## P aperclip at =

SergeiL. Lukyanov ${ }^{1 ; 2}$, A lexeiM. T svelik ${ }^{3}$<br>and<br>A lexander B. Zam olodch $\mathrm{Kkov}^{1 ; 2 ; 4}$<br>${ }^{1}$ NHETC, D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y<br>R utgers U niversity P iscataw ay, N J 08855-0849, U SA<br>${ }^{2}$ L D. Landau Institute for $T$ heoretical Physics<br>C hemogolovka, 142432, R ussia<br>${ }^{3}$ D epartm ent of $P$ hysics, $B$ rookhaven $N$ ational Laboratory<br>U pton, NY 11973-5000, U SA<br>and<br>${ }^{4}$ C haire Intemationale de $R$ echerche $B$ laise $P$ ascal<br>Laboratoire de $P$ hysique $T$ heorique de $l^{\prime} E$ cole $N$ orm ale Superieure 24 rue Lhom ond, Paris C edex 05, France


#### Abstract

W e study the \paperclip" m odel ofboundary interaction $w$ ith the topological angle equal to . W e propose exact expression for the disk partition function in term sofsolutions of certain ordinary di erential equation. Large distance asym ptotic form of the partition function which follow s from this proposalm akes it possible to identify the infrared xed point of the paperclip boundary ow at $=$.


$F$ ield theory $m$ odels where interactions em erge as a consequence of geo$m$ etric constrains im posed on the elds are $m$ uch interest. They are esthetically attractive, and they often have rich content and im portant applications. Typical m odels of this class are nonlinear sigm a m odels where the constraints are im posed in the bulk of the space-tim e. Lately the other type of $m$ odels, where the constraints are im posed only on a boundary, attracts much attention. Two-dim ensional models of this type em erge naturally in string theories and in som e condensed $m$ atter problem $s$. In string theories they provide the world-sheet description of \branes", while in condensed $m$ atter theory they describe either quantum im purities or \quantum dots".

In this paperwe study onem odelofthis type. It is the so-called paperclip m odelintroduced in $[\underline{1} 1]$. $T$ histw o-dim ensionalm odelofquantum eld theory involves two-com ponent Bose eld $X(z ; z)=X(z ; z) ; Y(z ; z)$ living on the disk of radius $R$. In the bulk, i.e. at $\dot{\mathcal{Z} j}<\mathrm{R}$, the eld $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ is a free $m$ assless eld, as described by the bulk action

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {bulk }}[X]=\frac{1}{j}_{\dot{\mathcal{L} j \mathrm{k}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} d^{2} \mathrm{z} @_{z} X \quad \underset{z}{ } X \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the boundary values $X_{B}$ of this eld, $X_{B}=X j_{j \in R}$, are subjected to a nonlinear constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \text { cosh } \quad \cos \frac{Y_{B}}{\bar{n}+2}=0 ; \quad Y_{B} j \quad \bar{X}^{p} \overline{n+2}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $n$ and $r$ are real and positive param eters (despite the notation, $n$ is not necessarily integer). The renorm alization does not a ect the param eter n , which is thus a scale-independent constant, while $\mathrm{r} \backslash$ ow $s$ " under the RG transform ations; up to tw o loops, the ow is described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(n+1)\left(1 \quad r^{2}\right) r^{n} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{E}{E}$ is inversely proportional to the $R G$ energy scale E. H ere E is the integration constant of the RG equation, which sets up the \physical scale" in the model. As in [1] [1] , we w ill alw ays choose E equal to R ${ }^{1}$, the inverse radius of the disk, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{R}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation $(\underline{\overline{2}})$ de nes a closed curve in the ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) plane, which at su ciently sm all r has a paperclip shape (see Fig. $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{h}$, hence the nam e of the m odel.


Figure 1: The paperclip shape for $r=2 \quad 10^{3}$.

W hen n goes to in n ity and sim ultaneously r goes to 1 as $\mathrm{r}=1 \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{g}}$ w ith nite $g$, the paperclip curve becom es a circle

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{B}^{2}+Y_{B}^{2}=\frac{1}{g} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ow equation (3) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=g^{1} e^{\frac{1}{2 g}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary constraint (GG) de nes the \circular brane" m odel
$T$ he \circular brane" $m$ odelhas im portant application in condensed $m$ atter physics. It is equivalent to the $m$ odel of dissipative quantum $m$ echanics known as Ambegaokar£ ckem-Schon model $\widehat{k}_{1}^{-1}$. The latter is used to describe the low -energy sector of the m odel of a \w eakly blockaded" quantum
 an alm ost open dot which has a large num ber of energy levels and a large charging energy. The dot is connected to the bulk via $n$ degenerate channels, and the A m begaokar $£$ ckem-Schon $m$ odel applies when the num ber of channels is very large. In this application the circum ference 2 R of the disk in (1ī) has obvious interpretation as the inverse tem perature $1=\mathrm{T}$, while the large charging energy provides explicit ultraviolet cut-o for the $m$ odel, and the topological angle of the circular brane (introduced later in the text) is related to the gate voltage. A though the A m begaokar $£$ ckem-Schon $m$ odel appears only in the $n!1$ lim it of the $m$ odel ( 2 ( 2 ) when the paperclip becom es the circle ( ${ }_{(5)}^{\prime}$ ), we have reasons to believe that the paperclip model w ith nite integer n has œertain relation to the quantum dot m odel w ith n open degenerate channels. $W$ e intend to explore this possible relation in separate work.

The prim ary ob ject of interest is the boundary state jB i, in particular its overlap with the Fock vacuum $j P$ i characterized by the zerom ode
$m$ om entum $P=(P ; Q)$ of the free eld $X=(X ; Y)$. The overlap

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hP jB i= Z ( } \left.\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be expressed through the disk one-point function
Z
$R^{1=3} P^{2}=2 Z(P j)=e^{i P} X^{i}(0 ; 0) \quad$ disk $=D X e^{i P} X(0 ; 0) e^{\left.A_{\text {bulk }} X\right]}$;
where the functional integration is over all elds $X(z ; z)$ obeying the boundary constraint (는).

