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#### Abstract

Lower-dim ensional (hyper)surfaces that can carry gauge or gauge/gravitational anom alies occur in $m$ any areas of physics: one-plus-one-dim ensional boundaries or tw o-dim ensional defect surfaces in condensed $m$ atter system $s$, four-dim ensional brane-w orlds in higher-dim ensionalcosm ologies orvariousbranes and orbifold planes in string or $M$ theory. In all cases we $m$ ay have (quantum) anom alies localized on these hypersurfaces that are only cancelled by \anom aly in ow" from certain topological interactions in the bulk. P roper cancellation between these anom aly contributions of di erent origin requires a careful treatm ent of factors and signs. W e review in som e detail how these contributions occur and discuss applications in condensed $m$ atter ( $Q$ uantum H all $E$ ect) and $M$ theory (ve-branes and orbifold planes).
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This work is dedicated to the $m$ em ory ofm y friend and collaborator Ian K ogan．H is interest in physics spanned alm ost all of theoretical physics．I hope the present contribution goes a little bit in this direction．

## 1 Introduction

Q uantum eld theories involving chiral elds coupled to gauge elds and／or gravity $m$ ay have anom alies．These anom alies are a breakdown of gauge，or local Lorentz or di eom orphism invariance respectively，at the one－loop level．M ore speci cally，the（one－loop）quantum e ective action ladks these invariances necessary for renorm alizability and unitarity．In a consistent theory all these anom aliesm ust cancellin A bsence ofanom alies has been $m$ uch used as a criterion form odels in high energy physics，from the prediction of the charm ed quark［iָ1］to the choice of gauge group of the superstring $[\underline{2}]$ ．$]$ ．

P ure gravitationalanom alies can only occur in $2 ; 6 ; 10 ;:::$ dim ensions while gauge orm ixed gauge－gravitational anom alies are possible in alleven dim ensions［⿶凵1－1．］．On the other hand，quan－ tum eld theories in odd dim ensions cannot be anom alous．N evertheless，there are $m$ any inter－ esting odd－dim ensional theories that possess even－dim ensional hypersurfaces $w$ ith chiralm atter localized on these surfaces．T ypically the chirality originates either from an orbifold－like pro－ jection，or it is the property of a given solution（gravity background）w ith the corresponding \anti－solution＂having opposite chirality．

Standard exam ples in eleven－dim ensional M theory 畒］are the ten－dim ensional orbifold planes arising from the $Z_{2}$－projection in the $H$ orava $\left\{W\right.$ Iten realization of the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \quad \mathrm{E}_{8}$ het－
 the anti ve－brane carries the sam e multiplet but of opposite chirality［ī］．O ne could also $m$ ention the $G_{2}$ com pacti cations $w$ ith conical singularities treated as boundaries of the eleven－ dim ensional space－tim e $[\underline{i}, \mathbf{i}]$ ．O ther exam ples are $3+1$－dim ensional brane－w orld cosm ologies w ith chiralm atter in a 5－dim ensional supergravity theory．A well－know n exam ple in condensed $m$ atter is the treatm ent of the $1+1$－dim ensional chiraledge currents in the $2+1$－dim ensional Q uantum Halle ect $\operatorname{bin}_{\underline{1}}^{1}$ ．O nem ight also consider chiral vortioes，again in $2+1$ dim ensions，or two－dim ensional defect surfaces in three－dim ensional（E uclidean）system s ．

[^0]Typically, these even-dim ensional chiral \subsystem s" possess one-loop anom alies. This does not contradict the fact that the originalodd-dim ensional theory is anom aly-free. C onsider forexam ple thee ective action ofeleven-dim ensionalM -theory. W hen com puting the functional integralone hasto sum the contributions of ve-branes and ofanti- ve-branes and, ofcourse, the anom alous contributions, being opposite, cancel. H ow ever, we rather like to think of $M$ theory $w$ thin a given badkground $w$ th som $e$ ve-branes in certain places and anti ve-branes in others, $m$ aybe far aw ay, and require lbcalanom aly cancellation, ie. on each (anti) ve-brane separately, rather than just global cancellation. Rem arkably, such local cancellation is indeed achieved by a so-called \anom aly in ow " from the bulk; M -theory has eleven-dim ensional Chem \{Sim ons like term $s$ that are invariant in the bulk but have a anom alous variations on vetoranes or on boundaries, precisely cancelling the one-loop anom alies locally [9̄, 'ī

In this paper we will explain in some generality such anom aly in ow from the bulk and how it can and does cancel the gauge and gravitational anom alies on the even-dim ensional hypersurfaces. Wewill discuss why anom aly in ow always originates from topological term s (in odd dim ensions). U sually, when discussing anom aly cancellation between di erent chiral elds one need not be very careful about overall com $m$ on factors. Here, how ever, we want to consider cancellations between anom aly contributions of very di erent origin and special attention has to be paid to all factors and signs (see [漹]). To this end, we also discuss in som e detail the continuation between Euclidean and M inkow ski signature, which again sheds som e new light on why it must be the topological term $s$ that lead to anom aly in ow .

In the next section, we begin by a generaldiscussion of anom alies and anom aly in ow from the bulk, spending some time and space on the subtle continuation betw een Euclidean and M inkow ski signature. Part of this section is just a recollection of standard results on oneloop anom alies $\underline{\left.\underline{1} 1 \overline{1}_{1}\right]}$ w ith special attention to conventions and signs. W e explain how anom aly in ow uses the descent equations and why it necessarily originates from a manifold of higher dim ension than the one on which the anom alous theory lives. Section 3 describes an elem entary application to the (integer) $Q$ uantum $H$ all $E$ ect where the ective bulk theory is a Chem $\{$ Sim ons theory and the boundary degrees of freedom are the chiral edge currents; anom aly cancellation by in ow from the bulk correctly explains the quantized H all conductance. In Section 4, we describe two exam ples of anom aly cancellation by in ow in $M$ theory in quite som e detail: on ve-branes and on the $Z_{2}$-orbifold planes. For the ve-branes, in order to get all signs and coe cients consistent, we rederive everything from scratch: the solution itself,
the modi ed Bianchi identity, the zerom odes and their chirality, the one-loop anom aly and the FHMM $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]} \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ mechanism for the in ow. For the orbifold planes we insist on the correct norm alization of the $B$ ianchi identity and describe the $m$ odi cation of the $C$ hem \{Sim ons term obtained in $[\underset{-1}{[-]}$ necessary to get the correct in ow. Finally, in Section 5, we brie y mention analogous cancellations in brane-w orld scenarios.

## 2 O ne-Loop A nom alies and A nom aly In ow

### 2.1 C onventions

W e begin by carefully de ning our conventions. They are the sam e as in [ī]. In M inkow skian space we alw ays use signature ( ;+ ;:::;+) and label the coordinates $\mathrm{x}, \quad=0 ;:: \mathrm{D}$ 1. We alw ays choose a right-handed coordinate system such that
(W ith $x^{0}$ being tim e and for even $D$, this is a non-trivial statem ent. In particular, for even $D$, if we relabelled time as $x^{0}!x^{D}$ then $x^{1} ;::: x^{D}$ would be a left-handed coordinate system!) We de ne the -tensor as

$$
\begin{equation*}
01:::(\mathbb{D} 1)=+\frac{q}{\overline{j g} j}, \quad 01:::(\mathbb{D} 1)=\frac{1}{\overline{\bar{j} j}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x^{1} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d x^{D}=\quad 1::: D^{q} \overline{\bar{j} j} d^{D} x: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A p-form ! and its com ponents are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{1}{p!}!_{1::: p} d x^{1} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d x^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its dual is

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{1}{p!(D \quad p)!}!_{1::: p_{p}}^{1::: p_{p+1::: ~}^{D}} d x^{p+1} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d x^{D}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $(!)=()^{p(D)+1}!$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\wedge \quad!=\frac{1}{p!}!_{1::: p_{p}}!_{1::: p_{p}^{q}}^{\bar{g} j d^{D} x}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally we note that the com ponents of the $(p+1)$-form $=d$ ! are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}::: p_{p+1}=(p+1) @_{[1}!_{2::: p+1]} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w here the brackets denote anti-sym $m$ etrization $w$ ith total w eight one) and that the divergence of a p-form is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d!=\frac{()^{D(p 1)+1}}{\left(p^{1} 1\right)!} r \quad!_{1::: p_{1}} d x^{1} \wedge::^{\wedge} d x^{p 1}: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e de ne the curvature 2-form $R^{a b}=\frac{1}{2} R^{a b} \quad d x \wedge d x$ in term $s$ of the spin-connection $!a b$ as $R^{a b}=d!^{a b}+!^{a}{ }_{c}{ }^{\wedge}!^{c b}$. Here $a ; b ; c=0 ;::: D \quad 1$ are $\backslash$ at" indioes, related to the \curved" ones by the $D$ toein $e^{a}$. The torsion is $T^{a}=d e^{a}+!{ }_{b}^{a}{ }^{\wedge} e^{b}$. TheR iem ann tensor $R$ is related to the curvature 2-form via $R^{a b}=e^{a} e^{b} R$, and the $R$ iccitensor is $R=R$ while the $R$ ioci scalar $R$ is given by $R=R \quad . W$ th this sign convention, (space-like) spheres have R > 0 .

For gauge theory, the gauge elds, eld strength and gauge variation are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=A d z ; A=A \quad ; \quad()^{y}=\quad ; \\
& \left.F=d A+A^{2} d A+A \wedge A ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{A} A}=D v=d v+\mathbb{A} ; v\right]: \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus F is anti-herm itian and di ers by an i from a hem itian eld strength used by certain authors ${ }_{-1}^{2_{1}}$ Forgravity, one considers the spin connection ! ${ }_{b}^{a}$ as an $S O(2 n)$-m atrix valued 1-form . Sim ilarly, the param eters ${ }_{b}$ of local Lorentz transform ations (with ${ }^{a b}={ }^{\text {ba }}$ ) are considered as an $S O(2 n)$-m atrix. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=d!+!^{2} ; \quad e^{a}=\quad{ }_{b} e^{b} ; \quad!=D=d+[!;]: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For spin $\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ions the relevant $D$ irac operator is ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the inverse 2 n -bein)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{a}{ }^{a} @+A+\frac{1}{4}!_{c d} ; \quad{ }^{c d} \quad ; \quad{ }^{d d}=\frac{1}{2}\left[{ }^{c} ;{ }^{d}\right]: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 C ontinuation B etw een $M$ inkow ski and Euclidean Signature

W e now tum to the continuation to Euclidean signature. W hile the $M$ inkow skian functional integral contains $e^{i S_{M}}$, the E uclidean one contains $e^{S_{E}} . T$ his im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{M}=i S_{E} \quad ; \quad x^{0}=i x_{E}^{0}: \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]$H$ ow ever, for a Euclidean $m$ anifold $M_{E}$ it is natural to index the coordinates from 1 to $D$, not from 0 to $D \quad 1.0$ ne could, of course, simply write $i x^{0}=x_{E}^{0} \quad x_{E}^{D}$. The problem then is for even $D=2 n$ that $d x_{E}^{0} \wedge d x^{1} \wedge::: d x^{2 n 1}=d x^{1} \wedge::: d x^{2 n 1 \wedge} d x_{E}^{2 n}$ and if ( $\left.x_{E}^{0} ;::: x^{2 n 1}\right)$ was a right-handed coordinate system then ( $\mathrm{x}^{1} ;::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}^{2 \mathrm{n}}$ ) is a left-handed one. This problem is solved by shifting the indices of the coordinates as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
i x^{0}=x_{E}^{0}=z^{1} ; x^{1}=z^{2} ;::: ; x^{D}=z^{D}: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$T$ his is equivalent to a speci c choice of an orientation on the Euclidean $m$ anifold $M_{E}$. In particular, we im pose

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{z}^{1} \wedge:::^{\wedge} \mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{D}}=+^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{~g} d^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{z}} 0: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen, of course, for any tensor we sim ilarly shift the indioes, e.g. $\mathrm{C}_{157}=\mathrm{C}_{268}^{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{034}=\mathrm{iC} \mathrm{E}_{145}^{\mathrm{E}}$. W e have G G $\quad=G_{j k l m}^{E} G{ }_{E}^{j k l m}$ as usual, and for a p-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{1}{p!}!_{1}:::{ }_{p} d x^{1} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d x^{p}=\frac{1}{p!}!_{j_{1}}^{E}:: j_{p} d z^{j_{1}} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d z^{j_{p}}=!^{E}: \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have for $p=D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}!=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{E}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}!^{\mathrm{E}} ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

 become

The dual of a p-form $!^{E}$ is de ned as in ( $\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) but using E . It then follows that $\left(!_{\mathrm{E}}\right)=$ ( $)^{p(D)}!_{E}$ (w ith an additionalm inus sign $w$ th respect to the $M$ inkow skirelation) and, as in


It follows from the preceding discussion that the Euclidean action is not always real, not even its bosonic part. The original (real) M inkow skian action can contain tw o types of (locally) Lorentz invariant term $s$, term $s$ that involve the $m$ etric like such as

$$
S_{M}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \operatorname{trF} \wedge F=\frac{1}{4}^{Z} \operatorname{trF} \quad F \quad \begin{align*}
& q  \tag{2.18}\\
& \dot{\operatorname{g} j} d^{D} x
\end{align*}
$$

and topological (C hem \{Sim ons type) term $s$ that do not involve the $m$ etric such as (ifD is odd)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{M}^{(2)}=\operatorname{tr}^{Z} \wedge F \wedge::^{\wedge} \mathcal{F}: \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

 are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{E}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \operatorname{trF}_{\mathrm{E}} \wedge \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{1}{4}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{trF}^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{z} \tag{220}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{i} \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{E}} \wedge \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{E}} \wedge:::^{\wedge} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}: \tag{221}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the im aginary part of the Euclidean bosonic action is given by the topological term s . $N$ ote that from now on we will not write the wedge products explicitly, but $\operatorname{trA} \mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ ill be short-hand for $\operatorname{tr} A \wedge F \wedge F$, etc.

