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#### Abstract

Recently it has been observed that the group $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ can be used to describe a special type of quantum entanglem ent of seven qubits partitioned into seven tripartite system s. Here we show that this curious type of entanglem ent is entirely encoded into the discrete geom etry of the Fano plane. W e explicitly work out the details conceming a qubit interpretation of the $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ generators as representatives of tripartite protocols acting on the 56 dim ensional representation space. U sing these results we extend further the recently studied analogy betw een quantum inform ation theory and supersym $m$ etric black holes in four-dim ensional string theory. $W$ e point out that there is a dual relationship between entangled subsystem scontain ing three and four tripartite system s . This relationship is re ected in the structure of the expressions for the black hole entropy in the $\mathrm{N}=4$ and $N=2$ truncations of the $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}$ sym m etric area form of $\mathrm{N}=8$ supergravity. W e conjecture that a sim ilar picture based on other qubit system $s m$ ight hold for black hole solutions in $m$ agic supergravities.

PACS num bers: $03.65 \mathrm{Ud}, 04.70 \mathrm{Dy}, 03.67 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 02.40 . \mathrm{k}$


## I. INTRODUCTION

R ecently striking m ultiole relations have been established betw een two seem ingly unrelated strains of know ledge: quantum inform ation theory and the physics of four-dim ensional stringy black holes. The activity in this eld has started after a paper of Du ${ }^{1}$ pointing out a $m$ athem atical coincidence betw een the form of the $m$ acroscopic entropy for the fourdim ensionalBPS ST U black hole and the three-tangle ${ }^{2}$ of three-qubit system s expressed in term s of C ayley's hyperdeterm inant. This mathem atical coincidence is based on the sim ilar sym $m$ etry properties one encounters in these di erent physical situations. A sfar as classical supergravity is concemed in the STU m odel the group representing the sym $m$ etry in question is $S L(2 ; R)^{3}$ or, taking into account quantum corrections and the quantized nature of electric and $m$ agnetic charges $S L(2 ; Z)^{3}$. In $m$ odels of qubit system $s$ on the other hand the sym $m$ etry group is the one of stochastic local operations and classical com m unication ${ }^{3}$ (SLO C C ) which is SL (2;C ) ${ }^{3}$. Later by looking deeper into the structure of such models further $m$ athem atical sim ilarities have been found ${ }^{4}$. In their paper $K$ allosh and Linde have extended the validity of the relationship established betw een the three-tangle and the BP S ST U bladk hole entropy to non-BPS ones. T hey have also related the well-know n entangle$m$ ent classes of pure threequbit entanglem ent ${ }^{3}$ to di erent classes of stringy black holes in $\mathrm{N}=2$ supergravity. O foourse the appearance of sim ilarm athem aticalstructures in tw o disparate sub jects does not necessarily im ply a deeper unity how ever, the realization that these relations do exist $m$ ight tum out to be im portant for obtaining further insights in both elds. $M$ oreover, these $m$ athem atical coincidences in both of our theories are related to the sam e basic physical concepts nam ely entropy, inform ation and entanglem ent hence their occurrence in di erent physical situations deserves further investigation. Follow ing this guideline we have show $n^{\frac{5}{5}}$ that the extrem ization of the BPS m ass w ith respect to the com plex m oduli of the STU m odelis connected to the problem of nding an optim aldistillation protocolofa G H Z state from an initial one de ned by the charges and the m oduli. A ltematively, nding the frozen values of the $m$ oduli via the supersym $m$ etric attractor $m$ echanism ${ }^{6}$ is related to the quantum inform ation theoretic scenario of using a specialtype ofquantum algorithm for the $m$ axim ization of tripartite entanglem ent. T he entangled three-qubit states occurring in this protocol are com plex ones containing the quantized charges (4 electric and 4 m agnetic) and the com plex m oduli. H ow ever, it tums out that they are local unitary equivalent to
real quantum bits ("rebits"), in accordance w th the sym metry group $S L(2 ; R)^{3}$ of the STU m odel.

C an we generalize this nide picture form ore general types of four-dim ensionalblack hole solutions? The rst indication that this generalization $m$ ight be done cam e from K allosh and Linde ${ }^{4}$. They have em phasized the universal role of the $C$ artan $C$ rem $m$ ervulia $\mathrm{E}_{7 \text { (7) }}$ invariant is playing as the expression for the entropy of black holes and black rings in the $m$ ore general context of $N=8$ supergravity/M theory. By making use of the $S U$ (8) sym $m$ etry present in these $m$ odels they have shown that the three-tangle show $s$ up in this invariant too. H ow ever, the sym m etry group in the $N=8$ case is $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}$ and in the $N=2$ STU one it is $S L(2 ; R)^{3}$ hence in spite of this result it is not at all obvious that threequbit system $s$ are also relevant here. Indeed, as was rem arked by $P$ ioline ${ }^{7}$ according to Freudenthal's construction whidh underlies the derivation of the quartic $C$ artan $-C$ rem $m$ erJulia invariant based on the split octonions the electric and m agnetic charges are associated $w$ ith a square ( $w$ ith elem ents from $R$ in the diagonal and Jordan algebra elem ents in the o -diagonal) rather than a cube. Hence the threequbit interpretation of the STU m odel m ight be di cult to generalize.

H ow ever, in a recent paper ofD $u$ and Ferrara ${ }^{8}$ it tumed out that a three-qubit interpretation of the $E_{7}$ sym $m$ etric black hole entropy form ula is quite natural. $T$ he tridk is to take instead of a single threequbit system seven copies of them . The fiundam ental 56 dim ensional representation of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ can then be built from a suitable direct sum of such tripartite subsystem $s$. T he authors also presented the qubit form of the quartic $C$ artan- $C$ rem $m$ er-Julia invariant $J_{4}$ which they regarded as a m easure which should characterize this unusual type of tripartite entanglem ent of seven qubits. Finally they indicated how the im portant special cases of the $N=4$ and $N=2$ (STU m odel) supersym $m$ etric bladk hole entropy form ulas are incorporated into this form alism as special cases obtained by truncation.

The aim of the present paper is to investigate further the entanglem ent properties of this seven qubit system associated w ith the group $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ ( C ), to set the ground for establishing further possible relationships betw een quantum inform ation theory and the physics of stringy black holes. W e show that this curious type of entanglem ent is entirely encoded into the discrete geom etry of the Fano plane, the sm allest pro jective plane. W e point out that the entanglem ent associated to $\mathrm{E}_{7}(\mathrm{C})$ is just the one of an entangled lattioe de ned by the incidence graph of the Fano plane. W e explicitly work out the details conceming a qubit
intenpretation of the $E_{7}(C)$ in nitesim al operators as representatives of tripartite protocols acting on the 56 dim ensional representation space. U sing these results we extend further the recently studied analogy betw een quantum inform ation theory and supersym $m$ etric black holes in four-dim ensional string theory. W e point out that in this entangled lattioe there is a dual relationship between unnom alized entangled states containing three and four qubits, or using pro jective duality betw een subsystem scontaining three and four tripartite system $s$. This relationship is re ected in the structure of the expressions for the black hole entropy in the $\mathrm{N}=4$ and $\mathrm{N}=2$ truncations of the $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}$ sym m etric area form of $\mathrm{N}=8$ supergravity.

The organization of the paper is as follow s. In section II. we consider four qubit system s . Here we regard a particular four-qubit state as an operator that is in tum acting on entangled states form ing new entangled ones. W e can organize these four-qubit states taken together w th their associated SLO C C transform ations acting on them into an so ( 4 ; 4 ; C ) algebra. Next using triality and the four-qubit picture we de ne three di erent types of representations of so $(4 ; 4 ; C)$ to be used later. In section III. we show how the entangled system as discussed by Du and Ferrara ${ }^{8}$ is just the one encoded into the Fano plane w ith qubits attached to its vertioes. H ere we show that the di erent types of tripartite subsystem $s$ form ed from the seven qubits can be characterized by a $Z_{2}^{3}$ charge, hence the representation space for the fundam ental of $\mathrm{E}_{7}(\mathrm{C})$ is buitt from the direct sum of di erent superselection sectors. H ere an interesting connection w ith error correcting codes is also pointed out. Section IV . is devoted to the construction of the generators of the Lie-algebra e (C). In Section V. we sketch the construction of the explicit form of the fundam ental 56 of $E_{7}$ in term $s$ of tripartite protocols for seven qubits. C artan's invariant as a m easure of entanglem ent is introduced in Section VI.W e show how its structure can be understood in term s of the geom etric data of the dualFano plane. In tw o separate subsections we consider the problem s of truncating this invariant to lines and quadrangles of the dualFano plane. U sing the black hole analogy we show that the situation of truncation to a line corresponds to the one of truncating the $\mathrm{N}=8$ black hole soenario w ith m oduli space $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}=\mathrm{SU}(8)$ to the $\mathrm{N}=4$ one w ith m oduli space SL (2)=U (1) $S O(6 ; 6 ;)=S O(6) \quad S O(6) . W$ e nd that the truncation to a quadrangle com plem entary to the line giving rise to the $N=4$ case is just the $N=2$ truncation w ith the m oduli space being SO (12)=U (6). F inally an explicit correspondence between the 56 integer-valued am plitudes of the seven qubits and the 56 charges ( 28 electric and 28 m agnetic) is established. This result provides a link between the well-known 56 di-
$m$ ensional representation of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ as given by C artan ${ }^{15}$ and the one suggested by the quantum inform ation theoretic analogy. The conlcusions and the discussion are left for Section V II. Here we also com $m$ ent on a possibility of developing a qubit version of the solution of the attractor equations in the spirit of Ref. 5. in the m ore general $\mathrm{N}=8$ context. This process should correspond to the optim ization of the entanglem ent distributed along the entangled lattioe de ned by the Fano plane. In closing we con jecture that using the techniques as developed in this paper and the sim ilar ones developed in the $m$ athem atical literature by $E$ lduque ${ }^{9}$ and M anive ${ }^{\underline{10}}$ a quantum inform ation theoretic approach also to $m$ agic supergravities m ight exist. These approaches m ight provide additional insight into the structure of such theories.
II. THE GROUP SO $(4 ; 4 ; C) A N D$ FOUR QUBITS

A four qubit state can be written in the form

$w h e r e V_{a} C^{2}, a=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$. In this notation $\ddot{\mu}_{a} i\left(i_{a}=0 ; 1\right)$ are the canonical basis vectors of the ath qubit. The group of stochastic local operations and classical com $m$ unication ${ }^{\frac{3}{}}$ (SLO C C ) representing adm issible fourpartite protocols is SL (2;C ) ${ }^{4}$ acting on jias

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jiף } S_{1} \quad S_{2} \quad S_{3} \quad S_{4} j i ; \quad S_{a} 2 S L(2 ; C) ; \quad a=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the label a refers to the qubit the SLO C C transform ation is acting on .
O ur aim in this subsection is to give a uni ed description of four-qubit states and SLO C C transform ations. A s we w ill see states and transform ations taken together can be described in a uni ed $m$ anner using the group $S O(4 ; 4 ; C)$. This point of view is based on the idea of a dual characterization of four-qubits as states and at the sam e tim e as transform ations. Entangled states representing con gurations of quantum entanglem ent in this picture are also regarded as operators. An entangled state is a pattem of entanglem ent, how ever this pattem of entanglem ent can also be regarded as a one acting on other pattems of entangle$m$ ent to produce new kind of entanglem ent. This situation is rem iniscent of the situation
one encounters in topological eld theory, braid groups etc. where there is a shift from elem ents of a topological category to $m$ orphism $s$ in an associated category and vice versa. Let us see how this works in the case of four qubits.

