Instanton counting, M acdonald function and the moduli space of D -branes

HidetoshiAwata and HiroakiKanno

G raduate School of M athem atics Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

A bstract

We argue the connection of Nekrasov's partition function in the background and the m oduli space of D-branes, suggested by the idea of geometric engineering and G opakum ar-Vafa invariants. In the instanton expansion of N = 2 SU (2) Yang-M ills theory the Nakrasov's partition function with equivariant parameters $_1$; $_2$ of toric action on C² factorizes correctly as the character of SU (2)_L SU (2)_R spin representation. We show that up to two instantons the spin contents are consistent with the Lefschetz action on the moduli space of D 2-branes on (local) F₀. We also present an attempt at constructing a re-ned topological vertex in terms of the M acdonald function. The re-ned topological vertex with two parameters of T² action allows us to obtain the generating functions of equivariant $_y$ and elliptic genera of the H ilbert scheme of n points on C² by the method of topological vertex.

1 Introduction

G ravity/gauge theory correspondence is one of in portant subjects in the recent developm ents of non-perturbative string theory and D -branes are the crucial dynam ical objects for understanding the correspondence. In topological theory the correspondence can be established more precisely as equalities among partition functions of topological string/gauge theory. Since the topological partition function gives the generating function of topological invariants, the equalities in ply rather surprising m athem atical conjectures on the relation of invariants that seem to have quite di erent origin at the rst sight. The rst example was given by the geometric transition based on the duality of the resolved conifold and the deform ed conifold [1][2]. In A-m odel picture the geom etric transition in plies the equivalence of the G rom ov-W itten invariants of local P¹ (the resolved conifold side) and the Chern-Sim ons invariants of S³ (the deform ed conifold side), while in B-m odel picture it leads to the Dikgraaf-Vafa proposal of matrix m odel com putation of e ective superpotential of N = 1 supersym m etric Y ang-M ills (SYM) theory [3]. Thanks to a technical tool of topological vertex arising from the idea of geometric transition [4][5][6][7][8], m ore examples of topological string/gauge theory correspondence have been found in the last years. Among them are the equivalence of the Nekrasov's partition function for instanton counting of four dimensional gauge theory and topological string am plitudes of local toric Calabi-Yau manifold [9][10][11][12][13], and G rom ov-W itten/D onaldson-T hom as correspondence [14][15][16][17]. Regarding these topological theories as one of the \comers" of a hypothetical topological theory like the picture of M theory for the duality web of perturbative string theories, there have been a few proposal of unifying topological theory [18][19] that accomm odates all the correspondences and encodes the idea of topological S-duality [20][21].

There is a rather long history of attempts to recover the instanton expansion of the Seiberg-W itten theory from the calculus of multi-instantons (see [22] and references thererin). The main issue was the measure on the instanton moduli space based on the ADHM construction. The topological nature of the instanton amplitudes was understood

1

from the existence of a BRST-type operator [23] and consequently the localization theorem was successfully applied [24]. In the end Nekrasov correctly computed the partition function that produced the instanton expansion of the Seiberg-W itten prepotential by putting N = 2 SYM in the background [25]. The background has a natural interpretation from the six dimensional view point and it gives a coupling with gravity in a special form. The background has two parameters $_1$ and $_2$, which de ne a twisting of two complex coordinates (z_1 ; z_2) of the at (Euclidean) space R⁴ ' C²;

$$(z_1; z_2)$$
 7 $(e^{i_1} z_1; e^{i_2} z_2)$: (1.1)

M athem atically they are equivariant parameters corresponding to the weights of T = C C action on C^2 . For any manifold X with T action the equivariant cohomology ring $H_T(X;Q)$ is a module over $H_T(pt)' Q[t;q]$; $t \coloneqq e^1;q \coloneqq e^2$. When we consider the special case $\sim \coloneqq_1 = _2$, the Nekrasov's partition function has the following expansion

$$Z_{Nek} = \exp \left(\begin{array}{c} X & \vdots \\ & & 2^{2g-2}F_g \\ & & g & 0 \end{array} \right)$$
 (1.2)

and the lowest term F_0 gives the instanton part of the Seiberg-W itten prepotential [26][27][28]. The fact that Z_{Nek} can be expanded like the genus expansion in string theory is not an accident and it turns out Z_{Nek} is exactly reproduced as a (cbeed) topological string am plitudes on an appropriate background. The am plitudes of our concern are those on local toric C alabi-Y au manifolds and the computation is facilitated by making use of topological vertex introduced in [7]. The topological vertex is related to the H opf link invariants of the Chem-Sim ons theory in large N limit and they are given by (the special values of) the Schur function [29]. In the theory of symmetric functions, a generalization of the Schur function with two parameters is known and it is called M accordinal function [30]. Then it is natural to expect that the Nekrasov's partition function in general background is expressed in term softhe M according function. The aim of this article is to explore the relation of the M according function to the Nakrasov's partition function.

The counting of BPS states in ve dimensional theories is one of natural ways to understand the equivalence of the Nekrasov's partition function for instanton counting in four dimensions and topological string amplitudes which are given by Gopakum ar-Vafa invariants [31][32]. In the space-time interpretation of topological string am plitudes we consider a constant self-dual graviphoton background and this is in fact what Nekrasov did in his background with the constraint $_1 + _2 = 0$. In section two we consider Nekrasov's formula for the SU (2) gauge theory and check that, even if $_1 + _2 \in 0$ and the self-duality is lost, it factorizes correctly as the character of SU $(2)_{L}$ SU $(2)_{R}$ spin representation of ve dimensional massive particles. Part of similar results was already reported in [33] and we will extend it up to two instantons. The idea of geometric engineering tells us that SU (2) gauge theory can be geom etrically engineered by type IIA com pacti cation on local Calabi Yau space K $_{F_0}$; the canonical bundle of the H irzebruch surface F_0 ' P^1 P^1 . In the attempts at a mathematical de nition of the G opakum ar-Vafa invariants, it has been argued that the spin contents of ve dimensional theory are identied with the Lefschetz decomposition of the cohomology ring of the moduli space of D 2-branes [34] [35]. We nd that the spin contents obtained by Nekrasov's form ula are consistent with this interpretation of G opakum ar-Vafa invariants on local F₀.

The fact that Nekrasov's partition function in general background allows a factorization in terms of the spectrum of ve dimensional massive particles strongly suggests the existence of topological vertex with two parameters. In section three we make a modest step towards constructing such a re-ned topological vertex. We note that a similar re-nement in the context of open string theory and its relation to knot invariants have been discussed quite recently [36]. We propose the re-ned topological vertex in terms of the specialization of the Macdonald function that is a two parameter generalization of the Schur function. As a preliminary example we consider U (1) gauge theory with a massive adjoint hypermultiplet, which is mathematically related to the Hilbert scheme Hilbⁿ C² of n points on C². We show that the generating functions of equivariant _y genera and elliptic genera of Hilbⁿ C² are obtained by the method of topological vertex. Several technical points on partitions and M acdonald functions are sum m arized in appendices.

We have checked that Nekrasov's form ula for SU (N) gauge theory in general background is reproduced by the re ned topological vertex proposed in this paper. However, we have the issue of cyclic sym m etry of the vertex. Therefore, the am plitude obtained by the m ethod of topological vertex m ay not be unique for a given toric diagram. The results will be reported elsewhere [37].

2 Nekrasov's partition function and the degeneracy of BPS states

The -background of Nekrasov has two parameters ($_1$; $_2$) and introduces physically a constant graviphoton eld of N = 2 supergravity in four dimensions ¹. The condition $_1 + _2 = 0$ implies that the graviphoton background is self-dual and thus satis es the BPS condition. A constant self-dual graviphoton background plays quite a signi cant role in the space-time interpretation of topological string amplitudes and it is natural that we can relate the Nekrasov's partition function to topological string amplitudes, when $_1 + _2 = 0$. It gives an A-m odel amplitude that only depends on the K ahler parameters and is invariant under the deform ation of complex structure of the target.

In a general -background, where we have no longer $_1 + _2 = 0$, the background breaks the BPS condition. But due to their topological nature, we expect that the instanton am plitudes in four dimensional gauge theory are unalected by the deformations. From the view point of topological A-model, one may worry about the fact that the independence of the am plitudes under the deformation is not protected by supersymmetry. However, as has been pointed out in [33], when we consider non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds², there are no (well-de ned) deformations of complex structure and we do not have to mind the jump of the spectrum of the BPS states. In other words it is not

¹ In fact this point becomes more clear, if the theory is lifted to ve dimensions [38].

²This is the case in the geom etric engineering of four dimensional gauge thoery.

required to take a supersymmetric trace with respect to the spin contents of SU $(2)_{\rm R}$ action.