Let us repeat again that E q. ( $\left(\overline{3} 1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, as w ellas the paperclip equation $(\overline{2})$, w as obtained perturbatively, in the tw o-loop approxim ation. Therefore it provides usefuldescription of the boundary condition only in the weak coupling regim $e$, where the curvature of the paperclip curve ( th is requires $n$ to be large, $n \quad 1$, and $r$ to be su ciently sm all (so that $\left.r^{n} \quad 1\right)$; according to ( $\overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), the last condition is ful lled at su ciently sm all R , therefore Eqs. ( $\overline{(2)}$ ), ( $\overline{3}_{1}^{(\overline{1})}$ provide ultraviolet (UV) description of the boundary condition. At large distances (large R) and at $n \quad 1$ the higher loop and non-perturbative corrections are im portant. G enerally, one expects that at R ! 1 the paperclip boundary theory $\backslash$ ow $s^{\prime \prime}$ to som e in frared ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) xed point, and so the $R=1$ lim it of the boundary state $j B i$ is described in term s of conform alboundary theory associated $w$ th the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point.

In regard to the non-perturbative e ects, it is im portant to realize that under general de nition the paperclip m odelm ay involve an additionalparam eter, the topological angle . Since topologically the paperclip curve ( $\bar{\sim}$ ) is a circle, the con guration space for the eld $X(z ; z)$ consists of topological sectors, each characterized by integer w which is the num ber of tim es the boundary value $X_{B} w$ inds around the paperclip curve when one goes around the disk boundary $\dot{j} j=R$. This allows one to add the weight factors $e^{i w}$ to all contributions to the functional integral com ing from the sectors $w$ ith the w inding num ber w. T hus, in general

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(P j)=X_{w=1}^{X^{I}} e^{\mathrm{iv}} Z^{(w)}(P j) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z^{(w)}$ receives contributions from the topological sector $w$ only. Of course, the contributions of the instanton sectors w 0 are invisible in the

[^0]perturbation theory, and therefore the UV lim it R ! 0 of the theory is insensitive to the topological angle (this statem ent is not lim ited to the case $n \quad 1$; the instanton contributions are suppressed by the pow ens of at any $n$, see [1] [1]). H ow ever, the $\mathbb{R}$ behavior of the theory can depend on in a signi cant way.

The paperclip model is in a close analogy w ith the so called \sausage" sigm a m odel proposed and studied in ["ָי] [perhaps better known is the sym $m$ etric lim it of the sausage $m$ odel, that $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a model; its countenpart is the circular brane $m$ odel $(\overline{-1}))$ ). T he $\mathbb{R}$ physics of the sausage (and its O (3) lim it) sigm a m odel strongly depends on its topological angle
[ ues of the topological angle, $=0$ and $=$. The argum ents were given in [ $\left.\underline{1}_{1}\right]$, where exact solutions of the sausage model at these two values of
were proposed. A ccording to this proposal, the sausage model at $=0$ is $m$ assive and its solution is described in term $s$ of factorizable $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix of three $m$ assive particles. On the contrary, the sausage m odel at $=$ \ow $S^{\prime \prime}$ in the $\mathbb{R}$ lim it to a critical xed point, which is the $c=1 \mathrm{CFT}$ ofa com pacti ed free boson; the $\backslash$ ow " is described in term $s$ of certain $m$ assless Therm odynam ic Bethe A nsatz (TBA) system [ī]. Solutions for the O (3) sigm a m odel at $=0$ and $=$ were previously proposed in [ind and By the analogy, one $m$ ay expect that the paperclip $m$ odel is also integrable in two cases $=0$ and $=$.
$T$ here is m ore then just this analogy to suspect that the paperclip m odel $m$ ight be integrable at least at som e values of. In [11] a set of com $m$ uting local integrals ofm otion $f I_{21} \quad g_{l=1}^{1}$ of the free B ose theory (11) w as displayed which has all sym $m$ etries of the paperclip (2), and $m$ oreover it $w$ as found that given the sym $m$ etries the set is essentially unique. $T$ he idea that this series has som ething to do w th the paperclip m odel can be supported by the analysis of the UV properties of the model. In the UV lim it R ! 0 the param eter $r$ in $(\overline{2})$ becom es $s m$ all, and the paperclip grow $s$ long in the $X$ direction; in this lim it the paperclip can be regarded as the com position of left and right \hainpins" (see Fig. $\overline{\text { ind }}$ ).


Figure 2: T he paperclip form ed by a junction of tw o hairpins.

By the \hairpins" we understand the curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{2} \exp \frac{x^{2}}{n}=\cos \frac{Y_{B}}{n+2} \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sign plus (m inus) has to be taken for the left (right) hainpin. If any one of the curves $(\underline{1} \underline{1} \overline{0})$ is taken as the boundary constraint, the associated left and right \hainp in $m$ odels" are con form ally invariant, and $m$ oreover each has extended conform al sym $m$ etry with respect to certain $W$-algebra [ill A though the $W$-algebras of the right and left hairpin $m$ odels are isom onphic, the generators of $W$ () and $W$ () are realized by di erent operators in the space of states of the free boson theory ( $\overline{1}$ ). H ow ever, the sets of operators W () and W () have nontrivial intersection, which is exactly the set of com muting integrals $\mathrm{fI}_{21} 19$ [1] 1 . For these reasons we refer to the set $\mathrm{fI}_{21} 19$ as the \paperclip series" of local $\mathbb{I M}$.

In $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]} \\ ]\end{array}\right]$ an exact expression for the am plitude $Z=0(P j)$ was proposed in term $s$ of solutions of certain linear di erential equation. The proposal was inspired by rem arkable observation of D orey and Tateo [1] that in som ew hat sim pler integrable $m$ odel of boundary interaction (the $m$ inim alCFT with non-conform alboundary perturbation) the overlap am plitude analogous to $(\bar{T})$ is related to certain $m$ onodrom $y$ coe cients of the Schroedinger equation with the potential $\dot{x}{ }^{3}$. Since [izizl, this nding was con m ed and extended to several other integrable $m$ odels of boundary interaction [13 $m$ ain $m$ ysterious to $u s$, the exam ples suggest that the relation $m$ ay be rather general. G iven a m odel ofboundary interaction suspect ofbeing integrable, it is worth trying to identify associated ordinary di erential equation. The proposal of tī] w asm ade according to th is strategy. Let usbrie y sum $m$ arize it here.

