Quantized Normal Matrices: Some Exact Results and Collective Field Formulation

Joshua Feinberg

D epartm ent of Physics,
O ranim -U niversity of Haifa, Tivon 36006, Israel
and
D epartm ent of Physics,
Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000 Israel

A bstract

We formulate and study a class of U(N)-invariant quantum mechanical models of large norm al matrices with arbitrary rotation-invariant matrix potentials. We concentrate on the U (N) singlet sector of these models. In the particular case of quadratic m atrix potential, the singlet sector can be mapped by a similarity transformation onto the two-dim ensional Calogero-Marchioro-Sutherland model at specic couplings. For this quadratic case we were able to solve the N body Schrodinger equation and obtain in nite sets of singlet eigenstates of the matrix model with given total angular momentum. Our main object in this paper is to study the singlet sector in the collective eld form alism, in the large-N lim it. We obtain in this fram ework the ground state eigenvalue distribution and ground state energy for an arbitrary potential, and outline brie y the way to compute bona-de quantum phase transitions in this class of models. As explicit examples, we analyze the models with quadratic and quartic potentials. In the quartic case, we also touch upon the disk-annulus quantum phase transition. In order to make our presentation self-contained, we also discuss, in a manner which is som ew hat com plem entary to standard expositions, the theory of point canonical transform ations in quantum mechanics for systems whose conguration space is endowed with non-euclidean m etric, which is the basis for constructing the collective eld theory.

1 Introduction

Random normal matrix models were used recently in studying Laplacian growth processes, and in particular, the ngering instability of the boundary of various two-dimensional uids [1], the theory of the —function for analytic curves [2] and two-dimensional string theory [3].

An earlier important use of normal matrix models, closely related to the works mentioned above, was in quantum Hall physics [4], where it was shown that the partition function of the normal matrix model coincided with the zero-temperature partition function of two-dimensional electrons in strong (uniform, as well as varying) magnetic elds, and its identication as a complexied form of the Toda lattice—function was established. (The electron quantum Hall liquid was one of the systems studied in [1].)

The structure of correlation functions in the normal matrix models was studied in detail in [5, 6] and later in [7].

Normalmatrices were also mentioned recently in [8], as a specic kind of complex random matrices which evaded a certain generic geometrical constraint on the shape of the two-dimensional eigenvalue distribution of a large class of circularly symmetric probability ensembles of complex random matrices, known as the \Single Ring Theorem [9].

All the studies mentioned above employed time independent normal matrices. An interesting quantum mechanical model of truly dynamical normal matrices, with quadratic matrix potential, was introduced in [10], in their study of the physics of two-dimensional long-range Bose liquids. It was pointed out in [10] that the U (N) singlet sector of their normal matrix model was equivalent to a certain two-dimensional generalization of the Calogero-Sutherland model [11, 12], which contained long-range three-body interactions, in addition to the repulsive two-body interactions familiar from the one-dimensional model, at specic values of the couplings of those interactions. (For more details concerning this equivalence see section 22.) Under this equivalence, the N complex eigenvalues are mapped onto the positions of the interact-

ing particles of the Calogero-Sutherland model in the plane, in a manner analogous to the relation between the Dyson matrix ensembles and the one-dimensional Calogero-Sutherland model [12]. (See also section 3 of [13].)

It should be noted that a three-dimensional version of the Calogero model, very similar to the one studied in [10], was formulated and partly solved long ago in [14]. Thus, we shall refer to these higher-dimensional versions of the Calogero model (with the Sutherland modication of the quadratic piece of the potential) more appropriately as Calogero-Marchioro-Sutherland (CMS) models [15, 16]. We should also mention the construction of multidimensional Calogero models and their relation to matrix models in [17], as well as the generalized multidimensional Calogero models discussed recently in [18].

In this paper we shall generalize the quantum mechanical normal matrix model of [10] into a large class of models with U (N) – and rotation-invariant potentials. We will then analyze the singlet sector of these models in the large-N limit, in the framework of quantum collective eld theory [19, 20]. We will focus on studying the ground state of our generic quantum mechanical model. Thus, given an arbitrary U (N) – and rotation-invariant potential, we will determ in the ground state eigenvalue distribution and ground state energy explicitly, in the large-N limit. In a separate publication [21], we will construct and analyze the elective hamiltonian of small uctuations around the ground state con guration.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we discuss the quantum mechanics of the normal matrix model, concentrating on the singlet sector. In particular, we solve the N body Schrodinger equation with quadratic matrix potential and present in nite sets of exact singlet eigenstates with given angular momentum. We also discuss in this section the mapping of the singlet sector of the normal matrix model onto the two-dimensional CMS model. In Section 3 we will construct the collective eld quantum hamiltonian corresponding to the singlet sector hamiltonian H $_{\rm S}$ in (2.15). The standard construction of collective eld hamiltonians, as presented in [19, 20], is formulated for systems dened in a at conguration space, endowed with

cartesian coordinates. Such coordinates are not explicitly available for our system of normal matrices, as will be explained in that section. In general, the transformation to the collective ham iltonian is achieved by a quantum mechanical point canonical transformation from the original dynamical variables to the collective coordinates. Thus, we begin section 3 with a general brief discussion of quantum mechanical point canonical transformations in a conguration space endowed with a non-euclidean metric. We believe our presentation and results in this part of section 3 supplement those presented in chapter 6 of [20]. We then use these results to construct the collective eld theory of our quantum mechanical model of normal matrices. We show that the Heisenberg equations of motion (as well as the classical Hamilton equations of motion) of this model can be interpreted as the equations of motion of an eulerian uid, similarly to an analogous interpretation of the equations of motion of the collective eld theory of herm itean matrices [25], or its equivalent formulation as fermionic eld theory [26].

Finally, in section 4 we not the ground state eigenvalue distribution and ground state energy of this collective ham iltonian (and thus, those of the original model) for an arbitrary matrix potential (2.14). We also outline brie y the way to compute bona—de quantum phase transitions in this class of models. As explicit examples, we analyze the models with quadratic and quartic potentials. In the quartic case, we also touch upon the disk-annulus quantum phase transition.

2 The Quantum Mechanical Normal Matrix Model

Let us rst recall some basic facts about normal matrices. Consider the N $\,$ N $\,$ complex normal matrix M $\,$. Thus,

$$[M, M] = 0;$$
 (2.1)

which means M and M $^{\rm y}$ are diagonalized by the same unitary matrix U . Consequently, any normal matrix M can be decomposed as

$$M = U^{y}ZU (2.2)$$

w here

$$Z = \operatorname{diag} (z_1; \dots; z_N)$$
 (2.3)

are the complex eigenvalues. Note that U in (2.2) is not unique, since there is freedom to multiply it on the left by a diagonal unitary matrix of N arbitrary phases. Thus, the count of real independent degrees of freedom in M is the 2N real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues, plus the N² real independent parameters of U, less the N arbitrary phases, adding up to N (N + 1) real independent parameters.

The geometry of the manifold of normal matrices is dened by its embedding in the larger space of complex matrices, with the embedding excited by the constraint (2.1). Thus, its line element is inherited from the euclidean line element $ds^2 = TrdM^{-y}dM$ of the latter, by substituting (2.2) for M. Therefore, writing

$$dM = U^{Y}(dZ + [dR; Z])U;$$
 (2.4)

w here

$$dR = U dU^{Y}; dR^{Y} = dR (2.5)$$

is the right-invariant form (i.e., invariant under U ! UV with a xed unitary V), we obtain the norm alm atrix line element as

$$ds^{2} = \sum_{i}^{X} j dz_{i} j^{2} + 2 \sum_{i < j}^{X} dR_{ij} dR_{ij} jz_{i} z_{j} j^{2}; \qquad (2.6)$$

Note that this line element is independent of the diagonal elements dR_{ii} (which are essentially the dierentials of the N arbitrary phases mentioned above). It depends only on the dierentials of the real and imaginary parts of the z_i , and on the dierentials of the real and imaginary parts of the elements in the lower-triangular part of dR, N (N + 1) in all. Note also that there are no metric elements in (2.6) which mix dz's and dR's: motions tangential to eigenvalues and to the unitary group are orthogonal.

The laplacian associated with the metric (2.6) is thus the sum of two terms

$$r^{2} = r_{s}^{2} + r_{U(N)}^{2}; (2.7)$$

where r_s^2 arises from pure eigenvalue variations and $r_{U(N)}^2$ arises from pure U(N) rotations. In particular, $r_{U(N)}^2$ annihilates U(N) singlets. Thus, $r_s^2 = r_s^2$ in the U(N) singlet sector. Clearly, r_s^2 and $r_{U(N)}^2$ are analogous, respectively, to the radial and to the $L^2=r^2$ parts of the laplacian in atom ic physics.

The explicit expressions for r_s^2 and $r_{U(N)}^2$ can be computed from (2.6) in a straightforward manner. The angular part of the laplacian is

$$r_{U(N)}^{2} = 2 \sum_{i < j}^{X} \frac{\frac{\theta}{\theta R_{ij}} \frac{\theta}{\theta R_{ij}}}{\dot{y}_{i} z_{j}^{2}}; \qquad (2.8)$$

and the singlet part is

$$r_{s}^{2} = \frac{1}{j j^{2}} x^{3} \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}} j^{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{i}} + \frac{\theta}{\theta y_{i}} j^{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta y_{i}} ; \qquad (2.9)$$

w here

$$= \int_{i>j}^{Y} (z_{i} z_{j})$$
 (2.10)

is the Vanderm onde determ inant of eigenvalues, and $z_i = x_i + iy_i$. In terms of the complex derivatives

$$\theta_{\underline{i}} = \frac{\theta}{\theta z_{\underline{i}}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta x_{\underline{i}}} - \underline{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta V_{\underline{i}}} \right); \qquad \theta_{\underline{i}} = \frac{\theta}{\theta z_{\underline{i}}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta x_{\underline{i}}} + \underline{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta V_{\underline{i}}} \right)$$
(2.11)

we can write r $_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$ m ore elegantly as

$$r_s^2 = 2^X \frac{1}{e} e_i e_i + \frac{1}{e} e_i e_i$$
 (2.12)

In this paper we study U (N) -and rotation-invariant norm alm atrix models dened by quantum ham iltonians of the general form

$$H = \frac{1}{2}r^2 + TrV (M^{9}M): \qquad (2.13)$$

Here V is a generic polynom ial potential, whose couplings scale properly with N, in order to ensure a well-behaved large-N lim it. We will see later-on that the required N-dependence is

$$V (M ^{Y}M) = {X \over N^{p-1}} {g_{p} (M ^{Y}M)^{p} \over N^{p-1}};$$
 (2.14)

(with N -independent g_p).

A coording to general principles, the ground state of (2.13) must be a U (N) singlet. As was stated earlier, we will not this ground state explicitly in the large-N limit. Thus, in this paper we shall focus exclusively on the U (N)-singlet sector of (2.13), in which this ham iltonian is reduced to

$$H_{s} = \frac{1}{2}r_{s}^{2} + \sum_{i}^{X} V(\dot{p}_{i}\dot{p}_{i}); \qquad (2.15)$$

which de nest he dynamics of the N complex eigenvalues z_i . It acts on singlet wave functions $_s(z_1;z_1;\ldots;z_N;z_N)$ which are completely symmetric under eigenvalue permutations.

