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#### Abstract

This paper continues the discussion of hep-th/0605038, applying the holograph ic form ulation of self-dual theory to the $R$ am ond $R$ am ond elds of type II supergravity. W e form ulate the R R partition function, in the presence of nontrivialH - elds, in term s of the w avefunction of an 11-dim ensional C hem-Sim ons theory. U sing the m ethods of hep-th/0605038 we show how to form ulate an action principle for the RR elds of both type IIA and type IIB supergravity, in the presence of $R \mathrm{R}$ current. We nd a new topological restriction on consistent backgrounds of type IIA supergravity, nam ely the fourth W u class must have a lift to the H tw isted cohom ology.
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## 1 Introduction

Type II supergravity contains di erential form elds whose underlying $m$ athem atics has only been properly elucidated in the past few years. The action principle for these elds includes standard kinetic term stogether with interesting \C hem-Sim ons" term s. A swith the analogous expression in $M$ theory, sim ply form ulating these term s precisely, in the presence ofgeneralbackground uxes and arbitrary topology, is a som ew hat subtle problem. W hile $m$ any partial results exist in the literature, we believe that $\mid$ am azingly $\mid$ a com plete presentation of the action for the R am ondRam ond elds of type II supergravity, even at the 2-derivative level, has not appeared previously. The goal of the present paper is to $l l$ this gap.

The key to formulating the action for type II RR elds is to understand that the RR eld is a self-dual eld quantized by $K$ theory, and $m$ ost naturally form ulated in term $s$ of di erential $K$-theory. This view point has gradually em erged over the past few years, and is explained in
 found in [9]. Pedagogical accounts can be found in the above references (see, for exam ple, 团]). In a previous paper we have shown how $W$ ilten's approach to the form ulation of a self-dual eld using C hem-Sim ons theory leads to a rather sim ple form ulation of an action-principle [19]. The present paper applies the $m$ ethods of [10] to the case of RR elds. It follow s the treatm ent of [10] very closely: indeed som e sections of the present text were w ritten sim ply by copy and paste followed by som e sm allm odi cations needed to upgrade our previous treatm ent to the case ofRR elds. W e adopted this approach to keep the paper som ew hat self-contained.

O ne of the key points about a self-dual theory is that there is no single preferred action. $R$ ather, there is a fam ily of actions, param eterized | roughly speaking | by a choioe of Lagrangian decom position of eldspace. The general form of the action we nd is given in Theorem 9.4, equation 9.153). Since our notation is rather heavy let us state this central result som ew hat in form ally. The total $R R$ eld $G$ is a trivialization of the $R R$ current $j$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H} G=j \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $d_{H}:=d \quad H$ is the tw isted di erential, and $H$ is the eldstrength of the NS B - eld. The di erence of two trivializations of the $R R$ current is a $d_{H}-c l o s e d$ form obeying som equantization condition. Let us $x$ a trivialization $G_{s}$ depending in some de nite way on the souroe $j$. Then the general $R R$ eld is $G=R+G_{s}$ where $d_{H} R=0$. O ur choice of \Lagrangian decom position" constrains $R$ to lie in a certain Lagrangian subspace (called $V_{1}$, below) of eldspace. In addition a choice of Lagrangian subspace (called $V_{2}$ below ) also gives a sym plectic decom position of the total
eld $G=G^{e l}+G^{m a g} \cdot$. T hen the Lorentz-signature action is


Let us stress that $G$ is not self-dual. R ather, it em erges that when varying the action (12) the equations ofm otion only depend on the self-dual projection of it $\mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{el}}+\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{el}}$. In general it is a cardinal sin to include both electric and $m$ agnetic degrees of freedom in a local action. O ur form ulation avoids that fate because $R$ is constrained to lie in a Lagrangian subspace.

In the type IIA theory, at large volum e and weak coupling, there is a distinguished subset of Lagrangian decom positions leading to a form of the IIA action resem bling that usually presented in the literature. This is the action in 921) below. In the type IBB theory there is no canonical choice of Lagrangian decom position for general spacetim es, even at large volum e. This is the reason people have found it di cult to w rite an action principle for IIB supergravity. H ow ever, if spactim e has a product structure and we are working at large distance and weak coupling then there is a class of natural Lagrangian decom positions, and the corresponding actions are wrilten in 9.36) and 9.42).

The reliance of the form alism on Lagrangian decom positions is related to the fam ous di culties in form ulating a $m$ anifestly Lorentz-invariant action 11]. In general, a choice of Lagrangian subspace will break Lorentz sym m etry. H ow ever a Lorentz transform ation maps one Lagrangian decom position to another and since all such decom positions are equivalent we m ay conclude that the theory is Lorentz covariant. In this sense, our form alism is Lorentz covariant in at space.

O ne m ay well ask why one should take so much trouble to write an action when the equations of $m$ otion are well known. W hile on-shell quantities $s u$ fer $m$ any questions, one $m$ ight $w$ ish to go o -shell, for exam ple, to com pute sem iclassical tunnelling e ects. W e have in $m$ ind as an exam ple com puting transition am plitudes betw een ux vacua. M oreover, including higher-order interactions and the com putation of quantum corrections to the leading e ects are | at the very least | m ost conveniently form ulated in term s of an action principle.

The rem ainder of this introduction provides a detailed guide to the paper.
In section $Z$ we present a form alism useful for handling the total self-dual eld. For a 10dim ensional spacetim e X we introduce a space ( $\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ of form s which are of even (odd) degree for $j=0(1) \mathrm{mod} 2 . T$ his is the hom e of the total $R$ eld. The space $(X ; R)^{j}$ is a sym plectic vector space with sym plectic form ! ${ }_{j}$. M oreover, a $m$ etric on spacetim e induces a $m$ etric on $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ com patible w th $!{ }_{j}$, and de ning an involution (for Lorentzian signature) or a com plex

[^0]structure (for Euclidean signature) on $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. These structures are used to form ulate the selfduality equations. The sym plectic geom etry of $(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ is fiundam ental to the construction of the action. The equations ofm otion of supergravity are succinctly form ulated in term s of a single self-dual eldstrength $\mathrm{F}^{+}$.

In section 3, which closely parallels 101, we explain the relation of the R R partition function to the $C$ hem-Sim ons wavefiunction of an 11-dim ensional C hem-Sim ons theory. This section restricts attention to topologically trivial elds in order to explain things in the sim plest case. There are two Chem-Sim ons theories | one for type IIA and one for type IIB supergravity.

In section 4 we recall som e basic properties of di erential K -theory, so that we can extend the discussion of section 3 to the topologically nontrivial case. A new elem ent, com pared to 10] is the possibility of tw isting by a B - eld. In particular, we will often work w ith H tw isted cohom ology. A s above, $H$ is the eldstrength of the $B$ - eld and it is used to form the tw isted di erential $d_{H}:(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}!(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j+1} . W$ e review som e relevant background $m$ aterial on tw isted C hem characters. In section 5 we brie $y$ indicate the relation of our discussion to the work of $H$ opkins and Singer [9].

The 11-dim ensional C hem-Sim ons theory is a \spin" C hem-Sim ons theory. It requires extra structure to de ne the Chem-Sim ons invariant. That extra structure is a quadratic re nem ent
 C hem-Sim ons theory, em phasizing the form ulation of the $G$ auss law for gauge invariant wavefiunctions as the key to the quantization. This leads us, in section 7 , to form ulate the $C$ hem-Sim ons wavefunction as a certain theta function (in in nite dim ensions), which can be written as a path integral over the RR elds. This key result is given in $T$ heorem 57.1 and 7.2 . Sections 6 and 7 follow closely the analogous treatm ent in 10]. O ne im portant im provem ent we have $m$ ade is a better understanding of the basepoint dependence of the theta functions in the case where the badkground current is nonzero. See rem ark 72 .

Section 8 addresses new com plications related to $B$ - eld dependence. W e show how our form alism allow sus to inconporate the $B$ - eld equations ofm otion. This section resolves a problem which seem sto have been overlooked previously, nam ely, that the fam ous one-loop term $\exp \left[\begin{array}{llll}2 & i & B & X_{8}\end{array}\right]$ of IIA supergravity is not really well-de ned. We show how the ambiguity in its de nition is cancelled by a com pensating am biguity in the RR partition function. M oreover, this im proved understanding leads to a new topological consistency condition for IIA string theory. That consistency condition states that the fourth $W$ u class ${ }_{4} \mathrm{~m}$ ust have a lift to H -tw isted cohom ology of the form $(+2)+:::$ where the ellipsis denotes higher degree term $s$ and denotes a closed 4-form w ith integral periods. In other words, we claim that consistent string badkgrounds $m$ ust
satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H} \wedge(+2) b_{\text {le Rham }}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

together w ith som e conditions involving M assey products.
In section [9 we com bine our form alism for RR elds with the general discussion of 10] to write an extrem ely com pact form ula for the full RR action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\mathbb{R})=!_{j}\left(\mathbb{R} ; F^{+}(\mathbb{R})\right): \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $!_{j}$ is the sym plectic form on $(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ and $R$ is the total $R R$ eld. It is the eldstrength of a di erentialK theory class, and hence its \periods" (m ore precisely, its H tw isted cohom ology class) is quantized by tw isted K -theory. $\mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathrm{R})$ is the self-dualpro jection ofR 9.7) . Its $\backslash$ periods" depend on the $m$ etric.

The action (1.4) depends on two im portant choices. First, one must choose a Lagrangian subspace $V_{2} \quad(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. This projects to a Lagrangian lattice 2 in the space of $H$-tw isted harm onic form s . The second choice is a Lagrangian subspace 1 in the space of H -tw isted harm onic form $s$ com plem entary to 2 . Such a subspace canonically determ ines a Lagrangian space $V_{1}$ of $d_{H}$-closed form $s$. A crucialpart of our form ulation of the $R R$ eld is that $R$ m ust take values in $V_{1}$. O ne pitfall should be avoided: $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ do not form a Lagrangian decom position of $(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ : $T$ heir sum is not the whole space and they have a nontrivial intersection $V_{1} \backslash V_{2} f 0 g$.

O ur action is easily extended to include the presence of $R R$ current, including a background current induced by the topology of spacetim e (and the choice of Lagrangian spaces $V_{1} ; V_{2}$ ). The action in the presence of $R$ currents is given by $T$ heorem 9.4. The shift $R!R \quad$ that appears in that theorem accounts for the $\frac{1}{2}$-integer shifts in the quantization of $R R$ elds.

In section 9.2 we spell out our action for IIA and IB supergravity using som e natural Lagrangian decom positions. In order to stress the point that the action depends on the choice of Lagrangian subspaces of eldspace we illustrate two natural decom positions on product spacetim es. W e hope that these expressions will be understandable even to people who do not have the patience to $m$ aster our form alism. Section 10 on the $m$ etric dependence and stress energy tensor closely follow s the discussion in 10]. Section 11 concludes by mentioning som e directions for further research.

A ppendix A records som e nontrivial exam ples of tw isted cohom ology on spaces related to tw isted tori. In appendix B we comm ent on the one-loop determ inants for the RR eld. These follow, in our treatm ent, from norm alizing the $C$ hem-Sim ons wavefunction. F inding an expression in term sof determ inants is considerably m ore involved than in the previous case 10] because the di erential $d_{H}$ has inhom ogeneous degree.

To conclude, we com $m$ ent on som e related literature. Som e aspects of our discussion resem ble the \dem ocratic form ulation" of [12]. W hat our treatm ent adds is a carefiul discussion of how higher degree forms depend on lower ones (in the relevant Lagrangian decom position) and a fram ew ork in which topologically nontrivial eldstrengths can be included. O ur discussion also has overlap w ith previous discussions of the tw isted $K$ theory parition function 13, 目] but these previous discussions were incom plete. O ur form alism also nioely resolves som e puzzles raised by de A lw is 14]. (de A lw is has also arrived at a resolution of the sam e di culties w ithin his own form alism .)

## 2 Self-duality constraints on $R R$ eldstrengths

In this section we introduce som e form alism which will be used throughout the paper which is useful for handling the full set of RR elds and clari es the self-duality of the totalRR eld. W e will show that the entire set of supergravity equations depends on the RR eld only through its self-dual com bination .

Sym plectic structure. Let $X$ be a 10-dim ensionaloriented $m$ anifold. W e will be working w th di erential form sof even or odd degree on X . To keep track of the di erential form degree it is convenient to introduce a form al variable $u$ of degree 2. W ewill write even and odd form sas a series in $u^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{0}+\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{w}_{2}+\quad+{ }^{5} \mathrm{w}_{10} \quad \text { or } \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{w}_{3}+\quad+{ }^{5} \mathrm{w}_{9} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e w_{p}$ is a p-form . The total degree of $w$ (degree of di erential form + degree of $u$ ) is 0 for the rst series and 1 for the second. So we introduce a graded ring $\mathscr{R}=R\left[u ; u{ }^{1}\right]$ and denote by $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ the space of all di erential form s of total degree $j$. In section $母^{6}$ we $w$ ill see that the graded ring $\mathscr{R}$ naturally appears in di erentialk theory ( $u^{1}$ is the generator ofK ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{pt})=\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{u}^{1}\right]$ and is called the B ott elem ent). The space of form $s(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ of total degree $j$ is a sym plectic vector space w ith sym plectic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{j}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} x^{\wedge}{ }_{j}(w) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for a 10-manifold $X \quad j$ is amap $j_{j}:(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}!(X ; \mathscr{R})^{102 j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(w):=(1)^{j(j 1)=2} u^{5 j}(w i x u): \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral is assum ed to pick up the coe cient of $u$. The factor $(1)^{j(j 1)=2}$ is included to ensure that the sym plectic form $!_{j}$ has the property $!_{j}(d ; w)=!_{j+1}(u \quad$; dw $)$. For exam ple,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& !_{0}(\mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{w})=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{10}+\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{8} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{6}+\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{~W}_{4} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{8} \mathrm{~W}_{2}+\mathrm{V}_{10} \mathrm{~W}_{0}\right) ;  \tag{2.4a}\\
& !_{1}(\mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{w})=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{9} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{3} \mathrm{~W}_{7}+\mathrm{V}_{5} \mathrm{~W}_{5} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{7} \mathrm{~W}_{3}+\mathrm{V}_{9} \mathrm{~W}_{1}\right): \tag{2.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote the signs. They guarantee that the sym plectic form $!_{j}$ is invariant under the b-transform :

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{j}\left(e^{u^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}} ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w}}\right)=!_{j}(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where b $2{ }^{2}(X)$. So the b-transform is a sym plectom onphism .