2.1 Modulispace of D 2-branes

Let us review the relation of (generalized) G opakum ar-Vafa invariants³ and the Lefschetz action on the cohom ology of the m oduli space M^{f} of D 2-branes w rapping on the holom orphic two-cycle 2 H₂ (X;Z). The cohom ology classes in H (M^{f}) are in one to one correspondence with the BPS states in a ve dimensional theory obtained by a compacti cation of M -theory on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold X. These BPS states are labeled by the representation of the spacial rotation group SO (4) ' SU (2)_L SU (2)_R in ve dimensions and the degeneracy of the BPS states can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}; 0 \quad 2(0; 0) \qquad N^{(j_{\rm L}; j_{\rm R})} [(j_{\rm L}; j_{\rm R})]: \qquad (2.1)$$

Since the BPS state preserves half the supersymmetry, we always have the structure of 2(0;0) . The integers N $^{(j_{\rm L}\,;j_{\rm R}\,)}$ denote the number of the half hypermultiplet $\frac{1}{2}$;0 BPS states with the central charge of the hom ology class and SU $(2)_{L}$ $SU(2)_{R}$ spin $(j_{L}; j_{R})$. The moduli space of D 2-branes consists of the deformation of the holom orphic cycle in X together with the moduli of at (= stable) U (1) bundle over it. Thus we have :M! M , where the base M is the moduli space of the two-cycle a bration without a choice of at bundle. If the two-cycle is generically the Riem ann surface of genus g, then the generic ber is T^{2g}, the Jacobian variety of the Riem ann surface. Both МŦ and M are K ahler m anifolds and we have the Lefschetz action on the cohom ology group de ned by the multiplication of a Kahler form. It has been argued that the SU (2) L spin is identiaed with the Lefschetz decomposition along the ber direction (a relative Lefschetz action) and the SU $(2)_R$ corresponds to the action on the base space [34] [35]. Thus we have the following decomposition of the cohomology of the moduli space of D2

³For recent developm ents in G opakum ar-Vafa invariants, see [39] [40] [41].

branes;

$$H (f) = N^{(j_1; j_2)} (j_1^{fiber}; j_2^{base})^{i} : (2.2)$$

In particular this identication implies that the SU (2) $_{\rm R}\,$ spin contents with the highest SU (2) $_{\rm L}\,$ spin are given by the Lefschetz decomposition of the cohomology of the base space M $\,$.

We thus expect the Nekrasov's partition function in a general background should be related to the SU (2)_L SU (2)_R spin contents of BPS states obtained by wrapping M 2 branes on a supersymmetric (= holom orphic) two-cycle. It is a very hard mathematical problem to work out such a Lefschetz decomposition of H (\mathbb{A}^{f}) in general. Fortunately we have some information on this issue for local $F_0 = P^1 - P^1$, to which the SU (2) pure Yang-M ills theory is expected to correspond. In the following we will obtain a few predictions on the Lefschetz decomposition from the Nekrasov's partition function for SU (2) Yang-M ills theory and show that they are consistent with the known results on the moduli space of D 2 branes on local F_0 .

In the space-tim e interpretation of topological string am plitudes the free energy $F = \log Z = \int_{g=0}^{P} g_s^{2g-2} F_g$ is obtained by summing over the contribution of the BPS particle spectrum with multiplicities N^(j_L;j_R) to the low energy elective action. We thus obtain in general

$$F (q;t;Q) = \frac{X \quad X^{i} \quad X}{2H_{2}(X;Z)^{n=1}j_{L};j_{R}} \frac{N^{(j_{L};j_{R})}}{n (q^{n=2} \quad q^{n=2}) (t^{n=2} \quad t^{n=2})}$$

$$(qt)^{n \quad t^{j}} + + \eta (qt^{j}) \quad (q=t)^{n \quad t^{j}} + + (q=t^{j}) \quad Q^{n}; (2.3)$$

where we have introduced a constant graviphoton background with $F_{12} = {}_1; F_{34} = {}_2$ and put $t = e^1; q = e^2$. The rst sum mation is over the second hom ology classes of the target space X with the integer coe cients. In the BPS state counting the two cycle

should be supersymmetric, that means it is holomorphic. Hence only non-negative part contributes to the sum over $H_2(X;Z)$. $Q = e^t$ and $t = \frac{R}{!}$, with ! being a Kahler form of the target space, namely t is the Kahler parameter associated with the two cycle. The summation over n accounts for the multi-covering. Since the multiplicity N $(j_L; j_R)$

is independent of n, we can compute it by extracting the n = 1 term from the sum of the multi-covering. It is convenient to make a change of variables; q = uv; t = u=v. Then the free energy is

$$F (q;t;Q) = \frac{X \quad X \quad X^{d}}{2H_{2}(X;Z) j_{L}; j_{R} n=1} \frac{N^{(j_{L};j_{R})} u^{n} v^{n}}{n (u^{n} v^{n} \quad 1) (u^{n} \quad v^{n})}$$

$$\frac{u^{2n \ j+1} \quad u^{2n \ j-1}}{u \quad u^{1}} \quad \frac{v^{2n \ j+1} \quad v^{2n \ j-1}}{v \quad v^{1}} \quad Q^{n} : \quad (2.4)$$

Note that

$$_{n}(q) \coloneqq q^{n} + q^{n-2} + + q^{n+2} + q^{n} = \frac{q^{n+1} - q^{n-1}}{q - q^{1}};$$
 (2.5)

is the character of the irreducible representation of SU (2) with spin n=2.

2.2 SU (2)_L SU (2)_R decomposition

In [42] [43] [27] the Nekrasov's partition function for SU (N $_{\rm c}$) Yang-M ills theory in a general background is written in the following form;

$$Z(_{1};_{2}; a;) = \begin{array}{c} X & \frac{1}{2}Y_{j} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}Y_{j} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}Y_{j} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}Y_{j} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}Y_{j} \\ \frac{1}{2}Y_{j$$

where

$$n_{i}^{!} = Y_{i_{1}} (s_{1}) + (a_{1}) (s_{2}) + 1 + (a_{2}) (s_{2}) + (a_{2})$$

We follow the notations in [27]. The right hand side of (2.6) is a summation over N_c -tuples of Young diagrams $\dot{Y} = (Y_1; Y_2; N_i; Y \text{ or colored partitions}^4$. The total number of boxes of \dot{Y} is denoted by \dot{j} j and identied with the instanton number. Y_Y (s) and a_Y (s) are the leg-length and the arm-length of the Young diagram (see Appendix A.1). In deriving (2.6) an equivariant integration over the (framed) instanton moduli space

⁴ In this paper we often identify Young diagrams, partitions and representations of general linear group.

M_{ADHM} has to be computed and the localization principle is employed. The N_c-tuples of Young diagrams $\stackrel{!}{Y}$ are in one to one correspondence with the xed points of the toric action on M_{ADHM}. The denominator $\stackrel{Q}{}$, $n_{;}^{\stackrel{!}{Y}}$ is the product of the eigenvalues (weights) of the toric action at the xed point $\stackrel{!}{Y}$. Note that the number of the factors in $\stackrel{Q}{}$, $n_{;}^{\stackrel{!}{Y}}$ is $2kN_c$ and this is nothing but the (com plex) dimensions of M_{ADHM}.

Introducing the notations $t := e^1$; $q := e^2$ and $Q := e^a^a$, we consider the following ve dimensional lift;

$$N_{i}^{!} = \int_{s2Y}^{Y} 1 t_{i}^{'_{Y}(s)} q_{i}^{(a_{Y}(s)+1)} Q = \int_{t2Y}^{Y} 1 t_{i}^{'_{Y}(t)+1} q_{i}^{a_{Y}(t)} Q : (2.8)$$

More explicitly for SU (2) case $(Y_1 = (Y_1; Y_2) \text{ and } Q = Q_{12}^{-1})$; we have

$$N_{i}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})} = N_{11}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})} N_{22}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})} N_{12}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})} N_{21}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})} N_{21}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})} N_{21}^{(Y_{1};Y_{2})}$$

$$= \frac{Y}{1 + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},S)} q^{(a_{Y_{1}}(S)+1)} 1 + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},S)+1} q^{a_{Y_{1}}(S)}$$

$$= \frac{Y}{1 + t_{Y_{2}}^{(Y_{2},C)} q^{(a_{Y_{2}}(t)+1)} 1 + t_{Y_{2}}^{(Y_{2},C)+1} q^{a_{Y_{2}}(t)}$$

$$= \frac{t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{2},Y_{2})} 1 + t_{Y_{2}}^{(Y_{2},C)} q^{(a_{Y_{1}}(S)+1)} Q^{-1} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)+1} q^{a_{Y_{2}}(t)} Q^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{2},Y_{2})} 1 + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{2},S)} q^{(a_{Y_{1}}(S)+1)} Q^{-1} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)+1} q^{a_{Y_{2}}(t)} Q^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{2},Y_{2})} 1 + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{2},S)} q^{(a_{Y_{2}}(S)+1)} Q^{-1} + t_{Y_{2}}^{(Y_{1},C)+1} q^{a_{Y_{1}}(t)} Q^{-1} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)+1} q^{a_{Y_{1}}(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)} + t_{Y_{1}}^{(Y_{1},C)}$$

W e note the following symmetry;

Y
$$N_{i}^{(Y_{1},Y_{2})}(q;t;Q) = Y N_{i}^{(Y_{1}^{t},Y_{2}^{t})}(t^{1};q^{1};Q);$$
 (2.10)

where Y^{t} m eans the transpose of the Young diagram. Since these appear in pairs in the sum with a xed instanton number, the nalexpression should be symmetric under (q;t) \$ $(t^{-1};q^{-1})$ and Q \$ Q^{-1} .