Them ain ingredient is the ordinary di erential equation

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} \quad \frac{n P^{2}}{4} \frac{e^{x}}{1+e^{x}} \quad \frac{(n+2) e^{2} 1}{4} \frac{e^{x}}{\left(1+e^{x}\right)^{2}}+{ }^{2} 1+e^{x^{n}} \quad(x)=0 ;(11)
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are the $X$ and $Y$ com ponents of the zero-m ode $m$ om entum $P$, and is the same as in ( $(\underline{2})$; according to the de nition ( ${ }_{-1}$ ( 1 ) we assum e for the $m$ om ent that is real and positive.

Eq. (1]ī1) has a form of a stationary zero energy Schroedinger equation with speci c potential $V(x)$ given by the last three term $s$ in (111). The potential $V(x)$ is positive and grow s fast at large positive $x$, therefore (1il) has a solution $(x)$ decaying at $x!+1$; this condition speci es ( $x$ )
uniquely up to nom alization $1_{-1}^{i_{1}}$. To x the nom alization, we assum $e$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{x})!\quad \frac{1}{2} \exp \quad \frac{\mathrm{n}}{4}+\quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{u}}(1+u)^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\mathrm{x}!+1$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ approaches positive constant ${ }^{2}$ at large negative $x$. H ence Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ has a solution whidn decays at large negative $x$; we denote this solution $+(x)$. The condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(x)!\frac{e^{x}}{(1+2)} \text { as } x!1 \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

speci es the solution $+(x)$ uniquely, including its norm alization. T hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=0(P j)=g_{D}^{2} P-\frac{2}{e}^{2} W \quad ; \quad \text { : } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below $g_{D}=2^{1=4}$ is the $g$-factor $[\overline{1} \overline{\underline{Q}}]$ of the $D$ irichlet boundary, and W $\mathbb{F} ; G]$ denotes the $W$ ronskian $F(x) G^{0}(x) \quad F^{0}(x) G(x)$. Eq. $\left(\overline{1} \overline{4_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ is the proposal of $[\underline{-1} 1]$.

It w as shown in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ that $(1-14)$ exhibits the $U V$ (i.e ! 0) behavior com pletely consistent w th what one expects from the \hairpin decom position" of the paperclip at R ! 0.At sm all the expression ( $(\overline{1} 4)$ can be w ritten as (here we w rite explicitly the com ponents of $P=(P ; Q)$ )

$$
Z=0(P ; Q j)=B(P ; Q) F=0(P ; Q j)+B(P ; Q) F=0(P ; Q j) ;(15)
$$

where
and $F=0(P ; Q j)$ (apart from the factor ${ }^{2}$ ) adm its asym ptotic expansion in a double series in powers of and $\frac{2}{n}$ (here and below we use the sym bol , to indicate relations which hold in the sense of asym ptotic series),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=0(P ; Q j)^{\prime} \quad 2_{i ; j=0}^{X^{1}} f_{i ; j}(P ; Q)^{i+\frac{2 j}{n}} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$


w ith $\mathrm{f}_{0 ; 0}=1$. From ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1} \overline{\mathbf{L}_{1}}\right)$, the powers $\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}}$ appear from the ! 0 expansion of the solution (x), while the integer pow ens of com e from the expansion of $+(x)$.

The expansion $(\overline{1} \overline{\bar{S}})$ is in good agreem ent w ith expected form of $(\bar{q})$ in the dom ain 1. Recall that according to ( $\overline{\underline{4}} \mathbf{V})$ and ( $\overline{\underline{1}})$ this dom ain corresponds to the UV lim it of the paperclip model, where the paperclip (2) can be regarded as the com position of tw o hainpins, as in $F$ ig. the tw o term $s$ in ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{D}_{1}\right)$ corresp ond to contributions from the right and the left hairpins, respectively. M ore precisely, at small and $=m P \Leftrightarrow 0$ the saddle points of the functional integral ( $\overline{( })$ ) correspond to the eld con gurations where the boundary values ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) are mostly conœentrated near the left or the right end of the paperclip ( are precisely the boundary overlap am plitudes of the right and left hairpin m odels ( $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1})$, respectively. The pow ens of $\frac{2}{n}$ in $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ are associated $w$ ith the perturbative corrections, due to $\backslash$ sm all" uctuations around the saddle points, which feel only the sm all deviations of the shape of the paperclip $(\overline{2})$ from the respective hainpin, while the integer powers of are associated
 $m$ ore detailed discussion, which also includes the explanation of the factor
2 in ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ and $\left(\overline{1}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ as the ect of $s m$ all instantons).
On the other hand, when ! 1 (and $P ; Q$ are $x e d$ ) the potentialterm in ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{1}\right)$ becom es large at all real $x$, and therefore the $W$ ronskian in (1] $\left.\overline{4}\right)$ can be found by straightforw ard application of W K B technique. This results in the asym ptotic ! 1 series

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=0(P j)^{\prime} g_{D}^{2} \exp \quad X^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{I_{211}(P)}{2 l 1} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{211}(P)=I_{211}(P ; Q)$ are certain polynom ials in $P^{2}$; and $Q^{2}$ of the degree $1, w$ ith coe cients which depend only on $n$. It tums out that these polynom ials are exactly the vacuum eigenvalues of the local $\mathbb{I M}$ of the \paperclip series" fI $\mathrm{IL}_{1} \mathrm{~g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{21 \quad} j P i=R^{1}{ }^{2 l} I_{211}(P ; Q) j P i \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [ill for details). This form of $\mathbb{R}$ expansion suggests that at $=0$ the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point is just the $D$ irichlet boundary condition for the free eld $\mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)=(0 ; 0): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ the RG ow approaches the D irichlet xed point along irrelevant direction whidh is a com bination of densities of the paperclip $\mathbb{M}$ $\mathrm{fI}_{21} \mathrm{I}$.