Self-duality of the $R$ am ond $R$ am ond eld. W e denote by $(\mathbb{M} ; 9)$ a 10-dim ensionaloriented Lorentzian $m$ anifold. A Lorentzian $m$ etric $g$ on $M$ together $w$ ith the string scale 's de ne an inde nite $m$ etric $g_{j}$ on the vector space $(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\left\{:(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}!(X ; \mathscr{R})^{10} j\right.$ is the $H$ odge star operation for the rescaled $m$ etric ${ }_{s}^{2} g$ follow ed by the substitution $u!u^{1}$. In our conventions all form s are dim ensionless. T herefore the $H$ odge star operator for $g$ is a dim ensionfill operation: the dimension of $w_{p}$ is (length) ${ }^{10} 2 p$. But the $H$ odge star operator for the rescaled $m$ etric ${ }_{s}{ }^{2} g$ is dim ensionless $\mid$ that's why it is used in 2.6). In com ponents the $m$ etric (2.6) has the follow ing very sim ple form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \tag{2.7a}
\end{align*}
$$

The inde nite $m$ etric together $w$ ith the sym plectic form de ne an involution $I:(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ ! (M; $\mathscr{R})^{j}$ by

$$
g_{j}(v ; w)=!_{j}(I(v) ; w) \quad I(v):=\quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \{ )(v): ~ \tag{2.8}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $j=0$ and $j=1$ the involution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(w)=X_{p=0}^{X^{5}} u^{p}(1)^{p+1} \underset{s}{104 p} \quad w_{10} 2 p \quad \text { and } \quad I_{1}(w)=X_{p=1}^{X^{5}} u^{p}(1)^{p}{\underset{s}{12}}_{12}^{4 p} \quad w_{11} 2 p: \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this involution we can decom pose the vector space $(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ into a sum of eigenspaces corresponding to $I=+1$ and $I=1$. W e will refer to the form $s f F{ }^{+} g$ which satisfy the equation $I\left(F^{+}\right)=F^{+}$as to the self-dual form $s^{\text {² }}$.

The $m$ ain observation is that all the equations of type II supergravity can be rew rilten using

[^1]only the self-dual form $\mathrm{F}^{+}$:
B ianchi id + RR eom: (d $\left.u^{1} H\right) F^{+}=0$;
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eom for } H-u x: \quad s_{s}^{4} d\left(e^{2} \quad H\right)=\frac{1}{2} F^{+} \wedge_{j}\left(F^{+}\right)_{\text {coef. of } u^{1}}^{i}+\text { Gravitational correction; } \tag{2.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

stress-energy tensor: $\quad g T \quad \operatorname{vol}(g)={ }_{g} F^{+} \wedge\left\{\left(F^{+}\right)^{i}\right.$
coef. of $u^{0}$
where $g=\left(g^{1} g\right) d x \wedge i\left(\frac{@}{e x}\right)$ (for the properties of this operator see section 10). The follow ing exam ples ilhustrate this observation:

For type IIA the self-dual eld is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{+}=\mathrm{R}_{0}+\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}+\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{4}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \mathrm{u}^{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{4} \quad \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{s}}^{6} \mathrm{u}^{4} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}^{10} u^{5} \quad R_{0}: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation $d_{H} \mathrm{~F}^{+}=0$ yields $B$ ianchi identities together $w$ ith equations of $m$ otion

$$
d R_{0}=0 ; \quad d R_{2}=H R_{0} ; \quad d R_{4}=H R_{2} ; \quad \stackrel{v}{s}^{2} d \quad R_{4}=H R_{4} ; \quad \stackrel{i}{s}^{4} d \quad R_{2}=\quad H \wedge \quad R_{2}:
$$

The equation 2.10.d is

$$
v_{s}^{4} d\left(e^{2} \quad H\right)={ }_{s}^{v^{6}} R_{0} \wedge \quad R_{2}+\stackrel{v}{s}^{2} R_{2} \wedge \quad R_{4} \quad \frac{1}{2} R_{4} \wedge R_{4}+X_{8}(g)
$$

where $X_{8}(g)=\frac{1}{48}\left(p_{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)$ and $=\frac{p_{1}}{2}$ and $p_{i}$ are di erential form $s$ representing the P ontrjagin class constructed from traces of the curvature. T he stress-energy tensor is given in 10.6).
For type IIB the self-dual eld is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{+}=u^{1} R_{1}+u^{2} R_{3}+u^{3} F_{5}^{+}+v_{s}^{4} u^{4} \quad R_{3} \quad v_{s}^{8} u^{5} \quad R_{1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{5}^{+}=\mathrm{F}_{5}^{+}$. The equation $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{F}^{+}=0$ yields B ianchi identities together w ith equations of m otion

The equation 2.10b) is

$$
\stackrel{v}{s}_{4}^{d}\left(e^{2} \quad H\right)=\stackrel{v}{4}_{4} R_{1} \wedge \quad R_{3} \quad R_{3} \wedge F_{5}^{+}:
$$

T he stress-energy tensor is given in 10.7).
N ote that 2.11) and (2.12) are just particular param eterizations of the self-dual eld: the
self-dual eld can always be written in the form $\mathrm{F}^{+}=\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{IF}$ for $\mathrm{F} 2(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{j} \mid$ however F is not unique.

C om plex structure. O ur quantization of Chem-Sim ons will be in Euclidean signature. So in the next ve sections we will be working on a com pact $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold ( $X$; $g_{巨}$ ). Sim ilarly to (2.9), the Riem annian $m$ etric $g_{E}$ on $X$ de ne a $R$ iem annian $m$ etric $g_{j}$ on the vector space $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$.

A complex structure $J:(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}!(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ com patible w ith the $m$ etric and sym plectic form is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{j}(v ; w)=!_{j}(J(v) ; w) \quad\right) \quad J(v):=\quad\left({ }_{j}{ }^{1} \quad\{ )(v):\right. \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In com ponents $J$ is given by the expression sim ilar to (2.9).

## 3 Form ulating the partition function for topologically trivial elds

Before describing the general case, we rst explain our strategy in the case where all the RR elds are topologically trivial.

In 15] W itten argued that the partition function for a self-dual eld on a $R$ iem annian ( $4 \mathrm{k}+2$ )dim ensionalm anifold, as a function of extemal currents, can be obtained from a certain abelian C hem-Sim ons theory in one dim ension higher (see also section 2 of 19] for m ore details). The $m$ ain result of this section is that the partition function of $R$ am ond $R$ am ond elds oftype IIA /IIB supergravity can be obtained from a certain abelian C hem-Sim ons theory in 11-dim ensions. There are two natural Chem-Sim ons theories in 11 dimensions: one of them $\mid$ CSA $\mid$ yields the partition function for type IIA, and another | CSB | for type IIB. These Chem-Sim ons theories depend on di erent gauge elds:

|  | CSA |  | C SB |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gauge eld | A 2 | ${ }^{\text {ev }}(X)$ | A 2 | ${ }^{\text {odd }}(X)$ |

For topologically trivial gauge elds the C hem-Sim ons fiunctional is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \text { iCSK }}{ }^{j+1}(A)=\exp 2 i \frac{1}{2}_{Y}^{Z} u A^{\wedge}{ }_{j+1}\left(d_{H} A\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=0$ for IIA and $j=1$ for IIB, and $d_{H}=d \quad u{ }^{1} H$ is the tw isted di erential. (TheNS H - eld is extended from $X$ to $Y$.)

In this section we start from the Euclidean action principal in which form $s$ of all degrees appear (even degree for type IIA and odd degree for type IIB). This is known as a \dem ocratic" form ulation of supergravity [12]. Next we couple the RR elds to the extemalRR current in such a way that only the im aginary antiself-dual part of the $R$ am ond $R$ am ond form is coupled to the current. W ew ill then show that the partition function as a function of this extemal current is a section of the C hem-Sim ons line bundle.
\D em ocratic" form ulation. The space of $R$ am ond $R$ am ond elds is bered over the space of B - elds. W e w ill describe this structure in detail in sections 4 and 8. In this paragraph we only need H $2{\underset{Z}{3}}_{3}^{(X)}$ | the curvature of the B-eld. Topologically trivial $R$ am ond $R$ am ond elds are described by a gauge potential C $2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j 1}$ w th curvature $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C} 2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}^{j}$ where
$d_{H}=d \quad u^{1} H$. Follow ing 15] we start from the follow ing action functional for the $\mathrm{C}-$ eld ${ }^{\text {W }}$

$$
S(C)=\overline{2}_{x}^{Z} d_{H} C^{\wedge}\left\{\left(d_{H} C\right)\right.
$$

where $\{$ is de ned in (2.6). W e now introduce a topologically trivial $U$ (1) extemal gauge eld A $2(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j} w$ ith gauge transform ation law $A=d_{i}$ and $C=$ for $2(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j 1}$, so that the eld C has charge one under this $U(1)$. C onsider the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{S(C ; A)}=\exp ^{h} \quad \overline{2}_{j}\left(d_{H} C \quad A ; d_{H} C \quad A\right) \quad i!_{j}\left(d_{H} C ; A\right)^{i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{j}$ and $!_{j}$ are the $R$ iem annian $m$ etric (2.6) and sym plectic form 2.2). To understand the e ect of the topological interaction it is useful to rew rite this action in com plex coordinates. $U$ sing the complex structure $J$ de ned in 2.13) we can rew rite $R=d_{H} C$ as $R=R^{+}+R$ and $A=A^{+}+A$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{2}(R \quad i J \quad R) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $A$. $H$ ere $A$ and $C$ are real form $s$ and thus $(A)=A^{+}$and $(R)=R^{+}$. In this notation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{A}^{+} ; \mathrm{A}\right.}\right):=\exp i!_{j}\left(\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{R}^{+}\right)+i!_{j}\left(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{A}^{+}\right)+2 \quad i!_{j}\left(A^{+} ; R\right)^{i}: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce we obtain this expression we can treat $A^{+}$and $A$ as independent com plex variables while keeping $R$ real.

It follow s that the holom onphic dependence of the partition function

$$
\left.Z\left(A^{+} ; A\right)=\text { top. trivial. }_{Z}^{D} C e^{\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{A}^{+} ; \mathrm{A}\right.}\right)
$$

on $A^{+}$represents the coupling to the anti-self-dual degree of freedom. T he covariant derivatives are

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=i!_{j}\left(A ; A^{+}\right) \text {and } D^{+}=+i!_{j}\left(A^{+} ; A\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the usual di erential w ith respect to A. The partition function obeys the holom onphic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{Z}(\mathbb{A})=0: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This easily follow s since the action (3.4) satis es this equation. Since $\mathbb{D} \quad ; \mathrm{D} \quad]=0$ the connection 3.6) de nes a holom orphic line bundle $L$ over the space of com plexi ed gauge elds fA ${ }^{+} g$.

[^2]The partition function is a holom orphic section of L . The fact that the partition function is not a function but a section of a line bundle is related to the fact that the action (32) is not gauge invariant. If $X$ is a closed $m$ anifold then under sm all gauge transform ation $C=, A=\varnothing$ it transform sas

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=i{ }_{x} u^{\wedge}{ }_{j+1}\left(d_{H} A\right) \quad Z\left(A+d_{H}\right)=Z(A) e^{i!j+1\left(u ; d_{H} A\right)}: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the partition function on the realslice $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{A}^{+}\right)$obeys the non standard gauge-invariance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{d_{H}}+2 i!{ }_{j+1}\left(u ; d_{H} A\right) Z(A)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\quad i!_{j}(A ; A)$ with being the di erential on the space of gauge elds, and $D_{d_{H}}$ denotes the covariant derivative $D$ evaluated on the vector eld $d_{H}$. The connection $D$ has a nonzero curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2}=2 i!_{j}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.9) represents the quantum equation of $m$ otion for the self-dual eld. Indeed, substituting (3.4) and $D=D^{+}+D$ one nds

$$
d_{H} h R \quad A \quad i+d_{H} A=0
$$

where h: : :i denotes a nom alized correlation function for the functional integral 3.5).

C om plexi cation of the gauge group. The fact that the partition function is a holom orphic section of L allow s us to com plexify the gauge group. R ecall that originally the partition function $Z$ (A) was a function of a real gauge eld $A$. By writing $A=A^{+}+A$ we realized that it depends holom orphically on the complex eld $A^{+}$. Thismeans that A ${ }^{+}$and A can be considered as independent complex variables so (A) $A^{+}$. This in tum allows us to complexify the gauge group. O riginally, the gauge transform ations were given by a real form $2(X ; R)^{j 1}$ : A I A $+d_{H}$. C omplexi cation of the gauge group $m$ eans that now we have tw o com plex gauge param eters ${ }^{+}$and , and gauge transform ations

$$
A^{+} \eta A^{+}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{H}+i J \quad\left(A^{+}\right)\right) \text {and } A T A+\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{H}+i J \quad\left(A^{+}\right)\right):
$$

N otice that the eld strength $F=d_{H} A^{+}+d_{H} A$ is not invariant under the complex gauge transform ation:

$$
F T F+\frac{1}{2 i} d_{H}\left[J\left(d_{H}+d_{H} \quad\right)\right]:
$$

Evidently, by a com plex gauge transform ation we can transform a topologically trivialgauge eld $A$ to be at: $d_{H} A=0$.

