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#### Abstract

This work contains a set of lectures on defect structures, $m$ ainly in $m$ odels described by scalar elds in diverse dim ensions.


## I. INTRODUCTION

This w ork describes a set of lectures on D efect Structures in Field Theory. A lthough we focus m ainly on issues conceming scalar elds, we also deala little w ith other topics. W e work mainly in ( $1 ; 1$ ) dim ensions, in at space-tim e $w$ ith $m$ etric such that diag $(\quad)=(1 ; 1)$; and we set the $P$ lanck constant $\sim$ and the speed of light $c$ to unit, and we use dim ensionless elds and coordinates.

The interested reader can nd motivations and generalinvestigations in the books [1 \{3], where the authors explore several aspects of defect structures such as kinks, vortiges and m onopoles. T here is another book, Ref. [4], in which the reader $m$ ay read im portant original articles in the sub ject until 1984.
II. ONEFIELDMODELS

W e start w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where V ( ) is the potential, which speci es the m odel under consideration.
$W$ e are working in $(1 ; 1)$ dim ensions, and so the equation ofm otion which follow from the above $m$ odel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ t^{2}} \quad \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ x^{2}}+\frac{d V}{d}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the eld is constant we get $d V=d=0: H$ ow ever, for static eld con guration we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d V}{d} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are interested in solutions w ith nite energy. For the trivial constant solutions we have

$$
E_{0}={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x V^{(~)}
$$

and for static solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x} V^{2}+V() \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this last expression, the tw o term s identify the gradient and potential portions of the total energy, respectively.
$T$ he trivial constant solution is represented by $:$ It obeys $V^{0}()=0$ and we should also im pose that $V()=0$; to $m$ ake to describe a null energy solution. O $n$ the other hand, static solutions m ust obey the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x!} \frac{d}{d x}!0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x!}(x)! \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese conditions are necessary to $m$ ake both the gradient and potential energies nite, independently. This behavior can be veri ed w ith the equation ofm otion: we rew rite Eq. (3) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=p \frac{p}{2 V} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also show s that the potential for a static solution cannot be negative.
The behavior of the solution in the lim it $x!1 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be equal to the form er case, that is, ( x ! 1 ) ! ; or it m ay be di erent, giving (x ! 1 ) ! '; for' being another trivial solution that obeys $\mathrm{V}^{0}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=0$; with $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)=0$ : $T$ hese tw o possibilities can be described by the topological current

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is conserved, that is, which obeys @ $j_{T}=0$ : For static solutions the topological charge is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{x}!1) \quad \frac{1}{2}(x!1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This charge sees the asym ptotic bahavior of the static solutions, and it speci es two distinct cases: topological solutions, for which ( x ! 1 ) ( x ! 1 ); and non-topologicalsolutions, forwhich ( x ! 1 ) = ( x ! 1 ): Thus, in general we can have topological or kink-like solutions, and non-topological or lum p-like solutions. W e can also have ribbons, which are kinks em bedded in $(2 ; 1)$ dim ensions, and walls, which are kinks em bedded in $(3 ; 1)$ dim ensions.

W e notioe that there are several distinct ways to de ne the topological current, and this can be used to de ne the topological charge appropriately.

In the case of a single real scalar eld we can de ne the standard $m$ odel, which engenders spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking, w ith the potential

$$
V()=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & { }^{2} \tag{11}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

$T$ his is the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, and it is plotted in F ig. 1.
$T$ he equation ofm otion is

$$
\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ t^{2}} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ x^{2}}+2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

and for static solutions we get

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=2 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \tag{13}
\end{array}\right)
$$

W e are searching for extended but localized solutions, w ith nite energy. The equation above has tw o trivialsolutions, given by $=1$; which have zero energy and identify the classical vacua on the m odel. T here are static solutions, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\tanh (x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

They identify the kink ( + ) and antikink ( ), with center at the origin, $x=0$. In fact, the center of a static solution is arbitrary, because the $m$ odel has translational invariance.

The kink above has am plitude and width equal to unit. H ow ever, if we write the potential in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2}^{2}\left(a^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the kink would be $(x)=a \tanh (a x)$; and it would have am plitude $a$ and $w$ idth invensely proportionalto $a$ :


F IG . 1: P otential for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel

The energy for static solutions has the form

$$
E=\mathrm{Z}_{1} d x^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

where " ( $x$ ) is the energy density, which can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(x)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x}^{2}+V() \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e see that for the trivialsolution ; which satis es $V()=0$; the energy is zero. For the kink or anti-kink the energy is $E=4=3$, and the energy density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(x)=\operatorname{sech}^{4}(x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e notice that the gradient and potential portions of energy are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g}=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x \frac{d}{d x}^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
E_{p}=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x V()
$$

They vanish for the trivial solutions $=1$ : For the kink we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{p}(x)="_{g}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{4}(x) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show $s$ that $E_{g}=E_{p}$ :
W e can consider another m odel, described by the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}_{4}^{4} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is plotted in Fig. 2. W e see that this new potential is sim ilar to the form er one, but inverted; thus we name it the inverted ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel.

In this case, the equation ofm otion for static solutions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=2^{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 2: P otential for the inverted ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel.

There is a single trivial solution, $=0$; for which $V()=0$ : A nd there are static solutions, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\quad \operatorname{sech}(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are lum ps, and they have energy density in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(x)=\operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tanh ^{2}(x) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ ig. 3 we plot kink and lum p, to com pare their pro le.


F IG . 3: P lots of the solutions for kinks and lum ps.
This last result show s that the energypdensity of the lum $p$ vanishes at the origin, the center of the lum $p$, and is $m$ axim ized at the points $x=\operatorname{arctanh}(\overline{2}=2) \quad 0: 88: T$ his suggests that lum $p s m$ ay have intemal structure. In Fig. 4 we plot the energy density ofboth kink and lum p, as given by Eq. (18) and (25). T here we see that the energy density of the kink gets concentrated at the origin, but this is not the case for hum ps.

> A. BPS States

There is an altemative w ay to search for defect solutions. It is due to B ogom olnyi [5] and P rasad and Som m er eld [6]. T he point is that for non-negative potentials we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2} W^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 4: E nergy density for kinks and lum ps, depicted as solid and dashed lines, respectively.
where $W=W$ ( ) is a sm ooth function of the scalar eld, and $W=d W=d$ : In this case the equation of $m$ otion for static solutions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}=\mathrm{W} \quad W \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to see that solutions of the rst-order equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\mathrm{W} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

solve the second-order equation of m otion. T he solutions of the rst-order equations are nam ed BPS states, and it is possible to show that they are stable, $m$ in im um energy static solutions. In the present case, it is possible to show that the $m$ odel only supports BP S states $[7,8]$.

To see how the BPS states appear, let us investigate the energy of the static solutions. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z} d x \frac{d}{d x}^{2}+w^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{B P S}+\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x \quad \frac{d}{d x} \quad W^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E_{B P S}=\text { j̈ } W[(1)] W\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
 \tag{31}\\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

is the bound in energy, which is attained for solutions that obey the rst-order Eqs. (28).
$T$ he BP S states present interesting properties. First, they are solutions of rst-order equations, and their energies are easily obtained, since they only depend on the $W$ ( ); and on the asym ptotic behavior of the eld con guration. Second, the gradient and potential portions of their energies are equal, that is $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=(1=2) \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}$ : A nother fact is that the BPS states appear very naturally in the supersym $m$ etric environm ent, and there they partially preserve the supersym $m$ etry. In the presence of supersym $m$ etry, $W$ is nam ed supenpotential; see $R$ efs. [9\{14] for $m$ ore details on the subject.

There are di erent motivations to investigate models which support BPS states. In particular, in Ref. [15] one investigates elastic deform ations in liquid crystals, and there the decom position in term S of BPS states has led to $w$ ide class of solutions. A nother interesting work was recently done in supersym $m$ etric quantum m echanics [16]. In this case, it w as show n how to understand shape invariance in term s of the BP S structure of the system.

```
B . L inear Stab ility
```

Linear or classical stability of static solutions are investigated as follow s: for $=(x)$ being static solution, we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=(x)+\quad(x ; t) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x ; t)$ describes uctuations around the solution $(x): W$ e suppose that the uctuations are sm all, and we only consider linear contributions in :W e then use the above con guration and the equation ofm otion (2) to get, up to rst-order in ;

$$
\frac{\varrho^{2}}{d t^{2}} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ x^{2}}+\frac{d^{2} V}{d^{2}} \quad=0
$$

Since the static solution only depend on $x$; we can consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)={ }_{n}^{X}(x) \cos \left(w_{n} t\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the Schrodinger-like equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(x)

In the Schrodinger-like equation, we notice that the presence of negative eigenvalue transform $s$ the cos factor in (34) into a cosh, and this would violate the supposition that we are dealing with sm all uctuations. Thus, we need that the associated quantum $m$ echanicalproblem have no negative eigenvalue, to $m$ ake the solution stable.

An interesting fact is that the associated quantum $m$ echanical problem alw ays contains a zero-m ode, that is, an eigenfiunction w ith zero eigenvalue. W e prove this assertion by noting that if (x) is a static solution, we use the equation ofm otion and ${ }^{0}=\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{dx}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{d^{2} V}{d^{2}} \quad 0=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a Schrodinger-like equation w ith zero eigenvalue. Thus, we identify the zero-m ode $w$ ith the derivative of the classicalsolution itself. The presence of the zero m ode is a generalfact, which appear in decorrence of the translational invariance of the $m$ odelunder investigation [17]. For instance, for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odelthe kinks are $(x)=\quad \tanh (x): T$ hey are stable, and the zero-m odes are ${ }^{0}(x)=\operatorname{sech}^{2}(x)$; as we are going to show below. For the inverted ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, the lumps are $(x)=\operatorname{sech}(x)$ :They are unstable, with zero-m odes as ${ }^{0}(x)=\tanh (x) \operatorname{sech}(x): T$ heir instabilities appear from the fact that such zero-m odes cross zero at the origin, so they have nodes and cannot represent low est bound states [18].