Let us look at examples at lower instanton numbers. At one instanton we have either $\dot{Y} = (a; a) \text{ or } \dot{Y} = (a; b)$ and the partition function is

$$z^{\text{one-inst}}(q;t;Q) = \frac{1}{(1 \quad q^{1})(1 \quad t)(1 \quad tq^{1}Q^{1})(1 \quad Q)}$$

+
$$\frac{1}{(1 - q^{-1})(1 - t)(1 - Q^{-1})(1 - tq^{-1}Q)}$$
: (2.12)

There are vepossibilities of $(Y_1; Y_2)$ for two instanton and we obtain;

$$Z^{\text{two-inst}}(q;t;Q) = \frac{1}{(1 + 1^{q} + 1)(1 + q^{-1})(1 + t^{2})(1 + t)} + \frac{1}{(1 + tq^{-1}Q^{-1})(1 + t^{2}q^{-1}Q^{-1})(1 + Q^{-1})(1 + t^{-1}Q^{-1})} + \frac{1}{(1 + tq^{-1}Q^{-1})(1 + tq^{-1}Q^{-1$$

It is convenient to use the variable $v^2 := q=t$ and rew rite the one-instanton part of the partition function as follows;

$$(q^{1=2} q^{1=2}) (t^{1=2} t^{1=2}) Z^{\text{one-inst}}(q;t;Q) = \frac{vQ}{1 Q} \frac{v^2}{1 v^2Q} + \frac{1}{1 v^2Q} : (2.14)$$

W e de ne the prepotential by

$$F \coloneqq \log Z = \log (1 + z^{\text{one-inst}} + 2 z^{\text{two-inst}} +): \quad (2.15)$$

Then we have the following expansion of the one instanton contribution to the prepotential F one-inst = z one-inst;

$$F^{\text{one-inst}}(q;t;Q) = \frac{v^{2}Q}{(q^{1-2} \quad q^{1-2})(t^{1-2} \quad t^{1-2})} X^{d} Q^{n} (v^{2k+1} + v^{2k-1})Q^{k}$$
$$= \frac{v^{2}Q}{(q^{1-2} \quad q^{1-2})(t^{1-2} \quad t^{1-2})} X^{d} X^{n} (v^{2k+1} + v^{2k-1}) Q^{n} : (2.16)$$

C om paring this expansion with the general form (2.4) of the free energy, we nd

$$N_{B+nF}^{(j_{L},j_{R})} = j_{L,0} j_{R,n+\frac{1}{2}}; \qquad (2.17)$$

and that one instanton part is saturated by the $j_L = 0$ contributions. A shas been argued in [33], this result is consistent with the geometry of the moduli space of D 2 branes. It is known that a curve of bidegree (a;b) in P¹ P¹ has total degree d = a + b and generically genus g = (a 1) (b 1). Furtherm one the moduli space of such curves (without the at bundle over them) is shown to be P^{(a+1)(b+1) 1}. When a = 1 the curve has generically genus zero and the ber is trivial, in plying there is no non-vanishing left spin. The moduli space of the curve is P²ⁿ⁺¹ and the Lefschetz decomposition of H (P²ⁿ⁺¹) gives a single multiplet of spin n + 1=2.

The prepotential of two instanton part is given by

$$F^{\text{two-inst}}(q;t;Q) = Z^{\text{two-inst}}(q;t;Q) - \frac{1}{2}(Z^{\text{one-inst}}(q;t;Q))^{2}; \qquad (2.18)$$

and the e ect of multicovering is subtracted by

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{tw} \circ -\mathsf{inst}}(q;t;Q) = \mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{tw} \circ -\mathsf{inst}}(q;t;Q) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{one-inst}}(q^2;t^2;Q^2): \qquad (2.19)$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{two-inst}}(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{v};\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}}{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v} \ 1)(\mathbf{u} \ \mathbf{v})} \frac{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{v};\mathbf{Q})}{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{v};\mathbf{Q})} \quad (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{Q})^{2}; \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$D (u;v;Q) = 1 v^{2}Q 1 v^{4}Q^{2} 1 uv^{3}Q 1 u^{1}v^{3}Q$$

$$1 v^{2}Q 1 v^{4}Q^{2} 1 uv^{3}Q 1 u^{1}v^{3}Q ; (2.21)$$

and

N
$$(q;t;Q) = Q^{3} v^{5} + v^{3} + v + v^{1} + v^{3} + v^{5} Q^{2} + Q^{2}$$

+ $v^{5} + v^{5} (v^{2} + 1 + v^{2}) (u + u^{1}) Q + Q^{1}$
+ $v^{5} v v^{1} + v^{5} (v^{2} + v^{2}) (u + u^{1}) : (2.22)$

The expansion of $\mathbb{P}^{\text{tw} \circ -\text{inst}}$ with respect to the parameter Q gives

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{two-inst}}(u;v;Q) = \frac{uv^{5}Q^{2}}{(uv \ 1)(u \ v)} \frac{1}{(u \ u^{1})(v \ v^{1})} \frac{X^{1}}{(v \ v^{1})(v \ v^{1})} GV_{2;k}Q^{k}; \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$G V_{2;k} = \begin{cases} X^{k} & {}^{k}X^{'+1} \\ U & \\ {}^{*}=1 & m=1 \end{cases} \qquad \frac{m+1}{2} \quad V_{3'+2m+1};$$
(2.24)

with $U_n = u^n \quad u^n$ and $V_n = v^n \quad v^n$. [x] stands for the integer part of x. Thus we nd the following SU (2)_L SU (2)_R spin contents of BPS particle arising from the hom ology class 2B + kF;

$$M \underset{(j_{L};j_{R})}{M} N_{2B+kF}^{(j_{L};j_{R})} (j_{L};j_{R}) = \frac{M^{k} M^{\prime+1}}{\sum_{j=1 \ m=1}^{m-1} \frac{m+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3^{\prime}+2m}{2} : (2.25)$$

For lower values of the winding number k, this form ula implies

$$k = 1: (0; \frac{5}{2})$$

$$k = 2: (\frac{1}{2}; 4) \quad (0; \frac{7}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{5}{2})$$

$$k = 3: (1; \frac{11}{2}) \quad (\frac{1}{2}; 5) \quad (\frac{1}{2}; 4) \quad 2(0; \frac{9}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{7}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{5}{2})$$

$$k = 4: (\frac{3}{2}; 7) \quad (1; \frac{13}{2}) \quad (1; \frac{11}{2}) \quad 2(\frac{1}{2}; 6) \quad (\frac{1}{2}; 5) \quad (\frac{1}{2}; 4) \quad 2(0; \frac{11}{2}) \quad 2(0; \frac{9}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{7}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{5}{2})$$

$$(2.26)$$

$$k = 4: (\frac{3}{2}; 7) \quad (1; \frac{13}{2}) \quad (1; \frac{11}{2}) \quad 2(\frac{1}{2}; 6) \quad (\frac{1}{2}; 5) \quad (\frac{1}{2}; 4) \quad 2(0; \frac{11}{2}) \quad 2(0; \frac{9}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{7}{2}) \quad (0; \frac{5}{2})$$

From the form ula (2.25) we not the spin content with the highest left spin is ((k = 1)=2; (3k + 2)=2) for degree k. Again this is consistent with the moduli space of D 2 branes. The genus of the curves with bidegree (2;k) is generically k = 1 and the moduli space is P^{-3k+2}. Thus the generic ber is T^{-2k-2} and the highest left spin is (k = 1)=2. The right spin contents with this highest left spin agrees with the result from the fact the it is identieed with the Leftschetz decomposition of M = $_{2B+kF} = P^{-3k+2}$. The subleading terms in the spin decomposition are supposed to come from the degeneration of two cycles to the curve of lower genus. It is an interesting challenge in mathematics to clarify it together with the description of the moduli space of at line bundles over degenerate curves. At three instanton level the spin contents will get more complicated. We have checked that the leading spin content for the class 3B + kF is (0;7=2); (1;11=2); (2;15=2); , which agree to those expected from the geometry of D-brane moduli space.

