Mariam Bouhmadi-Lopez, Roy Maartens,^y and David Wands^z

Institute of Cosm ology & G ravitation, University of Portsm outh, Portsm outh PO1 2EG, UK

(D ated: M arch 27, 2022)

We calculate the amplitude of gravitational waves produced by in ation on a de Sitter brane embedded in ve-dimensionalanti-de Sitter bulk spacetime, extending previous calculations in R and all-Sundrum type cosmology to include the elect of induced gravity corrections on the brane. These corrections arise via a term in the brane action that is proportional to the brane R icci scalar. We nd that, as in the R and all-Sundrum case, there is a mass gap between the discrete zero-m ode and a continuum of massive bulk modes, which are too heavy to be excited during in ation. We give the norm alization of the zero-m ode as a function of the H ubble rate on the brane and are thus able to calculate the high energy correction to the spectrum of gravitational wave (tensor) modes excited on large scales during in ation from initial vacuum uctuations on small scales. We also calculate the amplitude of density (scalar) perturbations expected due to in aton uctuations on the brane, and show that the usual four-dimensional consistency relation for the tensor/scalar ratio remains valid for brane in ation with induced gravity corrections.

I. IN TRODUCTION

String theory and M theory have motivated interest in brane-world models that describe extra-dimensional gravity. In these models, the observable four-dimensional (4D) universe is a brane hypersurface embedded in a higher-dimensional bulk space. A simple but rich phenom enology for cosmology is provided by the R andall-Sundrum (RS) models with a single brane in a vedimensional (5D) anticle Sitter (AdS₅) spacetime. (For recent reviews, see Ref. [1].) Although the flth dimension is in nite, the zero-mode of the 5D graviton, corresponding to 4D gravitational waves, is localized at low energies on the brane due to the warped geometry of the bulk. This allows one to recover general relativity in the low-energy limit.

Q uantum corrections to the RS m odel are expected to arise from the induced coupling of brane m atter to bulk gravitons. This is the so-called induced gravity (IG) effect, that leads to the appearance of terms proportional to the 4D R icci scalar in the brane action [2, 3, 4]. In the D vali, G abadadze and Porrati (DGP) m odel [3] the induced gravity brane-world was put forward as an alternative to the RS one-brane m odel, in which general relativity was recovered, also despite an in nite extra dim ension, but without warping in 5D M inkowski spacetim e (the brane had no tension). In contrast to the RS case with high-energy m odi cations to general relativity, the DGP brane-world produced a low-energy m odi cation, leading to late-tim e acceleration even in the absence of dark energy [5].

Here we will take a dierent view point: we are mainly interested in the IG e ect as a correction to the RS braneworld at high energies, rather than as a low-energy alternative (see also Refs. [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]). In this case the IG e ect will operate at high energies, above the brane tension, which is the threshold energy for RS m odi cations to general relativity. As a consequence there is no latetim e IG m odi cation to general relativity, but rather an early-tim e m odi cation to the RS m odel. High-energy in ation will be subject to the IG e ect, and we seek to determ ine in particular the consequent changes to the gravitational waves generated during in ation. If the IG correction becom es large so that it is dom inant, then there is no high-energy RS regim e in the early universe, and we recover the original DGP m odel.

During slow-roll in ation, zero-point quantum uctuations of light elds (whose e ective mass is less than the Hubble scale) are swept up to super-Hubble scales and acquire a nearly scale-invariant spectrum . In the RS brane-world, the zero-m ode of the 5D graviton is a massless spin-2 eld on the brane that represents 4D gravitational waves. But there are also massive modes on the brane that arise via the projection onto the brane of the 5D graviton. These massive modes could qualitatively alter the spectrum of gravitational waves generated during in ation. It turns out that there is a mass gap between the zero-m ode and the the massive m odes [11], so that the massive modes are too heavy to be excited and the spectrum is qualitatively the same as in general relativity. However, the amplitude of the zero-mode is boosted at high energies, com pared with the standard 4D case [12].

We show that signi cant changes to the RS case are introduced by the IG term at high energies: the IG correction acts to limit the growth of am plitude, relative to the 4D case, as the energy scale of in ation grows. Then we investigate the scalar perturbations produced in in ation (assuming there is no contribution from 5D gravitons), and show that the scalar am plitude has the same equalitative behaviour as the tensor am plitude. We also show that the standard tensor-to-scalar consistency relation continues to hold in the presence of the IG cor-