In the case of the non-negative potentials described in (26), there are BPS states, which obey rst-order equations. $T$ hey are all stable, and this can be shown as follow $s$ : the $H$ am iltonian for BPS states are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+W^{2}+W \quad W \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and now we can introduce the rst-order operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{d}{d x} W \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they allow introducing the H am iltonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=S^{y} S \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be w ritten in the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}+\mathrm{W}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{~W} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $H$ am iltonians are supersym $m$ etric partners [19, 20] in quantum $m$ echanics. $N$ ow, since $H+$ is the $H$ am iltonian of equation (38), we see that it is non-negative, thus it has no negative eigenvalue, and this ensure linear stability for the BP S states. W e can also see that the zerom ode $0(x)$ obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{0}(x)}{d x}=W \quad 0(x) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(x)=A e^{R} d x w \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=(\mathrm{x})$ stands for the static solution. T he constant A is used to norm alize the wave function, but this only occurs for one of the tw o signs of the exponential. T here is another way to write the zerom ode; it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=A W \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=(x)$ is the static solution, the BP S state. The zerom ode $0(x)$ is also know $n$ as the bosonic zerom ode, since the inclusion of ferm ions in the $m$ odelw ill also lead to ferm ionic zero-m odes [21].

W e consider the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. The topologicalsolutions are $(x)=\tanh (x)$ and the potential for the corresponding quantum $m$ echanical problem has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\frac{d^{2} V}{d^{2}} \quad=4 \quad 6 \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the $m$ odi ed P oschl-Teller potential, which was investigated long ago [22, 23]. W e consider the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=a+b \tanh (x) \quad c \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$; c real and positive param eters. For $\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{c}$; the continuum spectrum presents re ecting states, for energies in betw een $\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b} e \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$; and other states for energies higher than $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ : If b is zero, the continuum does not contain re ecting states. For $\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{c}$; the discrete spectrum $m$ ay contain the zero-m ode and other states, $w$ ith positive and negative eigenvalues. In fact, the bound states present eigenvalues given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=a \quad A_{n}^{2} \quad \frac{b^{2}}{4 A^{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=\frac{r}{c+\frac{1}{4}} \text { n } \frac{1}{2} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n=0 ; 1 ;::: ;$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}<\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{c}+\frac{1}{4}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ref. [22] there is a $m$ isprint: the last term of the result for the bound states there obtained has to be m ultiplied by the factor $1=4$ :

The above results can be used to show that for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, the potential (45) leads to tw o bound states, one being the zero m ode, and the other having eigenvalue 3 : For the inverted ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, the potential for the quantum $m$ echanical problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=1 \quad 6 \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the very sam eform of the potential for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, but it plots di erently, in a w ay such that its zero m ode corresponds to the higher bound state, thus introducing a negative eigenvalue, 3; which $m$ akes the lum $p$ unstable.
C. Examples

W e now consider som e exam ples, to ilhustrate the above results. T he rst exam ple is the sine-G ordon $m$ odel, which is described by the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2}() \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we can write $W()=\cos (): T$ he rst-order equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=\sin () \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them inim a of the potential are given by $0=0 ; \quad 1=\quad$; etc. There is an in nity of topological sectors. They are all equivalent, and in the sectors $\quad 1 \$ 0$ and $0 \$ 1$ the solutions can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=2 \arctan \left(e^{x}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy is $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}=2$ :
Stability investigations lead us to the quantum $m$ echanical potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=1 \quad 2 \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has just one bound state, the zero $m$ ode, w ith nom alized eigenfunction given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(x)=\frac{r}{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sech}(x) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are other sectors, and there are other solutions; see, e.g., Ref. [1, 4].
A nother exam ple is the double sine-G ordon $m$ odel. It $m$ ay be described by the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{2}{1+r}(r+\cos ())^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r$ is a real param eter. This $m$ odel $w$ as recently investigated in [24]. It can be described by the supenpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
W()=p \frac{2}{1+r}(r+\sin ()) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e consider r $2(0 ; 1)$ and the singular points, $d W=d=0$; identify the $m$ inim a of the potential. They are periodic and, in the interval $2 \ll 2$; we can identify $=\operatorname{arcoos}(r): W e \sec$ that the $m \operatorname{axim} a$ in and the m inima in $\quad \arccos (r)$ collapse to two minima in in the lim it $r!1: T$ his fact is ilhustrated in $F$ ig. 5, where we plot the double sine-G ordon potential for the values $r=1=3 ; 2=3$ and $r=1$ :
$T$ his $m$ odel contains tw o topological sectors, one large and the other sm all, w ith energies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}^{1}=4^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1} \mathrm{r}+4 r \frac{\mathrm{arccos}(r)}{\mathrm{P}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}^{\mathrm{s}}=4^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1 \quad \mathrm{r}} \quad 4 \mathrm{r} \frac{\arccos (\mathrm{r})}{\overline{1+r}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e notioe that $E_{B P S}^{1}=E_{B P S}^{s}+4 r=\overline{1+r}$; and in the lim itr! 1 we get $E_{P B S}^{1}!2^{P} \overline{2}$ e $E_{B P S}^{s}$ ! 0 : Stable solutions which solve the rst-order equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=2 \arctan \frac{r}{\frac{1+r}{1 r}} \tanh (p \overline{1 r}) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})=\quad 2 \arctan \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{1+r}{1 r}} \tanh (\mathrm{p} \overline{1 \quad r} \mathrm{x}) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 5: Potential for the double sine-G ordon m odel, for $\mathrm{r}=1=3 ; r=2=3$; and $r=1$; depicted $w$ ith dot-dashed, dashed and solid lines, respectively.

It is interesting to see that the double sine-G ordon $m$ odelcan be used to $m$ ap speci cm agneticm aterials, like the one studied in Ref. [25]; there one identi es three distinct phases: anti-ferrom agnetic, canted, and weakly ferrom agnetic. $T$ hese phases can be described by the above $m$ odel, in the cases $r=0 ; 0<r<1$ and $r=1$; respectively. M oreover, in the canted phase, there also appear tw o distinct defect structures, the large and sm all solutions.

A nother exam ple is described by the ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. H ere the potential has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2}^{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e have $W()=(1=2)^{2} \quad(1=4)^{4}$; and the $m$ inim a are $0=0$ and $=1: T$ here are two topological sectors, equivalent. T he solutions are

$$
(x)=\quad \frac{r}{\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \tanh (x)] \tag{63}
\end{array}\right]}
$$

and they identify the kinks and antikinks in the two sectors. Their energies are given by $E=E_{\text {b Ps }}=1=4$ :
Stability leads us to the quantum $m$ echanical potentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \quad(x)=\frac{5}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} \tanh (x) \quad \frac{15}{4} \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he term $s$ which contain the hyperbolic tangent $m$ ake the potentials asym $m$ etric. $T$ his introduces re ection states into the continuum, although there is only one bound state, the zero-m ode; see $R$ ef. [26] for $m$ ore details.

A nother $m$ odel is given by [27]

$$
V()=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & j \tag{65}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

It is sim ilar to the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. It has m inim a in $=1$; and we can write W()$=\quad(1=2) \mathrm{j} j:$ The rst-order equations are

$$
\frac{d}{d x}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & j \tag{66}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=2 \frac{\tanh (x=2)}{1+\tanh (x \dot{j}=2)} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their energies are given by $E=E_{B P S}=1$ : In $F$ igs. 6 and 7 we plot potentials and kinks, for the above $m$ odel, and for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, for com parison.


FIG. 6: The potential of Eq. (65), depicted w ith dashed line, and the potential of the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel.


FIG . 7: The kink of Eq. (67), depicted w ith dashed line, and the kink of the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel.

Stability investigations lead us to the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=1 \quad 2 \quad(x) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is attractive. There is just one bound state, the zero mode. To see this explicitly, note that the $H$ am iltonian can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+1 \quad 2 \quad(x)=\quad \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{\dot{y} \dot{x}}{x} \quad \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{\dot{x} j}{x} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we see that the zero-m ode obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}+\frac{\dot{x} j}{x} \quad 0(x)=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be solved easily, given the norm alized zero-m ode

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(x)=\exp (j x) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above m odel was investigated in [27, 28], leading to exact solutions for a m odel described by coupled linear chains. M ore recently, it was studied in [29,30] w ith other m otivations. In particular, in [30] we have introduced


F IG . 8: The potential of the Eq. (72), depicted w ith dashed line, and the potential of the ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ odel.


FIG. 9: The kink of the Eq. (73), depicted w ith dashed line, and the kink of the ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ odel.
another m odel, inspired in the above m odel and in the ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. It is described by

$$
V()=\frac{1}{2}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & j \tag{72}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

There are m inim a in $=0$ and $=1$; and we have W()$=(1=2)^{2} \quad(1=3) j j^{2}: T$ here are two topological sectors, equivalent, $w$ ith energies given by $E=E_{\text {B PS }}=1=6: T$ he solutions are

$$
\begin{align*}
+(x) & =\frac{1}{2}[1+\tanh (x=2)]  \tag{73}\\
(x) & =\frac{1}{2}[1 \quad \tanh (x=2)] \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

They are sim ilar to the solutions of the ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ odel; see Figs. 8 and 9 for a com parison.
A $n$ interesting feature of this new $m$ odel is that the topological sectors are sym $m$ etric, related to the localm axim a they have. $T$ his $m$ akes the topologicalsolutions uniform, leading to a sym $m$ etric quantum $m$ echanicalpotentialw hidh contains no re ection states, as it appeared in the ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. This model is ofdirect interest to study rst-order phase transition, and we believe that it $m$ ay be of som e use in applications to $C$ ondensed $M$ atter.