Note from (2.12) that H_s is inviariant under the interchange z_i ; θ_i \$ z_i ; θ_i , i.e., under rejection with respect to the x-axis. This means that if s is an eigenstate of H_s with eigenvalue E, so is s,

$$H_{s,s} = E_{s,s}$$
 () $H_{s,s} = E_{s,s}$ (2.16)

Thus, if $_{\rm s}$ is complex (and not just a real wave function multiplied by a phase), its corresponding energy will be at least doubly degenerate.

It is clear from the metric (2.6) that H $_{\rm S}$ is symmetric h $_{\rm S1}$ H $_{\rm S}$ $_{\rm S2}$ i = hH $_{\rm S}$ $_{\rm S1}$ j $_{\rm S2}$ i with respect to the measure

d
$$(fz_i; z_ig) = j j^2 \int_{k=1}^{W} d^2z_k$$
 (2.17)

and the inner product

$$h_{s1}j_{s2}i = d_{s1}s_{s2}$$
: (2.18)

As is evident from (2.12) (or (2.9)), all interparticle interactions are lumped into the kinetic term of H $_{\rm s}$, through kind of a complex gauge eld

$$A_{i} (fz_{k}g) = \frac{\theta_{i}}{z_{i}} = \frac{X_{0}}{z_{i}} \frac{1}{z_{j}}$$
 (2.19)

(where the prime indicates that the sum is over all $j \in i$), and its complex conjugate eld $A_i = A_i$ (fz_kg), which multiply the rst order derivatives θ_i and θ_i , respectively,

$$r_s^2 = 2^X_{i} (2\theta_i \theta_i + A_i \theta_i + A_i \theta_i)$$
: (2.20)

2.1 Exact Eigenstates of the M atrix M odel W ith Quadratic Potential

Let us consider now (2.15) with quadratic potential

TrV (M
y
M) = $\frac{1}{2}$ m 2 TrM y M = $\frac{1}{2}$ m 2 $\dot{y}_{1}\dot{\dot{y}}_{2};$ $m^{2} > 0$: (2.21)

Remarkably, in nite (albeit incomplete) sets of eigenstates of this singlet ham iltonian H_s can be found analytically, in a similar manner to [14].

2.1.1 Radial Eigenstates

It is possible to compute explicitly the ground state $_0$ of this matrix model, and also an in nite set of radial excitations above it. To this end, de ne the radial combination

which is symmetric under permutation of eigenvalues, and look for eigenstates of H $_{\rm S}$ of the form = F (%). Using the identity

$$x^{N}$$
 $z_{i} @_{i} log = \frac{N (N 1)}{2}$ (2.23)

In contrast with (2.21), the quadratic potential term in [14] was / $^{P}_{i < j}$ \dot{y}_{i} z_{j} .

and a sim ilar identity for , we can write the Schrodinger equation H_sF (%) = EF (%) with potential (2.21) as

$$2\% \frac{d^2F}{d\%^2} + N (N + 1) \frac{dF}{d\%} + E \frac{1}{2} m^2 \% F = 0$$
: (2.24)

The eigenfunction solutions of (224) and their corresponding eigenvalues are readily found as

$$_{n}$$
 (%) = $L_{n}^{(\frac{N-(N+1)}{2}-1)}$ (m %) $e^{\frac{1}{2}m}$; $E_{n} = \frac{N-(N+1)}{2} + 2n-m$; (2.25)

(n = 0; 1; :::), where

$$L_{n}^{(\frac{N-(N+1)}{2}-1)}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(1)^{k}}{k!} \frac{\frac{N-(N+1)}{2} + n - 1!}{(n-k)! \frac{N-(N+1)}{2} + k - 1!} u^{k}$$
 (2.26)

is a Laguerre polynom ial.

In particular, the nodeless gaussian eigenfunction $_0=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{m}\,^8}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{P}}}\,_{_1}\,_{_2}^{\mathrm{p}}\,_{_1}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is the ground state [15], with energy

$$E_0 = \frac{N(N+1)}{2} m : \qquad (2.27)$$

The radial states of higher energy are evenly spaced, with constant gap 2m.

From (2.17) and (2.25), we obtain that the joint probability density of the N eigenvalues in the ground state is proportional to

$$j \quad {}_{0}\hat{J} = \sum_{i>j}^{Y} (z_{i} \quad z_{j})^{2} e^{m} {}_{i} \dot{z}_{i} \dot{J} :$$
 (2.28)

Starting from the two-dimensional CMS model (at couplings tuned to the point corresponding to the normal matrix model), it was shown in [15] (prior to [10]) that the ground state wave function of the CMS model was simply

$${}_{0}^{\text{CM S}} = {}_{i > j}^{\text{Y}} (z_{i} \quad z_{j}) \quad {}_{0};$$
 (2.29)

which thus led to a joint probability density for the positions of the N particles, which was also proportional to (228). It was further pointed out in [15] that (228) was

also proportional to the joint probability density of the N eigenvalues in G inibre's ensemble [22] of gaussian random complex matrices, which also happens to coincide with the joint probability density of the gaussian (time independent) normal matrix model. This is, of course, in complete analogy with the one-dimensional case, in which the joint probability density for the positions of the N particles in the ground state of the C alogero-Sutherland model at specic values of the repulsive interaction, coincides with that of the appropriate D yson random matrix ensemble [12].

It was noted in $[16]^2$ that the eigenstates (2.25) could be also obtained using group theoretical considerations. As it turns out, the norm alm atrix model with quadratic potential (2.21) has dynamical symmetry (2.21)

$$[h;D] = ih; [h;K] = 2iD; and [K;D] = iK; (2.30)$$

generated by

$$h = \frac{1}{2}r_s^2 = \frac{X}{1} = \frac{1}{2}e_i = e_i + \frac{1}{2}e_i = e_i$$

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \% = \frac{1}{2} X^{N} \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{i=1}$$

$$D = \frac{i X}{4} (fz_i; \theta_i g + fz_i; \theta_i g + N \quad 1) : \qquad (2.31)$$

The operator h is just the kinetic part of H_s , K is the conformal generator, and D generates dilatations. Let us de ne the raising and lowering operators B as

$$B = \frac{1}{2} (h \quad K \quad 2iD) : \qquad (2.32)$$

These operators, together with the full ham iltonian H $_{\rm S}=$ h+K generate the SU (1;1) algebra

$$[H_s;B] = 2B ; [B;B^+] = H_s : (2.33)$$

 $^{^2}$ T his was done in section 2 of [16], in the context of the two-dimensional CMS model, and not directly in the normal matrix model. The adaptation of the discussion in [16] to the case of our normal matrix model is what follows.

 $^{^{3}}$ In the following group theoretical discussion we will set m = 1 in (2.21) for convenience (but will reinstate it back following (2.34)).

Then, the states (2.25) are obtained by applying B $^+$ repeatedly to the ground state $_0$, namely,

$$_{n} = (B^{+})^{n} _{0};$$
 (2.34)

from which it is easy to check that indeed $E_n = E_0 + 2m n$:

2.1.2 Eigenstates with Nonvanishing Angular Momentum

The eigenstates (2.25) are rotation invariant and thus do not carry angular momentum. Here we derive an in nite set of eigenstates which do carry angular momentum. The derivation of these states is similar to the derivation of the radial states.

We shall look here for eigenstates of the form F (%) $g(z_1; ::: z_N)$, with $g(z_1; ::: z_N)$ a totally symmetric holomorphic function. Using the identity (2.23) (and the corresponding one for e^{-1}), we can write the Schrödinger equation as

$$2 \frac{d^{2}F}{d^{2}} + N (N + 1) \frac{dF}{d^{2}} + E \frac{1}{2} m^{2} F F g + 2 \frac{dF}{d^{2}} \frac{X}{i} (z_{i}\theta_{i}g) + F \frac{X}{i} \theta_{i}g \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta_{i}} = 0:$$
(2.35)

From the identity $_{i}^{P}$ $_{i}$ $_{i}$ and furtherm ore, if we choose it to be hom ogeneous of som e degree p such that $_{i}^{P}$ $_{i}$ $(z_{i}\theta_{i}g)$ = pg, then (2.35) will imply that

$$2\% \frac{d^2F}{d\%^2} + (N (N + 1) + 2p) \frac{dF}{d\%} + E \frac{1}{2}m^2\% F = 0; \qquad (2.36)$$

which coincides with (2.24) up to shifting N (N + 1) by 2p. The two restrictions on g uniquely x it (up to a multiplicative constant) as $g(z_1; ::: z_N) = \binom{p}{i} z_i)^p$. Thus, by comparing (2.36) and (2.24) we see that

$$p^{(p)} = (z_1 + \dots + z_N)^p L_n^{(\frac{N(N+1)}{2} + p - 1)} (m \%) e^{\frac{1}{2}m \%}$$
 (2.37)

is an eigenfunction of H $_{\mbox{\scriptsize s}}\mbox{,}$ with corresponding eigenvalue

$$E_n^{(p)} = \frac{N(N+1)}{2} + p + 2n m$$
 (2.38)

(n = 0;1;:::). The radial eigenstates (2.25) are just the states (2.37) with p = 0.0 f course, $\binom{(p)}{n}$ is also an eigenstate, linearly independent of $\binom{(p)}{n}$ (for p $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet}$ 0), and with the same eigenvalue $E_n^{(p)}$, in accordance with (2.16).

By applying the angular momentum operator

$$L_z = {x \atop i} (z_i e_i \quad z_i e_i)$$
 (2.39)

to $n^{(p)}$ we see that it carries angular momentum p. Thus, p is a nonegative integer. Similarly, $n^{(p)}$ carries angular momentum p. It is reasonable to expect that analogous states should exist also in the two-dimensional CMS model at arbitrary couplings.

The generalized multi-dimensional Calogero model discussed recently in [18] allows for N distinguishable particles in arbitrary number of dimensions. Part of the spectrum of that model was studied using group theoretical methods. In two dimensions, and for the special case of identical particles, the model in [18] can be mapped by a similarity transformation onto our singlet sector hamiltonian (2.15) with quadratic potential (2.21). (See the discussion following (2.46).) Our eigenstates (2.37) coincide with a certain subset of the eigenstates obtained in [18] upon tuning the couplings of the latter model to coincide with ours.

By comparing (2.38) and (2.25), we see that the pair of rst excited states among the states we have constructed is the one corresponding to n=0 and p=1, with energy dierence

$$E_0^{(1)}$$
 $E_0^{(0)} = m$ (2.40)

above the ground state, which thus sets an upper bound on the gap of excitations in the spectrum of ${\rm H}_{\rm S}$. This upper bound on the gap, obtained from exact singlet eigenstates, is half the gap computed recently in [23] in the large-N limit, using collective eld analysis, for the equivalent CMS model at the normal matrix point in coupling space.

2.1.3 The Quantum Normal Matrix Model and Physics of Electrons in the Lowest Landau Level

The joint probability density (2.28) for N eigenvalues in the ground state $_0$ coincides, upon identication of $\frac{1}{2m}$ as the magnetic length, with that of N noninteracting elec-

trons which occupy the lowest Landau Level (LLL) (at m in imal angular m omentum). Indeed, $_{0}$ can be identied with the $_{0}$ = 1 Laughlin wave function.