W e can arrange the 16 com plex amplitudes appearing in $i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}$ in a $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix in six di erent ways
(4)
and their transposed $m$ atrices $\mathrm{D}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{D}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{D} \frac{\mathrm{T}}{2}$.
Let us introduce the $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{i} ; j=0 ; 1$ as
$T$ hen it is straightforw ard to check that the $m$ atrices above can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}(j i)={ }^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} E_{i_{1} i_{3}} \quad E_{i_{2} i_{4}}: V_{3} \quad V_{4}!V_{1} \quad V_{2}:  \tag{7}\\
& D_{1}(j i)=\quad{ }^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} E_{i_{1} i_{2}} \quad E_{i_{3} i_{4}}: V_{2} \quad V_{4}!V_{1} \quad V_{3}:  \tag{8}\\
& D_{2}(j i)=\quad{ }^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}_{2} i_{1}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}_{3} \mathrm{i}_{4}}: \mathrm{V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{4}!\quad \mathrm{V}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{3}: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the transposed $m$ aps $D_{0}^{T}, D{ }_{1}^{T}$ and $D \frac{T}{2} m$ ap the spaces in reversed order. Hence we see that a four-qubit state can also be regarded as an operatorm apping a tw o-qubit state which is an elem ent of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}$ to another one belonging to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

Let us de ne now the natural sym plectic structure! :V V ! C on V $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ given by its action on the com putationalbase

D e ne m oreover, the new matrioes

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D_{0}(j i)= & i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4} & E_{i_{1} i_{3}} " \\
E_{i_{2} i_{4}} ": \\
D_{1}(j i)= & i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4} & E_{i_{1} i_{2}} "  \tag{13}\\
E_{i_{3} i_{4}} ": \\
D_{2}(j i)=i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4} E_{i_{2} i_{1}} " & E_{i_{3} i_{4}} ":
\end{array}
$$

First we construct three 88 representations of $S O(4 ; 4 ; C)$ as follows (triality). T he carrier of the 8 dim ensional representation $R 0$ is the space $V_{1} \quad V_{2} \quad V_{3} \quad V_{4}$. The carrier spaces of the representations $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are obtained by perm uting the 123 labels of the vector spaces $V_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ accordingly, i.e. they are $V_{1} \quad V_{3} \quad V_{2} \quad V_{4}$ and $V_{2} \quad V_{3} \quad V_{1} \quad V_{4}$. Let us de ne the $W$ ootters ${ }^{11}$ spin ip operation used in quantum inform ation as

Then the three representatives of the four-qubit states regarded now as suitable operators intertw ining pairs of qubits (related to the $8_{v} \quad 8_{s} \quad 8_{c}$ triality) can be written in the form

$$
R_{I}(j i)=@
$$

H ence using the triality construction above we can associate to the 16 basis vectors of the four-qubit H ilbert space $3 \quad 16,8 \quad 8 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \text { 1 } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

H ow can we also include the SLO C C transform ations in this SO (4;4;C ) picture? Since SL ( $2 ; \mathrm{C})^{4}$ SO (4;4;C) it is natural to expect that the generators of its Lie algebra $\left(\mathrm{s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{3} ; \mathrm{s}_{4}\right) 2 \mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C}) \quad \mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C})^{4}$ are represented by suitably de ned block diagonal entries of the representations $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}$. In order to see that it is really the case we should de ne in the qubit picture a convenient realization for the generators of $\mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C})$.

De ne the $m$ atrioes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i j} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(E_{i j} "+E_{j i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. these are of the form

Let us de ne the representatives of these generators of sl(2;C ) ${ }^{4}$ as follow $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}\left(S_{i_{1} j_{1}} ; S_{i_{2} j_{2}} ; S_{i_{3} j_{3}} ; S_{i_{4} j_{4}}\right)=@^{S_{i_{1} j_{1}}} \begin{array}{ccccc}
I+I & S_{i_{3} j_{3}} & 0 & A \\
0 & & S_{i_{2} j_{2}} & I+I & S_{i_{4} j_{4}}
\end{array}  \tag{22}\\
& 0 \\
& R_{0}\left(S_{i_{1} j_{1}} ; S_{i_{2} j_{2}} ; S_{i_{3} j_{3}} ; S_{i_{4} j_{4}}\right)=@^{S_{i_{2} j_{2}}} \begin{array}{ccccc}
I+I & S_{i_{3} j_{3}} & 0 & A \\
& 0 & & S_{i_{1} j_{1}} & I+I \\
S_{i_{4} j_{4}}
\end{array} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to check that these $3 \quad 4 \quad 16=28$ generators close to form a Lie-algebra which is just so (4;4;C) the only com mutator we have to check is the one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{R}_{I}\left(\ddot{\ddot{H}}_{1} i_{2} i_{3} \dot{i}_{4} i\right) ; R_{I}\left(\ddot{j}_{1} \dot{j}_{2} \dot{j}_{3} j_{4} i\right)\right]: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the rem aining ones are trivial due to the subalgebra property of $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; C)^{4}$, and the comm utator $R_{I}\left(\left[\left(S_{1} ; S_{2} ; S_{3} ; S_{4}\right) ; \ddot{\mu}_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4} i\right]\right)$ is de ned by the very action of the SLO CC group on the four qubit states. To calculate the com m utator Eq. (24) we need the identities

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{i j} " \quad E_{j i} "="_{j i} I  \tag{25}\\
\left(E_{i j} "\right)\left(E_{k i} "\right)="_{j k}\left(E_{i 1} "\right) ; \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

which can be proved using the identity ! (v;u)w + ! (w; v) u + ! (u;w)v=0 for v=7izu=
 $V!V$ is just $E_{i j}$ ". $F$ inally, we obtain for the com $m$ utator $E q$. (24) the form

$$
\left.\mathbb{R}_{I}\left(\ddot{\eta}_{1} \dot{i}_{2} \dot{i}_{3} \dot{i}_{4} i\right) ; R_{I}\left(\ddot{j}_{1} \dot{j}_{2} j_{3} \dot{j}_{4} i\right)\right]=\begin{array}{lll}
X^{4} & Y & !  \tag{27}\\
a=1 & a \& b
\end{array} \prod_{i_{b} j_{b}} \quad R_{I}\left(S_{i_{a} j_{a}}\right) ;
$$

here we slightly smpli ed the notation by de ning e.g. $R\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}_{3} j_{3}}\right) \quad R\left(0 ; 0 ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}_{3} j_{3}} ; 0\right)$ etc. H enœ we have a closed Lie-algebra structure. In order to show that it is just the algebra so ( $4 ; 4 ; \mathrm{C}$ ) the only thing we have to do is to use Eqs. (14-15) to show that
which indeed holds.
III. THEGROUP E 7 (C)AND THE FANO PLANE

In the paper ofD $u$ and Ferrara seven qubits were considered which are entangled in a very specialw ay. The seven qubits contain only tripartite entanglem ent, and the distribution of this curious type of entanglem ent is govemed by the geom etry of the second largest exceptional group $E_{7}$ (C ). Explicitly Du and Ferrara considers the state

$$
\begin{align*}
j i & =a_{A B C} \nexists B C i+b_{C E F} \mathcal{A C F i}+G_{B F D} \mathcal{B F D i}+d_{D A E} D A E i \\
& +\Theta_{E B G} \nexists B G i+f_{F G A} F G A i+g_{G D C} j G D C i \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where in their notation $A ; B ; C ; D ; E ; F ; G=0 ; 1$ and these labels are corresponding to seven parties: A lige, B ob, C harlie, D aisy, Em m a, Fred and G eorge. (N ote that for our later convenience we slightly changed their notation by exchanging B and C .) As the authors notioe the entanglem ent encoded in the state $j$ i has the follow ing properties:

1. A ny pair of states has an individual in com $m$ on.


FIG. 1: The Fano plane w ith seven copies of the tw o dim ensional com plex vector space V corresponding to qubits $A ; B ; C ; D ; E ; F$; and $G$ attached to its vertioes.
2. Each individual is exchuded from four out of the seven states.
3. Two given individuals are excluded from two out of the seven states.
4. Three given individuals never excluded.

Then they represent this type of seven qubit entanglem ent by a heptagon $w$ ith vertioes $A ; B ; C ; D ; E ; F ; G$ and triangles $A B C, C E F, B E D, D A E, E B G, F G A$ and $G D C$.

It is easy to show that the properties 1: 4: together w ith the heptagon picture is equivalent to attaching seven qubits to the vertioes of the Fano plane. T he Fano plane, the $s m$ allest projective plane, (see Fig. 1.) is a liltle gadget containing seven points and seven lines. It has three points on every line and three lines through every point. T he two dim ensional com plex vector spaces corresponding to the seven qubits of the seven parties are denoted by $V_{A}, V_{B}, V_{C}, V_{D}, V_{E}, V_{F}$ and $V_{G}$. $T$ hese vector spaces are attached to the vertiges of the Fano plane. T he seven pro jective lines in this picture correspond to the seven triangles of D u and Ferrara representing tripartite entanglem ent. Looking at Fig. 1. it is obvious that properties 1: 4: are satis ed. M oreover, the incidence graph of the Fano plane can be described by using tw o heptagons w ith their vertiaes corresponding to lines and points respectively. An altemative picture is obtained by using $m$ erely one heptagon w ith seven triangles representing the lines. T his is precisely w hat can be seen in Fig . 1 . of


F IG . 2: T he Fano plane w ith the associated qubits labelled by elem ents of $\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}$.

Du and Ferrara.
Let us now adopt a $Z_{2}^{3}$ labelling of our qubits (points in the Fano plane),

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A ; B ; C ; D ; E ; F ; G) T \quad(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7) T \quad(001 ; 010 ; 011 ; 100 ; 101 ; 110 ; 111) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Fig 2. For the labelling of the tripartite states (lines of the Fano plane) we choose the convention ( F ig. 3.)

