# DENSITY MATRIX OF A FINITE SUB-CHAIN OF THE HEISENBERG ANTI-FERROMAGNET 

H. BOOS, M. JIMBO, T. MIWA, F. SMIRNOV AND Y. TAKEYAMA


#### Abstract

We consider a finite sub-chain on an interval of the infinite XXX model in the ground state. The density matrix for such a subsystem was described in our previous works for the model with inhomogeneous spectral parameters. In the present paper, we give a compact formula for the physically interesting case of the homogeneous model.


## 1. Introduction

The present paper continues the study of correlation functions for integrable spin chains launched in [1, 2, 3, 3. In our previous works, we found an exact expression without involving integrals for the density matrix of a finite sub-chain of the infinite XXX, XXZ and XYZ chains in the ground state. More precisely, we treated inhomogeneous models in which each site carries an independent spectral parameter. The problem of finding a compact form for the answer in the physically important homogeneous case remained open. This is exactly the problem which we solve in the present paper. We shall consider the simplest case of the XXX model.

Consider the isotropic Heisenberg antiferromagnet with the Hamiltonian

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sigma_{i}^{1} \sigma_{i+1}^{1}+\sigma_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i+1}^{2}+\sigma_{i}^{3} \sigma_{i+1}^{3}\right)
$$

Take a finite sub-chain consisting of sites $i=1, \cdots, n$. The density matrix $\rho_{n}$ for this sub-chain in the infinite environment is an operator acting on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\otimes n}$. Its matrix elements are given by the ground state average

$$
\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{\bar{\epsilon}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\epsilon}_{n}}^{\epsilon_{1}, \cdots, \epsilon_{n}}=\langle\operatorname{vac}|\left(E_{\epsilon_{1}}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{1}}\right)_{1} \cdots\left(E_{\epsilon_{n}}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{n}}\right)_{n}|\operatorname{vac}\rangle .
$$

Here $|\mathrm{vac}\rangle$ is the anti-ferromagnetic ground state, $\epsilon_{j}, \bar{\epsilon}_{j}=+,-$, and $\left(E_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\epsilon}}\right)_{i}$ signifies the matrix unit $\left(\delta_{a \epsilon} \delta_{b \bar{\epsilon}}\right)_{a, b=+,-}$ acting on the $i$-th tensor component. It is important to consider a vector $h_{n}$ belonging to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\otimes 2 n}$ instead of the matrix $\rho_{n}$ acting in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\otimes n}$. The vector $h_{n}$ is given by:

$$
h_{n}^{\epsilon_{1}, \cdots, \epsilon_{n}, \bar{\epsilon}_{n}, \cdots, \bar{\epsilon}_{1}}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(-\bar{\epsilon}_{j}\right) \cdot\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{\bar{\epsilon}_{1}, \cdots, \cdots, \bar{\epsilon}_{n}}^{-\epsilon_{1}, \cdots,-\epsilon_{n}} .
$$
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In the sequel we refer to the tensor components of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\otimes 2 n}$ by the indices $1, \cdots, n, \bar{n}, \cdots, \overline{1}$, read from left to right. The main result of our previous papers can be formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}=e^{\Omega_{n}} \mathbf{s}_{n} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{s}_{n}=\prod s_{j, \bar{j}}$ and $s_{j, \bar{j}}$ signifies the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-singlet $\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{+} \otimes v_{-}-v_{-} \otimes v_{+}\right)$in the tensor product of two spaces $j, \bar{j}, v_{+}, v_{-}$being the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The operator $\Omega_{n}$ will be defined later (see (2.5)). It satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the series for the exponential (1.1) terminates.

## 2. Inhomogeneous case

Let us introduce inhomogeneity parameters $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ to the corresponding sites of the lattice (see [1] for more details). Then the operator $\Omega_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ becomes dependent on these parameters. We define this operator following our previous works, but we shall slightly change the notation.