W e investigate stability of the solutions of the above m odel. $W$ e get to the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x})=1 \quad \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}(\mathrm{x}=2) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The H am iltonian can be factorized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}+\tanh (x=2) \quad \frac{d}{d x}+\tanh (x=2) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the zerom ode should obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}+\tanh (x=2) \quad 0(x)=0 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e integrate this equation to obtain the nom alized zero-m ode

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(x)=\frac{1}{2}^{r} \quad \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x=2) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is another bound state, w ith eigenvalue $3=4$; and no re ection state appears in the continuum.
A nother exam ple is given by the potential [31]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}() \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The novelty here is that the potential has no m inim um, but it supports topologicaldefects, as show $n$ in $R$ efs. [31, 32]. O ur participation in this problem started with [33], where we have shown that this $m$ odel engenders rst-order equations given in term s of the superpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { W ( ) = arctan }[\sinh ()] \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he rst-order equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=\operatorname{sech}() \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\operatorname{arcsinh}(x) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

These defects are di erent: they are very di use, as we see from the energy density

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, the energy is nite, given by $E=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}=$ :
It is interesting to see that if we w rite the topological current in the usual form $j=(1=2)$ " $@$; we would have problem to calculate the topological charge. H ow ever, since the topological current is not unique, we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=" @ W() \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we are relating the topological charge w th the energy of the solution, which seem $s$ to be appropriate, since the energy should be well-de ned.

W e investigate stability of the above solutions to get to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\frac{1+2 x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is a volcano-like potential, as we show in Fig . 10. It has a single bound state, the zero-m ode, with norm alized eigenfunction given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(x)=\frac{r}{1}^{r} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, there is no gap betw een the zero-m ode and the continuum.


FIG. 10: T he volcano-like potential.

A nother m odel is described by the potential [34]

$$
V()=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{87}
\end{array}\right)^{3}
$$

$T$ he novelty here is that th is potential is unbounded from below, and it has two in ection points, at the values $=1$; such that $V(\quad)=0$ : H ow ever, there are topological solutions connecting these in ection points. They are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=P \frac{x}{1+x^{2}} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of topological solutions connecting in ection points was rst show in [34].
W e investigate stability to get to the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=12 \frac{1=4+x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also volcano-like. It has only one bound state, the zero-m ode, and there is no gap betw een the zero-m ode and the continuum. T he zero-m ode is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(x)=2^{r} \frac{2}{3}^{s} \frac{1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last tw o exam ples, there is no gap betw een the zero-m ode and the continuum, and so we should investigate how the quantum corrections should appear, to see if there are subtleties, as it occurs in $m$ odels where a gap is present; see, e.g., $R$ efs [12\{14] form ore inform ation on this issue.

A nother $m$ odel is described by the potential [35]

$$
V()=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(p-1)=p \quad(p+1)=p \tag{91}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

where $p$ is a real param eter. For sim plicity, here we consider $p$ odd integer, $p=1 ; 3 ; 5 ;:: T$ his $m$ odel can be seen as a generalization of the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, which is obtained for $\mathrm{p}=1$ :

In this case we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
W()=\frac{p}{2 p} 1_{(2 p \quad 1)=p}^{\frac{p}{2 p+1}}(2 p+1)=p \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the rst-order equations are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{x})=\tanh ^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{p}) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.11: T he $2-k \operatorname{ink}$ solution for $p=3$ and 5 ; depicted $w$ ith solid and dashed lines, respectively.

The energies are given by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BP} \mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{p}}=4 \mathrm{p}=\left(4 \mathrm{p}^{2} \quad 1\right)$. In F ig. 11 we plot som e solutions, and there we see that they connect the $m$ inim a 1 ; passing through the $m$ inim um at zero $w$ ith vanishing derivative.

As far as we can see, this is the rst tim e that topological solutions connect non-adjacent minim a, passing through an interm ediate $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$. This fact is only possible because the $m$ inim um at zero is not a true $m$ in $m$ um, that is, it is not good for quantization because it cannot be used as a perturbative ground state, since the $m$ ass squared at
$=0$ is divergent. For this reason, the above solutions are nam ed $2 k$ ink solutions.
The above solutions present norm alized zerom ode, described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
O(x)=\frac{s \overline{4 p^{2}} 1}{4 p} \tanh ^{(p 1)} \frac{x}{p} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \frac{x}{p} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

They have $m$ axim a at the points

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\operatorname{parctanh} \frac{r}{\frac{p 1}{p+1}} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be used to identify how the two kinks localize inside the $2-k$ ink solution.
III. TWOFIELD MODELS

Let us now consider $m$ odels described by tw o real scalar elds, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad+\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad \mathrm{~V}(;) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(;)$ is the potential, which speci es the $m$ odel to be studied. In $(1 ; 1)$ dim ensions, the equations ofm otion are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ t^{2}} & \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ x^{2}}+\frac{@ V}{@}=0 \\
\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ t^{2}} & \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ x^{2}}+\frac{@ V}{@}=0 \tag{98}
\end{array}
$$

and for static solutions we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{@ V}{@}  \tag{99}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{@ V}{@} \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ on-linearity $m$ akes it hard to deal $w$ ith these equations on general grounds. Som e investigations were done in Refs. [36\{38]. In particular, in [36] one ilhustrates the di culties to solve Eqs. (99) and (100). This problem was further considered in [39] and there it was proposed a m ethod, nam ed trial orbit m ethod, which could perhaps be of som e use to solve the above equations. In 1995 we started our investigations on $m$ odels described by tw o real scalar elds. W e started w ith the potential [40]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(;)=\frac{1}{2} W^{2}+\frac{1}{2} W^{2} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the equations ofm otion for static elds can be written in the form

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}=\mathrm{W} & \mathrm{~W} & +\mathrm{W} & \mathrm{~W} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}=\mathrm{W} & \mathrm{~W} & +\mathrm{W} & \mathrm{~W} \tag{103}
\end{array}
$$

and the energy associated w ith these con gurations could be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x \frac{d}{d x}^{2}+\frac{d}{d x}^{2}+W^{2}+W^{2} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression can be rew ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{B}+\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x \quad \frac{d}{d x} W^{2}+\frac{d}{d x} \quad W^{2} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B}=E_{B P S}=j W j \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W \quad(\quad(1) ;(1)) W(\quad W \quad 1) ;(1)) \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his procedure show sthat the energy is $m$ inim ized to $E=E_{B P S}$; for eld con gurationswhich obey the rst-order equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}=W  \tag{108}\\
& \frac{d}{d x}=W \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if we di erentiate these equations $w$ ith respect to $x$; we can see that their solutions also solve the second-order equations of $m$ otion for $W=W$; which is the condition for $W$ to present second derivative, as the potential (101) asks im plicitly.

It is interesting to see that solutions of the rst-order equations have the sam e portion of gradient and potential energies. The rst-order equations can be seen as a dynam ical system, and so we can use all the $m$ athem atical tools available for dynam ical system $s$ to deal $w$ th these equations. In particular, the algebraic equations $W=0$ and $W=0$ can be solved to give the set of singular points of the respective dynam ical system. In the eld theoretical m odel, this set of singular points constitute the absolute m inim a of the m odel W e can characterize the absolute $m$ inim a $w$ th $v_{i}=(i ; i) ; i=1 ; 2 ;:: T$ hus, each pair ofm inim a $v_{i} e v_{j} m$ ay represent a topological sector, which $m$ ay be BPS or non-BPS.

A given sector $i j$ is BPS ifthe energy $E{ }^{(i j)}$ is not zero, that is, if $W_{i}=W(i ; i)$ is distinct from $W_{j}=W(j ; j)$; to $m$ ake the energy $E_{B P S}^{(i j)}=j W_{i j} j=\mathrm{F}_{i} \quad W_{j} j$ non-vanishing. On the other hand, if $W_{i}=W(i ; i)$ is equal to $W_{j}=W(j ; j)$; there is no BPS energy available, and this show s that there is no BPS solution connecting the $m$ inim a that identify the sector. In this case, how ever, the sector $m$ ay have con gurations which solve the equations of $m$ otion. These sectors are usually nam ed non-BPS, because the eld con gurations do not solve the rst-order equations.

In the BPS lim it, m odels described by two real scalar elds are sim pler, because we have to deal with pairs of rst-order equations. W e then focus on the equations (108) and (109). W e can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { W d } \quad \mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{~d}=0 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is easily solved when $W$ ( ; ) is ham onic. In this case, the solutions describe an onbit in the (; ) plane, given by $\mathrm{F}(;)=0$; which is obtained with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ F}{@}=W \quad ; \quad \frac{@ F}{@}=W \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Refs. [7, 8] we have show $n$ that when $W$ is harm onic, all the solutions are BPS solutions.
$W$ hen $W(;)$ is not harm onic, we have to search for the integrating factor $I(;)$. This factor is needed to $m$ ake another function, $\mathbb{E}$ ( ; ); harm onic, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \mathrm{E}}{@}=I(;) \mathrm{W} ; \frac{@ \mathrm{E}}{@}=I(;) \mathrm{W} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ ain problem w ith the integrating factor is that we do not know how to get it in general, and so the trial onbit $m$ ethod is yet of good use to nd solutions in speci cmodels.

The trialorbit m ethod is very e ective when it is applied to rst-order equations. This was shown in Ref. [41], and the $m$ ain steps can be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ :

First step. Choose a BPS sector. This is done with twominima, $V_{i}=(i ; i)$ and $V_{j}=(j ; j)$; such that $W_{i}=W(i ; i) W_{j}=W(j ; j):$

Second step. Choose an orbit. This is done with a given function $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}(;)=0$; which is com patible with the $m$ inim a that specify the sector, which satis es $F_{i j}(i ; i)=0$ and $F_{i j}(j ; j)=0$.

Third step. Try the chosen orbit. This is done taking the derivative of the chosen orbit to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ F_{i j}}{@} W+\frac{@ F_{i j}}{@} W=0 \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

If th is new expression is com patible w ith the chosen orbit, it is a good onbit and can be used to decouple the rst-order equations.