To proceed further we need to modify the partition function 3.5) to include a sum over topological sectors. This step is quite nontrivial, and requires conceptual changes. W e postpone the details of the construction to the next sections. T he partition function takes the schem atic form

$$
Z(A): \begin{array}{cc}
X & \text { (a) }
\end{array} \quad \mathscr{D} C_{a} e^{S(R ; A)}
$$

where : $K^{j ; h}(X)!f 1 g$ is a cnucial phase factor discussed in detail in section 6.

The partition function as a holom orphic section of a line bundle. The space of topologically trivial at gauge elds is a tonus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{j}(X)=(X ; R)_{d_{H}}^{j}=(X ; R)_{d_{H} ; Z}^{j} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a quotient of the space of $d_{H}$-closed form $s$ of total degree $j$, ( $\left.X ; R\right)_{d_{H}}^{j}$, by the group of large gauge transform ations $A 7 A+R$ where $R$ is a $d_{H}$-closed form of total degree $j w$ ith $a$ certain quantization condition f. T he sym plectic form ! j takes integral values on (X ;R) ${ }_{d_{H} ; 2}^{j}$. $T$ hus the partition function is a holom onphic section of the line bundle $L$ over the com plex tonus $W{ }_{C}^{j}(X)$ which is obtained from the real tonus $W^{j}(X)$ by using the com plex structure $J$. N ote that $\operatorname{dim}_{R} W{ }_{C}^{j}$ is not given by Betti numbers but depends on the de R ham cohom ology class $\mathbb{H}] 2 H_{d R}^{3}(X)$. The line bundle $L!W^{j}(X)$ has a nonzero rst Chem class $C_{1}(L)=[!j]_{d R}$. The sym plectic form $!_{j}$ is of type $(1 ; 1)$ in the com plex structure $J$. From the $K$ odaira vanishing theorem and the index of @-operator it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(W_{C}^{j} ; L\right)={ }_{W{ }^{j}}^{Z} e^{C_{1}(L)} \operatorname{td}\left(T^{j}\right)=W_{W^{j}}^{Z} e^{!^{j}}=1: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Follow ing the ideas of [15] we expect that this construction describes the partition function of an im aginary anti self-dual R R eld. From 3.13) it follow s that the line bundle L has a unique holom onphic section. This holom onphic section is the partition function for R R elds as a function of the RR current.
$T$ herefore to construct a partition function for $R R$ elds we need to

1. construct a line bundle $L$ over the tonus $W^{j}(X)$ equipped $w$ ith norm and herm itian connection D w ith curvature 2 i! ${ }_{j}$.
2. choose the complex structure 2.13) on the tonus $W^{j}(X)$. U sing the connection D we can de ne holom onphic sections of L .
[^3]A natural geom etrical way of constructing the line bundle and connection on it is to use C hem-Sim ons theory in one higher dim ension as we describe next.
$R$ elation to $C$ hern $-S$ im ons theory. A lot ofinform ation about the line bundle $L!(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ is encoded in the topological term

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i!j\left(d_{\mathrm{H}} C ; A\right)}: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that this exponential is not gauge invariant: under the gauge transform ation $A=d_{1}$ and $\quad C=$ it is multiplied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i!j+1\left(u ; d_{H} A\right)}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This extra phase com ing from the gauge transform ation looks like the boundary term of a level 1 abelian $C$ hem-Sim ons theory in one dim ension higher. Indeed, let $Y$ be an 11-m anifold w ith boundary X . C onsider the follow ing topological action for a topologically trivial gauge eld A $2(Y ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \operatorname{icsk}}{ }_{Y}^{j}(A):=\exp 2 i \frac{1}{2}_{Y}^{Z} u A^{\wedge} \quad j+1\left(d_{H} A\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his exponential is not gauge invariant on a manifold w ith boundary. U nder the gauge transfor$m$ ation $A T A+d_{H}$ it $m$ ultiplies by the inverse of boundary term 3.15). The Chem-Sim ons functional on a m anifold $w$ ith boundary is $m$ ost naturally considered as a section of the C hemSim ons line bundle over the space ofgauge elds $f A_{x} g$ on the boundary X . O ur sim ple calculation show s that the $C$ hem-Sim ons line bundle is isom onphic to $L^{1}$.

T he above discussion can be extended to include the coupling to $R \mathrm{R}$ currents (in particular, the coupling to $D$ foranes). W e give this in section ${ }^{5}$ below for the topologically nontrivial case.

## 4 Fieldspace and gauge transform ations

To proceed further we need to generalize the above construction to allow topologically nontrivial gauge elds C and A. In the previous section we saw that the gauge eld A plays the role of an extemal current for the RR eld. T he space of gauge elds A is bered over the space of B - elds. R ecall that space of gauge equivalence classes of $B$ - elds is an in nite dim ensional abelian group $H^{3}(Y)$. In this paper we w ill denote by B $2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}(\mathrm{Y})$ a representative of the di erential cohom ology class B] $2 \mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathrm{Y})$, by h $2 \mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z})$ its characteristic class and by H $2{\underset{Z}{3}}_{(\mathrm{Y})}$ 止s curvature. The space $Z^{2}(Y)$ is the space of di erential cocycles. Its de nition depends very $m$ uch on a choige of a m odel for the di erential cohom ology (see 9] and section 3 in [10] for $m$ ore details).

D i erential $K$-group. The set of gauge-inequivalent elds (or gauge inequivalent currents) is an in nite dim ensional abelian group $K^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$, known as a tw isted di erential $K$ group. For a pedagogical introduction to di erential K theory see section 5 of 7]. This group can be described by two exact sequences:

F ield strength exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0!\underbrace{K_{\text {elds }}^{j ; h(B)}\{\underset{Z}{Y} ; R=Z}_{\text {at }}\}!K^{j+1 ; B}(Y)^{F_{!}} \quad(Y ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; Z}^{j+1}!0: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathscr{R}=R\left[u ; u^{1}\right] w h e r e u$ is the inverse B ott elem ent ofdegree 2. Every tw isted di erential $K$-character [A] has a eld strength $F$ ([A ]) which is a $d_{H}$-closed form of total degree j+1 w ith som e quantization condition. W e w ill explain this quantization condition below .

C haracteristic class exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0!\quad \left\lvert\, \frac{\left.(Y ; \mathscr{R})^{j}=(Y ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ;}^{j}\right\}}{\text { topologically trivial }}\right.\right\} \mathrm{K}^{j+1 ; B}(Y) Y^{\text {Y }} K^{j+1 ; h(B)}(Y)!0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every tw isted di erentialK -character [A] has a characteristic classx ( $\mathbb{A}$ ] ) which is an elem ent of the tw isted $K$ group $K^{j+1 ; h(B)}(Y)$.

The eld strength and characteristic class are com patible in the sense that the tw isted Chem character $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{m}$ ust coincide w ith the twisted cohom ology class $\mathbb{F} \int_{d_{H}}$ de ned by the eld strength:

$$
\left.\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\hat{A}} \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathbb{E}\right]_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}:
$$

Here $d_{B}: K^{j+1 ; h(B)}(Y)!H(Y ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{j+1}$ is the tw isted Chem character, which we de ne below. Putting together the two sequences we can visualize the in nite dim ensional abelian group $K^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$ as


The group $K^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$ consists of several connected com ponents labeled by the characteristic class $\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{j}+1 ; \mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{B})(\mathrm{Y})$. Each component is a tonus bration over a vector space. The bres are nite
dim ensional toriW ${ }^{j}(Y)=(Y ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{j}=(Y ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{j}$ represented by topologically trivial at gauge elds.
$T$ here is a product on tw isted di erential $K$-characters. If $\left[A_{1}\right] 2 K^{j_{1} ; B_{1}}(Y)$ and $\left[A_{2}\right] 2$ $K^{j_{2} ; B_{2}}(Y)$ then the product $\left.\left[A_{1}\right] \quad A_{2}\right]$ is in $K^{j_{1}+j_{2} ; B_{1}+B_{2}}(Y)$. The characteristic class and the curvature of the product $\left.\left[A_{1}\right] A_{2}\right]$ are

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.x\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right] \quad \mathbb{A}_{2}\right]\right)=x\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right]\right)\left[x\left(\mathbb{A}_{2}\right]\right) \text { and } F\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right] \quad \mathbb{A}_{2}\right]\right)=F\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right]\right) \wedge F\left(\mathbb{A}_{2}\right]\right)
$$

respectively.
If $Y$ is odd dim ensional, com pact, and $K$-oriented $m$ anifold then there is a perfect pairing $K^{j+1 ; B}(Y) \quad K^{j+1 ; B}$ ! U(1) [冝]. We will write this perfect pairing as $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}} \quad u A_{1} \quad{ }_{j+1}\left(A_{2}\right)$ where ${ }_{j+1}: K^{j+1 ; B}(Y)!K^{10}{ }^{j} ; B(Y)$.

In term s of di erential cohom ology classes the action of the previous section is generalized to be

$$
e^{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{A})}=\exp \overline{2}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \text { (F(C) A)^}{ }_{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{C}) \quad \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{uC}{ }_{j+1}(\mathrm{~A}) \text { : }
$$

C ategory of tw isted di erential $K$-cocycles. A s in $Y$ ang $M$ ills theory, locality forces one to work w ith gauge potentials, rather than gauge isom onphism classes of elds. In generalized abelian gauge theories the proper fram ew ork is to nd a groupoid whose set of isom onphism classes is the set of gauge equivalence classes. The objects in the category are the gauge potentials and the \gauge transform ations" are the m orphism s betw een ob jects.

In this paper we will postulate that there exists a category $\mathscr{H}^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$ which is a groupoid obtained by the action of a gauge group on a set of ob jects. The gauge group, from which we get the m orphism s of the category $\mathscr{H}^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$ is, by hypothesis, the group $K^{j ; B}(Y)$. There are a few ways to $m$ otivate this de nition. $F$ irst, it is the natural generalization of the case of di erential cohom ology, where the gauge eld is a cocycle in $\mathrm{Z}^{j+1}$ and considerations of open W ilson lines im ply that the group of gauge transform ations should $H^{j}$ [16]. M ore fundam entally, we w ill adopt D an Freed's view point 国] that one should begin w th a RR current [j] $2 \mathrm{~K}^{j+1 ; B}$ (Y) (induced by the background and D -branes, if present) and view the space ofRR elds as the set of trivializations of [ $j$ ]. The set of such trivializations is a torsor for $K^{j ; B}(X)$. In the $C$ hem-Sim ons approach, the gauge potential restricted to $X$ is identi ed w th the $R R$ current. It follow $s$ that we should identify the gauge group of the $C$ hem-Sim ons theory with $K^{j ; B}(X)$. Let us now $m$ ake the consequences of this view point a little $m$ ore concrete.

F irst, the set ofob jects of our category form sa space, $\mathscr{C}(Y)$. C onnected com ponents are labeled by $K^{j+1 ; h(B)}(Y)$. W e assum e that each com ponent can be taken to be a torsor for $(Y ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. At
the cost of naturality, we m ay choose a basepoint A, and write A = A + a, with a $2(Y ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. $T$ he gauge transform ations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{C} A=A+F(C): \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext, note that at characters $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{j} 1 ; \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{B})}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Z})$ act trivially on the space ofgauge elds $\mathscr{H}^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$, therefore the group of autom onphism sof any ob ject is $A u t(A)=K^{j 1 ; h(B)}(Y ; R=Z)$.

Tw isted bundles and the tw isted Chern character. In this section we review som e background $m$ aterial on tw isted Chem charactes. W e follow [17]. See also [19, 19, 20] for altemative form ulations.

E lem ents of the tw isted di erential K group are represented by tw isted bundles with connection. Each tw isted bundle P ! X arises from locally-de ned bundles of H ilbert spaces on open covers in X [17, 21]. So we choose an open covering fX g of X and isom onphism SP j $=\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{E})$ where E is a H ilbert space bundle on X . For a su ciently ne covering the gluing functions between the charts can be realized by isom orphism s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{E} \text { j ! } \mathrm{E} \text { j } \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{X}$. O ver threefold intersection X the composition $\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{g} ~ \mathrm{~g}$ is a mul tiplication by a $U(1)$ valued function $f \quad: X \quad U(1)$. These functions ff $g$ satisfy the cocycle condition over fourfold intersections $f f^{1} f \quad f^{1}=1$. They also de ne an integral Cech cocycle by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad=\frac{1}{2 i} \log f \quad \log f+\log f \quad \log f \quad 2 z: \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding integral cohom ology class $h_{P} 2 H^{3}(X ; Z)$ is called D ixm ier-D ouady class.
The sam e structure can be rew ritten in slightly di erent form 22, 23]: since the tw isted bundle $P$ is locally described by $P(E)$ the $H$ illbert bundles $E$ and $E \quad$ can di er by a line bundle $L$ over the tw offld intersection $X$. O ver threefold intersections we have to specify an isom onphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L} \text { on } \mathrm{X} \text { : } \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This isom orphism is given by multiplication by a $U(1)$ valued function $f \quad: X \quad!\quad U(1) . T h e$ set of line bundles over tw ofold overlaps satisfying condition (4.6) is called a bundle gerb.