Let $\left\{S_{a}\right\}_{a=1}^{3}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ satisfying $\left[S_{a}, S_{b}\right]=2 i \epsilon_{a b c} S_{c}$. Following [4] define the $L$-operator which belongs to $U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right) \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda)=\frac{\rho(\lambda, d)}{\lambda+\frac{d}{2}} L^{(0)}(\lambda), \quad L^{(0)}(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{3} S_{a} \otimes \sigma^{a} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is related to the Casimir operator as $\sum_{a=1}^{3} S_{a}^{2}=d^{2}-1$, and

$$
\rho(\lambda, d)=-\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{d}{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)} .
$$

In this normalization we have the unitarity and crossing symmetry in the form

$$
L(\lambda) L(-\lambda)=1, \quad \sigma^{2}(L(\lambda))^{t} \sigma^{2}=-L(-\lambda-1)
$$

We shall consider tensor products of several spaces $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. In that case the index $i$ in $L_{i}(\lambda)$ denotes the tensor component as usual. In what follows the function $\rho(\lambda, d)$ always comes in the combination

$$
\frac{\rho(\lambda, d)}{\lambda+\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\rho(\lambda-1, d)}{\lambda+\frac{d}{2}-1}=-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}-\frac{d^{2}}{4}},
$$

so the $\Gamma$-functions will never really appear.
We shall also use the ordinary $4 \times 4 R$-matrix obtained as the image of the $L$ operator (2.1) in the 2-dimensional representation of $U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$. When acting in tensor product of two spaces $i, j$, it will be denoted by $R_{i, j}(\lambda)$. We denote the corresponding factor by

$$
\rho(\lambda):=\rho(\lambda, 2)
$$

Let us explain the results of the papers [1, 2] in the setting of the XXX model. First, introduce the operator
$T_{n}^{[1]}\left(\lambda ; \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right):=L_{\overline{2}}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{2}-1\right) \cdots L_{\bar{n}}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}-1\right) L_{n}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right) \cdots L_{2}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{2}\right)$.

Notice that in this product the sites $1, \overline{1}$ are omitted.
In the paper [1], we discussed in detail the linear functional on $U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ called $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}$. Denote by $\varpi_{d}$ the irreducible $d$-dimensional representation of $U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$. By definition, the map $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}: U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ associates with each $A \in U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ a unique polynomial $\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(A)$ of $\lambda$, such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}(A)=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^{d}} \varpi_{d}(A)$ holds for any positive integer $d$. Some of its main properties are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(A B)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(B A) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}\left(\left(\sum_{a=1}^{3} S_{a}^{2}\right) A\right)=\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda}(A) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{t S^{3}}\right)=\frac{\sinh t \lambda}{\sinh t}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the present paper we shall also use the linear functional

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}}: U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}\right]
$$

defined by

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}}\left(A_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{k}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right) .
$$

The main ingredient of our construction is the operator
(2.2) $X_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)_{1, \cdots, n, \bar{n}, \cdots, \overline{1}}:=(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda}\left(T_{n}^{[1]}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda}{2}\right)\right) P_{1, \overline{2}} \mathcal{P}_{1, \overline{1}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{2, \overline{2}}^{-}$,
where $P_{i, j}$ is the permutation and $\mathcal{P}_{i, j}^{-}=\left(1-P_{i, j}\right) / 2$ is the skew-symmetriser. Define further