These three steps are very e cient to solve the rst-order equations, to nd BPS solutions, as we ilhustrate below .
A. Linear Stability

W e can investigate linear stability of the BPS solutions in a generalway. W ewrite $=(x ; t)$ and $\quad=\quad(x ; t)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x ; t)=(x)+(x ; t)  \tag{114}\\
& (x ; t)=(x)+(x ; t) \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x ; t)$ and $(x ; t)$ are uctuations around the static con gurations $(x)$ and $(x)$; which solve the rst-order equations. Since the classical solutions are static, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x ; t)  \tag{116}\\
& (x ; t)
\end{aligned}={ }_{n}^{x} \quad \begin{aligned}
& n(x) \\
& n(x)
\end{align*} \quad \cos \left(w_{n} t\right)
$$

W e use these expressions into the equations ofm otion (97) and (98) to get to the Schrodinger-like equation $H \quad n(x)=$ $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})$; where

$$
H=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\underset{V}{V} \quad \begin{gather*}
V  \tag{117}\\
V
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
n(x)=\quad \begin{align*}
& n(x)  \tag{118}\\
& n(x)
\end{align*}
$$

W e consider the potential (101) to get

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{V} & =\mathrm{W}^{2}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W} & +\mathrm{W}^{2}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W} \\
\mathrm{~V} & =\mathrm{W}^{2}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W} & +\mathrm{W}^{2}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W} \tag{120}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{W}^{2} \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~W} \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ref. [42] we have show n how to introduce rst-order operators such that

$$
S=\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{~W} & \mathrm{~W}  \tag{122}\\
\mathrm{dx} & \mathrm{~W} & \mathrm{~W}
\end{array}
$$

which lead us w ith the Ham iltonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=S^{y} S \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow, in (117) we see that $H$ is just $H_{+}$; the partner of $H \quad: T$ he $H$ am iltonians $H+e H \quad$ can be seen as supersym $m$ etric partners in quantum m echanics. The factorization of $H$ implies that it is non-negative, and this proves stability of the BP S solutions in general. M oreover, the zero-m ode solves the equation

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{d}{d x}  \tag{124}\\
\hline d x & W & W \\
W & W & 0
\end{array}
$$

and so it has the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0(x)=A & 0(x)  \tag{125}\\
0(x)
\end{array} \quad=A \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W} \\
& \mathrm{~W}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A$ is the norm alization constant. This result can be seen as a naturalextension of the form er result, for a single eld, given by Eq. (44).

> B. Example

W e illustrate the above investigations with an exam ple. $W$ e consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(;)=\frac{1}{3}^{3} \quad r \quad 2 \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r$ is a real param eter. $T$ he potential has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(;)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \quad r^{2}+r(1+2 r)^{2} \quad 2+\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \quad 4 \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

This m odelwas rst studied in Ref. [40]. See also Refs. [11, 43\{52] for related investigations.
The potential (127) is plotted in Fig. 12. For static solutions the equations ofm otion are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=2+2 r(1+2 r)^{2}+2^{3}  \tag{128}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=2 r+2 r(1+2 r)^{2}+2 r^{2} \tag{129}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst-order equations are $m$ uch sim pler

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}=1 \quad 2 \quad r^{2}  \tag{130}\\
& \frac{d}{d x}=2 r \tag{131}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ in $m$ a of the potential are $(1 ; 0)$ for $r<0$, or $(1 ; 0)$ and $\left(0 ; 1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \bar{r}\right)$ for $r>0$ 0 $W$ e consider the richer case, for $r>0$ : H ere there are fourm inim a, given by $v_{1}=(1 ; 0) ; v_{2}=(1 ; 0) ; v_{3}=(0 ; 1=\bar{r})$ and $v_{4}=(0 ; 1=\bar{r})$ :There are six topological sectors: sector 1 , which connects the $m$ inim a $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$; sector 2 , which connect the $m$ in im a $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$; sector 3 , which connect the $m$ in im a $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$; sector 4 , which connect the $m$ in $\dot{m}$ a $v_{1}$ and $v_{4}$; sector 5 , which connect the $m$ inim a $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$; and, nally, sector 6 , which connect the $m$ inim a $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ :


F IG . 12: P otential for the tw o- eld m odel of Eq. (127).
$T$ he value of $W$ at the $m$ inim a are given by: $W_{1}=W \quad(1 ; 0)=2=3 ; W_{2}=W \quad(1 ; 0)=2=3 ; W_{3}=W \quad\left(0 ; 1={ }^{p} \bar{r}\right)=0$; and $W_{3}=W\left(0 ; 1={ }^{P} \bar{r}\right)=0$ :Thus, there are ve sectors $w$ hich are BPS, one $w$ ith energy $E_{B P S}^{1}=4=3$ and the other four w ith the same energy $E_{B P S}^{3}=E_{B P S}^{4}=E_{B P S}^{5}=E_{B P S}^{6}=2=3$ : $T$ here is one sector $w$ hich is non $B P S$; it has energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nB} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{S}}^{2}$; which can only be obtained when one show s the corresponding topological solution explicitly.

To nd explicit solutions, let us rst consider solutions which depend only on a single eld. We rst set $=0$ in the equations ofm otion. W e get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=2\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, which has the solutions $(x)=\tanh (x)$; w ith energy $4=3$ : Thus, the pair of solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\tanh (x) ;=0 \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

are solutions for the above tw o-eld model. In eld space, these solutions describe a straight line connecting the $m$ in im a $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$; which identify the topological sector 1 . W e also see that the imposition $=0$ reduces the rst-order equations to the equation

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d x}=\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & 2 \tag{134}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which have the sam e solutions, show ing that they are BPS solutions.
O $n$ the other hand, if we set $=0$ in the equations of $m$ otion, we get to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=2 r^{2} \quad\left(1=r \quad{ }^{2}\right) \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=p_{r}^{1} \tanh (\overline{r x}) \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith energy $E_{n B P S}^{2}=4=3^{p} \bar{r}$; which depend on $r$ : $T$ hus, the pair of solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 ; \quad(x)=\frac{1}{P_{r}} \tanh (\bar{r} x) \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

represent straight line onbit which connects the $m$ in im a $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$. In this case, how ever, the solutions are non BPS, because they do not satisfy the rst-order equations. W e notioe that the energy of the non BPS solutions depend on $r$; for $r 2(0 ; 1)$ it is higher than the energy of the BP S states in sector 1:
$T$ he above m odelhas an interesting feature. For $r=1$; we can rotate the (; ) plane to $1=+$ and $2=$; to see that 1 and 2 decouple, describing tw o single eld $m$ odels, instead of a single $m$ odelof two elds. See R ef. [52] for other details on the issue.

In order to ilhustrate the trial orbit $m$ ethod, let us investigate solutions in the severalBPS sectors of the $m$ odel. $F$ irst, we consider sector 1 ; identi ed by the $m$ inim $v_{1}=(1 ; 0)$ and $v_{2}=(1 ; 0): W$ e consider an elliptic orbit, described by $\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}=\mathrm{c}$; w ith $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$; c being realparam eters w hich identify the orbit. T his orbit m ust contain the tw 0 m inim a which identify the sector 1 ; these conditions elim inates two param eters, and we get to the m ore speci c orbit ${ }^{2}+b^{2}=1$ :W e now derivate this orbit and use the rst-order equations to get to ${ }^{2}+r(1+2 b)^{2}=1$; which is com patible $w$ th our orbit for $b=r=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 r\end{array}\right)$ : $T$ hus, the orbit

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{\frac{1}{r}} 2_{2}^{2}=1 \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a good onbit for r $2(0 ; 1=2)$; and can be used to solve the problem. W e use this orbit to w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=\frac{1}{r} \quad 2 \quad\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use this into the rst-order equation for to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=2 r(1 \quad 2) \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\tanh (2 r x) \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for the other eld, ; we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\quad \frac{r}{\frac{1}{r} \quad 2} \operatorname{sech}(2 r x) \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are four solutions for the pair ( ; ); and in $F$ ig. 13 we plot a pair of solutions for $r=1=6$ : It is interesting to notice that the above solutions can be used to describe solitons in ferroelectric $m$ aterials, as we have pointed in Ref. [53]. These solutions are BPS states. Their energies have the value $4=3$, and they change to the solutions that describe the straight line orbit in the lim it $r$ ! $1=2$; as 进 should be expected.


F IG .13: A pair of solutions for the tw o-eld model. The solid and dashed lines describe the and elds, respectively.
T here are other orbits, in the other sectors, and they can be obtained as we did above. O rbits in the other sectors were rst obtained in Ref. [46]. A lso, an interesting investigation done in Ref. [47] obtained the integrating factor for the pair of rst-order equations, solving com pletely the problem of nding BPS solutions for the m odel.

W e can study stability of the solutions explicitly. $W$ e ilhustrate this point investigating the sim plest case, conceming stability of the solutions for the straight line orbit in sector 1. In this case, the uctuations in the elds and obey the equations $H{ }_{n}(x)=w_{n}^{2} n(x)$ and $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathscr{セ}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})$; respectively. The H am iltonians are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+4 \quad 6 \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+4 r^{2} \quad 2 r(1+2 r) \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x) \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $p^{\text {st }}$ problem is the m odi ed P oschl-Teller problem. It supports tw o bound states, the zero-m ode $\mathrm{w}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{w}_{1}=\overline{3}: T$ he second problem is also of the m odi ed P oschl-T eller type, but now the num ber ofbound states depends on $r$; the param eter that controls the form of the potential. $W$ e have

$$
e_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 r & n \tag{145}
\end{array}\right) n
$$

where $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::<2 \mathrm{r}$ : Thus, if $\mathrm{r} 2 \quad(0 ; 1=2]$; only the zerom ode appears. For $\mathrm{r} 2(1=2 ; 1]$; there are two bound states, the zero-m ode and another one, $w$ ith eigenvalue $\oplus_{1}=4 r \quad 1: W$ e illustrate this in $F$ ig. 14, in which we plot the potential of Eq. (144) for the values $r=1=2 ; r=1$ er $=3=2: W$ e notice that for $=0$; the investigations for solutions reduce to that of the ${ }^{4}$ m odel; how ever, stability is very di erent, and this will certainly show up at the quantum level.