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{H}_{001}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{3}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{011} \\
\mathrm{H}_{010}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{5}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} \\
\mathrm{H}_{011}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{6} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{110} & \mathrm{~V}_{111} \\
\mathrm{H}_{100}=\mathrm{V}_{3} & \mathrm{~V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{011} & \mathrm{~V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{111} \\
\mathrm{H}_{101}=\mathrm{V}_{3} & \mathrm{~V}_{5} & \mathrm{~V}_{6}=\mathrm{V}_{011} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} & \mathrm{~V}_{110} \\
\mathrm{H}_{110}=\mathrm{V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{6}=\mathrm{V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{110} \\
\mathrm{H}_{111}=\mathrm{V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{5} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} & \mathrm{~V}_{111}: \tag{37}
\end{array}
$$



FIG . 3: The Fano plane w th the tripartite spaces H , $2 \mathrm{z}_{2}^{3}$ associated to its lines.

W e can neatly sum $m$ arize this labelling convention by using the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ linear m ap

$$
\begin{align*}
& : \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}!\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{7} \\
& (; ;) 7 \quad(;+; \quad ; \quad+\quad ;++;+) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

For exam ple $m$ aps (110) to (1010101). The zeros are at the 2nd, 4th and 6th slot, so the tensor product structure of the sixth tripartite system i.e. $H_{110}$ is $V_{2} \quad V_{4} \quad V_{6}=V_{B} \quad V_{D} \quad V_{F}$ ie. the threequbit state of Bob , D aisy and Fred. N otioe that the $7 \quad 7 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix

is just the com plem ent of the incidence $m$ atrix of the Fano plane $w$ th this labelling convention. (If the row s of this $m$ atrix label lines and its colum ns label points, then a particular elem ent of the com plem ent of the incidence $m$ atrix is 0 if the given point belongs to the given line, otherw ise it is 1 . For exam ple in the sixth colum n we have zeros in the third, fth and
sixth row corresponding to the fact that the sixth point of the Fano plane belongs to third, fth and sixth line. See F igs 2. and 3.) N ote, that the incidence m atrix above is intim ately related to error correcting codes, a topic under intense scrutiny in quantum inform ation. $N$ am ely, it is related to the $H$ am $m$ ing $[7,4]$ code correcting up to 1 and detecting up to 3 errors. Its seven codew ords are precisely the row sof this $m$ atrix. This code is a maxim um distance separable, M D S code ${ }^{12,13}$. Later we will see that the incidence matrix encodes all the inform ation to build up the 56 dim ensional representation of the group $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ (C). This coincidence $m$ ight provide another interesting link betw een the topics of stringy black holes and quantum inform ation theory $y^{1,4,5, \frac{8}{3}}$.

H aving clari ed the connection between the entangled state j i ofEq. (29) and the Fano plane, now we tum to the question of clarifying its relation to the group $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ (C). Let us consider the 56 dim ensional com plex vector space

$$
H=\begin{array}{lllllll}
H_{001} & H_{010} & H_{011} & H_{100} & H_{101} & H_{110} & H_{111}=  \tag{40}\\
\underbrace{M}_{(000)} \quad H \quad ; \quad 2 Z_{2}^{3}: ~
\end{array}
$$

In this notation the state $j$ i of Eq. (29) of seven qubits containing only tripartite entanglem ent can be represented as a seven com ponent vector

where each com ponent in tum has eight com ponents. $N$ otige that the tensor product structure of the components is entirely xed by the $m$ ap of Eq. (38), or the incidence $m$ atrix Eq. (39). For exam ple let us consider ${ }^{101}$ i.e. the fth state. In the fth row of the incidence $m$ atrix we have zeros at the third, fth and sixth place. H enœ we have the tensor product structure $V_{3} \quad V_{5} \quad V_{6}=V_{C} \quad V_{E} \quad V_{F} . H$ ence the tripartite subsystem in question is that of C harlie, Em m a and Fred. A ltematively we can label the eight am plitudes of this state as $\stackrel{i_{3}}{\mathrm{i}_{3} i_{5} \mathrm{i}_{6}}$.

Let us now $m$ otivate the result (to be discussed in the next section) that a natural action ofe, i.e. the Lie-algebra of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ can be de ned on H . Looking badk at Eqs. (31-37) we can see that $H$ can also be w ritten in the follow ing form

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllll} 
& V_{1} & V_{2} & V_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{V}_{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lllll} 
& V_{45} & \left.V_{67}\right) & V_{2} & \left(V_{46}\right.  \tag{42}\\
V_{57}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we introduced the abbreviation $\begin{array}{llll}V_{45} & V_{4} & V_{5} & \text { etc. A ccording to the results of the }\end{array}$ previous section we can de ne an action of the generators of so ( 4 ; 4; C) regarded as elem ents $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { of } V_{4} & V_{5} & V_{6} & V_{7} & \text { on the spaces } & V_{45} & V_{67}\end{array}, V_{46} \quad V_{57}$ and $V_{56} \quad V_{47}$ consisting of pairs of bipatite states. Indeed the generators acting on those spaces are precisely the ones de ned by the representations $\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ (see Eqs. (16-18) and Eqs. (21-23)). T hese generators are of two type. The ones de ned by the basis vectors of four-qubit states i.e. the ones of $R_{I}\left(\ddot{\mu}_{4} i_{5} i_{6} i_{7} i\right)$, and the ones $R_{I}\left(s_{4} ; s_{5} ; s_{6} ; S_{7}\right)$ com ing from the generators of the SLOCC group. M oreover, we see that this action is annihilating the subspace $H^{001}=V_{1} \quad V_{2} \quad V_{3}$ i.e. the tripartite state of $A$ lige , $B$ ob and C harlie. Let us label the so ( $4 ; 4 ; \mathrm{C}$ ) generators $R_{I}$ ( $\left.\ddot{\mu}_{4} i_{5} i_{6} i_{7} i\right)$ by the label of the subspace they are annihilating. We de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{I}\left(T_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6} i_{7}}^{001}\right) \quad R_{I}\left(\ddot{\ddot{m}}_{4} i_{5} \dot{i}_{6} \dot{i}_{7} i\right): \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otioe again that the label (001) de nes the line (001) on the Fano plane. Is com plem ent is a quadrangle. In this case this is the quadrangle de ned by the points 4567. H ence the altemative notation $R_{I}\left(T_{i j k 1}^{001}\right)$ uniquely de nes the generator in question. Indeed, the label (001) tells that the spaces involved are $V_{4} ; V_{5} ; V_{6}$ and $V_{7}$ w ith the corresponding indices $i ; j ; k$ and 1 taking the values $0 ; 1$, and the label $I=0 ; 1 ; 2$ xes the pairs ofbipartite states it is acting on, nam ely $V_{45} \quad V_{67}, V_{46} \quad V_{57}$ and $V_{56} \quad V_{47}$ respectively. Hence again as in the case of states (Eq.(41)) also in the case of operators it is enough to consider $m$ erely the com fortable $Z_{2}^{3}$ labelling.

N ow for the good part. N otioe that $R_{I}\left(T^{001}\right)$ is anninilating $H^{001}$ and intertw ining the rem aining subspaces as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{001}\right): \mathrm{H}_{010} \$ \mathrm{H}_{011} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{001}\right): \mathrm{H}_{110} \$ \mathrm{H}_{111}  \tag{44}\\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{001}\right): \mathrm{H}_{100} \$ \mathrm{H}_{101}:
\end{align*}
$$

Eq.(44) has a nice physical interpretation. Let us regard the $Z_{2}^{3}$ labels as som e sort of discrete charge labelling inequivalent superselection sectors. H ence the seven tripartite states of Eq.(40) form ed from the seven qubits have di erent $Z_{2}^{3}$ charge. M oreover, let the operators acting on such states also have such charge. Then Eq.(44) show $s$ that the particular transfor$m$ ation $R\left(T^{001}\right)$ is connecting those tripartite states forwhich the $Z_{2}^{3}$ sum nule $+{ }^{0}={ }^{\infty}$ is satis ed. The usual four-qubit in nitesim alSLO C C transform ations on the qubits ofD aisy, Emma, Fred and George are not changing the superselection sector, they are neutral w ith respect to this $Z_{2}^{3}$ charge. H ow ever the ones of Eq. (44) are representing a new type of transform ations corresponding to protocols operating between tripartite sectors $w$ ith di erent charge.

The next step is to generalize these observations by considering all of our seven tripartite system sequivalent. W e have seven lines corresponding to these system s. The com plem ents of these lines de ne unique quadrangles in the Fano plane. Since to the points of the Fano plane we have attached qubits, it follow s that to its quadrangles we can assign four-qubit states. $T$ hese four-qubit states according to the correspondence ofSection II. de ne operators acting on pairs of bipartite states. H ence by introducing six further decom positions of the type as given by Eq. (42) we can construct six further so (4;4;C) actions on H.We can organize the generators of the SLO C C transform ations of these seven so (4;4;C) algebras to a single $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; C)^{7}$ algebra. These $7 \quad 3=21$ generators have no $Z_{2}^{3}$ charge. H ow ever, there are also operators having the $Z_{2}^{3}$ charges: (001), (010), (011), (100), (101), (110) and (111). Their num ber is $7 \quad 16=112$. H enœe altogether we have a 133 com plex dim ensional vector space, which hopefiully can be given the structure of a Lie-algebra, which should be e/ (C ) . Luckily som e recent results in the $m$ athem atics literature guarantee that this construction indeed yields the Lie-algebra e, (C ). In the next section we attem pt a quantum inform ation theoretic m otivation of this result. M oreover we also explicitly construct the 56 dim ensional representation ofe, in term s of transform ations corresponding to sevenpartite protocols. A s we have already leamt these are of tw o type: SLO C C transform ations, and transform ations connecting the di erent tripartite system $s$. It is the construction of these transform ations now we tum.
IV. THELIEALGEBRAOFE7 IN TERMSOFSEVEN QUBITS

In the previous section we have con jectured that the $L$ ie-algebra $e_{7}(C$ ) can be understood as a direct sum of eight vector spaces. The rst one is $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; C)^{7}$ and the rem aining seven ones are related to four-qubit states associated to the seven quadrangles of the Fano plane that are in tum com plem ents to the lines corresponding to our seven tripartite states. Let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& W_{000}=s l(2 ; C)^{7}:  \tag{45}\\
W_{001} & =\mathrm{V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{5} & \mathrm{~V}_{6} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} & \mathrm{~V}_{110} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{010}=\mathrm{V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{3} & \mathrm{~V}_{6} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{011} & \mathrm{~V}_{110} & \mathrm{~V}_{111} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{011}=\mathrm{V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{3} & \mathrm{~V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{5}=\mathrm{V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{011} & \mathrm{~V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{100}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{5} & \mathrm{~V}_{6}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} & \mathrm{~V}_{110} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{101}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{2} & \mathrm{~V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{010} & \mathrm{~V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{111} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{110}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{3} & \mathrm{~V}_{5} & \mathrm{~V}_{7}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{011} & \mathrm{~V}_{101} & \mathrm{~V}_{111} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{111}=\mathrm{V}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{3} & \mathrm{~V}_{4} & \mathrm{~V}_{6}=\mathrm{V}_{001} & \mathrm{~V}_{011} & \mathrm{~V}_{100} & \mathrm{~V}_{110}
\end{array}
$$

Follow ing $R$ ef. 9. let us now de ne an $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; C)^{7}$ invariant map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F} ; \quad: \mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad!\mathrm{W}+; \quad ; \quad 2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follow s.

