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#### Abstract

W e review the recent developm ents in the theory of norm al, norm al self-dual and general com plex random $m$ atrices. The distribution and correlations of the eigenvalues at large scales are investigated in the large N lim it. The $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion of the free energy is also discussed. O ur basic tool is a speci c $W$ ard identity for correlation functions (the lop equation), which follow sfrom invariance of the partition function under reparam etrizations of the com plex eigenvalues plane. T he $m$ ethod for handling the loop equation requires the technique of boundary value problem $s$ in two dim ensions and elem ents of the potential theory. As far as the physical signi cance of these m odels is concemed, we discuss, in som e detail, the recently revealed applications to di usion-controlled grow th processes (e.g., to the Sa man-T aylor problem ) and to the sem iclassical behaviour of electronic blobs in the quantum H all regim e .
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## 1 Introduction

The sub ject $m$ atter of random $m$ atrix theory is a $m$ atrix whose entries are random ly distributed w ith som e probability density. To put it another way, the theory deals w ith statistical ensem bles of m atrioes. G iven such an ensemble, one is typically interested in the distribution of eigenvalues and correlations betw een them as size of the m atrioes, N , tends to in nity. The distribution and correlation law sobtained in this way tum out to be com $m$ on to ob jects and system s of very ditiverse nature.
$T$ he area of applications of the random $m$ atrix theory in physics (and $m$ athem atics) is enorm ously vast. It ranges from energy levels statistics in nuclei to num ber theory, from quantum chaos to string theory. M ost extensively em ployed and best-understood are ensem bles of herm itian or unitary $m$ atrioes, $w$ ith eigenvalues being con ned either to the real line or to the unit circle. Their applications to the level statistics in nuclei go back to W igner's works of early 50 -s. For di erent aspects of random m atrix theory, its applications and related topics see e.g. [1]-[4].

In these lectures we consider $m$ ore general classes of random $m$ atrioes, with no a priori restrictions to their eigenvalues being im posed. The eigenvalues can be arbitrary com plex num bers. Such models are as yet less well understood but they are equally interesting and $m$ eaningfil. A s we shall see, they $m$ ay exhibit even richer $m$ athem atical structures than their $H$ erm itian counterparts. Their physical applications are also m any and varied. (A list of the relevant physical problem s and corresponding references can be found in, e.g., 5].) The present lectures are based on our recent works [6]-[10] where new applications to di usion lim ited grow th processes, com plex analysis and quantum Halle ect were found.

The progenitor ofensem bles ofm atrices with generalcom plex eigenvalues is the statisticalm odel of com plex $m$ atriges $w$ ith the $G$ aussian weight. It was introduced by $G$ inibre [11] in 1965. T he partition function of this $m$ odel is

$$
Z_{N}=\mathbb{D} \operatorname{lexp} \quad \frac{N}{t} \operatorname{tr} y
$$

 $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ matrices w th com plex entries $i j$ and $t$ is a (real positive) param eter. A long w ith the $G$ inibre ensem ble and its generalizations we also consider ensem bles of norm al $m$ atrioes, ie., such that com mutes with its hem itian conjugate $y$, and norm al selfdualm atrices (the de nition follow sbelow in Section 2).

Since one is prim arily interested in statistics of eigenvalues, it is natural to express the probability density in term sof com plex eigenvalues $z_{j}=x_{i}+i y_{j}$ of the $m$ atrix. It appears that the volum e elem ent can be represented as

where $=1$ for com plex and norm alm atrioes and $=2$ for norm alself-dualm atrioes. If the statisticalw eight depends on the eigenvalues only, as it is usually assum ed, the other param eters of the $m$ atrix (often referred to as \angular variables") are irrelevant and can be integrated out giving an overall norm alization factor. In this case the originalm atrix
problem reduces to statisticalm echanics of N particles with com plex coordinates $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in the plane. $W$ e thus see that even if the $m$ atrix entries ij are statistically independent, like in the $G$ inigre ensemble, the eigenvalues are correlated in a nontrivial way. Speci cally, the factor ${ }_{i<j} \dot{k}_{i} \quad \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{2}$, being equal to the exponentiated Coulomb energy in two dim ensions, means an e ective \repelling" of eigenvalues. This rem ark leads to the D yson logarithm ic gas interpretation [12], which treats the $m$ atrix ensemble as a twodim ensional \plasm a" of eigenvalues in an extemal electric eld.

At $=1$, there is another im portant interpretation. $N$ am ely, the factor ${ }^{Q}{ }_{i<j}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z_{i} & z_{j}\end{array}\right)$ can be thought of as com ing from the Slater determ inant of one-particle ferm ionic states. $T$ he averaging over $m$ atrioes then tums into averaging over the ground state of a system of $N$ non-interacting ferm ions. In the case of the G inibre ensemble it is the system of N electrons in a uniform $m$ agnetic eld at the lowest Landau level. In the case of a spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ electron in a non-uniform $m$ agnetic eld allenergy levels split, w ith the only exception of the low est one, which rem ains highly degenerate. W e shall see that the norm al and com plex $m$ atrix ensembles $w$ th a non- aussian statistical weight are equivalent to $N$ polarized electrons in a non-uniform $m$ agnetic eld con ned to the lowest energy level. If the degeneracy of the level equals $N$, ie., if the level is com pletely lled, the system of $N$ electrons behaves as an incom pressible quantum $H$ all droplet [13].

W hen N becom es large som e new features em erge, which require a di erent language for their adequate description, in $m$ uch the sam eway as classical them odynam ics results from statistical mechanics. As N ! 1 , the eigenvalues densely $1 l$ a dom ain in the com plex plane w ith the $m$ ean density outside it being exponentially sm all in N . A round the edge of this dom ain the density steeply drops down. The width of the transition region tends to zero as N! 1 , so that the density pro le in the direction norm al to the edge looks like a step fiunction. This fact allows one to introduce the support of eigenvalues to be the region where the $m$ ean density of eigenvalues does not vanish as N ! 1 . Typically, it is a bounded dom ain (or several disconnected dom ains) in the com plex plane. Its shape is determ ined by the probability density.

For the $G$ inibre ensemble, the support of eigenvalues is the disk of radius ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{w}$ ith uniform density. It is the counterpart of the celebrated $W$ igner \sem icircular law ". For $m$ atrix ensem bles w ith non-G aussian weights the supports are in general not circular and not connected. Throughout these lectures our attention is $m$ ostly restricted to the case when the support of eigenvalues is a connected dom ain. Even in this relatively sim pler case, the shape of this dom ain depends on param eters of the statistical w eight in a rather com plicated way. A swe shall see in Section 4, the problem to nd the support of eigenvalues from a given statisticalw eight is equivalent to the inverse problem of potential theory in two dim ensions. In m ost cases, solutions of the latter are not available in an explicit form.

N evertheless, the local dynam ical law that govems the evolution of the support of eigenvalues under changes of param eters of the statistical w eight (like $t$ in the $G$ inibre ensemble) can be expressed in term s of the exterior D irichlet boundary value problem. N am ely, the edge of the support m oves along gradient of a scalar ham onic eld in its exterior, w ith the velocity being proportional to the absolute value of the gradient. Rem arkably, this grow th law is know $n$ to be com $m$ on to a wide class of di usion-lim ited grow th processes of which the m ost popular exam ple is visoous ow in the Hele-Shaw œlll (see [14] for a review ). Them entioned above equivalence betw een the nom alm atrix
ensemble and the quantum $H$ all droplet suggests that the sem iclassical behaviour of electronic droplets in a non-uniform $m$ agnetic eld follows the same laws as the $H$ eleShaw owsdo.
$T$ his fact allow s one to treat the $m$ odel of norm al or com $p l e x$ random $m$ atrices as a grow th problem. T he advantage of this view point is tw o-fold. F irst, the hydrodynam ic interpretation $m$ akes som $e$ of the large $N \mathrm{~m}$ atrix m odel results m ore illum inating and intuitively accessible. Second and $m$ ost im portant, the $m$ atrix $m$ odel perspective $m$ ay help to suggest new approaches to the long-standing grow th problem s. In this respect, of special interest is the identi cation of nite tim e singularities in som e exact solutions to the H ele-Shaw ows w th critical points of the nom al and com plex $m$ atrix $m$ odels.

At last, a few words about the organization of the lectures. Them aterial that follow s can be divided into three parts. The rst one (Section 2) can be regarded as a continuation of the introduction. $W$ e de ne the $m$ ain $m$ atrix ensem bles to be considered and give their physical interpretations. The second part (Section 3) contains exact results valid at any nite N.W e outline the integrable structure of the nom aland com plex models at = 1 (the $H$ irota relations for the partition function, the orthogonalpolynom ials technique and the Lax representation). In addition, we derive the exact relation between correlation functions of the eigenvalue densities (referred to as the loop equation) which holds for anbitrary values of . In the third part (Sections 4 and 5) we exam ine the large N lim it of the $m$ odels of random $m$ atrioes $w$ th com plex eigenvalues and discuss the applications to the grow th processes and to the sem iclassical electronic droplets in magnetic eld. $T$ he A ppendices contain technical details of som e proofs and calculations.

## 2 Som e en sem bles of random $m$ atrices with com plex eigenvalues

We consider square random $m$ atrioes of size $N$ with complex entries ij sub ject to certain constraints depending on the particular ensem ble. Som e ensembles of random m atrices are listed in the follow ing table:

| Ensemble | N otation | C ondition | D im ension |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H erm titian | H | ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}=$ | N ${ }^{2}$ |
| U nitary | U | $\mathrm{y}=1$ | N ${ }^{2}$ |
| Norm al | N | [ ${ }^{\text {y }} ;{ }^{\text {a }}$ ] $=0$ | $\mathrm{N}^{2}+\mathrm{N}$ |
| Norm al self-dual | $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { y } ; ~] \end{array}=0\right.} \\ & \text { selff-dual } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1} \mathrm{~N}^{2}+\mathrm{N}$ |
| C omplex | C | none | $2 \mathrm{~N}{ }^{2}$ |

The rst two $m$ atrix ensembles, $H$ and $U$, are the $m$ ost popular ones. They are given here just for com parison. Eigenvalues ofm atrioes from $H$ and $U$ are con ned to the real axis and to the unit circle respectively. The last three ensembles (which are the $m$ ain sub ject of these lectures) do not imply any a priori restrictions on eigenvalues of the $m$ atrioes. N orm alm atrioes are de ned by the constraint that they com $m$ ute $w$ ith their adjoint. The ensemble $N^{0}$ is de ned for $N$ even only. The meaning of the condition \} is self-dual" is explained below in this section. By dim ension of the ensemble we $m$ ean the realdim ension of the $m$ atrix variety.

Throughout this paper we consider the probability densities of the form P ( ) / $e^{t r W}()$, where the function $W$ ( ) (often called the potential of the $m$ atrix $m$ odel) is a $m$ atrix-valued function of and ${ }^{y}$ such that ( $\left.W()\right)^{y}=W$ (). This form is sim ilar to the one usually em ployed in H erm itian and unitary ensem bles. The partition function is de ned as the integral over $m$ atrioes from one or another ensem ble:

$$
Z_{N}=Z^{Z} \mathbb{D} e^{\operatorname{trw}()}
$$

Sum m ing over N w ith a suitable weight, onem ay also de ne the grand canonicalensem bles corresponding to (2.1) but we do not pursue this possibility here.
$W$ e need to specify the integration $m$ easure $\mathbb{D}$ ] and the potentialW ( ). G iven the $m$ easure and the potential, one is usually interested in the distribution and correlations of the eigenvalues. In general, they can be distributed on the real line for $H$, on the unit circle for U and on the whole com plex plane for $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{0}$ and C .

### 2.1 Integration m easures

$T$ he integration $m$ easure has the $m$ ost simple form for the ensem ble of general com plex m atrioes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{D} \quad]=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Im} & \mathrm{ij}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { i;j=1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

This $m$ easure is additively invariant and multiplicatively covariant, i.e. for any xed (nondegenerate) m atrix A 2 C we have the properties $\mathbb{D}(+A)]=\mathbb{D}$ ]and $\mathbb{D}(A)]=$ $\left.\mathbb{D}(A)]=j \operatorname{det} A j^{2 N} \mathbb{D}\right]$. The rst one is obvious, to prove the second one is an easy exercise. It is clear that the $m$ easure is invariant under transform ations of the form
! U ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{U}$ w ith a unitary m atrix U ( ( $\mathrm{rotations"} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{m}$ atrix space).
$T$ he $m$ easure for $N$ is induced by the standard at $m$ etric in $C$,

$$
\text { ji in }=\operatorname{tr}\left(\quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)={ }_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{ij}^{\mathrm{J}}
$$

via the embedding $N \quad C . H$ ere $N$ is regarded as a hypersurface in $C$ de ned by the quadratic relations ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{y}$. Them easure for the ensemble $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ is de ned in a sim ilar way.

A s usual in m atrix m odels, we w ould like to integrate out the \angular" variables and to express the integration $m$ easure through eigenvalues of the $m$ atrices.

The $m$ easure for $N$ through eigenvalues [1, 15]. W e derive the explicit representation of the $m$ easure in term $s$ of eigenvalues in three steps:

1. Introduce coordinates in $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{C}$.
2. C om pute the inherited $m$ etric on $N$ in these coordinates: $j \vec{j} \quad \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{g} ~ \mathrm{~d} \quad \mathrm{~d}$.

$$
\text { 3. } \text { C om pute the volum e elem ent } \mathbb{D} \quad]={ }^{p} \overline{j \operatorname{detg}} j^{Q} d \text {. }
$$

Step 1: Coordinates in N. For any matrix , the matrioes $H_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(+{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right), \mathrm{H}_{2}=$ $\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right.$ ) are Hem itian. The condition [ ; $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=0$ is equivalent to $\left.\mathbb{H}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=0$. Thus $\mathrm{H}_{1 ; 2}$ can be sim ultaneously diagonalized by a unitary $m$ atrix U :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{1}=\mathrm{UXU}^{\mathrm{Y}} ; \quad \mathrm{X}=\operatorname{diag} f \mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~g} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{UYU}^{\mathrm{Y}} ; \quad \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{diag} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}} ;:::: ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

 $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$ and $z_{j}=x_{j} \quad$ iy respectively. $N$ ote that $z_{j}$ are eigenvalues of.$T$ herefore, any 2 N can be represented as

$$
=U Z U^{y}
$$

$w h e r e ~ U$ is a unitary $m$ atrix and $Z$ is the diagonalm atrix $w$ ith eigenvalues of on the diagonal. In fact norm alm atrioes can be equivalently de ned by the property of being the $m$ ost general $m$ atrioes that can be diagonalized by a unitary transform ation. The $m$ atrix $U$ is de ned up to $m$ ultiplication by a diagonal unitary $m$ atrix from the right: $\mathrm{U}!\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{diag}}$. The dim ension of N is thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{N})=\operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{U}) \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(U_{\text {diag }}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{\text {diag }}\right)=N^{2} \quad N+2 N=N^{2}+N
$$

Let us $m$ ake a rem ark that the naive counting of the num ber of constraints in the condition [ ; ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ] $=0$ leads to a w rong result for the dim ension of $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{On}$ the rst glance, this condition gives $\mathrm{N}^{2} 1$ independent constraints. Indeed, set $\mathrm{H}=\left[\right.$; $\left.^{\mathrm{y}}\right]$. Then the conditions $H_{k k}=0$ for $l<k$ give $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$ real constraints and the conditions $H_{k k}=0$ give $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ real constraints (because trH $=0$ identically), in total $\mathrm{N}^{2} \quad 1$ constraints. We thus observe that dim $\left.(\mathbb{N}) \in \operatorname{dim}(C) \mathbb{N}^{2} 1\right)$. Therefore, there are only $\mathrm{N}^{2} N$ independent constraints am ong the $\mathrm{N}^{2} \quad 1$ equations [ ; ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ] $=0$. This fact can be easily illustrated by the exam ple of $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes.
Step 2: The induced $m$ etric. Since $=U Z U{ }^{\mathrm{y}}$, the variation is $=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{u} Z+Z+Z$ $\left.u^{Y}\right) U^{y}$, where $u^{y}=U \quad U^{y}=\quad u$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X_{j=1}^{X^{N}} j z_{j} \jmath^{j}+2_{j<k}^{X^{N}} \dot{\jmath}_{j} \quad \text { z }{ }^{\jmath} j u_{j k}{ }^{\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note that $u_{j j}$ do not enter.) This is the square of the line elem ent $\bar{j} \quad$ ji $=g$

Step 3. The volum e elem ent. $W$ e see that the $m$ etric $g$ is diagonal in the coordinates $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{j}\right)$, $\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{j}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(u_{j k}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{j k}\right) w$ th $1 \quad j<k \quad N$, so the determ inant of the diagonalm atrix $g$ is easily calculated to be jdetg $j=2^{N^{2}}{ }_{N}^{N}{ }_{j<k} \dot{k}_{i} \quad$ K ${ }^{4}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}] / \mathbb{D U}]^{0} j_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} z_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d^{2} z \quad d x d y$ is the at $m$ easure in the complex plane, $\left.\mathbb{D} U=\mathbb{D} U=\mathbb{D} U_{\text {diag }}\right]$ is the invariant $m$ easure on $U=U_{\text {diag }}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{N}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; Z_{N}\right)={\underset{j>k}{\mathrm{Y}^{N}}}_{\mathrm{z}_{j}} \quad z_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{N}\left(z_{j}^{k}{ }^{1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Vanderm onde determ inant.
Sim ilarly to the ensemble $H$ of $H$ erm itian $m$ atrices, the $m$ easure (2 2) contains the squared $m$ odulus of the $V$ anderm onde determ inant. The di erence is that the eigenvalues are com plex num bers. The statistical $m$ odel of norm al random $m$ atriees $w$ as studied in [15, 16].