FIG. 14: Potentials for $r=1=2 ; 1$; e $3=2$; depicted with solid, dashed and dot-dashed lines, respectively.

## C . Junction of defects

In tw o-eld models, in general the potential depends on the two elds, and so the minim a are in the ( ; ) plane. Thus, we can nd situations where the $m$ inim a constitute a non-collinear set of points, which is not possible for $m$ odels described by a single eld. For this reason, tw $o-e l d m$ odels $m$ ay give rise to junctions of defects, which are regions where tw o or $m$ ore defects intersect, and this lead us to $m$ ore general situations that describe junctions of defects, and the tiling of the plane w ith a netw ork of defects. Som e investigations on this subject can be found in Refs. $50,51,54\{58]$ and also in the $m$ ore recent $R$ efs. [7, 8].

An interesting way to describe junctions of defects is with a com plex eld. W e consider models described by the Lagrange density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=@ \quad \text { @ } \quad \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~J}^{\prime}\right) \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(J)=j W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{J} \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

given in term sofan holom orphic function $W=W(r)$; with $W^{0}(r)=d W=d^{\prime}$ : For this $m$ odel, the equation ofm otion for static solutions has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=W^{0}(r) W^{\infty}(\prime) \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them inim a of the potential are given by $W^{0}(r)=0: W$ e suppose that there is a nite num ber of $m$ in $m a, ~ g i v e n ~ b y ~$ $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2 ;:: ; \mathrm{N}$; where N is an integer. W e are interested in solutions which obey the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
,(x!1)!v_{i} ; \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}(x!1)!0 \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $v_{i}$ is one in the set of $N \mathrm{~m}$ inim $a$.
W e can show that solutions of the rst-order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}=W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right) e^{i} \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

also obey the equation ofm otion, for being real and constant.
The abovem odel is special, since the equation ofm otion is equivalent to the set of rst-orderequations param etrized by the phase :To show this we use the rst-order equation to w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}=W^{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{i}\right) \quad \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=W^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} e^{i}=W^{\infty}\left(r^{\prime}\right) W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that solutions of the rst-order equations solve the equation of motion. On the other hand, we can introduce the ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{W^{0}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)} \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use the equation ofm otion to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d R}{d x} & =\frac{1}{W^{0}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)} W^{0}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} \quad \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} W^{\infty}(r) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} \\
& =\frac{1}{W^{0}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right)} W^{2}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} W^{2} W^{\infty}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \tag{153}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can also w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}=W^{0}(r) W^{\infty}(r) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}+W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right) W^{\infty}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}{\frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}}^{2}=W^{0}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) W^{\infty}(\prime) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}+W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right) W^{\infty}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last two equalities lead us to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=W^{0}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2} \quad{\frac{d^{\prime}}{}}^{2} \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not depend on $x$; that is, which obeys $d S\left(^{\prime}\right)=d x=0$; if' solves the equation ofm otion. H ow ever, in the $\lim$ it $x!1$ the eld should becom $e^{\prime}!v_{i}$; and $d^{\prime}=d x!0$ and $W^{0}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)!0: T h u s, S\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ is shown to vanish, and this im plies that $R\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ is constant. Besides, since $S\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ vanishes, we can obtain $d^{\prime}=d x=W^{0}(r)$ :Thus, we can write $\mathcal{F}^{R}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) j=1$; and so $R\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=e^{i}$; with being realand constant. The last result is nothing $m$ ore than an altemative $w$ ay to w rite the rst-order equation. T his is general, and it show $s$ that in this $m$ odelall the static solutions are BP S solutions. A direct consequence of this result is that form odels described by two real scalar elds, if $W=W($; is harm onic, then the $m$ odel cannot support non-topological solutions.

W e can consider the model $[7,8]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{n}}, 1+\mathrm{n} \quad \frac{1}{1+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{N}}, 1+\mathrm{n+N} \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ;$ and $N=2 ; 3 ;::$ are integers $w$ hich characterize the $m$ odels. $T$ he potential has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1 \quad r^{\mathrm{N}}\right)(1 \quad, \mathrm{~N}) \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ odels engender discrete sym $m$ etry, $Z_{N}$; for every $n$; and they can be used to generate defect junctions, of intrinsic interest, and for tiling the plane w ith regular polygons.

T he case $\mathrm{n}=0$ is special. It has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\prime \frac{1}{1+\mathrm{N}}, 1+\mathrm{N} \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

which were already studied in [59], w ith other m otivations.
The generalm odelhasminim a at $v_{k}^{N}=\exp \left(i_{N}^{k}\right) ;$ for ${ }_{N}^{k}=2 \quad(k=N) ; k=1 ; 2 ;:: ; N$; independently ofn; and also in $v_{0}=0$ for $n \notin 0: T$ here are $N \mathrm{~m}$ inim a in the unit circle, and the origin is also a m inim um for $\mathrm{n} \in 0$; w ith m ultiplicity $n$ : For the topological sectors, for $n \in 0$ there appear $N$ radial sectors. The energies of the radial sectors are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N} ; 0}^{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{(1+\mathrm{n})(1+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{N})} \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=1 ; 2 ;:::$ and $N=2 ; 3 ;:: T$ he energies of the other sectors are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N} ; 0}^{\mathrm{n}} \sin [(1+\mathrm{n})(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{N})] \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0 ; 1 ;:::$ and $N=2 ; 3 ;::$ :
W e notioe that if the pair n and N obeys $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$; the energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}$ vanish for every $\mathrm{k}=1 ; 2$; :::; $\mathrm{N}:$ In this case the $m$ odel can only support radial sectors, $w$ th energies $E_{N}^{N} \quad{ }^{1}=1=2 \mathrm{~N}$.

A s one knows, to tile the plane w ith regular polygons [60,61], we only have three possibilities: using equilateral triangles, squares, or regular hexagons. N ow, if we ask for the most e cient way of tiling the plane, we see that it is given by the hexagonal tiling. E ciency here im plies the greater relation area/perim eter for the three regular polygonal tilings. Since the use of hexagons requires the presence of triple junctions, we then focus attention on the above $m$ odel, for the case $n=0$ and $N=3: T$ his case can also be of interest to nanotubes and fullerenes, as explored in [62, 63]; see also Refs. [64\{67].

W e use $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}=3$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\prime)=, \quad \frac{1}{4}, 4 \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three m inim a are $v_{1}=1$; and $v_{2 ; 3}=1=2 \quad{ }_{i} \overline{3}=2$ : The energy of the defects are given by $E_{3}^{0}=3 \quad \overline{3}=4$; and this should be the energy density of the junction in the regions far aw ay from the junction. H ow ever, ifw eneglect theenergy density related to the junction itself, we can write the total energy of the triple junction as $\mathrm{E}=3 \mathrm{LE}{ }_{3}^{0}=9 \mathrm{j} \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{L}}=4$; approxim ately, for $L$ being the size of each junction leg.

## IV. DEFORMED DEFECTS

In the case ofm odels described by a single eld, recently w e have been $a b l e[34,68]$ to introduce a procedure, which we call deform ation procedure, where we start w ith a given $m$ odel, that support defect structures, and we deform it, to w rite a new model, which also support defects that can be w ritten in term $s$ of the defects of the given, starting m odel. To m ake this idea practical, let us start w th a m odel de ned by the potential V ( ); which support static solutions, given by $(x)$ : To deform this m odel we get a function $f=f()$; which is well-behaved, invertible, which we nam e deform ation function, and we w rite another potential, as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{V\left(!f\left({ }^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left[\mathrm{df}\left(\left(^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right]^{2}\right.} \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have tw o distinct $m$ odels, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=\frac{1}{2} @ @ \quad V()  \tag{164}\\
& U=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @, \quad \theta(r) \tag{165}
\end{align*}
$$

H ow ever, if V and $F$ are related by Eq. (163), and if $(x)$ are defects of the rst $m$ odel, then the new $m$ odel has defect solutions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { , }(x)=f^{1}(\quad(x)) \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ritten in term s of the inverse of the deform ation function.
To prove the above result, let us consider the equation ofm otion for static solutions in the tw o models

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d V}{d}  \tag{167}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d V}{d^{\prime}} \tag{168}
\end{align*}
$$

W e require that the energies are nite, and that the solutions obey boundary conditions as before, in Sec. II. T hus, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dx}}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~V}  \tag{169}\\
& {\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{dx}}}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~V} \tag{170}
\end{align*}
$$

In the rst case, the energy density has the form

$$
n(x)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x}^{2}+v=\frac{d}{d x}^{2}
$$

In the other case, we get

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{2}{\frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}}^{2}+\theta={\frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}}^{2}
$$

W e can use the last expression to relate both densities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\frac{1}{(\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{d})^{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we can use $=f(r)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} & =\frac{d}{d x} \frac{d f}{d^{\prime}} \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} \\
& =\frac{d f}{d^{\prime}} \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{d^{2} f}{d^{\prime 2}} \frac{d^{\prime}}{d x} \tag{172}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we use $d^{\prime \prime}=d x^{2}$ and $d^{\prime}=d x$ from the equation of $m$ otion to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d f}{d^{\prime}} \frac{d \Downarrow}{d^{\prime}}+2 \boxtimes \frac{d^{2} f}{d^{\prime 2}} \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, since $\left.f^{\prime}\right)=V(\quad)=\left(d f=d^{\prime}\right)^{2}$; we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d F}{d^{\prime}}=2 V() \frac{1}{\left(d f=d^{\prime}\right)^{3}} \frac{d^{2} f}{d^{\prime 2}}+\frac{1}{\left(d f=d^{\prime}\right)} \frac{d V}{d} \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d V}{d} \tag{175}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation of $m$ otion for the rst $m$ odel. This result show $s$ that solutions of the second $m$ odel are related to solutions of the rst m odel. To com plete the proof, we need to show the contrary, but this is sim ilar and straightforw ard.