E lectronic address: m ariam bouhm adi@ port.ac.uk

^yE lectronic address: roym aartens@ port.ac.uk

^zE lectronic address: david.wands@port.ac.uk

rection.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS

W e assume that the 5D bulk contains only negative vacuum energy, and the gravitational eld obeys E instein gravity. The 4D brane has a positive brane tension, and an induced gravity term localized on it. Then the gravitational action is

$$S = \frac{1}{2 \int_{5Z}^{2}} d^{5}x^{p} - \frac{1}{(5)g} (5)R + 2 \int_{5Z}^{1} d^{4}x^{p} - \frac{1}{g} + \frac{1}{2 \int_{4Z}^{2}R} + L_{m atter}; (1)$$

where is a dimensionless constant controlling the strength of the IG correction, with = 0 giving the RS model. The induced metric on the brane is $g_{ab} = {}^{(5)}g_{ab}$ $n_a n_b$, where n^a is the unit normal, and (0) is the brane tension, while $_5$ (0) is the bulk cosm obgical constant. The fundamental energy scale of gravity is the 5D scale M $_5$, where ${}^2_5 = 8 = M {}^3_5$. The 4D P lanck scale M $_4$ 10^{19} GeV is an electrice scale, xed by the electrice gravitational coupling constant ${}^2_4 = 8$ G = $8 = M {}^2_4$ on the brane at low energies (typically M $_4$ M $_5$). Note that for the low – and high-energy limits to yield a positive electrice gravitational coupling constant, we require 0 < 1.

The 5D eld equations following from the bulk action are unchanged from the RS case:

$$^{(5)}G_{ab} = 5^{(5)}g_{ab}$$
: (2)

The IG correction term enters via the junction conditions at the brane. A ssum ing m irror (Z $_2$) sym m etry about the brane, these are [7]

K Kg =
$$\frac{\frac{2}{5}}{2}$$
 T g $\frac{-2}{4}$ G ; (3)

where K is the extrinsic curvature and T is the energy-m om entum tensor of matter on the brane, which obeys the conservation equations [7]

$$r T = 0$$
: (4)

The length scale ' and energy scale associated with the bulk curvature are given by

$$_5 = \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}} = 6^2$$
: (5)

If we in pose the RS ne-tuning for the brane tension, $\frac{2}{5} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{6}$, this sets the brane cosm ological constant to zero, and then we have [7, 10]

$${}^{2}_{4}$$
 ${}^{2}_{5}$ (1): (6)

The general form of the elective eld equations projected on the brane is given in Ref. [7]. W e will be interested in 4D tensorm etric perturbations about a de Sitter brane (m odelling extrem e slow-roll ination) in an AdS₅ bulk. Such a brane is a solution of the junction equations (3) with a constant energy density on the brane, > 0, and hence a constant Hubble rate on the brane, H. The background space-time metric, i.e., an AdS₅ bulk sliced into 4D slices with de Sitter geometry, m ay be written in the G aussian norm al form

$$ds^2 = n^2 (y)$$
 $dt^2 + exp(2H t)dx^2 + dy^2$: (7)

The warp factor in the bulk is

$$n(y) = \frac{H}{m} \sinh [(y + jy)]:$$
 (8)

The brane is xed at y = 0 and we choose n(0) = 1 on the brane, so that y is given by

$$y = \frac{1}{H} \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{1}{H}$$
: (9)

The Hubble parameter H is given by the modied Friedman equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{\frac{2}{4}}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{6}{2} + \frac{6}{4} + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{2}{5} +$$

where we have in posed Eq. (6). In the expressions (7) { (10), = 1 corresponds to the choice of sign for the square-root in Eq. (10). We will refer to = +1 as the positive branch and = 1 as the negative branch. In the following we take the energy density, , to be constant, corresponding to the in aton energy density in the extrem e slow rolllim it. We recover the R and all-Sundrum case [12] in the lim it ! 0, but only on the negative branch.

On the positive branch, the bulk coordinate y can take any real value and the warp factor n (y) reaches its m inimum value at the brane. On the other hand, in the negative branch, the bulk coordinate is bounded such that jyj y, where jyj = y corresponds to the boation of the C auchy horizon, where n (y) = 0. In this case, the warp factor has its m axim um at the brane. We recover the original RS m odel, with a M inkow skibrane, as H ! 0 and y ! 1 for ! 0, on the negative branch. The original DGP m odel with $H_+ > 0$ is obtained in the low energy limit on the positive branch as + ! 0.