1. $\mathrm{F}(000) ;(000)$ is just the Lie bracket in $\mathrm{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C})^{7}$.
2. $\mathrm{F}_{(000) ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1\end{array}\right)}=\mathrm{F}_{\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 2\end{array}\right) ;(000)}$ is given by the action of $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C})^{7}$ on $W$. For exam ple

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{(000) ;(001)}\left(\left(S_{1} ; S_{2} ; S_{3} ; S_{4} ; S_{5} ; S_{6} ; S_{7}\right) ; \ddot{\eta}_{4} \dot{1}_{5} \dot{i}_{6} \dot{m}_{7} \dot{1}\right)= \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

3. For F ; we take seven copies of the $\mathrm{so}(4 ; 4 ; \mathrm{C})$ com m utator giving rise to Eq. (27) of Section II. For exam ple
where $b=1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 7$ and we used the abbreviation e.g. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{j}_{2}}=\left(0 ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}_{2} j_{2}} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0\right) . \mathrm{N}$ otice also that here we have also included a minus sign to get nicer expressions later on.
4. For $\ddagger$ and $(000) \not E$; is obtained by contraction $w$ ith two "s in the indices corresponding to the tw o parties the two four-qubit states share. (Recall, all of the pairs taken from the seven quadrangles in the Fano plane have two points in com m on.) For exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{(110) ;(011)}\left(\ddot{j}_{1} i_{3} i_{5} i_{7} i_{i} \dot{j}_{2} j_{3} \dot{j}_{4} j_{5} i\right)=\quad "_{i_{3} j_{3}} "_{i_{5} j_{5}} \ddot{j}_{1} j_{2} \dot{j}_{4} i_{7} i: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can write Eqs. (48-49) in the $m$ ore instructive form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ! } \\
& {\left[T_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{4} i_{7}}^{101} ; T_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{4} j_{7}}^{101}\right]=\underset{a=1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 7}{ } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~b}
\end{array} \boldsymbol{i}_{\mathrm{i}_{b} j_{b}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}_{a} j_{a}}^{000} ;}  \tag{50}\\
& {\left[T_{i_{1} i_{3} i_{5} i_{7}}^{110} ; T_{j_{2} j_{3} j_{4} j_{5}}^{011}\right]=\quad "_{i_{3} j_{3}} "_{i_{5} j_{5}} T_{i_{1} j_{2} j_{4} i_{7}}^{101} ;} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

by introducing the in nitesim al operators $T, 2 Z_{2}^{3}$. The im portant subtlety here is the calculation of the signs appearing in Eq. (50-51). It was show $n$ in Ref.9. that if we take for the com $m$ utators of such type the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{T}]=(;) \mathrm{F} ;(\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{T}) \text {; } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the value of ( ; ) is 1 and the signs are precisely the ones appearing in the m ultiplication table of the octonions, then the Lie algebra we get is e (C ). H ence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{7}={ }_{2 z_{2}^{3}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~W}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The octonionic multiplication table producing the correct signs for (;) is given in term s of the basis vectors ( $\left.f_{000} ; f_{001} ; f_{010} ; f_{011} ; f_{100} ; f_{101} ; f_{110} ; f_{111}\right)\left(1 ; j_{j} ; k ; l ; i l ; j l ; k l\right)$ as follow. U sing pro jective duality let us introduce the dualFano plane w ith its points corresponding to the lines of the original one. Since to the lines we have attached the tripartite spaces H , $2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}(000)$ there is a natural correspondence betw een these tripartite spaces H and the octonionic basis vectors $\mathrm{f}, 2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}(000)$. M oreover, there is also a one-to-one


F IG . 4: T he m ultiplication table of the octonions as represented by the oriented Fano plane.
correspondence between lines and their com plem ents (quadrangles) which are related to the superselection sectors $W$, $2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}(000)$. H ence it is natural to con jecture that the convention for the signs appearing in the octonionic m ultiplication table for the basis vectors $f$ is som ehow related to the one appearing in the com $m$ utators of the generators belonging to the sectors $W$. In Ref. 9. it has been proved that the sign convention dictated by the octonionic basis $f, 2 Z_{2}^{3}$ is precisely the one appearing in the $e_{7}(C)$ com mutators of $E q$. (52). In other w ords we have an octonionic grading ${ }^{10}$ on $e_{l}(C)$.

In order to $x$ our convention conceming the multiplication table of the octonions we take an oriented copy of the Fano plane w ith its points corresponding now to the octonionic basis vectors labelled as e, $2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}$ see F igure 4. T he correspondence betw een the basis vectors of $E$ lduque ${ }^{9}(i, j, k, 1, i l, j l, k l)$ and us is given as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(e_{000} ; e_{001} ; e_{010} ; e_{011} ; e_{100} ; e_{101} ; e_{110} ; e_{111}\right) \$ \quad(1 ; j ; j ; k ; l ; \quad i] ; j l ; k l\right): \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $N$ ote, that in particular $e_{001} \mathrm{e}_{100}=\mathrm{e}_{101}$, but $\mathrm{f}_{001} \mathrm{f}_{100}=\mathrm{f}_{101}$. ) H ence from the $m$ ultiplication table as given by this oriented Fano plane and the correspondence of Eq. (54) ( ; ) can be obtained.

In closing this section we rem ark that there is also an explicit form ula for ( ; ). It is given by

The existence of this formula is related to the fact that octonions form a tw isted group algebra ${ }^{14}$ satisfying $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}=(;) \mathrm{f}_{+}$. Notioe that in particular (;)=1, for all $2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3} \quad(000)$ giving an explanation for our introducing the extra negative sign in Eq. (48).
V. THEFUNDAMENTALOFE7 IN TERMSOFSEVEN QUBITS

In order to construct the action of the generators ofe, on the space $H$ as a rst step let us try to understand the $\mathrm{so}(4 ; 4 ; \mathrm{C})$ action of one of the generators $\mathrm{T} ;(000) \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g} . \mathrm{T}^{001}$. $T$ his operator is associated w ith the quadrangle 4567 hence its index structure is $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}_{4} \mathrm{i}_{5} \mathrm{i}_{6} \mathrm{i}_{7}}^{001}$. $F$ irst of all let us denote the 56 dim ensional representation of $T^{001}$ as ( $\mathrm{T}^{001}$ ) (leaving the indiges $i_{4} i_{5} i_{6} i_{7}$ im plicit). Then using the decom position as given by Eq. (42) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{001}\right)=\left(T^{001}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and
where $1=I \quad I \quad$ I. Hence the representation is factorized to a block diagonal m atrix (im plicitly depending also on the labelijkl) and on a perm utation (which is only depending on the $Z_{2}^{3}$ label). N otice also that the structure of ${ }^{001}$ is entirely $x e d$ by the action of the label (001) on the $Z_{2}^{3}$ labels of $H$.

Let us now take an operator belonging to a di erent superselection sector e.g. $\mathrm{T}^{010}$. This operator is associated w ith the quadrangle 2367 hence its index structure is $T_{j_{2} j_{3} j_{6} j_{7}}^{010} C$ onsider now the new decom position

This vector space is the sam e as $H$ up to ordering of the sum $m$ ands, and the last four term $s$ in this direct sum hasbeen sub jected to the perm utation $P_{12}$ exchanging the corresponding rst tw o qubits. From this decom position it is obvious that now the subspace $H_{010}=V_{1} \quad V_{4} \quad V_{5}$ is annihilated. M oreover $R_{0}$ is acting on $V_{23} \quad V_{67}, R_{1}$ on $V_{26} \quad V_{37}$ and $R_{2}$ on $V_{36} \quad V_{27}$. U sing this it is easy to see that the operator ( $\mathrm{T}^{010}$ ) acting now on the original space H is again of the form $\left(T^{010}\right)=\left(T^{010}\right){ }^{010}$ where

|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 C |
|  | 0 | 0 | I $\sim_{0}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 C |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 C |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ |  |  |  | 0 C |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ |  | 0 C |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{I}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{D}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{12} \\ & (60) \end{aligned}$ |

and

U sing Eq. (12) it is im portant to realize that for exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{12} I \quad D_{1}\left(T_{j_{2} j_{j} j_{6} j_{7}}^{010}\right) P_{12}=\left(E_{j 2 j_{3}}\right) " \quad I \quad\left(E_{j 6 j_{7}}\right) " ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

etc. so we expect that the operators occurring in the diagonal of any ( T ) are always of the form I E" E", E" I E" and E" E" I. A straightforward check for the rem aining ve cases show s that this is indeed the case. N otioe that the explicit form of these operators for a xed $Z_{2}^{3}$ label together w th their implicit index structure is controlled by the perm utation $m$ atrix and the corresponding decom position sim ilar to the one in Eq.(42). H ow ever, the structure of these ingredients is in tum encoded in the geom etry of the Fano plane. H ence we can conclude that the structure of the fiundam ental 56 dim ensional representation ofes (C) together w ith the explicit action of its generators can be built from operators representing tripartite protocols on seven tripartite states on an entangled lattioe de ned by the Fano plane. The generators of $e_{7}$ not belonging to the SLOCC subalgebra sl(2;C ) ${ }^{7}$ are always of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{T})=(\mathrm{T}) ; \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a 7 diagonalm atrix containing a zero and six operators of the form
(" E E ) (" " "); (E " E) (" " "); (E E ") (" " ");
$m$ ultiplied by a perm utation $m$ atrix entangling the di erent tripartite states. The action of the in nitesim alSLO C C transform ations sl(2;C ) ${ }^{7}$ is the usualone, i.e. each of the seven tripartite states transform s under the corresponding three copies of the 22 representation of $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; \mathrm{C})$ and are behaving as singlets under the rem aining four.

In order to check one set of com m utation relations, nam ely the one [ ( $\left.T^{001}\right)$; ( $\left.T^{010}\right)$ ] let us also give explicitly the generators of the superselection sector 011 . U sing the decom position

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
\left(V_{1}\right. & \mathrm{V}_{6} & \left.\mathrm{~V}_{7}\right) & \mathrm{V}_{1} & \binom{\mathrm{~V}_{23}}{\mathrm{~V}_{45}} & \mathrm{~V}_{6} & \left(\mathrm{~V}_{24}\right. & \left.\mathrm{V}_{35}\right) & \mathrm{V}_{7} & \left(\mathrm{~V}_{34}\right. & \left.\mathrm{V}_{25}\right): ~ \tag{65}
\end{array}\right.
$$

one can see that they are of the form


A straightforw ard calculation using the identities Eqs. (25-26) gives the result

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(T_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6} i_{7}}^{001}\right. \tag{68}
\end{array}\right) ;\left(T_{j_{2} j_{3} j_{6} j_{7}}^{00}\right)\right]="_{i_{6} j_{6}} i_{i_{7} j_{7}}\left(T_{j_{2} j_{3} i_{4} i_{5}}^{011}\right)
$$

in accordance w ith Eq. (52). (N ote that according to the octonionic multiplication table we have $(001 ; 010)=+1$ hence we have a plus sign .)