However, this relation between the ground state of H $_{\rm S}$ with quadratic potential and many-body wave functions in the LLL, does not persist to the excited states $_{\rm n}$ (n $_{\rm n}$ 1) and $_{\rm n}^{\rm (p)}$ (p $_{\rm n}$ 1) in (2.25) and (2.37), for a very simple reason. Our quantum mechanical normal matrix model is invariant under rejection with respect to the x-axis, which leads to the degeneracy (2.16). In contrast, in the quantum Hall problem, N-electron states in the LLL (in the symmetric gauge) are in the form of an antisymmetric holomorphic function $f_{\rm A}$ (z_1 ;:::; $z_{\rm N}$) (multiplied by the gaussian e $^{\frac{1}{2}m}$ %). Any dependence in $f_{\rm A}$ on nonhomolomphic variables $z_{\rm i}$ means that the corresponding state belongs to higher Landau levels4. Thus, for example, antiholomorphic wave functions will correspond to higher energies than their holomorphic counterparts, unlike (2.16). As a particular example, consider the pairs of degenerate eigenstate $^{(p)}_{\rm O}$; $^{(p)}_{\rm O}$ of H $_{\rm S}$. The wave function $^{(p)}_{\rm O}$ is of course a linear combination of LLL states5, but $^{(p)}_{\rm O}$ is of higher energy in the Landau problem.

In very intense magnetic elds, which in our normal matrix model corresponds to large m², the gap from the LLL to the next Landau level becomes very large, and low energy physics can be described entirely in terms of antisymmetric holomorphic wave functions. This means that the number of degrees of freedom is cut in half: to each electron corresponds the combination $z_i = x_i + iy_i$ of position operators, and not x_i and y_i separately. However, in our inverstigation of the singlet sector of the normal matrix model in this paper we do not have any special interest in taking the limit m! 1 so as to make the gap to the excited states in nite. Thus, we will have to take into account all singlet states, holomorphic as well as nonholomorphic.

In fact, it m ight be the case that a version of the quantum normal matrix model

 $^{^4}$ As is well-known, this happens because the Landau ham iltonian in the symmetric gauge is the sum of a rotation invariant piece and a piece proportional to BL_z = B $_i$ ($z_i e_i z_i e_i$), which breaks the symmetry under interchanging z_i ; $e_i \ z_i e_i$.

 $^{^5\}text{T}$ he p = 1 case is special, since $^{(1)}$ can be written as a single determ inant (rather than a linear combination of determ inants) of holom orphic monom ials z^n , multiplied by gaussian factors, of the form $^{\sim}$ 0, where $^{\sim}$ diers from the Vandermonde determinant by having its last row entries z^N_i instead z^N_i 1 .

considered in this paper, in which a random piece is added to the potential V (jzf), could teach us something relevant for understanding the levitation (or oating) problem of the extended states away from the center of the Landau band in quantum Hall physics, at weak magnetic elds.

In order to relate our quantum normal matrix model and the time-independent normal matrix models [1, 4], however, the large m² limit is important, precisely because of the large energy gap, proportional to m, separating the ground state $_0$ and the excited states of H $_{\rm S}$. Thus, at large m², the low-energy physics of H $_{\rm S}$ (with V ($\dot{\mathbf{z}}\dot{\mathbf{z}}\dot{\mathbf{z}}$) is captured entirely by the ground state. As was mentioned earlier, according to [4], the joint probability density of N noninteracting electrons, which occupy the LLL in a strong (and possibly nonuniform) magnetic eld, coincides with the joint probability density of the eigenvalues of the zero-dimensional normal matrix model, which is given by

$$P(z_1; :::; z_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{i > j}^{Y} (z_i z_j)^2 e^{-P_i W(jz_i j^2)}; \qquad (2.41)$$

where W $(\dot{z}\dot{f})$ is the magnetostatic potential, namely, B (z;z) / 00 W $(\dot{z}\dot{f})$. This result was obtained by observing that the N -electron wave function, with all spins parallel and with minimal angular momentum $(\frac{N(N-1)}{2})$, was simply

$$_{LLL} = \bigvee_{i > j}^{Y} (z_i \quad z_j) e^{\frac{1}{2} P_{iW}(jz_ij^2)}; \qquad (2.42)$$

i.e., a generalized form of the = 1 Laughlin wave function. For a gaussian $W = \frac{1}{2}m^2 \dot{z}\dot{z}^2$, which corresponds to a uniform magnetic eld, $_{\rm LLL}$ is just the function $_{\rm 0}$, as we saw above.

Note, however, that for a polynomial W (\dot{z}) of higher degree, $\frac{LLL}{LL} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}P}_{i}$ W (\dot{z}_{i}) is not an eigenstate of H $_{s}$ (2.15) with a boal potential V (\dot{z}). Thus, in nonuniform magnetic elds, we cannot relate $_{LLL}$ to the ground state of H $_{s}$ with any reasonable potential.

As the nal comment in this section, note that the many-body wave function is somewhat reminescent of the single particle wave function in the nth Landau

level and with angular momentum p, which is given (in the symmetric gauge) by $z^p L_n^{(p)}$ (m%)e $\frac{1}{2}$ m% (where in the latter expression% = $jz \hat{j}$).

2.2 Relation to the Two-Dimensional Calogero-Marchioro-Sutherland Model

The ham iltonian of the CM S model is [14, 15]

$$H_{CMS} = \frac{1}{2} {x \choose i} r_i^2 + g_{i < j}^X \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} + G_{i < j < k}^X \frac{x}{r_{ij}^2 r_{ik}^2} + \frac{m^2}{2} {x \choose i} r_i^2$$
(2.43)

where $r_{ij} = r_i$ r_j . Thus, H_{CMS} contains a three-body long-range interaction, in addition to the repulsive two-body long-range interaction familiar from the one-dimensional Calogero-Sutherland model.

In order to see the equivalence between our normal matrix model and the two-dimensional CMS model (at the special point in coupling space) we have to remove the \gauge elds" A_i and A_i (2.19) from (2.20). These elds can be gauged away by a nonunitary gauge transformation, or more precisely, by a (singular) similarity transformation. Indeed, it is a straightforward calculation to show that

$$r_{s}^{2} = \frac{1}{jj} \quad 4^{X} \quad e_{i} e_{i} \quad X^{X} \quad e_{i} \quad e_{i}^{2} \quad jj$$
: (2.44)

Thus,

$$H_{s} = \frac{1}{jj} \frac{1}{2} x_{i}^{x} + x_{i}^{2} + x_{j}^{x} (jz_{i}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} x_{i}^{x} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta_{i}} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta_{i}}$$
 j; (2.45)

where we used $4\theta_i \theta_i = \frac{\theta^2}{\theta x_i^2} + \frac{\theta^2}{\theta y_i^2} = r_i^2$. The ham iltonian obtained from H $_s$ after the sim ilarity transform ation,

$$H_{s} = j \mathcal{H}_{s} \frac{1}{j j} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{X}_{i} r_{i}^{2} + \mathcal{X}_{i} V (\dot{z}_{i}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{X}_{i} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta_{i}}; \qquad (2.46)$$

has the standard kinetic term . Thus, we have rem oved the gauge elds in (2.20) at the price of introducing the interaction term s $^{P}_{i}$ $^{e_{i}}$ $^{e_{i}}$.

In order to proceed we note, using (2.19), that

$$= 2 \sum_{i < j}^{X} \frac{1}{\dot{y}_{i} z_{j} \dot{j}} + 2 \sum_{i j < k}^{X} \sum_{0}^{X} \frac{Re((z_{i} z_{j}) (z_{i} z_{k}))}{\dot{y}_{i} z_{j} \dot{j} \dot{y}_{i} z_{k} \dot{j}}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i < j}^{X} \frac{1}{r_{ij}^{2}} + 2 \sum_{i j < k}^{X} \sum_{0}^{X} \frac{r_{ij} \dot{k}}{r_{ij}^{2} r_{ik}^{2}}; \qquad (2.47)$$

where we denoted the position operator of the ith eigenvalue $z_i = x_i + iy_i$ in the plane by $r_i = x_i x + y_i y$: Substituting (2.47) in (2.46) and comparing it with (2.43), we see that for the particular potential $V(y_j) = \frac{1}{2}m^2y_j$, the ham iltonian H_s coincides with H_{CMS} at g = G = 1 [10, 15, 16].

The CMS model (2.43), at the special point g=G=1, was studied recently in [23], in the collective eld approach in the large-N limit, and it was found that the particles condensed into a uniform disk, in accordance with the indings of [15]. The authors of [23] went one step farther, and also computed uctuations around the uniform ground state con guration, which required taking the rst subleading term in the large-N expansion. They have found that the spectrum of those uctuations had an energy gap equal to m, corresponding to setting $V(p_f) = \frac{1}{2}m^2p_f^2$ in (2.46), as was already brie y mentioned following (2.40). In this work we extend the leading large-N results of [23] to the case of an arbitrary external potential.

The ham iltonian H $_{\rm S}$ acts on singlet wave-functions $_{\rm S}$, which are completely symmetric under permutations of the $z_{\rm i}$. The similarity transformation on H $_{\rm S}$ in (2.46) implies a similarity transformation $_{\rm S}$! jj $_{\rm S}(z_1;z_1:::;z_N;z_N)$ into wave functions which are also completely symmetric under permutations of the eigenvalues. Thus, H $_{\rm S}$ in (2.46), with its conventional at space kinetic term, corresponds to a system of interacting bosons in two dimensions. In contrast, in the corresponding quantum mechanical hermitean matrix models, the analog of H $_{\rm S}$ acts on one-dimensional non-interacting fermions, as was shown in [24].

Note, however, that the sim ilarity trasform ation (2.46), which maps the matrix model ham iltonian H_s onto the CMS ham iltonian H_s is highly singular, since $j = Q_{i>j}(z_i - z_j)$ has branch points wherever two eigenvalues coincide. This might lead to some delicate issues in trying to decide whether the singlet sector of the normal matrix model and the CMS model are completely equivalent or not. There is no such

problem in the mapping of the singlet sector of the quantum mechanical hermitean matrix models onto one-dimensional noninteracting fermions.

We stress that our construction of the quantum collective eld formulation of the singlet sector of the normal matrix model in section 22 is based entirely on the non-euclidean con guration space with metric (2.6) and the corresponding ham iltonian H_s . Now here in our construction do we resort to the singular similarity transformation into euclidean conguration space and CMS ham iltonian H_s . Nevertheless, as we have already remarked above, the results of our collective eld analysis (for the particular potential $V(\dot{p}_f^2) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\dot{p}_f^2$) agree (to leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$) with those of [23], which were obtained starting with H_s .