For later convenience, we introduce the conform albulk coordinate, with dz = dy=n(y),

$$z(y) = -sgn(y) \sinh y \ln \coth \frac{h}{2}(y + \frac{i}{2}y): (11)$$

For the positive branch $0 < \dot{z}_j$, where z_{b+} corresponds to the location of the brane, $z_{b+} = \dot{z}(0)j$. When \dot{z}_j ! 0, the bulk coordinate y diverges. For the negative branch, the conform albulk coordinate is unbounded,

 \dot{z}_{j} z, where z_{b} gives the location of the brane. In this case, the C auchy horizons are located at \dot{z}_{j} ! +1. Finally, the warp factor becomes

$$n(z) = \frac{H}{\sinh H jzj}$$
 (12)

III. BULK METRIC PERTURBATIONS

W e now consider tensorm etric perturbations (from the view point of a 4D observer), ${}^{(5)}g_{ab}$! ${}^{(5)}g_{ab}$ + ${}^{(5)}g_{ab}$. The perturbed m etric is [12]

$$ds^{2} = n^{2} (y) \qquad dt^{2} + e^{2H t} (_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^{i} dx^{j} + dy^{2};$$
(13)

where h_{ij} is transverse and traceless. The wave equation in the bulk for the perturbations is

$$^{(5)}G^{a}{}_{b} = 0;$$
 (14)

the same as in the RS case. This means that the bulk mode solutions for metric perturbations will be the same as in the RS case [12], but the IG junction conditions will introduce changes to the normalization and amplitudes of the modes, as discussed below.

W e decompose the general metric perturbation into Fourier modes

$$h_{ij}(t;x;y) = E(t;y)e_{ij}(x);$$
 (15)

where e_{ij} is a transverse traceless harm onic on the spatially at 3-space, i.e., $\tilde{r}^2 e_{ij} = k^2 e_{ij}$. Then the equation of motion for E is [12]

$$E + 3H E + k^2 e^{2H} E n^2 E^{00} + 4\frac{n^0}{n}E^0 = 0;$$
 (16)

where a dot denotes a derivative with respect to t and a prim e a derivative with respect to y. The perturbation E may be decomposed into Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes

$$E (t; y) = dm _{m} (t) E_{m} (y);$$
 (17)

and the wave equation (16) then separates to give

$$_{m} + 3H - _{m} + k^{2}e^{2H t} + m^{2} _{m} = 0;$$
 (18)

$$(n^4 E_m^0)^0 + m^2 n^2 E_m = 0$$
: (19)

A lthough the massive modes of the tensor perturbations satisfy the same bulk wave equation as in the RS case [12], the junction condition at the brane is very different. The tensor part of Eq. (3) im plies

$$K = \frac{\frac{2}{5}}{2 \frac{2}{4}} G = \frac{1}{2 (1)} G ; \quad (20)$$

where we have neglected any tensor contributions of the anisotropic stress exerted by matter on the brane, and the second equality follows from Eq. (6). In the RS case (! 0), we recover the Neumann boundary condition. On the brane, the non-vanishing components of the E instein tensor are given by [12, 13]

$$G_{j}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} h_{j}^{i} + 3H h_{j}^{i} e^{2H} r^{2} h_{j}^{i} ; \qquad (21)$$

and consequently, the boundary condition for E_m is

$$E_m^0(0) = \frac{m^2}{2(1)}E_m(0):$$
 (22)

It is important to note that this boundary condition depends on the mass of the modes, m², due to the IG term. Only the zero-mode, m = 0, has the same boundary condition as in the RS case. As a result of this new feature, the scalar product of the eigenmode functional space has to include suitable boundary term s. This is qualitatively the same as the case of G auss-Bonnet modi cations to the RS model [14, 15].

In what follows we show that the eigenmodes resulting from Eqs. (19) and (22) are orthonormalwith respect to the following scalar product:

$$(E_{m}; E_{m}) = 2 dy n^{2} E_{m} E_{m} + (1) E_{m} (0) E_{m} (0)$$

$$= (m; m);$$
(23)

where $U_+ = 1$; $U_- = y$, and (m; m;) denotes a K ronecker symbol for the discrete modes and a D irac distribution for the continuous ones. For ! 0 the scalar product reduces to the one used in the RS case.

IV. KALUZA-KLEIN MODES

W e consider a single de Sitter brane em bedded in A dS space so that we only im pose one boundary condition, Eq. (22). O ne could also consider the presence of a second brane [16, 17], with a corresponding second boundary condition, which would yield a discrete spectrum of bulk m odes.

O ur discussion of the spectrum of bulk modes will follow closely that of Ref. [15]. Dening m $n^{3=2}E_m$, we rewrite the wave equation (19) in a way that incorporates the junction condition (22):

$$D_{(+)} q(z) D_{()} m(z) = m^2 w(z) m(z);$$
 (24)

where

$$D_{()} = \frac{d}{dz} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dz}$$
; (25)

and

$$q = [(z, z)] [z_b];$$
 (26)

$$w = q(z) + \frac{1}{(1 - z)} (z + z) :$$
 (27)

Here is the heaviside function, and the subscripts in D are not to be confused with the branch parameter $\ .$

From Eqs. (12), (26) and (27) it follows that for $\dot{z}j \in z_b$, we have q = w = 1. Thus for $\dot{z}j \in z_b$, Eq. (24) reduces to the Schrödinger-type equation,

$$D_{(+)}D_{(-)m} = \frac{d^2_{m}}{dz^2} + \frac{15}{4}\frac{H^2}{\sinh^2 H z} + \frac{9}{4}H^2_{m}$$
$$= m^2_{m}; \qquad (28)$$

exactly as in the RS case (! 0) [11, 12].