It is interesting to realize that we can deform the deform ed $m$ odel once again, etc, and this procedure lead us $w$ ith an in nity of $m$ odels, as we illustrate in the diagram below.


W e notice that the deform ation procedure works for m odels that support topological, kink-like, or non-topological, lum p-like, solutions. H ow ever, form odels which support BP S states, the deform ation procedure is sim pler, since now we can investigate the rst-order equations. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=\frac{d W}{d} \tag{176}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{d}^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{dW}}{\mathrm{df}} \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d x}=\frac{d W}{d f} \tag{178}
\end{equation*}
$$

and now they are like the equations for the rstm odel, $w$ th the change $!f$. Thus, their solutionsobey $f\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=$; and so we get ${ }^{\prime} \quad(x)=f^{1}[\quad(x)]$; in accordance w ith Eq. (166).
$W$ e illustrate the procedure w ith an exam ple. W e take the standard ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, and the function f()$=\sinh ()$ : In this case the potential

$$
V()=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 \tag{179}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

changes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta()=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}()\left[1 \quad \sinh ^{2}()\right]^{2} \tag{180}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to verify explicitly that the static solutions of this new m odel are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \quad(x)=\operatorname{arcsinh}[\tanh (x)] \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, the energy density of these solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{x})=\cosh ^{2} \tanh (\mathrm{x}) \operatorname{sech}^{4}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

where " $(x)=\operatorname{sech}^{4}(x)$ is the energy density for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. In $F$ igs. 15 and 16 we plot defects and energy densities in both m odels, for com parison.

A nother exam ple can be given; we consider the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, but now we use the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=, 1=p \tag{183}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 15: D efect solutions for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, and for the deform ed m odel, depicted with solid and dashed lines, respectively.


F IG . 16: Energy densities for the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, and for the deform ed m odel, depicted w ith solid and dashed lines, respectively.
where $p$ is a realparam eter. $W$ e consider $p$ odd integer, $p=1 ; 3 ; 5 ;:: T$ he case $p=1$ is trivial, but in generalthe new potential has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\prime)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}^{2}\left(\prime^{1} \quad 1=\mathrm{p} \quad, 1+1=\mathrm{p}\right)^{2} \tag{184}
\end{equation*}
$$

This potentialw as already investigated in Sec. II. T he rst-order equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{\prime}}{d x}=p\left(\left(^{1} 1=p \quad, 1+1=p\right)\right. \tag{185}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their solutions can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r }(x)=\tanh ^{p}(x) \tag{186}
\end{equation*}
$$

as it can be shown directly.
A nother exam ple is given by the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 2)^{3} \tag{187}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can use the deform ation ! tanh ( ) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}() \tag{188}
\end{equation*}
$$

B oth $m$ odels were investigated in Sec. II. In the second case, the solutions $\quad(x)=\operatorname{arcsinh}(x)$ can be deform ed to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=p \frac{x}{1+x^{2}} \tag{189}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solve the rst m odel.
It is interesting to notice that the potential (187) has no minim a, and their topological solutions connect in ection points. A sim ilar result was presented in Ref. [69], where the authors nd topological solutions which connect an in ection point to a m in m um of the potential there considered.

```
V. DEFECTS IN H IG HER SPATIAL D IM ENSIONS
```

There is an old result [70,71], which inform $s$ that $m$ odels described by a single real scalar eld cannot support stable solutions in space dim ensions higher than 1:This result is known as the Derrick theorem, but we call it the D errick H obart theorem, since it was also obtained by H obart in Ref. [70]. W e can show this result as follow s: in (D ;1) space-tim e dim ensions, let us suppose that there is a m odel described by som e non-negative potential, in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad \mathrm{~V}() \tag{190}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e also suppose that $(x)$ is static solution, with energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{g}+E_{p}=d x \frac{1}{2} r \quad r+V() \tag{191}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $\quad(x)=(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} & =\mathrm{Z} x \frac{1}{2} r \quad r+V() \\
& =E_{g}+E_{p}=2{ }^{D} E_{g}+{ }^{D} E_{p} \tag{192}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d E}{d}=1 & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & D
\end{array}\right) E_{g} D E_{p}  \tag{193}\\
\frac{d^{2} E}{d^{2}}=1 & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & D
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array}\right) E_{g}+D(1+D) E_{p} \tag{194}
\end{align*}
$$

and so, for $E$ to have aminim um at $=1$; we have to have (2 $D) E_{g}=D E_{p}$ and (2 D) (1 D $) E_{g}+D(1+D) E_{p}>0$; and this requires that $\mathrm{D}=1$ :

In spite of this result, in a recent work we have been able to circum vent the standard situation, to show how to construct stable defect solutions for D arbitrary [35]. To make this possible, we work w th the m odel

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad U(x ;) \tag{195}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ;)=F(x \times) V() \tag{196}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V()$ is som e standard potential, and $F(x \times x)$ is a new function, which depends only on the space-tim e coordinates in (D ;1) dim ensions. See Ref. [72] for other investigations conceming higher dim ensional defect structures.

In the case of static solutions we can choose an appropriate $F$; to write the equation ofm otion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{1}{r^{2 D}} \frac{d V}{d} \tag{197}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for $V=(1=2) \mathrm{W}^{2}$ and for radial solutions, $=(r)$ should obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{D} \quad \frac{d}{d r} \quad r^{D} \quad \frac{d}{d r}=W \quad W \tag{198}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{D} \quad \frac{d}{d r}=\frac{d}{d y} \tag{199}
\end{equation*}
$$

to change the equation ofm otion to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dy}^{2}}=\mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{~W} \tag{200}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation has the form of the equation ofm otion for static solutions in the case oftwo-dim ensionalm odels. T hus, it can be written as rst-order equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d y}=W \tag{201}
\end{equation*}
$$

or better

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d r}=\frac{1}{r^{D}{ }^{1}} W \tag{202}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result can also be obtained from the energy of static, radially sym $m$ etric solutions. If we w ork w th the energy, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
E= & \frac{1}{2}{ }^{D} Z_{1}^{Z_{1}^{0}} r^{D}{ }^{1} d r \frac{d}{d r}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2 D} 2^{2}} W^{2} \\
= & D^{D}{ }_{0}^{d W} \frac{d r}{d r} \\
& \frac{1}{2}{ }^{D}{ }_{1}^{D_{0}} r^{D}{ }^{1} d r \frac{d}{d r} \frac{1}{r^{D} 1^{1}} W^{2} \tag{203}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D=2^{D=2}=(D=2)$ stands for the angular factor, which depends on $D: W$ e see that the energy is $m$ in im ized to the value $E_{B P S}=D W$; where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W[(r!1)] W[(r!0)] \tag{204}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the static, radial solution (r) obeys one of the two rst-order equations (202).
A swe have show $n$ in $R$ ef. [35], other results can also be obtained. For instance, we can show that the radialsolutions are stable against radial uctuations. A lso, we can introduce ferm ions, w ith the standard Yukaw a coupling changed to $Y()=r^{1} D \quad$; to include the radialm odi cation we have introduced in the scalar potential.

On the other hand, in the case $D=2$; the transform ation which $m$ aps the problem into a one-dim ensionalproblem has the form $y=\ln (r)$, which very $m u c h$ rem inds us of the $C$ ole $H$ opftransform ation, used to transform the B urgers equation into a linear, exactly solved equation [73, 74] \{ see also $R$ efs. [75, 76].

To illustrate the general situation, let us consider the model

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ;)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \sin ^{2}() \tag{205}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $D=2$ : $T$ his is the sine-G ordon $m$ odel, but here it is $m$ odi ed to incorporate the spatial dependence introduced above.

In this case, the equation of $m$ otion which describes static and radialsolutions gets to the $m$ odi ed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \sin () \cos () \tag{206}
\end{equation*}
$$

It adm its scale invariance, and it can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \frac{d}{d r} r \frac{d}{d r}=\sin () \cos () \tag{207}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.17: Topological soliton in $D=2$; which appears in an isotropic uniaxial ferrom agnetic system.

Thus, we take $y=\ln (r)$ to obtain

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}=\sin () \cos ()
$$

This problem was already solved in Sec. II. W e can write

$$
\frac{d}{d y}=\sin ()
$$

and the solutions are

$$
(y)=2 \arctan \left(e^{y}\right)
$$

W e use the radial coordinate to get

$$
(r)=2 \arctan \left(r^{1}\right)
$$

These solutions are topological solutions [35]. They can be used to describe solitons in bidim ensional, isotropic, uniaxial ferrom agnetic [77], and they were rst described in Ref. [78]. In Fig. 17 we plot one of the solutions, to show the topological structure explicitly; see $R$ ef. [25] for related investigations.
VI. W ARPED GEOMETRY W ITH AN EXTRA D IMENSION

W e can couple scalar elds with gravity. W e get [79, 80]

$$
S={ }^{Z} \quad \mathrm{dtdx} \overline{\mathrm{j} j} \frac{1}{4} R \quad \frac{1}{2} g \quad @ \quad @ \quad V()
$$

H ere we are working in arbitrary ( D ;1) space-tim e dim ensions, using dim ensionless elds and variablesw ith $4 \mathrm{G}=1$ : $g$ is the $m$ etric tensor and $R$ is the curvature scalar, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R \tag{213}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the $R$ iccitensor, which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \quad=R \tag{214}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof the R iem ann tensor R ; which can be written in term s of the C hristo el sym bols, in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R} \quad=@ \quad @ \quad+ \tag{215}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} g \quad(@ g+@ g \quad @ g \quad) \tag{216}
\end{equation*}
$$