There is a further subtlety that needs to be settled when discussing the relation between the $M$ inkow skian and Euclidean form $s$ of the anom alies. O ne has to know how the chirality $m$ atrix is continued from the Euclidean to the $M$ inkow skian and vioe versa. This $w$ ill be relevant for the 2 n -dim ensional subm anifolds. The continuation of the $m$ atrioes is dictated by the continuation of the coordinates we have adopted (cf $(\underline{2}-1.1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{M}^{0}={ }_{E}^{1} ; \quad{ }_{M}^{1}={ }_{E}^{2} ; \quad::: \quad{ }_{M}^{2 n} 1={ }_{E}^{2 n}: \tag{222}
\end{equation*}
$$

In accordance with Ref. [īinil we de ne the $M$ inkow skian and Euclidean chirality matrioes m and $E$ in 2 n dim ensions as

Both $M$ and $E$ are herm itian. Taking into account ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}^{2}$ ) this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{E} ; \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

ie. what we call positive chirality in $M$ inkow ski space is called negative chirality in Euclidean space and vioe versa. T his relative $m$ inus sign is som ew hat unfortunate, but it is necessary to de ne self-dual $n$-form $s$ from a pair of positive chirality spinors, both in $M$ inkow skian space (w th our convention for the tensor) and in Euclidean space (w th the conventions of

Indeed, as is well-know $n$, in $2 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{k}+2$ dimensions, from a pair of spinors of the sam e chirality one can alw ays construct the com ponents of an $n$-form $H$ by sandw iching $n$ (di erent)

[^2]m atrioes betw een the tw o spinors. In M inkow skian space we call such an $n$-form $H^{M}$ self-dual if
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{M}::: n=+\frac{1}{n!} \quad 1::: 2 n H_{M}^{n+1::: 2 n} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

(w ith given by ( $(\underline{2}-2)$ ) and it is obtained from 2 spinors $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I}=1 ; 2)$ satisfying $\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{I}=+\mathrm{I}$ 。 In Euclidean space $H^{E}$ is called self-dual if (cf

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j_{1}::: j_{n}}^{E}=+\frac{i}{n!}{ }_{j_{1}::: j_{2 n}} H_{E}^{j_{n}+1::: j_{2 n}} \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

 + I. W ith these conventions a self-dual n-form in M inkow ski space continues to an anti-self-dual n-form in Euclidean space, and vice versa, consistent with the fact that positive chirality in M inkow ski space continues to negative chirality in Euclidean space. The situation is sum $m$ arized in Table'i-1 where each of the four entries corresponds to any of the 3 others.

|  | M inkow skian | Euclidean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| spinors | positive chirality | negative chirality |
| n-form | self-dual | anti-self-dual |

Table 1: C orrespondences between the (anti-) self-duality of $n$-form $s$ in $2 n=4 k+2$ dim ensions and the chirality of the corresponding pair of spinors are given, as well as their E uclidean, resp. M inkow skian continuations.

A s we will recall below, the anom alies are given by topological term $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(2 \mathrm{n})}$ whose continuation is sim ply ${ }_{M}^{R}{ }_{E}^{2 n} D_{E}^{(2 n)}$ (cfEq. (2.1 $\left.\overline{1}\right)$ ) where $D_{M}^{(2 n)}$ is the anom aly expression obtained by continuation from $D_{E}^{(2 n)}$ w ith the chiralities corresponding as discussed above. O ne also has to rem ember that the continuation of the e ective action includes an extra factor i according
 in $M$ inkow ski space is given by $\quad M={ }_{M}^{R}{ }_{M}^{2 n} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$ if in Euclidean space the anom aly of a negative chirality spinor (or an anti-self-dual $n$-form ) is given by $E=\quad i^{R}{ }_{M}^{2 n} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$. This will be discussed in $m$ ore detail in the next subsection.

### 2.3 The O ne-Loop A nom alies

$T$ his subsection is a sum $m$ ary of the results of $\left[1 i_{-1}^{1}\right]$ where the anom alies for various chiral elds in Euclidean space were related to index theory. This whole subsection will be in Euclidean space of even dim ension $2 n$. $W$ e rst give the di erent relevant indioes. The sim plest index
is that of a positive chirality spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ eld. Here positive chirality $m$ eans positive Euclidean chirality as de ned above. For spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ions the relevant Euclidean D irac operator is (cf. (2.1ב1') )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=E_{a}^{j}{ }^{a} C_{j}+A_{j}+\frac{1}{4}!_{c d ; j}{ }^{c d} ; \quad c d=\frac{1}{2}\left[{ }^{c} ;{ }^{d}\right]: \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ne $F_{\frac{1}{2}}=f \frac{1+}{2}$ and the index as

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ind }\left(\mathrm{iF} ⿻_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)= & \text { num ber of zero } m \text { odes of } i F_{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \text { num ber of zero } m \text { odes of }\left(\mathrm{i} F_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{y}: \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by the A tiyah \{Singer index theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind }\left(\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)={\underset{M}{2 n}} \hat{A^{\wedge}}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2 n}\right) \text { ch }(F) l_{n} \text {; } \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(F)=\operatorname{tr} \exp \frac{i}{2} F$ is the Chem character and $\hat{A( }\left(M_{2 n}\right)$ is the $D$ irac genus of the $m$ anifold, given below. The subscript $2 n$ indicates to pidk only the part which is a $2 n$-form . N ote that if the gauge group is ${ }^{Q}{ }_{k} G_{k}$, then ch ( $F$ ) is replaced by ${ }^{Q}{ }_{k}$ ch $\left(F_{k}\right)$.

A nother im portant index is that of a positive chirally spin $-\frac{3}{2}$ eld. Such a eld is obtained from a positive chirality spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ eld w ith an extra vector index by subtracting the spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ part. An extra vector index leads to an additional factor for the index density,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \exp \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{2} R_{a b} T^{a b}=\operatorname{tr} \exp \frac{i}{2} R ; \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the vector representation is $\left(T^{a b}\right)_{c d}=\begin{array}{llll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & a \\ & d\end{aligned} \quad \begin{gathered}b \\ c\end{gathered}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind (iD } \left.\frac{3}{2}\right)={\underset{M}{2 n}}_{Z}^{\hat{A}\left(M_{2 n}\right) \operatorname{tr} \exp } \frac{i}{2} R \quad 1 \quad \text { ch (F) }{ }_{2 n}: \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third type of eld which leads to anom alies is a self-dual or anti-self-dual n-form H in $2 n=4 k+2$ dimensions. Such antisymmetric tensor elds carry no charge wr.t. the gauge group. A s discussed above, a self-dual tensor can be constructed from a pair of positive chirality spinors. Correspondingly, the index is $\hat{A}\left(M_{2 n}\right) m u l t i p l i e d ~ b y ~ t r e x p ~ \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{2} R_{a b} T^{a b}$, $\mathrm{where} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ as appropriate for the spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ representation. N ote that the trace over the spinor representation gives a factor $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ in 2 n dim ensions. There is also an additional factor $\frac{1}{2}$ from the chirality projector of this second spinor and another factor $\frac{1}{2}$ from a reality constraint ( H is real),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind }\left(i D_{A}\right)=\frac{1}{4}_{M_{2 n}}^{Z} \hat{A}\left(M_{2 n}\right) \operatorname{tr} \exp \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{4} R_{a b}^{a b} \quad=\frac{1}{4}_{2 n}^{M_{2 n}} \mathbb{L}(M) l_{n}: \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L(M)$ is called the $H$ irzebruch polynom ial, and the subscript on $D_{A}$ stands for \antisym $m$ etric tensor". (N ote that, while $\hat{A}\left(M_{2 n}\right)$ tr $\exp \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{4} R_{a b}$ ab carries an overall factor $2^{n}, L\left(M_{2 n}\right)$ has a factor $2^{\mathrm{k}}$ in front of each 2 k -form part. It is only for $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}$ that they coincide.)

O f course, the index of a negative chirality (anti-self-dual) eld is m inus that of the corresponding positive chirality (self-dual) eld. Explicitly one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h }(F)=\operatorname{tr} \exp \frac{i}{2} F=\operatorname{tr} 1+\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{trF}+:::+\frac{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{k}!(2)^{\mathrm{k}}} \operatorname{trF}^{\mathrm{k}}+::: ; \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{(4)^{6}} \frac{1}{5670} \operatorname{trR}^{6}+\frac{1}{4320} \operatorname{trR}^{4} \operatorname{trR}^{2}+\frac{1}{10368}\left(\operatorname{trR}^{2}\right)^{3}+::: ;  \tag{2.34}\\
& \hat{A}\left(M_{2 n}\right) \quad \operatorname{tr} e^{\frac{i}{2} R} \quad 1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & 1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{2 n}{25} \operatorname{trR}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{(4)^{4}} \frac{2 n+239}{360} \operatorname{tr} R^{4}+\frac{2 n \quad 49}{288}\left(\operatorname{trR}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{(4)^{6}} \frac{2 n \quad 505}{5670} \operatorname{trR}^{6}+\frac{2 n+215}{4320} \operatorname{trR}^{4} \operatorname{trR}^{2}+\frac{2 n}{10368}\left(\operatorname{trR}^{2}\right)^{3}+::: ;  \tag{2.35}\\
& L\left(M_{2 n}\right)=1 \frac{1}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{6} \operatorname{trR}^{2}+\frac{1}{(2)^{4}} \frac{7}{180} \operatorname{trR}^{4}+\frac{1}{72}\left(\operatorname{tr}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{(2)^{6}} \frac{31}{2835} \operatorname{trR}^{6}+\frac{7}{1080} \operatorname{trR}^{4} \operatorname{trR}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{1296}\left(\operatorname{trR}^{2}\right)^{3}+:::: \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

To proceed, we need to de ne exactly what we m ean by the anom aly. For the tim e being, we suppose that the classical action is invariant (no in ow ), but that the Euclidean quantum
 param eter $v$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v} E[\mathbb{A}]=^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{trvG}(\mathbb{A}): \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Local Lorentz anom alies are treated analogously. N ote that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{vE}[A]=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D}\right) \frac{\mathrm{E}[A]}{A}=\mathrm{Z} v(D J) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

or ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}[\mathbb{A}]=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\operatorname{trD} \operatorname{V} \frac{\mathrm{E}[A]}{A}=\operatorname{trvD}_{\mathrm{E}[A]}^{A}} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $G(A)$ is identi ed with $D \frac{E[A]}{A}$ or $G(A)$ with (D J). To avoid these com plications, we will simply refer to the anom alous variation of the e ective action, ve $\mathbb{A}]$ as the anom aly. So our anom aly is the negative integrated divergence of the quantum current ( $m$ ultiplied w ith the variation param eter $v$ ).