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)=-4 \omega\left(\lambda_{i, j}\right) X_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right), \\
& X_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \times X_{n}\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}, \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \widehat{\lambda}_{i}, \cdots, \widehat{\lambda}_{j}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)_{i, j, 1 \cdots \hat{i} \cdots \cdots \cdots n, \bar{j}, \cdots \hat{\bar{j}} \cdots \hat{\bar{i}} \cdots \overline{1}, \bar{j}, i} \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \\
& :=R_{i, i-1}\left(\lambda_{i, i-1}\right) \cdots R_{i, 1}\left(\lambda_{i, 1}\right) \cdot R_{\overline{i-1}, \bar{i}}\left(\lambda_{i-1, i}\right) \cdots R_{\overline{1}, \bar{i}}\left(\lambda_{1, i}\right) \\
& \times R_{j, j-1}\left(\lambda_{j, j-1}\right) \cdots R_{j, i+1}\left(\lambda_{j, i+1}\right) \cdot R_{j, i-1}\left(\lambda_{j, i-1}\right) \cdots R_{j, 1}\left(\lambda_{j, 1}\right) \\
& \times R_{\overline{j-1}, \bar{j}}\left(\lambda_{j-1, j}\right) \cdots R_{\overline{i+1}, \bar{j}}\left(\lambda_{i+1, j}\right) \cdot R_{\overline{i-1, \bar{j}}}\left(\lambda_{i-1, j}\right) \cdots R_{\overline{1}, \bar{j}}\left(\lambda_{1, j}\right), \\
& \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \\
& :=R_{\bar{n}, \bar{i}}\left(\lambda_{n, i}\right) \cdots R_{\overline{j+1, \bar{i}}}\left(\lambda_{j+1, i}\right) \cdot R_{\overline{j-1}, \bar{i}}\left(\lambda_{j-1, i}\right) \cdots R_{\overline{i+1,}, \bar{i}}\left(\lambda_{i+1, i}\right) \\
& \times R_{i, n}\left(\lambda_{i, n}\right) \cdots R_{i, j+1}\left(\lambda_{i, j+1}\right) \cdot R_{i, j-1}\left(\lambda_{i, j-1}\right) \cdots R_{i, i+1}\left(\lambda_{i, i+1}\right) \\
& \times R_{\bar{n}, \bar{j}}\left(\lambda_{n, j}\right) \cdots R_{\overline{j+1}, \bar{j}}\left(\lambda_{j+1, j}\right) \cdot R_{j, n}\left(\lambda_{j, n}\right) \cdots R_{j, j+1}\left(\lambda_{j, j+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\omega(\lambda)=\frac{d}{d \lambda} \log \rho(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)} .
$$

The definition of $\Omega^{(i, j)}$ differs from the one used in [2, 3] ${ }^{1}$ by the second product of $R$-matrices, but the final formula (1.1) remains unaltered by this modification.

The operators $\Omega_{n}^{(i, j)}$ possess a number of properties the most important among which are

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\Omega_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right), \Omega_{n}^{(k, l)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)\right]=0}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \Omega_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \Omega_{n}^{(k, l)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { if }\{i, j\} \cap\{k, l\} \neq \emptyset \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

As a function of $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}, \Omega_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ is meromorphic. All poles are simple and located at $\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}=\lambda_{l}$ and $\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}=\lambda_{l} \pm 1$ for $l \neq i, j$ (which are due respectively to $X^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ ), and to the $R$-matrices) and $\lambda_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ (due to $\omega\left(\lambda_{i, j}\right)$ ). We have

$$
h_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)=e^{\Omega_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)} \mathbf{s}_{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \Omega_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties (2.3), (2.4) guarantee the nilpotency (1.2).

## 3. Homogeneous case

Our goal is to obtain the homogeneous limit $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n}=0$. In the original formula (2.5), this problem is very complicated: the singularities on the diagonal $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{j}$ are present in every term of (2.5). Although these poles are absent in the sum itself, it is technically difficult to explicitly carry through the cancellation and obtain the final answer.

So, we need to rewrite the formula for $\Omega_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ in such a way that taking the homogeneous limit is easier. To this end, let us write first of all another formula for $X_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$.

Denote by $\varpi_{\lambda}$ the $\lambda$-dimensional irreducible representation. We use only the fact that the Casimir element reduces to $\lambda^{2}-1$, and hence the following computation makes sense for non-integer $\lambda$ as well [1].