A connection on a tw isted bundle P locally arises from a set of connections on the H ibert bundles fE ! X g . Let r be a connection on the H ilbert bundle E . On twofold intersections these connections are glued by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \dot{j}=A+r \dot{k} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A is a connection on the line bundle L ! X . Because of the condition 4.6) the connections fA $g$ satisfy nontrivial relations on threefold overlaps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{f}^{1} \text { df on } \mathrm{X} \quad: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of one form sfA $g$ on twofold overlaps satisfying condition 4.8) over threefold overlaps is also known as a connecting structure 24].

It happens that to de ne a di erential characteristic class such as a tw isted C hem character, in addition to choosing a connection on a tw isted bundle, one m ust also choose a gerb connection. For a given connecting structure a gerb connection is described as a set of 2-form $\mathrm{s} f \mathrm{f}$ g on charts fX $g$ satisfying the gluing condition on twofold overlaps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { B } \quad B=\frac{1}{2 i} d A \quad \text { on } X \text { : } \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this condition it follow s that there exists a globally well de ned closed 3-form H $2 \int_{Z}^{3}(X)$ (locally $\mathrm{H} \dot{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{dB}$ ) whose de R ham cohom ology class $\mathbb{H} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{dr}}$ coincides with the im age of integral cohom ology class $h_{P}$ under the natural pro jection to de R ham cohom ology.

A twisted Chem character $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{P})$ arises locally from a connection on H ibert bundles over fX $g$ and a gerb connection B :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{P}) \dot{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{r}) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ch (r ) is the C hem character for the $H$ ilbert bundle $E$. (Ifw e w rite an expression involving a trace then that trace needs to be regulated.) If E is nite dimensional f then $\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{r})=$


B - eld gauge transform ations. In the previous paragraph we described a tw isted bundle w th connection locally using H ilbert bundles w ith connection. This local structure has a nontrivial group of autom onphism s given by $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X})$ (the group of isom onphism classes of line bundles $w$ th connection). Indeed given a globally well de ned line bundle L! X with connection r we can change each $H$ ilbert bundle $E$ to $E \quad L$ j and $r \quad r+r j$. Notice that all equations but 4.19) of the previous paragraph rem ains unm odi ed. So to preserve equation (4.10) we also need to change the gerb connection by $B \quad \eta \quad B \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F}$ ( r ) je where $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F} F(r) 2{ }_{Z}^{2}(X)$. This autom onphism is called B - eld gauge transform ation.

O ne m ust distinguish between B - eld gauge transform ations and a change of the B - eld: the B - eld gauge transform ation is a two step transform ation described above and de ned in such a

[^4]way that it preserves the tw isted C hem character. By contrast, under a change of the B - eld: B goes to $B+b$ for $b 2^{2}(X)$, the tw isted C hem character changes by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h_{\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{P}): \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

C hern classes. The C hem classes and the C hem character for tw isted bundles are related in an unusual fashion. Before discussing this in detail we consider a sim ple exam ple. Suppose we have a topologically trivial B - eld in type IIA supergravity. It is then possible to solve the B ianchi identity for the $R$ am ond $R$ am ond eld $R$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=e^{u^{1} B} F \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where F is a closed form ofeven degree, $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\quad+^{5} \mathrm{El}_{10}$. In the previous paragraph we explained that besides the physicaldata ( $=$ inform ation about the tw isted bundle P ) F contains auxiliary data which is cancelled in 4.12) by the B - eld. In other words F describes a H ilbert bundle $E$ rather than a tw isted one. W hen we apply an autom onphism $F$ changes to $e^{\mathrm{u}}$ ! F and B I B !. Thismeans that we have to keep track of a at B - eld. To separate these auxiliary data we should consider invariant polynom ials $C(F)$ such that $c\left(e^{u}{ }^{1}!F\right)=C(F)$. Such polynom ials form a ring, and Chem classes can be taken to be generators of this ring 25].

For exam ple, if $F_{0} \in 0$ then the invariant ring is generated by the polynom ials
$\mathrm{F}_{0} ; \quad 2 \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2} ; \quad 3 \mathrm{~F}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \quad 3 \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}+\mathrm{F}_{2}^{3} ; \quad 2 \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \quad 2 \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}+\mathrm{F}_{4}^{2} ; \quad 4 \mathrm{~F}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{2}^{4}$; etc:
So there is one generator in degrees 1, 4 and 6 and tw o generators in degree 8 and 10. The P oincare polynom ial for this ring was calculated in 25]. If $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ then the generators are given by the polynom ials above after the substitution $\mathrm{F}_{0}!\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}!\mathrm{F}_{4}$, etc. N otioe that in this case there is a signi cant reduction in the num ber of invariants.
For type IIB the ring is generated by

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{F}_{1} ; & \mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{3} ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{5} ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{5} ; \text { etc: }
\end{array}
$$

The R R topological sectors of supergravity m ust be speci ed in term s of invariant polynom ials.
Locally Chem classes come from invariant polynom ials in the curvature $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{F}$ ( r ) of a connection on a Hilbert bundle $\mathrm{E} \quad!\mathrm{X}$. Consider the monom ials $\mathrm{x}_{0}=\operatorname{tr} 1 ; \mathrm{x}_{2}=\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{F}$; $\mathrm{x}_{4}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} F^{2} ;:::$ and $x=x_{0}+u^{1} x_{2}+u^{2} x_{4}+::$ (for a suitably de ned trace). D enote by $J!X$ the ring ofpolynom ials in $x_{0} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} ;::$ which are invariant under $x \eta e^{{ }^{1}!}$ ! where! is a closed

2-form. It is easy to see that the rings of invariant polynom ials $f J g$ ghe together into a ring $J$ of invariants over $X$. The generators of this ring are the rational $C$ hem classes.

## 5 De ning Chern-Sim onsterm $s$ in 11 dim ensions

C hern-Sim ons functional The Chem-Sim ons functional for the di erential $K$-group was dened by Hopkins and Singer [9]. In this paper we are using a generalization of their functional to the case of a twisted di erential $K$-group. Gauge inequivalent elds are elem ents of tw isted di erential $K$-group: $[A] 2 K^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$. N otioe that [uA $\left.{ }^{j+1}(A)\right]$ is an elem ent of the untw isted group $K^{0}(X)$ and m oreover it has a natural lift to the di erential $\mathrm{K} O$-group 9, 5, 26. The Chem-Sim ons functionalCSK is de ned by

Here $\underset{x}{R_{K} O}: K O^{0}(\mathrm{X})!\mathrm{KO}$ din x (pt) denotes integration in the di erential $\mathrm{K} O$-theory where

| $j \bmod 8$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K \circ{ }^{j}(p t)$ | $Z$ | $Z=2$ | $Z=2$ | $R=Z$ | $Z$ | 0 | 0 | $R=Z$ |

The groups which are im portant for our case correspond to $j$ equal to 3 and $2 . \mathrm{K} \mathrm{O}^{3}$ ( pt ) $=\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Z}$ de nes for us the Chem-Sim ons functional as in (5.1]. The integral is essentially given by the -invariant + localChem-Sim ons term [G्ర]. The group $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{O}{ }^{2}(\mathrm{pt})=\mathrm{Z}=2 \mathrm{w}$ illplay a crucial role in form ulating the G auss law. The integral in this case is given by the mod two index of the D irac operator. Thus the -function of $W$ itten 27] is autom atically included in the de nition of the K -theoretic C hem-Sim ons functional.

From 5.1) it follow s that the $C$ hem-Sim ons functional is a quadratic re nem ent of the bilinear form on $K^{j+1 ; B} \quad K^{j+1 ; B}$ :

$$
\operatorname{CSK}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)=\operatorname{CSK}\left(A_{1}\right)+\operatorname{CSK}\left(A_{2}\right)+\sum_{y}^{Z} \operatorname{uA}_{1} \quad{ }_{j+1}\left(A_{2}\right) \quad \bmod 1
$$

C oupling to $R R$ current and $D$-branes. The coupling to $R R$ current and $D$-branes is easily included in the above form alism. The data specifying a w rapped $D$ łorane state includes a choioe (of a pair) of a tw isted bundle P ! W w th connection. Locally a tw isted bundle is described by a pro jective H ilbert bundle (form ore details see section 4 and 25]). The charges of the brane are described in term s of the topology of the em bedded cycle $f: W!X$ and the topology of $P$. In addition, the tw isting of the nom albundle ! W contributes to the RR current [29, 29, 3Q]. W e denote by a di erential re nem ent of the third integralW u class $W_{3}()$ on . There is a natural integral cohom ology class $h_{P} 2 \mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathrm{~W} ; \mathrm{Z})$ associated to the tw isted bundle $\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{D}$ ixm ier-D ouady
class. The Freed-w itten anom aly cancellation condition 3G] requires that $h_{P}=h(+f B)=0$ where $f B$ is the pullback of the $B$ - eld $B$ from $X$. 回
$T$ he tw isted bundle $P$ de nes a class $\mathbb{P}]$ in the tw isted $K$-group $K^{0 ; h_{P}}$ ( $W$ ). The cohom ology class of the $R R$ current created by the $D$-brane is a pushforw ard of $\mathbb{P}]$ to the tw isted $K$ group on x 29, [1, 31]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h_{B}\left(f_{!} \mathbb{P}\right]\right) \hat{q} \overline{\hat{A}(X)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 eralization of this equation to the di erential $K$ group [9] allow ing one to de ne the RR current associated to the $D$-brane. In general the $R R$ current is as an elem ent [j] of the tw isted di erential $K$ group $K^{j+1 ; B}(X)$. If the current is extended to $[j] 2 \mathrm{~K}^{j+1 ; B}(Y)$ we can consider the coupling to $\mathbb{A}]$ to be of the form

$$
\exp ^{2} i_{y}^{Z} u A \quad{ }_{j+1}(j):
$$

$N$ ote that from 52), we see that by shifting A 7 A j we can cancel the source term in 5.4).

V ariational form ula. Suppose we are given a fam ily Z of K O-oriented 11m anifolds over the interval $[0 ; 1]$. W e denote by $Y_{t}$ the bre of this fam ily over the point $t$.
 Let A $2 \mathrm{~K}^{j+1 ; B}(Z)$ be a di erential cocycle. Then one can show that
where $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ denote restrictions of $A$ to $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{1}$ respectively.

C hern-Sim ons functional on lower dim ensionalm anifolds. On a com pact 11-dim ensionalk $O$ oriented $m$ anifold $Y$ the $C$ hem-Sim ons functionalde nes a $m$ ap from the space of gauge elds on $Y$ to $R=Z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{C S K}{ }_{Y} \text { : fgauge elds on } Y g!R=Z \text { : } \tag{5.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ctually the sam e Chem-Sim ons functional $m$ akes sense on lower dim ensional manifolds. On a compact 10-dim ensional K O oriented m anifold X Chem-Sim ons functional can be used to de ne a line bundle with connection over the space of gauge elds on $\mathrm{X} \mid$ an elem ent of $\mathrm{H}^{2} \frac{\text { fgauge elds on } \mathrm{Xg}}{\text { fgauge tr.g }}$.
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## 6 Q uantization of $\backslash$ spin " C hern-S im ons theory

In general, there are tw o ways to quantize $C$ hem-Sim ons theory: one can rst im pose the equation of $m$ otion classically and then quantize the space of solutions of this equation, altematively one can rst quantize the space of all gauge elds and then im pose the equation of $m$ otion as an operator constraint. In this paper we m ostly follow the second approach, although our ultim ate goal is to construct w avefunctions on the gauge invariant phase space.

C onsider the follow ing topological eld theory on an 11-dim ensionalm anifold $Y$
$N$ ote that the Chem-Sim ons functional is not necessarily sym m etric: there exist $2 \mathrm{~K}^{j+1 ; B}(\mathrm{Y})$ such that CSK ( A) = CSK (A) mod 1. This equation actually xes only the characteristic class of [ ]. It happens that on 10 m anifold x is always divisible by 2 in the tw isted K theory. $T$ he $C$ hem-Sim ons functional de nes a preferred class $2 \mathrm{~K}^{j+1 ; h(B)}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{x}=2$ 回].

U sing the variational form ula (5.5) one obtains the fam iliar equation of m otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)=0: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nem ight think of $Y$ as a product space $R \quad X$ and proceed w ith $H$ am iltonian quantization of this theory. H ow ever we proceed di erently: in the previous section we said that C hem-Sim ons on a $10-\mathrm{m}$ anifold X de nes a line bundle w th connection over the space of gauge elds on $X$. In this section we describe this construction in detail.

CS line bundle and connection. The space ofthe gauge elds is an in nite-dim ensional space of ob jects of the category $\mathscr{H}^{\text {j+1;B }}(\mathrm{X})$ :

$$
\mathscr{C}(X):=\operatorname{Obj}\left(\mathscr{H}^{j+1 ; B}(X)\right):
$$

The topologicalaction 6.1) de nes a natural line bundle $L!\mathscr{C}(X)$ (the $C$ hem-Sim ons line bundle). Chem-Sim ons functional on an 11-m anifold $w$ th boundary $X$ is m ost naturally considered as a section of L .

The C hem-Sim ons line bundle L has a natural connection de ned as follow s: C onsider a path $A_{t}$ in the space of tw isted di erential $K-\infty 0 c y c l e s ~ \mathscr{C}(X)$ where $t 2[0 ; 1]$ is the coordinate on the path. O ne can think of $A_{t}$ as of $a$ tw isted di erential $K$-oocycle from $\mathscr{C}([0 ; 1] \quad X)$. The parallel transport is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{U}\left(f A_{t} g\right):=e^{2 \text { icsk } \underset{[0 ; i] \times x}{j+1, B}\left(A_{t}\right)} 2 \mathrm{Hom}\left(L \dot{\xi}_{0} ; \mathrm{L}{\dot{A_{1}}}_{1}\right): \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tangent vector to the path $£ A_{t} g$ is $2(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. The curvature of the connection 6.3) can be com puted from the variational form ula 5.5) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{X}(1 ; 2)=2 i!{ }_{j}(1 ; 2) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $!_{j}$ is the sym plectic form 2.2).