3 Equivariant genus of Hilbⁿ C²: Rank one case

In the last section we have seen that the Nekrasov's partition function for SU (2) gauge theory carries the information of the rened BPS state counting in the topological string am plitude on local P¹ P¹. It strongly suggests the existence of two parameter generalization of topological vertex. In this section we consider U (1) gauge theory with a massive adjoint hypermultiplet and make an attempt at constructing such a two parameter generalization in terms of the Macdonald function, which is a two parameter generalization of the Schur function. If the Nekrasov's partition function is specialized to the rank one case, it gives the generating function of the character of the equivariant cohom ology of the Hilbert scheme Hilbⁿ C² of n points on C². In [33] it has been argued that we and six dimensional lifts of the abelian gauge theory with a massive adjoint matter compute the y genus and the elliptic genus of Hilbⁿ C², respectively and that a diagrammatic computation in terms of topological vertex is presented. Let us brie y review their method in we dimensional theory.

3.1 y genus | Five dim ensional theory

Based on the web-diagram of Fig. 1, we can write down the partition function in terms of topological vertex $C_{R_1R_2R_3}$ (q) that has a parameter $q = e^{-ig_s}$ (g_s is the string coupling constant). It is given by

$$Z (T;T_{m};q) = \sum_{R}^{X} e^{T (j^{R})} (1)^{j^{R}} Z_{R} (T_{m};q); \qquad (3.1)$$

$$Z_{R} (T_{m};q) = \bigwedge_{R_{m}}^{A} e^{T_{m} j^{R_{m}} j} (1)^{j^{R_{m}}} C_{R_{m}^{t}R} (q) C_{R_{m}R^{t}} (q); \qquad (3.2)$$

where the two K ahler parameters T and T_m are related to the coupling constant of the gauge theory and the mass of the adjoint hypermultipet as follows;

$$Q \coloneqq e^{2i} = e^{T T_m}; \qquad Q_m \coloneqq e^{Tm} = e^{T_m}: \qquad (3.3)$$

The parameter r is the radius of S^1 of the flh dimension. We have identied the representations R and R_m assigned to the (internal) edges with the partitions R and

 $^{R_{\rm m}}$, respectively. j $^{\rm R}$ jand j $^{R_{\rm m}}$ jdenote the num ber of boxes of the corresponding Y oung diagram s.



Figure 1: G com etric engineering of ve dim ensional U (1) theory with adjoint hypermultiplet. H orizontal external lines are identied to make a D 5-brane wrapping on a circle, The (vertical) distance of the horizontal lines is identied with the mass of the adjoint matter

U sing the expression of the topological vertex $C_{R_1R_2R_3}$ (q) in terms of the Schur function s_R (x) and the Cauchy formula;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & Y \\ & s_{R} & (x) s_{R^{t}} (y) = & (1 + x_{i} y_{j}) ; \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$$
(3.4)

we obtain

$$Z_{R} (T_{m};q) = s_{R} (q) s_{R^{t}} (q) \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 1 & Q_{m} q^{\frac{R}{1} + \frac{R^{t}}{j} i j+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

= $s_{R} (q) s_{R^{t}} (q) \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Y' \\ 1 & Q_{m} q^{k} \end{pmatrix}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ 1 & Q_{m} q^{h(i;j)} \end{pmatrix} (1 & Q_{m} q^{h(i;j)}) (1 & Q_{m} q^{h(i;j)}) ; (3.5)$

where h(i; j) is the hook length at the box (i; j) in the Young diagram of ^R. Substituting the specialization of the Schur function;

$$s_{R} (q) s_{R^{t}} (q) = Q \frac{q^{(i_{j})^{2} R} h (i_{j})}{(i_{j})^{2} R} (1 - q^{h (i_{j})})^{2} ;$$
(3.6)

we nally nd

$$Z = \sum_{k=1}^{Y} (1 \quad Q_{m} q^{k})^{k} \sum_{R} Q^{j^{R}j} \frac{Y}{(i;j)2^{R}} \frac{(1 \quad Q_{m} q^{h}(i;j))(1 \quad Q_{m} q^{h}(i;j))}{(1 \quad q^{h}(i;j))(1 \quad q^{h}(i;j))}$$
(3.7)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{Y} (1 - Q_{m} q^{k})^{k} \sum_{R} Q^{j^{R} j} \frac{Y}{(i;j)2^{R}} \frac{(1 - Q_{m} q^{h} (i;j)) (1 - Q_{m}^{1} q^{h} (i;j))}{(1 - q^{h} (i;j))^{2}}; \quad (3.8)$$

with $Q = QQ_m$.

The generating function of the equivariant y genera of H ilbⁿ C² is given by [44]; X^{4} $X = X = \frac{1}{2} \frac{$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{X} Q^{n} + \text{ib}^{n} C^{2} \quad (t;q) = \sum_{s2}^{X} Q^{jj} \frac{(1 + yt^{-(s)}q^{a(s)-1})(1 + yt^{-(s)+1}q^{a(s)})}{(1 + t^{-(s)}q^{a(s)-1})(1 + t^{-(s)+1}q^{a(s)})}; \quad (3.9)$$

where $t = t_1$ and $q^{-1} = t_2$ are equivariant parameters of the toric action. When q = t this generating function agrees with the instanton part of the partition function $(3.8)^5$, if we identify $y = Q_m$. In the decoupling limit of the adjoint matter m ! 1, the expression is reduced to the generating function of the equivariant Euler character $_0$;

$$X^{i}_{n=0} Q^{n}_{0} \text{ Hilb}^{n} C^{2} \text{ (t;q)} = X_{2^{jj}} Y_{3^{i}} \frac{1}{(1 + t^{(s)}q^{a(s)-1})(1 + t^{(s)+1}q^{a(s)})};$$
$$= \exp \frac{X^{i}_{n=1}}{\frac{Q^{n}}{n(1 + t^{n})(1 - q^{n})}} : (3.10)$$

W e note that this is identied as the partition function of the abelian gauge theory that is given by the formula (2.8) if we (formally) extend it to U (1) case.

3.2 Two param eter generalization

W e will show that the full generating function (3.9) is obtained by topological vertex computation, if we use a two parameter generalization of topological vertex $C_{R_1R_2R_3}$ (q;t) in terms of the M acdonald function P_R (x;q;t). We introduce the re-ned topological vertex vertex

$$C_{R_{1}R_{2}R_{3}}(q;t) \coloneqq t^{n(R_{3}^{t})}q^{n(R_{3})}P_{R_{2}}(t;q;t) \overset{X}{\underset{R}{\overset{R}{=}}} P_{R_{1}=R}(q^{+R_{2}}t^{+};q;t)P_{R_{3}=R}(q^{-R_{2}}t;q;t);$$
(3.11)

 $^{^{5}}$ The rst factor in (3.8) is the perturbative contribution.

and its conjugate

$$C^{R_1R_2R_3}(q;t) \coloneqq C_{R_1R_2R_3}(t;q);$$
 (3.12)

where we have exchanged q and t to de ne the conjugate vertex. We need two types of topological vertices related by the exchange of q and t and w ill use low er and upper indices to distinguish them. We nd it convenient to use a renormalized (skew) M acdonald function $\mathbb{P}_{R=S}$ (x;q;t) de ned as follows; Let us introduce the normalization factor

$$f_{R}^{2}(q;t) = b_{R}(q;t) \coloneqq \frac{Y}{s^{2}} = \frac{1 - q^{a(s)}t^{(s)+1}}{1 - q^{a(s)+1}t^{(s)}} :$$
(3.13)

W e note the relation

$$f_{R}(q;t)f_{R^{t}}(t;q) = 1$$
: (3.14)

Then the renorm alized skew M acdonald function is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{R=S}(x;q;t) \coloneqq f_{R}(q;t)f_{S}^{-1}(q;t)\mathbb{P}_{R=S}(x;q;t): \qquad (3.15)$$

In (3.11) the notation on the second M acdonald function means the involution on the symmetric functions defined by $(g_1) = p_n$ for the power sum function $p_n(x) = {\stackrel{P}{}}_i x_i^n$. Note that the set of the power sum functions $fp_n(x)g_{n-0}$ generates the ring of symmetric functions. Finally q t stands for the specialization with $x_i = q^{i}t^{i+1=2}$. One may notice that the rst index R_1 is distinguished from other indices and we cannot prove the cyclic symmetry of our topological vertex. To construct a refined vertex with nice symmetry is an open problem.