In this paper we extend the proposal of [1] to the case $=$. The starting point is the sam e di erential equation (11), but now instead of + in (14.), we take another solution which grows as e x at large negative x . O fcourse, this condition alone does not de ne the solution uniquely since, besides overall norm alization, one can alw ays add any am ount of $+(x)$. U sually it is di cult to $m$ ake unam biguous de nition of a grow ing solution, but in our case the follow ing property of (11) helps. Let us consider $x$ as a complex variable. The potential $V(x)$ is analytic function of $x$ w ith the branching-point singularities at all points where $e^{x}$ tums to 1 . Let us $m$ akebrunch cuts from each of the points $x=i(2 N+1), N=0 ; 1 ; \quad 2 ;::$ : to +1 parallel to the real axis, and choose the branch of $V(x)$ on which $\left(1+e^{x}\right)^{n}$ is real and positive on the realaxis of the $x-p l a n e . ~ N o w, ~ r e s t r i c t i n g ~$ attention to the dom ain $<e x<0$ one nds that the potential $V(x)$ has the periodicity property

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x+2 i)=V(x) \quad(<e x<0): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsequently, the equation (11) has tw o B loch-w ave solutions (2 Z Z):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x+2 \text { i) }=e^{2 i} \quad(x) \quad(<e x<0) ;\right. \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $B$ loch factors are found by taking the lim it <ex! 1 . At this point we assum e that 2 is not an integer, so that the conditions ( $\overline{2} \overline{2})$ specify the two independent solutions (x) uniquely, up to their nom alizations. O fcourse, the solution $+(x)$ de ned this way decays ase ${ }^{x}$ at <ex ! 1 , and the asym ptotic condition (13) also xes its nom alization. T he solution
(x) grow s at large negative <ex, and its norm alization can be xed by specifying the leading asym ptotic in this dom ain. Thuswe de ne (x) by the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x+2 i)=e^{2 i}  \tag{23}\\
& (x)!\frac{e^{x}}{(122)} \quad \text { as } \quad<e x!\quad 1:
\end{align*}
$$

It is possible to show that both $+(x)$ and
(x) de ned by (113) and $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}$ ') are entire functions of , and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j x)=+(j x) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we tem porarily exhibited the dependence of of the param eter ． From the de nitions（ $\left.\overline{1} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\underline{2} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W} \quad ; \quad+=\frac{\sin (2 \quad)}{}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur proposal for $Z=$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=(P j)=g_{D}^{2} p-\frac{2}{e}{ }^{2} W \quad ; \quad: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ！ 0 form of the $W$ ronskian in（ $\overline{2} \bar{\sigma})$ can be derived through the perturbative evaluation of the solutions（x）and（x），as it was done in［ill for the $W$ ronskian in $[\overline{1} \overline{1})$ ．This leads to the expansion sim ilar to （15든），
$Z=(P ; Q j)=B(P ; Q) F=(P ; Q j)+B(P ; Q) F=(P ; Q j) ;(27)$
where $B(P ; Q)$ is the sam e as in（15），while

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=(P ; Q j)^{\prime} \quad 2^{X^{\mathrm{B}}} f_{i ; j=0}(P ; Q)(\quad)^{i} \frac{2 j}{n} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th exactly the sam e coe cients $f i ; j(P ; Q)$ as in $\left(\overline{1}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ ．This form follow $s$ from the fact that integer powers of in（ $\overline{2} \overline{8}$ ）com e from perturbative expan－ sion of the solution（ $x$ ）；in view of $(\underline{2} \overline{-1})^{-1}$ ）they are related to corresponding integer pow ers in $\left(\overline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ by the change of the sign，！．At the sam e tim e， the powers of $\frac{2}{n}$ appear as the result of expansion of $(x)$ ，and hence they
 \left. interpreted as the perturnoative contributions to the functional integral（ ${\underset{\sim}{l}}_{1}\right)$ ， while the integer powers of are due to the instanton contributions，the form $\left[\overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right),(\underline{2} \bar{Q} \overline{1})$ is exactly what one expects to have from the de nition（⿳一巛⿴囗十刂 at $=$ ．This property of the UV expansion was the $m$ ain m otivation of our proposal（2̄द̆ $)$ ．

A ccepting（2），we can address the problem of the $\mathbb{R}$ behavior of the paperclip model at $=$ ．O ne has to nd the ！ 1 asym ptotic of the $W$ ronskian in $[\underline{2} \bar{G})$ ．Unlike the case $=0, E q \cdot\left(\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ，this tums out to be rather subtle problem．W hile at ！ 1 the W K B approxim ation still form ally applies to（ $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ，the problem is analogous to the problem of nding the lover－the－barrier＂re ection am plitude in quantum mechanics which in the sem iclassical approxim ation requires identifying appropriate \tuming points＂（the zeroes of the potential $V(x)$ ）in the com plex $x-p l a n e ~\left[\underline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$ ．In
our case, at large all the com plex tuming points approach the singular points $e^{x}=1$ where the sem iclassical approxim ation for Finding correct ! 1 expansion of ( $\overline{2} \bar{\sigma})$ requires analysis of the solutions of (1]il) in the vicinities of the singular points $e^{x}=1$. The calculations are rather involved, and will be present them elsew here. T he result is the asymptotic ! 1 expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=(P j)^{\prime} g_{D}^{2} e^{a} T(P j) \exp \sum_{l=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{I_{21 ~}(P)}{211} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a is a constant ${ }^{3}, I_{211}(\mathbb{P})$ are the sam e eigenvalues of the \paperclip $\mathbb{M}$ " $I_{21} 1$ as in ( $\left.1 \mathbf{1} \underline{q}\right)$, and $T\left(P_{j}\right)$ is the asym ptotic series in inverse pow ers of $\frac{2}{n+2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(P j)^{\prime} 2 \cos \frac{Q}{n+2}+{ }_{l=1}^{X^{1}} t_{1}(P ; Q) \quad \frac{21}{n+2}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again $P$ and $Q$ are the $X$ and $Y$ com ponents of the zero-m ode $m o-$ $m$ entum, i.e. $P=P ; Q)$, and the coe cients $t_{1}(P ; Q)$ are in principle com putable through perturbative solution of (1]in) in the vicinity of the singular points $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}=1$. The rst two coe cients can be evaluated in closed form . We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{1}(P ; Q)=\frac{n+2}{2}^{\frac{2}{n+2}} P-\frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n+2}}{1 \frac{1}{n+2}}  \tag{31}\\
& \frac{n+2}{n+4} \quad \frac{(n+2) Q^{2} n P^{2} \quad 1}{2\left((n+2) Q^{2}\right.} \quad \text { 1) } \frac{2^{2}}{\frac{Q}{n+2}} \frac{1}{n+2} \quad \frac{Q}{\underline{n+2}} \frac{1}{n+2} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

while the expression for $t_{2}(\mathbb{P} ; Q)$ is som ew hat cum bersom $e$, and we present it in A ppendix.