A m ost im portant result of [1]in in is the precise relation betw een the anom aly in 2 n dim ensions and index theorem sin $2 \mathrm{n}+2$ dim ensions, which for the pure gauge anom aly of positive chirality spin $\frac{1}{2}$ eld is (Eq. (3.35) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{E}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}}[A]=+\frac{i^{n}}{(2)^{n}(n+1)!} \quad Q_{2 n}^{1}(v ; A ; F): \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he standard descent equations $d Q_{2 n}^{1}={ }_{v} Q_{2 n+1}$ and $d Q_{2 n+1}=\operatorname{trF}{ }^{n+1}$ relate $Q_{2 n}^{1}$ to the invariant polynom ial trF ${ }^{\mathrm{n}+1}$. Comparing w ith ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{-} \overline{3} \overline{3}^{1}\right)$ we see that the pure gauge anom aly is thus given by $\left.\mathrm{ve}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{A}\right]={ }^{R} \mathrm{I}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1 \text {; gauge }} \mathrm{w}$ th the descent equations $\mathrm{dI}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1 \text {; aquge }}={ }_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{I}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{\text {gauge }}$ and $d I_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{\text {gauge }}=I_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}^{\text {gauge }}$, where $\mathrm{I}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}^{\text {gauge }}=2 \mathrm{i}\left[\mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{n}+2}\right.$. This is im mediately generalized to inchude all gauge and local Lorentz anom alies due to all three types of chiral elds

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{E}[A]=\mathrm{I}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1} ;  \tag{2.41}\\
d I_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1}={ }_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}+1}} ; \quad \mathrm{d} I_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=I_{2 \mathrm{n}+2} ; \tag{2.42}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $I_{2 n+2}$ equals 2 itim es the relevant index density appearing in the index theorem in $2 n+2$ dim ensions (corrected by a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the case of the antisym $m$ etric tensor eld, see below ). This show s that the Euclidean anom aly is purely im aginary. It is thus convenient to introduce $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ as $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{i} \hat{\mathrm{I}}$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E[\mathbb{A}]=i^{\mathrm{Z}} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1} ;  \tag{2.43}\\
\mathrm{d} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1}=\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} ; \mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{I}+1}=\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}: \tag{2.44}
\end{gather*}
$$

Explicitly we have (always for positive Euclidean chirality, respectively Euclidean self-dual form s )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}}=2 \hat{\mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{~F})_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}^{\mathrm{i}} ; \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]\[

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{3}{2}} & =2 \hat{\mathrm{~A}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right) \quad \operatorname{tr} \exp \quad \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \mathrm{R} & 1 \quad \operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{~F})_{2 \mathrm{n}+2} ; \\
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}^{\mathrm{A}} & =2 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}: \tag{2.47}
\end{array}
$$
\]

The last equation contains an extra factor $\frac{1}{2}$ w ith respect to the index ( $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{2}^{2}\right)$. The $m$ inus sign takes into account the B ose rather than Ferm i statistics, and the $\frac{1}{2}$ corrects the $2^{n+1}$ to $2^{n}$ which is the appropriate dim ension of the spinor representation on $M_{2 n}$ while the index is com puted in $2 n+2$ dim ensions. Note that in the cases of interest, the spin $-\frac{3}{2}$ gravitino is not charged under the gauge group and in $(\overline{2}-\overline{4} \mathbf{G})$ the factor of ch $(F)$ sim ply equals 1 .

Equations $(\underline{2} .4 \overline{3})-(\overline{2}-\overline{4})$ together $w$ ith $(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})-(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{6})$ give explicit expressions for the anom alous variation of the Euclidean e ective action. In the previous subsection we carefully studied the continuation of topological term $s$ like ${ }^{R} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$ between M inkow ski and Euclidean signature. It follow s from equations ( $M$ inkow skian e ective action is given directly by $\hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sum_{M \underset{2 n}{m}} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}: \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, one has to rem em ber that ( $w$ ith our conventions for m) the chiralities in M inkow ski space and Euclidean space are opposite. W hile $\hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$ corresponds to positive chirality in the Euclidean, it corresponds to negative chirality in M inkow skispace, i.e. Eq. (2. $\overline{2} \mathbf{4}$ di) is the anom aly for a negative chirality eld in M inkow ski space. O bviously, the anom aly of a positive chirality eld in $M$ inkow ski space is just the opposite.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G} S \mathrm{~W}}=(2)^{\mathrm{n}} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2} ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{FLO}}=\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}: \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ip of sign between $I_{\text {FLO }}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$ is such that ${ }^{R} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{FLO}}^{1}$ directly gives the variation of the M inkow skian e ective action for positive chirality spinors in M inkow skian space (w th our de nition of m ).

Before we go on, it is perhaps useful to look at an explicit exam ple in four dim ensions. C onsider the simple case of a spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ion of negative $M$ inkow skian chirality coupled to $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge elds. In the Euclidean, this corresponds to positive chirality and hence the anom alous variation of the $M$ inkow skian e ective action is $M^{R}={ }^{R} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{4}^{1}$, where $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{4}^{1}$ is related via the descent equations to $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{6}$ which is obtained from ( $\overline{2}-4 \overline{4} \overline{\bar{T}_{1}}$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{6}=\frac{i}{6(2)^{2}} \operatorname{tr} F^{3}: \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this is real since by $\left(\underline{2} . \mathbf{D}_{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{F}^{3}$ is purely im aginary. A lso, there is no m ixed gaugegravitational anom aly since the relevant term $\operatorname{tr}^{2} \operatorname{trF}$ vanishes for $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge elds. It is only for $U$ (1) gauge elds that one can get a $m$ ixed gauge-gravitationalanom aly in four dim ensions. U sing the descent equations one explicitly gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
m={\frac{i}{6(2)^{2}}}^{z} \operatorname{trvdAdA}+\frac{1}{2} A^{3}: \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is im portant to note that we are only discussing the so-called consistent anom aly. Indeed, since our anom aly is de ned as the variation of the e ective action it autom atically satis es the $W$ ess-Zum ino consistency condition [i] $[1]$ and hence is the consistent anom aly. There is also another $m$ anifestation of the anom aly, the so-called covariant anom aly (whidh in the present \left. exam ple would be ${\frac{i}{2(2)^{2}}}^{R} \operatorname{trvF}^{2}\right)$. The latter is not relevant to us here and we w ill not discuss it further (see how ever R ef. [i] i- $\overline{-1}]$ ).
$F$ inally, it is worth $m$ entioning that the anom alies are \quantized" in the follow ing sense: once we have nom alized the gauge and gravitational elds in the usualway (so that $F=d A+A^{2}$ and $R=d!+!^{2}$ ) the anom alies have no explicit dependence on the gauge or gravitational coupling constants. In a given theory, the total anom aly is a sum of the xed anom alies $\hat{\mathrm{I}}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}}, \hat{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{spin} \frac{3}{2}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ w ith coe cients that count the multiplicities of the corresponding elds, i.e. are integers. Of course, this cam e about from the relation $w$ th index theory, and there was just no place where any coupling constants could show up. A nother way to see this is to recall that the anom alies are one-loop contributions to the e ective action com ing from exponentiating determ inants. In the loop expansion of the e ective action only the one-loop term is independent of the coupling constants.

### 2.4 A nom aly $C$ ancellation by In ow

$W$ e have seen that the anom alous variation of the one-loop quantum e ective action is $E_{E}=$
$i^{R}{ }_{M}^{2 n} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$ in the Euclidean and $M_{M}^{R}={ }_{M}^{2 n} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$ in the $M$ inkow skian case. $N$ ow, we want to discuss the situation where $M_{2 n}$ is a $2 n$-dim ensional subm anifold (on which live the chiral elds that give rise to the anom aly) em bedded in a m anifold of higher dim ension $D$.

To appreciate the role of the higher-dim ensionalem bedding, let us rst rem ark that a (consistent) anom aly in 2 n dim ensions cannot be cancelled by adding to the classical invariant
 pends on the gauge and gravitational elds only (as does $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}$ ). Indeed, a consistent anom aly
$\hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$, characterized by a non-vanishing $\hat{I}_{2 n+2}$, is only de ned up to the addition of such a local
 one has the one-loop anom aly $E=i^{R} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}$. Upon descent this leads to $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$. If one adds the counterterm $\left.{ }_{E}^{(1)} \mathbb{A} ;!\right]$ to the classical action the variation of the new e ective action and the descent equations ( $\left.2.4 \overline{4} \bar{q}^{\prime}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}+{ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{(1)} & =\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{Z}} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1}+; \\
\mathrm{d} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1}+ & =\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{d}=\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{d} ; \\
\mathrm{d} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{d} & =\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}+0 \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

w th the sam e $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$ as before; the invariant polynom ial is insensitive to the addition of a local counterterm.

W hile addition of a local counterterm cannot elim inate the anom aly, it can be used to shift between two di erent expressions of the \sam e" anom aly. Consider as an exam ple the $m$ ixed U (1) gauge-gravitational anom aly for a negative chirality spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ion in four $M$ inkow skian dim ensions characterized by the invariant 6-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{6}^{m} \text { ixed }=\frac{q}{12(4)^{2}} F \operatorname{tr} R^{2} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that for $U$ (1) gauge elds $A$ ' iqA, $F$ ' igF and $v^{\prime}$ iq~). Upon descent, this gives $\hat{I}_{4}^{m}$ ixed; either as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{4}^{m} \text { ixed; }=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{12(4)^{2}} \sim \operatorname{tr} R^{2} \quad \text { or } \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{4}^{m \text { ixed } ; 1}=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{12(4)^{2}} \mathrm{~F} \text { tr } \mathrm{d}!: \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Addition of the counterterm

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(1)}={\frac{q}{12(4)^{2}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~A} \operatorname{tr}\left(!d!+\frac{2}{3}!^{3}\right) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows interpolation between the two expressions of the anomaly since
$\left.{ }^{(1)}=\frac{q}{12(4)^{2}}{ }^{R} F \operatorname{tr} d!{ }^{R} \sim \operatorname{tr}^{2}\right)$.
The preceding discussion show s that the anom aly cannot be cancelled by adding localterm s de ned on the sam e $2 n$-dim ensionalm anifold on which live the chiral elds responsible for the anom aly. Instead, we w ill consider localterm s de ned on a higher-dim ensionalm anifold which contains the 2 n -dim ensional one as a subm anifold.

[^4]$T$ he sim plest exam ple is a 3 -dim ensional manifld $M_{3}$ whose boundary is a 2 -dim ensional m anifold $\mathrm{M}_{2}=@ \mathrm{M}_{3}$. In practice, one has to pay attention to the orientations of $@ M_{3}$ and $M_{2}$ and be carefulw hether what one calls $M_{2}$ is $@_{3}$ or $@ M_{3}$, ie. $@ M_{3} w$ th opposite orientation. Suppose that on $M_{2}$ lives a chiralspin $-\frac{1}{2}$ eld coupled to a gauge eld A. The gauge anom aly is (for positive Euclidean chirality) $E_{E}=\quad i_{M_{2}}^{R} \hat{I}_{2}^{1}$ where $\hat{I}_{2}^{1}$ is obtained by the descent equations from $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{4}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{trF}^{2}$. Explicitly
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{I}_{3}}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{AdA}+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~A}^{3} \quad \frac{1}{4} Q_{3}^{\mathrm{CS}} ;  \tag{2.56}\\
& \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2}^{1}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{trvdA} \quad \frac{1}{4} Q_{2}^{\mathrm{CS} ; 1} \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $Q_{3}^{C S}$ is the usual C hem \{Sim ons 3-form, obviously obeying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}^{C S}=d Q_{2}^{C s ; 1}: \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow suppose that the 3-dim ensionalEuclidean action contains a Chem \{Sim ons term

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{C S}=\frac{i}{4}_{M_{3}}^{z} Q_{3}^{C S}: \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s discussed in Section 2.1, this topological term needs to be purely im aginary in order to correspond to a real term in the $M$ inkow skian action. On the other hand, being im aginary in the Euclidean case is exactly $w$ hat is needed to $m$ atch the anom alous part of the e ective action, as we now proceed to show. U nder a gauge variation, the C hem \{Sim ons term transform sas

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{C S}=\frac{i}{4}_{M_{3}}^{z} \mathrm{dQ}_{2}^{\mathrm{CS;1}} ; \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which would vanish if $M_{3}$ had no boundary. By Stoke's theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{C S}=\frac{i}{4}_{\varrho \mathrm{m}_{3}}^{\mathrm{Z}} Q_{2}^{\mathrm{CS;1}}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{M}_{2}}^{\mathrm{Z}}{\hat{I_{2}^{1}}}^{1}: \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the non-invariance of the C hem $\{$ Sim ons term is localized on the 2 -dim ensionalboundary m anifold $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and, w th the coe cient chosen as above, it exactly cancels the one-loop anom aly. This is called anom aly cancellation by anom aly in ow from the bulk. This exam ple is particularly simple as the $C$ hem $\left\{\right.$ Sim ons term is nothing but $S_{C S}=i^{R} \hat{I}_{3}$ and the anom aly in ow is govemed directly by the descent equations $\quad \hat{I}_{3}=d \hat{I}_{2}^{1}$.