Notice that

$$
P_{a}^{+}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \varpi_{\lambda}\left(L_{a}^{(0)}(\lambda / 2)\right), \quad P_{a}^{-}(\lambda)=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \varpi_{\lambda}\left(L_{a}^{(0)}(-\lambda / 2)\right)
$$

[^0]are orthogonal projectors. Consider now $X_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$. Using the formula $P_{1, \overline{2}} \mathcal{P}_{1, \overline{1}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{2, \overline{2}}^{-}=$ $\mathcal{P}_{1,2}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}}^{-} P_{1, \overline{2}}$, the definition of the $L$-operator and the crossing-symmetry, one finds
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{2}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{2}\right) L_{1}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2,1}}{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{1,2}^{-} & =-\varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{2}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{2}\right) L_{2}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{2}-1\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{1,2}^{-} \\
& =-\left(\lambda_{1,2}-1\right) \varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{2}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{1,2}^{-} \\
\varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{\overline{1}}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2,1}}{2}-1\right) L_{\overline{2}}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{2}-1\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}}^{-} & =-\varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{\overline{1}}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2,1}}{2}-1\right) L_{\overline{1}}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2,1}}{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}}^{-} \\
& =\left(\lambda_{1,2}+1\right) \varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{\overline{1}}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2,1}}{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}}^{-} \\
& =-\left(\lambda_{1,2}+1\right) \varpi_{\lambda_{1,2}}\left(L_{\overline{2}}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,2}}{2}-1\right)\right) \mathcal{P}_{\overline{1}, \overline{2}}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Now it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& =(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{res}_{\mu_{1}=\lambda_{1}} \operatorname{res}_{\mu_{2}=\lambda_{2}} \frac{\mu_{1,2}}{\mu_{1,2}^{2}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu_{1,2}}\left(T_{n}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)\right) P_{1, \overline{2}} \mathcal{P}_{1, \overline{1}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{2, \overline{2}}^{-}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{n}(\lambda)$ is the complete monodromy matrix:

$$
T_{n}\left(\lambda ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=L_{\overline{1}}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}-1\right) \cdots L_{\bar{n}}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}-1\right) L_{n}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right) \cdots L_{1}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right) .
$$

Using the Yang-Baxter equation one finds the following formula for $X_{n}^{(i, j)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{res}_{\mu_{1}=\lambda_{i}} \operatorname{res}_{\mu_{2}=\lambda_{j}} \frac{\mu_{1,2}}{\mu_{1,2}^{2}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu_{1,2}}\left(T_{n}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) P_{i, \bar{j}} \mathcal{P}_{i, \bar{i}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{j, \bar{j}}^{-} \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By a straightforward computation one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) P_{i, \bar{j}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{i, \bar{i}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{j, \bar{j}}^{-} \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{n}^{(i, j)}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{2,2}\left(T_{n}\left(\lambda_{i} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \otimes T_{n}\left(\lambda_{j} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the skew-symmetriser $\mathcal{P}^{-}$acts on the auxiliary space $\mathbb{C}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Notice that $\operatorname{Tr}_{2,2}\left(T_{n}\left(\mu_{1} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \otimes T_{n}\left(\mu_{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-}\right)$is actually symmetric with respect to $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ due to the relation

$$
\left[R(\mu), \mathcal{P}^{-}\right]=0
$$

Obviously, the formula for $\Omega_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ can be rewritten now as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)= & \frac{(-1)^{n}}{2} \iint \frac{d \mu_{1}}{2 \pi i} \frac{d \mu_{2}}{2 \pi i} \omega\left(\mu_{1,2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu_{1,2}}\left(T_{n}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \times \operatorname{Tr}_{2,2}\left(T_{n}\left(\mu_{1} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \otimes T_{n}\left(\mu_{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right) \cdot B\left(\mu_{1,2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
B\left(\mu_{1,2}\right)=\frac{2 \mu_{1,2}}{\mu_{1,2}^{2}-1} \mathcal{P}^{-}
$$

the contours of integration encircle the poles $\mu_{1}=\lambda_{j}, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{j}$ for $j=1, \cdots, n$. The great advantage of this formula is that it allows to take the homogeneous limit $\lambda_{j}=0$. In the next formula we write $\Omega_{n}$ for $\Omega_{n}(0, \cdots, 0)$, etc..

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega_{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{2} \iint \frac{d \mu_{1}}{2 \pi i} \frac{d \mu_{2}}{2 \pi i} \omega\left(\mu_{1,2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu_{1,2}}\left(T_{n}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{2}\right)\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\times \operatorname{Tr}_{2,2}\left(T_{n}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \otimes T_{n}\left(\mu_{2}\right) \cdot B\left(\mu_{1,2}\right)\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where the integrals are taken around $\mu_{i}=0$.
Formulas (3.2), (3.3) are the main results of the present paper. Let us discuss them briefly.