2.3 A Concluding Remark Concerning Cartesian Coordinates and Feynman Diagrams

We end this section with the following comment: It is custom any to refer to (2.2) as the polar decomposition of M . Thus, the z_i and R_{ij} (i < j) are referred to as the polar coordinates of M . The matrix elements M $_{ij}$ are known as the cartesian coordinates. Thus, (2.6), and (2.8), (2.9) express the metric and the laplacian in polar coordinates. There are no simple expressions for these objects of normal matrix theory in terms of the cartesian coordinates M $_{ij}$, since it is rather complicated to solve the constraint (2.1) in these coordinates explicitly. To this end one has to work in the bigger space of complex matrices and introduce a matrix Lagrange multiplier eld to impose (2.1) on the dynamics, and then follow Dirac's procedure for constrained quantization. Interestingly enough, these complications do not arise for herm itean matrices, which is of course a subclass of normal matrices. In this case, the linear constraint M $_{ij}$ = M $_{ji}$ implies $\sin p \ln r^2 = \frac{P}{ij} e^2 = (em ij em M) = Tr(e^2 = em M)$.

Having a lagrangian formulation of the normal matrix model in cartesian coordinates is necessary in order to formulate the large-N \double-line" diagram matric expansion of the model. In this respect, it should be noted that this expansion will be in powers of $\frac{1}{N}$ rather than powers of $\frac{1}{N^2}$, as should be clear from the exact ex-

pression (227) for the ground state energy in the case of a quadratic potential.

3 Construction of the Collective Hamiltonian

The transformation from the hamiltonian in its original form to its expression in terms of collective coordinates is achieved by a quantum mechanical point canonical transformation from the original dynamical variables to collective coordinates.

The con guration space of our quantum m echanical normal m atrix m odel is parametrized by the polar coordinates z_i and R_{ij} (i < j), and is endowed with the non-euclidean m etric (2.6).

Thus, in order to prepare the ground for the construction of the collective eld ham iltonian for the normal matrix model, we will rst recall some basic facts about point canonical transformations in conguration spaces whose geometry is dened by a non-euclidean metric, thus extending the discussion in chapter 6 of [20].

3.1 Point Canonical Transform ations in Non-Euclidean Conguration Space

We shall start by stating some elementary facts, mainly in order to introduce notations. We make our points starting in Eq.(3.14).

Consider a quantum mechanical system, de ned in a D-dimensional con guration space C, with coordinates q^a (a = 1;:::D) and line element $ds^2 = g_{ab}(q)dq^adq^b$. The ham iltonian is given by 6

$$H_{q} = \frac{h^{2}}{2} r_{q}^{2} + V(q); \qquad (3.1)$$

w here

$$r_{q}^{2} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{\theta}{\overline{g}} \frac{\theta}{\theta q^{a}} g^{ab} \overline{g} \frac{\theta}{\theta q^{b}}$$
 (3.2)

is the invariant laplacian, expressed in terms of the coordinates q^a . In (3.2) g^{ab} is the inverse metric and $g=\det g_{ab}$. This laplacian (and the corresponding ham iltonian H_q) are symmetric $h_1 \not\!\!\! H_q$ $_2 i=h H_q$ $_1 j$ $_2 i$ with respect to the measure p $\overline{g} dq$ and the inner product $h_1 j$ $_2 i=\frac{R_p}{G} \overline{g} dq$ $_1 (q)$ $_2 (q)$.

 $^{^6}$ In this subsection we will write all factors of h explicitly, in order to identify the purely quantum mechanical terms induced by the transformation in the elective hamiltonian, defined later in this section.

Let us consider a point canonical transform ation

$$q^{a} ! Q^{a} = Q^{a} (q);$$
 (3.3)

and assume its inverse exists as well:

$$q^{a} = q^{a} (Q) :$$
 (3.4)

We know from rudim entary dierential geometry, that since the line element ds^2 is invariant under this transform ation, the metric in the new coordinates is given by

$$_{ab}(Q) = g_{mn}(q(Q)) \frac{\theta q^{m}}{\theta Q^{a}} \frac{\theta q^{n}}{\theta Q^{b}};$$
 (3.5)

its inverse by

$$^{ab}(Q) = g^{m n}(q(Q)) \frac{\partial Q^{a}}{\partial q^{m}} \frac{\partial Q^{b}}{\partial q^{n}};$$
 (3.6)

and

$$(Q) = \det_{ab} = g \det_{\bar{Q}} \frac{eq}{Q}$$
: (3.7)

Thus,

$$P = p_{\overline{gJ}}; \qquad (3.8)$$

w here

$$J = \det \frac{\theta q}{\theta Q}$$
 (3.9)

is the Jacobian of the transform ation, rendering the measure invariant

$$P - dQ = P \overline{g} dq : (3.10)$$

Finally, the ham iltonian in the new coordinates is written

$$H_Q = \frac{h^2}{2} r_Q^2 + \nabla (Q);$$
 (3.11)

w here

$$r_{Q}^{2} = \frac{1}{P} = \frac{0}{0Q^{a}} \qquad ab^{p} - \frac{0}{0Q^{b}}!$$
 (3.12)

is the invariant laplacian in the new coordinates Q, and V(Q) = V(q(Q)) (i.e., the potential is a scalar function under (3.3)).

W ave functions also transform as scalars, $(q) ! \sim (Q) = (q (Q))$, since am plitudes must remain invariant against the coordinate transform ations:

$$h_{1}j_{2}i = {^{Z}p_{-} \atop dQ} (q(Q))_{2}(q(Q)) = {^{Z}p_{\overline{q}}dq_{1}(q)_{2}(q):}$$
(3.13)

The form (3.11) of the transformed ham iltonian, and the fact that wave functions are scalars, guarantee the invariance of matrix elements h $_1$ H j $_2$ i under coordinate transformations.

Let us assume that for one reason or another, the Q-coordinates represent the physical picture more transparently, and thus, working with H $_{\rm Q}$ has some extra merit. H $_{\rm Q}$ is symmetric with respect to the measure dQ. Thus, part of the price to be paid working with the Q-coordinates is the need to drag along that pesky measure everywhere. Life would be simpler if we could rid ourselves from that measure and map H $_{\rm Q}$ onto an elective ham iltonian H $_{\rm eff}$ which is symmetric with respect to the at measure dQ. This we can achieve by performing a similarity transformation. Observing that

$$h_{1} + j_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & P - & & & \\ & dQ & & Q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Q & H_{Q} & Q & Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & & & \\ & dQ & & \frac{1}{4} & & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

it is obvious that required transform ation is

$$H_{eff} = \frac{1}{4} H_{Q} = \frac{1}{4}$$
 (3.14)

with the appropriate transform ation

$$^{\sim}(Q) = \frac{1}{4}(Q)$$
 (3.15)

of wave functions. Let us now massage H $_{\rm eff}\,$ into a more transparent form . It is a matter of straightforward calculation to show that

$$\frac{1}{4} r_{Q}^{2} \qquad \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{a}} \qquad ab^{p} - \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{b}} \qquad \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{a}} \qquad \frac{1}{4} \qquad ab \qquad \frac{1}{4} \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{b}} \qquad \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{a}} \qquad ab \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{b}} \qquad \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^{a}} (ab C_{b}) \qquad C_{a} \qquad ab C_{b} \qquad (3.16)$$

where we have de ned

$$C_a = \frac{1}{4} (\log);_a$$
 (3.17)

and where (;) indicates a derivative with respect to Q a . The operator $^{\frac{1}{4}}$ r 2_Q $^{\frac{1}{4}}$ is manifestly symmetric with respect to the atmeasure dQ, as is evident in each of the lines in (3.16). Thus, H $_{\rm eff}$ is indeed the desired ham iltonian we set out to nd, which, following (3.16), we may write explicitly as

$$H_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} P_a \quad ^{ab} P_b + \frac{h^2}{2} C_a \quad ^{ab} C_b + \nabla (Q) + \frac{h^2}{2} \quad ^{ab} C_b$$
(3.18)

where we introduced the momentum operators

$$P_a = ih \frac{\theta}{\theta Q^a} : (3.19)$$

The terms in (3.18) quadratic in h may be thought of as a generalization of the centrifugalbarrier which arises in the radial ham iltonian in D dimensions⁷. Evidently, these terms are purely a quantum mechanical e ect.⁸

It is easy to see that

$$C_a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{ba}$$
 (3.20)

where $^{a}_{bc}$ is the second Christo el symbol (i.e., the connection) of $^{a}_{ab}$. However, sometimes a direct computation of the C_a from their denition (3.17), or from the identity (3.20), may be too dicult to carry in practice. Thus, in order to bypass these potential diculties, we shall now derive an identity satisted by the C_a , from which we could compute them with somewhat less e ort.

To this end we argue as follows: The invariant laplacian acting on a function which is a scalar under coordinate transformation produces yet another scalar function. Thus,

$$r_{q}^{2}$$
 (q) = r_{Q}^{2} (q(Q)): (3.21)

The radial part of the D-dimensional Laplacian $r_r^2 = r^{(D-1)} \cdot \theta_r (r^{D-1} \cdot \theta_r)$, dened with respect to the measure $r^{D-1} \cdot dr$, may be transformed by a similarity transformation into $\tilde{r}_r^2 = r^{\frac{D-1}{2}} r_r^2 r^{\frac{D-1}{2}} = \theta_r^2 \cdot \frac{(D-1)(D-3)}{4r^2} = \theta_r^2 \cdot C_r \cdot r^r C_r$, which is dened with respect to the atmeasure dr.

⁸As a side remark, we also mention that the last term in (3.18) may be written as $\frac{h^2}{2}$ ab C_b ; $a = \frac{h^2}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ r_Q^2 $\frac{1}{2}$:

In particular, the coordinate functions them selves are scalars (their di erentials are one-form s). Let us de ne the quantities

$$!^{a} = hr_{q}^{2}Q^{a} = hr_{0}^{2}Q^{a}$$
: (3.22)

It follows from the de nitions (3.22) and (3.17) that

$$!^{a} = hr_{Q}^{2}Q^{a} = \frac{h}{P-Q^{b}} \frac{Q}{QQ^{b}} = \frac{h}{2} \frac{Q}{Q^{a}}$$
 (log);_b $h_{;b}^{ab}$

or

$$!^{a} + 2h^{ab}C_{b} + h^{ab} = 0;$$
 (3.23)

which is the desired identity to determ ine the C_a . To simplify the computation, we are free to choose in (322) the coordinates q^a in which the computation of $!^a = hr_q^2 Q^a$ is as simple as possible.

This concludes our brief review and exposition of point canonical coordinate transform ations in quantum mechanics, which we will use in the second part of this section to construct the collective ham iltonian of our normal matrix model.

The discussion of point canonical transform ations in section 6.1 in [20] was presented for the special case of at euclidean metric $g_{ab} = {}_{ab}$. For this metric, it is a straightforward exercise to show that $!^a$, C_a and ab which we dened here for an arbitrary metric, coincide with their counterparts in chapter 6 of [20]. In addition, in that metric, our expression (3.18) for H_{eff} and the identity (3.23) reduce to their counterparts, Eqs.(6.21) and (6.20), respectively, in [20].

3.2 The Collective Field Ham iltonian for Normal Matrices

The ground state, as well as other U (N)-singlet eigenstates of the ham iltonian (2.13), are totally symmetric functions $_s(z_1;z_1;\ldots;z_n;z_n)$ of the eigenvalues. As we saw in the introduction, these eigenvalues comprise a two-dimensional system of interacting bosons.

