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## A bstract

W e consider som e lattices and look at discrete Laplacians on these lattioes. In particular, we look at solutions of the equation

$$
4(1)=4(2) Z ;
$$

where 4 (1) and 4 (2) denote tw o such Laplacians on the sam e lattioe. $W$ e show that, in one dim ension, when 4 (i) $i=1 ; 2$ denote

$$
4(1)=(i+1) \quad(i \quad 1) \quad 2 \text { (i) }
$$

and

$$
4(2) Z=Z(i+2) \quad Z(i \quad 2) \quad 2 Z(i)
$$

this equation has a sim ple solution

$$
\text { (i) }=Z(i+1)+Z(i \quad 1)+2 Z(i):
$$

W e show that in two dim ensions, when the system is considered on a hexagonal (honeycom b) lattice, we have a sim ilar relation. T his is also true in three dim ensions when we have a very special lattice (tetrahedral with points inside). W e also brie $y$ discuss how this relation generalises when we consider other lattioes.
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## 1 Introduction

R ecently, a Frohlich H am itonian was studied on tw o-dim ensional, discrete, quadratic [1, 2, 3], and hexagonal lattices [4]. T he resultant equations w ere rather com plicated to solve but w hen one restricted oneself to looking at stationary elds, the equations sim pli ed som ew hat and, in the case of hexagonallattioe, one could decouple them and reduce them to the localised discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation.

T he reason for th is decoupling lies in the observation that, on a hexagonal lattice, the equation

$$
4(1)=4(2) Z
$$

has a simple localised solution for $Z$ as function of . Here 4 (i). $i=1 ; 2$ refer to the two sim plest discrete Laplacians on a hexagonal lattioe - i.e. those involving 4 and 7 lattice points ( $m$ ore details willbe given later).

A sim ilar situation holds in one dim ension and, in fact, was used by D avydov [5] in his observation that the interaction of an appropriate Schrodinger eld, such as a eld describing am ide I-vibration in biopoly$m$ ers, $w$ ith the distortions of the underlying lattice, results in the creation of a localised state which has, since then, been refered to as D avydov's soliton.

In this paper, we look in detail at the eqution (1) in the case of various lattices. W e nd that a regular lattice in one dim ension, a hexagonal lattice in two dim ensions and a tetrahedal lattice (w ith points inside) in three dim ensions are som ew hat specialas it only in their cases the system possesses sim ple localised solutions.

W e discuss the m odi cations that are required to have localised solutions for other ( $m$ ore com plicated) lattioes.

## 2 O ne dim ension

$C$ onsider a regular lattioe as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1.


Figure 1: O ne dim ensional lattioe.
For sim plicity let us take the lattioe spacing to be given by $a=1: T$ hen
de ne 4 (1)P (i) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(i)=P(i+1)+P(i \quad 1) \quad 2 P(i): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(2) P(i)=P(i+2)+P(i \quad 2) \quad 2 P(i) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the sam e expression as above but w th the displacem ent by tw o lattice units.

T hen consider the equation:

$$
4(1) \quad(i)=4(2) Z(i) ;
$$

which we want to solve for (i) in term $\operatorname{s}$ of $Z(k)$.
2.1 C ontinuum lim it
$N$ ote that in the continuum lim it our equation (4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ x^{2}}=4 \frac{@^{2} Z}{@ x^{2}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which clearly has a solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=4 Z(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

plus, of course a linear and a constant piece.
2.2 D iscrete case

In this case it is easy to see that a solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) }=Z(i+1)+Z(i \quad 1)+2 Z \text { (i): } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

O f course, to this we can also add A i+ b, which are the lattioe equivalents of the linear and constant pieces of the continuum case.
$N$ ote that our solution (7) has the correct continuum lim it. N ote also that its structure is very sim ilar to the structure of 4 (i) -except that, this tim e, all term s carry the + sign .


F igure 2: T wo-dim ensional square lattice.