The boundary condition, Eq. (22), for m at the brane is

$$D_{()}m(g) = m^2 \frac{1}{2(1)}m(z_b)$$
: (29)

(Note that in the negative branch, $y \ ! \ 0^+$ implies $z \ ! \ z_b^+$, while for the positive branch, $y \ ! \ 0^+$ implies $z \ !$

 \vec{z}_{b+} .) Equation (29) m ay also be obtained by m atching the distributional parts of Eq. (24). It m ay be checked that the eigenm odes resulting from Eqs. (28) and (29) are orthonorm alw ith respect to the scalar product Eq. (23), which results from

Ζ

$$(m; m) = dz w m m;$$
 (30)

when Z_2 -symmetry is imposed and the distributional term in Eq. (27) is taken into account.

W e now investigate the spectrum of modes resulting from Eqs. (28) and (29). There is the same zero-mode solution as in the RS case [12]

$$_{0}(z) = C n^{3=2}(z);$$
 (31)

where $E_0 = C$ is a constant. This zero-m ode solution obeys the boundary condition (29) for both the positive and negative branches in Eq. (10).

The zero m ode in the negative branch is norm alizable as in the RS case. U sing Eqs. (30) and (31), the condition $(_0;_0) = 1$ on the negative branch gives the norm alization constant C = C (H =) as a function of the Hubble rate relative to the AdS scale, , where

$$C^{2}(x) = \frac{1}{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} p \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} x^{2} \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{1}{x}$$
 (32)

This reduces to the RS result [12] when = 0.

However, the zero-m ode is not norm alizable in the positive branch (for a single brane), since $_0$ diverges as z ! 0. We will henceforth restrict the analysis to the negative branch, and drop the negative subscript, i.e., H H; z_0 z_0 .

As in the RS case [11, 12], the potential in the Schrodinger equation (28) approaches $\frac{9}{4}$ H², as jzj! 1, which gives the threshold between light modes, with m² < $\frac{9}{4}$ H², and heavy modes, with m² > $\frac{9}{4}$ H². For heavy modes, the two linearly independent solutions of

Eq. (28) oscillate with constant amplitude as jcj! 1. The boundary condition Eq. (29) gives $_{\rm m}$ (z) as a particular combination of these two solutions, for every m. Thus we have a continuous spectrum of normalizable modes with m² > $\frac{9}{4}$ H².

For light modes, the general solution of the Schrodinger equation (28) contains an asymptotically growing exponential and a decaying exponential as $\frac{1}{2}$; 1. Thus we would expect only a discrete spectrum of normalizable modes corresponding to particular values of $m^2 = \frac{9}{4}H^2$ for which the junction condition (29) selects only the asymptotic decaying mode. This is exactly what happens to give the normalizable zero-mode (31) for the negative branch in Eq. (10).

In order to see whether the junction conditions allow for norm alizable light modes other than the zero-mode, we introduce new mode functions, following Ref. [15],

$$_{m}(z) = D_{()} m(z)$$
: (33)

These are the partners of the modes $_{\rm m}$ in supersymmetric quantum mechanics [18], and have the same spectrum except for the zero-mode, $_0$, which vanishes identically, by Eqs. (25) and (31). Acting on Eq. (28) with the operator D $_{(~)}$, we obtain the wave equation for $_{\rm m}$,

$$D_{()}D_{(+)}m = \frac{d^{2}m}{dz^{2}} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{H^{2}}{\sinh^{2}Hz} + \frac{9}{4}H^{2}m$$
$$= m^{2}m: \qquad (34)$$

The junction condition for m follows from Eqs.(28) and (29),

$$\frac{d_{m}}{dz}(z_{b}) = \frac{3^{p}}{2} \frac{1}{H^{2} + 2^{2}} + \frac{2(1)}{m} m(z_{b}); \quad (35)$$

for € 0, while Eqs. (29) and (33) show that $_{m}(z_{b}) = 0$ for = 0. The important simpli cation here is that the boundary condition no longer involves the mass of the modes, and reduces to D irichlet-type for = 0. M ultiplying Eq. (34) by $_{m}$ and integrating by parts, we nd that

$$m^{2} \frac{9}{4}H^{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{z_{b}} dz \frac{2}{m} = \frac{3}{4}H^{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{z_{b}} dz \frac{2}{m} \frac{2}{m} dz \frac{2}{m} + \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m} dz \frac{2}{m} \frac{1}{m} dz \frac{2}{m} dz \frac{2}{$$