A s an exam ple of a som ew hat di erent type, consider a 5-dim ensionalM inkow skian theory involving a $U$ (1)-gauge eld and gravity and suppose it adm its solutions that are analogous to
$m$ agnetic $m$ onopoles in 4 dim ensions. In 5 dim ensions these are $m$ agnetically charged string or vortex like solutions. Their world-volum e is a 2 -dim ensionalm anifold $\mathrm{W}_{2}$. In the presence of such a solution, the $B$ ianchi identity $d F=0$ is modied as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dF}={\underset{\mathrm{W}}{2}}_{(3)} \text {; } \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is som e coe cient $m$ easuring the $m$ agnetic charge density on the string and ${ }_{W}^{(3)}$ is a D irac distribution 3-form with support on the 2 -dim ensional world-volum e $\mathrm{W}_{2}$. It has the property that ${ }_{M_{5}}^{R} \underset{W_{2}}{(3)}={\underset{W}{2}}_{R}^{W_{2}}$ for any 2-form . Typically, on $W_{2}$ live some chiral elds. If we suppose that they carry no $U(1)$-charge and that there are $n_{+}$positive and $n$ negative ( $M$ inkow skian) chirallty spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ elds, there $w i l l$ only be a gravitational anom aly in two dim ensions equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}={\frac{\mathrm{n}}{96} \mathrm{n}_{+}}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{W}_{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~d}!: \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can again be cancelled by anom aly in ow from the bulk. Suppose there is a topological term in the 5-dim ensional action involving $F$ and the gravitational $C$ hem \{Sim ons 3-form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {top }}={\underset{M}{5}}_{Z} F \operatorname{tr}!d!+\frac{2}{3}!^{3}: \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its variation is (using again a descent relation analogous to ( $\left(2.5 \mathbf{D}^{\prime}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\text {top }} & =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}_{5} \mathrm{~F} d t r} \mathrm{~d}!=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}_{5}} \mathrm{dF} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~d}! \\
& =\mathrm{M}_{5}{ }_{\mathrm{W} 2_{2}}^{(3)} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{d}!={ }_{\mathrm{W}_{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~d}!\text {; } \tag{2.65}
\end{align*}
$$

and, if $=\frac{n n_{+}}{96}$, this cancels the gravitational anom aly on the two-dim ensional worldvolume. This second exam ple is a very simpli ed version of the cancellation of the ve-brane anom alies in M theory, which will be discussed (w ith all its coe cients) in som e detail below .

It is w orthw hile to note a generic feature of anom aly in ow in the previous exam ple. Suppose we decide to rescale the $U(1)$-gauge eld by some factor so that $F!F^{\sim}=F . T h e n$, the coe cient in the Bianchi identity also gets rescaled as ! $\sim=$ so that it still reads
 see that the anom aly cancelling condition $=\frac{n n_{+}}{96}$ is invariant under any rescalings as it $m$ ust be since the one-loop anom aly only depends on the integers $n_{+}$and $n$.

It is clear from these exam ples that by some mechanism or another the variation of a (D) $>2 n$ )-dim ensional topological term in the classical action gives rise to a 2 n -dim ensional
topological term

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{M}^{c l}=\sum_{M 2 n}^{Z} D_{M}^{(2 n)}, \quad S_{E}^{c l}=i_{M_{E}^{2 n}}^{Z} D_{E}^{(2 n)} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(2 \mathrm{n})}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{E}}^{(2 \mathrm{n})} \quad \mathrm{D}^{(2 \mathrm{n})}$ according to $\left(\underline{2} .1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus the total variation of the 2 n -dim ensional action including the one-loop anom aly is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M_{M}^{2 n} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}+D^{(2 n)} \quad, \quad E=i_{M_{E}^{2 n}}^{Z} \hat{I}_{2 n}^{1}+D^{(2 n)} \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where now $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1}$ is $m$ eant to contain all the contributions to the one-loop anom aly, with all the relevant signs and factors to take into account the di erent chiralities and multiplicities). In any case, the condition for anom aly cancellation is the sam e in Euclidean and M inkow ski signature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{1}+\mathrm{D}^{(2 \mathrm{n})}=0: \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 A nom aly $C$ ancellation by $I n$ ow in $C$ onden sed $M$ atter: The Quantum $H$ all E ect

A $m$ ost im portant exam ple from condensed $m$ atter is the $Q$ uantum $H$ alle ect $\bar{p} \overline{1}]$. The relevant geom etry of H allsam ple is tw o-dim ensionalw ith a one-dim ensionalboundary, e.g. an annulus. T ypically, the boundary has tw o disconnected pieces (edges) like the inner and outer boundary of the annulus. A dding time, the physics is on a $2+1$ dimensional manifold with a $1+1$ dim ensionalboundary.

A magnetic eld $B$ is applied perpendicular to the $H$ all sam ple and an electric eld ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ ) is present along the sam ple (usually perpendicular to the edges) resulting in a voltage drop. A 11 this is again described by a $2+1$ dim ensional electrom agnetic eld A (vector potential) with eld strength $F$ = @ A @ A such that (recall that our signature is $(++)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{01}=E_{1} ; F_{02}=E_{2} ; F_{12}=B: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen the lling factor (controlled by the ratio of the electron density and the $m$ agnetic eld) takes values in certain intervals, one observes a vanishing longitudinal resistivity. The conductivity $m$ atrix being the inverse of the resistivity $m$ atrix, the longitudinal conductivity also vanishes and the current and electric eld are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{\mathrm{a}}={ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=\quad{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~F}_{0 \mathrm{~b}} ; \quad \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}=1 ; 2 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ${ }^{11}={ }^{22}=0$ and ${ }^{12}={ }^{21}$ H being the transverse or H all conductivity. In the
 we have set $\mathrm{h}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { н }=\text { n } \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{2} ; \mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{z} \text {; } \tag{3,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

e being the elem entary charge of the electron. In the fractional $Q$ uantum $H$ all $E$ ect, $n$ is replaced by certain rational num bers.

The integer $Q$ uantum H all E ect is quite well understood in term s of elem entary quantum $m$ echanics ofelectrons in a strong $m$ agnetic eld, giving rise to the usualLandau levels, together w ith an im portant role played by disorder (im purities) in the sam ple, leading to localization (see e.g. [g] $]$. The fractional $Q$ uantum H all E ect is m ore intriguing and has given rise to a large literature (which I will not cite). In both cases, e ective eld theories of the Chem \{Sim ons


Here, we will only consider a simple eld theoretic $m$ odel neglecting $m$ ost of the subtleties discussed in the above-m entioned references, as well as in others. Consider an e ective eld theory given by a $2+1$ dim ensional $C$ hem $\{$ Sim ons term of the electrom agnetic vector potential A plus a coupling to the electrom agnetic current $j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2+1}=\frac{Z}{2}{ }_{M_{2+1}} d^{3} x \quad A @ A+M_{M_{2+1}}^{Z} d^{3} x j A: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For sim plicity we assum e a trivialm etric.) Varying this action with respect to A gives the equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\overline{2} \quad F \quad ; \quad=0 ; 1 ; 2: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 correctly reproduces the H all relation ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{Z}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { н }=\text { : } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2+1}=\frac{H}{2}_{M_{2+1}}^{Z} A \wedge d A+{ }_{M_{2+1}}^{Z} j^{\wedge} A: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known in the integer $Q$ uantum $H$ alle ect that there are chiralm assless excitations on the boundaries (edge currents). They can be viewed as excitations of the incom pressible two-dim ensional electron gas or resulting from an internuption of the sem iclassical cyclotron
tra jectories by the edges [遘]. In any case, they are $1+1$ dim ensional chiral degrees of freedom . In $1+1$ dim ensions it does not $m$ atter whether they are described as chiralbosons or as chiral ferm ions, both descriptions being related. Suppose there are $n_{k}$ species of them on the edge $k$ (we label the two edges as $k=1 ; 2$ ). N ote that all species on a given edge have the sam e chirality. These chiral ferm ions being charged have a one-loop U (1) gauge anom aly. Recall that for $U$ (1) gauge elds we replace A' iqA and sim ilarly for the eld strength $F$ ' iqF and for the gauge variation param eter $v^{\prime}$ iq ${ }^{\sim}$, where $q=e$ is the (negative) electron charge. Then, $\operatorname{trvdA} e^{2} \sim d A$, and according to the general results of the previous section, the anom alous variation of the e ective action on the $k^{\text {th }}$ edge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { edgek }=n_{k}^{Z} \underset{M_{1+1}^{(k)}}{\hat{I}_{2}^{1} \text {;spin } \frac{1}{2}}=n_{k}{\frac{e^{2}}{4}}_{M_{1+1}^{k}}^{Z} \sim d A ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$


O n the other hand, the bulk action $S_{2+1}$ is also anom alous due to the boundary and it gives an anom aly in ow

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2+1}=\frac{H}{2}_{M_{2+1}}^{Z} d(\sim d A)=X_{\text {edges } k}^{X} \quad \frac{\mathrm{H}}{M_{1+1}^{(k)}} \sim \mathrm{Z} A: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum anom alies ( $\overline{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and the anom aly in ow ( Since the anom aly should cancel on both edges $k$, this show sthat $n_{1}=n_{2} \quad n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{2} ; \mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{z} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent with Eq. ( conductivity to be correctly quantized!

A s already noted, the fractional Q uantum H all E ect is m ore com plicated and the edge excitations are described by $m$ ore com plicated quasiparticles involving exotic spins and statistics, so that our sim ple argum ent needs to be re ned. Som ew hat related argum ents can be
 argum ents play a role are quantum w ires $\underline{\underline{2} \overline{2}}]$ and presum ably vortioes, as w ell as defect surfaces in 3-dim ensional E uclidean statistical system s. D ue to lack of com petence, I w ill discuss none of them here.

[^5]
## 4 Exam ples of A nom aly C ancellation by In ow in $M$ Theory

### 4.1 The low -energy e ective action of $M-T$ heory

$M$ theory has em erged from a web of dualities between superstring theories. In its elevendim ensional uncom pacti ed version it can be considered as the strong-ooupling lim it of type IIA superstring theory. This tells us that its low-energy e ective action is that of eleven-
 its bosonic part reads (using our conventions as exposed in Section '2, ${ }^{2}$,'
where $\quad 11$ is the 11-dim ensional gravitational constant, $R$ is the $R$ ioci scalar, and $G=d C$. The coe cients of the second and third term in this action can be changed by rescaling the C - eld. A lso, som e authors use a di erent relation between $G$ and dC. These issues have been extensively discussed in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[7]}\end{array}\right]$ where a table is given sum $m$ arizing the conventions of various authors. H ere, how ever, we w ill use the sim ple choice made in Eq. (14. $\overline{4}$ ) which in the notation of Ref. $[\overline{4}]$ corresponds to $==1$. N ote that the third term is a topological term, usually referred to as the C hem $\{$ Sim ons term .