## 3 Two dim ensional C ases

### 3.1 Square lattice

W hen we have a square lattioe (see g2) we can consider various Laplacians. O ne of them, which we shall call 4 (1), involves the nearest lattioe points and is de ned as
$4(1)(i ; j)=(i+1 ; j)+$
$(i \quad 1 ; j)+(i ; j+1)+(i ; j$

1) $4(i ; j)$ :
(8)

T he second 'obvious' Laplacian can be de ned as the one above w th shifts by two lattice points ie
4 (2)
$(i ; j)=(i+2 ; j)+$
(i 2; j) +
$(i ; j+2)+(i ; j$
2) $4(i ; j)$;
(9) or we could also 'involve' the comers (ie tem scorresponding to i $1, j$ 1).

Thus we could de ne $4\left(2^{0}\right)(i ; j)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4\left(2^{0}\right)(i ; j)=(i+2 ; j)+(i \quad 2 ; j)+(i ; j+2)+(i ; j 2) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{l}
i \\
1 ; j \\
1
\end{array}\right)+(i+1 ; j 1)+(i \quad 1 ; j+1)  \tag{10}\\
& +(i \quad 1 ; j 1)) 4(1+\quad)(i ; j) ;
\end{align*}
$$

for any value of .

The work mentioned in $[1,2,3]$ required a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(i j)=4(2) Z(i j) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 4 (2) is given by the expression as in (13).
U nfortunately, this equation has no sim ple local solution. T he problem s is w th the 'comers'; the second Laplacian should involve expressions at i $1 ; j+1$.

Thus it is possible to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(i j)=4\left(2^{0}\right) Z(i j) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an appropriate choige of . To see this, in analogy w ith (7) take

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(i j)=(Z(i \quad 1 ; j)+Z(i+1 ; j)+Z(i ; j \quad 1)+Z(i ; j+1)+4 Z(i ; j)): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 4(1) P(i ; j)=(Z(i+1 ; j)+Z(i \quad 2 ; j)+Z(i ; j \quad 2)+Z(i ; j+2) \quad \text { (14) } \\
& +2 Z(i+1 ; j+1)+2 Z(i \quad 1 ; j+1 ;+2 Z(i+1 ; j \quad 1)+2 Z(i \quad 1 ; j \quad 1)) ; \\
& \text { which is clearly a solution when }=2 \text { in }(10) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3.2 hexagonal (honeycom b) lattice

N ext consider a hexagonal lattice as shown in F ig. 3 .


Figure 3: H exagonal (honeycom b) lattice.

For 4 (1) take the 4-point Laplacian ( involving 0 and 3 hearest' points); ie take

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(0)=P(a)+P(b)+P(c) \quad 3 P(0) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For 4 (2) take the Laplacian involving the 'next to the nearest' points ie. the 7-point Laplacian de ned by
$4(2) Z(0)=Z(1)+Z(2)+Z(3)+Z(4)+Z(5)+Z(6) \quad 6 Z(0):$
Then consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(0)=4(2) Z(0): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, this is the equation which arose in the study reported in [4]. T here it was show $n$ that this equation has a sim ple local solution and that solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0)=(Z(a)+Z(b)+Z(c)+3 Z(0)): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in this sense, the hexagonal lattice resem bles the $m$ ost, the one dim ensional case; the double lattice spacing in the one diem ensional case is replaced by the double the angle betw een the directions on the lattice.

## 3.3 triangular lattice

N ext we consider a triangular lattige as shown in F ig. 4 .
$T$ his tim e the obvious 4 (1) involves taking taking the 7-point Laplacian
$4(1) P(0)=P(1)+P(2)+P(3)+P(4)+P(5)+P(6) \quad 6 P(0):$

For the 'second' Laplacian we have m ore choige. W e can take
$4(2) Z(0)=Z(a)+Z(b)+Z(c)+Z(d)+Z(e)+Z(f) \quad 6 Z(0): \quad$ (20)
or we could add to it

$$
\begin{equation*}
+A(Z(u)+Z(v)+Z(w)+Z(x)+Z(y)+Z(z) \quad 6 Z(0)): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, in general, it is di cult to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(0)=4(2) Z(0) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nly when $A=2$, i.e. for $4\left(2^{0}\right)$ given by (24) and (25) w ith $A=2$, we have succeeded in nding a local solution.