N orm al self-dualm atrices. Let be the $m$ atrix ${ }_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 01
\end{aligned}
$$

(all other entries are zero). A com plex $m$ atrix is called self-dual if $T=$ (the superscript $T \mathrm{~m}$ eans transposition). The size ofa self-dualm atrix is thus an even num ber. It can be show $n$ that eigenvalues of self-dualm atrioes alw ays com e in pairs: the diagonal form of is

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{diag} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~g}
$$

A $s$ is easy to verify, the condition that is self-dual is equivalent to the condition that the $m$ atrix is anti-sym $m$ etric.

N orm al self-dualm atrices are param eterized as $=U Z U^{Y} w$ th $Z$ as above, where $U$ is unitary and sym plectic: $U^{Y} U=1, U^{T} U=$. In other words, $U$ belongs to the $m$ axim al com pact subgroup in the com plex group of sym plectic $m$ atrices $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})$. The latter is know $n$ to have real dim ension $4 N^{2}+2 N$, w th the dim ension of the $m$ axim al com pact subgroup being tw ioe less. Therefore, sim ilarly to the calculation for norm al $m$ atrices, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}\right)=2 N^{2}+N \quad N+2 N=2 N^{2}+2 N$ (the value given in the table above corresponds to $N$ replaced by $N=2$ ). The integration $m$ easure appears to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}] / \mathbb{D U}]^{0} j_{N}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{\mathbb{N}}\right) \frac{4}{j}_{j=1}^{\mathbb{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}} d^{2} z_{j} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N} m$ atrices). N ote that the m odule of the V anderm onde determ inant enters in the fourth degree. The statisticalm odel of norm al self-dualm atrioes was discussed in [17].

The $m$ easure for $C$ through eigenvalues. A complex matrix $w$ ith eigenvalues $\mathrm{z}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ can be decom posed as

$$
=U(Z+R) U^{Y}
$$

where $Z=\operatorname{diag} f z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N} g$ is diagonal, $U$ is unitary, and $R$ is strictly upper triangular, i.e., $R_{i j}=0$ if $i \quad j$. These $m$ atrioes are de ned up to a lgauge transform ation": $\mathrm{U}!\mathrm{U}_{\text {diag }}, \mathrm{R}!\mathrm{U}_{\text {diag }}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{U}_{\text {diag }}$. It is not so easy to see that the m easure factorizes. $T$ his requires som e work, of which the key step is a speci c ordering of the independent variables. The nal result is:

$$
\left.\mathbb{D} \quad /^{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{D}\right]^{0} \quad \begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Y}  \tag{2.5}\\
d^{2} R_{k l} \quad j_{N}\left(z_{i}\right) j^{\mathcal{J}^{\mathbb{N}}} d^{2} z_{j}, 1
\end{gather*}
$$

T he details can be found in the $M$ ehta book [1].

### 2.2 P otentials

For the ensem bles $N, N^{0}$ the \angular variables" (param eters of the unitary matrix U ) alw ays decouple after taking the trace $\operatorname{trW}\left(\underset{P}{ }={ }_{j} W\left(z_{j}\right)\right.$, so the potential $W$ can be a function of , ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ofa general form W()$=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nm}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{y})^{\mathrm{m}}$. Twoimportant particular cases arise if the potential is:

A xially sym m etric, $W$ ( ) = $W_{0}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)$. In this case the N -fold integral essentially reduces to ordinary ones, and so som e basic results becom e available in a quite explicit form.

Harm onic on the background of y , i.e., W()$=\quad \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{V}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right.$. In what follow $s$, we call it quasiharm onic. $H$ ere $V(z)$ is an analytic function of $z$ in som $e$ dom ain containing the origin and $V(z)=\overline{\mathrm{V}(z)}$. In term $s$ of the eigenvalues, the quasiharm onic potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(z)=\dot{z}^{2} j+V(z)+\overline{V(z)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This case is particularly im portant for applications. The norm al m atrix m odel $w$ th quasiham onic potentials bears som e form al sim ilarities $w$ ith the $m$ odel of tw o coupled $H$ erm itian $m$ atrices [18] and the $m$ atrix quantum $m$ echanics in the singlet sector [19].

T he partition function reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=j_{N}\left(Z_{i}\right) j^{Y^{N}} e^{W} e^{\left(z_{j}\right)} d^{2} z_{j} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1$ for N and $=2$ for $\mathrm{N}^{0}$. From now on this formula is taken as the de nition of the partition function. Comparing to (2.1), we rede ne $W$ ! $W=$ and ignore a possible N -dependent norm alization factor. O ne $m$ ay also consider this integral for arbitrary values of .

The choige of the potential for the ensemble $C$ is $m$ ore restricted. For a general potential, the matrix $U$ in $=U(Z+R) U^{y}$ still decouples but $R$ does not. $T$ he problem becom es too com plicated. An im portant particular case, when $R$ nevertheless decouples is the quasiharm onic potential (2.6). Indeed, $\operatorname{tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{Z} Z)+\operatorname{tr}\left(R R^{\mathrm{Y}}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tr}(Z+R)^{n}=\operatorname{tr} Z^{n}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{C}^{Z} \mathbb{D} \quad e^{\operatorname{trw}()}=C_{N} \quad Z^{Z}\left(z_{i}\right) j^{Y} e^{W} e^{\left(z_{k}\right)} d^{2} z_{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W_{R}$ here $C_{N}$ is an $N$-dependent nom alization factor proportional to the gaussian integral D R Je $\operatorname{tr}_{\left(R^{y}\right)}$.

A s an exam ple, let us consider the quadratic potential:

$$
W(z)=\dot{\mathcal{F}}^{2} j+2 R e\left(t_{1} z+t_{2} z^{2}\right) ; \quad>0
$$

The ensemble C ( = 1) w ith this potential is known as the $G$ inibre-G irko ensem ble [11,20]. In this case the partition function (2.7) can be calculated exactly [21]:

$$
Z_{N}=Z_{N}^{(0)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 4 \frac{1}{2} \jmath
\end{array}\right)^{N^{2}=2} \exp N \frac{t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+t_{1} \jmath}{2} \frac{\left.4 t_{2}\right\}^{3}}{}
$$

where

$$
\left.Z_{N}^{(0)}=\mathbb{N}^{2} N\right)^{\prime}=2 N_{k=1}^{\mathrm{Y}} k!
$$

To the best of our know ledge, there are no exact results for 2D integrals of this type w ith $j\left(z_{i}\right) f$ for other values of , even for the pure $G$ aussian weight.

C om ing badk to the general case, we note that som e integrals considered above $m$ ay diverge. In the $m$ ost im portant case of quasiharm onic potential, the integral

Z

$$
e^{\dot{j} \rho+v(z)+\overline{v(z)}} d^{2} z
$$

converges for potentials $V(z)=z^{2}+\quad z+{ }^{P}{ }_{i} i_{i} \log \left(\begin{array}{ll}z & a_{1}\end{array}\right) w$ ith $j j<\frac{1}{2}$ and ${ }_{j}>\quad 1$ but it alw ays diverges if $V(z)$ is a polynom ial of degree 3. A s usual in $m$ atrix $m$ odels, really interesting science begins when integrals diverge! Let us say a few words about how one should understand divergent integrals. The conventional view point is to treat all cubic and higher degree term $s$ in the potential as a sm all perturbation. T he integral is then regarded as a perturbative series for a theory which is believed to be well-de ned on the nonperturbative level. The nonperturbative de nition can be achieved either by introducing an ad hoc cuto or via $m$ ore sophisticated $m$ ethods in the spirit of the M arinariP arisiapproach [22] (the \stochastic stabilization"). A s far as the large N lim it is concemed, the integral for the partition function can be de ned through the expansion around the saddle point. This gives the $1=\mathrm{N}$-expansion

Even if the integral for $Z_{N}$ diverges, each term of the $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion is often well-de ned.

### 2.3 P hysical interp retations

The ensembles of random $m$ atrices appear to be $m$ athem atically equivalent to som e im portant $m$ odel system $s$ of statistical and quantum $m$ echanics. They are:

The 2D C oulom.b plasm a (any )
N on-interacting ferm ions ( $=1$ )
Electrons in magnetic eld ( = 1)
The equivalence holds for any nite N . The rst two interpretations are standard and well known. T he third one can be regarded as a speci cation of the second one form odels w ith eigenvalues distributed over the whole com plex plane. Rem arkably, in this very case the noninteracting ferm ions picture ( $w$ hich is rather form alfor $H$ and $U$ ) acquires a very interesting physical content related to the quantum H alle ect.

The D yson gas picture. This interpretation, rst suggested by D yson [12] for the unitary, sym plectic and orthogonalm atrix ensembles, relies on rew riting $j_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \jmath^{\ell}$ as $\exp \quad{ }_{i f j} \log \dot{\zeta}_{i} \quad z_{j} j$. C learly, the integral (2.7) looks then exactly as the partition function of the 2D C oulom b plasm a (often called the D yson gas) at $\backslash$ tem perature" $1=$, in the extemal electric eld:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=e^{\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues play the role of the 2D C oulom $b$ charges. The energy is

$$
E=\begin{gather*}
X  \tag{2.10}\\
i<j \\
\log \dot{k}_{i} \\
z_{j} j^{2}
\end{gather*} \quad 1_{j}^{X} W\left(z_{j}\right)
$$

The rst sum is the C oulombinteraction energy, the second one is the energy due to the extemal eld. For the ensembles $H$ and $U$ the charges are con ned to dim ension 1 (the real line or the unit circle) but interact as 2D C oulomb charges. So, the D yson gas picture for the ensembles $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{0}$ and C looks even m ore natural. The Dyson gas interpretation becom es especially helpful in the large N lim it, where it allows one to apply therm odynam ical argum ents.
$N$ on-interacting ferm ions $(=1)$. G iven any system of polynom ials of the form $P_{n}(z)=z^{n}+$ low er degrees, the $V$ anderm onde determ inant can be written as $N_{N}\left(z_{i}\right)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(z_{j}^{k}{ }^{1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(P_{k} \quad\left(Z_{j}\right)\right)$. Let us rew rite the statistical w eight as

$$
\left.j_{N}\left(z_{i}\right) \mathcal{J}_{j=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}} e^{\mathrm{W}\left(z_{j}\right)}=j\left(z_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

where $\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{N} N P_{k}\left(z_{j}\right) e^{W}\left(z_{j}\right)$ is the (unnorm alized) wave function of $N$ non-interacting ferm ions (the Slater determ inant), w ith one-particle wave functions being $k(z)=P_{k} \quad(z) e^{W}(z)$. The partition function is the norm alization integral:

$$
Z_{N}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{Y}} d^{2} z_{i}
$$

For the ensembles N and C (w ith quasiharm onic potential) the wave function has a direct physicalm eaning as a wave function of 2D electrons in $m$ agnetic eld.

Electrons in the plane in $m$ agnetic eld. C onsider a charged particle $w$ th spin $\frac{1}{2}$ (electron) moving in the plane in a strong (not necessarily uniform) magnetic eld $B=B(x ; y)$ orthogonal to the plane. The P auli H am iltonian reads

$$
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2 m} \quad(i \sim r+\overparen{A})^{2} \quad \sim{ }_{3} B
$$

Here $m$ is $m$ ass of the particle, $3_{3}=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1)$ is the Paulim atrix, $B=Q_{X} A_{y} \quad Q_{A} A_{x}$. In 2D, the complex notation is convenient: $A=A_{x} \quad i A_{y}, A=A_{x}+i A_{y}$, so that $B=i(@ A \quad @ A)$.

For a uniform $m$ agnetic eld, $B=B_{0}$, one can choose the gauge $A=\frac{B_{0}}{2 i} z$. Solving the Schrodinger equation $\hat{H}=\mathrm{E}$, one gets Landau levels:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\sim \mathrm{B}_{0}}{m} \mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{s}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

The gap between the levels is proportional to $\mathrm{B}_{0}=\mathrm{m}$. Each level is highly degenerate. T he wave functions at the level $\mathrm{E}=0$ are

$$
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}} \exp \quad \frac{\mathrm{~B}_{0}}{4 \sim} \dot{z} \mathcal{J}^{?}
$$

We note in passing that the system of ferm ions in the $m$ agnetic eld at the low est energy level adm its a collective eld theory description which was discussed in the literature in di erent contexts (se e.g. [13, 23, 24]).

Let us tum to the problem $w$ ith a nonuniform $m$ agnetic eld. Choose the gauge $A=i @ W$ with a real-valued function $W$, then $B=2 @ W$ and div $\mathbb{A}=@ A+@ A=0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mathrm{i} \sim \mathrm{r}+\mathbb{\AA})^{2}=4 \sim^{2} @ @+2 i \sim((@ W) @+(@ W) @)+j @ W \text { 子 } \\
=(2 \sim @+@ W)(2 \sim+\text { @W }) \quad 2 \sim \text { @W }
\end{gathered}
$$

The H am iltonian can be represented as the $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix

$$
\hat{H}=\begin{array}{cc}
H_{+} & 0 \\
0 & H
\end{array}
$$

where $2 \mathrm{mH}=(\mathrm{i} \sim \mathrm{r}+\mathbb{A})^{2} \quad 2 \sim @ W$. In general, the spectral problem for this H am iltonian does not adm it an explicit solution. H ow ever, the levelE $=0$ is very special. N ote that $H_{+}$factorizes: $H_{+}=(2 \sim @+@ W)(2 \sim+@ W)$, so exact $w$ ave functions at the level $\mathrm{E}=0$ can be found by solving the rst order equation

$$
H_{+}=(2 \sim @ \quad @ W)=0
$$

The general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=P(z) \exp \frac{1}{2 \sim} W \quad(z) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: The energy levels of a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ electron in a non-uniform $m$ agnetic eld (schem atically). The low est levelE $=0$ rem ains highly degenerate.
where $P(z)$ is an anbitrary holom onphic polynom ial. The zero energy level rem ains to be highly degenerate even in the nonuniform m agnetic eld ( F ig.1). T his fact w as rst observed in [25].