First we note that the integrand of (3.3) has a pole of order $n$ at $\mu_{j}=0$. By evaluating residues, $\Omega_{n}$ becomes a linear combination of the Taylor coefficients of $\omega(\lambda)$ with $j \leq n$, given explicitly by

$$
\omega(\lambda)-\frac{1}{2\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}=2\left(\log 2+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{a}(2 k+1) \lambda^{2 k}\right)
$$

Here $\zeta_{a}(s)=\left(1-2^{1-s}\right) \zeta(s), \zeta(s)$ denoting the Riemann zeta function. This settles the conjecture of [5, 6] which states that any correlation function of the XXX model can be written as a polynomial of $\log 2$ and $\zeta(3), \zeta(5), \cdots$ with rational coefficients.

Second, formula (3.3) may open up a way for studying the large-distance limit. Also it would be very interesting to see if it helps for the investigation of the limit to continuous field theory. We hope to return to these problems as well as the extension to the XXZ and XYZ cases in future publications.

Acknowledgments. Research of HB is supported by the RFFI grant \#04-01-00352. Research of MJ is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research B2-16340033. Research of TM is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research A1-13304010. Research of FS is supported by INTAS grant \#03-51-3350 and by EC networks "EUCLID", contract number HPRN-CT-2002-00325 and "ENIGMA", contract number MRTN-CT-2004-5652. Research of YT is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 17740089. This work was also supported by the grant of 21st Century COE Program at RIMS, Kyoto University.

HB is grateful to F. Göhmann, A. Klümper and J. Suzuki for discussions.

## References

[1] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov and Y. Takeyama, A recursion formula for the correlation functions of an inhomogeneous XXX model, Algebra and Analysis 17 (2005), 115-159.
[2] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov and Y. Takeyama, Reduced qKZ equation and correlation functions of the XXZ model, Commun. Math. Phys. 261 (2006), 245276.
[3] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov and Y. Takeyama, Traces on the Sklyanin algebra and correlation functions of the eight-vertex model, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. 38 (2005), 7629-7659.
[4] P.P. Kulish, N.Yu. Reshetikhin and E.K. Sklyanin, Yang-Baxter equation and representation theory. I, Lett. Math. Phys. 5 (1981), 393-403.
[5] H. Boos and V. Korepin, Quantum spin chains and Riemann zeta functions with odd arguments, J. Phys. A34 (2001), 5311-5316.
[6] H. Boos, V. Korepin and F. Smirnov, Emptiness formation probability and quantum Knizhnik-Zamlodchikov equation, Nucl. Phys. B 658(2003), 417-439.

HB: Physics Department, University of Wuppertal, D-42097, Wuppertal, GerMANY $^{2}$

E-mail address: boos@physik.uni-wuppertal.de
MJ: Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: jimbomic@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp
TM: Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto UniverSIty, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

E-mail address: tetsuji@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp
FS ${ }^{3}$ : Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour $161^{\text {er }}$ étage, 4 Place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

E-mail address: smirnov@lpthe.jussieu.fr
YT: Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Tsukuba University, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

E-mail address: takeyama@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See eq.(12.1) in [2]. We have also used the fact that, in the notation there, $L_{2}\left(\lambda_{1,2} / 2\right) L_{\overline{2}}\left(\lambda_{1,2} / 2-\right.$ 1) $\mathcal{P}_{2, \overline{2}}^{-}=0$ inside the trace and $P_{2, \overline{2}} s_{1, \overline{2}} s_{\overline{1}, 2} \circ{ }_{n-2} \Pi_{n}=P_{1, \overline{2}} \mathcal{P}_{1, \overline{1}}^{-} \mathcal{P}_{2, \overline{2}}^{-}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ on leave of absence from Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, MSU, 119992, Moscow, Russia
    ${ }^{3}$ Membre du CNRS