A ny norm alizable light mode, m, with m² < $\frac{9}{4}$ H², must decrease exponentially as jzj! 1, and so must its partner, m. The corresponding upper boundary term at in nity in Eq. (36) therefore vanishes. The low er boundary term on the brane, according to Eq. (35), is positive, remem bering that we have restricted our analysis to 0 < 1. In this case, the right-hand side of Eq. \$6) is positive, while the left-hand side is negative, except for m = 0, i.e., for m = 0. We can therefore conclude that for 0 < 1, there are no norm alizable light modes in the mass gap. The spectrum of KK modes in the negative branch of Eq. (10) is the same as the RS case, i.e., it consists of the massless bound-state zero-mode, and a continuum of states with $m > \frac{3}{2}H$.

As in the RS case (! 0) [1, 12] and as in the case of G auss-B onnet gravity in the bulk [15], we have only one discrete light m ode with m² = 0 and a then m ass-gap before the continuum of heavy m odes. On the other hand, we have show n that there is no zero-m ode for the positive branch, = +1, and it remains to be seen whether or not there are light m odes with m² < $\frac{9}{4}$ H² in this case.

V. QUANTUM FLUCTUATIONS ON THE BRANE

Having identi ed the normalizable bulk modes for a single de Sitter brane in an anti-de Sitter bulk, we will now estimate the spectrum of graviton uctuations generated in de Sitter in ation on the brane for the negative branch in Eq. (10). We treat each normalizable mode as a quantum eld in four dimensions, as in the RS case [12, 19]. Taking these 4D elds to be in an initial vacuum state on small scales is consistent with taking an incoming AdS vacuum state in the ve-dimensional view point [20].

M assive modes with m² > $\frac{9}{4}$ H² remain underdamped even on large scales, and uctuations are strongly suppressed on super-horizon scales. They remain in their vacuum state [12, 19].

However initial vacuum uctuations in the zero-mode become over-damped as they are stretched beyond the F_{γ}^2 Hubble scale. The zero-mode thus acquires a spectrum of classical perturbations on super-horizon scales. For $m^2 = 0$, the elective action has the standard form of 4D general relativity, except for the overall factor $\frac{2}{5}$ instead of $\frac{2}{4}$ [15, 17], which rescales the amplitude of quantum uctuations accordingly [12, 15]. The amplitude of the zero-mode metric uctuations on the brane, $_0$ (z_b) = C (H =), where C (H =) is given in Eq. (32), then introduces a further rescaling relative to the 4D result, which is dependent on the Hubble scale relative to the AdS scale. Following the notation of Ref. [21], the amplitude of gravitational waves produced on super-horizon scales on the brane is thus given by

$$A_{T}^{2} = \frac{2 \frac{2}{4}}{25} \frac{H}{2} F^{2} (H =); \qquad (37)$$

where the correction to standard 4D general relativity is given by

$$F^{2}(H =) = -\frac{5}{2}C^{2}(H =)$$
: (38)

From Eq. (32), we have

$$F^{2}(x) = + (1)^{p} \frac{1}{1 + x^{2}} x^{2} \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{1}{x}$$
: (39)

This correction depends on the IG coupling (through the parameter) and on the energy scale at which in ation occurs, relative to the 5D curvature scale . It reduces to the result of Ref. [12] for the RS case (! 0).

When x H = ! 0, we have F ! 1 and we recover the standard 4D result [21]. The amplitude of the normalized zero-mode on the brane gives the ratio between the elective 4D New ton constant at low energies, $\frac{2}{4}$, and the 5D constant, $\frac{2}{5}$.

For 0 < < 1 we nd that tensor uctuations are enhanced relative to the 4D result (F > 1) at high energies. However, unlike the RS case, the amplitude of the tensor zero-m ode relative to the 4D general relativity result is bounded, 1 F² < 1= . For H and 0 < < 1, we have

$$F^{2}(H =) = \frac{1}{2};$$
 (40)

while the RS case (= 0) yields [12]

$$F_0^2 (H =) \frac{3}{2} \frac{H}{2}$$
: (41)

The qualitative behaviour is illustrated in Fig.1 for = 0:1.



FIG.1: The dimensionless amplitude F 2 of the tensor zeromode relative to the 4D general relativity result, plotted against the dimensionless energy scale of in ation, H = , for = 0:1.

VI. THE TENSOR TO SCALAR RATIO

The contribution of tensor perturbations to cosm ic m icrow ave background (CMB) anisotropies is an important quantity for constraining in ationary models [22]. In the RS case, both tensor and scalar perturbations on the brane are enhanced relative to a 4D in ation model at a xed energy scale, but the tensors are enhanced less and thus the relative tensor contribution is suppressed in comparison with the 4D case [23]. In this section we com pute the scalar perturbation amplitude $A_{\rm S}$ including the e ect of the IG term ..