For any $2(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ we introduce a straightline path $p_{A} ;(t)=A+t$ in the space of tw isted di erential $K$-cocycles $\mathscr{C}(X)$. U sing the variational form ula 5.5) one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{U}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}+1 ; 2}\right) \mathscr{U}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A} ; 1}\right)=e^{i!j(1 ; 2) \mathscr{U}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}} ; 1+{ }_{2}\right):} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we need to lift the action of the gauge group (de ned in section 4 above) to the line bundle $L$. The di erence between a group lift and parallel transport is a co-
 cycle. That is, we can de ne the group lift by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{C}\right)\left(g_{C} A\right):={ }^{\prime}(A ;[C]) \mathscr{U}\left(p_{A ; R}(C)\right)(A) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided' is a phase satisfying the cocycle condition:

$$
\begin{align*}
\prime & \left(g_{C_{1}} A ;\left[C_{2}\right]\right)^{\prime}\left(A ;\left[C_{1}\right]\right) \\
& ={ }^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A} ;\left[C_{1}\right]+\left[C_{2}\right]\right) e^{i!j\left(\mathbb{R}\left(C_{1}\right) ; R\left(C_{2}\right)\right)}: \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

O nce the group lift is de ned we can im pose an operator constraint on the wave function | the $G$ auss law $\mid$ which says $\left.g_{C} A\right)=\left(g_{c} A\right)$ (form ore details see section 62).

### 6.1 C onstruction of the cocycle via a C hern-Sim ons term

O ne way to construct a cocycle proceeds using a construction going back to W itten and described in detail in [16].

C hem-Sim ons functional provides a natural candidate for the cocycle' 27, 16]. C onstruct a di erential cocycle on the closed 11-m anifold $S^{1} \quad \mathrm{X}:$

$$
A_{X}+t C
$$

where $\mathbb{L}] 2 K^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is a di erential $K$-character with eld strength $F(A)+d t \wedge R(C)$ and characteristic class $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{A})+[\mathrm{dt}][\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{C}) . \square$

[^6]N ow using this tw isted di erential character we can de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{X} ;[\mathbb{C}]\right):=e^{2 \text { icSK }{\underset{S}{1}}^{1+1 ; B}\left(\mathbb{A}_{x}+t C\right)}: \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To de ne a Chem-Sim ons term we need to choose a $\mathrm{K} O$-orientation for $S^{1} \mathrm{X}$ : it includes in particular a choice of spin structure on the $S^{1}$, which should be the bounding spin structure $S^{1}$. A standard cobordism argum ent (see, for exam ples [16, 10] ) show s that the functional 6.8) satis es the cocycle relation 6.7) .

U sing properties of the multiplication of di erential characters one can rew rite the cocycle 6.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{X} ;[C]\right)=([C]) e^{2 i \int_{X}[u C]\left[j+1\left(A_{X}\right)\right]}: \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that ([C ]) is a locally constant function of [C ]. Therefore it only depends on the characteristic class $x$ ( $[\mathrm{C}])$. Since there is no di culty in de ning the integral level C hem-Sim ons term, $m$ ust take values $f 1 g$. Finally, from the cocycle condition we derive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{1}\right]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]\right)=\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{1}\right]\right) \quad\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]\right)(1)^{\left.!_{j}(x(\mathbb{C} 1]) ; x\left(\left[C_{2}\right]\right)\right)}: \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

QRIF. A function : $K^{j+1 ; h(B)}(X)$ ! $U(1)$ which satis es the quadratic equation 6.10) is called a QRIF.QRIF stands for $Q$ uadratic $R$ e nem ent of the Intersection Form .

N ow, associated to is an im portant invariant. N ote that since the bilinear form $!_{j}(x ; y)$ vanishes on torsion classes, is a hom om onphism from TorK ${ }^{j+1 ; h(B)}(X)$ to $R=Z$. Since there is a perfect pairing on torsion classes it follows that there is a $2 \operatorname{TorK}^{j+1 ; h(B)}(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{T}\right)=e^{2 i T\left(x_{T} ;\right)}=e^{2 i \int_{X} u[j+1()} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for alltorsion classes $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}$.
A s in our previous paper, if one starts with an function, as in 87, then there appear to be $m$ any choices. $W$ thin the $C$ hem-Sim ons fram ew ork there is a distinguished choice for follow ing from (6.9). This choige of , which is essentially the mod two index, has been claim ed to be the unique choice com patible w ith $T$-duality 27, 13]. M oreover, it appears to be the unique choice that is com patible w ith M theory [ 3 , 4], so we willm ake that choice from now on. [
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### 6.2 Q uantum G auss law

The wave function must de ne a section of the line bundle $L$ over a com ponent of the space of gauge equivalence classes of elds $K^{j+1 ; B}(X)$. In the previous section we constructed a line bundle L over the ob jects $\mathscr{C}(X)$ of the category $\mathscr{H}^{j+1}(\mathrm{X})$. A section of L ! $\mathscr{C}$ descends to a section of L it satis es the G auss law constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(g_{C} A\right)={ }^{\prime}(\mathbb{A} ; \mathbb{C}]\right) \mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{P}_{A ; R}(C)\right)(A): \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tadpole constraint. Equation (6.12) has solution only on one connected com ponent ofK ${ }^{j+1 ; B}(X)$. Recall that the ob jects in $\mathscr{C}$ (X ) have a nontrivial group of autom orphism s:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}])=K^{j 1 ; h(B)}(X ; R=Z):
$$

It is easy to see that 6.12) adm its a nonzero solution only if the autom orphism group of an ob ject acts trivially. This am ounts to the condition

$$
{ }^{\prime}(A ;[C])=1 \text { for }[C] 2 K^{j 1 ; h(B)}(X ; R=Z):
$$

C ombining 6.9) w ith 6.11) we obtain the \tadpole condition":

$$
\begin{equation*}
+x(A)=0: \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

C ocycle. The cocycle' looksparticularly sim ple fordi erentialcharacters satisfying the tadpole constraint 6.13) : Suppose we are given a $Z_{2}$-vahed $Q R \mathbb{F} \quad 0(x) w$ ith vanishing torsion class (we w ill specify such a $Q R \mathbb{F}$, de ned by a certain Lagrangian decom position, in the next section). A ctually it depends only on the rational $K$ theory class, so we will also write it as o ( $\mathbb{R} l_{d_{\sharp}}$ ). Then there exists a tw isted di erentialK -character [ ] such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.([C])=0(\mathbb{R}]_{d_{H}}\right) e^{2 i} \int_{x} u[\mathbb{C}]{ }_{j+1}([]) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature of the character [C ]. M oreover, given a choice of $0,[$ ] is uniquely determ ined by this equation since the quantity on the right-hand side is a perfect pairing. N ote that the characteristic class $x([])$ is exactly . Since ([C ]) is $Z_{2}$-valued [ ] m ust be 2-torsion. In particular, the eldstrength of is zero.

N ow we can rew rite the phase in 6.12) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\prime(\mathbb{A} ;[\mathbb{C}])=0(\mathbb{R}]_{d_{H}}\right) e^{2 i} \int_{X} u[\mathbb{C}] j+1(\mathbb{A}]+[]\right): \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For A satisfying the tadpole constraint (6.13) the character [ ] = A ] + [ ] is topologically trivial. Thus it can be trivialized by a form ( $A+$ ) of total degree $j$ which satis es two properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H}(A+)=F(A) \text { and }(A++a)=(A+)+a \text { } 8 \mathrm{a} 2(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}: \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

So nally the G auss law 6.12) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(g_{C} A\right)=0\left(\mathbb{R} l_{d_{A}}\right) e^{2 i!j\left(\mathbb{R} ;(\mathbb{A}+1) \mathscr{U}\left(P_{A} ; \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{C})\right.\right.}\right)(A): \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

G auss law in local coordinates. Each com ponent in the space of ob jects in $\mathscr{H}^{j+1 ; B}(\mathrm{X})$ is a contractible space. Thus the line bundle L! $\mathscr{C}$ is trivializable. To construct a section explicitly we need to choose an explicit trivialization of this line bundle. To this end we choose an arbitrary tw isted di erential K -cocycle A satisfying the tadpole constraint 6.13) (9. Then an arbitrary eld con guration (in the connected com ponent) can be param eterized by $A=A+a$ where $a$ is a globally well de ned form of total degree j. De ne a canonical now here vanishing section $S$ of unit nom by

$$
S(A):=\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{p}_{A} ; A \quad A\right) S
$$

where $S 2 C$ and $j \in j=1$. The wave function $Z(a)$ is a ratio of two sections (A)=S (A).
From equations (6.9) and 6.17) it follow s that the $G$ auss law for the wave function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(a+\mathbb{R})=0(\mathbb{R}]) e^{2 i!j(\mathbb{R} ;(A+1) i!j(\mathbb{R} ; a)} Z(a) \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary $d_{H}$-closed form $R$ of total degree $j w$ ith integral periods.
It is clear that both the partition function $Z$ (a) and $G$ auss law 6.18) depend on a choice of the base point. So the discussion in section 5.3 in (10] should be repeated.

## 7 C onstruction of the partition function

The content of this section is as follow s: To obtain a quantum H ilbert space we need to choose a polarization on the phase space $\mathrm{P}=\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{X})=\mathscr{G}$. A choioe of Ri iem annian $m$ etric $g_{巨}$ on X de nes a com plex structure $J$ on $T_{A} P$. The quantum $H$ ilbert space consists of holom onphic sections $f g$ of L.
$N$ ote that there are in nitely $m$ any sections ofL which satisfy the $G$ auss law 6.12), in contrast there are nitely $m$ any holom onphic sections which satisfy the $G$ auss law 6.12). By choosing a

[^8]localcoordinate system (A ; S (A)) on L! $\mathscr{C}$ one can try to construct a holom onphic solution of
 such as a Lagrangian decom position $K^{j ; h(B)}(X)=$ torsion $=1 \quad 2$ of the tw isted $K$ group $m$ odulo torsion. The (local) expression for the partition function is sum $m$ arized by $T$ heorem 7.1.

### 7.1 C hoice of polarization

Equation 6.13) constrains the connected com ponent in the space of the gauge elds $\mathscr{C}$. N ow by choosing a local coordinate system (A ; S ) we can identify the phase space w th the real vector space $V_{R}=(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ by $A=A+a, ~ a 2 V_{R}$.
$T$ he vector space $V_{R}$ has a natural antisym $m$ etric form de ned by (2.2). This 2-form is closed and nondegenerate and thus it de nes a sym plectic structure on the space of gauge elds $\mathscr{C}$.
$R$ ecall that a choice of $R$ iem annian $m$ etric $g_{E}$ on $X$ de nes a com patible com plex structure $J$, 2.13). U sing this com plex structure we decom pose the space of real form $s V_{R}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{V}^{+} \quad \mathrm{V}: \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ny vector $\mathrm{R}^{+}$of the com plex vector space $\mathrm{V}^{+}$can be uniquely wrilten as

$$
R^{+}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R & i J R \tag{72}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for som e real vector $R 2 V_{R}$.
This decom position introduces complex coordinates on the patch (A ;S). Recall that in real local coordinates we have a covariant derivative D $=\quad$ i $!_{j}(a ; a)$ which is de ned on sections of the line bundle L. Here is the usualdi erentialw ith respect to a . In com plex coordinates the covariant derivative $D$ decom poses as $D=D^{+}+D \quad$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{+}=+i!_{j}\left(a^{+} ; a\right) \text { and } D=i!_{j}\left(a ; a^{+}\right) \text {: } \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum Hillert space consists of holom orphic sections, i.e. $D=0$ which satisfy the G auss law.

In the local coordinates ( $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{S}$ ) one can identify holom onphic sections $\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{a}^{+} ; \mathrm{a}\right)=0 \mathrm{w}$ th holom orphic functions \# ( $a^{+}$) via

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(a^{+} ; a\right)=e^{i}!j\left(a ; a^{+}\right) \#\left(a^{+}\right): \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the $G$ auss law constraint 6.18) takes the follow ing sim ple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left(a^{+}+R^{+}\right)=0\left(\mathbb{R} l_{d_{\mathrm{H}}}\right) e^{2 i!j(\mathbb{R} ;(\mathbb{A}+) 1} e^{\overline{2}^{H\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)+H\left(a^{+} ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \#\left(a^{+}\right)} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all R $2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{j}$. H ere we have introduced a herm itian form $H$ on $V^{+} \quad \mathrm{V}^{+}$. It is de ned using the $R$ iem annian $m$ etric $g_{j}$ and sym plectic form $!_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(v^{+} ; w^{+}\right):=2 i!_{j}\left(v^{+} ; \overline{w^{+}}\right)=g_{j}(v ; w)+i!_{j}(v ; w): \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our notation H is C -linear in the rst argum ent and C -antilinear in the second: $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w})=$ H (w; v).