To nd degeneracy of the level, we solve the Poisson equation $W=2 B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(z)=\frac{1}{z}^{Z} \log j \quad \beta()^{j} d \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that $W(z)$ tends to $-\log \dot{\mathcal{Z} j} \mathfrak{a s j} \dot{j} j!1$, where $={ }^{R} B d^{2} z$ is the total $m$ agnetic ux. If $P(z)$ is of degree $n$, the asym ptotics of for $\dot{z} j$ large is

$$
=P(z) e^{\frac{1}{2 \sim} W}(z)!z^{n} \dot{\mathcal{z}}{ }^{2}=0
$$

where $0=2 \sim$ is the ux quantum. W e require the wave functions to be norm alizable, i.e, $j f d^{2} z<1$ that $m$ eans $n<=0$ 1. Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\max }=[=0] \quad 1
$$

([:: : ] is the integer part), and the degeneracy is equal to the num ber of ux quanta in the total ux:

$$
\mathrm{N}=[=0]
$$

If the C oulom b foroes can be ignored, the wave function ofN electrons in the plane in the $m$ agnetic eld at the low est energy level is constructed as the N N Slater detem inant of the functions of the type (2.11) w th polynom ials of di erent degrees.

The situation when the low est energy levelE $=0$ is com pletely led, i.e., $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}$, is the (integer) quantum $H$ all $(Q H)$ regim $e$. The notion of the $Q H$ droplet [13] im plies that the electronic liquid is incom pressible, ie., all states at the low est energy level are occupied. W e com e to the follow ing conclusion: the $\mathrm{Q} H$ droplet consisting of N electrons (in general, in a non-uniform $m$ agnetic eld) is equivalent to the ensemble of norm al $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ matrioes.

## 3 Exact results at n ite N

### 3.1 C orrelation functions: general relations

The $m$ ain $o b$ jects to be determ ined in random $m$ atrix $m$ odels are correlation functions. In general, they are $m$ ean values of scalar-valued functions of $m$ atrices. The $m$ ean value of such a function A ( ) of the matrix is de ned, w ith the help of the statisticalw eight, in the usualway: Z

$$
\text { hAi }=\frac{\mathbb{D} \text { } \mathbb{Z}() e^{\text {trw }()}}{\mathbb{D} \mathrm{e}^{\text {trw }()}}
$$

W e shall consider functions that depend on eigenvalues only \{ for exam ple, traces of m atrices. C orrespondingly, typical correlators which we are going to study are m ean values of products of traces: $h t r f() i, h t r f_{1}() \operatorname{trf} f_{2}() i$ and so on. C learly, they are represented as integrals over eigenvalues. For exam ple,


Here, $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{)}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right.$ is any function of , ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ which is regarded as the function $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=$ $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of the complex argum ent $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) in the rh.s. A particularly im portant exam ple is the density function de ned as

$$
(z)={ }_{j}^{X} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & z)=\operatorname{tr} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
z
\end{array}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ( $z$ ) is the two dim ensional -fiunction. A s it im $m$ ediately follow sfrom the de nition, any correlator of traces is expressed through correlators of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \operatorname{trf} f_{1}()::: \operatorname{trf}_{n}() i==^{Z} h\left(z_{1}\right):::\left(z_{n}\right) i f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)::: f_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)^{Y^{n}} d^{2} z_{j} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of correlations ofdensity it is often convenient to consider correlations of the eld

$$
\prime(z)=\quad \begin{aligned}
& X \log \dot{j} \\
& j
\end{aligned} \mathrm{j}^{\jmath}=\quad \log \dot{j} \operatorname{det}(z \quad)^{2}
$$

from which the correlations of density can be found by $m$ eans of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \quad(z)=\quad \text { ' }(z) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

C learly,' is the 2D C oulom b potentialcreated by the eigenvalues (charges). A s it directly follows from the de nitions,

$$
h(z) \dot{q}_{\mathrm{N}}=N \frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} 1}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}} e^{\mathrm{W}(z))^{\prime}(z)}{ }_{N} 1
$$

where $h:: i_{N} m$ eans the expectation value in the ensemble of $N \quad N m$ atrices.
H andling w ith m ultipoint correlation functions, it is custom ary to pass to their connected parts. For exam ple, in the case of 2 -point functions, the connected correlation function is de ned as

$$
h\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}\right) i_{c} \quad h\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}\right) i \quad h\left(z_{1}\right) i h\left(z_{2}\right) i
$$

The connected multi-trace correlators are expressed through the connected density correlators by the sam e form ula (32) with $h\left(z_{1}\right):::\left(z_{n}\right) i_{c}$ in the r.h.s. The connected part of the $(n+1)$-point density correlation function is given by the linear response of the $n$-point one to a sm allvariation of the potential. $M$ ore precisely, the follow ing variational form ulas hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) i=\frac{\log Z_{N}}{W(z)} ; \quad h\left(z_{1}\right) \quad\left(z_{2}\right) i_{c}=\frac{h(z) i}{W\left(z_{2}\right)}=\frac{{ }^{2} \log Z_{N}}{W\left(z_{1}\right) W\left(z_{2}\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onnected multi-point correlators are higher variational derivatives of $\log Z_{N}$. These formulas follow from the fact that variation of the partition function over a general potential $W$ inserts i ( $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{z}\end{array}\right)$ into the integral. Let us stress that these form ulas are exact for any nite N .

For a later use, we mention the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\operatorname{trf}()^{E}}=\exp { }_{k=1}^{X^{A}} \frac{1}{k!}(\operatorname{trf}())^{k} c \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im $m$ ediately follow s from the expansion

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\log e^{t r f}}_{E}^{E}=\log Z_{N}(\mathbb{W}+f) \quad \log Z_{N}(\mathbb{W}) \\
=\frac{Z}{W} \frac{\log Z_{N}}{W()} f() d^{2}+\frac{1}{2!} \frac{{ }^{2} \log Z_{N}}{W(1) W(9)} f() f\left(9 d^{2} d^{2}+:::\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

### 3.2 Integrable structure of the N and C ensembles $(=1)$

The partition function (2.7) for $=1$,

$$
Z_{N}=j_{N}\left(z_{i}\right) \jmath^{\mathcal{Y}^{N}} e^{W=1} e^{\left(z_{j}\right)} d^{2} z_{j}
$$

regarded as a function of $N$ and Taylor coe cients of the potentialw, has rem arkable properties, which we brie y review below.

D eterm inant representation. The follow ing sim ple but im portant determ inant representation holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=N \operatorname{det}_{N}\left(C_{i j}\right) ; \quad 1 \quad i ; j \quad N \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}=z^{i 1} z^{j}{ }^{1} e^{w(z)} d^{2} z \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ atrix ofm om ents". The proof is alm ost a repetition of the corresponding proof for the hem itian model. Com plexiy of eigenvalues does not cause any di culties. For com pleteness, the detailed proof is given in the A ppendix.

H irota relations. Let us apply the determ inant form ula to hotet )i:

$$
\operatorname{hdet}(\quad) i={\frac{1}{Z_{N}}}^{Z} j_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~J}_{j}^{Y}(\quad z) e^{W}\left(z_{j}\right) d^{2} z_{j}=\frac{1}{Z_{N}} \operatorname{det} z^{i 1} z^{j 1}(\quad z) e^{W} d^{2} z
$$

C om paring this w ith the determ inant representation of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$, we see that hdet ( )i=
${ }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1} \operatorname{det}\left[\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{\text {i }+1 ; j}\right]$. Taking appropriate linear com binations of the lines, one can reduce this determ inant to the determ inant of a $m$ atrix which di ers from $C_{i j}$ only in the last line. Sim ilarly, in the determ inant representation of hotet $1 \quad$ ) det ( 2 )i only two last lines change. N ow, som e standard identities for determ inants lead to the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{hdet}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{det}(2) \text { ihdet }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3
\end{array}\right) \text { i+ cyclic perm }- \text { of }(123)=0  \tag{3.9}\\
& \dot{j} \operatorname{det}(\quad)_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \operatorname{frdet}(\quad) \dot{j}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2} \mathrm{~J}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}+1} \frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}+1} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} 1}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}} \dot{j} \operatorname{det}(\quad)_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

W rite

$$
\operatorname{hdet}(\quad) i_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{W}+[\mathrm{j})} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{W})
$$

where

$$
W+[] \quad W(z)+\log 1 \quad \underline{z}
$$

is the potentialm odi ed by the (complex and multi-valued) term log $1 \quad \underline{z}$. (Since this term is alw ays under the exp-function, there is no am biguly in the choioe of its branch.) In this notation, the above identities for determ inants acquire the form of the H irota bilinear equations [26]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array} \quad Z_{N}\left(\mathbb{W}+\left[1_{1}\right]+[2]\right) Z_{N}(\mathbb{W}+[3])+\text { cyclic perm -s of } 1 ; 2 ; 3=0\right.  \tag{3.11}\\
Z_{N}(\mathbb{W}) Z_{N}\left(\mathbb{W}+[]+[\overline{[ }) \quad Z_{N}(\mathbb{W}+[]) Z_{N}(\mathbb{W}+[\overline{[ })\right. \\
=\frac{N}{N+1} j j^{2} Z_{N+1}(\mathbb{W}) Z_{N} \quad 1(\mathbb{W}+[]+[]) \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us param eterize the potential as

$$
W^{(z)}=W^{(0)}(z)+{\left.\underset{k}{X}\left(t_{k} z^{k}+t_{k} z^{k}\right), ~\right)}^{x}
$$

then these equations state that $Z_{N}=N$ !, as a function of $t_{k}, t_{k}$, is the tau-function of the 2D Toda lattioe hierarchy. The transform ation $W$ ! W + [ ] is equivalent to the change of variables $t_{k}$ ! $t_{k} \quad \frac{1}{k}{ }^{k}$ which is know $n$ in the literature as the $M$ iw a transform ation.

O rthogonal polynom ials and the kernel function. The orthogonal polynom ials technique is useful not only for hem itian and unitary matrix ensembles but for the norm al and com plex ensem bles as well. T he orthogonal polynom ials are introduced as $m$ ean values of the characteristic polynom ials of the random $m$ atrices :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}()=\operatorname{hdet}(\quad) i_{n} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ learly, $P_{n}$ are polynom ials in of the form $P_{n}()={ }^{n}+$ lower degrees.
Them ain property of the polynom ials introduced is their orthogonality in the com plex plane:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \\
& P_{n}(z) \overline{P_{m}(z)} e^{W}(z) d^{2} z=h_{n} m n \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he square of the norm $h_{n}=j P_{n} \dot{J}$ is connected $w$ ith the partition function as

$$
h_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{Z_{n+1}}{Z_{n}} ; \quad Z_{N}=N!_{n=0}^{Y Y} h_{n}^{1}
$$

A gain, the proof is com pletely parallelto the corresponding proofin the herm itian $m$ odels. See A ppendix for details.

The functions

$$
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n} 1}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{z})=2}
$$

are orthonom al:

$$
\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z}) \overline{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{z})} \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{mn}
$$

These $n$ 's are \one-particle wave functions" of electrons in the $m$ agnetic eld. The $N$-particle wave function is $N\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right) \quad \operatorname{det}\left[j\left(z_{k}\right)\right]$. The joint probability to nd \particles" at $z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}$ is

$$
j_{N}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right) \xrightarrow[\jmath]{2}=\frac{1}{N!} j^{\operatorname{det}}\left[j\left(z_{k}\right)\right] \jmath^{2}
$$

 $T$ he expression under the determ inant is called the kemel function :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{N}(z ; w)=X_{n=1}^{X^{N}} n(z) \overline{n(w)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ ain properties of the kemel function are:

```
Herm iticity: \(K_{N}(z ; w)=\overline{K_{N}(w ; z)}\);
    R
N orm alization: \(K_{N}(z ; z) d^{2} z=N\);
    R
P rojection property: \(K_{N}\left(z_{1} ; z\right) K_{N}\left(z ; z_{2}\right) d^{2} z=K_{N}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)\).
```

A $l l$ density correlation functions can be expressed through the kemel function. For exam ple:
$h(z) i_{N}=K_{N}(z ; z)$

$$
h\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}\right) i_{N}=\quad \begin{aligned}
& K_{N}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right) K_{N}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) \\
& K_{N}\left(z_{2} ; z_{1}\right) K_{N}\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}+K_{N}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)
$$

$T$ he last term is a contact term. It does not contribute if $z_{1} z_{2}$. In general, one has:

$$
h\left(z_{1}\right):::\left(z_{n}\right) i_{N}=\operatorname{det}\left(K_{N}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)\right)_{1} i_{i j} n+\text { contact term } s
$$

$w$ here the contact term $s$ vanish if all the points $z_{i}$ are di erent.
N ote that for the ensemble N w ith an axially-sym metric potentialW () = W o ( ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ) the orthogonalpolynom ials are sim ply $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is given explicitly:

$$
h_{n}=\dot{k}^{2 n} e^{W_{0}\left(\dot{p} f^{2}\right)} d^{2} z=\sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} r^{2 n+1} e^{W_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)} d r
$$

The kemel function is

$$
K_{N}(z ; w)=e^{\frac{1}{2}(w(z)+w(w))}{ }_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(z w)^{n}}{h_{n}}
$$

For exam $p l e$, for the $G$ aussian $m$ odelw th $W(z)=\dot{\xi} \dot{j}$ the squared norm sare $h_{n}=n$ ! and the $m$ ean value of density is given by
$h(z) \dot{i}_{N}=\frac{1}{-\dot{z} f^{\mathbb{X}}} \underset{n=0}{1} \frac{\dot{k} f^{2 n}}{n!}$
The Lax representation. The orthogonal polynom ials obey the recurrence relation of the form $z P_{n}(z)={ }_{k n n} C_{n k} P_{k}(z)$. In term $s$ of the -function it reads

$$
z_{n}(z)=r_{n} n+1(z)+{ }_{k 0}^{X} u_{k}(n) n_{k}(z)
$$

O ne can represent it as a \spectral problem" L = z for the di erence operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=r_{n} e^{@=@ n}+{ }_{k} \quad u_{k}(n) e^{k @=@ n} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the Lax operator). Here $e^{@=@ n}$ is the shift operator $n!n+1 w$ th the characteristic property $e^{@=\varrho n} f(n)=f(n+1) e^{@=\varrho n}$.

Let

$$
W^{W}(z)=W^{(0)}(z)+X_{k}^{X}\left(t_{k} z^{k}+t_{k} z^{k}\right)
$$

It can be show $n$ that the dependence on the param eters $t_{k}, t_{k}$ is given by the 2D Toda hierarchy

$$
\left.\frac{@}{@ t_{k}} L=\left[A_{k} ; L\right] ; \quad \frac{@}{@ t_{k}} L=\llbracket ; A_{k}\right]
$$

where $A_{k}=\left(L^{k}\right)_{+}+\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{k}\right)_{0}, A_{k}=\left(L^{y^{k k}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L^{\mathrm{kk}}\right)_{0}$ and $L^{y}=e^{@=@ n} r_{n}+{ }^{P} \quad k \quad e^{k @=@ n} u_{k}(n)$ is the conjugate Lax operator. $G_{P}$ iven an operator of the form $\hat{P}={ }_{p}{ }_{k} b_{k} e^{k @=@ n}$, we use
 $T$ he structure of the Toda hierarchy in $m$ odels of random $m$ atrices $w$ as rst revealed in [27], see also review [4].

In the case of quasiharm onic potential, it is convenient to m odify the -functions:

$$
n!\quad n=p \frac{1}{h_{n} 1} e^{v(z)} P_{n} 1(z)
$$

R
The functions $n$ obey the orthogonality condition: $n(z) \overline{m(z)} e^{z^{j}} d^{2} z=n m$. Then we have two com patible linear problem s:

$$
(\mathrm{L} \quad)_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}=@_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{n}
$$

The second equation can be proven by com paring the $m$ atrix elem ents of the both sides using integration by parts.

### 3.3 The loop equation

In $m$ atrix $m$ odels, loop equations are exact relations which follow from the fact that the $m$ atrix integralde ning them odeldoes not depend on changes of integration variables. In our case, wem ay start directly from the integralover eigenvalues (2.7), thereby extending the result to any value of .

C learly, the integral (2.7) rem ains the sam e if we change the integration variables $z_{i}!z_{i}$. In other words, it is invariant under reparam etrizations of the $z$-coordinate, which we write in the in nitesm al form as $z_{i}!z_{i}+\left(z_{i}\right), z_{i}!z_{i}+\quad$ ( $z_{i}$ ). For the integral $Z_{N}=e^{E\left(z_{1},::: i z_{\mathrm{N}}\right)}{ }^{2}{ }_{j} d^{2} z_{j} w$ ith $E$ given in (2.10), the reparam etrization yields, in the rst order:


The invariance of the integral is then expressed by the identity

$$
X_{i}^{Z} \frac{@}{@ z_{i}} \quad\left(z_{i}\right) e^{E}{ }^{Y} d^{2} z_{j}=0
$$

valid for any . Introducing a suitable cuto at in nity, if necessary, one sees that the 2D integral over $z_{i}$ can be transform ed, by virtue of the $G$ reen theorem, into a contour integral around in nity and so it does vanish.