Figure 4: T he triangular lattice

This solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0)=Z(1)+Z(2)+Z(3)+Z(4)+Z(5)+Z(6)+4 Z(0): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If guided by the experience gained from the previous cases we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0)=Z(1)+Z(2)+Z(3)+Z(4)+Z(5)+Z(6)+6 Z(0): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd that the Z eld satis es
$Z(a)+Z(b)+Z(c)+Z(d)+Z(e)+Z(f)+2[Z(1)+Z(2)+Z(3)+Z(4) \quad(25)$
$+Z(5)+Z(6)+Z(u)+Z(v)+Z(w)+Z(x)+Z(y)+Z(z)] \quad 36 Z(0)=0:$
W e see that in this case we have 19-point Laplacian - involving both the new and the original lattice points.

O ne can consider other, $m$ ore com plicated, cases but it is clear that the hexagonal lattice is very special as only for 斗, as in the one-dim ensional case for a regular lattiøe, we have a sim ple local solution of the problem (17) w ith Laplacians involving the hearest' and hext-to-the-nearest' lattice points only.

## 4 Three D im ensions

T he discussion of the previous sections generalises very easily to three dim ensions. First of all, for a regular cube-like lattioe, for which in 2 dim ensions we had problem s w th comer' term $s$, the situation is sim ilar except that now we have m ore such problem s.

Thus if we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
4(1) P(i ; j ; k)= & P(i+1 ; j ; k)+P(i \quad 1 ; j ; k)+P(i ; j 1 ; k)+P(i ; j+1 ; k) \quad(26) \\
& +P(i ; j ; k \quad 1)+P(i ; j ; k+1) \quad 6 P(i ; j k)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(i ; j ; k)=Z(i+1 ; j ; k)+Z(i \quad 1 ; j ; k)+Z(i ; j \quad 1 ; k)+Z(i ; j+1 ; k) \quad(27) \\
+Z(i ; j ; k \quad 1)+Z(i ; j ; k+1)+6 Z(i ; j k)
\end{gathered}
$$

we nd that $Z(i ; j ; k)$ satis es a rather com plicated expression involving the elds at all points ( $i ; j ; k$ ) whidh correspond to the shift of only one of these indioes by 2. There are 6 such term sand they all com ew ith the coe cient $=1$. In addition we also have to add allterm swhich involve the shift of two of the indioes $(i ; j ; k)$ by 1 . There are 12 such term $s$ and we have to add them allw th the coe cient $=2$. A nd then nally we subtract $24 Z(0 ; 0 ; 0)$. A little thought show s that this is the obvious generalisation of the square lattioe which we discussed in the previous section.

H ow ever, we have a regular lattice which is a hatural 3-dim ensional generalisation of the hexagonal lattice in 2 dim ensions. Such lattige can be constructed in the follow ing w ay:

Take a regular cube lattice and delete every other lattice point as indicated in Fig.5. T hen place a sim ilar lattioe at the points which are the centres of the cubes of the previous lattice. T his w ay we have a body centred lattice $w$ ith $m$ any points $m$ issing.
$C$ onsider then the points of the original cube lattioe as points of type $A$ and those of the additional lattioe as points of type B. T hen the nearest neighbours of each point $A$ are 4 lattice points of type $B$ and vioe-versa. $T$ he next to the nearest neigbours (ntn), how ever, com e from the original lattioe; ie for a point type $A$ - are points of type $A$, and for the point $B$ are points of type B. Each point A has 4 nearest neighbours and 12 ntn points. A nd, by construction, this is the case for both types of lattioe points.

C learly we have two obvious Laplacians that we can consider - those involving the nearest neigbours and those involving only the ntns.


Figure 5: The three-dim ensional lattioe. P oints A shown. In the centre of each other cube there is a point B. P oints B, togetherm form another lattioe - like the one show $n$ but displaced by $\frac{1}{2}$ lattioe spacing in all directions.