W hen q = t, the (renorm alized) M acdonald function is reduced to the Schur function $s_R(x)$. Hence, the re ned vertex (3.11) becomes

$$C_{R_{1}R_{2}R_{3}}(\mathbf{q}) = C^{R_{1}R_{2}R_{3}}(\mathbf{q})$$

= $q^{n(R_{3}) - n(R_{3}^{t})} s_{R_{2}}(\mathbf{q}) X_{R_{1}=R_{1}}(\mathbf{q}^{+R_{2}+}) s_{R_{3}=R_{2}}(\mathbf{q}^{-R_{2}}) : (3.16)$

U sing the form ula of analytic continuation for the specialization of the Schur function;

$$s_{R=Q} (q^{\tau_{+}}) = s_{R=Q} (q);$$
 (3.17)

and the relation () = 2(n(t) n()), we see that (3.16) agrees with the topological vertex in terms of the skew Schur functions [14];

$$C_{R_{1}R_{2}R_{3}}(q) = q^{(R_{3})=2} s_{R_{2}}(q) \sum_{R}^{X} s_{R_{1}=R}(q)^{R_{2}^{t}} s_{R_{3}=R}(q)^{R_{2}} (q) (3.18)$$

W ith the re ned topological vertex (3.11) and the same web diagram as before, we have the topological partition function

$$Z (Q; Q_{m}; q; t) = \begin{cases} X \\ Q \end{pmatrix}^{j^{R}j} (Q_{m})^{j^{Rm}j} C_{RR_{m}} (q; t) C^{R^{t}R_{m}^{t}} (q; t) \\ = \begin{cases} X^{R^{R^{m}}} \\ X \\ Q \end{pmatrix}^{j^{R}j} P_{R} (t; q; t) P_{R^{t}} (q; t; q) \\ R_{R} \\ Q_{m} \end{pmatrix}^{j^{Rm}j} P_{R_{m}^{t}} (q^{R}t; q; t) P_{R_{m}} (t^{R^{t}}q; t; q); (3.19)$$

where we have used $\mathbb{P}(x;q;t)\mathbb{P}(y;t;q) = \mathbb{P}(x;q;t)\mathbb{P}(y;t;q)$. Since we can take the rst index to be trivial, we can avoid the problem of asymmetry mentioned above in this case. By the specialization formula in Appendix B.3 we have

$$P (t ;q;t) = Q \frac{t^{j \neq 2+n()}}{s^{2} (1 q^{a(s)}t^{(s)+1})};$$

$$P_{t}(q ;t;q) = Q \frac{q^{j \neq 2+n(^{t})}}{s^{2} (1 t^{(s)}q^{a(s)+1})};$$

$$= \frac{(1)^{j j}q^{j \neq 2+n(^{t})}}{t^{n()}q^{n(^{t})+j j}s^{2} s^{2} (1 t^{(s)}q^{a(s)-1})};$$
(3.20)

Hence we obtain

$$X = \frac{X}{R} Q^{j^{R}j} \frac{t}{q} \int_{S2^{R}}^{y^{R}j} \frac{t}{q} \int_{S2^{R}}^{y^{R}j^{R}} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{a(s)}t^{'(s)+1})(1 - t^{'(s)}q^{-a(s)-1})} : (3.21)$$

W e next invoke the C auchy form ula to obtain

$$X_{R_{m}} (Q_{m})^{j^{R}} P_{R_{m}^{t}} (q^{R}t;q;t) P_{R_{m}} (t^{R^{t}}q;t;q) = Y_{i;j 1} 1 Q_{m}q^{\frac{R+j}{i} \frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{R^{t}+j}{j} \frac{1}{2}} (3.22)$$

Combining two propositions in Appendix A 2, we can derive

$$X = q^{a} (s)t^{(s)+1} + X = q^{a} (s)^{-1}t^{(s)} = X = q^{-i}t^{-\frac{t}{j}} - 1 t^{i}q^{j-1} : (3.23)$$

The equation (3.23) with = implies

Combining all these results we have the generating function (3.9) of the $_y$ genus with the identi cation y = Q $_m$ $\frac{g}{t}^{1=2}$.

3.3 Elliptic genus | Six dim ensional theory

A coording to the web-diagram (Fig. 2) of the six dimensional theory, the topological partition function is

$$Z (Q; Q_{v}; Q_{m}; q; t) = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ (Q)^{j^{R}j} (Q_{v})^{j^{R}vj} (Q_{m})^{j^{R}m} \dot{C}_{R_{v}RR_{m}} (q; t) C^{R_{v}^{t}R_{m}^{t}} (q; t) \\ = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ X \end{pmatrix}^{j^{R}} \dot{P}_{R} (t; q; t) P_{R^{t}} (q; t; q) Z_{R} (Q_{v}; Q_{m}; q; t); \\ R \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.25)

where

$$Z_{R} (Q_{v}; Q_{m}; q; t) = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ R_{v}; R_{m} \end{pmatrix} (Q_{v})^{j^{R_{v}}j} (Q_{m})^{j^{R_{m}}j} \begin{pmatrix} P_{R_{v}=T_{1}} (q^{R}t; q; t) P_{R_{m}^{t}=T_{1}} (q^{R}t; q; t) \end{pmatrix} (q^{R}t; q; t) P_{R_{m}^{t}=T_{1}} (q^{R}t; q; t) P_{1} (q^{R}t; q; t) P_{1} (q^{R}t; q; t) P_{1}$$

W e note that the prefactor t ${}^{n(\mathbb{R}_{3}^{t})}q^{n(\mathbb{R}_{3})}$ in our de nition (3.11) of the re ned topological vertex plays no role here. W e expect this factor is in portant when we consider the web diagram with non-trivial fram ing.



Figure 2: Geometric engineering of six dimensionalU (1) theory with adjoint hypermultiplet. In addition to the horizontal external lines the vertical external lines (NS 5-branes) are identied.

In the following computation of Z_R , we will repeatedly employ the Cauchy formula for the skew M acdonald function;

$$X \qquad X \qquad F_{R=R_{1}}(x;q;t)F_{R=R_{2}}(y;q;t) = (x;y;q;t) \qquad F_{R_{2}=S}(x;q;t)F_{R_{1}=S}(y;q;t); (3.27)$$

$$X^{R} \qquad F_{R=R_{1}}(x;q;t)F_{R^{t}=R_{2}^{t}}(y;t;q) = {}_{0}(x;y) \qquad X^{S} \qquad F_{R_{2}=S}(x;q;t)F_{R_{1}^{t}=S^{t}}(y;t;q); (3.28)$$

$$R \qquad S$$

where

$$(x;y;q;t) \coloneqq \frac{Y}{_{i;j \ 1}} \frac{(tx_{i}y_{j};q)_{1}}{(x_{i}y_{j};q)_{1}} = \exp \begin{bmatrix} X^{i} & \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n} p_{n}(x) p_{n}(y) ; \\ & &$$

Recall the denition of the involution ; (p) = p_n . Looking at (3.29) and (3.30), we see the following Cauchy formula with involution;

Now we are ready for computing Z_R . By the Cauchy formula, we have

Our rule of analytic continuation explained in Appendix A 2 in plies

$$(q t;q t ;q;t) = _{0}(t^{t}q^{\frac{1}{2}};q t^{+\frac{1}{2}})$$
$$= _{0}(q t^{+\frac{1}{2}}; t^{t}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}): \qquad (3.34)$$

Hence we obtain

$$Z_{R} = \frac{Y}{\underset{\substack{i;j \ 1 \\ X \\ (Q_{v})^{j} \stackrel{s_{1}}{=} j} {\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ Q_{v}Q_{m}q^{\frac{R}{i}} \\ j + \frac{1}{2}t^{\frac{R^{t}}{j}} \\ \frac{1}{2}t^{\frac{R^{t}}{j$$

