C.29})$$

$$R_{8,6}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_6^2}{\sigma_5 \sigma_8} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6 e^\alpha, x_7, x_8) \quad (\text{C.30})$$

$$R_{8,7}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_7^3}{\sigma_6 \sigma_8^2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6 e^\alpha, x_7 e^\alpha, x_8) \quad (\text{C.31})$$

$$R_{9,1}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^3 \sigma_8}{\sigma_9 \sigma_2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.32})$$

$$R_{9,2}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_8}{\sigma_9 \sigma_3} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.33})$$

$$R_{9,3}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_8}{\sigma_9 \sigma_2 \sigma_4} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.34})$$

$$R_{9,4}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_4^2 \sigma_8}{\sigma_9 \sigma_3 \sigma_5} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.35})$$

$$R_{9,5}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_5^2 \sigma_8}{\sigma_9 \sigma_4 \sigma_6} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.36})$$

$$R_{9,6}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_6^2 \sigma_8}{\sigma_5 \sigma_7 \sigma_9} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6 e^\alpha, x_7, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.37})$$

$$R_{9,7}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_7^2}{\sigma_6 \sigma_9} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6 e^\alpha, x_7 e^\alpha, x_8, x_9) \quad (\text{C.38})$$

$$R_{9,8}^{T\bar{T}} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_8^3}{\sigma_7 \sigma_2^2} \right) (x_1 e^\alpha, x_2 e^\alpha, x_3 e^\alpha, x_4 e^\alpha, x_5 e^\alpha, x_6 e^\alpha, x_7 e^\alpha, x_8 e^\alpha, x_9) . \quad (\text{C.39})$$

The use of (B.3) and (B.4) now shows that all the above expressions factorize as

$$R_{n,k}^{T\bar{T}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{\sigma_1^{(k)} \sigma_k^{(k)}}{\sigma_{k-1}^{(k)}} \right) (x_1, \dots, x_k) \left(\frac{\sigma_{n-k-1}^{(n-k)}}{\sigma_1^{(n-k)} \sigma_{n-k}^{(n-k)}} \right) (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) . \quad (\text{C.40})$$

Recalling (3.28), (3.35) and (3.36), this result leads to the factorizations (4.9). It is quite clear that (4.11) is the only value of b ensuring this conclusion. Notice that (4.9) holds also for $k = 0$ and $k = n$ as a consequence of (3.2) and (3.37).

References

- [1] R.J. Eden, P.V. Landshoff, D.I. Olive and J.C. Polkinghorne, The analytic S-matrix, Cambridge University Press, 1966.
- [2] A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al.B. Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. 120 (1979) 253.
- [3] M. Karowski, P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B 139 (1978) 455.
- [4] F.A. Smirnov, Form Factors in Completely Integrable Models of Quantum Field Theory, World Scientific, 1992.
- [5] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 19 (1989) 641; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 3 (1988) 743.
- [6] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984) 333.
- [7] J.L. Cardy and G. Mussardo, Nucl. Phys. B 340 (1990) 387.
- [8] A. Koubek, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 703.

- [9] F. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B 453 (1995) 807.
- [10] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Takeyama, Counting minimal form factors of the restricted sine-Gordon model, math-ph/0303059.
- [11] B. Berg, M. Karowski, P. Weisz, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979) 2477.
- [12] G. Delfino and G. Mussardo, Nucl. Phys. B 455 (1995) 724.
- [13] G. Delfino and P. Simonetti, Phys. Lett. B 383 (1996) 450.
- [14] G. Delfino, J. Phys. A 37 (2004) R45.
- [15] G. Delfino, P. Simonetti and J.L. Cardy, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 327.
- [16] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Expectation value of composite field $T\bar{T}$ in two-dimensional quantum field theory, hep-th/0401146.
- [17] J.L. Cardy and G. Mussardo, Phys. Lett. B 225 (1989) 275.
- [18] C.N. Yang and T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. 87 (1952) 404.
- [19] T.D. Lee and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 87 (1952) 410.
- [20] M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 1610.
- [21] J.L. Cardy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 1354.
- [22] F.A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 156.
- [23] Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 348 (1991) 619.
- [24] Al.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 342 (1990) 695.
- [25] V. Fateev, D. Fradkin, S. Lukyanov, A. Zamolodchikov, Al. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 540 (1999) 587.
- [26] G. Delfino, G. Mussardo and P. Simonetti, Nucl. Phys. B 473 (1996) 469.