Let us consider, for sim plicity, the point $B$ located at $(0,0,0)$ inside a cube of size $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{w}$ th points, of type A located at $(1,-1,1),(-1,-1,-1)$ and $(1,1,-1)$ and $(-1,1,1$,$) (we are using the convention of x$-horizontally to the right, $z$ vertically up and $y$ aw ay from the observer). Then we can de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
4(1) P(0 ; 0 ; 0)= & P(1 ; 1 ; 1)+P(1 ; 1 ; 1)+P(1 ; 1 ; 1)  \tag{28}\\
& +P(1 ; 1 ; 1) \quad 4 P(0 ; 0 ; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

In the continuum lim it this expression, clearly, reduces to the Laplacian of $P$ ie $\left(@_{x}^{2}+@_{y}^{2}+@_{z}^{2}\right) P$.

For the second Laplacian we take ntn points. N otioe that they corresp ond to points 2 and 0 such that one of the coordinates of $x, y$ and $z=0 w h i l e$ the others take values 2. Thuswe de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4(2) P(0 ; 0 ; 0)=P(2 ; 2 ; 0)+P(2 ; 2 ; 0)+P(2 ; 2 ; 0)+P(2 ; 2 ; 0) \\
& \quad+P(2 ; 0 ; 2)+P(2 ; 0 ; 2)+P(2 ; 0 ; 2)+P(2 ; 0 ; 2)  \tag{29}\\
& \quad+P(2 ; 2 ; 0)+P(2 ; 2 ; 0)+P(2 ; 2 ; 0)+P(2 ; 2 ; 0):
\end{align*}
$$

N ow we consider our equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P=4(2) Z ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $P$ and $Z$ are taken at the sam e lattioe point, say $(0 ; 0 ; 0)$.
G uided by our experience from the low er dim ensional cases we take

$$
\begin{align*}
P(0 ; 0 ; 0)= & (Z(1 ; 1 ; 1)+Z(1 ; 1 ; 1)+Z(1 ; 1 ; 1)  \tag{31}\\
& +Z(1 ; 1 ; 1)+4 Z(0 ; 0 ; 0)):
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hen, it is a $m$ atter of sim ple algebra to check that $P$ does indeed satisfy (30) when $=$. A little thought show s that our lattige is really tetrahedral in nature ( $w$ ith points inside it), hence it is a natural generalisation of the hexagonal lattioe in 2 dim ensions.

## 5 C onclusions

In this short note we have discussed various lattioes and Laplacians de ned on these lattices. O urm ain interest was the relation betw een various Laplacians and the existence or not of fully localiseed solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1) P(0)=4(2) Z(0) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

involving these Laplacians.
W e have found that, in one dim ension, this equation a localised solution when the lattice is regular and 4 (1) and 4 (2) involve the Laplacians constructed w ith the hearest' and hext-to-the-nearest' lattice points. M oreover the points in each Laplacian are equidistant from the central point.
$T$ hese conditions are also required in higher dim ensional lattices where they are $m u c h m$ ore stringent. Thus in two dim ensions they require that the lattice is hexagonal (honeycom b) and then 4 (1) and 4 (2) involve $1+3$ point and 1+ 6 point Laplacians. For other lattioes the Laplaciansm ix points of di erent distance from the central point and we have not succeeded in nding a localised solution of (15).

In three dim ensions the relevant lattioe is the body centred tetrahedral lattice'. In this case 4 (1) involves $1+4$ lattice points and 4 (2) $-1+12$ points.
$N$ ote that in 1 dim ension - our Laplacians involve doubling of distance, in 2 dim -doubling the angle, and in 3 dim -doubling the sphericalangles.
$N$ oting the pattem of our results we expect that our results generalise to higher dim ensions, where in $D$ dim ensionswe expect 4 (1) to involve 1+ ( $D+$ 1) points and 4 (2) $1+D(D+1)$ points. But lattioes $w$ th such Laplacians do not appear to be physical so we have not attem pted to construct them .
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