 $^{^9}$ W e should mention that there is a typographical error in Eq.(6.19) in [20]. Its correct form is the sum of anticom mutators H $_{\rm eff}$ H $_{\rm eff}^{\rm y}$ = $\frac{\rm i}{2}$ f! $^{\rm a}$ + 2h $^{\rm ab}$ C $_{\rm b}$ + h $_{\rm ;b}^{\rm ab}$; P $_{\rm ag}$. A lso note, that the last (total divergence) term in our (3.18) is m issing from the analogous equation, Eq.(6.21), in [20].

Let

$$\mathbf{r}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{y}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \tag{3.24}$$

be the position operator of the ith eigenvalue $z_i = x_i + iy_i$ in the plane. The density operators

$$(r) = \frac{1}{N} \chi^{N}$$
 $(r r_{1});$ (3.25)

form a continuous basis (param etrized by r) of commuting operators which are symmetric in the operators r_i . Clearly, any operator which is purely a symmetric combination of r_i 's may be expressed in terms of the density operators (3.25). Thus, it is conceivable that any singlet wave function s in our model could be expressed as functional of the eigenvalues of these density operators, namely, as a functional of the density function, which we also denote by s (r). The density function is evidently positive,

(r)
$$0:$$
 (3.26)

This motivates us to apply the method of coordinate transform ation which we derived in the previous subsection, and make a transform ation from the eigenvalues z_i (or the corresponding commuting position operators r_i), which live in a conguration space with the non-euclidean metric (2.6), to the set of commuting density operators. The latter are the collective variables for our system of bosons – they are the combinations of the original dynamical degrees of freedom, the r_i , which are invariant under the permutation symmetry of the problem. The transformation we will perform a ects only the singlet degrees of freedom, and leaves the U (N)-angular variables R_{ij} unchanged, of course.

In this section we will make the transform ation to these collective variables and study the system of bosons in the large-N limit. This method of transformation, which is commonly known as the collective eld method, was introduced in [19] (for a review, see chapter 7 of [20]). It should be thought of as a natural extension of the Bohm-Pines theory of high density plasma oscillations [27]. An important application of this method in [19] was to study the singlet sector of the quantum

herm itean matrix model. As was mentioned earlier, the same problem was solved earlier in [24], by mapping it onto a one dimensional gas of noninteracting ferm ions. Here, we will extend these works to normal matrices.

The number of degrees of freedom in the singlet sector of (2.13) is 2N. In contrast, there is a continuum of density operators (r), which are not all independent. For example, it is obvious that the constraint

$$dr(r) = 1$$
 (3.27)

should hold. As our independent collective variables we choose the set of 2N Fourier modes

$$_{k} = {}^{Z} dre^{ik} r (r) = \frac{1}{N} {}^{X^{N}}_{i=1} e^{ik} r$$
 (3.28)

cuto by k_{max} , $j_k j_{max}$, where k is properly discretized, e.g., by putting the bosons in a large box of linear size L, and imposing appropriate boundary conditions. The details of this discretization are not important for our discussion of the large N limit. Next, we assume that the eigenvalues condense into a lump of linear size L_c , where $L_c << L$. The average bulk density of particles in the system is thus

$$\frac{N}{L_c^2}; (3.29)$$

In this lim it, which we shall assume throughout our analysis, we can consider the continuum of (r)s as independent variables, constrained by (3.27) (i.e., by k=0=1).

To sum marize, we wish to transform the normal matrix ham iltonian (2.13) from the coordinates $q^a = r_i$; R_{ij} and metric g_{ab} given by (2.6), to the coordinates $Q^a = {}_k$; R_{ij} and metric ${}_{ab}$ given by (3.5), and to construct the elective ham iltonian (3.18), according to the formalism developed in the previous subsection.

Since this transform ation does not a ect the U (N)-angular coordinates R $_{ij}$ and does not m ix them with the eigenvalues, ab will have the same block-diagonal structure as g^{ab} , and of course, their pure U (N)-angular sectors will coincide. We need only compute the transform of the pure eigenvalue sector ki k0 .

We start by computing the latter, which we denote more conveniently by $(k;k^0;[])$, with the functional dependence on indicated explicitly. Since (2.6) is equivalent to

$$ds^{2} = \int_{i}^{X} dr_{i}^{2} + 2 \int_{i < j}^{X} dR_{ij} dR_{ij} \dot{r}_{i} r_{j} \dot{r}_{j}; \qquad (3.30)$$

we obtain from (3.6) and (3.28) that

$$(k;k^{0};[]) = {}^{X} \frac{@_{k}}{@r_{i}} \frac{@_{k^{0}}}{@r_{i}} = \frac{k }{N} {}^{k} {}_{k+k^{0}}:$$
 (3.31)

The e ective ham iltonian (3.18) contains also the quatities C_a , which we will determine from the identity (3.23). Thus, we have to compute ! $^k = ! (k; [])$. From the de nition (3.22) we obtain 10

!
$$(k; []) = r^2_k = r^2_{s,k};$$
 (3.32)

where r^2 was de ned in (2.7). Thus, from (2.9), we nd^{11}

$$! (k; []) = \frac{1}{jj^{2}} \sum_{i}^{x} \frac{0}{0x_{i}} j^{2} \frac{0}{0x_{i}} + \frac{0}{0y_{i}} j^{2} \frac{0}{0y_{i}} k$$

$$= k^{2}_{k} + \frac{ik}{N} \sum_{i}^{x} e^{ik} \frac{0 \log j j^{2}}{0r_{i}} :$$

From (2.10) we obtain

$$\frac{0 \log j j^2}{0 r_i} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{X} \frac{r_i}{(r_i - r_j)^2} :$$

The latter sum has a simple physical interpretation in terms of two-dimensional electrostatics. If we think of the eigenvalues located at the points r_k in the plane as

 $^{^{10}}$ From this point throughout the rest of the paper we set h = 1.

¹¹This expression for ! (k;[]) is the two-dimensional analog of Eq.(7.55) in [20] and Eq.(5.6) in [19], namely, the expression ! (k;[]) = N k² $_{0}^{R}$ d $_{k-k(1-)}$ = k² $_{k}$ + $\frac{2ik}{N}$ $_{i}^{P}$ $_{i}$ e $_{i}^{ik-i}$ $_{j}^{P}$ $_{i}^{0}$ corresponding to the herm itean matrix model with eigenvalues $_{i}$.

positive point unit charges, then

$$E^{(i)}(r) = \frac{X}{i \in i} \frac{r - r_{j}}{(r - r_{j})^{2}}$$
(3.33)

is the electric $\,$ eld at r due to all the other charges, except the one at r_i . Thus,

!
$$(k; []) = k^2_k + \frac{2ik}{N}_i^{X} e^{ik} E^{(i)}(r_i)$$
: (3.34)

We would like to avoid the i-dependence of the vector eld $E^{(i)}(r)$ in (3.34) in order to simplify the sum mation. The aim is to replace it by the full electric eld

E (r) =
$$\frac{x}{(r - r_j)^2}$$
: (3.35)

However, since E (r) is singular at r_i we have to replace E ⁽ⁱ⁾ (r) by a regulated form of E (r), which will tend in the large density \lim it to the macroscopic smoothed electric eld, which corresponds to the smoothed macroscopic density (r), namely,

E (r) = N
$$\frac{r}{(r - r^0)^2}$$
 (r⁰); (3.36)

and satis es G auss' law

$$r E(r) = 2 N(r) (3.37)$$

That (3.36) satis es (3.37) is a trivial consequence of the identity

$$r \frac{r}{r^2} = 2$$
 (r): (3.38)

To identify the regulated form, note st that

$$E_{s}^{(i)}(r) = \frac{x}{r} \frac{r}{(r - r_{j})^{2} + s^{2}};$$
 (3.39)

with s a small real parameter (which we shall assume is much smaller than the mean interparticle distance $1=^{p}$), should have a negligible election $E^{(i)}(r)$ in a typical conguration of the eigenvalues, in which it is most likely that $jr_i r_j j 1=^{p}$ for $j \in i$, due to electrostatic repulsion. In $E_s^{(i)}(r_i)$ we can obviously relax the restriction $j \in i$ in the sum mation. Thus, it is clear that

$$E_{s}(r) = \frac{x}{(r - r_{j})^{2} + s^{2}}$$
 (3.40)

is the desired regulated form of the electric eld, with $E_s^{(i)}(r_i) = E_s(r_i)$ to be substituted in (3.34).

The electric eld E (r) = $\frac{r}{r^2}$ of a point unit charge at the origin is regulated in this way to E s (r) = $\frac{r}{r^2+s^2}$, such that r E (r) = 2 (r)! r s (r) = 2 s (r); where 2 s (r) = $\frac{2s^2}{(r^2+s^2)^2}$. As s tends to zero, s (r) tends to (r). As another motivation for introducing the regularization (3.40), note that

$$E_{sx}$$
 $iE_{sy} = \begin{pmatrix} X & Z_{j} \\ \dot{Z} & Z_{j} \dot{Z} + S^{2} \end{pmatrix} = Tr \frac{(z M)^{y}}{(z M)^{y}(z M) + S^{2}};$ (3.41)

where M is a normal matrix with eigenvalues z_i , is the regulated form of the G reen's function G (z;z) = $Tr\frac{1}{z-M}$ one would obtain from the method of hermitization of [28].

 $E_s(r)$ is the interpolating regulated electric eld which should tend, in the large density lim it to a smooth asymptotic distribution, which we can obtain by solving (3.37) for E(r), with the corresponding lim iting smooth distribution of (r) as the source term. Thus, in the large density lim it we can replace $E^{(i)}(r_i)$ in (3.34) by $E_s(r_i)$ and write

! (k;[]) =
$$k^2_k + \frac{2ik}{N}_i^{X}$$
 e $i^k f E_s(r_i)$:

Henceforth we will suppress the index s in E $_{\rm s}$ (r), with the lim it s! 0 understood as the last lim it taken.

Since E (r) is of order N , as can be seen from (3.35) (or (3.36)), we should neglect the subleading O (N 0) term k^2 $_k$ in the last equation. Therefore, to leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$,

!
$$(k; []) = \frac{2ik}{N} \times e^{ik} \times E(r_i);$$
 (3.42)

which is a quantity of order N.

Thus, we have determined $(k;k^0;[])$ and !(k;[]). The last ingredient needed for determining $C_k = C(k;[])$ from (3.23) is the divergence $^{ab}_{;b}$. Happily enough, it vanishes

$$\frac{X}{k^{0}} \frac{(k;k^{0};[])}{k^{0}} = \frac{X}{k^{0}} \frac{k}{N} \quad (k) = 0;$$
 (3.43)

as can be seen from (3.31). Substituting (3.31), (3.42) and (3.43) in (3.23), we obtain the equation

!
$$(k;[]) + 2^{X}$$
 $(k; k^{0};[]) C (k^{0};[]) = 0$ (3.44)

for C (k; []). In the combined large density and large volume lim its discussed above, the k-sum s tend to Fourier integrals. Thus, in the lim it

!
$$(k;[]) + 2^{\frac{Z}{(2)^2}} (k; k^0;[]) C (k^0;[]) = 0;$$
 (3.45)

which we will transform now to r-space.