Let us take $(z)=\frac{1}{z z_{i}}$, where $z$ is a com plex param eter. T he singularity at the point $z$ does not destroy the above identity since its contribution is proportional to the vanishing integral $d z_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}z_{i} & z) \text { over a } s m \text { all contour encircling } z \text {. Therefore, we have }{ }^{2} \text {, }\end{array}\right.$ the equality

$$
x^{Z}
$$

$$
\frac{@_{z_{\mathrm{i}}} E}{z \quad \text { z }}+\frac{1}{\left(z \quad z_{i}\right)^{2}} e^{E}{ }_{j}^{Y} d^{2} z_{j}=0
$$

where $@_{z_{i}} E={ }^{X} \frac{1}{z_{i} \quad \text { z }} \quad{ }^{1} @ W\left(z_{i}\right)$ (see (2.10)). U sing the identity

we rew rite it in the form $\mathrm{hT}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{i}=0$, where

$$
T=2^{X} \frac{@ W\left(z_{i}\right)}{z z_{i}}+X_{i}^{\sum_{i} \frac{1}{z_{2}}}+(2 \quad)_{i}^{X} \frac{1}{\left(z z^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

This identity gives an exact relation between ope-and two-point correlation ${ }_{p}$ functions.
 $f(z) \quad(z) d^{2} z$. The result is the loop equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{@ W() h^{\prime}() i}{z} d^{2}=h T(z) i \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=\left(@^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}+(2 \quad) ®^{\prime}(z) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlator at coinciding points is understood as $\left(@^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}=\lim _{z^{0}!z} h^{\prime}(z) @^{\prime}\left(z^{0}\right) i$.
W e have got an exact relation between one-and two-point correlation functions, valid for any nite N. For historical reasons, it is called the loop equation. O ne m ay read it as a $W$ ard identity obeyed by correlation functions of the $m$ odel. Being written in the form (3.18), (3.19), it resem bles conform al $W$ ard identities. Since correlation fiunctions are variational derivatives of the free energy, the loop equation is an im plicit functional relation for the free energy. H ow ever, it is not a closed relation. It can be m ade closed by som e additional assum ptions or approxim ations. A com bination w ith $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion is particularly $m$ eaningful.

## 4 Large N lim it

Starting from this section, we study the large $N$ lim it of the random $m$ atrix $m$ odels introduced in Section 2. O urm ain tool is the loop equation. W e shall see that in the large N lim it m eaningfiul geom etric and algebro-geom etric structures em erge, as well as im portant applications in physics.

### 4.1 Prelim inaries

In order to be prepared for taking the large N ( (quasiclassical") lim it, it is convenient to introduce the $\backslash P$ lanck constant" $\sim$ by the rescaling $W(z)!\frac{1}{\sim} W(z)$, so the integral for the partition function acquires the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{N}=\mathbb{Z}\right] e^{\frac{1}{\sim} \operatorname{trw}() / j_{N} J_{j}^{Y} e^{\frac{1}{\sim} W\left(Z_{j}\right)} d^{2} Z_{j}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is ready for an ~-expansion.
$N$ ow we can specify what we mean by the large $N$ lim it. $N$ am ely, we are going to consider the integral (4.1) in the lim it

$$
\mathrm{N}!1 ; \sim \text { ! } 0 ; \sim N=t \quad \text { nite; }
$$

where $t$ is a (positive) param eter having the dim ension of area, or, equivalently, the integral

$$
Z_{N}=Z_{N}\left(z_{i}\right)^{J^{Y}}{ }_{j}^{Y} \exp \frac{N}{t} W\left(z_{j}\right) \quad d^{2} z_{j}
$$

as $N!1 . W$ th this convention, the $N!1$ and $\sim!~ 0 \lim$ its mean the same. It is natural to expect that $Z_{N} \sim!$ ! $\tilde{e}^{2} F_{0}(t)$, where the rescaled free energy $F_{0}$ is a sm ooth function oft (and param eters of the potential) nite as $N$ ! 1 .

From now on we change the nom alization of the functions and' (see (3.1), (3.3)) multiplying them by the $\sim$ :
and use these de nitions hereafter. (T he idea is to $m$ ake their $m$ ean values nite as N ! 1 .) The relation (3.4) between these functions rem ains unchanged. The density is now norm alized as follow s :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z \quad(z) d^{2} z=t
\end{aligned}
$$

N ote that in our units the ~ has dim ension of [ength $]^{2},(z)$ is dim ensionless and the partition function de ned by (4.1) has dim ension [ength] ${ }^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}+2$ ), i.e., the com bination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim \sim^{\frac{1}{2} N^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\quad 2) N} Z_{N}=Z_{N} \quad Z_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{\sim} \quad e^{\frac{1}{\sim} W\left(z_{j}\right)} \frac{d^{2} z_{j}}{\sim} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is dim ensionless.
In term $s$ of the renom alized ', the loop equation (3.18) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}{ }^{z} \frac{@ W() h^{\prime}() i}{z} d^{2}+\left(@^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}+" @^{2 \prime}(z)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
"=(2 \quad) \sim \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $s m$ all as $\sim!\quad 0 . N$ ote that " is exactly zero for the ensemble $N^{0}(=2)$, and so the last term does not enter the loop equation in this case. It is convenient to treat " and ~ as independent sm all param eters.

### 4.2 Solution to the loop equation in the leading order

It is instructive to think about the large N lim it under consideration in term softhe D yson gas picture. T hen the lim it we are interested in corresponds to a very low tem perature of the gas, when uctuations around equilibrium positions of the charges are negligible. $T$ he $m$ ain contribution to the partition function then com es from a con guration, where the charges are \frozen" at their equilibrium positions. It is also im portant that the tem perature tends to zero sim ultaneously w ith increasing the number of charges, so the plasm a can be regarded as a continuous uid at static equilibrium. In the noninteracting ferm ions picture, this lim it has som e features of the quasiclassical approxim ation. M athem atically, all this $m$ eans that the integral is evaluated by the saddle point $m$ ethod, w ith only the leading contribution being taken into account. As ~! 0, correlation functions take their \classical" values $h^{\prime}(z) i={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)$, and multipoint correlators factorize in the leading order: h@' $(z) @^{\prime}\left(z^{0}\right) i=@^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z) @^{\prime}{ }_{c l}\left(z^{0}\right)$. T hen the loop equation (4.4) becom es a closed relation for ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{c l}$ :
$N$ ote that we hold the last term which is apparently of the next order in $\sim$. The role of this term will be discussed below.

The case $=2$ (the ensemble $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ ). W e begin w th the case $=2$, when the last term in the r.h.s. of (4.6) vanishes exactly. Let us apply @ to both sides of the equation. This yields:

$$
\text { @W (z) ' }{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z})+@^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z})^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z})=0
$$

Since ' ${ }_{c l}(z) / c l_{\text {cl }}(z)$ (see (42)), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z}) \quad @ \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{z})\right]=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation should be solved w ith the additional constraints ${ }^{R}{ }_{c l}(z) d^{2} z=t$ (norm alization) and ${ }_{c l}(z) \quad 0$ (positivity). The equation tells us that either $@^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)=@ W$ ( $z$ ) or ${ }_{c l}(z)=0$. Applying @, we get ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)=W(z)$. Since ${ }^{\prime}=4$, this gives the solution for ${ }_{c l}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z})}{4} \quad \text { in the bulk" } \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, \in the bulk" just means \in the region where ${ }_{c l}>0$ ". A swe shall see below, this result holds true, up to som e details, for other values of as well, so is kept in this form ula and in som e form ulas below.

The physicalm eaning of the equation @' ${ }_{c l}(z)=@ W(z)$ is clear. It is just the condition that the charges are in equilibrium (the saddle point for the integral). Indeed, the equation states that the totalforce experienced by a charge at any point $z$, where $\mathrm{cl} \in 0$, is zero. The interaction w ith the other charges, @' ${ }_{c l}(z)$, is com pensated by the force @W (z) due to the extemal eld.


Figure 2: The support of eigenvalues.

Support of eigenvalues. Let us assum e that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z):=\frac{1}{4} W(z)>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For quasiharm onic potentials, $(z)=1=$. If, according to (4.8), $\mathrm{cl}==$ everywhere, the norm alization condition for cl can not be satis ed! So we conclude that ${ }_{\mathrm{cl}}==$ in a com pact bounded dom ain (or dom ains) only, and outside this dom ain one should sw itch to the other solution of (4.7), $\mathrm{cl}=0$. The dom ain $D$ where $c_{c l}>0$ is called support of eigenvalues ( F ig. 2). In general, it m ay consist of several disconnected com ponents. The com plem ent to the support of eigenvalues, $D^{c}=C n D$, is an unbounded dom ain in the com plex plane. For quasiham onic potentials, the result is especially simple: cl is constant in $D$ and 0 in $D^{c}$.

In term s of the $m$ ean value of the function' ( $z$ ) in the leading order, the above result reads

$$
\prime_{c 1}(z)=\quad \begin{gathered}
z \\
\log \dot{z}
\end{gathered} z_{j}() d^{\sharp}
$$

As it follow s from the theory of potential in two dim ensions, this function is continuous across the boundary of $D$ together $w$ th its rst derivatives. H ow ever, the second order derivatives of this function have a jum $p$ across the boundary.

To nd the shape ofD is a $m$ uch $m$ ore challenging problem. It appears to be equivalent to the inverse potential problem in two dim ensions. T he shape ofD is determ ined by the condition $@^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)=@ W(z)$ (mposed for all points $z$ inside $\left.D\right)$ and by the norm alization condition. Since $a^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)=\frac{c^{c 1}()^{d}}{z}(\operatorname{see}(42))$, we write them in the form

The integral over $D$ in the rst equation can be transform ed to a contour integral by $m$ eans of the $C$ auchy form ula (se A ppendix B). A s a result, one obtains:

$$
\text { I } \frac{\text { @W ( ) d }}{z}=0 \quad \text { for all z } 2 \mathrm{D} .
$$

This $m$ eans that the dom ain $D$ has the follow ing property: the function @W ( $z$ ) on its boundary is the boundary value of an analytic function in its com plem ent $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~W}() \mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{z}}=\text { @W (z) for all z } 2 \mathrm{D} \\
& { }_{D}^{Z} \quad() d^{Z}=t
\end{aligned}
$$

W e continue our analysis for the quasiharm onic case, where

$$
\text { @W }(z)=z+V^{0}(z) ; \quad W \quad(z)=4 @ @ W \quad(z)=4
$$

$T$ he norm alization than $m$ eans that the area of $D$ is equal to $t$. A ssum $e$ that:
$-V(z)={ }^{P} \quad t_{k} z^{k}$ is regular in $D$ (say a polynom ial)

- 02 D (边 is always the case if $W$ has a localm inim um at 0 )
-D is connected

Then the rst equation in (4.10) acquires the form

$$
\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{I}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{V}^{0}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \text { for } \mathrm{z} 2 \mathrm{D}
$$

Expanding it near $z=0$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{k}=\frac{1}{2 i k}_{@ D}^{\mathrm{I}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d}=\frac{1}{k}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the \coupling constants" $t_{k}$ are harm onic $m$ om ents of $D^{c}=C n D$ and the area ofD is $t$.

It is the subject of the inverse potential problem to reconstruct the dom ain from its area and harm onic $m$ om ents. (In the case when the support of eigenvalues has several disconnected com ponents, som e additional conditions are required.) In general, the problem hasm any solutions. But it is know $n$ that locally, i.e., for a sm allenough change $t!t+t, t_{k}!t_{k}+t_{k}$ the solution is unique.

The support of eigenvalues: a ne structure ( (2) . Let us take a closer look at $^{\text {l }}$ the support ofeigenvalues, taking into account the so far ignored term in (4.6). A pplying @ to the both sides of (4.6) yields, instead of (4.7), the equation

$$
@^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z) \quad @ W \quad(z)+\frac{"}{2} @ \log { }^{\prime} \quad{ }_{c l}(z)=0
$$

with the extra term proportional to " (4.5). Acting by @ once again, we obtain the equation for cl

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{"}{8} \quad \log \quad \mathrm{cl}(z)+\quad{ }_{c l}(z)=\quad(z) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which looks like the Liouville equation in the \background" (z). The rst term seem s to be negligible as ~! 0. H ow ever, one should be carefiul since the sm all param eter stands in front of the term w ith the highest derivative. A s a m atter of fact, this term is negligible only if cl is not sm all! Indeed, in a region where cl $e^{N}$, theterm " log cl is of order 1 and thus plays the dom inant role.

In fact the equation states that cl never vanishes exactly but can be exponentially sm all as N! 1 . In the bulk, where the charges are distributed $w$ th nonzero density at $\mathrm{N}=1$, equation (4.12) system atically generates corrections to the value $(\mathrm{z})=$. If
\& const, there are power-like corrections in ", as well as exponentially sm all ones. W e
conclude that the ect of the extra term is negligible everyw here except the very vicinity of the edge of the support of eigenvalues. Therefore, the result for cl can still be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{c 1}(z)={ }^{1} \quad(z) \quad(z ; D) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(z ; D)$ is the characteristic function of the dom ain $D$ (which is $1 \mathrm{in} D$ and 0 in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ). The role of the term " log cl is to m ake the edge m ooth. A round the edge, the density rapidly (but sm oothly) drops down to zero over distances of order ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\bar{\prime}}$. So, the boundary has got a \ ne structure".

A ll this is in agreem ent w ith the form of the rst nonvanishing correction to the $m$ ean density found from the loop equation (4.4). Let us write $h^{\prime}(z) i={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)+{ }_{\sim}(z)$, where $' \sim$ is of order $\sim$. The result for the ${ }^{\prime} \sim$ can be com pactly written in term s of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=\log ^{p} \quad(z) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its harm onic continuation ${ }^{H}(z)$ from the boundary ofD to its exterior. Speci cally,
${ }^{H}(z)$ is a ham onic function in $D^{c}$ (regular at 1 ) such that ${ }^{H}(z)=(z)$ on the boundary. In other words, it is the solution of the (exterior) D irichlet boundary value problem (see below). The loop equation yields

(N ote the discontinuity of this function across the boundary.) The corresponding correction to the $m$ ean density $\sim=h i \quad c l i s$
$\sim(z)=\frac{"}{4} \quad(z ; D)$
(z) $\quad(z ; @ D) @_{n}$
(z) ${ }^{H}(z) \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\circ}(z$; ©D $)$
where $(z ; @ D)$ is the delta function $w$ th the support on the boundary and ${ }^{0}(z ; @ D)$ is its norm al derivative (see A ppendix $B$ ). H ere and below, $@_{n}$ is the norm al derivative at the boundary, w ith the nom al vector being directed to the exterior of the dom ain D. The correction is so singular because the zeroth approxim ation (4.13) is singular by itself. The singular function $\sim$ is to be understood as being integrated $w$ ith any sm ooth test function. The rst term in (4.16) is a correction to the bulk density. The second one is a correction to the shape of the support of eigenvalues (it describes a sm all displacem ent of the edge). The third term signi es the presence of a double layer of charges around the boundary. This just $m$ eans that the boundary is sm oothed out.

For the norm al self-dualm atrices ( $=2$ ) the correction ~vanishes. Certainly, this does not $m$ ean that the boundary is sharp. It becom es sm ooth if higher corrections in ~ (caused by uctuations of the particles) are taken into account.