### 7.2 Partition function

Equation (7.5) looks like a functional equation for a theta function. The im portant di erence is that the equation for a theta function is usually de ned on a nite dim ensional vector space, while our equation is on the in nite dim ensionalvector space (X; $\mathscr{R})^{j} . W$ esolve it in a manner parallel to the discussion in 10]. To write an explicit expression we $m$ ust choose a m axim al isotropic (ie. Lagrangian) subspace $V_{2} \quad V_{R}$. This allows us to de ne a $C$ bilinear form on $V^{+} \quad V^{+}$which extends $H$ from \half" of the space to $V_{R}$. A Lagrangian subspace de nes an orthogonalcoordinate system on $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{V}_{2} \quad \mathrm{JV}_{2}$. So any vector $\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ has coordinates $\mathrm{V}_{2} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}^{2} 2 \mathrm{JV} 2$. In term $s$ of this notation $B$ is de ned by the equation 10]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (HB) }\left({ }^{+} ;^{+}\right)=2 i!_{j}(; F()) \text { where } F():=i_{i}^{i}+i J\binom{i}{i} \text {; }  \tag{7.7a}\\
& =2 g_{j}(\stackrel{?}{2} ; \stackrel{?}{2})+2 i!(2 ; ~ \underset{i}{i}) \text { : } \tag{7.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

It is im portant not to confuse $F$ ( ) with

D ecom position of $(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{j}$. As in [1] we next need to choose a com plem entary part of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \backslash(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} ; Z}^{j}$ inside $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} ; Z}^{j}$. The complication here is that $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} ; z}^{j}$ is not a Lagrangian subspace.

W e de ne a \oom plem entary part" of this subgroup as follow $s$. The sym plectic form ! ${ }_{j}$ on $V_{R}$ de nes a sym plectic form on the tw isted cohom ology $=H(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{j}$. This sym plectic form is integral valued on the im age of the tw isted $K$-group. In tum, a choice of Lagrangian subspace $\mathrm{V}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ de nes a Lagrangian subspace 2 . We denote by 2 the corresponding lattice inside . Now de ne 1 to be an arbitrary com plem entary Lagrangian subspace to 2 such that the lattice decomposes as $1 \quad 2 . W$ e now de ne the subspace $V_{1} \quad V_{R}$ to consist of all $d_{H}$-closed form s of total degree $j$ whose tw isted cohom ology class lies in ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1}=\operatorname{fR} 2 \quad(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{\mathrm{H}}}^{j} j \mathbb{R}{l_{d_{\mathrm{H}}} 2} 2 \mathrm{I}: \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e denote the intersection $V_{1} \backslash(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{j}$ by $V_{1}$, i.e. the space (7.8) with $\quad{ }_{1}$ changed to ${ }_{1}$.

Lem m a 7.1. $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ de ned by 7.8) is an isotropic subspace in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}$.
$N$ ote that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are not com plem entary subspaces. They have nonzero intersection $V_{12}:=$ $\mathrm{V}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{12}={f d_{H 1}} \text { exact form } s \text { in } V_{2} g: \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q uadratic function 0. Recall that to write the cocycle' in the simple form 6.15) we chose a $Z_{2}$-valued $Q R \mathbb{F} \quad 0$ with vanishing class. Such a choioe of $Q R \mathbb{F} w$ ith $=0$ is naturally determ ined by a Lagrangian decom position of $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{j} \text {; }(B)}(\mathrm{X})=$ torsion $=1 \quad 2$ as follow $\mathrm{S}: \mathrm{W}$ e de ne
${ }_{1} \quad$ to be $=1$ on $1_{1}$ and ${ }_{2}$. Its values on all other vectors is then determ ined by the quadratic re nem ent law. M ore explicitly, any $\mathrm{R} 2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{H} ;}^{j}$ can be written as $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{2}$ where $R_{1} 2 V_{1}$ and $R_{2} 2 V_{2} \backslash(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{j} . N$ ow de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} \quad(\mathbb{R}):=e^{i}!_{j\left(\mathbb{R}_{1} ; R_{2}\right)}: \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V_{1} \backslash V_{2} f 0 g$ the decom position $R=R_{1}+R_{2}$ is not unique, but since $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are $d_{H}$-closed it follows that $1_{2}(R)$ does not depend on a particular choice of decom position. M oreover $1_{2}$ takes values in $f 1 g$. W e choose $1_{2}$ as the $Q R \mathbb{F} \quad 0$ in 6.15).
$P$ artition function. Now one can solve equation 7.5) via Fourier analysis. The expression for the partition function can be sum $m$ arized by the follow ing theorem $s$ :

T heorem 7.1. The follow ing Euclidean functional integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { h } \\
& \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

(where the integralgoes over allclosed form sR $2 \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ odulb $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}$-exact form s in $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ ) a ; $2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$
a) satis es the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left(a^{+}+{ }^{+}\right)=1_{2}() e^{2 i!j(;)} e^{H\left(a^{+} ;+\right)+\overline{2}^{H}\left({ }^{++} ;\right)} \#\left(a^{+}\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $2(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ;}^{j}$.
b) satis es the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#^{+}\left(a^{+}\right)=1_{2}() e^{i}!_{j}(;) \#\left(a^{+}\right) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If both $a$ and are tw isted harm onic form $s$ (see A ppendix B for the de nition) then one can evaluate the functional integral in 7.11) explicitly. The result is sum $m$ arized by Theorem 7.2. The functionalintegral 7.11) for ; a $2 \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}^{j}$ is equal to

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} ; \mathrm{V}_{2}}(\mathrm{~g}) \#\left[\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{7.14}\\
2
\end{array}\right]\left(\mathrm{a}^{+}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} ; \mathrm{V}_{2}}(\mathrm{~g})$ is a purely m etric dependent factor com ing from the integration over the exact form $s$ in 7.11), and \# [ $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{a}^{+}\right)$is the canonical theta function on the nite dim ensional torus $\mathscr{H}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}^{j}=\mathscr{H}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{dH} ;}^{j}$
h

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \quad \overline{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
H & B
\end{array}\right)\left(R^{+} ; R^{+}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
H & B
\end{array}\right)\left(a^{+}+{ }_{2}^{+} ; R^{+}\right): \\
& \text {R2 } \begin{array}{ll}
\text { h } \\
1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $=1^{+} 2_{2}$ according to the Lagrangian decom position of the space of harm onic form $s$ $h^{h}={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}+{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{h}}$.
$R$ em ark 7.1. The form ( $\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{B}$ ) restricted to $\mathrm{V}_{1}^{+} \quad \mathrm{V}_{1}^{+}$is sym $m$ etric. From 7.7b) it also follows that it vanishes on $V_{12}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\begin{array}{ll}H & B\end{array}\right) j_{1}^{+} v_{1}^{+}$is positive de nite on the com plem ent of $V_{12}$ inside $\mathrm{V}_{1}$. In the theory of theta functions the quadratic form ( $\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{B}$ ) restricted to the nite dim ensional space ${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \backslash \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}^{j}$

$$
\left(v_{1}^{+}\right):=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
H & B \tag{7.16}
\end{array}\right)\left(v_{1}^{+} ; \mathrm{v}_{1}^{+}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad v_{1} 2 \quad \frac{h}{1}
$$

is known as the com plex period $m$ atrix.
C orollary 7.1. The partition function $Z\left(a^{+} ; a\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{V_{1} ; V_{2} ; j}\left(a^{+} ; a\right)=e^{i \operatorname{Re}!_{j}(A+) ; E(A+) \prime e^{i}!_{j}\left(a ; a^{+}\right) \#(A+)\left(a^{+}\right) .} \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is de ned in 6.15) and determ ined by a choice of Lagrangian subspaces 1 and 2 . The factor $\left.e^{i \operatorname{Re}!_{j}(A+) ; F}(\mathbb{A}+)\right)$ is added to ensure that the theta function does not depend on the choice of Lagrangian subspace 1 . W e stress that does depend on the choice of Lagrangian decom position $1 \quad 2$.

The partition function 7.17) looks particularly sim ple on the real slice a $=\left(a^{+}\right)$in the space of com plexi ed gauge elds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z \quad h \quad i \\
& \text { R } 2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{12} \\
& \text { where }=(A+) \text { and }=(A+) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Rem ark 7.2. W e can use the base point A to obtain a partition function coupled to the source [j]: the partition function of the RR eld coupled to the source $j$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{V_{1} ; v_{2}}\left(a_{i} j\right):=Z_{v_{1} ; V_{2}}(a) \dot{I}_{A}=j: \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a physical interpretation to the choice of a basepoint in our constructions above it is equivalent to a choice of a background RR current.

Q uantum equation of $m$ otion. The in nitesim alversion of the $G$ auss law (6.18) for $R=d_{H} C$ yields a di erential equation on $Z$

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}}+2 \mathrm{i}!_{j+1} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{F}^{(\mathrm{A})}{ }^{i} \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{a})=0:
$$

N ow we can apply this equation to the partition function 7.17) restricted to the real slige in the space of complexi ed gauge elds: $\left(a^{+}\right)=a$. Taking into account that $Z$ is a holom onphic section, $D \quad Z=0$ we obtain the follow ing

Theorem 7.3 (Q uantum equation of motion). The in nitesim al $G$ auss law yields the quantum equation of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H} F \quad(R \quad)_{A ; j}=F(A) \tag{721}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{v}):=\mathrm{v}_{\dot{2}}^{?}+\mathrm{Jv} \mathrm{v}_{2}^{?}$ for any $\mathrm{v} 2 \quad(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}, \quad=(\mathrm{A}+)$ is de ned in 6.16). ho (R) $i_{A ; j}$ is the norm alized correlation function de ned as the ratio of the Euclidean functional integral 7.11) w ith the insertion of $O(R)$ and the sam e integral w ithout the insertion.

Proof. From 7.7a) it follow sthat ( $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{H} & \mathrm{B}\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{v}^{+} ; \mathrm{w}^{+}\right)=2 i!(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{F} \quad(\mathrm{w}))$. A straightforw ard calculation yields

$$
\frac{1}{2 i Z} D^{+} Z\left(a^{+} ; a\right)=!_{j}\left(a ; F \quad(R \quad)_{A}\right)+!_{j}\left(a^{+} ;\left(a^{+}\right) \quad a\right):
$$

Now if we restrict it to the real slige $\left(a^{+}\right)=a$ the last term disappears. To obtain (721) one needs to substitute $a=d_{i}$ into the equation above, integrate by parts and com pare w ith 720).

## 8 B - eld dependence

In the previous sections we considered the partition function $Z_{B}$ as a function of the $B$ - eld for B $2 Z^{2}(X)$. However one expects that the partition function should depend only on the gauge equivalence class of the $B$ - eld. In other words, the partition function $m$ ust descend to a function over a connected com ponent of $H^{3}(X)$.


Figure 1: The space of $D$-brane currents is bered over the space $Z^{2}(X)$ of $B$ - elds. On each connected com ponent of $Z^{2}(B)$ there is a path connecting two points, say $B$ and $B+b$. There is a natural lift of this path into the total space: ( $B ; A$ ) $\eta\left(B+b ; e^{b} A\right)$. In addition we have a C hem-Sim ons line bundle over the space of $D$-brane currents. $W$ e now have to lift the path on $Z^{2}(X)$ to the total space of the line bundle such that it $m$ aps covariantly constant sections to covariantly constant sections.

The partition function (7.18) is $m$ anifestly invariant under the $B$ - eld gauge transform ation: all term $s$ in the partition function are constructed from the ob jects which are invariant under the B - eld gauge transform ation.

T he B - eld dependence of the partition function 7.18) can be sum $m$ arized by the follow ing variational form ula:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \text { i } b \log Z_{V_{1} ; v_{2}}(a)=\frac{1}{2}!_{j} u^{1} b^{\wedge} F \quad(\mathbb{R} \quad) ; F \quad(R \quad) \quad!_{j} u^{1} b^{\wedge}()_{2}^{?} ;()_{2}^{?}
$$

In deriving 8.1) we used the follow ing

Lem ma8.1. W hen the B-eld changes by B $\quad \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{b}$ where $\mathrm{b} 2^{2}(X)$ and elem ents of $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ are changed by $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{u}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} \mathrm{V}$. The bilinear form $!_{j}(\mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{F} \quad(\mathrm{w}))$ changes by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b!_{j}(v ; F \quad(w))=!_{j}\left(u^{1} \quad b^{\wedge} F \quad(v) ; F \quad(w)\right): \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he right hand side is a sym $m$ etric $R$-bilinear form .
Proof. The statem ent of lem m a follow sfrom the fact that the Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ is invariant under b-transform ations $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ where b $2{ }^{2}(X)$. From this invariance and equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{bV}^{2}=\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} \mathrm{V}_{2}+\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} \mathrm{V}_{2}^{3}=(\mathrm{bV})_{2}+(\mathrm{bV})_{2}^{?} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{bV})_{2}^{?} \quad \mathrm{u}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} \mathrm{V}_{2}^{?}=\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} \mathrm{V}_{2} \quad(\mathrm{bV})_{2} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{2}: \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation will allow us to substitute $u^{1} b^{\wedge} v_{2}^{2}$ instead of $(b V)_{i}^{?}$ in several term sbelow. The variation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 8:3] 18: }!_{j}\left(u^{1} b^{\wedge} v_{2}+u^{1} b^{\wedge} v_{2}^{2} ; w_{i}^{?}\right)+!_{j}\left(u^{1} b^{\wedge} v_{2}^{?} ; i J w_{i}^{?}\right) \\
& +!_{j}\left(v_{2} ; u^{1} b^{\wedge} w_{2}^{?}\right)+!_{j}\left(v_{2}^{?} ; \text { iJ }\left(u^{1} b^{\wedge} w_{2}^{?}\right)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ow from the invariance of the sym plectic form under b-transform ations 2.5) and $0=!_{j}\left(u^{1} b^{\wedge}\right.$ $\left.J v_{2}^{?} ; J w_{i}^{?}\right)$ it follows that the equation above can be rew ritten as in 8.2).