To this end we need

!
$$(r; []) = \frac{Z}{(2)^2} e^{ik} ! (k; []) = 2r (r)E(r);$$
 (3.46)

where we used

$$\frac{Z}{(2)^{2}} \frac{dk}{N} \frac{1}{k} = e^{ik(r-r_{i})} E(r_{i}) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{k} \qquad (r-r_{i}) E(r) = (r) E(r) :$$

W e also need

$$(r;r^{0};[]) = \frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} e^{ik} r^{+} ik^{0} (k;k^{0};[]) = \frac{1}{N} r_{r} r_{r^{0}} ((r) (r^{0})) : (3.47)$$

Using (3.46) and (3.47) we Fourier transform (3.45) to r-space and obtain

! (r; [])
$$\frac{2}{N}$$
r ((r)r C (r; [])) = $\frac{2}{N}$ r [(r) (N E (r) r C (r; []))] = 0: (3.48)

The m in im alsolution of this equation is simply

$$r C (r; []) = N E (r) :$$
 (3.49)

Thus, from (3.36), C(r; []) = NU(r), where U(r) is (m inus) the electrostatic potential

U (r) =
$$\frac{1}{2}^{Z}$$
 dr⁰ log (r r⁰)² (r⁰): (3.50)

r C (r; []) in (3.49) coincides with the leading large-N behavior of the analogous quantity in [23]. A lso, it is the two-dimensional analog of the corresponding quantity obtained in the collective eld formulation of the herm itean matrix model [19],

$$\frac{\text{@C }(x;[])}{\text{@x}} = N \frac{\text{y dy}}{\text{x y}};$$

which reproduces the results of [24] correctly 12 .

In two dimensions, however, we have the freedom of adding a piece $\frac{u(r)}{(r)}$ to the right hand side of (3.49), where u is an arbitrary divergence-free vector

$$r u = 0$$
: (3.51)

We shall not pursue this possibility here.

We now have all the ingredients needed for constructing the elective collective ham iltonian (3.18). From (3.47) and (3.49) we obtain the collective potential $V_{\rm coll} = \frac{1}{2}C_a$ ab C_b as

$$V_{\infty ll} = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \operatorname{drdr}^{0}C (r) (r; r^{0}) C (r^{0}) = \frac{1}{2N}^{Z} \operatorname{dr} (r) (r C (r))^{2}$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{dr} (r) E (r)^{2}; \qquad (3.52)$$

which is a quantity of order N 3 . In the collective eld formulation of herm itean matrices, this term can be written equivalently as an integral over 3 (x) [19, 20].

Sim ilarly to (3.52), the kinetic term $\frac{1}{2}P_a$ ab P_b in our model is

$$K = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} drdr^{0} (r) (r;r^{0}) (r^{0}) = \frac{1}{2N}^{Z} drr (r) (r)r (r);$$
 (3.53)

where (r) is the momentum operator conjugate to (r). (r) and (r) satisfy the equal-time canonical commutation

$$[(r); (r^0)] = i (r r^0) \frac{1}{r^2};$$
 (3.54)

 $^{^{12}}$ In this context, we should also mention that Eq.(3.46) (which leads, together with (3.47), to (3.49)), is essentially the two-diemnsional analog of of Eq.(7.58) in [20] and Eq.(5.9) in [19] for ! (x;[]) in the herm itean matrix model. In those references, the one-dimensional analog of of (3.46) was obtained directly from the expression for ! (k;[]), which contained the subleading k^2_k term. However, the latter term was electively lost by taking the continuum limit of the Fourier sum into a Fourier integral.

where the inverse area subtraction arises because the zero-m ode $_{k=0}$ is non-dynamical, due to the constraint (3.27).

Next, we have the divergence term $\frac{1}{2}$ $^{ab}C_b$; $_a = \frac{1}{4}(!^a + \frac{ab}{;b});_a$, where we used (3.23). Thus, from (3.46) and (3.43) we not it as

$$V_{\text{divergence}} = \frac{1}{4}^{Z} dr \frac{! (r; [])}{(r)} = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} dr r \frac{!}{(r)} (r) E (r) : (3.55)$$

It is evidently a singular boundary contribution. A ssum ing boundary conditions such that (r) vanishes at spatial in nity faster than r^2 , we obtain

$$V_{\text{divergence}} = \frac{1}{2} (r = 0)^{Z} drr E (r) = N (r = 0);$$
 (3.56)

where we used (3.37). This result for $V_{\rm divergence}$ is merely a universal constant shift of energy, independent of the external potential $V(r^2)$. It is proportional to N, whereas the leading behavior of energy eigenvalues is $O(N^2)$, as we will see momentarily. Thus, to leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$, we shall neglect this term from now on.

We should mention that this result for $V_{\rm divergence}$ agrees, to leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$, with the one obtained in Eq. (11) in [23] for the quadratic potential $V(r^2) = \frac{m^2}{2} r^2$. It was shown in [23] that this term was canceled against the divergent zero-point energy of the collective eld (r). This cancellation should occur for any $V(r^2)$, since our result (3.56) is manifestly independent of the external potential.

Finally, the contribution of the external potential is

$$V_{\text{ext}} = N \quad dr \quad (r) V \quad (r^2) :$$
 (3.57)

G athering all terms together, we obtain the collective ham iltonian as

$$H_{coll} = \frac{1}{2N}^{Z} drr (r) (r) + \frac{N}{2}^{Z} dr (r) E (r)^{2} + N^{Z} dr (r) V (r^{2}) : (3.58)$$

In order to display the large-N behavior of this theory explicitly, we rescale the coordinates as $r={P \over N \times .}$ This is just the statement that $TrM \ ^{V}M \ N \ ^{R} r^{2}$ (r) dr is typically 0 (N), assuming $(N \times)$ is supported in a disk jxj< 0 (N 0). Consequently, $(r) = N \ ^{1}$ (x) (since (r) dr is invariant), and $E(r) = \ ^{P} \ N E(x)$ (from (3.35)), where

$$E(x) = \int_{0}^{Z} dx^{0} \frac{x + x^{0}}{(x + x^{0})^{2}} (x^{0})$$
 (3.59)

is a quantity of $0 (N^0)$.

A lso, from (2.14), $V(x^2) = V(Nx^2) = NV(x^2)$, where

$$V(x^2) = \int_{p}^{X} g_p(x^2)^p$$
: (3.60)

Finally, in order that the momentum dependent terms scale as the rest, we must rescale $(r) = N^2$ (x). The rescaled form of the ham iltonian (3.58) is thus

$$H_{coll} = N^{2} \int_{Z}^{Z} dx \frac{1}{2} r (x) '(x) r (x) + \frac{1}{2} '(x) E(x)^{2} + '(x) V(x^{2})$$

$$dx'(x) 1 ; \qquad (3.61)$$

where we have added a lagrange multiplier to impose the constraint (3.27). As expected, the ham iltonian (3.61) and its eigenvalues, the ground state energy in particular, scale as N².

The commutation relation of the rescaled canonically conjugate elds is

$$['(x); (x^0)] = \frac{i}{N^2} (x x^0) \frac{N}{L^2};$$
 (3.62)

where we used (3.54). This commutation relation indicates that '(x), (x) and the dynamics of (3.61) become classical in the large-N limit.

3.2.1 The Ham iltonian Equations of Motion: Fluid Dynamics Interpretation

The Heisenberg equations of motion (as well as their classical analogs) resulting from (3.61) and (3.62) are easily found to be

$$'_{(x;t)} = \frac{1}{2}r$$
 (f' (x;t);r (x;t)g);

ie.,

$$'_{(x;t)} = r \quad ('(x;t)r \quad (x;t));$$
 (3.63)

and

$$\underline{-(x;t)} = \frac{1}{2} (r (x;t))^2 \frac{Z}{(x)} dx^0 \frac{1}{2} (x^0) E(x^0)^2 + (x^0) (V(x^0)) + \frac{N}{L^2} A;$$

$$(3.64)$$

w here

$$A = \int_{0}^{z} dx \frac{1}{2} (r (x))^{2} + \int_{0}^{z} dy \frac{1}{(y)} \frac{1}{2} (x) E(x)^{2} + \int_{0}^{z} (x) (V(x^{2}))^{2}$$
(3.65)

is a constant. By assumption¹³, the coe cient $\frac{N}{L^2}$ in front of A in (3.64) tends to zero, and we shall neglect it.

Eq. (3.63) just means that the constraint (3.27) is preserved by the dynamics.

Let us take the gradient of (3.64) so as to make the equations of motion more symmetric. The -dependent terms in the resulting equation can be lumped together into the combination $0_t r + (0_t) r (0_t) = 0_t r + (r_t) r (r_t)$. Thus, the gradient of (3.64) may be written as

$$\theta_{t}r + (r) r (r) = rw$$
 (3.66)

w here

$$w (x; [']) = \frac{Z}{(x)} dx^{0} \frac{1}{2} (x^{0}) E (x^{0})^{2} + (x^{0}) V (x^{0}) \qquad (x^{0}) : \qquad (3.67)$$

Evidently, we should interpret the equations of motion as describing the isentropic ow of a two-dimensional eulerian uid, with density '(x;t), an irrotational velocity eld v(x;t) = r(x;t), and enthalpy density w(x;[']) given by (3.67). Eq.(3.63) is the continuity equation

$$'_{(x;t)} + r \qquad ('(x;t)v(x;t)) = 0;$$
 (3.68)

and (3.66) is just Newton's law

$$\theta_{+}v(x;t) + (v(x;t) r)v(x;t) = rw$$
 (3.69)

for isentropic ow, since in such ows the adiabatic variation of the enthalpy is related to the variation of the pressure P according to $dw_s = V dP = dP = '$. The uid dynam ical interpretation of the collective eld equations of motion (3.63) and (3.64) is by no means special to our model. As we have already mentioned in the introduction, there is an analogous interpretation of the collective eld of herm itean matrices in terms of a one-dimensional uid [25, 26]. This is certainly a generic feature of eld theoretic ham iltonians with a kinetic term of the form $^R dx r (x) '(x)r (x)$:

¹³See the discussion following (3.29).

4 The Ground State Energy and Distribution of Eigenvalues

As was discussed in the previous section, '(x;t), (x;t) and the dynamics governed by (3.61) become classical in the large-N limit. More precisely, on general theoretical grounds [29], the quantum theory dened by (2.13) (and (3.61)) contains a special set of generalized coherent states, which may be used to construct a classical phase space and to derive a classical hamiltonian, whose resulting classical dynamics is equivalent to the large-N limit of the original quantum theory. The large-N ground state is then found by minimizing this classical hamiltonian.