In standard, slow -roll in ationary models driven by a single in aton eld there is a consistency relation between the contribution to the CMB from scalar (density) perturbations and tensor modes (gravitational waves). (For a review, see, e.g., Ref. [21]). To lowest order in the slow -roll approximation, the ratio of the tensor to scalar perturbations is given by

$$\frac{A_{\rm T}^2}{A_{\rm S}^2} = \frac{1}{2}n_{\rm T}; \qquad (42)$$

where $n_T = d \ln A_T^2 = d \ln k$ represents the tilt of the tensorial spectrum and k is comoving wavenum ber.

An identical relation holds in 4D scalar-tensor and other generalized E instein theories [24], and also in the RS scenario [25, 26], and in a 5D brane-world model where the radion eld is stabilized [27]. Formally, the degeneracy in the brane-world models arises because the function that parametrizes the corrections to the gravitational wave am plitude satis es a particular rst-order di erential equation [25, 28].

G iven the potential importance of the consistency relation as a way of reducing the number of independent in ationary parameters, and of testing the in ationary scenario, it is important to investigate whether the above degeneracy is lifted when IG e ects are included in the bulk action as a correction to the RS m odel.

A. Scalar perturbations from IG brane in ation

W e will follow the approach used in Ref. [23] to calculate am plitude of scalar (density) perturbations due to slow-roll in ation on the brane in RS gravity. This has recently been applied to study the am plitude of scalar perturbations produced during in ation on the brane for G auss-B onnet [15] and induced gravity corrections [8, 9].

To estim ate the prim ordial density perturbation produced by in aton eld uctuations on the brane, to low – est order in a slow-roll approximation, it is su cient to use the amplitude of quantum uctuations of an electively massless scalar eld in an unperturbed de Sitter spacetime. This gives the classic result h ²i = $(H = 2)^2$ form odes exiting the Hubble scale, with com oving wavenumber k = aH, and entering the overdam ped long-wavelength regime. The eld uctuation then determ ines the spatial curvature perturbation on uniform – energy density hypersurfaces, = H =. Here and in similar expressions in this section, equality is to be understood as equality at the lowest order in the slow-roll approximation.

The curvature perturbation, , then remains constant for adiabatic perturbations on large scales. This holds as a direct consequence of the local conservation of energymomentum on the brane [29, 30] and, in particular, is independent of gravitational eld equations. Hence we can calculate at Hubble-exit during in ation and relate it directly to the prim ordial density perturbation on large scales, as for instance observed in the CMB anisotropies, so long as the perturbations are strictly adiabatic. This is necessarily the case for slow-roll, single eld in ation on the brane so long as there are no additional light scalar degrees of freedom such as might arise from bulk metric perturbations in a brane-world scenario. This in turn is true in the extrem e slow-roll lim it in single brane R and all-Sundrum models and we expect it to remain true when induced gravity corrections are included. There is no scalar zero-mode and we have seen for the tensor perturbations that all the norm alizable massive modes have $m^2 > \frac{9}{4}H^2$ and remain suppressed during in ation.

Consequently, the amplitude of a given mode that reenters the Hubble radius long after in ation is given by [21]

$$A_{\rm S}^2 = \frac{4}{25}h^2 i = \frac{H^4}{25^2 \prime_{-}^2}$$
: (43)

During slow-roll in ation the scalar eld equation of motion, $'_{-} = V^0(')=3H$, in plies that the amplitude of scalar perturbations can be written as

$$A_{\rm S}^2 = \frac{9}{25^2} \frac{{\rm H}^6}{{\rm V}^{02}} :$$
 (44)

Using Eqs. (10) and (6), we write this as

$$A_{\rm S}^2 = \frac{{}_{4}^{6} {\rm V}^3}{75 \, {}^{2} {\rm V}^{\, 02}} \, {\rm G}^2 \, ({\rm H} =); \qquad (45)$$

where the correction to the standard 4D result is given by

$$G^{2}(x) = \frac{x^{6}}{x^{2} 2(1) 1 p_{1} + x^{2}} (46)$$

The behaviour of $G^2(x)$ is illustrated in Fig.2. At low energies, H = ! 0, we have $G^2(H =) ! 1$ and we recover the standard 4D result. At higher energies we begin to see an enhancement as in the RS case, but the enhancement of perturbations is bounded when we include the IG correction, just as we saw in the case of tensor perturbations. For H and 0 < < 1 we obtain

$$G^{2}(H =) - \frac{1}{3}:$$
 (47)

E quations (40) and (47) show that the scalars arem ore strongly enhanced at high energies than the tensors, so that the tensor/scalar ratio is suppressed at high energies relative to the standard 4D result.