Now we have the 56 dim ensional $m$ atrix representations $\left(T_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6} i_{7}}^{001}\right),\left(T_{i_{2} i_{3} i_{6} i_{7}}^{010}\right)$ and $\left(T_{i_{2} i_{i} i_{i} i_{5}}^{011}\right)$ of the $e(C)$ generators $T$ for $=(001) ;(010) ;(011) . C$ learly these 48 operators (T ) and the 18 SLO C C generators are form ing the vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sl}(2 ; C)^{6} \quad W_{001} W_{010} W_{011} \text { : } \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to Eqs. (46-52) on this subspace we have a Lie-algebra structure, which is just the Lie-algebra so ( $6 ; 6 ; C$ ). H ence the $m$ atrices $(T)$ for $=(001) ;(010) ;(011)$ and the $m$ atrices of the SLO C C operators $m$ anipulating on all of the qubits except A lice's, form a representation of $s o(6 ; 6 ; C)$. From the explicit form of these $m$ atrices (see Eqs. (57-58), (60-61) and (66-67)) we can see that this representation is reducible. It contains a 32 and a 24 dim ensional representation. The 32 dim ensional representation is the irrep of so (6;6)
which should be present ${ }^{8}$ in the restriction of the 56 ofe, to the $m$ axim al subalgebra sl(2) so (6;6). The 24 dim ensional representation is acting on the space $H_{001} \quad H_{010} \quad H_{011}$ i.e. in the notation ofD $u$ and Ferrara ${ }^{8}$ on the set $\left(a_{A B C} ; d_{A D E} ; f_{A F G}\right)$ (see the correspondence as given by Eq.(41)). Restriction from $\mathrm{E}_{7}(\mathrm{C})$ to the case of $\mathrm{E}_{7}(\mathrm{Z})$ this is the subsector corresponding to black hole solutions in $N=4$ supergravity $w$ ith no vector m ultiplets. The sym $m$ etry in this case is $S L(2 ; Z) \quad S O(6 ; 6 ; Z)$, and the black hole charges are belonging to the $(2 ; 12)$ representation $w$ th 12 electric and 12 m agnetic charges. W e can arrange
 and $q=\left({ }_{1 i_{2} i_{3}}^{001} ;{ }_{1 i_{4} i_{5}}^{010} ;{ }_{1 i_{6} i_{7}}^{011}\right)$. In this way we have obtained an explicitt construction of the decom position

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{E}_{7} & \mathrm{SL}(2) \quad \mathrm{SO}(6 ; 6) \\
56! & (2 ; 12)+(1 ; 32) \tag{70}
\end{array}
$$

using the form alism of tripartite protocols of quantum inform ation theory.
It is also clear that by looking back at the explicit form of the operators ( $\mathrm{T}^{001}$ ) as given by Eqs. (57-58) and the decom position of Eq. (42) we can understand the further decom position

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { SL (2) } \quad \text { SO (6;6) } \quad \text { SL (2) } \quad \text { SL (2) } \quad \text { SL (2) } \quad \text { SO (4;4) } \\
& (2 ; 12)+(1 ; 32)!(2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 1)+\left(2 ; 1 ; 1 ; 8_{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\left(1 ; 2 ; 1 ; 8_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\left(1 ; 1 ; 2 ; 8_{\mathrm{C}}\right): \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, $(2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 1)$ is acting on $H 001=V_{1} \quad V_{2} \quad V_{3},\left(2 ; 1 ; 1 ; 8_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ is acting on the space $V_{1} \quad\left(V_{45} \quad V_{67}\right)$ w ith our $8_{v}$ representation $R_{0}$ as given by Eqs. (16) and (21) is acting on $V_{45} \quad V_{67}$. Sim ilarly $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ correspond to the spinor representations $8_{s}$ and $8_{C}$. Hence 123 (ie. A B C ) triality is linked w the the $8_{\mathrm{v}} \quad 8_{\mathrm{s}} \quad 8_{\mathrm{c}}$ triality of $\mathrm{SO}(4 ; 4)$ as was observed by Du and Ferrara ${ }^{8}$.

The explicit form of the rem aining generators (T ) with = (100); (101); (110); (111) can be constructed using decom positions sim ilar to the ones ofEq. (42), (59) and (65). The generators not belonging to the SLO C C subalgebra are again of the (63) form where in the diagonal operators again we have the terms $I \quad D_{I}$ and $I \quad \Gamma_{I}, I=0 ; 1 ; 2$ sub jected to suitable perm utations which are in tum dictated by the special form of the decom position.

The resulting operators apart from a zero occurring in the diagonal corresponding to the tripartite subspace $H$ the operator ( $T$ ) is annihilating, are alw ays of the (64) form . The order of the six term s of this kind is again xed by the decom position. H ow ever, there are two im portant subtleties. The rst is the appearance of perm utations perm uting also the qubits within the superselection sectors. H ence unlike in Eqs. (58), (61) and (67) where in
only the operator 1 = I I I appeared, in these new cases we have also operators like $P_{123}$ perm uting the qubits cyclically. For exam ple one can check that 100 is of this form where apart from the usualoccurrence of 1 also $P_{123}$ is appearing in the 15 and $P_{123}{ }^{1}$ in the 51 entry. The second subtlety is to determ ine the particular sign the corresponding term in the diagonal of ( $T$ ) has to be included. Looking at the explicit form sof Eq. (57), (60) and (66) we see that term s containing a $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ usually com e w ith a negative sign. H ow ever, in Eq. (60) one of the signs had to be changed in order to get the correct com $m$ utation relation Eq. (68). K now ing the explicit form of the es com $m$ utation relations (Eq.(52)) we can determ ine these signs case by case. O bviously the presence of these signs should be som ehow related to the presence of ( ; ) appearing in Eq. (55). W e are planning to clarify such issues in a furthcom ing publication.
VI. CARTAN'SQUARTIC INVARIANTASAMEASUREOFENTANGLEMENT

Let us now consider the problem of nding an appropriate $m$ easure of entanglem ent for the tripartite entanglem ent of our seven qubits. Looking at Fig.1. we see that there are seven tripartite system s associated to the seven lines of the Fano plane. It is well-know $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ that the unique SL (2;C ) ${ }^{3}$ and triality invariant $m$ easure for a three-qubit system

$$
j i=\underbrace{A B C \nexists B C i}_{A ; B ; C=0 ; 1}
$$

is the three-tangle 3 which is given in term s of C ayley's hyperdeterm inant by the form ula
j ( ) هـ4
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
000 & 001 & 110 & 111
\end{array}+000 \quad 010 \quad 101 \quad 111\right. \\
& +\begin{array}{llllllll}
+ & 000 & 011 & 100 & 111
\end{array}+\begin{array}{llll}
001 & 010 & 101 & 110
\end{array} \\
& \left.+\quad 001 \quad 011 \quad 110 \quad 100+\begin{array}{llll}
+ & 010 & 011 & 101
\end{array} 100\right) \\
& 4\left(\begin{array}{llll}
000 & 011 & 101 & 110
\end{array}+\begin{array}{llll}
001 & 010 & 100 & 111
\end{array}\right) \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

or altematively

Since we have seven tripartite system $s$ we are searching for an $E_{7}$ (C) invariant which is quartic in the am plitudes and when it is restricted to any of the subsystem s corresponding to the lines of the Fano plane gives rise to C ayley's hyperdeterm inant. D ue to a result of M anivel (see Proposition 2. ofR ef. 10.) there is an invariant quartic form on H ofEq. (40), which is also the unique $W\left(E_{7}\right)$ (the $W$ eyl group of $E(7)$ ) invariant quartic form, whose restriction to each tripartite system is proportional to $C$ ayley's hyperdetem inant. From this result it follow s that this quartic invariant we are searching for should contain the sum of seven copies of the expression of Eq (74), i.e. we should have the sum, ${ }^{P} f_{(000)} D()$ occurring in the explicit form of this invariant, where 2 H ; $2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}$ (000).
$T$ he invariant in question is $C$ artan's quartic invariant $J_{4}$ well-known from studies conœeming SO (8) supergravity $15,16,17,18 . J_{4}$ is the singlet in the tensor product representation 565656 56. Its explicit form in connection w ith stringy black holes w th their $\mathrm{E}_{7 \text { (7) }}$ sym $m$ etric area form 17 is given either in the $C$ rem $m$ er-Julia form 16 in term $s$ of the com plex 88 central charge $m$ atrix $Z$ or in the $C$ artan form $\frac{15}{}$ in term $s$ oftwo real 8 ones $P$ and $Q$ containing the quantized electric and $m$ agnetic charges of the black hole. Its new form in term s of the 56 com plex am plitudes of our seven qubits has been calculated in Ref. 8. For reasons to be explained later we take the form slightly di erent from $\mathrm{Ref} 8.$.


FIG. 5: The dual Fano plane. To its points now we attached tripartite states from the spaces H ; $2 \mathrm{Z}_{2}{ }^{3}$ (000) w ith their com plex am plitudes labelled as in Ref. 8. See also Eq. (41).

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}+d^{4}+e^{4}+f^{4}+g^{4}\right)+ \\
\left(a^{2} b^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}+c^{2} d^{2}+d^{2} e^{2}+e^{2} f^{2}+f^{2} g^{2}+g^{2} a^{2}+\right. \\
a^{2} c^{2}+b^{2} d^{2}+c^{2} e^{2}+d^{2} f^{2}+e^{2} g^{2}+f^{2} a^{2}+g^{2} b^{2}+ \\
\left.a^{2} d^{2}+b^{2} e^{2}+c^{2} f^{2}+d^{2} g^{2}+e^{2} a^{2}+f^{2} b^{2}+g^{2} c^{2}\right) \\
+4 \text { lbceg }+ \text { codef }+ \text { bofg }+ \text { abef }+ \text { acf } g+\text { adeg }+ \text { abod }]: \tag{76}
\end{gather*}
$$

H ere we have for exam ple

N otice that according to our labelling convention as given by Eq. (30) and (41) the term s containing four tripartite system s can be w ritten sym bolically as

| baeg $=$ | 101 | 110 | 111 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| colef = | 110 | 010 | 111 | 011 |
| bodf $9=$ | 101 | 010 | 011 | 100 |
| abef | 001 | 101 | 111 | 011 |
| acf 9 | 001 | 110 | 011 | 100 |
| adeg | 001 | 010 | 111 | 100 |
| abod $=$ | 001 | 101 | 110 | 010 |

N otioe that the sum of the $Z_{2}^{3}$ labels alw ays gives (000) corresponding to the fact that the resulting combination has no $\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}$ charge i.e. it is belonging to the singlet of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ as it has to be. The rem aining term s of $\mathrm{J}_{4}$ containing two and one tripartite states are obviously sharing the sam e property. D o not confuse how ever, the upper indioes e.g. in ${ }^{001}$ w th the lower ones occurring in Eq. (74) , e.g. 001 . U pper indices label the superselection sectors i.e. the di erent types of tripartite system s and low er indioes label the basis vectors of the qubits belonging to the particular triparite system. H ow ever, it is interesting to realize that the sum of the lower indices ( regarded as elem ents of $Z_{2}^{3}$ ) occurring in the term $s$ of the expression for C ayley's hyperdeterm inant Eq. (74) gives again (000). M oreover, som e of the com binations in Eq. (80) are having the sam e form as the ones in Eq. (74). Indeed, the term s abod, acf $g$, boff $g$ and adeg are of this form. M oreover, the term $s a^{2} c^{2}, b^{2} d^{2}$ and $f^{4} g^{2}$ can be w ritten sym bolically as $\left({ }^{001}\right)^{2}\left({ }^{110}\right)^{2},\left({ }^{010}\right)^{2}\left({ }^{101}\right)^{2}$ and, $\left({ }^{100}\right)^{2}\left({ }^{011}\right)^{2}$ also occurring as the second, third and fourth term s of Eq. (74). This coincidence $m$ ight be an indication that using the $56 \mathrm{am} p l$ ludes in the purely $Z_{2}^{3}$ labelled form ${ }_{i j k}$, the quartic invariant $J_{4}$ can be expressed in a very com pact form re ecting additional sym $m$ etry properties.