For the nom alm atrix $m$ odel ( $=1$ ) with quasiharm onic potential equation (4.12) reads

$$
\frac{\tilde{8}}{} \log \quad{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z})+\quad{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z})=1
$$

The obvious solution is cl $=1=$. But it is not norm alizable! O nem ust look for another solution. The right solution di ers from the constant by exponentially sm all term $s$ in


Figure 3: Them ean density pro le form odels with quasiharm onic potential in the large N lim it. The correction to the pure step fiunction is show $n$ by the dotted line. The e ect of the correction is to form a double layer of charges near the edge.
the bulk but exhibits an abrupt drop across the boundary of the dom ain determ ined by the harm onic m om ents (4.11). So, up to exponentially sm all corrections, the solution is given by (4.13) w ith $=1=$ and $=1$. It is instructive to note that the rst two term s in (4.16) vanish at $=$ const but the third term does not, so the leading correction in the quasiharm onic case $m$ erely $m$ akes the edge $s m$ ooth that results in a double layer of \charges" near the edge (see Fig. 3).

From the support of eigenvalues to an algebraic curve. There is an interesting algebraic geom etry behind the large $N$ lim it of $m$ atrix $m$ odels. For sim plicity, here we consider $m$ odels $w$ th quasiharm onic potentials.

In general, the boundary of the support of eigenvalues is a closed curve in the plane w ithout self-intersections. The follow ing im portant fact holds true. If $V^{0}(z)$ is a rational function, then this curve is a real section of a com plex algebraic curve of nite genus. In fact, this curve encodes the $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion of the m odel. In the context of H erm itian 2 m atrix m odel such a curve was introduced and studied in [28, 29, 30].

To explain how the curve com es into play, we start from the equation $@^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}=@ \mathrm{~W}$, which can be written in the form $z \quad V^{0}(z)=G(z)$ for $z 2 D$, where

$$
G(z)=\frac{1}{Z}_{D}^{Z} \frac{d^{2}}{z}
$$

$C$ learly, this function is analytic in $D^{c}$. At the sam $e$ tim $e, V^{0}(z)$ is analytic in $D$ and all its singularities in $D^{c}$ are poles. Set

$$
S(z)=V^{0}(z)+G(z)
$$

Then $S(z)=z$ on the boundary of the support of eigenvalues. $S o, S(z)$ is the analytic continuation of $z$ aw ay from the boundary. A ssum ing that poles ofV ${ }^{0}$ are not too close to $@ D, S(z)$ is well-de ned at least in a piece ofD ${ }^{c}$ adjacent to the boundary. The com plex
con jugation yields $\overline{S(z)}=z$, so the function $S(z)=\overline{S(z)} m$ ust be inverse to the $S(z)$ :

$$
S(S(z))=z
$$

(\unitarity condition"). The function $S(z)$ is called the Schw arz function [31].
U nder our assum ptions, the $S(z)$ is an algebraic function, ie., it obeys a polynom ial equation $R(z ; S(z))=0$ of the form

$$
R(z ; S(z))={ }_{n ; 1=1}^{X^{+1}} a_{n 1} z^{n}(S(z))^{1}=0
$$

where $\overline{a_{l n}}=a_{n 1}$ and $d$ is the num ber of poles of $V^{0}(z)$ (counted $w$ th their $m$ ultiplicities). Here is the sketch of proof. C onsider the $R$ iem ann surface $=D^{c}$ [ $Q D$ [ ( $D^{c}$ ) (the Schottky double of $\left.D^{c}\right)$. Here, $\left(D^{c}\right)$ is another copy of $D^{c}$, w th the local coordinate $z$, attached to it along the boundary. On , there exists an anti-holom onphic involution that interchanges the two copies of $D^{c}$ leaving the points of $@ D$ xed. The functions $z$ and $S(z)$ are analytically extendable to $\left(D^{c}\right)$ as $\overline{S(z)}$ and $z$ respectively. W e have two $m$ erom orphic fiunctions, each $w$ th $d+1$ poles, on a closed $R$ iem ann surface. Therefore, they are connected by a polynom ialequation of degree d+ 1 in each variable. H erm iticity of the coe cients follow s from the unitarity condition.

Thepolynom ialequation $R(z ; z)=0$ de nes a com plex curve $w$ ith anti-holom onphic involution $(z ; z)$ I $(\bar{z} ; z)$. The real section is the set of points such that $z=z$. It is the boundary of the support of eigenvalues.

It is im portant to note that form odels $w$ ith non-G aussian w eights (in particular, w ith polynom ial potentials of degree greater than two) the curve has a number of singular points, although the $R$ iem ann surface (the Schottky double) is sm ooth. G enerically, these are double points, i.e., the points where the curve crosses itself. In our case, a double point is a point $z^{(d)} 2 D^{c}$ such that $S\left(z^{(d)}\right)=\overline{z^{(d)}}$ but $z^{(d)}$ does not belong to the boundary of . Indeed, this condition $m$ eans that tw $o$ di erent points on, connected by the antiholom onphic involution, are stuck together on the curve , which $m$ eans the self-intersection. The double points play the key role in deriving the nonperturbative (instanton) corrections to the large N m atrix m odels results (see [32] for details).

F inally, let us point out that a com plex curve ${ }^{(n)}$ can be associated to ensem bles of nite m atrices as well (at least for $=1$ ). For the m odel of $\mathrm{tw} \circ \mathrm{H}$ erm itian m atrioes this was done in [33]. If the linear spectral problem for the L-operator is of nite order (see the end ofSection 32), the curve can be de ned as the \spectralcurve" of the di erence spectral problems $(L))_{n}=z_{n}$, $\left(L^{y}\right)_{n}=z_{n}$. Since the operators $L$ and $L^{y}$ do not com $m$ ute, the curve depends on $n$. (See [8] for details.) In contrast to the curve the curve ${ }^{(n)}$ is in generala sm ooth curve. A properly perform ed $n!1$ lim it of this curve coincides w ith the com plex curve constructed from the support of eigenvalues.

### 4.3 T he free energy

In this subsection we assum e that the support of eigenvalues is connected. A s is known, the free energy adm its a $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion. We prefer to work w ith the equivalent ~-
expansion, thus em phasizing its sem iclassical nature. The rst few term s of the ~expansion for the ensem bles of nom alm atrioes $w$ ith a general potential are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log Z_{N}=C(\mathbb{N})+\frac{F_{0}}{\sim^{2}}+\frac{F_{1=2}}{\sim}+F_{1}+O(\sim) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit form of the $c(\mathbb{N})$ is given below. In fact this term can be absorbed into a norm alization. For exam ple, one $m$ ay norm alize $Z_{N}$ dividing it by the partition function ofthe $G$ aussian $m$ odel. A though in general the ~-expansion does not look like a topological one, it appears to be topological (ie., only even powers of ~ enter) for the ensem ble $\mathrm{N}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ th arbitrary potential and for the ensemble N w ith quasiham onic potential.

The leading order. The partition function is given by (2.9), $Z_{N}={ }^{R} e^{e}{ }^{Q} d^{2} z_{j}$, where

W riting the energy in term s of the density function, we have, in the leading order:
Z Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{]}=\quad \text { (z) ( ) log j } \quad{ }^{2} \operatorname{jadd}^{2}+\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z}) \quad(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{z}\right. \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to nd the minimum of $E$ [ ] W ith the constraint ${ }^{R} d^{2} z=t$. This is achieved by variation of the functionale [ ]+ ( $\left.d^{2} z \quad t\right) w$ ith the Lagrange multiplier. T he resulting equation is

$$
2^{z} \quad \log \dot{j} \quad j()^{2} d+W(z)+=0
$$

Upon taking the $z$-derivative, we see that the extrem al $(z)$ is equal to the ${ }_{c l}(z)$, as expected, and the equation coincides $w$ ith the previously derived one, $@^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)=@ W(z)$, w ith

Z Z

$$
{ }^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)=\quad \log \dot{z} \quad{ }^{2} j_{c l}() d^{Z}=\quad \log \dot{z} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{z}} j() d^{\mathfrak{j}}
$$

A ssum ing that $W(0)=0$ and $D$ is connected, the Lagrange multiplier is xed to be $='{ }_{\mathrm{Cl}}(0)$, and so $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z})={ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z}) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{Cl}}(0) . \mathrm{P}$ lugging this into (4.19), we nd the leading contribution to the free energy $\left.\mathrm{F}_{0}=\sim^{2}=\max (\mathrm{E}[])=\quad \mathrm{E} \mathrm{d}_{1}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=\underline{1}_{D \quad}^{Z Z} \quad(z) \log \frac{1}{z} \quad \underline{1} \quad(\quad)^{3} z d^{2} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is basically the electrostatic energy of the dom ain $D$ charged with the density w ith a point-like com pensating charge at the origin.

Since the t-derivative of the extrem al value of the finctional is equal to the Lagrange $m$ ultiplier ( w ith the sign m inus), $\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{F}_{0}=$, we incidentally obtain the usefiul form ula

$$
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~F}_{0}=2_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \log \dot{\mathcal{z}} \dot{j} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{z}
$$

which w illbe rederived below by a m ore direct m ethod.

C orrections to the leading term . Taking into account the discrete \atom ic" structure of the D yson gas, one is able to nd the subleading corrections to the free energy.

P The rst correction com es from a m ore accurate integral representation of the sum
${ }_{i f}{ }_{j} \log \dot{k}_{i} \quad z_{j} j$ when passing to the continuous theory. N am ely, one should exclude the tem swith $i=j, w r i t i n g$

where ' is a short-distance cuto (which $m$ ay depend on the point $z_{j}$ ). It is natural to take the cuto to be

$$
\text { '(z) } \quad \begin{align*}
& \quad  \tag{4.22}\\
& \frac{\sim}{c l}(z)
\end{align*}
$$

which is the $m$ ean distance betwpen the charges around the point $z$. (In the context of the quantum H all e ect, $\quad \overline{\sim=\mathrm{B}}$ is called the $m$ agnetic length.) $T$ his gives the im proved estim ate for E [cı]:

$$
{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{cl}^{2}\right]=\mathrm{F}_{0}+\quad \mathrm{Z}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z}){ }^{\mathrm{p}} \underset{\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{z})}{ } \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{z} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N} \log \sim+{ }_{1} \mathrm{~N}
$$

where 1 is a num erical constant which can not be determ ined by this argum ent.
A nother correction com es from the integration $m$ easure when one passes from the integration over $z_{j}$ to the integration over $m$ acroscopic densities ${ }^{1}$. $W$ e can write

$$
\left.{ }_{j}^{Y} d^{2} z_{j}=N!J[] \mathbb{D} \quad\right]
$$

where $\mathbb{D}]$ is an integration $m$ easure in the space of densities, $J[]$ is the Jacobian of this change of variables and the factor $N$ ! takes into account the sym m etry under perm utations (all the states that di er by a perm utation of the charges are identical). To estim ate the Jacobian, we divide the plane into $N \mathrm{~m}$ icroscopic \cells" such that j-th particle occupies a cell of size ' $\left(z_{j}\right)$, where ' $\left(z_{j}\right)$ is the $m$ ean distance (422) betw een the particles around the point $z_{j}$. A ll the $m$ icroscopic states in which the particles rem ain in their cells are $m$ acroscopically indistingu ishable. Given a m acroscopic density , J [ ] is then approxim ately equal to the integral cells $j^{2} d_{j}$, w th each particle being con ned to its own œll. Therefore, J [ ] ${ }_{j}{ }^{2}\left(z_{j}\right)$, and thus log J [ ] (som etim es referred to as entropy of the state $w$ ith the $m$ acroscopic density ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log J[]=\frac{1}{\sim}_{\sim}^{Z} \quad{ }_{c l}(z) \log { }_{c l}(z) d^{2} z+N \log \sim+{ }_{2} N \tag{424}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 is a num erical constant. This result agrees with the corresponding Jacobian obtained w ithin the collective eld theory approach [34].

Combining (423), (424) with $=c_{c l}$, and taking into account the factor $N!$ in the m easure, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\mathbb{N})=\log N!+\frac{N}{2}(2 \quad) \log \sim+N \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where is a num erical constant, and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1=2}=\frac{2}{2}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \log (\quad(\mathrm{z})) d^{Z} \mathrm{z} \tag{426}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$T$ he term $\mathrm{F}_{1=2}$ is thus the sum of the contribution due to the short-distance cuto and the entropy contribution, which cancel each other in the ensemble of norm al self-dual $m$ atrioes (at $=2$ ). A nother rem ankable case when $F_{1=2}$ vanishes exactly ${ }^{2}$ is the case of quasiharm onic potentials.

The result for $\mathrm{F}_{1=2}$ can be derived in a m ore rigorous $w$ ay from the loop equation (see A ppendix C ). H ere we sim ply note that the variation of (426) over the potentialW does yield the correction to the $m$ ean density given by (4.16). O ne can verify this using the variational technique presented below in Section 4.4.

The result for $c(\mathbb{N})$ is in agreem ent $w$ ith the dim ensionality argum ent. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}_{0}=\sim^{2}}$ carries the dim ension of [ength] ${ }^{\mathrm{N}^{2}}$, and higher term s are dim ensionless. The dim ension of $Z_{N}$ is given by (4.3). Therefore, $\mathrm{e}^{c \mathbb{N})} \mathrm{m}$ ust carry the residualdim ension [ength] $]^{N(2)}$, which agrees with (425). For quasiharm onic potentials, the constant can be found explicitly: $=\log 2^{3}$, as is readily seen from the $G$ aussian case.

To sum $m$ arize, the asym ptotic expansion of the partition function as ~! 0 has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{N}=N!\sim^{\frac{1}{2}(2}\right) N e^{N} \exp \frac{F_{0}}{\sim^{2}}+\frac{F_{1=2}}{\sim}+F_{1}+{ }_{k 3} \sim^{k}{ }^{2} F_{k=2} \tag{427}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}$ and $F_{1=2}$ are given by (4.20) and (4.26) respectively. T he higher corrections are due to uctuations of the eigenvalues around the equilibrium con guration. No simple $m$ ethod to nd their explicit form is know. In principle, these corrections can be found by expanding the loop equation in powers of ~ (see A ppendix C), sim ilarly to how it goes for the $m$ odelof one $H$ em itian $m$ atrix [35, 36]. H ow ever, the calculations are rather tedious, even in the rst two orders. At present only fragm entary results are available. Som e of them look quite suggestive.

For exam ple, the result for the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-correction obtained in [37] for the case of the nom al $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ ith quasiharm onic potential and a connected support of eigenvalues has a clean interpretation as the free energy of the theory of free bosons in the dom ain $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$ w ith the D irichlet boundary conditions. Nam ely, the calculations yield the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\frac{1}{24}_{j w j 1}^{I} \log \dot{k}^{0}(w) j \mathfrak{a}_{n} \log \dot{k}^{0}(w) j+2 \log \dot{k}^{0}(w) j \dot{j} d w j \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{w})$ is the univalent conform alm ap from $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$ onto the exterior of the unit circle. Com parison w ith the Polyakov-A lvarez form ula [38,39] allow s one to identify this quantity $w$ th $\frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{det}\left(D^{c}\right)$, where $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{D}^{c}\right)$ is the regularized determ inant of the Laplace operator in $D^{c}$ w ith the $D$ irichlet boundary conditions. T his suggests the interpretation through free bosons ${ }^{3}$. P resum $a b l y$, the higher corrections to the free energy are connected w ith spectral geom etry of the Laplace operator, too. $R$ ecently, som e progress in com putation of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and, m ore generally, in understanding the structure of the whole

[^2]

Figure 4: The norm al displacem ent of the boundary.
series (including the case of disconnected supports) in $m$ odels of $H$ erm itian $m$ atrices was achieved [41]. C on jecturally, the answer is to be expressed in term $s$ of a (conform al?) eld theory on the com plex curve introduced at the end of Section 42.
$F$ inally, we note that the structure of the loop equation suggests to rearrange the $\sim$ expansion of the free energy and to write it in the \topological" form $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{P}} 0^{\sim 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{g}}}$, where each term has its own expansion in " = (2 ) ~: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g})}$.

### 4.4 C orrelation functions in the large N lim it

V ariational techn ique and the D irich let boundary value problem . C orrelation functions in the leading order in ~ can be obtained from the free energy by variation w r.t. W (z) according to the form ulas from Section 3.1. For a variation of the potential, W ! $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{W}$ with $\mathrm{N} \sim$ xed we ask how D changes. It is convenient to describe sm all deform ations $D$ ! $D$, by the norm aldisplacem ent $n()$ of the boundary at a boundary point ( F ig.4).