The C - eld equation ofm otion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G+\frac{1}{2} G \wedge G=0 ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in com ponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
r G \quad+\frac{1}{24!} 4!{ }^{1:::{ }_{8} G_{1}:::{ }_{4} G_{5::: 8}=0 ;} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the E instein equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \frac{1}{12} \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just as superstring theory possesses various D toranes, M theory has two fiundam ental
 tainly does receive higher-order corrections. $N$ ote that in eleven-dim ensional supergravity there is no param eter besides the gravitationalconstant, and higher order necessarily $m$ eans higher order in . The rst such term is the fam ous $G$ reen $\{S c h w a r z ~ t e r m, ~ i n i t i a l l y ~ i n f e r r e d ~ f r o m ~$
 space)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{G S}={\frac{T_{2}}{2}}^{Z} C \wedge X_{8}={\frac{T_{2}}{2}}^{Z} G \wedge X_{7} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assum ed that one can freely integrate by parts (no boundaries or singularities), and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{8}=d X_{7}=\frac{1}{(2)^{3} 4!} \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr} R^{4} \quad \frac{1}{32}\left(\operatorname{trR}^{2}\right)^{2}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $T_{2}$ is shorthand for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{2}={\frac{2^{2}}{2}}^{!1=3} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is interpreted as the $m$ em brane tension. The param eter can be xed by various considerations of anom aly cancllation as we will show below. Since there have been som e am biguities in the literature we w ill keep as a param eter and show that all anom alies considered below cancel if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
=+1: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that adding the $G$ reen $\{S c h w$ arz term to the action $\{\overline{4} . \overline{1}=\mathbf{I}) \mathrm{m}$ odi es the equations ofm otion
 the \classical" equations ofm otion.


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{E}^{\text {CJS }}=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \quad z \quad d^{11} z^{p} \bar{g}_{E}+\frac{1}{2}^{z} G_{E} \wedge G_{E}+\frac{i}{6}^{z} C_{E} \wedge G_{E} \wedge G_{E} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{G S}^{E}=i{\frac{T_{2}}{2}}^{Z} C_{E} \wedge X_{8}^{E}=i{\frac{T_{2}}{2}}^{Z} G_{E} \wedge X_{7}^{E}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 The M -T heory Five-B rane

The 5 -brane and anti-5-brane are solutions of 11 -dim ensional supergravity that preserve half of the 32 supersym $m$ etries. The $m$ etric is a wayped $m$ etric preserving Poincare invariance on the $(5+1)$-dim ensionalworld-volume (for at 5 -branes) and the 4 -form $G$ has a non-vanishing ux through any 4-sphere surrounding the world-volum e. This is why the 5 -branes are called $\backslash m$ agnetic" sources. It will be enough for us to exhibit the bosonic elds only.

A though the original 11-dim ensional supergravity is non-chiral, the 5-brane is a chiral solution; it carries a chiral ( $5+1$ )-dim ensional superm ultiplet which gives rise to anom alies.

O f course, the anti-5-brane carries the superm ultiplet of opposite chirality. A s a result, when com puting an \M -theory functional integral" one has to sum over classical solutions of opposite chirality and the overall result is correctly non-chiral. H ow ever, we like to adopt a m ore m odest view and consider $M$-theory in a given background with som e num ber of 5 -branes som ew here and som e other num ber of anti-5-branes som ew here else. T hen the anom alies cannot cancel between the di erent branes and anom aly cancellation m ust occur for each 5 -brane or anti-5brane separately. This willbe achieved by anom aly in ow from the two topological term $s$, the $C$ hem \{Sim ons and the $G$ reen $\{S c h w ~ a r z ~ t e r m ~ . ~$

It is not too di cult to determ ine the nature of the chiral 6-dim ensional superm ultiplet living on the world-volum e of a 5 -brane [2]. W hat requires som e m ore care is to correctly determ ine its chirality. W e w ill see that the 5 -brane acts as a $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ agnetic" source for the C - eld leading to a modi cation of the B ianchi identity $d G=0$. This is at the origin of anom aly

 anom aly which is only canceled by further in ow from the (slightly modi ed) Chem \{Sim ons term [1]-10]. In principal, this should have xed the coe cient of the $G$ reen \{Schwarz term. In the literature one can nd about asmany tim es $=+1$ as $=1$ (after elim inating the e ect of using di erent conventions). This was the m otivation in [in to redo the whole com putation from rst principles. H ere we will outline this com putation again, with the result $=+1$.

### 4.2.1 T he classical 5-brane solution

W e work in M inkow ski space and split the coordinates into longitudinal ones x ; $=0 ;:: 5$ and transverse ones $x^{m} \quad y^{m} ; m=6 ;::: 10$. Then the $m$ etric is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=(r)^{1=3} \quad d x d x+(r)^{2=3} m_{n} d y^{m} d y^{n} \text {; } \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=1+\frac{r_{0}^{3}}{r^{3}} ; \quad r=\left(m n y^{m} y^{n}\right)^{1=2} ; \quad r_{0} \quad 0 ; \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w ith $=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1 ;::: 1))$. From this one has to com pute the $R$ iocitensor and nds that E instein's equations ( $(\overline{4} . \overline{4})$ are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{mnpq}}=3 \frac{r_{0}^{3}}{r^{5}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m} \text { npqs }} Y^{s} ; \quad \text { all other } \mathrm{G} \quad=0: \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other equation of $m$ otion ( satis ed. The solution w th the upper sign ( + ) is called a 5 -brane and the one w th the low er sign ( ) an anti-5-brane. D etails are given e.g. in $\left.\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{1}]}\end{array}\right]$, where one can also nd a discussion of how things change under a rescaling of the C - eld. The 4-form corresponding to ( ( $\left.\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1}_{3} \mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{r_{0}^{3}}{8} e_{m \text { npqs }} \frac{y^{s}}{r^{5}} d y^{m} \wedge d y^{n} \wedge d y^{p} \wedge d y^{q} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any 4-sphere in the transverse space surrounding the world-volum e we have the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ agnetic charge"

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{S^{4}}^{Z} G=3 r_{0}^{3} \operatorname{Vol}\left(S^{4}\right)=8^{2} r_{0}^{3}: \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for the 5 -brane the $u x$ of $G$ is positive and for the anti-5-brane it is negative.
The param eter $r_{0}$ sets the scale for the (anti-) 5 -brane solution. O ne can com pute the energy per 5 -volum e of the brane, i.e. the 5 -brane tension $T_{5}$ as a function of $r_{0}$. U sing the $D$ irac quantization condition betw een $m$ em branes and 5 -branes then relates the $m$ em brane tension $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ and the $5-$ orane tension $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{5}=\frac{2}{2^{2}}$ so that in the end $8{ }^{2} r_{0}^{3}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}$, see $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$ for details. (Recall from ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1})$ that $T_{2}=\left(2^{2}={ }^{2}\right)^{1=3}$.) It follow s that Eq. ( 4 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{S^{4}}^{Z} G=\frac{2}{T_{2}}=\left(4^{2}\right)^{1=3}: \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

T his is equivalent to the m odi ed B ianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G=\quad \frac{2}{T_{2}}{ }_{W_{6}}^{(5)}=\quad\left(43^{2}\right)^{1=3}{\underset{W}{6}}_{(5)}^{(5)} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again the upper sign (+) applies for a 5 -brane and the lower sign ( ) for an anti-5brane. ${ }_{W}{ }_{6}^{(5)}$ is a 5-form $D$ irac distribution $w$ th support on the world-volum $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{W}_{6}$ such that


To sum $m$ arize, the 5 -brane and anti-5-brane solutions both have a $m$ etric given by ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}_{1}$ ).
 corresponds to 5 -branes and the low er sign to anti-5-branes.

### 4.2.2 The zero-m odes

The ( $m$ assless) elds that live on a ve-brane are the zerom odes of the equations of $m$ otion in the background of the 5 -brane solution. Hence, to determ ine them, we will consider the
zero-m odes of the bosonic equations ofm otion in this 5 -brane background. The ferm ionic zero$m$ odes then are sim ply inferred from the com pletion of the superm ultiplet. The anti-5-brane background can be treated sim ilarly (ipping signs in appropriate places).

A part from uctuations describing the position of the 5 -brane, there are zero-m odes of the C-eld. A zero-m ode is a square-integrable uctuation $G=d C$ around the 5-brane solution $G_{0}$ (given by ( 4 ( -1
 w ill be the case w ith the sam em etric if the rh s. of ( (4. 4 i) has no term linear in G .


$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad G \quad+\frac{1}{4!4!} \frac{3 r_{0}^{3}}{r^{5}} \quad{ }_{1}:::{ }_{4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{npq}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{npqs}} Y^{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{G}_{1:::{ }_{4}}=0: \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there are only 5 transverse directions, the second term is non-vanishing only if exactly one of the indices $1:::{ }_{4}$ is transverse. It is not too di cult to see that the only solutions are such that all components of $G$ but $G_{n}$ vanish. This also ensures that $G$ cannot contribute linearly to the E instein equations. $W$ e take the ansatz [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}=(\mathrm{r})^{1} \mathrm{r}^{5} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{H} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{w} \text { th } \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}=0 \text {; } \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use ${ }^{q} \overline{\dot{j} j} j=(r)^{2=3}, g^{m n}=(r)^{2=3} \mathrm{mn}, g=(r)^{1=3}$, as well as the convention that indices of $H$ are raised with and those of $G_{m} \quad w$ ith $g^{m n}$ and $g$. This means that

$$
G^{m} \quad=(r)^{2=3} \quad r^{5} y^{m} H \quad . W \text { e further need }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{mnpq}_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m} n \mathrm{nqs}}}=\frac{4!}{\overline{j g j}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime} ; \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w the 'com pletely antisymmetric and $\mathrm{e}^{012345}=1$, i.e. e is exactly the tensor (as de ned
 Eq. ( 4 . 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{m} \quad(r) \quad r^{5} y^{m} \quad H \quad \frac{r_{0}^{3}}{2} e \quad, \quad(r)^{1} \quad r^{8} H \quad,=0: \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $@_{m}$
(r) $\quad r^{5} y^{m}=+3$
(r) ${ }^{1} r_{0}^{3} r^{8}$ we nally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{6} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{H},: \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]C onsistency of this equation requires either $=+1$ in which case $H$ is self-dual (cf (2)-2 2 ) ) or $=1$ in which case H is anti-self-dual.
A smentioned above, the zero-m odes $m$ ust be square-integrable,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1>\mathrm{Z}^{11} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{j}} j} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{m}} \\
& =\frac{8^{2 Z}}{3} 0_{0}^{1} \mathrm{drr}^{4} \text { (r) }{ }^{12}{ }_{\mathrm{w}_{6}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{6} \mathrm{xH} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \text { : } \tag{423}
\end{align*}
$$

The r-integral converges if and only if $>0$. Thus square-integrability selects $=+1$ and, hence, $H=d B$ is a self-dual3-form on the world-volum e.

To sum $m$ arize, in $M$ inkow ski signature, on a 5-brane, there is a self-dual 3-form $H$ (which continues to an anti-self-dual Euclidean 3-form $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ), while on an anti-5-brane the 3-form H is anti-self-dual (and continues to a self-dual Euclidean 3-form $H_{E}$ ). To complete the 6dim ensional superm ultiplets, we know that the self-dual3-form is accom panied by two spinors of positive chirality, and the anti-self-dual3-form by two spinors of negative chirality. W e note that the sam e discussion can be equally well carried out entirely in the E uclidean case (see [觓]), w ith the sam e result, of course.