Here we notice that the sum over the representations is the same as before except that the arguments of \mathbb{P}^2 and \mathbb{P}^2 are multiplied by Q_v and Q_m , respectively. Thus we can repeat the same procedure of using the Cauchy formula. By iteration we nally obtain

$$Z_{R} = \frac{Y^{k} Y}{k = 1 \text{ i}; j \ 1} \frac{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k-1} q^{\frac{R}{i}} \frac{j + \frac{1}{2} t^{\frac{R^{t}}{j}} \frac{i + \frac{1}{2}}{2}}{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{\frac{R}{i} + j} \frac{1}{2} t^{\frac{R^{t} + i}{2}} \frac{1}{2} t^{\frac{R^{t} + i}{2}}}{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{\frac{R}{i}} \frac{j t^{\frac{R^{t}}{j}} t^{\frac{R^{t}}{j}} \frac{i + 1}{2}}{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{\frac{R}{i} + j} t^{\frac{R^{t} + i}{2}}} :$$

$$(3.36)$$

The formula (3.23) with =

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ q^{a(s)}t^{(s)+1} + q^{a(s)-1}t^{(s)} = \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ q^{i}t^{j} & 1 & t^{i}q^{j-1} \end{array} ; \quad (3.37) \\ \end{array}$$

$$q^{a(s)}t^{(s)-1} + q^{a(s)+1}t^{(s)} = q^{i}t^{\frac{t}{j}} + 1 t^{i}q^{j+1};$$

$$q^{a(s)}t^{(s)-1} + q^{a(s)+1}t^{(s)} = q^{i}t^{\frac{t}{j}} + 1 t^{i}q^{\frac{t}{j}} + 1 t^{i}q^{\frac{t}{j}};$$

$$q^{a(s)}t^{(s)-1} + q^{a(s)+1}t^{(s)} = q^{i}t^{\frac{t}{j}} + 1 t^{i}q^{\frac{t}{j}};$$

$$q^{a(s)}t^{(s)-1} + q^{a(s)+1}t^{(s)} + q^$$

allows us to convert the in nite product over two indices i; j into the nite product over the boxes of the Young diagram;

$$Z_{R} = Z_{perturb} \frac{Y^{k} Y}{k = 1 s2^{R}} \frac{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k-1} \quad \frac{t}{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)-1} \quad 1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k-1} \quad \frac{t}{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{a(s)+1} t^{(s)}}{(1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)-1} t^{(s)}) (1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)+1})} \frac{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)+1}}{(1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)-1} t^{(s)}) (1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)+1})} \frac{1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)+1} \quad 1 \quad Q_{v}^{k-1} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)-1} t^{(s)}}{(1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)+1} t^{(s)}) (1 \quad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)-1})};$$
(3.39)

where

$$Z_{\text{perturb}} = \frac{Y^{i} Y}{k=1 \text{ i} \text{ i} \text{ j} 1} \frac{1 \qquad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k-1} q^{-j+\frac{1}{2}} t^{-i+\frac{1}{2}} \qquad 1 \qquad Q_{v}^{k-1} Q_{m}^{k} q^{j-\frac{1}{2}} t^{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 \qquad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{-j} t^{-i+1}) (1 \qquad Q_{v}^{k} Q_{m}^{k} q^{j} t^{i-1})} \qquad (3.40)$$

and we have neglected the factor $Q_{k=1}^{1} (1 Q_{v}^{k}Q_{m}^{k})^{-1}$ that is independent of q and t. Combined with the contribution of (3.21), the instanton part of Z is identified as follows;

$$Z (Q; y; p; q; t) = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ R \end{pmatrix} Q^{j^{R}j} \frac{t}{q} \begin{pmatrix} j^{R} j = 2 & Y & Y \\ R \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k^{R} p^{k} p^{1} q^{a(s)} t^{(s)} 1}{(1 p^{k} 1 q^{a(s)} 1 t^{(s)})} \frac{1}{(1 p^{k} 1 q^{a(s)} 1 t^{(s)})} \frac{1}{(1 p^{k} 1 q^{a(s)} 1 t^{(s)})} \frac{1}{(1 p^{k} q^{(s)} 1 t^{(s)})} \frac{1}{(1 p^{k$$

where $p \coloneqq Q_v Q_m$ and $y \coloneqq Q_m \quad \frac{q}{t} \stackrel{\frac{1}{2}}{\cdot}$. We can see the nalresult agrees to the generating function of the elliptic genus of H ilbⁿ C² given in [44] with $t = t_1^{-1}$ and $q = t_2$.

W e would like to thank T.Eguchi, S.Hosono, Y.Konishi, H.Nakajima, N.Nekrasov, A O kounkov, Y.Tachikawa and Jian Zhou for helpful discussions. Research of H A. and H K. is supported in part by the G rant-in A id for Scientic Research (No. 13135212 and No.14570073) from Japan M inistry of Education, Culture and Sports.

Appendix A : Form ula for Partition

A.1 A m -length, leg length and related quantities

For each square s = (i; j) in the Young diagram of a partition f_{ig} , we de ne

$$a(s) = i j;$$
 ' $(s) = -i i; a^{0}(s) = j 1;$ ' $(s) = i 1;$ (A.1)

where $_{j}$ denotes the conjugate (dual) diagram. They are called an -length, leg-length, arm -colength and leg-colength, respectively. The hook length h (s) and the content c(s) at s are given by

h (s) = a (s) + ' (s) + 1;
$$c(s) = a^{0}(s)$$
 '⁰(s) : (A 2)

W e also need the following integer

n() :=
$$\begin{pmatrix} X & X^{i} \\ & & & \\ s^{2} & & & \\ s^{2} & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

Sim ilarly we have

$$n(-) = \begin{cases} X & X \\ a^{0}(s) = \\ s^{2} & s^{2} \end{cases} a (s) :$$
 (A.4)

They are related to the integer () as follows;

$$() = 2 \qquad (j \quad i) = 2 (n (-) \quad n ()) = j \quad j + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{i}} (i \quad 2i) :$$
 (A.5)

A.2 Combinatorial identities

Next we will prove two useful propositions. First, we have

Lem m a 1. For all integers N ()

$$(1 \quad q) \quad X \quad q^{j \quad 1} t^{j + 1} = X^{N} \quad 1 \quad q^{j \quad t^{j + 1}}; \qquad (A.6)$$

The form er in this lemma is nothing but $P_{j=1}q^{j-1} = (1 q) = (1 q)$. The proof of the latter is similar to that in [30].

When jj < 1, the last term of (A.7) vanishes as N tends to in nity. Using this formula and that replacing q, t and with 1=q, 1=t and , respectively, we obtain P roposition 1.

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ q^{a} {}^{(s)}t^{*} {}^{(s)+1} + \\ s^{2} \\ s^{2} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} q^{a} {}^{(s)}{}^{1}t^{*} {}^{(s)} = \frac{t}{1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{X^{i}}{q_{ij=1}} \\ q^{i} \\ q^{i} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ t^{j} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} t^{j} \\ t^{j} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (A.8) \\ \end{array}$$

By the exchange (;)!(-;-) and (q;t)!(t;q), we obtain the transposed version;

$$X = q^{a (s)+1}t^{(s)} + X = q^{a (s)}t^{(s)-1} = \frac{q-1}{1-t}X^{i} = \frac{q-1}{1-t} t^{i} = \frac{q-1}{1-t} t^{i} = \frac{q-1}{1-t}X^{i} = \frac{q-1}{1-t}X^{i}$$

In this formula we are aware of the problem of the domain of the convergence of the geometric series in t. We understand that the geometric series is computed in an appropriate domain in the complex t-plane and then analytically continued to the whole plane as rational function with a pole at t = 1 (and q = 1).

Next, sim ilar to (A.6), we have

Lem m a 2. For all integers N () and M $_1$,

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{i}t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} + q^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{N}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{i-\frac{1}{2}} = q^{M} t^{N} :$$
 (A.10)

W here `() is the length of the partition , which is the non-zero num bers of parts i's. W hen jqj jt ¹j < 1, the right hand side of (A.11) vanishes as N and M tends to in nity. Hence we obtain

Proposition 2.

(t 1)
$$\begin{array}{c} X^{i} \\ = 1 \end{array} q^{i}t^{i} = (q^{1} \quad 1) \\ = 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X^{i} \\ t \quad \overline{} q^{i} \end{array} (A 11)$$

Taking (q;t) ! (q¹;t¹) we have the analytically continued version;

$$(t^{1} \quad 1) \prod_{i=1}^{X^{i}} q^{i}t^{i} = (q \quad 1) \prod_{i=1}^{X^{i}} t^{i}q^{i}:$$
(A.12)

W hen q = t these propositions become

$$X = q^{a (s)+, (s)+1} + X = q^{a (s), (s)-1} = X = q^{i (j+j)i} q^{ji}; \quad (A.13)$$

$$(q \ 1) X q^{i} = (q^{1} \ 1) q^{i} = (q^{1} \ 1) (q^{i} = (q^{1} \ 1)) q^{i} = (q^{1} \ 1) q^{i} = (q^$$

The last equality can be rewritten as

$$X^{1} \qquad q^{i} \xrightarrow{i+\frac{1}{2}} = X^{1} \qquad q^{i+i\frac{1}{2}}; \qquad X^{1} \qquad X^{1} \qquad X^{1} \qquad q^{i+\frac{1}{2}} = Q^{i\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (A.16)$$

$$i=1 \qquad i=1 \qquad i=1$$

This form ula may be regarded as our rule of analytic continuation.