A nother im portant observation is that the term $s$ occurring in the (76) expression for $J_{4}$ can be understood using the dual Fano plane. To se this note, that the Fano plane is a pro jective plane hence we can use pro jective duality to exchange the role of lines and planes. O riginally we attached qubits to the points, and tripartite sysem s to the lines of the Fano plane (see Figs 2. and 3.). N ow we take the dualperspective, and attach the tripartite states to the points and qubits to the lines of the dualFano plane (Fig. 5.). In the ordinary Fano plane the fact that three lines are intersecting in a unique point corresponded to the fact
that any three entangled tripartite system s share a unique qubit. In the dual perspective this enanglem ent property corresponds to the geom etric one that three points are alw ays lying on a unique line. For exam ple let us consider the three points corresponding to the tripartite states belonging to the subspaces H , w ith $=(001) ;(010) ;(011)$. A coording to Eq. (41) to these subspaces correspond the am plinudes $a, d$, and $f$. Looking at $F$ ig. 5. these am plitudes de ne the corresponding points lying on the line adf. This line is de ned by the com $m$ on qubit these tripartite states share i.e. qubit A.

In the dual Fano plane we have seven points, w ith seven tripartite states attached to them. The corresponding entanglem ent m easures are proportionalto seven copies ofC ayley's hyperdeterm inant, then in $J_{4}$ we have the term $s a^{4} ; b^{4} ; c^{4} ; d^{4} ; e^{4} ; f^{4}$ and $g^{4} . W$ e also have seven lines with three tripartite states on each of them. We can group the $3 \quad 7=21$ term $s$ of the form $a^{2} b^{2}$ etc into seven groups associated to such lines. They are describing the pairw ise entanglem ent betw een the three di erent tripartite system $s$ (sharing a com m on qubit). For exam ple for the line adf we have the term $s a^{2} d^{2}, a^{2} f^{2}$ and $d^{2} f^{2}$ describing such pairw ise entanglem ents. Finally we have seven quadrangles (as com plem ents to the lines) w ith four entangled tripartite system s. They are precisely the ones as listed in Eq. (80) giving rise to the last seven term $S$ in $J_{4}$. Hence the term $s$ in $J_{4}$ are of three type

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { POINT } \$ 1 & \text { TRPARTITE } & \text { STATE } \$ a^{4} ;::: \\
\text { LINE } \$ 3 & \text { TRPARTITE } & \text { STATES } \$\left(a^{2} d^{2} ; a^{2} f^{2} ; d^{2} f^{2}\right) ;::: \\
\text { QUADRANGLE } \$ 4 & \text { TRPARTITE } & \text { STATES } \$ \text { baeg;:::: } \tag{82}
\end{array}
$$

It is useful to rem em ber that the tripartite states form ing lines are sharing a qubit, and the tripartite ones form ing the quadrangles which are com plem ents to this line are excluding this qubit. For exam ple the line adf includes qubit A and the com plem entary quadrangle boeg excludes it.
A. Truncation to a line

W e have already seen that the tnuncation of our system with seven tripartite states to a single tripartite one yields the three-tangle $3=4-\mathrm{D}$ ( )jas the natural measure of entanglem ent. H ere can denote any of the amplitudes from the set ; $2 Z_{2}^{3}$ (000). .

In the black hole analogy where instead of the com plex am plitudes of we use unnorm alized integer ones corresponding to the quantized charges, the scenario we get is the one of the ST U m odelw hich have already been discussed within the fram ew ork ofquantum inform ation theory $y^{1,4,4,5,8}$. In this case the black hole entropy is given by the fom ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=p \overline{p() j}=\overline{2}^{q} \overline{3^{(1)}} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. it is related to the three-tangle $3_{3}^{(1)}$, where the upper index indicates that we have m erely one tripartite system. The geom etric picture suggested by our use of the dualFano plane is that of a truncation of the entangled lattice to a single point.

C onsider now a truncation of the seven qubit system to one of the lines of the dualFano plane Fig. 5. Let us take for exam ple the line adf. A s the $m$ easure of entanglem ent for this case we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}^{(3)}=2 \dot{\mathfrak{g}}^{4}+d^{4}+f^{4}+2\left(a^{2} d^{2}+a^{2} f^{2}+d^{2} f^{2}\right) \dot{j} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation ${ }_{3}^{(3)}$ indicates that now we have three tripartite states. N otioe that this invariant is proportional to the one ofD $u$ and Ferrara ${ }^{8}$ (now ever, in Eq. (7.3) ofR ef.4. the factor 6 should be replaced by a factor 2 ).
$N$ ow we w rite the state corresponding to the line adf in the form

$$
j i={\underset{A B C D E F G}{ }=0 ; 1}_{X A i \quad\left(a_{A B C} \mathcal{B C} i+d_{A D E} D E i+f_{A F G} F G i\right): ~}^{\text {A }}
$$

This notation clearly displays that this state is an entangled one of qubit A w th the re-
 acts we can w rite this as

$$
j i={\underset{A}{X}}_{X}^{A} \text { Å ji; } A=0 ; 1 ; \quad=1 ; 2 ;::: 12:
$$

Let us discuss the role the group SL (2) SO $(6 ; 6)$ plays in the quantum inform ation theoretic context. SL (2) corresponds to the usual SLO C C protocols. The second one SO (6;6) contains two di erent types of transform ations. O ne set corresponds to the rem aining part of the SLO CC group i.e. SL (2) ${ }^{6}$ (18 generators). The other set de nes transform ations transform ing states between the di erent superselection sectors. A ccording to Section II. these transform ations are generated by three sets of four-qubit states (3 16 generators).

D enote by the 212 m atrix of Eq. (86). For its com ponents $A$ we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

For two 2 complex $m$ atrices $X$ and $Y$ with components ( $\mathrm{X}_{00} ; \mathrm{X}_{01} ; \mathrm{X}_{10} ; \mathrm{X}_{11}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{00} ; \mathrm{Y}_{01} ; \mathrm{Y}_{10} ; \mathrm{Y}_{11}\right)$ let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \quad Y \quad H^{A}{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{n}^{B B}{ }^{0} X_{A B} Y_{A} 0_{B} 0 \text { : } \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for exam ple $a^{4}$ and $a^{2} d^{2}$ of Eqs. (77-78) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{4}=2\left[\left(a_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \text { @) }\left(a_{1} \quad \text { Q) } \quad\left(a_{0} \quad \text { Q }\right)^{2}\right. \text {; } \\
& a^{2} d^{2}=\left(a_{0}\right.  \tag{89}\\
& \text { a) }\left(d_{1}\right. \\
& \text { d) }+\left(a_{1}\right. \\
& \text { Q) }\left(\mathrm{d}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~d}\right) \quad 2\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}\right. \\
& \text { a) }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d_{0} & \text { g }): ~
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

W ith the new notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pq} \mathrm{~h} p \mathrm{pq}=\mathrm{pq} \quad \mathrm{a}_{0} \quad \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{d}_{0} \quad \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{f}_{0} \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \quad ; \quad=1 ; 2 ;::: 12 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $12 \quad 12 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix h w th $4 \quad 4$ blocks as elem ents has the form
$0 \quad 1$
and the P lucker coordinates
P pq pq;
we get for the invariant ${ }_{3}^{(3)}$ the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
3_{3}^{(3)}=2 p \quad P \quad j=4 j(p p)(q q) \quad(p q)^{2} j \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the black hole analogy using for $p$ and $q$ instead of com plex num bers integers corresponding to quantized charges of electric and $m$ agnetic type the $m$ easure of entanglem ent in Eq. (93) can be related to the black hole entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{2}{ }_{3^{(3)}} ; \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

com ing from the truncation of the $N=8$ case $w$ ith $E_{7(7)}$ sym $m$ etry to the $N=4$ one $e^{8,19,20}$ with $\operatorname{Sl}(2) \quad \mathrm{SO}(6 ; 6)$.

From the 212 m atrix of Eq. (86) we can form the one \% ${ }^{\circ}$ which is just the reduced density $m$ atrix of qubit A the one all of our tripartite system $s$ share. It is well-known2, ${ }^{21}$ that for norm alized states $h j i=1$ the $m$ easure

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad{ }_{1(234567)}=4 \overline{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P} \quad=4 \neq \mathrm{Det}_{\circ}^{\circ} j \quad 1 ; \quad ; \quad=1 ; 2 ;::: 12 \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives inform ation on the degree of separability of qubit A from the rest of the system. H ere unlike in Eq. (93) sum $m$ ation is understood w ith respect to the 1212 unit m atrix. U sing Eq. (95) one can prove that for norm alized states

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad 3^{(3)} \quad 1: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed after noticing that
$w$ th the help of $U$ we can transform the four com ponents of the am plitudes $\mathrm{a}_{0 \mathrm{~B}} ;:::: ; \mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{FG}}$ to the so-called $m$ agic base ${ }^{11}$ (i.e. to the base consisting of the four fam ous Bell-states $w$ th suitable phase factors included). Then we have $3_{3}^{(3)}=2-P \quad P \quad j w h e r e P$ refers to the com ponents of the $P$ hucker $m$ atrix in the $m$ agic base and sum $m$ ation is now $w$ ith respect to . Since \% $=y$ is invariant $w$ ith respect to this transform ation ! $U$ where $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{U} 2 \mathrm{SU}$ (6) the expression in Eq. (95) is not changed. U sing Eq. (95) and the triangle inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad 4 \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{j} \quad 4 \mathrm{P} P \quad 1 ; \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence we get Eq. (96). An im m ediate consequence of this is that ${ }_{3}^{(3)}$ vanishes for system $s$ where qubit A is separable from the rest. Sim ilar conclusions can be drawn from the van-
ishing of the six quantities (based on the rem aining six qubits) de ned accordingly. The six new quantities $3_{3}^{(3)}$ are vanishing when any qubit located at the vertioes of the Fano plane is separable from the tripartite system s associated w th the three lines the qubit is lying on. It is im portant to realize however, that one can also get $3_{3}^{(3)}=0$ by choosing $a_{100}=a_{010}=a_{001}=d_{100}=d_{010}=d_{001}=f_{100}=f_{010}=f_{001}=1=3$. This state corresponds to the situation of chosing three di erent tripartite states belonging to the W emer class. These tripartite states are genuine entangled three-qubit ones which retain maxim al bipartite entanglem ent when any one of the three qubits is traced out ${ }^{3}$.