### 4.2.3 T he tangent and norm albundle anom alies

Now that we have determ ined the nature and chiralities of the elds living on the 5 -brane world-volum e, it is easy to determ ine the one-loop anom aly, using the results of Section ${ }_{2}$ For the Euclidean 5-brane we have an anti-self-dual3-form and two negative chirality spinors. W hile the 3-form cannot couple to gauge elds, the spinors couple to the $\backslash$ SO (5)-gauge" elds of the norm albundle. This coupling occurs via

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}=@_{i}+\frac{1}{4}!_{a b ; i}^{a b}+\frac{1}{4}!_{p q ; i} p q \tag{424}
\end{equation*}
$$

inherited from the eleven-dim ensional spinor. Here a;b and i run from 1 to 6 , while $p ; q=$ 7;:::11. Thus ! ${ }_{p q ; i}$ behaves as an SO (5)-gauge eld $A_{i}$ with generators $\frac{1}{2}$ pq. W e see that the relevant $S O$ (5) representation is the spin representation [id] and hence $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{pq}}=$ $\mathrm{d}!_{\mathrm{pq}}+!_{\mathrm{pr}}!_{\mathrm{rq}} \quad \mathrm{R} \stackrel{?}{\mathrm{pq}}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
F= & F & ! \\
& \frac{1}{4} R_{\mathrm{pq}}^{?} \mathrm{pq}  \tag{4.26}\\
& \text { ch (F) } & ! \\
\operatorname{tr} \exp & \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{4} R_{\mathrm{pq}}^{?} \mathrm{pq} & \text { ch }(\mathrm{S}(\mathbb{N})):
\end{array}
$$

 (i.e. on the tangent bundle). O ne has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dh}(\mathrm{S}(\mathbb{N}))=41 \frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}+\frac{1}{(4)^{4}} \frac{1}{24} \operatorname{trR}{ }_{?}^{4}+\frac{1}{32}\left(\operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}\right)^{2^{i}}+:::: \tag{427}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relevant anom aly polynom ial inchudes an extra factor $\frac{1}{2}$ from a chirality projector (as in $\left.\left(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ as well as a $m$ inus sign for negative chirality. It is $(R=R+R ?)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \hat{A}\left(M_{6}\right) \text { ch }(S(\mathbb{N}))_{8}^{=} \frac{2}{(4)^{4}} & \frac{1}{360} \operatorname{trR}^{4}+\frac{1}{288}\left(\operatorname{trR}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{24} \operatorname{trR} ?_{?}^{4}+\frac{1}{32}\left(\operatorname{trR} ?_{?}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{48} \operatorname{trR}^{2} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}: \tag{428}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he part not involving $R$ ? is just $\left.2 \widehat{A}\left(M_{6}\right)\right]_{8}$ and can be interpreted as the contribution to the tangent bundle anom aly of the tw o negative chirality spinors on $M_{6}$. A dding the contribution of the anti-self-dual three-form, which is $\quad \frac{1}{8} \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{6}\right)_{8}$ (evaluated using R) we get the anom aly on the Euclidean 5 -brane as $E=i^{R} \hat{I}_{6}^{1 ; 5}$ brane $w$ th

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{5} \text { brane } & =2 \quad \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{6}\right) \text { ch }(\mathrm{S}(\mathbb{N}))+\frac{1}{8} \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{6}\right){ }_{8} \\
& =\mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{\text {norm al }} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{8}$ is given in (4. $\overline{\text { an }}$ ) (now w th $R!R^{\circ}$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{\text {norm al }}=\frac{1}{(2)^{3} 4!} \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr} R_{?}^{4}+\frac{3}{32}\left(\operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{16} \operatorname{trR}^{2} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}: \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The part $\mathrm{X}_{8}\left(\mathbb{R}^{r}\right)$ is called the tangent bundle anom aly and $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{\text {norm al }}$ the norm al bundle anom aly.
4.2.4 A nom aly in ow from the $G$ reen $\{S c h w a r z$ and $C$ hern $\{S$ im ons term $s$

In this subsection we retum to M inkow ski space. As we have seen, the 5 -brane has chiral zero-m odes on its 6-dim ensional w orld-volum e w ith its M inkow ski anom aly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{M}}^{1 \text { loop }}={ }_{\mathrm{W}_{6}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \hat{1}_{6}^{\hat{1}_{6}^{5} \text { brane }} ; \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

 The tangent bundle anom aly $\mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathbb{R})$ is cancelled $[\underline{9}]$ through in ow from the $G$ reen $\{S$ chw arz term ${ }^{R}{ }_{G} \wedge X_{7}(\mathbb{R})$. The latter, however, gives $X_{8}(\mathbb{R})=X_{8}\left(\mathbb{R}+R_{\text {? }}\right)$, not $X_{8}(\mathbb{R})$. The
di erence, as well as the norm albundle anom aly is cancelled through in ow from the C hem $\{$ Sim ons term as was show $n$ in both coe cients of the $G$ reen $\{$ Schw arz and $C$ hem $\{$ Sim ons term s . In particular, it establishes a correlation betw een the two coe cients. M oreover, as we will see, cancellation can only occur if the sign of the anom aly due to the ve-brane zerom odes is exactly as in ( $\overline{4} \overline{3} \overline{1} 1)$, ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{9}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

Let us rst consider the simpler in ow from the $G$ reen $\left\{S c h w a r z\right.$ term ( $\bar{A} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{S}_{1}$ ) in the form $S_{G S}=\quad \frac{T_{2}}{2}{ }^{R} G^{\wedge} X_{7} \cdot U \operatorname{sing}$ the $B$ ianchi identity ( $\left(\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{G S} & =\frac{T_{2}^{2}}{2} G \wedge X_{7}={\frac{T_{2}}{2}}^{Z} G^{\wedge} \mathrm{dX}_{6}^{1} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{2} \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{ZG}^{2} \wedge \mathrm{X}_{6}^{1}=\mathrm{W}_{6}^{(5)} \wedge \mathrm{X}_{6}^{1}=\mathrm{Z}_{6} \mathrm{X}_{6}^{1} ; \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where, as already noted, $X_{6}^{1}$ is $X{ }_{6}^{1}(R)$. This corresponds via descent to an invariant polynom ial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{\mathrm{G} \mathrm{~S}}=\quad \mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathrm{R}): \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext, in ow from the $C$ hem $\{$ Sim ons term is $m$ ore subtle. W e review the com putation of [1̄̄], again paying particular attention to issues of signs and orientation. T he tw o key points in [1̄Ō] are: (i) the regularization

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{w}_{6}}^{(5)}!\mathrm{d} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{e}_{4}}{2} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $r$ ) rises $m$ onotonically from 1 at $r=0$ to 0 at some nite distance from the 5brane, and $e_{4}=d e_{3}$ is a certain angular form w ith ${ }_{S^{4}} \frac{e_{4}}{2}=1$; and (ii) a m odi cation of the C hem $\{$ Sim ons term close to the 5-brane, where G dC.

The regularized B ianchi identity reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dG}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}} \mathrm{~d} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{e}_{4}}{2} \tag{4,35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved by (requiring regularity at $r=0$ where $e_{4}$ is singular)

$$
\begin{align*}
G= & d C+\frac{-}{T_{2}}\left(2 d \wedge d B \quad d \wedge e^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \overline{T_{2}} e_{4}+d C \overline{T_{2}}\left(e_{3}+2 d \wedge B\right) \\
& \overline{T_{2}} e_{4}+d e: \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

U nder a local Lorentz transform ation, $\Theta=d e_{2}^{1}$, and $G$ is invariant if $C=0$ and $B=\frac{1}{2} e_{2}^{1}$. $N$ ote that $[\underline{1}[\bar{O}]$ Include the $d \wedge B$-term in $C$ and hence get a non-trivialtransform ation for $C$.

If we let $\mathbb{G}=\mathrm{de}$ then the m odi ed C hem $\{$ Sim ons term is
where $\mathrm{M}_{11} \mathrm{nD} \mathrm{W}_{6}$ is $\mathrm{M}_{11} \mathrm{w}$ th a sm all \tubular" region of radius around the $5-$ brane worldvolume cut out. ( $\mathrm{O} f$ course, this radius should not be confused $w$ th the which is the coe cient of the G reen $\{$ Schw arz term .) Its boundary is

$$
\begin{equation*}
@\left(M_{11} n D W_{6}\right)=S W_{6} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{W} W_{6}$ is the 4 -sphere bundle over $W_{6}$. N ote the $m$ inus sign that appears since the orientation of the boundary is opposite to that of the sphere bundle.

U nder a local Lorentz transform ation $G$ and henœ $\mathcal{G}$ are invariant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{d}\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}^{1}\right): \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{CS}}=\frac{\mathrm{z}}{12^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}} \lim _{!_{0} \mathrm{sw}_{6}} \mathrm{E}_{2}^{1} \wedge E \wedge E: \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $E=d C \quad \overline{T_{2}}\left(d \wedge e_{3}+e_{4} 2 d \wedge d B\right)$ the terms $d$ cannot contribute to an integral over $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{W}}^{6}$. A lso the contribution of the dC -term S vanishes in the lim it ! 0 . Hence the only


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{sW}_{6} \mathrm{e}_{2}^{1} \wedge e_{4}^{\wedge} e_{4}=2_{W_{6}}^{z} \mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{~W}_{6}\right)^{1} ; \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{~W}_{6}\right)^{1}$ is related via descent to the second Pontrjagin class $\mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{~W}_{6}\right)$ of the norm al bundle given below . U sing $\quad(0)=1$ and $\left(\underline{4}-\frac{1}{-1}\right)$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{C S}=\frac{1}{6^{2}} \bar{T}_{2}^{3 z} \quad{ }_{W_{6}}^{\mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N} W_{6}\right)^{1}=\frac{z}{12}{ }_{W_{6}} p_{2}\left(\mathbb{N} W_{6}\right)^{1}: ~: ~} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to an invariant polynom ial

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\hat{I_{8}^{C}}}_{C S}=\frac{}{12} \mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N W}{ }_{6}\right): \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^7]U sing

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathbb{N} W_{6}\right) & =\frac{1}{(2)^{3} 4!} \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{trR} ?_{?}^{4}+\frac{1}{8}\left(\operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
\mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathbb{R}) & =\mathrm{X}_{8} \text { (低) }+\frac{1}{(2)^{3} 4!} \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{4} \quad \frac{1}{32}\left(\operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{16} \operatorname{tr}^{2} \mathrm{P}^{2} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2} \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

we nd that the total in ow corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{G S}+\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{C S}=\mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathbb{R})+\frac{1}{(2)^{3} 4!} \overline{8} \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{4}+\frac{1}{8} \overline{32}\left(\operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \overline{16} \operatorname{trR}^{2} \operatorname{trR}_{?}^{2}: \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow it is easy to study anom aly cancellation. Invariance of the fullquantum e ective action requires that the sum of ( $4-29)$ and ( $\overline{4} .4 \overline{4})$ vanishes. $T$ his gives four equations

$$
\begin{align*}
&=1 ; \\
& \frac{1}{8} \quad \overline{8} \quad \frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{8} ;  \tag{4.46}\\
&=\frac{3}{32} ; \overline{16}=\frac{1}{16}:
\end{align*}
$$

The rst equation ensures the cancellation of the tangent bundle anom aly and the three other equations ensure the cancellation of the nom albundle anom aly. All four equations are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=+1: \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is quite am azing to see that anom aly cancllation requires four di erent term $s$ to vanish, and they alldo if the single coe cient is chosen as above. N ote also that a rescaling of the $C$ - eld changes the coe cients of the Chem \{Sim ons and G reen \{Schw arz term S , but cannot change the relative sign betw een them. The e ect of such rescalings has been carefully traced through the com putations in ref $[\underline{4}]$ ] where it can be seen that the resulting equations $(\overline{4} . \overline{4} .4 \overline{6})$ are indeed invariant under these rescalings, as they should.

It is also interesting to note that the four conditions ( enough structure to provide a check that we correctly com puted the sign of the one-loop anom aly (if we believe that the anom aly m ust cancel) . Suppose we replaced equation ( $\overline{4}-\overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{d})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{5} \text { brane }()=\quad \mathrm{X}_{8}(\text { (I) })+\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{8}^{\text {norm al }}\right] ; \quad=1: \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equations ( 4 . 4 - $\overline{6}$ ) would get an extra factor $=1$ on their righthand sides. H ow ever, the four equations are enough to uniquely determ ine both $=+1$ and $=+1$. Said di erently, a one-loop anom aly of opposite sign could not be cancelled through in ow from the Chem $\{$ Sim ons or $G$ reen $\{S c h w a r z$ term $s$ even with their signs ipped. At rst sight this $m$ ight seem
surprising. H ow ever, as we have seen, such a sign ìp m erely corresponds to a rede nition of the elds and obviously cannot yield a di erent in ow .