E instein equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{R} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Rg} \quad=2 \mathrm{~T} \tag{217}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G$ is the $E$ instein tensor.
O ur interest on scalar elds coupled to gravity is m otivated by String Theory. A s one know s, superstrings need 10 space-tim e dim ensions [81, 82]. In Ref. [83], Polchinski has show n how to construct soliton-like brane solutions which appear under the factorization of the $(9 ; 1)$ space-tim e into $A d S_{5} \quad S^{5}$ : In this case, the interesting structure of space-tim e is $\mathrm{AdS}_{5}$; and we can work w ith an extra dim ension, follow ing the R andall-Sundrum [84] or K arch R andall [85] scenarios, as we have done in Refs. [86\{88].
$W$ e illustrate the investigations working $w$ ith the $A S_{5}$; in $(4 ; 1)$ space-tim e dim ensions, in the $R$ andall-Sundrum scenario. The $m$ etric for such warped geom etry $w$ ith an extra dim ension is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=e^{2 A} \quad d x d x+d y^{2} \tag{218}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=(1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1)$; and $y$ is the spatial coordinate $w$ hich describes the extra dim ension. $e^{2 A}$ is known as the $w$ arp factor, since $\mathbb{I} m$ odi es the standard four dim ensionalM inkow sky $m$ etric, described by $: T$ he $m$ atter contents of the $m$ odel is described by real scalar elds. W e consider the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=d^{Z} x d y \quad p \overline{\bar{g} j} \frac{1}{4} R \quad \frac{1}{2} g \quad @ \quad @ \quad V() \tag{219}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we consider a single real scalar eld. For m ore elds, we m odify the scalar Lagrangean accordingly.
$W$ e suppose that $A=A(y)$ and that the scalar eld is also static, and only depends on the extra coordinate,
$=(\mathrm{y})$; in this case the equations of m otion are

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{\infty}+4 A^{0} & =\frac{d V}{d}  \tag{220}\\
A^{\infty}+\frac{2}{3}^{\propto} & =0 \tag{221}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\propto} \quad \frac{1}{6} \propto^{\propto}+\frac{1}{3} V()=0 \tag{222}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a consistence equation. In the above equations, we are using that $A^{0}=d A=d y$; etc.
In the $R$ andall-Sundrum scenario [84], $M$ inkow sky space $M_{4}$ is em bedded in anti-de $S$ itter space $A d S_{5}$ : In $R$ efs. [ $89\{$ 91] the authors introduce very interesting investigations on the sub ject. For instance, if we consider the potential in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{8} \quad \frac{d W}{d} \quad \frac{1}{3} W^{2} \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write the rst-order equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d W}{d} \tag{224}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{0}=\frac{1}{3} W \tag{225}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solve the equations of $m$ otion; see also Refs. [92, 93] for other details on this. $W$ e notice that the rst-order equation for the scalar eld is very $m$ uch like the rst-order equation that we get for $m$ odels described by a single real scalar eld in ( $1 ; 1$ ) dim ensions in at spacetim e; see Sec. II. Thus, we can use those m odels to describe brane solutions in scenarios involving one extra dim ension.

A $n$ exam ple was considered in [86], w here we have used the $m$ odel described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p}()=\frac{p}{2 p} \quad 1_{(2 p \quad 1)=p}^{\frac{p}{2 p}_{2 p+1}^{(2 p+1)=p}, ~} \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here we have show $n$ that the param eter $p$ gives rise to an interesting $e$ ect, leading to thick brane $w$ ith intemal structure, as we show in $F$ igs. 18, 19 and 20 for $p=1 ; 3 ; 5$. W e notioe that the thickness of the brane increases for increasing $p$; and that the $m$ atter energy density opens a gap in its center, suggesting the appearance of intemal structure, as we show in Ref. [86]. W e also notioe that p works like the tem perature, as it is show $n$ in the $m$ odel considered in Ref. [94].


FIG. 18: The kink-like solutions for $p=1 ; 3 ; 5$ : $T$ he thickness of the line increases for increasing $p$ :
In another work, we considered another m odel, described by [87]

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(;)=\frac{1}{3}^{3} r^{2} \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ odel gives rise to $B$ loch branes, in analogy with the $B$ loch $w a l l s$ that it generates in at space-time. The interested reader should see $R$ efs. [95 \{97] for other investigations.
A. O ther Scenarios

W e can work w th other scenarios, involving tw o or m ore extra dim ensions. In the case of two extra dim ensions, the im portant defect structures are vortices, which are planar topological solutions that appear in $m$ odels de ned in $(2 ; 1)$ space-tim e dim ensions, describing interactions betw een gauge and charged H iggs elds.
A m odel under investigation is described by [98]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~A} @ A+\overline{D^{\prime}} \mathrm{D}, \quad \mathrm{~V}(\jmath \mathrm{~J}) \tag{228}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 19: T he warp factor for $p=1 ; 3 ; 5$. T he thickness of the line increases for increasing $p$ :


FIG. 20: T he $m$ atter energy density for $p=1 ; 3 ; 5$. T he thickness of the line increases for increasing $p$ :

In this case, the $C$ hem-Sim ons term presents higher-order derivative, and we are considering $D=@$ ie @ A ; which describes speci c non -m in m al coupling betw een the vector and scalar elds.

In this case, the equations of $m$ otion are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { " @ (A }+J)=0 \\
& D D^{\prime}+\frac{@ V}{@^{\prime}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=i e\left[{ }^{\prime} D, \quad\left(\overline{\left(r^{\prime}\right.}\right)^{\prime}\right] \tag{231}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $N$ oether current.
$T$ he energy density for static con gurations can be written in the form

$$
"=\emptyset \prime \text { 予 }+\frac{2}{4 \mathrm{e}^{2}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{0}\right)^{2}}{\mathfrak{\jmath} \jmath}+V(j \jmath)
$$

$w$ here $D=\tilde{r}+$ ien $\quad \tilde{r} A_{0}: T$ his result appears after elim inating the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{r^{2} A_{0}}{2 e^{2}} \frac{j^{j}}{j} \tag{233}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy gets the form

$$
\begin{align*}
E= & 2^{Z} r d r \quad j\left(D_{1} \quad i D_{2}\right)^{\prime} \tilde{j}+\frac{r^{2} A_{0}}{2 e} \frac{e^{2}}{\prime} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & j
\end{array}\right)^{2} \\
2 & \operatorname{rdrr}^{2} A_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have set

$$
\left.V(\jmath \jmath)=\frac{e^{4}}{2} \jmath \jmath \begin{array}{ll}
\jmath & \jmath  \tag{235}\\
\jmath & \jmath
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

The energy can be $m$ inim ized to

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}=2^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{rdrr}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{0}
$$

It is obtained for elds that obey the rst-order equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}  \tag{237}\\
& \left.\mathrm{B}=\frac{\mathrm{e}}{-1} \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\mathrm{j}
\end{array} \mathrm{~J}^{2}\right) \tag{238}
\end{align*}
$$

W e choose the elds in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prime=g(r) e^{\mathrm{in}}  \tag{239}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{dA}}{0}  \tag{240}\\
& \mathrm{dr}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{er}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}_{0}(r) & n
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=1 ; 2$; :::; $T$ he boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(r!0)!  \tag{241}\\
& a_{0}(r!0)! \\
& n
\end{align*}
$$

(242)

Thus, the rst-order equations change to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d g}{d r} & =\frac{1}{r} g a_{0}  \tag{243}\\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d a_{0}}{d r} & =2 \frac{e^{4}}{2} g^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & g^{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{244}
\end{align*}
$$

The energy is quantized, in the form $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}=2$ jij:
This models contains the $C$ hem-Sim ons term; thus, $J^{0}=2 e^{2} j \frac{\jmath}{} B$ show $s$ that the electric charge is given by $Q=2(=e) n: O n$ the other hand, the m agnetic ux is given by $B=\left(=e^{3}\right)\left(n^{2}+i n j\right): M$ oreover, if we introduce the topological current $J_{T}="$ @ $A$; we get that $Q_{T}=\left(=e^{3}\right)\left(n^{2}+j \eta\right)$ which can be identi ed with the $m$ agnetic ux. This identi cation also appears in the standard $C$ hem-Sim onsm odel, but there the values are di erent [99, 100]: $\quad$ в $=Q_{T}=(2=e) n:$
Here, however, we can introduce another topological current, dual to the above one. It has the form $\mathscr{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ " @ $J_{T}$ : In the standard $C$ hem-Sim ons $m$ odel, the corresponding topological charge vanishes. B ut in the $m$ odel under investigation, it is given by $\theta_{T}=2(=e) n$; which is exactly the electric charge of the solution.

O ur interest in vortices is related to the interest in branew orld scenarios with tw o or m ore extra dim ensions, but this is another story.