The $m$ ost im portant di erence of ( $\overline{2} \overline{9})$ from ( $\overline{1} \overline{8})$ is in the factor ( $\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{0}$ ) which involves the powers of $\frac{2}{n+2}$; its presence indicates that in this case the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point di ers from the triviald irichlet boundary constraint ( The series ( $\left.\overline{(3} \bar{O} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$ starts $w$ th the term $2 \cos \frac{\ell}{\overline{n+2}}$ which suggests that in the lim it ! 1 the boundary values of $X$ are constrained to two points,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)=0 ; \overline{\overline{\mathrm{n}+2}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ characterizes the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point of the paperclip boundary ow at $=$. At large but nite the boundary value $X_{B} ; Y_{B}$ is allowed to jum $p$ betw een the tw o points ( $\left.\overline{3}_{\overline{2}}^{2}\right)$, the possibility of such jum ps being responsible for the higher-order term $S$ in the series ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$. In other words, the RG ow arrives at the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ along certain irrelevant boundary elds which generate such jum ps. Obvious candidates are the boundary vertex operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\llbracket X l_{B} e^{\frac{i Y_{B}}{\frac{Y_{1}}{n+2}}}() ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is natural coordinate along the boundary (we w rite $z=R=e^{\frac{+i}{R}}$, so that the boundary $\dot{k} j=R$ is at $=0)$, $\llbracket X]_{B}=@ X(;) j=0$ is the norm alderivative of the eld $X$ at the boundary, and $Y_{B}()$ is the boundary
 jum $p s$ in the boundary value $Y_{B}$ at the point, i.e. the boundary values $Y_{B}$ to the right and to the left of each vertex $V$ ( ) di er by the am ount $\frac{2}{\overline{n+2}}$. $N$ ote that the dim ensions of the elds ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1}-1)$ equal to $1+\frac{1}{n+2}$, which is in exact agreem ent $w$ ith the fractionalpow er $\frac{2}{n+2}$ appearing in the expansion ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0} \bar{q}^{\prime}\right)$. A ccording to these argum ents, it seem s likely that the full asym ptotic series ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$ can be generated by expanding the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(P j)=  \tag{34}\\
& \text { h } \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i} \text { Z }}^{2 R} \\
& P \operatorname{Tr} e^{P^{\frac{i}{n+2}}}=T \exp \quad d \quad V_{+}()++V()^{O i} B_{D}: \\
& 0
\end{align*}
$$

Here $j B_{D} i$ is the boundary state of the free theory ( $\overline{1}(\overline{1})$ w th the $D$ iridh let boundary condition $\left(\underline{2} \overline{2} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$ whidh is the superposition $j B_{D} i={ }^{2} d^{2} P \quad j I_{P} i$ of the $D$ irichlet Ishibashi states $j I_{P} i$. In what follow s we assum e that the exponentials in (3-3) are norm alized in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h P j V_{+}() V\left({ }^{0}\right) j B_{D} i!2 j \quad 0_{j}^{2} \frac{2}{n+2} \text { as } \quad 0 \text { : } \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The -ordered exponential is understood in term sof its expansion in pow ers
 below),

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(P j)=2 \cos \frac{Q}{n+2}+\frac{2}{2} \int_{0}^{Z 2 R} d^{Z} d^{0}  \tag{36}\\
& \quad h \\
& \quad e^{\frac{i}{} \frac{i}{n+2}} V_{+}() V\left({ }^{0}\right)+e^{\frac{i \varrho}{n+2}} V() V_{+}\left({ }^{0}\right)^{i} B_{D}+::::
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]The relations ${ }^{2}=0$ guarantee that the boundary values of $Y$ are lim ited to tw o points indicated in ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right) . W$ ith this understood, tw o eigenstates of $z$ w th the eigenvalues 1 appear to be in correspondence $w$ th two possible boundary values $Y_{B}=p \overline{\overline{n+2}}$. At the sam e tim e, the $m$ atrices in ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{1}$ ) can be regarded as operators representing an additional boundary degree of freedom; then the expression in the exponential in $[\overline{3} \overline{4})$ is interpreted as the boundary action describing pertunbation of the D irichlet boundary condition. A nyhow, the $g$-factor at the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point (i.e. ( $\overline{\underline{2}} \underline{q}_{1}$ ) evaluated at $=1$ and $P=0$ ) is $2 g_{D}^{2}$, not $g_{D}^{2}$ as 止 $w$ as in the case of the $=0 \mathbb{R}$ xed point ( $\overline{2} \overline{0} \bar{D}^{\prime}$ ).

A swasm entioned above, the dim ensions of the vertex operators ( 3 근) are greater than one. As the result, the integrals appearing in the expansion (3̄6) generally diverge when -separations betw een the insertions V ( ) becom e sm all. O ne has to specify som e regularization to $m$ ake the expression
 conform alperturbation theory. T he prescription is to consider n as an arbitrary com plex num ber and to evaluate the integrals in the dom ain ofn where they converge (speci cally, at <en < 4), and then to continue in $n$ to the real positive values. It tums out that this continuation yields unique nite results for all integrals involved in the expansion ( $3 \overline{3} \mathbf{-}$ ). Thus, the ${ }^{2}$ term explicitly w ritten in ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) is readily evaluated in analytic form. Rem arkably, its dependence of the $m$ om enta ( $P ; Q$ ) appears exactly the sam e as in ( and upon identi cation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p_{\overline{2}}^{\frac{p}{n}} \frac{\frac{1}{n+2}}{E} \frac{n+2}{}^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

it coincides $w$ th the rst $(1=1)$ term $t_{1}(P ; Q) \frac{2}{n+2}$ of the expansion $\left.\left(\overline{3} \bar{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime}\right)$. W e believe that under the identi cation $(\overline{3} \overline{7} \bar{\eta})$, and w th the analytic regularization described above, the expression ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ reproduces all term $s$ of the asym ptotic series $(\overline{3} \bar{Z} \overline{\underline{j}})$, i.e. 五 plays the role of the le ective $\mathbb{R}$ theory" describing the approach of the $=$ paperclip ow to its $\mathbb{R}$ xed point $\left(\overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{Z}^{\prime}\right)$. N ote that the e ective theory $(\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ is expected to capture only the
 to have any control over the \nonperturbative" term s due to the local $\mathbb{M}$ in (2-9).