B. Consistency relation

To see whether the consistency relation (42) is broken by induced gravity, we need to calculate the scaledependence of the tensor spectrum,

$$n_{\rm T} = \frac{d\ln A_{\rm T}^2}{d\ln k} :$$
 (48)



FIG.2: The dimensionless amplitude G^2 of density perturbations relative to the 4D general relativity result, plotted against the dimensionless energy scale of in ation, H =, for = 0.1.

W e thus di erentiate Eq. (37) with respect to com oving wavenumber k (') = a (')H ('). In the extrem e slow-roll lim it, variations in the Hubble parameter H are negligible relative to changes in the scale factor and hence the spectral index can be written as

$$n_{\rm T} = \frac{d\ln ({\rm H} {\rm F})^2}{d\ln {\rm H}} \frac{d\ln {\rm H}}{d\ln {\rm a}} : \qquad (49)$$

It is convenient to rew rite the scalar am plitude (44) in the form

$$A_{\rm S}^2 = \frac{3}{25} H^3 \frac{dH}{dV} \frac{d\ln H}{d\ln a}^{-1}$$
; (50)

where we have used the scalar eld slow-roll equation 3H $'_{-}=$ V⁰, rew ritten in the unusual form [15]

$$V^{(2)} = 3H^3 \frac{dV}{dH} \frac{d\ln H}{d\ln a} :$$
 (51)

Then Eqs. (37), (49) and (50) can be combined to give

$$\frac{A_{T}^{2}}{A_{S}^{2}} = \frac{Q}{2}n_{T};$$
 (52)

where

$$Q = \frac{\frac{2}{4}}{3H} \frac{dV}{dH} F^{2} \frac{d\ln(HF)^{2}}{d\ln H} : (53)$$

For the consistency relation (42) to hold, we require Q = 1. This amounts to a rst-order di erential relation between H (V) and F (H =):

$$\frac{d}{dV} (3H^2) = {}_{4}^{2}F^2 1 + \frac{d\ln F}{d\ln H}^{-1} : (54)$$

It is easy to see that this is satisfied at low energies, where F $\,$! 1 and 3H 2 ! $_4^2 V$, and we recover the standard

4D case. It is far from obvious that this continues to hold at higher energies. In fact one can show that the IG brane-world correction to the tensor power spectrum, Eq. (39), satis es a rst-order di erential equation:

$$\frac{d}{d\ln x} \ln (xF)^2 = 2F^2 + \frac{1}{P(1+x^2)} : (55)$$

C om bining the modi ed Friedmann equation (10) and Eq. (55), it can then be shown that Eq. (53) in plies Q = 1. Consequently, the brane gravity model with IG correction (in the negative branch) does indeed satisfy the consistency relation (42) for any value of H = . This extends the result originally found in Ref. [25, 26] for the RS where = 0, to any IG correction term with 0 < 1.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

B rane-world in ation models allows one to explore some of the cosm ological implications of ideas arising from string and M theory. The extra-dimensional nature of gravity introduces new features that need to be computed and then subjected to the constraints from high-precision cosm ological data. We have determined the corrections to the standard results for tensor perturbations that are generated during slow-roll in ation at energies where brane e ects become dom inant. This has previously been done for the Randall-Sundrum braneworld. We have introduced an induced gravity term, as a correction to the gravitational action. This correction leads to signi cant qualitative changes.

The 5D wave equation and its fundam ental solutions are not changed by the IG term . The spectrum contains a norm alizable zero-m ode and a continuous tow erofm assive modes after a mass gap, $m > \frac{3}{2}H$, as in the RS case. The massive modes are not excited during in ation, as in the RS case. However, the IG term changes the boundary conditions at the brane, and therefore changes the norm alization of the zero-mode, as shown by Eq. (32). This has the consequence of enhancing the amplitude of tensor perturbations (gravitational waves) produced by de Sitter in ation on the brane, Eq.(37), relative to the standard 4D result. Unlike the RS case, the relative enhancem ent at high energies is bounded in the presence of a IG correction term . However, as in the RS case, we nd that the standard consistency relation between the tensor-scalar ratio and the tilt of the tensor spectrum rem ains unchanged.

A cknow ledgem ents:

W e thank Lefteris Papantonopoulos for helpful discussions. M B L is funded by the Spanish M inistry of E ducation, Culture and Sport (M ECD), and partly supported by D G ICYT under Research Project BM F2002 03758.