### 8.1 Type IIA partition function

It is well know $n$ that the equation of $m$ otion for the $B$ - eld contains an extra $m$ etric dependent term 32, 33, 34]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{v}{s}^{4} d\left(e^{2} \quad H\right)=\stackrel{v}{s}^{6} R_{0} \wedge \quad R_{2}+\stackrel{\wedge}{s}^{2} R_{2} \wedge \quad R_{4} \quad \frac{1}{2} R_{4} \wedge R_{4}+X_{8}(g) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{8}(g)=\frac{1}{48}\left(p_{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)$ and $=\frac{p_{1}}{2}$ and $p_{i}(g)$ denotes the standard representative of the P ontrjagin class in term s of traces of curvatures. This term naturally com es from the reduction of $M$ theory. It is clear that the action of type IIA $m$ ust contain som e B-eld dependent term whose variation yields $\mathrm{X}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})$. In the literature this term is usually taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{2 i \int_{M} \mathrm{~B}^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}_{8}} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this is incorrect because $\mathrm{X}_{8}$ does not have integral periods. Thus, this term in the action is not gauge invariant under large B - eld gauge transform ations. M oreover, it is not well-de ned in the presence of topologically nontrivial B - elds. In this subsection we will show how our careful form ulation of the partition function 7.18) cures this problem.

The partition function 7.18) is invariant under B - eld gauge transform ations. Thus we can only multiply it by a gauge invariant quantity. A though $\mathrm{X}_{8}$ does not have integral periods it was shown in 目] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{8} \quad X_{8}=30 \hat{A_{8}} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an integral lift $2 \mathrm{H}^{8}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Z})$ whose im age in de R ham cohom ology is $30 \hat{\mathrm{~A}_{8}}$. Therefore, the term $e^{2} i \int_{M} B^{\wedge} 30 \hat{A}_{8}$ is invariant under large $B$ - eld gauge transform ations and can be de ned in the presence of topologically nontrivial B - elds. Therefore, we can safely multiply our partition function by this term. The question is whether we get the term $M_{M} B^{\wedge}{ }^{2}=8$ by varying the RR partition function 7.19).

Suppose that there is no extemal current and the characteristic class vanishes. Then we can choose a base point $A=0$.So $":=()$ is a globally well de ned form oftotaldegree j such that 2 " 2 (X; $\mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{j}$. The variation 8.1) of the partition function under the change of the $B$ - eld is

The characteristic " can be decom posed as " = " $"_{1}+"_{2}$. O ne sees that the variation of the partition function indeed contains an extra term : $\frac{1}{2}\left("_{1}\right)_{2}^{?} \wedge \quad 0\left(\left("_{1}\right)_{i}^{?}\right)$. In general, the pro jection $\left("_{1}\right)_{i}^{?}$ of the characteristic " ${ }_{1}$ depends on the choice of Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$. In type IIA theory there is a natural choioe of the Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ given by the form $s$ of degree 6; 8 and 10 (see 9.17) form ore details). Reference [3] calculated the characteristic " (or m ore precisely its pro jection $\left.\left({ }_{1}\right)_{2}^{?}\right)$ for vanishing B - eld as $"_{1}=\frac{\overline{2}}{}+:::$. O ne expects that for topologically trivial B - eld $"_{1}=e^{b}(\overline{2}+:::)$ where $\backslash::: "$ denote higher degree term s . Thus for topologically trivial B - eld the variation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{2 i \int_{M} b^{\wedge} 30 \hat{A} \hat{A}_{8}} e^{i \operatorname{Re}!\left(n_{1} ; F\right.} \quad\left(m_{1}\right)\right) \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is exactly $+2 i^{R}{ }_{M} \quad b^{\wedge} X_{8}$.
N ow let us try to generalize these argum ents for a topologically nontrivial B - eld. Unfortunately we do not know how to calculate " 1 directly, so we con jecture its form, but our con jecture is strongly supported by the known equation ofm otion of the B - eld, which should apply in the topologically nontrivial case as well. It is clear that to be able to use the argum ents above " 1 m ust be related to $=2.0 \mathrm{n}$ the other hand $"_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be a $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}$-closed form. Therefore we essentially want to have a lift to the $d_{\mathrm{H}}$-cohom ology. H ow ever this condition cannot be taken literally,
and indeed it is easy to $m$ ake backgrounds which are obviously consistent for which [ ^ H lar is nonzero. (Take the product of a W ZW m odelw ith a CY space.) Recall that on a spin $m$ anifold is the preferred integral lift of the fourth $W$ u class ${ }_{4} 2 \mathrm{H}^{4}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Z}=2)$. Thus an arbitrary integral lift of the W u class 4 is [ +2 ] where is a closed 4-form with integral periods. W e now require that there exists some such +2 has a lift to the $d_{1}$-ohom ology. This in $m$ eans that $\left[(+2)^{\wedge} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{lR}}=0\right.$ and $\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{H} ;+2 \mathrm{~g}=0$ where $\mathrm{f} ; ~ ; ~ g$ denotes the M assey product. If such a exists we con jecture that $"_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\right)+:::$. In this case the variation of the phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{e}^{2 i \int_{Y} \mathrm{H} \wedge\left(30 \hat{A}_{8}\right.} \frac{1}{2}(+)\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Re}!\left({ }_{1} ; E\right.} \quad\left({ }^{1} 1\right)\right) \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

indeed yields exactly $+2 i^{R} \quad b_{m}{ }^{\wedge} X_{8} . H$ ere $Y$ is an $11-m$ anifold which bounds the 10-dim $m$ anifold $X$. N otice that the rst exponential does not depend on the extension since both $30 \hat{A_{8}}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(+)$ have integral periods.

W e regard the above argum ent as strong evidence that there is a new topological restriction on consistent backgrounds of string theory, nam ely, the fourth $W$ u class ${ }_{4} \mathrm{~m}$ ust have a lift +2 whose projection modulo torsion is the rst term in a cocycle for $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}$-ohom ology.

The above condition would be com patible w th the follow ing natural condition on IIA backgrounds: ${ }_{4} \mathrm{~m}$ ust have an integrallift which itselfhas a lift to tw isted K theory. The rst condition for such a lift would be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{Sq}^{3}+\mathrm{h}\right)(+2)=0 \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e speculate that this is in fact a topologicalrestriction on IIA backgrounds. Unlike the condition we have derived, which is a consequence of 8.19), this condition is cleanly stated at the level of integral cohom ology. For $h=0$ this condition was in fact derived carefully in 目]. A generalization to nonzero h was derived in 16]. Unfortunately, our equation 8.19) is not quite com patible w ith equation (11:10) of [16], and we suspect that the equation in [16] should be corrected to 8.10). This point obviously deserves further study, but is outside the scope of the present paper.

## 9 A ction and equations of $m$ otion

The action for the self-dual eld is essentially the com plex period $m$ atrix 7.16) extended from the tw isted K -group to the space of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}$-closed form S . The purpose of this section is to describe this extension in detail.

### 9.1 C lassical action

First we need to extend the de nition of the com plex period $m$ atrix (7.16) de ned on the coho$m$ ology to the in nite dim ensional sym plectic vector space $V_{R}=(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ consisting of form $s$ of total degree j.
$\left(X ; g_{E}\right)=R$ iem annian $m$ anifold. Follow ing the discussion in section 7.2 we choose an orthogonalcoordinate system on $V_{R}$ to be $V_{2} \quad V_{2}^{?}$ where $V_{2}$ is a Lagrangian subspace and $V_{2}^{?}=J\left(V_{2}\right)$ is its orthogonal com plem ent $w$ th respect to the $H$ odge $m$ etric. From the positivity of ge it follow $s$ that $V_{2} \backslash V_{2}^{?}=f 0 g$. Recall that the $H$ odge com plex structure is com patible $w$ th the sym plectic structure, thus $V_{R}=V_{2} \quad V_{2}$ ? is a Lagrangian decom position. So
 any form $\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ can be uniquely written in the form $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{V}_{2}+\mathrm{v}_{2}^{?}$ for som $e v_{2} 2 V_{2}$ and $v_{2}^{?} 2 V_{2}^{?}$.

Let $V_{1}$ be another Lagrangian subspace. A choige of Lagrangian decom position $=1 \quad 2$ of the tw isted cohom ology
$=H(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{j}$ de nes a canonical choige of $V_{1}$. H ow ever we postpone this discussion till the next paragraph. N ow any elem ent $R$ from the Lagrangian subspace $V_{1}$ can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{2}+R_{\dot{2}}^{?} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{2}$ and $R_{i}^{?}$ are not independent but related by som elinear function (see the gure). From 7.7b) it follow s that the Euclidean action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right):=i!_{j}(\mathbb{R} ; F \quad(\mathbb{R})) \text { where } F \quad(\mathbb{R}):=R_{2}^{?}+i J R_{2}^{?}: \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $M$; $g$ ) $=$ Lorentzian $m$ an ifold. The action in Lorentzian signature can obtained from (92) by W idk rotation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbb{R}):=!_{j}\left(\mathbb{R} ; \mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathbb{R})\right) \text { where } \mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathbb{R}):=\mathrm{R}_{2}^{?}+\operatorname{IR}_{2}^{?}: \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his action depends on the choice ofLagrangian subspace $V_{2}$. For a $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold a choice of $V_{2}$ autom atically de nes the Lagrangian decom position $V_{R}=V_{2} J\left(V_{2}\right)$. For a Lorentzian
$m$ anifold this is not true, and we need to constrain the choioe of $V_{2}$ by the requirem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2} \backslash I\left(V_{2}\right)=f 0 g: \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his condition $m$ eans that $V_{2}$ should not contain self- or anti self-dual form s .
In principle, $V_{2}$ can be an arbitrary Lagrangian subspace satisfying the constraint 9.4). Recall that on any Lorentzian $m$ anifold $M$ there exists a now here vanishing tim elike vector eld. It can be used to de ne a Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}() \quad(M ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ via

$$
\mathrm{V}_{2}():=\mathrm{f}!2 \quad(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{j} \mathrm{ji}!=0 \mathrm{~g}:
$$

H ow to choose the Lagrangian subspace $V_{1}$. A gain we follow the discussion of section 72. $T$ he sym plectic form $!_{j}$ on $V_{R}$ de nes a sym plectic form on the tw isted cohom ology $=H(M ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{j}$. In tum, a choice ofLagrangian subspace $V_{2} \quad V_{R}$ de nes a Lagrangian subspace 2 . W e choose 1 to be an arbitrary com plem entary Lagrangian subspace, so $=1 \quad 2 \cdot$ U sing this data we de ne the subspace $V_{1} \quad V_{R}$ to consist of all $d_{H}$-closed form $s$ of total degree $j$ whose cohom ology class lies in ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{1}:=f R 2 \quad(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})\right)_{d_{H}}^{j} j \mathbb{R} l_{d_{H}} 2 \quad 1 g: \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{1}$ de ned by (9.5) is an isotropic subspace of $(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. N ote that the Lagrangian subspace $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ really depends on the choice of $B$ - eld: Ifb $2{ }^{2}(X)$ then the two subspaces $m$ ust be related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1} \dot{\underline{i}}+\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \dot{\underline{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}: \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations of $m$ otion. The variational problem for the action 9.3) is sum $m$ arized by the follow ing theorem :

Theorem 9.1. Let $V_{1} \quad V_{R}$ be a Lagrangian subspace de ned by 9.5), and let $R 2 V_{1}$ be a $d_{H}$-closed form . Then the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{L}(R)=!_{j}\left(R ; F^{+}(R)\right)=g_{j}\left(R_{2}^{?} ; R_{i}^{?}\right)+!_{j}\left(R_{2} ; R_{i}^{?}\right) \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathrm{R}):=(\mathrm{R})_{2}^{?}+\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{R})_{2}^{?}$ has the follow ing properties:
a. Variation with respect to $R \geqslant R+d_{H} \quad C$ where $C 2(M ; \mathscr{R})_{\text {cpt }}^{j 1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{L}(R)=2 \quad!_{j+1}\left(u \quad c_{;} d_{H} F^{+}(R)\right): \tag{9.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

b. Stationary points of the action are the solutions of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H 1} F^{+}(R)=0: \tag{9.8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 9.2. An anbitrary $d_{H}-c l o s e d ~ s e l f-d u a l$ form $F^{+}$can be written in form $F^{+}(\mathbb{R})$ for som $e$ R $2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$.

The proof is sim ilar to the one presented in 10].

G auge sym $m$ etries. The discussion here is identical to that in [10]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{12}=V_{1} \backslash V_{2}=\text { fd }_{H} \text { exact form } \mathrm{s} \text { in } V_{2} g: \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the
Theorem 9.3. The action 9.7) has two types of gauge sym $m$ etries:
a. It m anifestly invariant with respect C $\eta \mathrm{C}+$ ! where ! $2(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{1} ; \mathrm{Z}}$.
b. It is invariant under a shift $R T R+V_{12}$ where $V_{12} 2\left(V_{1} \backslash V_{2}\right)_{c p t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{L}\left(R+v_{12}\right)=S_{L}(R): \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ oreover the self-dual eld $\mathrm{F}^{+}$does not depend on $\mathrm{V}_{12}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{+}\left(R+V_{12}\right)=F^{+}(R): \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this theorem it follow s that the gauge symmetry $R$ I $R+v_{12}$ does not a ect classical equations. How ever this extra gauge symm etry has to be taken into account in the quantum theory.

C oupling to the sources. In section 5 we $m$ entioned that a D brane con guration de nes a tw isted di erential character, the RR current [j] $2 \mathrm{~K}^{j+1 ; B}(M)$. As has been em phasized by D. Freed, the Ram ond Ram ond eld should be viewed as a trivialization of the total RR current $[j+\quad]$. This trivialization only exists if [ $j$ ] satis es the tadpole constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x([j])= \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the torsion class appearing in the de nition of the Chem-Sim ons functional. The curvature $j$ : $=$ ( $j$ ) ofthe di erentialcocycle $j$ iswhat is usually called RR current. In 36, 28, 29] a formula for the RR current was derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dot{\mathrm{b}}=\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\hat{A}} \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{!} \mathbb{P}\right]\right) \quad(\mathbb{W}) \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $W$ is the worldvolum e of the D-brane and (W) is a form representative of the class Poincare dual to $[W]$, and $f: W!M . \widehat{A}$ is di erential form representing the $A$ roof genus, $P$ is a tw isted bundle on $W$, and $h_{B}\left(f_{!} \mathbb{P}\right]$ ) is a form representing the tw isted Chem character of the pushforw ard of the tw isted bundle $\mathbb{P}]$ (in particular, it depends on the gauge eld on the D -brane).