The relation $\left(3 \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ is singular at $n=1$. C orrespondingly, obtaining correct n! 1 lim it from the e ective theory ( $\left.{ }^{(32} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is not exactly straightforward. At $n \quad 1$ altemative form of the $\mathbb{R}$ e ective theory is $m$ ore
convenient. It is w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(P j)= \\
& \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{Z}} 2 \mathrm{R} \\
& \left.h P j \operatorname{TrT} \exp \quad d \frac{x}{2} \quad x @ X\right]_{B}()+\frac{y}{2} y\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { @ }]_{B}() \quad j B_{D} i ; ~
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where again @ $\mathbb{X} l_{B}$ and $@ Y l_{B}$ are the norm al derivatives of the elds $X$ and $Y$ at the boundary, and $x, y$ are coupling constants. Form ally, ( $\bar{Z} \overline{1}$ ) can be brought to the form (34) by eld-dependent gauge transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a!e^{\frac{i y}{2}} y_{y} Y_{B}() a e^{\frac{i y}{2}}{ }_{y} Y_{B}() ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith obvious relabeling of the $m$ atrices to $m$ atch the notations in ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$. T he subtlety in this transform ation is in the renorm alization of the param eters. Perturbation theory in the couplings $x$, $y$ in ( $\overline{3} \bar{L}_{1}$ ) has logarithm ic UV divergences which lead to renorm alization of these param eters. In view of the X \$ Y sym m etry the corresponding RG ow equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \frac{d g_{x}}{d E}=\left(g_{x} ; g_{y}\right) ; \quad E \frac{d g_{y}}{d E}=\left(g_{y} ; g_{x}\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the RG energy scale, and $g_{x}=\underset{x}{2}, g_{y}={\underset{y}{2}}_{2}$. Them odel ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{q} \bar{q}^{\prime}\right)$ w as studied in $[\overline{1} \overline{9}]$, where the leading (one-loop) term of the beta-function is presented,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{x} ; g_{y}\right)=2 g g_{y}+O\left(g^{3}\right): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he higher loop term s depend on the renorm alization schem e. O ne can note that $\left(\overline{3} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is easily evaluated in the lim it when $g_{x}!0$ w ith $g_{y}$ kept nite (or when $g_{y}!0 w$ ith nite $g_{x}$ ). It follow from this solution that a class of \natural" schem es exists in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g ; 0)=(0 ; g)=0 ; \quad \frac{d}{d g}(g ; h)_{g=0}=2 h: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In any such schem e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{x} ; g_{y}\right)=2 g_{x} g_{y}+b g_{x}^{2} g_{y}+O\left(g^{4}\right) ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant b is schem e independent. Explicit tw o-loop calculation yields $\mathrm{b}=4$. The term $\mathrm{s} \quad 9^{4}$ and higher still depend on the schem e. It looks likely that any RG ow equation of the form (4̂Ol') w ith the -function
satisfying ( $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ and having the expansion $(4 \overline{4} \overline{3})$ w ith $\mathrm{b}=4$ can be brought, order by order in $g$, to the follow ing convenient form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \frac{d g_{x}}{d E}=E \frac{d g_{y}}{d E}=\frac{2 g_{x} g_{y}}{1+g_{x}+g_{y}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{x}=g_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & g_{x}\end{array}\right), g_{y}=g_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & g_{f}\end{array}\right)$, by appropriate rede nition of the coupling constants $g_{x}, g_{y}$ (w e have explicitly checked this statem ent up to the order $g^{6}$ ). TheRG ow ( $\left(\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ conserves the di erence $=g_{y}$. $\hat{c}$. In the coordinates $g_{x} ; g_{y}$ the RG trajectories are straight lines which end at the $\mathbb{R}$ xed points $g_{x}=0$ if $>0$, or $g_{y}=0$ if $<0$. Let us assum e here 0 . $T$ he RG invariant can be related to the param eter $n$ in ( 3 "

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { O } \quad \hat{x}=\frac{1}{n+1}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, at the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point $g_{x}=0$ while $g_{y}=\overline{1+}$; the last quantity m ust coincide w ith the dim ension of the coupling constant in ( $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{L} \bar{u}_{1}\right)$. Integrating (444) one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{x}}=\overline{1} ; \quad g_{\mathrm{y}}=\overline{1} ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where decays tow ards $\mathbb{R}$ according to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1}=\frac{E}{E_{0}} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{0}$ is the integration constant; one can set $E_{0}=C E \quad w$ ith an arbi-
 renorm alized coupling constants $g_{x} ; g_{y}$. It is clear that $[\overline{3} \overline{\underline{G}})$ adm its perturbative expansion in these coupling constants, and if one chooses $E=R^{1}$ the coe cients of this series are undependent of $R$.

Let us consider the case $\mathrm{n}=1$ whidh is of special interest because it corresponds to the circular branem odel [-] at $=$, and hence is equivalent to the Am begaokar $\pm$ ckem-Schon model [3-1] of quantum dot at the degeneracy point. Obviously, in this lim it the form (3]d) of the $\mathbb{R}$ asym ptotic expansion of the boundary am plitude is no longer m eaningful. Instead, in this case the factor $T(P j)$ in ( $\overline{2} \overline{9})$ rather expands in powers the \running coupling constant" 9 related to by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g e^{\frac{1}{2 g}}=\frac{1}{e^{c_{0}}}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same relation is obtained by integrating the RG equation ( $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{-}) \mathrm{w}$ ith $g_{\mathrm{x}}=g_{\mathrm{y}}=\hat{g}$. It is possible to show that at $\mathrm{n}=1$ the factor $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P} j)$ in $(\underline{2} \overline{9}, 1)$ expands as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(P j)=2 \quad(P)^{2} g+\quad 2 \quad 2(P)^{2}+\frac{1}{12}(P)^{4} \quad g^{2}+O\left(g^{3}\right) ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{g}=\hat{g}()$ is determ ined through (

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}=3+E ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in perfect agreem ent w ith the results of renorm alized perturbation theory in $(\overline{3} \overline{8} \overline{-})$.