- [1] D. Langbis, arX ivastro-ph/0301022; P. Brax and C. van de Bruck, Class. Quantum Grav. 20, R201 (2003) [arX iv hep-th/0303095]; R. Maartens, Liv. Rev. Rel. 7 (2004) [arX iv gr-qc/0312059]; P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, and A-C. Davis, arX iv hep-th/0404011.
- [2] H. Collins and B. Holdom, Phys. Rev. D 62, 105009 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0003173].
- [3] G.R.Dvali, G.Gabadadze, and M.Porrati, Phys.Lett. B485, 208 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0005016].
- [4] Y. Shtanov, arX iv hep-th/0005193; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, JHEP 0007, 049 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/0006232]; N. J. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B 504, 323 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0101091]; G. Ko nas, JHEP 0108, 034 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0108013].
- [5] C. De ayet, Phys. Lett. B502, 199 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0010186]; C. De ayet, G. R. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. D 65, 044023 (2002) [arX iv astro-ph/0105068]; C. De ayet, S. J. Landau, J. Raux, M. Zaklarriaga and P. Astier, Phys. Rev. D 66, 024019 (2002) [arX iv astro-ph/0201164]; V. Sahni and Y. Shtanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 11, 1515 (2000) [arX iv gr-qc/0205111]; U. A lam and V. Sahni, arX iv astro-ph/0209443; R. G. V ishwakarm a and P. Singh, C lass. Q uantum G rav. 20, 2033 (2003) [arX iv astro-ph/0211285].
- [6] E.K iritsis, N. Tetradis and T.N. Tom aras, JHEP 0203, 019 (2002) [arX iv hep-th/0202037].
- [7] K. Maeda, S. Mizuno, and T. Torii, Phys. Rev. D 68, 024033 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0303039].
- [8] E. Papantonopoulos and V. Zamarias, arXiv:gr-qc/0403090.
- [9] H.s.Zhang and R.G.Cai, arX iv hep-th/0403234.
- [10] M. Bouhm adi-Lopez and D. W ands, in preparation.
- [11] J.G arriga and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 62, 043523 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/9912118].
- [12] D. Langlois, R. M aartens, and D. W ands, Phys. Lett. B 489, 259 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/0006007].
- [13] H.A.Bridgman, K.A.Malik and D.W ands, Phys. Rev. D 65, 043502 (2002) [arX iv:astro-ph/0107245].
- [14] C. Charmousis and J-F. Dufaux, arX iv hep-th/0311267.
- [15] J. F. Dufaux, J. E. Lidsey, R. M aartens and M. Sam i, Phys. Rev. D, to appear, arX iv hep-th/0404161.
- [16] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett, and T. G. Rizzo,

JHEP 03, 034 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0305086]; S. L. Dubovsky and M. V. Libanov, JHEP 03, 038 (2003) [arX iv hep-th/0309131]; Y. Shtanov and A. Viznyuk, arX iv hep-th/0312261; M. N. Smolyakov, arX iv hep-th/0403034.

- [17] A. Padilla, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 2899 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402079].
- [18] F.Cooper, A.K hare, and U.Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. 251, 267 (1995) [arX iv hep-th/9405029].
- [19] A.Frolov and L.K ofm an, arX is hep-th/0209133.
- [20] D. S. Gorbunov, V. A. Rubakov, and S. M. Sibiryakov, J. High Energy Phys. 10, 015 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0108017]; T. Kobayashi, H. Kudoh, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 68, 044025 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0305006].
- [21] J.E.Lidsey, A.R.Liddle, E.W.Kolb, E.J.Copeland, T.Barreiro, and M.Abney, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 373 (1997).
- [22] S. M. Leach and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 68, 123508 (2003) [arXivastro-ph/0306305]; S. Tsujikawa and A. R. Liddle, JCAP 03, 001 (2004) [arXivastro-ph/0312162]; S. Tsujikawa, M. Sami, and R. M aartens, arXivastro-ph/0406078.
- [23] R. Maartens, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett, and I. P. C. Heard, Phys. Rev. D62, 041301 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9912464].
- [24] S. Tsujikawa and B. Gumjidpai, arX iv astro-ph/0402185.
- [25] G.Huey and J.E.Lidsey, Phys.Lett.B514, 217 (2001) [arX iv astro-ph/0104006].
- [26] G.Huey and J.E.Lidsey, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043514 (2002) [arX iv astro-ph/0205236].
- [27] G. F. Giudice, E. W. Kolb, J. Lesgourgues, and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 66, 083512 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0207145].
- [28] D. Seery and A. N. Taylor, arX iv astro-ph/0309152; G. Calcagni, JCAP 11, 009 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0310304].
- [29] D. Wands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth, and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 62, 043527 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/0003278].
- [30] D. H. Lyth and D. W ands, Phys. Rev. D 68, 103515 (2003) [arX iv astro-ph/0306498].