A cknow ledgm ents

T he author w ould like to thank the O rganizing C om m ittee of the X III JA. Sw ieca Sum m er Schoolon P articles and Fields for the kind invitation, and F A.Brito, C.Furtado, A R. G om es, L. Losano, J M .C.M albouisson, R.M enezes, JR.N ascim ento, R F.R ibeiro, and C.W otzasek for their com m ents and suggestions. He also thanks CAPES, CNP q, PROCAD/CAPES and PRONEX/CNPq/FAPESQ for nancial support.
[1] R . R a jaram an, Solitons and Instantons ( N orth H olland, A m sterdam, 1982) .
[2] S. C olem an, A spects of Sym m etry (C am bridge, C am bridge, 1985).
[3] A. V ilenkin and E P.S. Shellard, C osm ic Strings and O ther Topological D efects (C am bridge, C am bridge, 1994).
[4] C . Rebbi and R . Soliani, Solitons and P articles (W orld Scienti C, Singapore, 1984).
[5] E B. B ogom ol'nyi, Sov. J. N ucl. Phys. 24, 449 (1976).
[6] M K . P rasad and C H. Som er eld, P hys. R ev. Lett. 35, 760 (1975).
[7] D . B azeia, J. M enezes and M M . Santos, P hys. Lett. B 521, 418 (2001).
[8] D. B azeia, J. M enezes and M M. Santos, N ucl. Phys. B 636, 132 (2002).
[9] J. W ess and J. B agger, Supersym m etry and Supergravity (P rinceton, P rinceton, 1992).
[10] J. M orris and D. B azeia, P hys. Rev.D 54, 5217 (1996).
[11] J D E delstein, M L. T robo, F A. B rito and D. B azeia, P hys. R ev. D 57, 7561 (1998).
[12] H. N astase, M . Stephanov, P . van N ieuwenhuizen and A. Rebhan, Nucl. Phys. B 542 , 471 (1999).
[13] N. G raham and R L. Ja e, Nucl. Phys. B 544, 432 (1999).
[14] M . Shifm an, A. Vainshtein and M . Voloshin, Phys. R ev. D 59, 045016 (1999).
[15] C D . Santangelo and R D. K am ien, Phys. R ev. Lett. 91, 045506 (2003).
[16] M . Faux and D. Spector, J. Phys. A 37, 10397 (2004).
[17] R. Jackív, R ev.M od. Phys. 49, 681 (1977).
[18] L D. Landau and E M . Lifshitz, Q uantum M echanics (P ergam on, O xford, 1989).
[19] F. C ooper, A. K hare and U . Sukhatm e, Phys. Rep. 251, 267 (1995).
[20] G. Junker, Supersym $m$ etric $M$ ethods in $Q$ uantum and Statistical P hysics (Springer, B erlin, 1996).
[21] R.Jackiv and C.Rebbi, Phys. Rev.D 13, 3398 (1976).
[22] P. M orse and H. Feshbach, M ethods of M athem atical Physics (M oG raw $H$ ill, $N$ ew Y ork, 1953).
[23] S.F lugge, $P$ ractical $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics (Springer, B erlin, 1974).
[24] D. B azeia, L. Losano and R.M enezes, hep-th/0411197; to appear in Physica D.
[25] A N . B ogdanov, U K . R ossler, M .W olf and K.H. M uller, Phys. R ev. B 66, 214410 (2002).
[26] M A.Lohe, Phys. Rev.D 20, 3120 (1979).
[27] B. H orovitz, JA. K rum hansland E. D om any, P hys. R ev. Lett. 38, 778 (1977).
[28] S E. Trullinger and R M . D eLeonardis, P hys. R ev. A 20 , 2225 (1979).
[29] S.Theodorakis, Phys.Rev.D 60, 125004 (1999).
[30] D. B azeia, A S. Inacio and L. Losano, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 19, 575 (2004).
[31] I. C ho and A. V ilenkin, P hys. R ev.D 59, 021701 (R) (1999).
[32] I. C ho and A. V ilenkin, P hys. R ev.D 59, 063510 (1999).
[33] D. B azeia, Phys. Rev.D 60, 067705 (1999).
[34] D. B azeia, L. Losano and J M .C. M albouisson, P hys. R ev. D 66, 101701 (R) (2002).
[35] D. B azeia, J. M enezes and R.M enezes, P hys. R ev. Lett. 91, 241601 (2003).
[36] R.R a jaram an and E J.W einberg, P hys. R ev.D 11, 2950 (1975).
[37] C.M ontonem, Nucl. Phys. B 112, 349 (1976).
[38] H M . Ruck, Nucl. Phys. B 167, 320 (1980).
[39] R. R a jaram an, P hys. R ev. Lett. 42, 200 (1979).
[40] D . Bazeia, M J. dos Santos and R F.R ibeiro, Phys. Lett. A 208, 84 (1995).
[41] D. B azeia, W . Freire, L. Losano and R F. R ibeiro, M od. Phys. Lett. A 17, 1945 (2002).
[42] D . B azeia and M M . Santos, P hys. Lett. A 217, 28 (1996).
[43] D. B azeia, R F. R ibeiro and M M . Santos, Phys. Rev. D 54, 1852 (1996).
[44] D. B azeia, J R . N ascim ento, R F.R ibeiro and D. Toledo, J. Phys. A 30, 8157 (1997).
[45] B. Chibisov and M . Shifn an, Phys. Rev.D 56, 7990 (1997).
[46] M . Shifn an and M . Voloshin, Phys. Rev.D 57, 2590 (1998).
[47] A. A lonso Izquierdo, M A. G onzalez Leon and J. M ateos G uilarte, P hys. Rev.D 65, 085012 (2002).
[48] A. A lonso Izquierdo, M A. G onzalez Leon, J. M ateos G uilarte and M. de la Torre M ayado, Phys. Rev. D 66, 105022 (2002).
[49] A. A lonso Izquierdo, W. G arcia Fuertes, M A. G onzalez Leon and J. M ateos G uilarte, N ucl. P hys. B 681, 163 (2004).
[50] D. B azeia and F A. B rito, Phys. R ev. Lett. 84, 1094 (2000).
[51] D. B azeia and F A . B rito, P hys. R ev. D 61, 105019 (2000).
[52] D . B azeia, H . B oschiF itho and F A. B rito, JH EP 9904, 028 (1999).
[53] D. B azeia, R F. R ibeiro and M M . Santos, Phys. Rev. E 54, 2943 (1996).
[54] G .W . G ibbons and P K. T ow nsend, P hys. R ev. Lett. 83, 1727 (1999).
[55] S M . C arrol, S. H ellem an and M . Troddem, P hys. R ev. D 61, 065001 (2000).
[56] P M . Sa n, P hys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4249 (1999).
[57] H . O da, K . Ito, M . N aganum a and N . Sakai, P hys. Lett. B 471, 140 (1999).
[58] S.N an and K.O lsen, JH EP 0008, 001 (2000).
[59] P. Fendley, S D. M athur, C.Vafa and N P. W amer, Phys. Lett. B 243, 157 (1990).
[60] P . B all, T he SelfM ade T apestry (O xford, O xford, 1999).
[61] C. Isenberg, T he Science of Soap Fim s and Soap B ubbles (D over, N ew Y ork, 1992).
[62] D. B azeia and F A.Brito, Phys. R ev. D 62, 101701 (R) (2000).
[63] F A. B rito and D. B azeia, P hys. Rev.D 64, 065022 (2001).
[64] H W . . K roto et al. N ature 318, 162 (1985).
[65] S. Tij̈m a, N ature 354, 56 (1991).
[66] M S. D resselhaus, G. D resselhaus and P.C.Eklund, Science of Fullerenes and C arbon $N$ anotubes (A cadem ic, $N$ ew Y ork, 1996).
[67] R . Saito, G . D resselhaus and M S. D resselhaus, Physical P roperties of C arbon N anotubes (Im perial C ollege, London, 1999).
[68] C A. A m eida, D. B azeia, L. Losano and J M .C.M albouisson, Phys. R ev. D 69, 067702 (2004).
[69] D P. Jatkar and R . Vathsan, JH EP 0106,039 (2001).
[70] R . H obart, P roc. R . A cad. Sci. London, 82, 201 (1963).
[71] G H. D errick, J.M ath. Phys. 5, 1252 (1964).
[72] M . G leiser, P hys. Lett. B 600, 126 (2004).
[73] JD. C ole, Q uart. A ppl. M ath. 9, 225 (1951).
[74] E. H opf, C om m. Pure A ppl. M ath. 3, 201 (1950).
[75] G B. W hitham, Linear and N onlinear W aves (W iley, N ew Y ork, 1974).
[76] L. D ebnath, $N$ onlinear P artial D i erential Equations (B irkhauser, B oston, 1997).
[77] A M . K osevich, B A. Ivanov and A S. K ovalev, Phys. Rep. 194, 117 (1990).
[78] A A. B elavin and A M . Polyakov, JE TP Lett. 22, 245 (1975).
[79] S.W einberg, G ravitation and C osm ology (W iley, C hichester, 1972).
[80] R M . W ald, G eneral Relativity (U niversity of C hicago, C hicago, 1984).
[81] J. P olch insky, String T heory (C am bridge, C am bridge/U K , 1998).
[82] B . Zw iebach, A First C ourse in String T heory (C am bridge, C am bridge/U K , 2004).
[83] J. P oldhinski, P hys. R ev. Lett. 75, 4724 (1995).
[84] L. R andall and R. Sundrum, Phys. R ev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999).
[85] A. K arch and L. R andall, JH EP 0105, 008 (2001).
[86] D . B azeia, C . Furtado and A R . G om es, JC A P 0402, 002 (2004).
[87] D. B azeia and A R.G om es, JHEP 0405, 012 (2004).
[88] D. B azeia, F A. B rito and A R. G om es, JHEP 0411, (2004).
[89] O. D eW olfe, D Z.Freedm an, S.S.G ubser and A.K arch, Phys. R ev. D 62, 046008 (1999).
[90] C . C saki, J. E rlich, T J. H ollow ood and Y . Shim an, N ucl. Phys. B 581, 309 (2000).
[91] C. C saki, J. Erlich, C. G rojean and T J. H ollow ood, N ucl. P hys. B 584, 359 (2000).
[92] M . C vetic, S. G ri es and S. R ey, N ucl, Phys. B 381, 301 (1992).
[93] K. Skenderis and P.K.Townsend, Phys. Lett. B 468, 46 (1999).
[94] A. C am pos, P hys. R ev. Lett. 88, 141602 (2002).
[95] R . G regory and A. P adilla, P hys. R ev.D 65, 084013 (2002)
[96] A. M elfo, N. P antoja and A. Skirzew ski, P hys. R ev. D 67, 105003 (2003).
[97] A A. A ndrianov, V A.A ndrianov, P. G iacconi and R. Soldati, JH EP 0307, 063 (2003).
[98] D. B azeia, R . M enezes, A. Silva and I. Zafalam, work in progress.
[99] J. H ong, Y. K im and P.Y. P ac, P hys. R ev. Lett. 64,2230 (1990).
[100] R.Jackiv and E.W einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2234 (1990).