The di erential equation ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ can be integrated num erically, thus providing high precision num erical data for the disk partition functions (1] $\overline{1}{ }^{\prime}$ ) and (2-G). To handle the circular-brane case $n=1$ an appropriate lim it of the di erential equation (픈) has to be taken. M aking shift of the variable $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} \quad \log \mathrm{n}$ and then sending n to in nity, one obtains the di erential equation (124) of R ef. [ $[1]$, while the asym ptotic condition for the solution is also suitably m odi ed, see Eq. (126) of R ef. [İ.).
 circular brane (A m begaokarモckem-Schon) m odel

$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=1 \quad \frac{d}{d} \quad \log Z(0 j) ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by num ericalintegration of the di erential equation corresponding to $\mathrm{n}=1 \mathrm{w}$ th nst few term s of the $\mathbb{R}$ expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
S \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{=}()= & \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{1800^{3}}+\frac{71}{1050000^{5}}+O\left({ }^{7}\right) ; \\
S \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{=}()= & \log (2)+\frac{2}{2} g^{2}+2^{2} 9^{3} \frac{{ }^{2}\left(24+{ }^{2}\right)}{12} g^{4}+O\left(9^{5}\right)  \tag{52}\\
& \frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{1800^{3}} \frac{71}{1050005^{5}}+O\left({ }^{7}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $S \quad S \quad \log \left(\Phi^{2}\right)$. Theboundary entropy for $=0$; as a function of the dim ension less inverse tem perature $=\frac{E}{2 T}$ is plotted in $F$ ig ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{1}$.

O m ilting details, we would like to $m$ ention here that using $m$ ethods developed in $[2 \overline{1} 1]$ (see also $[13$ skians $[\overline{1} \overline{4}),(2 \bar{G})$ at special values of the param eters to solution of certain system of nonlinear integralequations, the \TBA system ". For exam ple, for integer n and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=0 ; \quad Q=P \frac{1}{n+2} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}=0$ | $\mathrm{~S} \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{=}=0$ | $\mathrm{~S}=$ | $\mathrm{S} \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{=}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | 0.5877 | 2.25198 | 1.0264 | 0.02039 |
| 0.3 | 0.4474 | 0.62806 | 0.9967 | 1.19216 |
| 0.4 | 0.3607 | 0.39590 | 0.9660 | 1.18637 |
| 0.5 | 0.3032 | 0.31053 | 0.9490 | 1.12614 |
| 1.0 | 0.1617 | 0.16179 | 0.8996 | 0.97467 |
| 1.5 | 0.1095 | 0.10955 | 0.8737 | 0.92069 |
| 2.0 | 0.0826 | 0.08266 | 0.8574 | 0.89149 |
| 2.5 | 0.0663 | 0.06632 | 0.8453 | 0.87246 |
| 3.0 | 0.0554 | 0.05535 | 0.8366 | 0.85876 |
| 3.5 | 0.0475 | 0.04749 | 0.8291 | 0.84827 |
| 4.0 | 0.0416 | 0.04158 | 0.8231 | 0.83989 |
| 4.5 | 0.0370 | 0.03698 | 0.8179 | 0.83298 |
| 5.0 | 0.0333 | 0.03329 | 0.8136 | 0.82716 |
| 5.5 | 0.0303 | 0.03027 | 0.8097 | 0.82216 |
| 6.0 | 0.0278 | 0.02775 | 0.8064 | 0.81780 |
| 6.5 | 0.0256 | 0.02562 | 0.8034 | 0.81396 |
| 7.0 | 0.0238 | 0.02379 | 0.8006 | 0.81053 |
| 7.5 | 0.0222 | 0.02221 | 0.7980 | 0.80745 |
| 8.0 | 0.0208 | 0.02082 | 0.7959 | 0.80466 |
| 8.5 | 0.0196 | 0.01960 | 0.7938 | 0.80212 |

Table 1: Com parison of the boundary entropy $S=0 ;=S=0 ; \quad \log \left(\$^{2}\right)$ for the circular brane as com puted from the di erential equation $w$ th few term $s$ of the $\mathbb{R}$ asym ptotic expansions $S \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{=} 0$; given in Eqs. $[\underline{Z} \overline{2})$.
the amplitude $Z(P j)$ (i) is expressed through solution of the $D{ }_{n}$ type TBA system, associated $w$ ith the diagram in $F$ ig. 1 this system coincides exactly w th the system of TBA equations for the T oulose lim it of the $n$-channel $K$ ondo $m$ odel $[\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$, while the entropy $S_{j}^{(K) ~ o n d o) ~}$ of the im purity spin $j=\frac{n 1}{2}$ is given by

$$
S_{\frac{n}{2}}^{(K \text { ondo })}=1 \quad \frac{d}{d} \quad \log g_{D}^{4} Z=0\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P & j
\end{array}\right) Z=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P & j \tag{54}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

where $P=0 ; \frac{1}{n+2}$ and $E$ is identi ed $w$ th the $K$ ondo tem perature. $N$ otioe that at this choige of $P ; Q$ the coe cient $t_{1}$ in the low -tem perature expansion of the partition function ( $(\bar{d} \bar{d})$ vanishes and the expansion starts



Figure 3: The boundary entropy $S=S \quad \log \left({ }^{2}\right)$ ( 5 in $)$ for the circular brane $m$ odel, as a function of the dim ensionless inverse tem perature for $=0$; , derived from (
only in the structure of the \souroe term s" from the TBA system associated w th the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{()} \mathrm{m}$ odels $\left[\underline{2}_{1}^{-1}\right]$, which are certain integrable perturbations of the $m$ inim al $Z_{n}$-paraferm ionic CFT.