The solution of the classical equations of motion derived from (3.61) for '(x;t) and (x;t), which minimizes $H_{\infty ll}$, should be interpreted as the expectation values of these elds in the ground state of (3.61). Evidently, the ground state (or vacuum) expectation value of (x;t) should be null, and that of '(x;t) should be time independent. Thus, setting $_0(x;t) = h0j(x;t)j0i = 0$ and $h0j(x;t)j0i = '_0(x)$ into the classical equations of motion, we see that (3.63) is satisfied trivially, while (3.64) leads to the equation

$$\frac{Z}{(x)} dx^{0} \frac{1}{2} (x^{0}) E (x^{0})^{2} + (x^{0}) V (x^{0}) \qquad (x^{0}) = 0:$$
 (4.1)

Eq. (4.1) is just the statement that $'_0(x)$ is the minimum of the e ective potential

$$V_{eff} = {}^{Z} dx \frac{1}{2}' (x) E (x)^{2} + ' (x) V (x^{2}) ' (x) :$$
 (4.2)

The external potential $V(x^2)$ in our model is rotation—invariant. Thus, we would expect that the eigenvalue distribution in the ground state should be rotation invariant as well. Furtherm ore, in a physically sensible model, the external potential $V(x^2)$ must be conning, in order to balance the electric repulsion of the eigenvalues. The compromise between repulsion and connement should produce a rotation—invariant charge density which is non-vanishing only inside a disk of nite radius R.

In other words, it is su cient to look for the minimum of (4.2) in the class of normalized density functions 'which depend only on $r = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12}$, and vanish outside a

disk of some radius R, which is xed by the normalization condition

$$^{\mathbb{Z}^{R}}$$
 2 ' (r) rdr = 1: (4.3)

A radial charge density ' (r) is the source for a radial electrostatic eld E (x) = E (r) \hat{r} for which G auss' law reads

r
$$E = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta r}$$
 (rE (r)) = 2 ' (r); (4.4)

and from which it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{0}{0r} \quad r \frac{E(r)}{r} = 2 \quad (r \quad r):$$
 (4.5)

We stress that (4.5) holds only inside the support of '(r), i.e., only in the region r R.Forr> R, the radial eld

$$E(r) = \frac{1}{r}$$
 (4.6)

identically, for any ' (r), rendering the variational derivative null in that region.

Upon integrating (4.5) we obtain

$$r = \frac{E(r)}{r(r^0)} = 2 r^0 (r r^0) (R r);$$
 (4.7)

where we used the fact that E(r) arises only due to charges inside the disk of radius r to x the integration constant.

Thus, evaluating the elective potential (4.2) on the class of radially dependent densities, and taking the variation with respect to ' (r), we obtain, using (4.7),

$$\frac{V_{\text{eff}}}{\text{'(r)}} = 2 r^4 \frac{1}{2} E^2 (r) + \sum_{\text{r}}^{ZR} 2 \text{'(r)} E (r^0) E (r^0) dr^0 + V (r^2)$$
 (4.8)

Therefore, the desired minimum condition is

$$\frac{1}{2}E^{2}(r) + \sum_{r}^{ZR} 2 r'(r^{0})E(r^{0}) dr^{0} + V(r^{2}) = 0:$$
 (4.9)

We can achieve considerable simplication of (4.9) by applying 0_r to both its sides and then use Gauss' law (4.4). We thus obtain the simple and elegant formula

$$E^{2}(r) = r \frac{\theta}{\theta r} V(r^{2}); \qquad r \quad R$$
 (4.10)

for the electric eld inside the condensate of eigenvalues.

By substituting the left-hand side of (4.4) for 2 ' (r^0) in (4.9), integrating, and using (4.10), we obtain an equation for the Lagrange multiplier :

$$\frac{1}{2}E^{2}(R) + V(R^{2}) = 0: (4.11)$$

Note from (4.10) that since $E^2(r)=0$, the eigenvalues can condense only in regions where $V(r^2)$ is increasing (inside the disk r=R). This is obviously the result of electric repulsion among eigenvalues: in a region in which $V(r^2)$ is decreasing, the external force pushes the eigenvalues away from the origin towards larger radii, where they can keep farther apart from each other, until they reach a region in which $V(r^2)$ is increasing and the external force is pointed inwards, which eventually connest hem. This elect is demonstrated most clearly in the model in which the eigenvalues are conned inside a rigid disk of radius R, namely, $V(r^2)=0$ for r< R and in nite elsewhere. Evidently, in this case the eigenvalues are all stuck to the wall at r=R.

A generic external potential V (r^2) m ight have several local wells inside the disk r R, some (or all) of which m ight be occupied by eigenvalues. The eigenvalues will reside, of course, only in regions inside these wells in which V (r^2) is increasing. Let us assume that $'_K$ (r) is an extremal eigenvalue density in which there are K occupied wells. Consider the kth well $(k=1;\ldots;K)$. The eigenvalues will occupy in this well an annular region $r_k^{m \text{ in}}$ r $r_k^{m \text{ ax}}$ (and of course, $r_k^{m \text{ ax}} = R$). Thus, the eigenvalues in such a case will condense into a system of K concentric annuli, with voids in between the annuli. Inside the kth annulus, the electric eld is given by (4.10). In the void $r_k^{m \text{ ax}}$ r $r_{k+1}^{m \text{ in}}$ between the kth and k+1st annuli, the electric eld is obviously

$$E_k^{\text{(void)}}(r) = \frac{(r_k^{\text{max}})}{r};$$
 (4.12)

where $(r_k^m)^{ax} = 2^{-r_k^m}$ (r) rdr is the fraction of eigenvalues connected up to r_k^m . For k = K, (4.12) coincides with (4.6). It follows from (4.4) that E (r) is continuous wherever (r) is continuous. Thus, assuming V (r²) has continuous derivatives, the electric eld E (r) should be continuous throughout the plane. The K-annular extrem al eigenvalue density (r) depends upon the 2K parameters r_k^m in r_k^m , and the continuity conditions on the electric eld at the 2K boundaries of the annuli provide the exact number of equations to determine these parameters. (The rst of these conditions is of course E (r_1^m) in) = 0, and the last one E (r_K^m) ax = R) = 1=R is equivalent to the overall normalization condition (4.3).)

Given the external potential mentioned above, the extrem um condition (4.9) m ight have several solutions $'_{\rm K}$ (r), depending on the number K of occupied wells. In order to decide which of these extrem a is the actual ground state con guration, we should evaluate the e ective potential (4.2) at each of these extrem alsolutions and pick the the one with minimal energy. By tuning the couplings in $V(r^2)$ we might induce quantum phase transitions between these possible multi-annular extremal con qurations.

In this paper we shall not pursue the generic case any further, with its multiannular eigenvalue density con guration, and the fascinating possibility of inducing
quantum phase transitions in this kind of atrix models. Instead, in what follows, we
will solve for the ground state energy and eigenvalue distribution of the generic monotonically increasing external potentials, which necessarily yields a disk-like eigenvalue
density con guration. However, in the explicit examples which will follow this exposition, we will compute the disk-annulus phase transition for the quartic potential.

Thus, assum eV (r^2) is such that r_0 (r) is voidless and supported in the disk r R. The eigenvalues behave like positive point charges in our electrostatic description of the matrix model. Thus, we should take the positive root of (4.10) for

E (r) =
$$r \frac{\theta}{\alpha r} V(r^2)$$
; r R: (4.13)

 $^{^{14}\}text{N}$ ote that once the 2K param eters $r_k^\text{m in ,m ax}$ are set, all the $_k$ are determ ined, since inside the led annuli' (r) is given by (4.4) and E (r) by (4.10).

From the continuity of E (r) at r = R and (4.6), we obtain

$$RE(R) = 1;$$
 (4.14)

which together with (4.13) forms an equation for R. (Equivalently, we can derive this equation by substituting Gauss' law (4.4) into the normalization condition (4.3).) Then, using (4.4), we can determ ine the eigenvalue density in the ground state as

$$'_{0}(r) = \frac{(R - r)}{2 r} \frac{\theta}{\theta r} r^{3} \frac{\theta}{\theta r} V(r^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (4.15)

From (4.11) and (4.14) we obtain

$$= \frac{1}{2R^2} + V(R^2) \tag{4.16}$$

for the Lagrange multiplier . Finally, the ground state energy E_0 is found by substituting (4.13)-(4.15) (and $_0$ (x;t) = 0) in the collective ham iltonian (3.61), and using the normalization condition (4.3). A fler some algebra, we obtain

$$E_{0} = N^{2} \operatorname{dr} \frac{\theta}{\theta r} (rE(r)) \frac{1}{2} r \frac{\theta V(r^{2})}{\theta r} + V(r^{2})$$

$$= N^{2} \operatorname{du} \frac{\theta (uV(u))}{\theta u} \frac{\theta}{\theta u} 2u^{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta u} V(u) \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2}$$
(4.17)

4.1 Examples

We end this paper by applying the form alism developed in this section in two explicit examples.

4.1.1 The Quadratic Potential

Consider the normal matrix model with quadratic potential (221), namely,

$$V(r^2) = \frac{1}{2}m^2r^2$$
 (4.18)

with m 2 > 0. This is essentially the model studied recently in detail in [23], and our purpose here is simply to show that the general form alism developed above reproduces the results of [23] to leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$.

From (4.13) - (4.15) we obtain

$$E(r) = m r (4.19)$$

and

$$'_{0}(r) = \frac{m}{r} = const$$
: (4.20)

in a disk of radius

$$R = \frac{1}{P m} : \tag{4.21}$$

Our result (4.20) is in agreement with Eq.(17) of [23] (with their = 1). The ground state energy as found from (4.17) is

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}N^2 m$$
; (4.22)

in agreement with (2.27) and also with Eq.(15) of [23], to leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$.

4.1.2 The Quartic Potential

Next we consider the quartic potential, which gives rise to a nonuniform ground state eigenvalue distribution $'_0$ (r). In this case the eigenvalues condense either into a disk or an annulus, depending on the sign of the coe cient of the quadratic term. The disk phase occurs for a positive coe cient of r^2 , and the annular phase occurs for a negative coe cient.

(a) The Disk Phase

Consider the quartic potential

$$V(r^2) = \frac{1}{2}m^2r^2 + \frac{g}{4}r^4$$
 (4.23)

with m^2 ; g > 0. From (4.10), the electric eld is

E (r) = m r 1 +
$$\frac{gr^2}{m^2}$$
 (4.24)

and the density is

$$'_{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{m}{4} \frac{1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{gr^{2}}{m^{2}}}{1 + \frac{gr^{2}}{m^{2}}};$$
 (4.25)

in a disk of radius R, where R is obtained by solving (4.14). In the quartic case (4.14) leads to the cubic equation

$$u^2 (u + 1) = a^2$$
 (4.26)

for the positive dim ensionless quantities

$$u = \frac{gR^2}{m^2}$$
 and $a = \frac{g}{m^3}$: (4.27)

The physical root of (4.26) should be chosen so as to have to correct value in the weak coupling and strong coupling lim its.

In the weak coupling $\lim_{m \to \infty} it a = \frac{g}{m^3}!$ 0, the electric eld (4.24) and eigenvalue density (4.25) tend manifestly to the corresponding quantities in the quadratic case. The root of (4.26) which tends smoothly to (4.21) is u' a << 1.

The strong coupling lim it a! 1 also yields simple expressions. The electric eld tends to

$$E(r) = {}^{p} \overline{g} r^{2};$$
 (4.28)

the eigenvalue density tends to

$$'_{0}(r) = \frac{3^{p} \overline{g}}{2} r;$$
 (4.29)

and the radius of the disk tends to

$$R = g^{\frac{1}{6}} : (4.30)$$

The corresponding root of (4.26) is $u' a^{\frac{2}{3}} >> 1$.