## B. Truncation to a quadrangle

H aving discussed the truncation to a line of the dual Fano plane, now we consider the com plem entary situation, i.e. truncation to a quadrangle. By a quadrangle as usual we $m$ ean the com plem ent of a line. W e have seen that there is a com plem entary relationship between the entanglem ent properties as well. Three tripartite system s associated to a line share a com $m$ on qubit, and four tripartite system $s$ associated to the com plem ent of this line exclude precisely this qubit. H ence we are expecting this relationship to be $m$ anifest in the special form of an entanglem ent $m$ easure characterizing this situation.

A s an exam ple let us consider again the line adf and its com plem ent the quadrangle boeg. W e de ne the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}^{(4)}=2 b^{4}+c^{4}+e^{4}+g^{4}+2\left(b^{2} c^{2}+b^{2} e^{2}+b^{2} g^{2}+c^{2} e^{2}+c^{2} g^{2}+e^{2} g^{2}\right)+8 b a e g j: \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the notation $3_{3}^{(4)}$ refers to the situation of entangling four tripartite system s . In the follow ing we prove that ${ }_{4}^{(4)}$ is the entanglem ent $m$ easure characterizing the con guration com plem entary to the one of the previous subsection.

First let us recall few facts supporting our claim. The rst observation is a group theoretic one. The am plitudes $a, d$ and $f$ distributed in the form of Eq. (87) transform according to the $(2,12)$ ofS L (2) $S O(6 ; 6)$. The com plem entary am plitudes $b, c$, e and $g$ are transform ing according to the $(1 ; 32)$ ofSL (2) SO $(6 ; 6 ;)$, i.e. they are spinors under $S O(6 ; 6)$. This fact is clearly displayed in our explicit m atrix representation Eqs. (57-58), (60-61) and (66-67). (See also the correspondence of Eq. (41).) H encour invariant ${ }_{3}^{(4)}$ should also be regarded
as the singlet in the sym $m$ etric tensor product of 4 spinor representations of $S O(6 ; 6)$.
O ur second observation is based on the black hole analogy. Let us relate our (unnorm alized) am plitudes $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ;::: \mathrm{g}$ to the quantized charges of the $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}$ sym m etric area form $\underline{17}$ of the black hole. In this case we have $7 \quad 8=56$ integers regarded as am plitudes of a seven qubit system associated to the entangled lattioe de ned by the Fano plane. These am plitudes correspond to the two $8 \quad 8$ antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrices of charges $P$ and $Q$. Then the $C$ artan form of our quartic invariant $J_{4}(P ; Q)$ is ${ }^{15}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{4}(P ; Q)=\operatorname{Tr}(Q P Q P)+\frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{TrQ} P)^{2} \quad 4(P f(P)+P f(Q)): \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the context of toroidal com pacti cations of $M$-theory or type II string theory the antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrices $P$ and $Q m$ ay be indenti ed $a s^{22}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llllll}
k k m]^{m} & \mathbb{D} 6] & 0 & \mathbb{k}]_{n} & \mathbb{D} 0]
\end{array} \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathbb{D} 2]^{\mathrm{n}}$ denotes a D 2 brane wrapped along the directions $\mathrm{m} n$ of a six dim ensional tonus $T^{6}$. $\left.\mathbb{D} 4\right]_{n}$ corresponds to $D 4$-branesw rapped on alldirections butm $n$, $\mathbb{k k} l_{n}$ denotes a m om entum state along direction m , $\mathrm{kkm}^{\mathrm{m}}$ a K aluza- K lein 5-m onopole localized along the direction $m, \mathbb{E} 1]^{m}$ a fundam ental string $w$ inding along direction $m$, and $\left.\mathbb{N} S 5\right]_{n}$ a N S 5-brane w rapped on all directions but m .

Then the $\mathrm{N}=4$ truncation where
should corresponds to the case of our truncation to a line (e.g. the one adf). In this case our ${ }_{3}^{(3)}$ is just the quartic invariant w ith respect to SL (2) SO $(6 ; 6)$.

The com plem entary case
of the $N=2$ truncation should correspond to our restriction to quadrangles (e.g. the one boeg). The resulting quartic invariant, also based on the Jordan algebrar ${ }^{22} \mathrm{~J}_{3}^{\mathrm{H}}$ should be related to our $3_{3}^{(4)}$.

For an explicit proof of our claim what we need is a precise correspondence between the am plitudes a;b;:::g and the com ponents of $P$ and $Q$. This would also establish an explicit connection betw een our 56 of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ in term s of seven qubits and the one of C artan $\underline{\underline{15}}$ in term $s$ of the antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrioes $P$ and $Q$.

In order to prove our claim by establishing this correspondence we proceed as follow s. W e already know that our expression for the entanglem ent $m$ easure associated w th $J_{4}$ should give the threetangle Eq. (73) when restricting to a point of the dual Fano plane. Let us consider this point to be $g$ i.e. the amplitude $g_{C D G}$ for the three-qubit state is the one of C harlie, D aisy and George. W e arrange the 24 com plex am plitudes of $g_{C D G}$ in $Q$ and $P$ as follow s

Then from Eq. (100) using Eq. (74) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{4}=\quad D(g)=\frac{1}{2} g^{4} ; \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance w ith our form ula Eq. (76) and the result of K allosh and Linde ${ }^{4}$. Hence it is natural to de ne a norm alized $m$ easure of entanglem ent for our seven qubit system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{7} \quad 4 \text { j } J_{4} j: \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for nom alized states truncation to a single tripartite system gives rise to the threetangle ${ }_{3}$ satisfying the constraint $0 \quad 3 \quad 1$. M oreover, for the im portant special case of
putting GHZ states to the seven vertioes of the dualFano plane $\left(a_{000}=a_{111}=b_{000}=\quad=\right.$ $g_{111}=1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{14}$ ) we get ${ }_{7}=1$.

In the black hole analogy how ever, the am plitudes are integers and no norm alization condition is used. The special case having only $g \in 0$ in Eq. (104) is the case of the STU $m$ odel ${ }^{1,4,5}$. N otice that the am plitudes $g_{C D G}$ are occurring as the entries in the canonical form of the antisym $m$ etric $m$ atriges $P$ and $Q$. $T$ his is due to the fact that our choige of the parties Charlie, D aisy and George is special. H ence we expect that this special choige w ill be re ected in our choige for $l l i n g$ in the $m$ issing entries of the $m$ atriges $P$ and $Q$ in the general case.

For norm alized states truncation to the tripartite system s adf lying on a line of the dual Fano plane we choose

H ere the elem ents of these $m$ atriges are 22 m atriges constructed as follow s . A s we have stressed in our chosen arrangem ent the role of qubits C , D, and G are special. T hese qubits are contained in the corresponding threequbit amplitudes $a_{A B C}, d_{A D E}$ and $f_{A F G} . W$ esplit the 8 com ponents of these amplitudes into two $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atriges based on the positions of the specialqubits they contain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=a_{A B 0} ; \quad a_{1}=a_{A B 1} ; \quad d_{0}=d_{A O E} ; \quad d_{1}=d_{A 1 E} ; \quad f_{0}=f_{A F 0} ; \quad f_{1}=f_{A F 1}: \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover the W ootters spin ip operation already used in Eq. (14) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M={ }_{2} M^{T}{ }_{2}=\quad M^{T} ": \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to check that $4 j J_{4}(\mathrm{P} ; Q)$ jofEq. (100) restricted to the line adf $w$ th com ponents as given by Eq. (107) indeed gives back our expression for $3_{3}^{(3)}$ (see Eq. (84)) we note that in this case we can w rite $J_{4}$ in the form

U sing the identity valid for $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \text { et }(A+B)=D \text { et } A+D \operatorname{et} B+\operatorname{Tr}(A B) \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

and grouping the term s we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{4}=\left[4 D \operatorname{et}\left(a_{0} a_{1}\right) \quad\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{0} a_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right]+\left[4 D \text { et }\left(d_{0} \widetilde{C}_{1}\right) \quad\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(d_{0} \tilde{I}_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right]+\left[4 D \operatorname{et}\left(f_{0} \tilde{f}_{1}\right) \quad\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(f_{0} \tilde{f}_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +4 \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{0} a_{1} d_{1} \widetilde{a_{0}}\right)+4 \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{0} a_{1} f_{1}{\tilde{f_{0}}}_{0}\right)+4 \operatorname{Tr}\left(d_{0} \widetilde{a_{1}} f_{1} \tilde{f}_{0}\right)  \tag{112}\\
& 2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{d}_{0} \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{1}\right) \quad 2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{f}_{0} \tilde{\mathrm{f}}_{1}\right) \quad 2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{0}{\tilde{a_{1}}}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}{\tilde{f_{1}}}^{1}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The rst three term s givesm inus the C ayley hyperdeterm inants $D(a), D(d)$ and $D(f)$, i.e. the term $s a^{4}=2, d^{4}=2$ and $f^{4}=2$. These term $s$ are perm utation invariant hence our singleing out of qubits C , D and G in obtaining their special form s is not relevant. H ow ever, in our previous derivation of terms like the ones $a^{2} d^{2}$ in Eq. (89) playing a role in the invariant $3_{3}^{(3)}$ we have singled out the rst qubit A which is com $m$ on to all of our tripartite states. But now, in the expressions as given by Eq. (112) for the last six term s qubits C , D and $G$ are playing a special role. $W$ e can relate the di erent descriptions by using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{0} a_{1} d_{1} \widetilde{a}_{0}\right) \quad 2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{0} a_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(d_{0} \widetilde{a}_{1}\right)=a^{2} d^{2} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

as can be checked by a straightforw ard calculation, and sim ilar ones for the term $\mathrm{sa}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{2}$ and $d^{2} f^{2}$. These considerations give the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \mathrm{j}_{4}(P ; Q) j={ }_{3}^{(3)} \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where P and Q is given by Eq. (107) as claim ed.
F inally we consider the com plem entary situation i.e. restriction to the quadrangle boeg. Let us consider the $m$ atrioes