By using these propositions 1 and 2, one can derive the follow ing relations, respectively

$$\frac{Y}{_{(i;j)2}} \frac{1}{1 \quad Q q^{i} \quad jt^{\frac{1}{j}} \quad t^{\frac{1}{j}}} \frac{Y}{_{(i;j)2}} \frac{1}{1 \quad Q q^{i+j} \quad t^{\frac{1}{j}+i}} = \frac{(Q t; t)}{(Q q t; q t)}; \quad Q 2 C$$
(A 17)

$$Qqt;qt = \begin{cases} 8 & 1 \\ & 0 & 0 & \frac{t}{q} & \frac{1}{2} & q;qt; \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ &$$

W here (x;y) and $_{0}(x;y)$ are the C auchy kernel and its conjugate in (B 20) and (B 21), respectively. These represent the equivalence between several expressions of the N ekrasov formula in [26] and [27].

Appendix B : Form ula for the M acdonald Sym m etric Function

In this appendix we recapitulate basic properties of the M acdonald symmetric function [30].

B.1 De nition for the M acdonald Symmetric Function

There are various bases of the ring of sym m etric functions in in nite num ber of variables $x = (x_1; x_2;)$, for example, the monom ial sym m etric function, the power-sum sym - m etric function and so on. They are indexed by the Young diagram, i.e., the partition

= (1; 2;), which is a sequence of non-negative integers such that $_{i+1}$ and $j = P_{i-1} < 1$. The monom ial symmetric function m (x) is dened by

m (x) =
$$X_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)}$$
; (B.1)

where the sum m ation is over all distinct permutations of $(_1;_2;)$.

The power-sum symmetric function p (x) is de ned by

$$p(x) = p_1(x)p_2(x)$$
; $n(x) = X_1^{i}$
 $i = 1$ (B.2)

W e introduce an inner-product on the ring of sym m etric functions in the follow ing m anner; for any sym m etric functions f and g,

$$hf(p);g(p)i_{q,t} \coloneqq f(p)g(p)j_{constant part}; \quad p_n \coloneqq n\frac{1}{1}\frac{q^n}{t^n}\frac{Q}{Qp_n}; \quad (B.3)$$

or equivalently

hp; p
$$i_{q;t} = ;$$
 $r^{m_r}m_r! = \frac{1}{1 + 1} \frac{1 + q^{i}}{1 + t^{i}};$ $r = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2});$ (B.4)

with m_r # fij i = rg.

The M acdonald symmetric function P = P (x;q;t) is uniquely specified by the following orthogonality and normalization,

$$hP ; P i_{qt} = 0 \quad \text{if } \notin ; \tag{B.5}$$

$$P = m + u m ; u 2 Q (q;t):$$
 (B.6)

Here we used the dom in ance partial ordering on the Young diagram s de ned as , j j = j j and $_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ for all i. The rst few examples are

The M acdonald symmetric function contains several important symmetric functions as a case of special values of q and t. For example,

(i) P (x;q;q) = s (x); the Schur function.

(ii) P (x;0;t) = P (x;t); the Hall-Littlewood function.

(iii) $\lim_{q! 1} P(x;q;q) = P^{(1=)}(x)$; the Jack symmetric function.

(iv) P(x;q;1) = m(x); the monom ial symmetric function.

(v) P $(x;1;t) = e_{-}(x)$; the elementary symmetric function.

The scalar-product is given by

$$hP P i_{q,t} = \frac{Y}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{q^{a(s)+1}t^{'(s)}}{1}; \qquad (B.8)$$

which satis es

$$h P P i_{q,t} h P - P - i_{t,q} = 1;$$
(B.9)

$$\frac{(q=t)^{\frac{j}{2}}}{hP \ P \ i_{qt}} = \frac{(t=q)^{\frac{j}{2}}}{hP \ P \ P \ i_{q^{-1}t^{-1}}};$$
(B.10)

Let \mathbb{P} (x;q;t) be the norm alized M acdonald function

$$\mathbb{P}(x;q;t) \coloneqq \frac{1}{hP \ P \ i_{qt}^{\frac{1}{2}}} P \ (x;q;t); \tag{B.11}$$

so that the scalar product is norm alized as $h^{pc} \stackrel{1}{
m pc} i_{qt} = 1$.

The normalized skew-M accound symmetric function $\mathbb{P}_{=}(x;q;t)$ is dened by

where is acted on the power-sum as $p_n := n \frac{1}{1} \frac{q^n}{t^n} \frac{\theta}{\theta p_n}$. The relation with the usual skew-M acdonald function $P_{=}(x;q;t)$ is

$$\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{x};q;t) \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{h} \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{\hat{\mathcal{P}}} \quad \mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}_{q;t}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathbf{h} \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{\hat{\mathcal{P}}} \quad \mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}_{q;t}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{x};q;t):$$
(B.13)

Finally let $\mathbb{P}_{=}$ (x;q;t) be the skew-M accound function with the involution acting on the power-sum p_n as $(p_n) = p_n$.

B.2 Symmetries and Cauchy Formulas

The skew-M acdonald symmetric function enjoys the following symmetries

$$\mathbf{F} = (Q x;q;t) = Q^{jjjj}\mathbf{F} = (x;q;t); \quad (B.14)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{=} x; q^{1}; t^{1} = \frac{q}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{P}_{=} (x; q; t); \qquad (B.15)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{-=-}(x;t;q) = !_{q,t}\mathbf{P}_{=-}(x;q;t); \tag{B.16}$$

with the endmorphism $!_{qt}$ such that

Х

$$!_{q,t}(p_n) = (1)^n \frac{1}{1} \frac{q^n}{1} p_n$$
: (B.17)

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}_{=} (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}_{-=-}(y;t;q) = \mathbb{P}_{=} (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}_{-=-}(y;t;q): \qquad (B.18)$$

In the t = q case, the Schur function satis es also

$$s_{x}(x) = s(x) = (1)^{jj} s(x)$$
: (B.19)

The following Cauchy form ula is especially in portant;

$$P^{e}(x;q;t)P^{e}(y;q;t) = (x;y);$$

$$= \frac{Y Y}{k} \frac{1}{1} \frac{tx_{i}y_{j}q^{k}}{1 x_{i}y_{j}q^{k}}; \quad jqj < 1;$$

$$= \exp \sum_{n>0}^{X} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1} \frac{t^{n}}{q^{n}} p_{n}(x) p_{n}(y) : \quad (B 20)$$

By acting on the variables y w ith the endmorphism $!_{gt} w e get$

X
P (x;q;t)P _ (y;t;q) = _0 (x;y);

$$= \frac{Y}{(1 + x_i y_j);}$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{X}{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} p_n (x) p_n (y) \right) :$$
(B.21)

If we act with the involution , then (x;y) and $_0(x;y)$ are mapped to their inverse,

X

$$P(x;q;t) P(y;q;t) = (x;y)^{-1};$$
 (B 22)

X

$$P^{2}(x;q;t) P^{2}(y;t;q) = _{0}(x;y)^{-1}$$
: (B 23)

The Cauchy form ulas for the skew -M acdonald function are

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}^{2} = (y;q;t) = (x;y) \\ X \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}^{2} = (y;t;q) = (x;y) \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}^{2} = (y;t;q) = (x;y) \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t) : (B 25) \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t) : (B 25) \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t)\mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t) : (B 25) \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} = (x;q;t$$

U sing this form ula successively, we obtain the following trace form ula P roposition. For
$$j_{2}j_{2}j_{3}<1$$
,

$$X = a^{j j} b^{j j} \mathbf{P} = (x;q;t) \mathbf{P} = (y;q;t) \mathbf{P} = (z;q;t) \mathbf{P} = (w;q;t)$$

;;; Y = (a k b x; y) (a k b z; w) (a k b 1 x; w) (a k b 2; y) (1 a k b 1) : (B 26)
_{k>0}