Figure 4: Incidence diagram for the T BA system in the case of the paperclip brane w ith an integer $n \quad 3$ and $P=0 ; Q=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}+2}$. The source term indicated near the corresponding node.

At $\mathrm{n}=1$, the boundary entropy of the circular brane m odel w ith $=$ 0 ; is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=k()=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{2} e \quad \frac{\log 1+e^{k_{k}()}}{\cosh ^{2}(\quad)} \quad(k=0 ; 1) ; \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\log (4)$ and ${ }^{k} ; k=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$ solve the in nite chain of integral
equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2} \frac{\log 1+e^{\mathrm{mk+1}()} 1+e^{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{kl}_{1}()}}{\cosh (1)}+ \\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k} 2}^{\mathrm{Z}}{\left.\underset{1}{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2} \frac{\log 1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{mo()}}}{\cosh (\mathrm{l}}\right)}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \quad(\mathrm{k}=2 ; 3 ; 4::: 1) \text {; }  \tag{56}\\
& \left.e_{k ; 0}="_{k}()+{ }_{1}^{1} \frac{d}{2} \frac{\log 1+e^{"_{2}()}}{\cosh ( }\right) \quad(k=0 ; 1):
\end{align*}
$$

To sunm m arize, w e have proposed exact partition fiunction of nontrivial m odel of boundary interaction \{ the paperclip m odel. There are parallels betw een this model on one side, and the bulk sigm a-m odels such as the O (3) nonlinear sigm a m odel and the \sausage" model [ $\bar{T}_{1}$ ] on the other. In particular, according to our proposal, the addition of the topological term w ith $=$ in the paperclip $m$ odelleads to a non-triviall $\mathbb{R}$ xed point. In the $\lim$ 斗 $w$ hen the param eter $n$ goes to in nity the paperclip model reduces to the \circular brane", which is equivalent to the A mbegaokarモ ckem-Schon $m$ odelofa quantum dot. At nite integer $n$ ourproposalsuggests intriguing form al relation to the $n$-channel $K$ ondo $m$ odel in the $T$ oulose lim it.
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## A ppendix

H ere we present an integral representation for the second coe cient $t_{2} a p-$ peared in the expansion ( $\overline{3} \bar{O} \overline{1})$, which was obtained by an analytic technique developed in A ppendix A ofR ef. [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{n+2} ; \quad p=p \bar{n} \frac{P}{2} ; \quad q=P \overline{n+2} \frac{Q}{2}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he coe cient $t_{2}$ can bew ritten as

$$
t_{2}=4 \sin ^{2}() \cos 2(q+1) a_{1}^{2} 4 \sin (2) \sin 2(q+1) \quad a_{2}:(58)
$$

H ere

$$
a_{1}=(2)^{2} \frac{()\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2} \frac{1-2}{2(1+2)}+\frac{p^{2}}{2\left(q^{2} \frac{1}{4}\right)} \frac{(1+(2 q+1))}{((2 q 1))} ; \text { (59) }
$$

and $a_{2}$ in ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{8}\right)$ adm its the follow ing representation for $<$ eq $>0$ and $0 \ll$ $\frac{1}{2} \not \frac{1}{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{1+2(q+1)}{2(q 1)} \frac{A}{q^{2} 1}+B+C \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=(2)^{4} \frac{(1+2) p\left(\frac{1}{2} 2\right)}{8} p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}^{2}+ \\
& \text { (4) }{ }^{4} \frac{\mathrm{p}-\left(\frac{1}{2} 2\right)^{2}(1+3)}{4{ }^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\right)(1+2)} \frac{1-2}{2(1+2)}+\frac{2 \mathrm{p}^{2}}{3} \quad \text {; }  \tag{61}\\
& \mathrm{B}=(2)^{4} \frac{(1+2)\left(\frac{5}{2} 2\right)}{8^{-}(1+4)} \text {; } \\
& C=\frac{(2)^{4}}{8^{2}(1 \quad)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\right)} \\
& Z_{1} \frac{d}{2} \frac{F() \sinh (2)}{(+i q)(i)(+i)} \frac{12}{2(1+2)} \frac{2^{2} p^{2}}{4^{2}+{ }^{2}} \quad \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
F()=(1 \quad 2+2 i)(1 \quad 2 \quad 2 i)^{2}(1++2 i)^{2}(1+2 i):
$$

$N$ otige that for $=\frac{1}{4} \quad(n=2)$ one has to use the L'Opital's rule to evaluate $a_{2}$ from E q. $(\overline{6} \overline{\mathrm{G}}) \cdot \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing}(\underline{5} \overline{\underline{8}} \overline{1})$ it is straightforw ard to study the large n -behavior ( ! 0) of $t_{2}$ :


The above representation is very useful in num erical evaluation of this coe cient because of the fast convergency of the integral in ( $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}$. In T able 2 we present the num erical values of the coe cient $t_{2}$ at som e integer $n$ and P; Q given by Eq. ( $5 \overline{3} \overline{3})$. These num bers were also con $m$ ed by num erical integration of the TBA system depicted in $F$ ig.

| n | $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}}{48}$ |
| 3 | $0: 0546105$ |
| 4 | $0: 0661040$ |
| 5 | $0: 0683646$ |
| 6 | $0: 0658731$ |
| 7 | $0: 0613178$ |
| 8 | $0: 0561029$ |
| 9 | $0: 0509101$ |
| 10 | $0: 0460445$ |

Table 2: $N$ um erical values of the second coe cient $t_{2}$ in the expansion ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{O}} \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\text {) }}\right)$ for $P=0 ; Q=P \frac{1}{n+2}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~T}$ he higher loop corrections to (2), (3) $\mathbf{3}_{1}$ ) are schem e dependent. There are reasons to believe that Eqs. (2, ), (3) are perturbatively exact, i.e. a schem e exists in which these equations are exact to all orders in the loop expansion, see [1]7].

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} a=2_{E}+2 \quad 1+\frac{n}{2}$ with $(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log (z) ; E=(1)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The $T$-dual of the free $m$ assless eld is de ned as usual, through the relations @ $Y=$ i@ Y; and @ Y = i@ Y.