C onsider a sm allvariation ofthe potentialW in the condition (4.10), which determ ines the shape of $D$ at a xed $t$. To take into account the deform ation of the dom ain, $D=$ D nD, wewrite, for any xed function $f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
\text { I I } & \text { Z }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I } \\
& \text { 2i @f( ) n ( ) j j j } \\
& { }^{@}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus obtain from (4.10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{@ D}^{\mathrm{I}} \frac{@ W() d}{z}+\frac{i}{2}^{I}{ }_{@ D} \frac{W() n()}{z} j d j=0 \tag{429}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the rst term com es from the variation of $W$ and the second one com es from the change ofD.
$T$ his is an integral equation for the $n()$. It can be solved in term $s$ of the exterior D irichlet boundary value problem. G iven any sm ooth function $f(z)$, let $f^{H}(z)$ be its ham onic continuation (already introduced in Section 42) from the boundary ofD to its exterior, i.e., the function such that it is harm onic in $D^{C}, f^{H}=0$, and regular at 1 , and $f^{H}(z)=f(z)$ for all $z 2$ @D. The ham onic continuation is known to be unique. Explicitly, a harm onic function can be reconstructed from its boundary value by $m$ eans
of the D irichlet form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{H}(z)=\frac{1}{2}_{@ D}^{I} f() Q_{G} G(z ;) j d j \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them ain ingredient of this form ula is $G(z ;)$, which is the $G$ reen function of the dom ain $D^{c}$ :

$$
z G(z ;)=2 \quad(z \quad) \quad \text { in } \Phi ; \quad G(z ;)=0 \quad \text { if } z 2 @ D
$$

As ! $z$, it has the logarithm ic singularity $G(z ;)!\log j \quad j$. The well known properties of ham onic functions im ply that $@_{n} G(z ;) \quad 0$ for all 2 @D (the operator of the norm al derivative acts here to the second argum ent).

C onsider the integral

$$
\mathrm{I}_{@ D} \frac{@\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{H}}\right) \mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{z}}
$$

which is obviously equal to 0 for all $z$ inside $D$, subtract it from the rst term in (429) and rew rite the latter in the form

Here the integral over $d \quad$ is transform ed to the integral over the line elem ent $j d j$. The norm alderivative is taken in the exterior of the boundary. A fter this sim ple transform ation our condition acquires the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { I } \frac{n_{1}()+\hat{R}()}{z} j d=0 \quad \text { for all } z 2 D \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1}(z): W(z) n(z)$ (just for brevity) and $\hat{R}$ is the $N$ eum ann jump operator. A cting on a sm ooth function $f$, this operator gives the di erence betw een the norm al derivative of this function and the norm al derivative of its harm onic extension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R f}(z)=\varrho_{n}\left(f(z) \quad f^{H}(z)\right) \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superscript indicates that the derivative is taken in the exterior of the boundary.
By properties of C auchy integrals, it follow from (4.31) that $n_{1}(z)+\hat{R} W$ ( $z$ ) $\frac{j d z j}{d z}$ is the boundary value of an analytic fiunction $h(z)$ in $D^{c}$ such that $h(1)=0$. For z $2 D^{c}$, this function is given by

$$
h(z)=\frac{1}{2}^{I} \frac{n_{I}()+\hat{R}()}{z} j d i
$$

If $h$ is not identically zero, the number of zeros of $g$ in $D^{c}$, counted with multiplicities, is given by the contour integral $\frac{1}{2}{ }_{\varrho D} d(\operatorname{argh})$. Since $\operatorname{argh}(z)=\arg (j d z j=d z)=\quad(z)$, where is the angle between the tangent vector and the real axis, this integral is equal to $1 . \mathrm{W}$ e conclude that the function h has exactly one sim ple zero outside the dom ain D. It is just the zero at 1 .

O $n$ the other hand, the variation of the norm alization condition yields, in a sim ilar $m$ anner: I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\varrho}\left(n_{1}+@_{n} W\right) j d j=0 \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation im plies that the zero at 1 is at least of the 2 -nd order. Indeed, expanding the C auchy integral around 1 ,

$$
2 \text { ih }(z)!\frac{1}{z}_{@( }^{I}\left(n_{I}+@_{n} W \quad @ W^{H}\right) j d \quad j+O\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

 coe cient in front of $1=z$ vanishes. W e have got a contradiction.

Therefore, $h(z) \quad 0$, and so $R(z)+\hat{R} W(z)=0$. This gives the follow ing result for the norm al displacem ent of the boundary caused by sm all changes of the potential W ! W + W :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z})\right)}{\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z})} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Som e results for the correlation functions. In order to nd the correlation functions of traces, we use the general variational form ulas (3.5), where the exact free energy is replaced by the leading contribution (420):

$$
\lim _{\sim!} h(z) i=\frac{F_{0}}{W(z)}=c l(z) ; \quad \lim _{\sim!} h\left(z_{1}\right) \quad\left(z_{2}\right) i_{c}=\sim^{2} \frac{c_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{W\left(z_{z}\right)}
$$

B asically, these are linear response relations used in the C oulom b gas theory [42]. In this approxim ation, the eigenvalue plasm a is represented as a continuous charged uid, so the inform ation about its discrete $m$ icroscopic structure is lost. So, these form ulas give \sm oothed" correlation functions in the rst non-vanishing order in $\sim$. They are correct at distances $m$ uch larger than the $m$ ean distance betw een the charges.
$H$ ere are the $m$ ain results for the correlation functions obtained by the variational technique. For details of the derivation see [7] and A ppendix C .

The leading contribution to the one-trace function was already found in Section 42. H ere we present the general result including the rst subleading correction which can be found by variation of (426):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{htrf}() i=\frac{1}{\sim}_{D}^{Z}(z) f(z) d^{2} z \\
& \text { Z I }  \tag{4.35}\\
& +\frac{2}{8} \quad(1+\log \quad(z)) f(z) d^{2} z \quad \log \quad(z) \hat{R f}(z) \dot{j} d z j+O(\sim)
\end{align*}
$$

$w h e r e \hat{R}$ is the $N$ eum ann jump operator (4.32). Applying this form ula to the function ' (z), we get the fam iliar result $h^{\prime}(z) i={ }^{c l}(z)+{ }_{\sim}(z)+O\left(\sim^{2}\right)$, where ${ }^{\prime}$ ~is given by (4.15). T he connected tw o-trace function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { htrf trgi } i_{C} \frac{1}{4}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{ffrgd}^{2} z \frac{1}{4}_{@ D}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{£}_{\mathrm{n}} 9^{\mathrm{H}} \dot{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~d} j+\mathrm{O}(\sim) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the connected correlation fiunctions of the elds' $\left(z_{1}\right),^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)$ (see (42)) this formula gives (if $\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 2} 2 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \sim^{2}} h^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right) i_{c}=G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) \quad G\left(z_{i} ; 1\right) \quad G(1 ; z) \quad l o g \frac{\dot{z}_{1} \quad z^{j} j}{r}+O(\sim) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the $G$ reen function of the $D$ irichlet boundary value problem and

$$
r=\exp \lim _{!1}(\log j j+G(; 1))^{i}
$$

is the (extemal) conform al radius of the dom ain D. The 2-trace functions are universal, i.e., they depend on the shape of the support of eigenvalues only and do not depend on the potential $W$ explicitly. They resemble the two-point functions of the $H$ erm itian 2 m atrix m odel found in [18]; they were also obtained in [43] in the study of therm al uctuations of a con ned 2D C oulomb gas. The structure of the form ulas indicates that there are local correlations in the bulk as well as strong long range correlations at the edge of the support of eigenvalues. (See [44] for a sim ilar result in the context of classical C oulom b system s).

From them athem aticalpoint ofview, the signi cance of form ula (4.37) is to provide a link between such seem ingly unrelated disciplines as classical analysis in tw o dim ensions and the random matrix theory. N am ely, di erent lim its or certain speci cations of the argum ents in this form ula allow one to represent som e im portant ob jects of classical analysis associated w th the dom ains $D$ and $D^{c}$ (e.g., the conform alm ap onto the unit circle and its Schw arzian derivative, the B ergm an kemel) in term sofcorrelations betw een eigenvalues of random m atrioes.

Further variation of the pair density correlation function suggests that, starting from $n=3$, the connected $n$-point density correlations vanish in the bulk in all orders of $\sim$ (in fact they are exponential in $1=\sim$ ). The entire leading contribution com es from the boundary. The result for the connected three-trace function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i=1}^{Y^{3}} \operatorname{trf}_{i} \quad=\frac{\sim}{16^{2}}{ }_{\varrho D}^{I} \frac{j d z j}{(z)}_{j=1}^{Y^{3}} \hat{R} f_{j}(z)+O\left(\sim^{2}\right) \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Them atrix model as a grow th problem

### 5.1 G row th of the support of eigenvalues

W hen $N$ increases at a xed potentialW, one $m$ ay say that the support of eigenvalues grow s. M ore precisely, we are going to nd how the shape of the support of eigenvalues changes under $t$ ! $t+t$, where $t=N \sim$, if $W$ stays xed.

The starting point is the sam e as for the variations of the potential, and the calculations are very sim ilar as well. Variation of the condition (4.10) and of the nom alization condition yields


The rst equation $m$ eans that $W(z) n(z) \frac{j d z j}{d z}$ is the boundary value of an analytic function $h(z)$ such that $h(z)=4 \quad t=z+O\left(z^{2}\right)$ as $z!1$. The solution for the $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z})$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2(\mathrm{z})} \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}(1 ; \mathrm{z}) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5: The m utually inverse conform alm aps w (z) and $z(w)$.
where $G$ is the $G$ reen function of the $D$ irichlet boundary problem in $D^{c}$. For quasiharm onic potentials ( w th $=1=$ ), the form ula simpli es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z})=\overline{2} \quad \mathrm{tG} G(1 ; z) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Identifying $t w$ ith tim $e$, one can say that the norm alvelocity of the boundary, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{t}$, is proportionalto gradient of the $G$ reen function: $V_{n} / \quad G_{G}(1 ; z)$. This result is quite general. It holds for any (not necessarily connected) dom ains ofeigenvalues w ith a sm ooth boundary.

Ifthe dom ain is connected, the $G$ reen function can be expressed through the conform al $m$ ap $w(z)$ from $D^{c}$ onto the exterior of the unit circle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=\log \frac{w\left(z_{1}\right) \quad w\left(z_{2}\right)}{1 \quad w\left(z_{1}\right) w\left(z_{2}\right)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $G(1 ; z)=\quad \log j v(z) j$. As $\dot{j} j!1, w(z)=z=r+O(1)$, where $r$ is the extemal conform al radius of the dom ain $D$ which enters eq. (4.37). It is easy to see that $@_{\mathrm{n}} \log j \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{j}=j \mathrm{w}^{0}(\mathrm{z}) j$ on $@ \mathrm{D}$, so one can rew rite the grow th law (52) as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{-}{2} \quad \mathrm{t} \mathrm{w}^{0}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{j} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth noting that the inverse $\mathrm{map}, \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{w})$, is the classical ( $\sim 0$ ) lim it of the Lax operator (3.17) of the 2D Toda hierarchy. Indeed, $m$ aking the rescaling $n \sim=t$, $@=@ n=\sim @_{t}$, we see that the shift operator $e^{\sim Q_{t}}$ can be replaced by a com muting variable w w ith the Poisson bracket flogw; tg = 1. In this lim it, known also as the dispersionless lim it of the 2D Toda hierarchy, the Lax operator $L\left(e^{\sim \theta_{t}}\right)$ converts into the function $z(w)$ given by the series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(w)=r(t) w+\underbrace{X}_{k 0} u_{k}(t) w{ }^{k} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It de nes the one-to-one conform alm ap from the exterior of the unit circle onto $D^{c}$, which is inverse to the m ap $\mathrm{w} \mathrm{(z)} \mathrm{(Fig.5)} .\mathrm{Form} \mathrm{ore} \mathrm{details} \mathrm{se} \mathrm{[8]}$.

The basic form ula which allows one to nd the t-derivative of any quantity of the form $D_{(t)} f(z) d^{2} z$ (where the function $f$ is assum ed to be independent oft) im $m$ ediately follow s from (5.1):

$$
{ }_{D}^{Z} f(z) d^{2} z=\int_{D}^{I} f(z) n(z) j d z j=\frac{t}{2}_{@ D}^{I} \frac{f(z)}{(z)} @_{n} G(1 ; z) j d z j
$$

In the rh.s. we recognize the value at in nity of the ham onic continuation of the function $f(z)=(z)$, so the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} \int_{D}^{Z} f(z) d^{2} z=(f=)^{H}(1) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this form ula and the integral representation of $\mathrm{F}_{0}$, we nd t -derivatives of the free energy. The rst derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{~F}_{0}=2_{\mathrm{D}} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \log \dot{\mathrm{z}} j \mathrm{~d}^{2} z \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

was already found in Section 4.3 by other $m$ eans (see (421)). The second derivative is proportional to the logarithm of the conform al radius (4.38):

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{0}=2 \quad(\log \dot{\mathcal{z}})^{\mathrm{H}} \quad=2 \quad \lim _{z=1}(\log \dot{\mathrm{z}} j+G(z ; 1))=2 \quad \log r \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this connection let us also $m$ ention the nice form ula for the conform alm ap $w(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\lim _{N!1} \frac{N+1(z)}{N(z)} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow s from the relation $\log \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}+1}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{z})\right)=\sim \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{t}} h t r \log (\mathrm{z} \quad) i+O(\sim)$ after calculating the t-derivative according to the above rule. This connection between conform alm aps and orthogonal polynom ials goes back to the classical theory of analytic functions (se e.g. [45]). It is the context of the random $m$ atrix theory where it looks natural and easily understandable.

### 5.2 Laplacian grow th

The grow th law (5.2) is com $m$ on to $m$ any im portant problem $s$ in physics. The class of grow th processes, in which dynam ics of a moving front (an interface) between two distinct phases is driven by a harm onic scalar eld is known under the nam e Laplacian grow th. Them ost known exam ples are viscous ow sin the H ele-Shaw cell (the Sa manTaylor problem ), tration processes in porousm edia, electrodeposition and solidi cation of undercooled liquids. A com prehensive list of relevant papers published prior to 1998 can be found in [46]. Recently, the Laplacian grow th m echanism was recognized [10] in a purely quantum evolution of sem iclassical electronic blobs in the $Q H$ regim e.


Figure 6: T he H ele-Shaw cell.

The Sa m an-T aylor problem . Let us describe the $m$ ain features of the Laplacian grow th on the exam ple of viscous ow s . T o be speci c , we shall speak about an interface between two incom pressible uids w th very di erent viscosities on the plane (say, oil and water). In practice, the 2D geom etry is realized in the H ele-Shaw cell \{ a narrow gap betw een two parallel glass plates. In this version, the problem is also known as the Sa man-Taylor problem or viscous ngering. For a review, see [14]. The velocity eld in a viscous uid in the $H$ ele-Shaw cell is proportional to the gradient ofpressure p (D arcy's law ):

$$
\nabla=\quad \mathrm{Krp} ; \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{12}
$$

H ere the constant $K$ is called the ltration coe cient, is viscosity and $b$ is the size of the gap betw een the two plates. Note that if ! 0 , then rp!0, ie., pressure in a uid w ith negligibly $s m$ all viscosity is uniform. Incom pressibility of the uids ( $\mathrm{r} \boldsymbol{\nabla}=0$ ) implies that the pressure eld is harm onic: $p=0$. By continuity, the velocity of the interface betw een the two uids is proportional to the norm al derivative of the pressure eld on the boundary: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{K}$ @ p .

To be de nite, we assum e that the H ele-Shaw cell contains a bounded droplet of water surrounded by an in nite \sea" of oil (another possible experim ental set-up is an air bubble surrounded by w ater). W ater is in jected into the droplet while oil is w ithdraw $n$ at in nity at a constant rate, as is shown schem atically in $F$ ig. 6. The latterm eans that the pressure eld behaves as p / log jंjat large distances. W e also assum e that the interface betw een oil and w ater is a sm ooth closed curve which depends on tim e. As it wasm entioned above, ifviscosity of water is negligible, then one may set $p=0$ inside the w ater droplet. H ow ever, pressure usually has a jum p across the interface, so p in general does not tend to zero if one approaches the boundary from outside. This e ect is due to surface tension. It is hard to give realistic estim ates of the surface tension e ect from rst principles, so one often em ploys certain ad hoc assum ptions. T he m ost popular one is to say that the pressure jum $p$ is proportional to the local curvature of the interface.