### 4.3 M -T heory on $S^{1}=Z_{2}$ : the Strongly-C oupled $H$ eterotic String

W hile com pacti cation ofM theory on a circle $S^{1}$ leads to (strongly-coupled) type IIA superstring theory, com pacti cation on an interval gives the strongly-coupled heterotic string $\left.{ }_{[-1}^{j}\right]$. $T$ here are two ways to view this latter com pacti cation. On the one hand, one considers the com pacti cation $m$ anifold as being ten-dim ensionalM inkow ski space $M_{10}$ tim es the interval so that the 11-dim ensionalspace-tim e has two boundaries, each ofwhich is a copy ofm ${ }_{10} . \mathrm{Th}$ is is called the \dow nstairs approach". On the other hand, the intervalbeing $\mathrm{S}^{1}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}$, one m ay start $w$ th the 11-dim ensionalm anifold being $M_{10} \quad S^{1}$ and then perform the $Z_{2}$ orbifold projection. In this case there are no boundaries, but two orbifold xed-planes, each of which is again a copy of $M_{10}$. This is called the \upstairs approach".

O ne $m$ ay also consider $m$ ore com plicated com pacti cations on orbifolds like e.g. $\mathrm{T}^{5}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ w th m any intersecting orbifold planes. The latter constructions have given rise to som em odel

 nated half of the supersym $m$ etry leaving only one chiral (ten-dim ensional) gravitino on each of the ten-dim ensional orbifold planes. This leads to a gravitational anom aly with an irreducible $R^{6}$ piece. The latter piece can be cancelled by adding $E_{8}$ gauge elds on each of the orbifold planes (interpreted as \tw isted" m atter). The total one-loop anom aly then no longer has this $R^{6}$ piece and, rem arkably, has a factorized form on each of the planes, a necessary condition for anom aly cancellation by in ow from the $G$ reen $\{\mathrm{Schw}$ arz and C hem \{Sim ons term s . There
 each paper correcting som e errors of the preceding ones. However, this was not the end of the story, since one of the authors of $\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{\underline{Z}}]$ realized that there was still an unnotioed num erical error, and to correctly obtain com plete anom aly cancellation requires a slight $m$ odi cation of the $C$ hem $\{$ Sim ons term in the vicinity of the orbifold planes, quite sim ilar to what happened for the 5 -brane as discussed above. This was reported in $[\overline{4}]$ and we w ill review these results in this subsection. The attitude taken in [ $[\underline{]}]$ was to show that anom aly cancellation in this case again determ ines the value of to be +1 . Here, instead, we will consider that the coe cient of the $G$ reen \{Schw arz-term is already xed from the 5-brane anom aly cancllation and that $w$ th
this value we correctly obtain anom aly cancellation also in the present case.
4.3.1 The one-loop anom alies on the orbifold 10-planes

A $s$ always in M inkow ski signature, we label the coordinates as $\mathrm{x} ; \quad=0 ;::: 10$. Here we w ill distinguish the circle coordinate $\mathrm{x}^{10} 2$ [ $\left.x_{0} ; x_{0}\right]$ from the other $\mathrm{x} ;=0 ;::: 9$. The $Z_{2}$-projection then acts as $x^{10}!\quad x^{10}$. A s one can see from the Chem $\{$ Sim ons term, $C$ is $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-odd and C 10 is $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-even ( ; ; $=0 ;::: 9$ ). The projection on $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-even elds then implies e.g. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B}^{\wedge} \mathrm{dx}^{10} ; \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and all other com ponents of $C$ projected out. A lso, this $Z_{2}$-projection only leaves half of the com ponents of the eleven-dim ensional gravitino $\left.{ }_{1}^{5}\right]$. W hat rem ains is a ten-dim ensional gravitino of positive chirality (in $M$ inkow skian space), together with one negative chirality spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ eld. Of course, in Euclidean space, this corresponds to one negative chirality spin $-\frac{3}{2}$ and a positive chirality spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ion. The 1-loop anom aly due to the eleven-dim ensional gravitino on each $10-$ plane $M_{10}^{A}, A=1 ; 2$ is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12 ; \mathrm{A}}^{\text {gravitino }}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{3}{2}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\mathrm{I}_{12}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) ; \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is due to the M ajorana condition and the other factor $\frac{1}{2}$ due to the \split-
 on $M_{10}^{A}$ which simply is the eleven-dim ensional curvature $R$ w ith its com ponents tangent to $S^{1}$ suppressed. A s is well know $n$, such a polynom ial has a $\operatorname{tr}^{2}{ }^{6}-\mathrm{piece}$, and onem ust add an $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ vector multiplet in the adjoint representation ( $\operatorname{Tr} 1=248$ ) with positive chirality ( $M$ inkow skian) M ajorana spinors on each 10-plane. Then on each plane $M_{10}^{A}$ one has a 1-loop anom aly corresponding to

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12 ; \mathrm{A}} & =\frac{1}{4} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12}^{\text {spin } \frac{3}{2}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\mathrm{I}_{12}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12}^{\operatorname{spin} \frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{I}_{4 ; \mathrm{A}} \mathrm{X}_{8}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\frac{-}{3} \mathrm{I}_{4 ; \mathrm{A}}^{2} ; \tag{4.51}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $\operatorname{TrF}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{4}=\frac{1}{100}\left(\operatorname{TrF}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}, \operatorname{TrF}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{6}=\frac{1}{7200}\left(\operatorname{TrF}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{2}\right)^{3}$ and de ned ${ }_{-1}^{\boldsymbol{G}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4 ; A}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{30} \operatorname{TrF}_{A}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trR}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \quad \operatorname{trF}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trR}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}: \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]$N$ ote that in the $s m$ all radius $\lim$ it $w$ th $R_{1}=R_{2}=R$ one has
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{12 ; 1}^{\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12 ; 2}^{\mathrm{i}} \underset{\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}}{ }= & \left(\mathrm{I}_{4 ; 1}+\mathrm{I}_{4 ; 2}\right) \mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathrm{R})+\frac{-}{3} \mathrm{I}_{4 ; 1}^{2}+\mathrm{I}_{4 ; 2}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{4 ; 1} I_{4 ; 2} \\
& \left(\mathrm{I}_{4 ; 1}+I_{4 ; 2}\right) \mathrm{X}_{8}\left(\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right) ; \tag{4.53}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

thanks to the algebraic identity $a^{3}+b^{3}=(a+b)\left(a^{2}+b^{2} \quad a b\right)$. H ere $\mathbb{X}_{8}$ is the relevant 8-form that appears in the anom aly-cancelling term of the heterotic string,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{X}_{8}\left(\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(2)^{3} 4!} & \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr} R^{4}+\frac{1}{32}\left(\operatorname{tr} R^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr} R^{2}\left(\operatorname{tr} F_{1}^{2}+\operatorname{tr} F_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{tr} F_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{tr} F_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} F_{1}^{2} \operatorname{tr} F_{2}^{2}: \tag{4.54}
\end{align*}
$$

4.3.2 A nom aly in ow and anom aly cancellation

To begin with, there is a slight subtlety conceming the coe cients of the C hem $\{\mathrm{Sim}$ ons and G reen $\{S c h w$ arz term $s$ in the upstairs form alism. To see this, we start in the dow nstairs form alism where $S_{\text {Cs }}$ and $S_{G S}$ are given by integrals over an honest $m$ anifold $w$ ith boundary which is $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ tim es the interval $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{S}^{1}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}$. Then clearly the coe cients m ust be those given in the preceding subsections,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{C S}={\frac{1}{12^{2}}}_{M_{10} I}^{Z} C^{\wedge} G^{\wedge} G ; \quad S_{G S}={\frac{1}{\left.(4)^{2}\right)^{1=3}}}_{M_{10} I}^{Z} G^{\wedge} X_{7}: \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is the eleven-dim ensional as before. This can be rew ritten in the upstairs form alism by replacing ${ }_{I}:::=\frac{1}{2} R^{R}:::$ and appropriately identifying the elds so that the integrand is $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-even. This introduces an extra $\frac{1}{2}$ in the coe cients. It is nevertheless custom ary to absorb this $\frac{1}{2}$ in a rede nition of as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{U}}^{2}=2^{2} \quad 2_{\mathrm{D}}^{2}: \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{CS}} & =\frac{1}{12_{\mathrm{U}}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{10 S^{1}} C^{\wedge} G^{\wedge} G ;} \\
S_{G S} & =\frac{1}{Z^{2=3}\left(4{\underset{U}{U}}_{2}^{2}\right)^{1=3}}{ }_{M_{10} S^{1}} G^{\wedge} X_{7} ; \tag{4.57}
\end{align*}
$$

and the C hem $\{$ Sim ons term looks conventionally nom alized. H ow ever, due to the di erent dependence on , the G reen $\{$ Schw arz term, when wrilten in the upstairs form alism, has an extra factor of $2^{2=3}$. This w ill be im portant later on.

The factorized form ( $\left.\overline{4}-5 \overline{-} \overline{1} \bar{L}^{\prime}\right)$ of the anom aly on each ten-plane is a necessary condition to allow for local cancellation through in ow. Clearly, the $I_{4 ; \mathrm{A}} \mathrm{X}_{8}$-term has the right form to
 Bianchi identity dG $P_{A=1 ; 2} A^{\wedge} I_{4 ; A}$, where $A$ is a one-form $D$ irac distribution such that $R_{M_{10} S^{1}} \quad(10)^{\wedge} \quad A=R_{M}^{A} \quad$ (10) for any 10-form (10). This is equivalent to prescribing a boundary value for $G$ on the boundary planes in the down-stairs approach. Such a modi ed $B$ ianchi identity is indeed necessary to $m$ aintain supersym $m$ etry in the coupled 11-dim ensional supergravity $/ 10$-dim ensional super $-Y$ ang $\{\mathrm{M}$ ills system $[\underline{-1}]$. In principle, this allow s us to deduce the coe cient on the right-hand side of the $B$ ianchi identity in the upstairs approach. It is given by (4 $)^{2} \frac{2}{2}$ where is the (unknow $n$ ) Yang\{ $M$ ills coupling constant.

Hence, we start w ith a B ianchi identity [|=1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G=X_{A=1 ; 2}^{A}{ }^{\wedge} I_{4 ; \mathrm{A}}: \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he variation of the $G$ reen $\left\{\right.$ Schw arz term then is (recall $X_{7}=d X{ }_{6}^{1}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{G S}=\frac{1}{2^{2=3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 2 \\
U
\end{array}\right)^{1=3}}{ }_{M_{10} S^{1}} G^{\wedge} \mathrm{dX}_{6}^{1} \tag{4.59}
\end{align*}
$$

P rovided

$$
=2^{2=3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{U}} \tag{4.60}
\end{array}\right)^{1=3} \text {; }
$$

$S_{G}$ corresponds to an invariant polynom ial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{12}^{G S}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{I}_{4 ; \mathrm{A}} \wedge \mathrm{X}_{8}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}\right): ~} \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s prom ised, this cancels the part of the anom aly ( $\left.\overline{4}-\overline{-} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ involving $X_{8} . \mathrm{M}$ oreover, this cancellation is local, i.e. cancellation occurs on each plane separately. W e see that anom aly cancellation xes the value of to be ( $(\overline{4}-\overline{-}-\bar{d})$, thereby determ ining the value of the 10 -dim ensionaly ang $\{\mathrm{M}$ ills coupling in term s of the 11-dim ensionalgravitational coupling. A though this latter aspect has draw $n$ som e attention, one has to realize that the $m$ ore interesting relation between and the 10-dim ensional 10 involves the (unknown) radius $r_{0}$ of the circle, sim ilarly to the relation between the type IIA string coupling constant and .