B.3 Specialization Form ulas

Here we give the specialization formulas for the special variables. In the case of $t_j > 1$, one can set the variables x to the principal specialization x = t that stands for $x_i = t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ⁱ. The following are the formulas for the principal specialization [30]

$$P (t;q;t) = \begin{cases} Y & \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{a(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)}t^{(s)+1}}; \end{cases} P (t;q;t) = \begin{cases} Y & \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)}t^{(s)+1}}; t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \end{cases}$$

$$P (t ; q;t) = \begin{cases} Y \\ s_2 \end{cases} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{'(s)}}{1 \quad q^{a(s)}t^{'(s)+1}}; \qquad P (t ; q;t) = \begin{cases} Y \\ s_2 \end{cases} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{a(s)}}{1 \quad q^{a(s)}t^{'(s)+1}}; \quad tj < 1: \end{cases}$$
(B 27)

$$P_{-}(q;t;q) = \begin{cases} Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{a(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ P_{-}(q;t;q) = \begin{cases} Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{a(s)} & 1t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}{1 & q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{(s)}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 & q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ Y & Y & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By using the formula of the analytic continuation (A .11), we have \mbox{P} roposition .

$$\mathbf{P}_{-=-} \mathbf{t}^{-}\mathbf{q} ; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{q} = (1)^{jjjj} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{t}} \frac{\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}}{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{P}_{=} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{t} ; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \quad \mathbf{j} \mathbf{q}^{-1} \mathbf{j}; \mathbf{t}^{-1} \mathbf{j} < 1;$$
(B 29)

Here q t stands for $x_i = q^i t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ i. In the principal case

$$\mathbb{P}_{-}(q;t;q) = \mathbb{P}_{t}(q;t;q) = t;q;t(1)^{jj} - \frac{q}{t} - \frac{jj}{2}; \qquad (B.30)$$

$$= P^{2} (t;q;t) (1)^{jj} \frac{q}{t} \frac{\frac{jj}{2}}{t};$$
 (B.31)

=
$$\mathbf{P}$$
 (t;q;t) $\frac{q}{t} \frac{\frac{j}{2}Y}{q} q^{a(s)}t^{(s)}$: (B.32)

References

- R.Gopakum ar and C.Vafa, Topological gravity as large N topological gauge theory, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 2 (1998) 413, hep-th/9802016.
- R.Gopakum ar and C.Vafa, On the gauge theory/geom etry correspondence, Adv. Theor.M ath.Phys. 3 (1999) 1415, hep-th/9811131.
- [3] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, M atrix m odels, topological strings and supersymmetric gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 644 (2002) 3, hep-th/0206255; On geometry and matrix m odels, Nucl. Phys. B 644 (2002) 21, hep-th/0207106; A perturbative window into non-perturbative physics, hep-th/0208048.

- [4] A. Iqbal, All Genus Topological Amplitudes and 5-brane W ebs as Feynm an D iagram s, hep-th/0207114.
- [5] D.E.Diaconescu, B.Florea and A.Grassi, Geometric Transitions and Open String Instantons, hep-th/0205234; Geometric Transitions, del Pezzo surfaces and Open String Instantons, hep-th/0206163.
- [6] M.Aganagic, M.Marino and C.Vafa, AllLoop Topological String Amplitudes From Chem-Sim ons Theory, hep-th/0206164.
- [7] M.Aganagic, A.K lemm, M.Marino and C.Vafa, The Topological Vertex, Commun.
 Math.Phys. 254 (2005) 425, hep-th/0305132.
- [8] Jun Li, Chiu-Chu M elissa Liu, K efeng Liu and Jian Zhou, A m athem atical theory of the topological vertex, math.AG/0408426.
- [9] A. Iqbal and A.-K. Kashani-Poor, Instanton Counting and Chem-Simons Theory, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 7 (2004) 457, hep-th/0212279.
- [10] A. Iqbal and A.-K. Kashani-Poor, SU (N) Geometries and Topological String Am plitudes, hep-th/0306032.
- [11] T.Eguchiand H.Kanno, Topological Strings and Nekrasov's Form ulas, JHEP 0312 (2003) 006, hep-th/0310235.
- [12] T.Eguchiand H.Kanno, Geometric transitions, Chem-Sim onstheory and Veneziano type am plitudes, Phys. Lett. B 585 (2004) 163, hep-th/0312234.
- [13] Jian Zhou, Curve Counting and Instanton Counting, math.AG/0311237.
- [14] A.O kounkov, N.R eshetikhin and C.Vafa, Quantum Calabi-Yau and Classical Crystals, hep-th/0309208.
- [15] A. Iqbal, N. Nekrasov, A. O kounkov and C. Vafa, Quantum form and topological strings, hep-th/0312022.

- [16] D.Maulik, N.Nekrasov, A.Okounkov and R.Pandharipande, Grom ov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thom as theory, math.AG/0312059.
- [17] D.Maulik, N.Nekrasov, A.Okounkov and R.Pandharipande, Grom ov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thom as theory II, math.AG/0406092.
- [18] R.Dijkgraaf, S.Gukov, A.Neitzke and C.Vafa, Topological M-theory as uni cation of form theories of gravity, hep-th/0411073.
- [19] N.Nekrasov, Z-theory: chasing m /ftheory, hep-th/0412021.
- [20] N. Nekrasov, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, S-duality and topological strings, hep-th/0403167.
- [21] A.Kapustin, Gauge theory, topological strings and S-duality hep-th/0404041.
- [22] N.Dorey, T.J.Hollowood, V.V. Khoze and M.P.M. attis, The Calculus of M any Instantons, Phys. Rept. 371 (2002) 231, hep-th/0206063.
- [23] D.Bellisai, F.Fucito, A.Tanzini and G.Travaglini, Instanton Calculas, Topological Field Theories and N = 2 Super Yang-M ills Theories, JHEP 0007 (2000) 017, hep-th/0003272; F.Fucito, J.F. Morales and A.Tanzini, D -instanton probes of N = 2 non-conform algeometries, JHEP 0107 (2001) 012, hep-th/0106061.
- [24] T.J.Hollowood, Calculating the Prepotential by Localization on the Moduli Space of Instantons, JHEP 0203 (2002) 038, hep-th/0201075; Testing Seiberg-W itten Theory to All Orders in the Instanton Expansion, Nucl. Phys. B 639 (2002) 66, hep-th/0202197.
- [25] N. Nekrasov, Seiberg-W itten Prepotential from Instanton Counting, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 7 (2004) 831, hep-th/0206161.
- [26] N.Nekrasov and A.O kounkov, Seiberg-W itten Prepotential and Random Partitions, hep-th/0306238.

[27] H. Nakajim a and K. Yoshioka, Instanton Counting on Blowup I, math.AG/0306198.

- [28] H. Nakajim a and K. Yoshioka, Lectures on Instanton Counting, math.AG/0311058.
- [29] H R M orton and S G Lukac, The HOM FLY polynom islofthe D ecorated HopfLink, math.GT/0108011.
- [30] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hallpolynomials, Second Edition (1995),Oxford University Press.
- [31] R.Gopakum ar and C.Vafa, M theory and Topological Strings I, hep-th/9809187.
- [32] R.Gopakum ar and C.Vafa, M theory and Topological Strings II, hep-th/9812127.
- [33] T. Hollowood, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, Matrix Models, Geometric Engineering and Elliptic Genera, hep-th/0310272.
- [34] S.Katz, A.Klemm and C.Vafa, M-theory, topological strings and spinning black holes, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 3 (1999) 1445, hep-th/9910181.
- [35] S. Hosono, M. H. Saito and A. Takahashi, Holomorphic Anomaly Equation and BPS State counting of Rational Elliptic Surface, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999) 177, hep-th/9901151. Relative Lefschetz action and BPS state counting, math.AG/0105148.
- [36] S.Gukov, A.Schwarz and C.Vafa, Khovanov-Rozansky homology and topological strings, hep-th/0412243.
- [37] H.Awata and H.Kanno, in preparation.
- [38] Y. Tachikawa, Five-dimensional Chem-Simons terms and Nekrasov's instanton counting, JHEP 0402 (2004) 050, hep-th/0401184.
- [39] Pan Peng, A Simple Proof of Gopakum ar-Vafa Conjecture for Local Toric Calabi-Yau Manifolds, math.AG/0410540.

[40] Y.Konishi, Pole Structure and Gopakum ar-Vafa Conjecture, math.AG/0411357.

- [41] A. Schwarz and I. Shapiro, Remarks on Gopakumar-Vafa invariants, hep-th/0412119.
- [42] R.Flum e and R.Poghossian, An Algorithm for the Microscopic Evaluation of the Coe cients of the Seiberg-W itten Prepotential, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18 (2003) 2541, hep-th/0208176.
- [43] U.Bruzzo, F.Fucito, J.F.M orales and A.Tanzini, Multi-Instanton Calculus and Equivariant Cohomology, JHEP 0305 (2003) 054, hep-th/0211108.
- [44] Jun Li, Kefeng Liu and Jian Zhou, Topological string partition functions as equivariant indices, math.AG/0412089.