To sum $m$ arize, the $m$ athem atical setting of the $\mathrm{Sa} m$ an-T aylor problem is as follow $s$ :

| 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $V_{n}=Q p$ | on |
| $p=0$ | in oil |
| $p!\quad$ log jej | in oilas z! 1 |
| $p=0$ |  |
| $p^{(+)} \quad p^{\prime}=$ | in water |
| across |  |

Here is the surface tension coe cient and is the localcurvature of the interface. (T he Itration coe cient is set to be 1.) The experim entalevidence suggests that when surface tension is sm all enough, the dynam ics becom es unstable. A ny initial dom ain develops an unstable ngering pattem. The ngers split into new ones, and after a long lapse of tim e the w ater droplet attains a fractal-like structure. This phenom enon is sim ilar to the form ation of fractal pattems in the di usion-lim ited aggregation.

Com paring (5.2) and (5.10), we identify the $D$ and $D^{c} w$ th the dom ains occupied by water and oil respectively, and conclude that the grow th law s are identical, w ith the pressure eld being given by the $G$ reen function: $p(z)=G(1 ; z)$, and $p=0$ on the interface. The latter $m$ eans that supports of eigenvalues grow according to (5.10) w ith zero surface tension, i.e., w ith $=0$ in (5.10).

Neglecting the surface tension e ects, one obtains a good approxim ation unless the curvature of the interface becom es large. We see that the idealized Laplacian grow th problem, i.e., the one w ith zero surface tension, is $m$ athem atically equivalent to the grow th of the support of eigenvalues in ensem bles of random $m$ atrioes $N, N^{0}$ and $C$. This fact clari es the origin of the integrable structure of the Laplacian grow th w ith zero surface tension discovered in [47]. The link to the norm alm atrix m odel has been established in [6], see also [48].

The $n$ ite-tim e singularities. A s a matter of fact, the Laplacian grow th problem w ith zero surface tension is ill-posed since an intially sm ooth interface often becom es singular in the process ofevolution, and the solution blow s up. T he role of surface tension is to inhibit a lim itless increase of the interface curvature. In the absense of such a cuto , the tip of the most rapidly grow ing nger typically grows to a singularity (a cusp). In particular, a singularity necessarily occurs for any initial interface that is the im age of the unit circle under a rational conform alm ap, w ith the only exception of an ellipse.

A $n$ im portant fact is that the cusp-like singularity occurs at a nite tim e $t=t_{c}$, i.e., at a nite area of the droplet. It can be show $n$ that the conform al radius of the droplet $r$ (as well as som e other geom etric param eters), as $t$ ! $t_{c}$, exhibits a singular behaviour

$$
r \quad E /\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{c} & t
\end{array}\right)
$$

characterized by a critical exponent . The generic singularity is the cusp (2;3), which in suitable local coordinates looks like $y^{2}=x^{3}$. In this case $=\frac{1}{2}$. The evolution can not be extended beyond $t_{c}$.

A sim ilar phenom enon was well-known in the theory of random $m$ atrices for quite a long tim e, and in fact it was the key to their applications to 2D quantum gravity and string theory. In the large $N$ lim it, the random $m$ atrix $m$ odels have criticalpoints $\{$ the
points where the free energy is not analytic as a function of a coupling constant. A s we have seen, the Laplacian grow th tim e $t$ should be identi ed w ith a coupling constant of the norm al or com plex $m$ atrix $m$ odel. In a vicinity of a critical point,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{0}^{\mathrm{reg}}+\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{t}\right)^{2}
$$

where the critical index (often denoted by str in applications to string theory) depends on the type of the critical point. A coordingly, the singularities show up in correlation functions. U sing the equivalence established above, we can say that the nite-tim e blow up (a cusp-like singularity) of the Laplacian grow th $w$ th zero surface tension is a critical point of the norm al and com plex $m$ atrix $m$ odels.

### 5.3 T he sem iclassical lim it for electrons in magnetic eld

As we have seen in Section 2.3, the system of N electrons in the plane in non-uniform $m$ agnetic eld, which fully occupy the low est energy level, is equivalent to the ensem ble of norm alN $N$ m atrioes, where $N$ is degeneracy of the level. In this section we study the $Q H$ droplet in the sem iclassical regim e. The equivalence with the large N lim it of the $m$ atrix $m$ odel suggests to identify the sem iclassical $Q H$ droplet $w$ th the support of eigenvalues. Rem arkably, it is this lim it where onem akes contact w th the purely classical Sa man-Taylor problem.

A rem ark is in order. The lim it ~! 0 we are talking about is really a sem iclassical lim it, or better to say \partially classical". A though the P lanck constant ~ tends to zero, all the particles rem ain at the low est ( $m$ ost quantum ) energy level, assum ing their m ass is m all or the m agnetic eld is large. T he true quasiclassical lim it would im ply that the particles occupy higher energy levels.
$W$ e recall that the joint probability to nd electrons at the points $z_{i}$ is $j_{N}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)$ 角, w th $\mathrm{N} / \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n} ~} 1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{z})=(2 \sim)} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are orthogonalone-particle wave functions for electrons in the magnetic eld $B=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~W}$ at the lowest level $\mathrm{E}=0$. The level is assum ed to be com pletely lled, i.e., $\mathrm{n}=$ $0 ; 1::: ; \mathrm{N}=[=0]$, where 0 is the ux quantum. Then the mean density of the electrons coincides $w$ ith the expectation value of the density of eigenvalues in the norm al or com plex $m$ atrix $m$ odel.

The degeneracy of the level cam be controlled in di erent ways. O ne of them is to assum e the follow ing arrangem ent (see Fig .7 ). Let a strong uniform magnetic eld $B_{0}>0$ be applied in a large disk of radius $R_{0}$. The disk is surrounded by a large annulus $R_{0}<\dot{k} j<R_{1} w$ th amagnetic eld $B_{1}<0$ such that the totalm agnetic ux through the system is $N \quad{ }_{0}: B_{0} R_{0}^{2} \quad B_{1}\left(R_{1}^{2} \quad R_{0}^{2}\right)=N \quad 0$. Them agnetic eld outside the largest disk $\dot{j} j<R_{1}$ vanishes. The disk is connected through a tunnel barrier to a large capacitor that $m$ aintains a sm all positive chem ical potential slightly above the zero energy. If the eld $B_{0}$ is strong enough, the gap betw een the energy levels is large, and the higher levels can be neglepted. In the case of the uniform elds $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ the $Q H$ droplet is a disk of radius $r_{0}=\overline{N \sim} \quad R_{0}$ trapped at the origin.


Figure 7: The con guration ofm agnetic elds.

In this set-up, let us apply a non-uniform magnetic eld, B, som ewhere inside the disk $\dot{k} j<R_{0}$ but well aw ay from the droplet. This leads to the follow ing two e ects.

The A haronov-B ohm e ect in the $Q H$ regim e [ $10_{R}$ 49]. Suppose that the nonuniform magnetic eld $B$ does not change the total ux: $B d^{2} z=0$. A s is argued above, the shape of the droplet is the sam e as that of the support of eigenvalues in the ensem ble N w ith the potential

$$
\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z})={\frac{\mathrm{B}_{0}}{2} \dot{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{\rho} \quad \underline{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \log \dot{j} \quad \text { j } B()^{2} d}
$$

The second term is harm onic inside and around the droplet. O ne $m$ ay have in $m$ ind thin solenoids carrying $m$ agnetic ux ( $\backslash m$ agnetic im purities"). In the pase of point-like $m$ agnetic uxes $q_{i}$ at points $a_{i}$ the change of the potential is $W(z)={ }_{i} q_{i} \log j \quad a_{j} j$.

Let us stress that in the presence of the uxes, the shape of the droplet is no longer circular although them agnetic eld inside the droplet and not far from it rem ains uniform and is not changed at all ( $F$ ig. 8). In this respect this phenom enon is sim ilar to the A haronov Bohm e ect. D ue to the quantum interference the electronic uid is attracted to positive uxes and is repelled by negative ones. The response of the droplet to an in nitesim al change of the $m$ agnetic eld $B$ is described by eq. (4.34) in which

$$
W^{H}(z) \quad W(z)=\frac{1}{Z}_{D^{c}}^{Z} G(z ;) B()^{2} d
$$

In fact this form ula holds for arbitrary $B$, not necessarily vanishing inside the droplet. In

$B=\quad i q^{(2)}(z \quad a)$, and $W^{H}(z) \quad W(z)=i_{i} G\left(z ; a_{i}\right)$ q. If $a_{i}$ is inside, $G\left(z ; a_{i}\right)$ is set to be zero. The sum, therefore, is over outside uxes only. The uxes inside the droplet, if any, appear to be com pletely screened and do not have any in uence on its shape.


## $\oplus$

Figure 8: The electronic droplet in the presence ofm agnetic im purities.
$G$ row th of the electron ic droplet. Ifthe totalm agnetic ux increases, $w$ ith $m$ agnetic im purities kept xed, the electronic droplet grow s. For exam ple, one may adiabatically increase $B_{1}, w$ th $B_{0}$ and $B$ xed. Then the droplet grow sbecause the degeneracy of the low est level is en larged and new electrons enter the system. T he grow th is described by eq. (5.1) w ith $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{z})=2 \mathrm{~B}_{0}$ which is equivalent to the D arcy law. This phenom enon is purely quantum. Like the A haronov-Bohm e ect, it is caused by quantum interference. Its characteristic scale is less than that of the Sa man-Taylor ngering by a factor of $10^{9}$. The correspondence established above suggests that the edge of the Q H droplet $m$ ay develop unstable features sim ilar to the ngers in the H ele-Shaw cell.

### 5.4 Sem iclassical -function

In this Subsection we derive the sem iclassical asym ptotics of the -function (5.11), i.e.,

$$
N+1(z)^{\sim!}!
$$

(z)

To avoid cum bersom e technical details, we mainly consider $m$ odels $w$ ith quasiharm onic potential.

F irst of all, it is necessary to know the large N lim it of the orthogonal polynom ials $P_{N}$. Truncating the general formula (3.6) at the second term in the exponent, we can write:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.P_{N}(z)=\operatorname{hdet}(z \quad) i=e^{D} e^{\operatorname{tr} \log (z}\right) \quad E \\
\stackrel{\sim!0}{=} \exp h \operatorname{tr} \log (z \quad) i+\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr} \log (z \quad))^{2}{ }_{c}+::: \tag{5.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The rst term in the rhs. is $O\left(\sim^{1}\right)$, the second one is $O\left(\sim^{0}\right)$ and the ignored term $s$ vanish as $\sim!0$. H owever, this formula should be applied with som e care since the logarithm is not a single-valued function. This form ula is correct if one can $x$ a singlevalued branch of the logarithm . It is possible if $z$ is outside D. For z inside D an analytic continuation should be used. To take care of the norm alization, we also need

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N}=\frac{Z_{N}+1}{(\mathbb{N}+1) Z_{N}}=\left(2^{3} \sim\right)^{1=2} \exp \quad \sim^{1} \varrho_{\mathrm{t}} F_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \varrho_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{0}+::: \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is written here w th the sam e precision as (5.12). (W e have used (4.27) with $=\log \overline{2^{3} \sim}$ and have taken into account that $F_{1=2}=0$ for quasiharm onic potentials.)

Let us rst keep the dom inant tem $s$ in the rh.s. of (5.12), (5.13) and ignore the
 the results of the previous section,

$$
j(z) \text { J } \quad e^{2 A(z)=\sim} ; \quad 2 A(z)=r_{c l}(z) \quad \prime_{c l}(0) \quad W \quad(z)
$$

A swe know, A (z) de ned by this form ula is zero on the boundary. The analytic continuation of the $A(z)$ inside the contour $=@ D$ can be done using the Schw arz fiunction. N am ely, since 2@A $(z)=z \quad S(z)$, the desired analytic continuation can be de ned (up to a constant) by the formula

$$
\text { 2A }(z)=\dot{j} j^{\jmath} \quad 2 R e^{Z_{z}} S() d
$$

C learly, A ( $z$ ) de ned in this way is constant on the contour. Indeed, if $z_{1 ; 2} 2$, then $Z_{z_{2}}$

Since A (z) should be zero on , we nally de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\frac{1}{2} \dot{k} \jmath^{\jmath} \quad \frac{1}{2} j_{0} \jmath^{\imath} \quad R e^{z} \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{l} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 is an arbitrary point on the contour. W e call the function de ned by (5.14) the e ective action. From the above it follows that its rst derivatives vanish for all z $2: @ A(z)=@ A(z)=0$. This means that $j f \quad e^{2 A=\sim}$ has a shanp $m$ axim um on the contour. W em ay say that purely quantum particles are in general delocalized in the plane, purely classical particles are localized at som e points in the plane while partially classical particles, like our electrons, are localized on closed curves in the plane.

Let us tum to the $O\left(\sim^{0}\right)$-corrections, which give the subexponential factor in the asym ptotics of the -function ${ }^{4}$. We assum $e$, for $\operatorname{sim}$ plicity, that the dom ain $D$ is connected. Extracting analytic and anti-analytic parts of eq. (4.37), we get

$$
(\operatorname{tr} \log (z \quad))^{2}{ }_{c}=\log \left(\operatorname{rw}^{0}(z)\right)+O(\sim)
$$

where $r$ is the extemal conform al radius ofD and $w^{0}(z)$ is the derivative of the conform al m ap from $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$ onto the exterior of the unit circle. P lugging this into (5.12) and taking into account eq. (5.8), we nally obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(z) \mathcal{J}=\frac{\dot{p}^{0}(z) j}{2^{3} \sim} e^{2 A(z)=\sim} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form ula does resem ble theW K B asym ptotics in quantum m echanics. Ifa singularity of the conform alm ap is su ciently close to the boundary from inside, the asym ptotics becom es invalid in this region.