To study anom aly in ow from the C hem \{Sim ons term we have to solve the B ianchi identity for $G$ (as we did for the 5 -brane). This involves several subtleties, discussed at length in [2]

O ne im portant point w as to respect periodicity in the circle coordinate $x^{10} 2$ [ $\left.\quad x_{0} ; r_{0}\right]$ which led to the introduction of two periodic $Z_{2}$-odd \step" functions $A_{A}\left(x^{10}\right)$ such that ${ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}^{10}\right)=$ $\operatorname{sgn}\left(x^{10}\right) \quad \frac{x^{10}}{x_{0}}$ and $2\left(x^{10}\right)=1\left(x^{10} \quad x_{0}\right)$. They satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} d_{A}=A \quad \frac{d x^{10}}{2 x_{0}}: \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regularizing ${ }_{A}$ (and hence ${ }_{A}$ ) properly gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { А в с }, \frac{1}{3}_{\text {а }} \text { bA CA } ; \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where BA and CA denote the K ronecker symbol $W$ hen solving the $B$ ianchi identity ( $\overline{4} . \overline{5} \bar{d}$ ) one can (locally) trade term $S \frac{1}{2}{ }_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{I}_{4 ; \mathrm{A}}$ for term S A $\frac{\mathrm{dx}^{10}}{2 r_{0}} \quad!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{I}_{4 ; \mathrm{A}} ; \quad!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{d}!_{2 ; \mathrm{A}}^{1} ; \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

since their di erence is a total derivative ( $!_{3 ; A}$ is given in term $s$ of the $C$ hem $\{$ Sim ons form $s$ on $M_{10}^{A}$ and has no $d x^{10}$ com ponent). This introduces an arbitrary real param eter $b$ into the solution,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{dC} \quad \mathrm{~b}{\underset{2}{\mathrm{~A}}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{A}} I_{4 ; \mathrm{A}}+!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{dx}^{10} \mathrm{r}_{0}}{x_{0}}+\left(1 \quad \text { b) } \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}} \wedge!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}}\right. \\
& =\mathrm{dCC} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{A}}!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~A}_{3 ; \mathrm{A}} \\
& \mathrm{de}+\text { A }_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\wedge}!_{3 ; \mathrm{A}}: \tag{4.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G$ appears in the kinetic term ${ }^{R}{ }_{G} \wedge G$, as well as in the energy-m om entum tensor, it $m$ ust be gauge and localLorentz invariant, $G=0$. This is achieved if ["[2\$]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}=1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{X}}!_{2 ; \mathrm{A}}^{1} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{dx}^{10}}{2 \mathrm{r}_{0}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~b}) \\
\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{~A}
\end{array}!_{2 ; \mathrm{A}}^{1}\right. \\
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{A}}!_{2 ; \mathrm{A}}^{1}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}} \wedge!_{2 ; \mathrm{A}}^{1}: \tag{4.66}
\end{align*}
$$

In $[\underline{2}-\overline{1}]$ several argum ents were given in favor of one particular value of $b$, nam ely $b=1$, since only then G is globally w ell-de ned. Furtherm ore, the higher Fourier m odes of C 10 are gauge invariant only for this value ofb, which is a necessary condition for a safe truncation to the perturbative heterotic string. Last, but not least, it is only for $b=1$ that $G$ has no term $s$ involving $A$ which would lead to divergent pieces in the kinetic term ${ }^{R}{ }_{G} \wedge$ G. Nevertheless,
we will keep this param eter b for the tim e being and show in the end that anom aly cancellation also requires $b=1$.

N ote that, although $G$ dC , we still have $G=d e$ as long as we stay aw ay from the xed planes. This motivates us to introduce a modi ed Chem\{Sim ons term sim ilar to what was done in Section 5 for the 5 -brane or in [īi] w wen discussing $M$ theory on singular $\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ anifolds. W e take
which aw ay from the xed planes is just ${ }^{R} e \wedge d e \wedge d e$. Then
$N$ ote that we can freely integrate by parts (we assum e thatM ${ }_{10}$ has no boundary). Furthem ore, since both $A$ and $d C=d B^{\wedge} d x^{10}$ always contain a $d x^{10}$, on the rh.s of Eq. (4. $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{6}$ ) one can replace de! $b_{\overline{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{I}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}$, so that

Them odi ed Chem \{Sim ons term contributes three term s . This factor of 3 w as absent in [2] $\overline{2}$ ] where in ow from the unm odi ed Chem \{Sim ons term was com puted. A lso the result of
 local character of anom aly cancellation. N ow, how ever, due to the explicit a one-form s , the in ow from $S_{C S}$ is localized on the $10-\mathrm{planes} \mathrm{M}_{10}^{\mathrm{A}}$. U sing ( (4َ- 6

U pon inserting the value of, equation $(\underline{4}-\overline{-} \cdot \underline{0} \mathbf{0})$, we see that this corresponds to an invariant polynom ial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{12}^{\mathcal{E}_{S}}=b^{2} \frac{3}{A=1 ; 2}_{x}^{I_{4 ; A}^{3}}: \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=1: \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

As already $m$ entioned there are $m$ any other argum ents in favor of $b=1$, but now we can conclude that also anom aly cancellation on $S^{1}=Z_{2}$ requires $b=1$, as argued in

Thus we have shown that all the anom alies are cancelled locally through in ow from the G reen \{Schwarzill and ( $m$ odi ed) Chem $\{$ Sim ons term $s$ with exactly the sam e coe cients as already selected from cancellation of the 5-brane anom alies.

### 4.3.3 Sm all radius lim it and the heterotic anom aly cancelling term

Finally, it is easy to show that in the small radius lim it ( $r_{0}!0$ ) the sum $S_{G S}+S_{C S}$ exactly reproduces the heterotic $G$ reen $\left\{S c h w\right.$ arz term. In this $\lim$ it $X_{8}(R)$ and $X_{7}(R)$ are independent
 the correctly norm alized heterotic $B$ - eld as the zero $m$ ode of $B \operatorname{tim}$ es $\frac{(4)^{2}}{2} x_{0}$,
where $!{ }_{2 ; \mathrm{YM}}$ and $!\frac{1}{2 ; \mathrm{L}}$ are related to $\operatorname{trF}{ }_{1}^{2}+\operatorname{trF}_{2}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{trR}^{2}$ via descent. $N$ ext, using ( $\overline{4} .6 \overline{1}$ ) and ( $\overline{4} . \overline{6} \overline{0})$, the G reen $\{$ Schw arz term $(\overline{4} .5 \overline{1})$ ) gives in the sm all radius lim it

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{G S}!\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \underset{Z_{10}}{\mathrm{Z}}\left(\mathrm{~dB} \quad!_{3 ; \mathrm{YM}}+!_{3 ; \mathrm{L}}\right)^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}_{7} \\
& =\frac{1}{(4)^{2}}{ }_{M_{10}}^{Z} B^{\wedge} X_{8}{\frac{1}{(4)^{2}}}_{M_{10}}^{Z}\left(!_{3 ; Y M} \quad!_{3 ; L}\right) \wedge X_{7} \text { : } \tag{4.74}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term is an irrelevant local counterterm ; its gauge and local Lorentz variation corresponds to a vanishing $I_{12}$. Such term s can alw ays be added and subtracted. The m odi ed
 parts on $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ )

U sing the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{A}}} \quad \mathrm{~B} \frac{\mathrm{dx} \mathrm{x}^{10}}{2 \mathrm{r}_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{AB} \quad \frac{1}{3} \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{10}$ In $[2]$ in ow from the unm odi ed Chem \{Sim ons term was com puted. This is three tim es sm aller than ( $\overline{4} . \overline{-} \overline{0})$. A iso the factor $2^{2=3}$ in wasmissing, so that the overall in ow $S_{s}$ appeared 12 tim es sm aller. This discrepancy rem ained unnoticed since the anom aly cancellation condition was expressed as $\frac{(4)^{5}{ }^{4} b^{2}}{4^{12}}=1$. It is only after relating $\frac{2}{2}$ to the coe cient of the G reen \{Schwarz term that one can use $\frac{(4)^{5} 4^{42}}{6}=1$ and then $\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{12}=1$ clearly is in con ict with $\mathrm{b}=1$.
${ }^{11}$ It is interesting to note that $\Theta_{G S}=\frac{1}{\left.2^{2-3}(4)_{0}^{2}\right)^{1+3}} \mathrm{M}_{10} S^{1} e^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}_{8}$ would have led to the sam e result.
we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{C S} \quad!\quad \frac{1}{(4)^{2}}{ }_{M_{10}}^{Z} B{ }^{\wedge}{ }_{3} I_{4 ; 1}^{2}+I_{4 ; 2}^{2} \quad I_{4 ; 1} I_{4 ; 2} \\
& \frac{2}{9}_{M_{10}}{ }^{Z}\left(!_{3 ; 1}+!_{3 ; 2}\right) \quad!_{3 ; 1} I_{4 ; 1}+!_{3 ; 2} I_{4 ; 2} \quad \frac{1}{2}!_{3 ; 1} I_{4 ; 2} \quad \frac{1}{2}!_{3 ; 2} I_{4 ; 1} \quad: \tag{4.77}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain, the second term is an irrelevant counterterm. Sum $m$ ing $(\overline{4} \overline{7} \overline{7} \overline{1})$ and $\left(\overline{4} . \overline{7} \bar{T} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ we arrive at (cf. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{G S}+\mathscr{S}_{C S}!S_{\text {het }}={\frac{1}{(4)^{2}}}_{M_{10}}^{Z} B^{\wedge} \hat{X}_{8}\left(R ; F_{1} ; F_{2}\right)+\text { local counterterm } S ; \tag{4.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{X_{8}}\left(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$ is the standard heterotic 8-form given in $(\overline{4}-5 \overline{4})$. Equation ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{7} \overline{7} \bar{d}\right)$ is the correctly norm alized heterotic anom aly-cancelling term :12:

## 5 C oncluding rem arks: B rane W orld C osm ologies, etc

W e have studied anom aly cancellation by in ow from the bulk in two very di erent settings: the low -dim ensional exam ple of the $Q$ uantum $H$ alle ect and the high-dim ensional exam ples of $M$ theory. There are certainly $m$ any other exam ples one could cite and study. O ne particularly interesting case are branew orld cosm ologies. H ere one has a 4-dim ensionalM inkow skim anifold that is a \brane" em bedded in a higher-dim ensionalm anifold. U sually it is considered that the standardm odel elds only live on the brane and only gravity propagates in the bulk. M ore sophisticated versions based on supergravity will also have certain gauge elds in the bulk and one can then study in the sam eway in ow of gauge and gauge-gravitationalanom alies into the brane. This is som ew hat rem iniscent of what happens in the AdS/C FT correspondence where the ve-dim ensionalAdS ${ }_{5}$ supergravity has $S U$ (4) gauge elds and its action precisely involves a C hem \{Sim ons term . On the boundary ofA $\mathrm{CS}_{5}$ lives the CFT, nam ely the $\mathrm{N}=4$ super Y ang $\{$ $M$ ills theory w ith a globalR -sym m etry SU (4) which is anom alous. In this case, how ever, the non-invariance ofthe 5-dim ensionalC hem \{Sim ons term does not provide an anom aly cancelling in ow, but explains the global SU (4) anom aly of the CFT (see e.g. is the sam e , but its interpretation is di erent. In brane world soenarios, on the other hand, anom aly cancellation $m$ ay be a valuable constraint.

[^9]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ O fcourse，anom alies ofglobalsym $m$ etries need not canceland $m$ ay even be w elcom e as they allow transitions otherw ise forbidden by the sym $m$ etry．

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For $U$ (1)-gauge theories, the usual de nition of the covariant derivative is $@+i g A, w i t h$ $q$ being the charge, and hence A' iqA and $F$ ' iqF where $F=d A$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Since we w ill take [ $\left[1 \overline{1}^{\prime}\right]$ as the standard reference for com puting anom alies in Euclidean space, we certainly $w$ ant to use the sam e convention for E . On the other hand, we have som ew hat $m$ ore freedom to choose a sign
     is the usual convention used in string theory [1] and 10 (but di ers from it by a sign in D $=4$ and 8).

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that if $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}$ and tr $=$ (the are anti-herm itian) then e.g. trAB $=$
    $A B$ and $\bar{A} \operatorname{trAB}=B$. Hence one must de ne $\bar{A}=\quad \bar{A}$ so that $\bar{A} \operatorname{trAB}=B$. A nother $w$ ay to see this $m$ inus sign in $\frac{A}{A}$ is note that $A=\operatorname{tr} A$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ O ne alw ays has the freedom to add a local counterterm to the action. If this was enough to cancel the anom aly one could consistently quantize the theory w ithout problem s.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} O$ ne should also be carefulabout the orientations of $M_{2+1}$ and of the edges $M{ }_{1+1}^{k}$ to get the signs straight.

[^6]:    

[^7]:     overlooked in [id].

[^8]:    ${ }^{9} I_{4 ; \mathrm{A}}$ is exactly what w as called $I_{4 ; i}$ in $\left[{ }_{2}^{2}\right]$ ].

[^9]:    
     to the subtle issues of orientation, and is corrected e.g. when using the anom aly polynom ials as given in [1] [1].