We shall not go into the solution of the cubic equation (4.26) for arbitrary coupling in any more detail. However, it is worth mentioning that the weak coupling and strong coupling branches of the solution correspond to two dierent roots of (4.26) each of which becomes real in its turn, which cross at $a = \frac{g}{m^3} = \frac{2}{27}$.

Finally, the ground state energy as found from (4.17) is

$$E_0 = \frac{m N^2}{4a^2} \frac{9}{7} (u+1)^{\frac{7}{2}} 1 \frac{9}{5} (u+1)^{\frac{5}{2}} 1 \frac{1}{3} (u+1)^{\frac{3}{2}} 1 + (u+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$$
(4.31)

It is easy to check that in the weak coupling \lim it (a; u << 1) this expression tends to (422), as it should. In the strong coupling \lim it (a; u >> 1), it tends to

$$E_0 = \frac{9N^2 g^{\frac{1}{3}}}{28} + O(a^{\frac{2}{3}}) ; \qquad (4.32)$$

which can be veri ed by plugging V $(r^2) = \frac{g}{4}r^4$ directly into (4.17). The scaling of the ground state energy in the strong coupling \lim it as $g^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is expected, of course, due to \lim ple dimensional analysis.

(b) The Annular Phase

In the annular phase the quartic potential is

$$V(r^2) = \frac{1}{2} r^2 + \frac{g}{4} r^4; \qquad (4.33)$$

with 2 ; g > 0. Then, from (4.10), the electric eld is

$$E(r) = r \frac{gr^2}{r^2} = 1$$
 (4.34)

and the density is

$$'_{0}(r) = -\frac{\frac{3}{2}\frac{gr^{2}}{2}}{\frac{gr^{2}}{2}}\frac{1}{1};$$
 (4.35)

in an annulus $r_{m \ in}$ r R .

The radius of the inner boundary

$$r_{\rm m \ in}^2 = \frac{2}{g}$$
 (4.36)

is found from the requirement that E^2 (r) be positive. Note that near the inner boundary the density diverges as

$$r_0(r) = \frac{g^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2} - \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{2} \frac{1}{r_{min}} + 0 \left(\frac{p}{r_{min}} \right) :$$
 (4.37)

The outer radius R is obtained by solving (4.14). The latter leads, in this case, to the cubic equation

$$u^2 (u - 1) = a^2$$
 (4.38)

for the positive dim ensionless quantities

$$u = \frac{gR^2}{2}$$
 and $a = \frac{g}{3}$; (4.39)

in a sim ilar manner to the disk phase.

As in the disk phase, the physical root of (4.38) should be chosen so as to have the correct value in the weak coupling and strong coupling limits.

In the annular phase, unlike the disk phase, the weak coupling \lim it $a = \frac{q}{3}$! 0 is singular, since at g=0, the potential (4.33) is unbounded from below and monotonically decreasing. The corresponding root of (4.38) in this \lim it is $u=1+a^2+0$ (a^4) which implies $R=r_{min}$ ($1+\frac{a^2}{2}+0$ (a^4)). Thus, in the weak coupling \lim it the eigenvalues condense into a narrow annulus of very large radius $r_{min}=\frac{1}{2}$ and width $r_{min}(\frac{a^2}{2}+0)$ (a^4)) $r_{min}=\frac{1}{2}$.

The strong coupling lim it a ! 1 is essentially the same as in the disk phase, as given by (428) - (430), and the corresponding root of (438) is u ' $a^{\frac{2}{3}} >> 1$. In particular, note that in the strong coupling lim it, the radius $r_{m \ in}$ of the inner boundary shrinks like $g^{\frac{1}{6}}a^{\frac{1}{3}}=Ra^{\frac{1}{3}}$, and the coe cient of the singularity (437) near the inner boundary dim in ishes like $g^{\frac{1}{4}}a^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so that the voidless bounded density (429) will be obtained in the lim it.

We shall not go into the solution of the cubic equation (4.26) for arbitrary coupling in any more detail, except for mentioning the fact that (4.38) has only one real root, which interpolates smoothly between the weak and strong coupling limits.

F inally, the ground state energy in the annular phase can be computed in a manner $\sin i \ln t$ to the derivation of (4.17). One $\sin t$

$$E_{0} = N^{2} \int_{r_{m in}^{2}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}} du \frac{\theta (uV (u))}{\theta u} \frac{\theta}{\theta u} 2u^{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta u} V (u)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \qquad (4.40)$$

which leads to the explicit expression

$$E_0 = \frac{N^2}{4a^2} \frac{9}{7} (u \quad 1)^{\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{9}{5} (u \quad 1)^{\frac{5}{2}} \quad \frac{1}{3} (u \quad 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (u \quad 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad : \tag{4.41}$$

In the strong coupling \lim it (a; u >> 1), it tends to (4.32), namely the strong coupling \lim it of the disk phase.

In the weak coupling \lim it (a << 1; u ' 1), on the other hand, (4.41) tends to the singular \lim it

$$E_0 = \frac{N^2}{4a} 1 + O(a^2) ' \frac{N^2 4}{4q} :$$
 (4.42)

Thus, in the weak coupling \lim it, E_0 tends to 1, sym ptom atic of the fact that the model (4.33) does not exist at g=0.

(c) A Few C om ments on the D isk-Annulus Phase Transition Starting from a given point (m²;g) in the disk phase, with the potential (423), we can induce a quantum phase transition into the annular phase, by holding g xed and tuning m² down all the way through m² = 0 into negative values. The electric eld E (r), eigenvalue density $^{\prime}$ ₀(r) and ground state energy E₀ are all continuous through the transition point at m² = 2 = 0. At the transition, they are given by the strong coupling formulas (428)-(430) and (432). Moreover, the annular eigenvalue distribution starts with a vanishing inner radius r_{min} at the transition.

This behavior is also expected of the disk-annulus phase transition in the zero dim ensional (i.e., time independent) quartic ensemble of normal matrices.

It is also interesting to compare the disk-annulus phase transition here with the corresponding transition in the zero dimensional quartic ensemble of complex matrices, studied in [8] and [9]. In the latter case, the Green's function (the resolvent) for the complex matrix M (i.e., the electric eld, see (3.41)), the eigenvalue distribution in the complex plane, the Green's function for M M and the density of singular values of M related to it, and thus the \free energy" of the D yson gas of singular values, were all continuous through the transition. However, unlike the situation here, the disk phase there invaded well into the $^2 > 0$ region in parameter space, and moreover,

at the transition, the disk fragmented into an annulus of nite critical inner radius. (This occurred by having a central part of the disk, of nite size, progressively depleted, as the transition point was approached from the disk phse.) Also, the density of eigenvalues at the boundaries of either the disk or the annulus was always nite, unlike (4.37).

A cknow ledgments I thank Boris Shapiro for a discussion concerning uctuations around the ground state. I also thank A lexander Abanov, Ilya G ruzberg, R azvan Teodorescu, Paul W iegmann and Anton Zabrodin for discussions on some aspects of the connection between this work and the normal matrix models [1], and also for turning my attention to [10]. Thanks are due also to Stjepan M eljanac for useful correspondence and for turning my attention to [18].

R eferences

- [1] O Agam, E.Bettelheim, P.B.W iegm ann and A.Zabrodin, Phys.Rev.Lett.88, 236802 (2002);
 - R. Teodorescu, E. Bettelheim, O. Agam, A. Zabrodin and P. B. Wiegmann, hep-th/0401165; hep-th/0407017
 - P.W iegmann, in Statistical Field Theories, A. Cappelli and G. Mussardo (eds.), p. 337 (2002) (Kluwer Academic Publishers) (cond-mat/0204254);
 - A. Zabrodin, Ann. Henri Poincare 4, S851 (2003) (cond-m at/0210331).
- [2] I. K. Kostov, I. Krichever, M. Mineev-Weinstein, P. B. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, in Random matrices and their applications, MSRI publications 40, 285 (2001) (Cambridge University Press) (hep-th/0005259).
- [3] S. Yu. A Lexandrov, V. A. Kazakov and I. K. Kostov, Nucl. Phys. B 667, 90 (2003).
- [4] L.-L. Chau and Y. Yu, Phys. Lett. A 167, 452 (1992); L.-L. Chau and O. Zaboronsky, Proceedings in Memory of Professor Wolfgang Kroll, ed. J.P. Hsu et al. (World Scientic, Singapore, 1997.)
- [5] G.Oas, Phys. Rev. E55, 205 (1997).
- [6] L.L.Chau and O. Zaboronsky, Commun. Math. Phys 196, 203 (1998).

- [7] P.B.W iegmann and A. Zabrodin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 3411 (2003); hep-th/0309253.
- [8] J. Feinberg, R. Scalettar and A. Zee, J. Math. Phys. 42, 5718 (2001).
- [9] J. Feinberg and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 501, 643 (1997).
- [10] M.V. Feigel'm an and M.A. Skvortsov, Nucl. Phys. B 506, 665 (1997).
- [11] F.Calogero, J.M ath. Phys. 10, 2191, 2197 (1969); ibid. 12, 419 (1971).
- [12] Bill Sutherland, J.M ath. Phys. 12, 246 (1971).
- [13] B.I. Sim ons, P.A. Lee and B.L. Altshuler, Nucl. Phys. B 409, 487 (1993).
- [14] F.Calogero and C.Marchioro, J.Math. Phys. 14, 182 (1973).
- [15] A.Khare and K.Ray, Phys. Lett. A 230, 139 (1997).
- [16] P.K.Ghosh, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.34, 5583 (2001).
- [17] A.P.Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B 408, 117 (1997).
- [18] S.Melanac, M.Milekovic and A.Sam sarov, Phys. Lett. B 594, 241 (2004).
- [19] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, Nucl. Phys. B 165, 511 (1980).
- [20] B. Sakita, Quantum Theory of Many-Variable Systems and Fields, Chapters 6 and 7 (World Scientic, Singapore, 1985).
- [21] J. Feinberg, in preparation.
- [22] J.G inibre, J.M ath. Phys. 6, 440 (1965).
- [23] V.Bardek and D.Jum an, hep-th/0403194.
- [24] E.Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.B. Zuber, Commun. Math. Phys 59, 35 (1978).

- [25] A.M atytsin, Nucl. Phys. B 411, 805 (1994).
- [26] J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B 362, 125 (1991).
- [27] D.Bohm and D.Pines, Phys. Rev. 92, 609 (1953).
- [28] J. Feinberg and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 504, 579 (1997).

 See also R. A. Janik, M. A. Nowak, G. Papp and I. Zahed, Nucl. Phys. B 501, 603 (1997).
- [29] L.G. Ya e, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, 407 (1982). For a brief sum mary of this coherent-state picture, see section 2 of T.A.Dickens, U.J.Lindquister, W.R. Som sky and L.G. Ya e, Nucl. Phys. B 309, 1 (1988). The latter paper, as well as [19] and [24], are reprinted in
 - E.Brezin and S.R.W adia, The Large N Expansion in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Physics (World Scientic, Singapore, 1993).