H ere the elem ents of these m atrioes are again 22 m atrioes. The tripartite system s w ith am plitudes $b_{C E F}, G_{B D F}$ and $e_{B E G}$ are again containing our specialqubits $C, D$ and $G$. The $m$ atrices occurring in the entries of $P$ and $Q$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}=b_{O E F} ; \quad b_{1}=b_{1 E F} ; \quad c_{0}=C_{B 0 F} ; \quad c_{1}=C_{B 1 F} ; \quad e_{0}=e_{B E 0} ; \quad e_{1}=e_{B E 1}: \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a straightforw ard but tedious calculation show s that using $P$ and $Q$ of Eq. (115) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \mathrm{j}_{4}(\mathrm{P} ; Q) j={ }_{3}^{(4)} ; \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $3^{(4)}$ is given by the expression ofEq. (99). In our derivation we have used the form ula

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \text { etP }=(\mathbb{P} f P)^{2}=\left(g_{100} g_{010} g_{001} g_{111} \quad g_{111} g_{100} D \text { et }\left(b_{0}\right) \quad g_{111} g_{010} D \text { et }\left(c_{0}\right)\right. \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing these results it is clear now that in the black hole analogy truncation to a line of our entangled system corresponds to the one of truncating the $\mathrm{N}=8$ case w th m odulispace $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}=\mathrm{SU}(8)$ to the $\mathrm{N}=4$ one w ith m odulispace ( $\left.\mathrm{SL}(2)=\mathrm{U}(1)\right) \quad(\mathrm{SO}(6 ; 6)=\mathrm{SO}$ (6) $\mathrm{SO}(6))$. $M$ oreover, the truncation to a quadrangle com plem entary to this line gives rise to the $\mathrm{N}=2$ truncation ${ }^{23}$ w th them odulispace being SO (12)=U(6). It is also know $n^{24}$ that them anifold SO (12)=U (6) is the largest one which can be obtained as a consistent truncation of the $N=8, d=4$ supergravity based on $E_{7(7)}=S U(8)$.
VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied an entangled quantum system consisting of tripartite subsystem s built from seven qubits. W e have shown that using this system it is possible to
extend the multiple relations found betw een quantum inform ation theory and the physics of four dim ensional $N=2$ stringy black holes $14,5,8$ to the $m$ ore general $N=8$ case $w$ th $\mathrm{E}_{7 \text { (7) }}$ sym $m$ etry.

A s a rst step we investigated the properties of this unusual type of entanglem ent and realized that they are encoded into the discrete geom etry of the Fano plane the sm allest pro jective plane. This entanglem ent is in tum intim ately connected to the geom etry of the group $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ (C ). In the arising geom etric picture the basic ob jects of the Fano plane, nam ely points, lines and quadrangles (com plem ents to lines) associated to qubits, three and four qubit system s respectively, are form ing an entangled lattioe. To the seven tripartite system s corresponding to the lines we can assign a $\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{3}$ charge characterizing di erent superselection sectors. It has tumed out that the four-qubit states associated to the quadrangles describe 112 from the in nitesim aloperators of the $L$ ie algebra $e_{7}$ (C). They generate transform ations connecting the di erent superselection sectors. A ltematively, they correspond to quantum inform ation theoretic protocols relating the di erent tripartite subsystem S . T he rem aining 21 ones form the in nitesim al operators of an $\operatorname{sl}(2 ; C)^{7}$ algebra. They generate stochastic localoperations and classical com m unication (SLO C C ) transform ationsw ithin the tripartite subsystem s. W e have built the 56 dim ensional com plex vector space corresponding to the fundam ental representation of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ (C) as a direct sum of the H ilbert spaces of these tripartite subsystem $s$. W e have sketched a method for the construction of the action of the $e_{7}(C)$ in nitesim aloperators (regarded as generators oftripartite protocols) on this space. W e have found that the details of this action are also encoded into the geom etry of the Fano plane. The code which encapsulates the properties of the entangled system and the structure of this representation associated to $\mathbb{1}$, is just the H amm ing code known from studies conceming error correction codes in quantum inform ation theory.

A s a next step follow ing the insight of u and Ferrara ${ }^{8}$ we have show $n$ that a natural $m$ easure of entanglem ent, characterizing our entangled lattice is provided by the quartic C artan-C rem m er-Julia invariant $J_{4}$. By using the dual Fano plane we ilhum inated the physical m eaning of the term s occurring in this invariant. These term $s$ are describing the entanglem ent properties of three di erent types of subsystem s nam ely ones consisting of one, three or four tripartite system s. For the quanti cation of di erent types of partial entangem ent we introduced the corresponding $m$ easures $3_{3}^{(1)}, 3_{3}^{(3)}$ and $3_{3}^{(4)}$.

These results enabled us to extend further the so called black hole analogy ${ }^{1,4,5,8}$. In
this analogy we consider special types of unnom alized entangled states $w$ ith integer-valued am plitudes com ing from the quantized electric and $m$ agnetic charges occurring in bladk hole solutions found in four dim ensional string theory. Then one nds that for such system $s$ the natural $m$ easures of entanglem ent can be related to the $m$ acroscopic entropy of black holes expressed in term s of the conserved charges. M oreover, the classi cation of black hole solutions of $m$ ore general type (BPS and non-BPS) are related to the classi cation of entangled states ${ }^{4}$. This analogy has already provided insights in the case of $\mathrm{N}=2$ supersym $m$ etric black hole solutions in the ST U m odel. H ere we have show $n$ that the analogy can be extended to the m ore general case ofblack hole solutions in $N=8$ supergravity. The scalarm anifold ( $m$ oduli space) in this case is $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}=\mathrm{SU}(8)$, and the black hole solutions give rise to an $\mathrm{E}_{7(7)}$ sym $m$ etric entropy form ula ${ }^{17}$ expressed in term s of the 56 charges. These charges in tum can be regarded as the ones com ing from toroidal com pacti cation of M theory or type II string theory. The 56 charges in this case can be related to $\mathbb{D} 0], \mathbb{D} 2], \mathbb{D} 4]$, [D 6] and $\mathbb{N}$ S5] branes, $m$ om entum states, fundam ental string $w$ indings, and $K$ aluza- $K$ lein 5-m onopoles localized along the di erent directions of the six torus (see Eq. (101)). We $m$ anaged to relate these quantities to the integer valued am plitudes of our unnom alized entangled state (see Eqs. (107) and (115)). W e have seen that di erent types of truncations of the $\mathrm{N}=8$ case correspond to di erent types of entangled sublattioes of the Fano plane. In particular we have show $n$ that there is a dual relationship between truncation to a line or truncation to its com plem ent. This duality relates subsystem $s$ consisting of three and four tripartite system $s$. T he form er subsystem $s$ contain a com $m$ on qubit the latter ones exclude this qubit. The corresponding picture in the black hole analogy is the one of obtaining the $\mathrm{N}=4$ and $\mathrm{N}=2$ truncationsw th m odulispaces (SL (2)=U (1)) (SO (6;6)=SO (6) SO (6)) and SO (12)=U (6) respectively. The corresponding black hole solutions possess entropy form ulas w ith 24 and 32 charges. They are having the form of Eq. (94) containing our entanglem ent $m$ easures $3_{3}^{(3)}$ or $3^{(4)}$.

N otice that these results uncovered a sym m etry which could be sim ilar to the one responsible to the string triality picture of Ref25. W e have seven di erent ways to do any of the truncations to points, lines and quadrangles. These are di erent ways to get the $\mathrm{N}=2$ (STU) truncation with 8, the $N=4$ one with 24, and the $N=2$ one with 32 charges. These seven ways also correspond to our seven di erent ways of lling in the entries of the $m$ atrioes $P$ and $Q$. In Eqs. (107) and (115) we have chosen a one based on a special role at-
tached to the tripartite system ofC harlie, D aisy and G eorge. It w ould be nice to understand this sym $m$ etry clearly displayed in the entanglem ent picture also within the fram ew ork of U -duality ${ }^{26}$.

Untill this point in the black hole analogy we have used m erely entangled system s characterized by unnorm alized states w ith integer am plitudes related to the quantized charges. H ow ever, in the STU m odel we have show $\mathrm{n}^{\frac{5}{}}$ that it is useful to look at this model using com plex entangled states which are local unitary equivalent to ones with realam plitudes. In the case of the STU m odel these states (rebits) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(S ; T ; U) i=A(S) \quad B(T) \quad C(U) j i ; \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S, T$ and $U$ are the complex moduli elds occurring in the STU model, and $j i$ is the unnorm alized three-qubit state $w$ ith integer am plinudes related to the 8 charges. The 22 m atrices $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{S}), \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{T})$ and C(U) depending on the m oduli are elem ents of the SLO C C group S L ( 2 ; C ) ${ }^{3}$. T hese entangled rebits that are com posites of the $m$ oduliand the charges have been optim ized w ith respect to $S, T$ and $U$ to obtain a quantum inform ation theoretic version of the attractor $m$ echanism $\underline{\underline{19}}$. It tumed out that the state $j$ i calculated at the frozen values of the $m$ oduli is a $m$ axim ally entangled G H Z -state.
$C$ an we have a sim ilar generalization in the $N=8$ case as well? In this case we already know that the protocols are again tripartite ones though we have to supplem ent the set of SLO C C transform ations in Eq. (119) w ith the ones of Eq. (64) operating betwen the di erent tripartite states. $N$ ow the m oduli space is the 70 dim ensional one $E_{7(7)}=S U(8)$. In order to w rite dow $n$ a realquantum bit version ofEq. (119) one should clarify the nature of the real states associated with the group $\mathrm{E}_{7 \text { (7) }}$ w ithin the com plex ones related to the group $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ (C). U sing the explicit constructions as given by this paper this can in principle be done. Then we con jecture that the solutions ${ }^{27}$ to the attractor equations obtained by nding the critical points of the black hole potential in the $\mathrm{N}=8$ case can be understood as the process ofm axim ization of entanglem ent for our entangled lattice as de ned by the Fano plane.

C an we generalize our analogy even further? It is quite natural to expect that black hole solutions associated with magic supergravities are viable candidates for a possible generalization ${ }^{28}$. For this generalization to work one should have an underlying entangled system of som e num ber of qubits. O $r$, in $m$ athem atical term $s$ one should be able to build up the Lie-algebras occurring in Freudenthal's M agic Square in term s of SL (2;C ) m odules,
and to nd suitable representation spaces for them. D ue to the results of Elduque ${ }^{9}$ th is $m$ ight be done for the split form of such Lie-algebras. Indeed, based on this result all the Lie algebras in Freudenthal's M agis Square can be constructed in a uni ed way using copies of SLO C C transform ations and of its naturalm odule provided by qubits.

F inally we rem ark that the qubit picture of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ (C) as developed in this paper is quite natural. A fter all its fundam ental representation can be written in term sof 7 m atrices, though w ith com ponents taken from the set of adm issible tripartite protocols on seven qubits!
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