[^3]The e ective action can be expanded near the contour, where it takes the minim al value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(z+{ }_{n} z\right)=j_{n} z \jmath^{\rho} \quad \frac{1}{3}(z) j_{n} z \jmath^{\beta}+\frac{1}{4}{ }^{2}(z) j_{n} z \jmath^{4}+::: \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $(z)$ is the localcurvature of the contour at the point $z$ and ${ }_{n} z$ is a sm all deviation from the point z 2 in the norm aldirection. (T he upper and low er signs correspond to the outw ard and inw ard deviations respectively.) A sim ilar expansion of log jw ${ }^{0}(z)$ jreads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log j w^{0}\left(z+{ }_{n} z\right) j=\log j^{0}(z) j \quad\left(j^{0}(z) j \quad(z)\right) \dot{j}_{n} z j+::: \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Som e details of the derivation are given in A ppendix E.Therefore, if (z) $\sim 1=2$, the squared $m$ odulus of the -function is well approxim ated by the shanp $G$ aussian distribution in the nom aldirection w ith the am plitude slow ly m odulated along the curve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(z+{ }_{n} z\right) j^{\rho}, \sum_{\mathcal{P}^{0}(z) j}^{2^{3} \sim} e^{2 j_{n} z \mathcal{J}^{2}=\sim} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of general potentials the calculations lead to a sim ilar result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(z+{ }_{n} z\right) \jmath^{2}, \quad \frac{r}{2^{2} \sim} j^{0}(z) j e^{2} \quad(z) j_{n} z f^{2}=\sim \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see that the width of the G aussian distribution depends on the point of the curve through the function (which is proportional to the m agnetic eld in the Q H interpretation). N ote that this asym ptotics is consistent $w$ th the nom alization $j(z) \stackrel{\jmath}{j} d^{2} z=1$. $T$ he easiest $w$ ay to see this is to notioe that the $G$ aussian function in (5.18) (as well as the one in (5.19)) tends to the delta function $(z ;)$ w ith the support on the curve (see A ppendix B ). H ence one can form ally w rite the lim iting -function in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(z) \tilde{j}=\frac{\dot{j}^{0}(z) j}{2} \quad(z ; \quad) \tag{5,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the right norm alization is transparent.
Finally, we note that the grow th law (5.4) (the D arcy law) can be w ritten in the suggestive form

$$
V_{n}(z) / j(z) j
$$

The norm alvelocity $V_{n}$ is de ned by the relation

$$
@_{t} \quad(z ; D(t))=V_{n} \quad(z ; @ D(t))
$$

where $(z ; D)$ is the characteristic function of the dom ain $D$. Since the classical value of $h(z) i$ is $(z ; D)=$, we can represent the $D$ arcy law in yet another form :

$$
\left.@_{t} h \quad(z) i=j(z)\right\}
$$

In fact it is the $\sim$ ! 0 lim it of the exact relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) i_{N} \quad h(z) i_{\hat{N}} \quad=\sim j_{N}(z) \hat{\jmath} \tag{522}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im m ediately follow sfrom the fact thath $(z) i_{N}=\sim K_{N}(z ; z)$ and from the de nition of the kemel function (3.16). In fact it is equivalent to the H irota equation (3.12). We see that (5 22) can be regarded as a \quantization" of the D arcy law .
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## Appendices

## Appendix A

The proof of the deter incant representation (3.7). It is simpler to start with $\operatorname{det} C_{i j}$, where $C_{i j}$ is given in (3.8), and to show that $N$ ! et $C_{i j}$ coincides $w$ th $Z_{N} . T$ he proof is a chain of obvious equalities. By de nition,

P lugging the explicit form of the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} j}$, we write:
and, interchanging the order of sum $m$ ation and integration, obtain the result:


Orthogonality of the polynom dials (3.13). The de nition (3.13) imp plies

$$
P_{n}()={\frac{1}{Z_{n}}}^{Z} \quad j_{n}\left(z_{i}\right) \jmath^{Y^{n}} \quad(\quad z) e^{W\left(z_{j}\right)} d^{2} z_{j}
$$

We want to show that these polynom dials are orthogonal in the com flex plane.
Set $e^{W(z)} d^{2} z=d$ for brevity. It is enough to show that ${ }^{R} P_{n}(z) z^{m} d=0$ for all $m<n$, ie.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{Z} d^{Z}(z) z^{m} j_{n}\left(z_{i}\right) J^{Y^{n}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & \left.z_{j}\right) d \quad\left(z_{j}\right)=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& j=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $n\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{n}\right)^{Q_{n=1}^{n}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & z_{j}\end{array}\right)={ }_{n+1}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{n} ; z\right)$. Setting $z \quad z_{1+1}$, we have:

$$
\text { LH S }=Z_{n+1}\left(Z_{i}\right) \bar{n}_{n\left(Z_{i}\right)} z_{n+1}^{m}{ }_{j=1}^{Y^{+1}} d \quad\left(Z_{j}\right)
$$

O ne can notide that the sum $m$ ation gives the expansion of the determ inant

| 1 | $z_{1}$ | $:::$ | $z_{1}^{n}$ | 1 | $z_{1}^{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $z_{2}$ | $:::$ | $z_{2}^{n}$ | 1 | $z_{2}^{m}$ |
| $:::$ | $:::$ | $:::$ | $:::$ | $:::$ |  |
| 1 | $z_{n+1}$ | $:::$ | $z_{n+1}^{n}$ | $z_{n+1}^{m}$ |  |

under the bar. If $m<n$, it vanishes. If $m=n$, 五 equals $n_{1}\left(z_{i}\right)$, and we get LHS $=$ $\frac{1}{n+1} Z_{n+1}$.

## A ppendix B

H ere we list som e standard form ulas often used in Section 4.

The com plex notation.
C om plex coordinates: $z=x+i y, z=x \quad$ iy $, ~ Q=\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{x} \quad i Q_{\mathrm{y}}\right), @_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{x}+i \varrho_{y}\right)$.
The Laplace operator: $=\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+@_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}=4 \varrho_{\mathrm{z}} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}}$
C ontour integrals: let $f$ and $g$ be any sm ooth functions de ned in som e neighborhood of the contour, then

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
I & I \\
g @_{n} f j d z j= & 2 i \quad g @_{z} f d z
\end{array} \quad \text { i } f d g
$$

Singular functions.
Two-dim ensional -function: (z) $=\frac{1}{2} \quad \log \dot{k} j=\quad 1 @_{z}(1=z)$. The characteristic
 f.

The -function w ith the support on a curve (a closed contour) : a function ( z ; ) such that

$$
\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \quad(\mathrm{z} ; \quad) \notin \mathrm{z}=\stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \dot{d} \mathrm{j} j}
$$

for any $s m$ ooth function $f$.
The \norm alderivative" of the -function of the contour : a function ${ }^{0}(z ;)$ such that $\begin{array}{lll}\quad Z & \\ & f(z)^{0}(z ;) d^{2} z=\quad @_{n} f(z) j d z j\end{array}$
for any sm ooth function $f, w$ ith the norm al vector being directed to the exterior of the contour.

The characteristic function of the dom ain $D:(z ; D)=1$ if z 2 D and 0 otherw ise; $r(z ; D)=$ P $(z ; Q D)$.

Integral form ulas.
C auchy's integral form ula ( f is any sm ooth function):

In particular, ${ }_{@}^{H} f() d=2 i_{D}^{R} @ f() d^{R}$.
The G reen form ula:
where the norm al vector looks outw ard D.
The D irichlet form ula:

$$
u(z)=\frac{1}{2}^{I} u() Q_{G}(z ;) j d j
$$

for any function $u$ harm onic in $D^{c}=C n D$. Here $G(z ;)$ is the $G$ reen function of the $D$ irichlet boundary value problem in $D^{c}$.

## A ppendix C

Let us present som e details of the ~-expansion of the loop equation (4.4). First of all we rew rite it in the form

$$
\frac{1}{2}^{Z} L(z ;) h^{\prime}() i d^{2}=\left(@^{\prime}{ }_{c l}(z)\right)^{2} \quad\left(@\left(\prime^{\prime}(z) \quad \prime_{c l}(z)\right)^{2} \quad(2 \quad) \sim @^{2 \prime}(z)\right.
$$

which is ready for the $\sim$-expansion. Here

$$
L(z ; ~)=\frac{@ W() \quad @_{c l}^{\prime}(z)}{z}
$$

is the kemel of the integraloperator in the lh.s. (the $\backslash l o o p$ operator ${ }_{R}^{\prime \prime}$ ). The zeroth order
 for the ' ${ }_{c 1}$. To proceed, one should insert the series

$$
\mathrm{h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{z})+\sim^{\prime}{ }_{1=2}(\mathrm{z})+\sim^{2 \prime}{ }_{1}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{O}\left(\sim^{3}\right)
$$

(which corresponds to the ~-expansion (4.17) of the free energy) into the loop equation and separate term sof order $\sim,^{2}$ etc. (In the notation adopted in the $m$ ain body of the paper $\sim_{1=2}^{\prime}=' \sim+O\left(\sim^{2}\right)$.) The term s of order $\sim$ and $\sim^{2}$ give:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}^{Z} L(z ;) \quad{ }_{1=2}() d^{2}=(2 \quad)^{2} C_{c 1}(z) \\
& \frac{1}{2}^{Z} L(z ;) h^{\prime}{ }_{1}() i d^{2}=@^{\prime}{ }_{1=2}(z)^{2}+\left(2 \quad @^{\varrho^{\prime}}{ }_{1=2}(z)^{i} \quad!(z)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
!(z)=\lim _{\sim!0}^{h} \sim^{2} \lim _{z^{0}!z_{z}} h^{\prime}(z)^{\prime}\left(z^{0}\right) i_{c}^{i}
$$

is the connected part of the pair correlator at $m$ erging points. If the point $z$ is in $D^{c}$, then eq. (4.37) yields

$$
\left.h @^{\prime}(z)^{\prime}\left(z^{0}\right) \dot{i}_{c}=2 \sim^{2} @_{z} @_{z^{0}} G\left(z ; z^{0}\right) \quad \text { log j} \quad \ell j+O\left(\sim^{3}\right)\right)
$$

Since the rh.s. is regular for all $z ; z^{0} 2 D^{c}$, the points can be merged without any regularization and the result does not depend on the particular lim it $z^{0}$ ! $z$. W e thus obtain that the function ! ( $z$ ) isproportional to the Schw arzian derivative of the conform al map w (z):

$$
!(z)=\frac{-}{6} \frac{w^{\infty}(z)}{w^{0}(z)} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad{\frac{w^{\infty}(z)}{w^{0}(z)}}^{2}!
$$

The expansion of the loop equation can be continued order by order. In principle, this gives a recurrence procedure to determ ine the coe cients' $k(z)$. H ow ever, the equations of the chain are integralequations in the plane, and it is not easy to solve them explicitly. A nother di culty is that in general one can not extend these equations to the interior of the support of eigenvalues because the ~-expansion $m$ ay break dow $n$ or change its form there. Indeed, in the dom ain led by the gas of eigenvalues the $m$ icrosoopic structure of the gas becom es essential, and one needs to know correlation functions at sm all scales. $N$ evertheless, at least in the rst two orders in $\sim^{2}$ the equations above can be solved assum ing that $z_{2} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$. N ote that in this region all the functions ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{z})$ are harm onic. If these functions are know $n$, the corresponding expansion coe cients of the free energy in (4.17) can be obtained by \integration" of the variational form ulas (3.5).

The procedure ofsolving the loop equation in the rst tw o orders in $\sim$ is too technical to be presented here. In the order ~ one is able to nd a com plete solution whidh gives form ulas (4.15) and (4.26) $m$ entioned in the $m$ ain text. The solution in the next order, $\sim^{2}$, is $m$ uch m ore di cult to obtain. The results for' 1 and $F_{1}$ are still not available in full generality (i.e., for general and $W$ ). N evertheless, for norm alm atrioes with a general potential ( $=1$ ) and w ith a connected support of eigenvalues the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-correction to the free energy can be found explicitly by the m ethod outlined above. H ere we present the result ( $m$ ostly for an illustrative purpose), using the notation introduced in the $m$ ain text (see (4.14), (5.5)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\quad \frac{1}{24}_{j w j=1}^{I} \log \dot{k}^{0}(w) j \mathfrak{a}_{n} \log \dot{z}^{0}(w) j+2 \log \dot{z}^{0}(w) j j d w j \\
& \text { Z I }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a num erical constant and $(z)=\varrho_{n} \log \frac{w(z)}{w^{0}(z)}$ is the local curvature of the boundary. For quasiharm onic potentials only the rst integral survives.

## A ppendix D

Here we dem onstrate how the variational technique works w the the 2 -trace correlator (4.36). W e shall use the variational form ulas (3.5) in the follow ing equivalent version. Set $W(z)=g(z)$, where $g$ is an arbitrary $s m$ ooth function and ! 0 . Then, in the rst order in ,
~ htrfi= htrftrgì

This relation allow s one to nd the connected part of the tw o-trace correlation function by variation of the known one-trace function. Sim ilar form ulas hold for variations of $\mathrm{m} u l t i-t r a c e ~ f u n c t i o n s . ~$

W e have, in the leading order in $\sim$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z Z Z } \\
& \sim \text { htrfi= } f d^{2} z=f d_{D} z+d_{D} z \quad I+I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The rst integral, $I_{1}$, can be transform ed using the de nition of (4.9) and the $G$ reen formula:

The second integral is

$$
I_{2}=\frac{1}{4}_{D}^{Z} \quad W \quad(z) f(z) \quad n(z) j d z j
$$

where $n(z)$ is to be taken from eq. (4.34): $n(z)=@\left(g^{H}(z) \quad g(z)\right)=W(z)$. Sum ming the tw o contributions, we get (436).

## A ppendix E

In this A ppendix we obtain the expansions of the e ective action A (z)

$$
A(z)=\frac{1}{2} \dot{z} j^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} j_{0} j^{2} \quad R e_{0}^{Z} S() d
$$

near the contour $=@ D . W$ e know that the rst variation of $A(z)$ vanishes on . To nd the second variation, we w rite

$$
2 A(z)=j z^{2} j \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(S^{0}(z)(z)^{2}\right) ; \quad z 2
$$

Let us represent $z$ as a sum of norm al and tangential deviations w.r.t. the curve: $z={ }_{n} Z+{ }_{t} Z$, then

$$
2 R e\left(S^{0}(z)(z)^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(S^{0}(z)\left({ }_{n} z\right)^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(S^{0}(z)\left({ }_{t} z\right)^{2}\right)+R e\left(S^{0}(z)_{n} z{ }_{t} z\right)
$$

U sing the obvious relations

$$
\frac{{ }^{t} Z}{j_{t} Z j}=\frac{r}{{ }^{t} Z}{ }_{t} Z \quad P \frac{1}{\overline{S^{0}(z)}} ; \quad{ }_{n} Z=\quad i \frac{{ }_{n} Z}{{ }_{t} Z}{ }^{t} Z
$$

where the upper (lower) sign should be taken for the outw ard (inw ard) deviation, and the form ula for the scalar product of 2 -vectors $x$; $y$ represented as com plex num bers $x ; y$, $(x ; y)=R e(x y)$, we see that the rst and second term $s$ in the rh.s. are equal to $j_{n} z{ }_{j}$ and $j_{t} z{ }_{j}$ respectively while the third one vanishes since the vectors ${ }_{n} z$ and ${ }_{t} z$ are orthogonal. Since $j z \hat{j}=j_{n} z j+j_{t} z \jmath$, we obtain the desired result $A(z)=j_{n} z j$.

The next term s of the expansion of the $A(z)$ around the contour can be found in a sim ilar way. They are expressed through the curvature and its derivatives w r.t. the arc length s along the curve. T o perform the calculations in next tw o orders we need the follow ing form ulas for the and ${ }^{0}=d=d s$ through the Schwarz function [31]:

$$
(z)=\frac{i}{2} \frac{S^{\infty}(z)}{\left(S^{0}(z)\right)^{3=2}} ; \quad{ }^{0}(z)=\frac{i}{2} \frac{S^{\infty}(z) S^{0}(z) \quad \frac{3}{2}\left(S^{\infty}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(S^{0}(z)\right)^{3}} ; \quad z 2
$$

For $z$ on the contour we have

$$
A\left(z+{ }_{n} z\right)=j_{n} z{ }^{2} \quad \frac{1}{6} R e\left(S^{\infty}(z)\left({ }_{n} z\right)^{3}\right) \quad \frac{1}{24} R e\left(S^{\infty}(z)\left({ }_{n} z\right)^{4}\right)+:::
$$

N ow, w ith the help of the form ulas for the curvature, it is easy to nd that

$$
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(S^{\infty}(z)\left({ }_{n} z\right)^{3}\right)=2 \quad(z) R e \frac{1}{i} P^{S^{0}(z)}{ }_{n} z^{3}=2 \quad(z) R e \frac{1}{i}_{\frac{t}{t}_{{ }_{t} z}} \quad{ }^{3=2} \quad{ }_{n} z\right)^{3}
$$

whence

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(S^{\infty}(z)\left({ }_{n} z\right)^{3}\right)=2(z) j_{n} z \jmath^{3}
$$

A sim ilar com putation gives $R$ e $\left(S^{\infty}(z)\left({ }_{n} z\right)^{4}\right)=\sigma^{2}(z) j_{n} z{ }_{j}{ }^{4}$ and we obtain the expansion (5.16). The expansion of $j^{0}(z) j$ around the contour can be easily perform ed $w$ ith the help of the relations

$$
(z)=@_{n} \log \frac{w(z)}{w^{0}(z)} ; \quad @_{n} \log j \omega(z) j=j \omega^{0}(z) j
$$

valid for z 2
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ I thank A A banov for a discussion on this point.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, its variational derivative, $\sim$, does not vanish, as is seen from (4.16).
    ${ }^{3}$ A sim ilar interpretation of the $F_{1}$-correction in the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix ensem ble w as suggested in [40].

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In what follow s we restrict our consideration to the squared modulus j (z) f. The -function itself contains a phase factor which rapidly oscillates in both tangential and norm al directions. (I thank A Boyarsky and O Ruchaisky for a discussion on this point.)