If the tadpole constraint is satis ed then $j$ is a topologically trivial character. In section 7 we denoted its trivialization by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}=\dot{\mathrm{b}}: \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Rem ark 72 one obtains the follow ing
Theorem 9.4. The Lorentzian action in the presence of $D$-branes is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbb{R})=!_{j}\left(\mathbb{R} \quad ; \mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathbb{R} \quad)\right)+!_{j}(\mathbb{R} ;) \quad!_{j}\left(()_{2} ;()_{i}^{?}\right) \tag{9.15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $R 2 V_{1}$. The variation of the action $w$ ith respect to $R=d_{1} C$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{L}(R)=2 \quad!_{j+1}\left(u \quad c ; d_{1} F^{+}(R) \quad j\right): \tag{9.15b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of the action under change of the $B$ - eld is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{b} S_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbb{R})=!_{j}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} \mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathbb{R} \quad) ; \mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathbb{R} \quad)\right) \quad!_{j}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge}()_{2}^{?} ;()_{2}^{?}\right): \tag{9.15c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action can also be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.S_{L}(\mathbb{R})=g_{j}\left((\mathbb{R} \quad)_{2}^{?} ;(\mathbb{R} \quad)_{2}^{?}\right)+!_{j}(\mathbb{R})_{2} ;(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{?}\right)+2!_{j}(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{?} ;()_{2}\right) \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term represents the kinetic term $s$ for $R \mathrm{R}$ elds. The second term is the analog of the C hem-Sim ons interaction. N ote that it does not depend on the source. The third term is the usual \electric" coupling to the sources.

D enoting $G_{s}=$ and $G=R \quad$ we obtain the action w ritten inform ally in the introduction. O f course, for localized sources such as branes, the expressions quadratic in will require regularization. This is to be expected when taking into account badkreaction in supergravity, and renorm alization of these term s relies on an ultraviolet extension of the supergravity theory.

It is interesting to take special note of the \anom alous couplings" of the source to the RR current. This is represented by the second term in 9.153) and by the third term in 9.16). In the past literature on $D$-brane couplings there has been som e confusion about a crucial factor of two in this coupling. In references 38, 29] the anom alous coupling was written with a factor of two too large and there was a com pensating $m$ istake in a reality condition. In references 28, 亿]
this factor of two was corrected, and particularly stressed in the second reference, but w ithout a complete explanation of how to handle the self-duality of the $R \mathrm{R}$ elds. It is very nige that the correct factor of two appears autom atically in our present form alism. M oreover, note that when we rearrange term s so that there is only an electric coupling, as in 9.16) the strength of the coupling is two tim es as large.

### 9.2 Exam ples

O ne of the $m$ ain results of this paper is that the action for $R R$ elds is not unique: the actions are param eterized by Lagrangian subspaces $V_{2}$ and $\quad 1$. In this section we ilhustrate this result by 4 exam ples:

The action for RR elds of type IIA: 1) on an arbitrary Lorentzian 10manifold; 2) on a product space $R \quad N$ where $N$ is a com pact 9-m anifold.

The action for R R elds of type IIB : on a product space $R \quad N$ for di erent choiges of $V_{2}$.

### 9.2.1 R R elds of type IIA: Example 1

The sym plectic vector space $V_{R}=(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{0}$ can be written as:

It contains a natural Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ which is spanned by form $s$ of degree 6; 8; 10 and is invariant under the b-transform : $e^{u}{ }^{1} b_{V} \quad V_{2}$ where b $2 \quad{ }^{2}(\mathbb{M})$. The Lagrangian subspace $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ de nes a Lagrangian subspace 2 in the even tw isted cohom ology $\mathrm{H}(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}^{0}$. Let $1_{1}$ be an arbitrary com plem entary Lagrangian subspace. $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{1}=f R 2 \quad(M ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{0} j \mathbb{R}\right]_{d_{H}} 2 \quad{ }_{1} g: \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

R $2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ decom poses as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\underbrace{R_{2}}_{R_{0}+u^{1} R_{2}+u^{2} R_{4}}+\psi^{\left.\psi^{3} R_{6}+u^{4} R_{8}+z_{8}+u^{5} R_{1 Q}\right\}}: \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us stress once again that cohom ology classes of $R_{6} ; R_{8} ; R_{10}$ are functions of $R_{0} ; R_{2} ; R_{4}$.
Substituting (9.19) into 9.7) one nds that the self-dual ux $\mathrm{F}^{+}$(G) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{G}_{0}+\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{4}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \mathrm{u}^{3} \quad \mathrm{G}_{4} \quad \stackrel{v}{s}^{6} \mathrm{u}^{4} \quad \mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{v}^{10} \mathrm{u}^{5} \quad \mathrm{G}_{0} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=R \quad$ ". Recall that " $=$ ([ ]) in the absence ofextemalcurrent. $T$ he Lorentzian action is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i } M_{M}\left(R_{10} \wedge R_{0} \quad R_{8} \wedge R_{2}+R_{6} \wedge R_{4}\right) \quad 2 \quad\left(R_{0} \wedge "_{10} \quad R_{2} \wedge "_{8}+R_{4} \wedge "_{6}\right): \tag{9.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that in the rst line the shifted curvature $G$ appears while the second line is w ritten using only $R$. O ne m ight suspect that this action functional depends on extra degrees of freedom contained in 5-, 7-and 9-form gauge potentials, but this is not the case because of the de nitions of elds in term s of Lagrangian subspaces.

Thevariation of the action 921) with respect to $R 7 R+d_{H} \quad c y i e l d s$ the equation $d_{H} F^{+}(G)=$ 0 which in com ponents yields the $B$ ianchi identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G_{0}=0 ; \quad d G_{2} \quad H^{\wedge} G_{0}=0 ; \quad d G_{4} \quad H \wedge G_{2}=0 ; \tag{922a}
\end{equation*}
$$

together w ith the equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{G}_{4}\right) \quad \mathrm{H} \wedge \mathrm{G}_{4}=0 ; \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{G}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H} \wedge \quad \mathrm{G}_{4}=0: \tag{922b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kinetic term $s$ in the action 9.21) coincide w ith the kinetic term s of the RR elds in the string fram e. The topological term is di erent from what is usually w ritten. The usual discussion proceeds as follow s: Suppose that the 10-dim ensionalm anifold is a boundary of an 11 m anifold Y and that $H$ and $R_{4}$ adm it extensions $H$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ to Y . In this situation the $\mathrm{Chem}-\mathrm{Sim} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ term is usually de ned by e ${ }^{i} \int_{Y} \mathrm{HR}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{4}$. In order for this term to be well de ned the integral ${ }_{Y} \mathrm{HrR}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{4}$ over an arbitrary closed 11-m anifold would have to be an even integer. Unfortunately, in general, such extensions need not exist; even when they do exist they are not correctly quantized, so that the expression is not well-de ned. M oreover, if the extension is just de ned as an extension of di erential form $s$ the expression varies continuously $w$ ith a choice of extension. O ur expression 921) nicely resolves all these problem $s$. To $m$ ake contact w th the usualexpression, suppose that $M$ is a boundary of an 11 m anifold $Y$ and the di erential $K$-dharacter [C ] extends to a character [ $C^{\prime}$ ] over $Y$. Then, in particular, $\mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{H}$ adm it extensions $\mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{FH}^{\mathrm{r}}$ to Y satisfying the B ianchi identity. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \int_{Q Y}\left(\mathbb{R}_{10} \wedge R_{0} R_{8} \wedge R_{2}+R_{6} \wedge R_{4}\right)}=e^{i \quad \int_{Y} d\left(\mathbb{R}_{10} \wedge \mathbb{R}_{0} 0 \mathbb{R}_{8} \wedge \mathbb{R}_{2}+\mathbb{R}_{6}{ }^{\wedge} \mathbb{R}_{4}\right)}=e^{i \iint_{Y} H^{\wedge} \wedge \mathbb{R}_{4} \wedge \mathbb{R}_{4}} \tag{923}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the last equality we used the $B$ ianchiidentity. U sing this one sees that the localexpression in 921) has the sam e local variation as the standard CS term. There is no dependence on the choice of extension since $\mathrm{H}^{\Upsilon} \mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{4}$ is an exact form.
$R$ em ark 9.1. Suppose we change the $B$ - eld from $B$ to $B+b$. The curvature of the $R R$ eld also changes: $R(B+b)=e^{u^{1}}{ }^{b} R(B)$. The $\backslash C$ hem-Sim ons" for $B+b$ is related to that for $B$ by the m ultiplicative factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \quad i_{M}^{Z} \underset{M}{h} R_{4} R_{4}+b^{2} R_{2} R_{4}+\frac{1}{3} b^{3}\left(R_{2}^{2}+R_{0} R_{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b^{4} R_{0} R_{2}+\frac{1}{20} b^{5} R_{0}^{2^{i}} \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature of the $R R$ eld for the $B$ - eld $B$.

IIA action in the presence of $D$-branes. It is also im portant to stress that our expression $m$ akes sense in the presence ofD -brane sources. In the presence of sources the standard C S term is not well de ned since $\mathrm{H}^{\sim} \mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{4}$ is not even a closed form . N evertheless, 921) rem ains well de ned.

The $D$ brane current $j_{b}$ can be wrilten as $j_{b}=j_{1}+u^{1} j_{2}+\quad+{ }^{4} \dot{j}_{9}$. Let be its trivialization: $j_{j}=d_{H}$. Substituting the expansion 9.19) into 9.16) one nds the Lorentzian action

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{M}\left(R_{10} \wedge R_{0} \quad R_{8} \wedge R_{2}+R_{6} \wedge R_{4}\right) \quad 2{ }_{M}\left(R_{0} \wedge{ }_{10} \quad R_{2} \wedge{ }_{8}+R_{4} \wedge{ }_{6}\right) \tag{925}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G=R \quad$. The rst three term $s$ are the standard couplings to the $m$ agnetic branes, while the last term is the $W Z$ term for the $D$ brane. U nder the change $R T R+d_{H} C$ the last term changes by

$$
\left.\exp 2{\underset{M}{i}\left(c_{3} \wedge j_{7}\right.}^{z} c_{1}^{\wedge} j_{6}\right):
$$

$T$ his term is the usualW Z coupling of torane or $R$ R current to a topologically trivial RR eld.
9.2.2 RR elds of type IIA: Exam ple 2

In this section we give an exam ple of a type IIA action which is perhaps less fam iliar to the reader. Suppose that the 10 -dim ensionalm anifold $M$ is a product space $R \quad N$. The m ost generalm etric on $M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{M}^{2}={ }^{2} d t^{2}+\left(g_{N}\right)_{i j}\left(d x^{i} \quad{ }^{i} d t\right)\left(d x^{j} \quad{ }^{j} d t\right): \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the lapse and ${ }^{j}$ the shift and $g_{N}$ is a $R$ iem annian $m$ etric on $N$. From the geom etric point of view $=\left(\mathrm{dx}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{dt}\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}}{巴 \mathrm{x}^{i}}$ is a connection on a topologically trivialbundle $N!M!R$ (form ore details see section 722 of [10]). The vector eld $@=@ t$ on $R$ lifts to a vector eld $m$ on M :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{M}=0, \quad, \quad M=\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varrho \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{i}}}: \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ is a tangent vector de ned by the proper time. The connection de nes a decom position of the tangent plane $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{M}$ into horizontal and vertical vectors. This decom position is the orthogonal decom position in the $m$ etric 927).

A n orthogonal pro jector onto the space of horizontal vectors is de ned by

$$
P():=\frac{g(M ;)}{g(m ; M)}=m d t() \text { for } 2 \operatorname{Vect}(M):
$$

Its dualP $:=d t^{\wedge} i_{M}$ de nes a decom position of the di erential form $s$ into vertical and horizontal.
$T$ he pro jector $P$ decom poses the space of even form $s$ into

$$
(M ; \mathscr{R})^{0}=\{\underbrace{(1 \quad \mathrm{P})}_{\text {vertical: } I\left(N_{2}\right)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{0} \tag{929}
\end{array}\right\} \underbrace{\left.(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{0}\right\}}_{\text {horizontal: } \mathrm{V}_{2}}
$$

If in addition we assum e that the $B$ - eld on $M$ is pulled back from $N$ then we can choose our Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ to be the horizontal form $s$ and thus $I\left(V_{2}\right)$ is the space of vertical ones. Since $M$ is a product space there is another decom position of the space of even form $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{0}={ }^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \quad(\mathbb{N} ; \mathscr{R})^{0} \quad{ }^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \quad(\mathbb{N} ; \mathscr{R})^{1}: \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two decom position are related in the follow ing way

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{\text {ev }}+d t^{\wedge} R_{\text {odd }}=\{\underbrace{R_{\text {ev }} d t^{\wedge} i R_{\text {ev }}}_{(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{n}}\}+q t t_{(\mathbb{R})_{2}}^{\left(R_{\text {odd }}+i R_{\text {ev }}\right.}\} \tag{9.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\text {ev }}=R_{0}+u{ }^{1} R_{2}+\quad+{ }^{4} R_{8}, R_{\text {odd }}=u{ }^{1} R_{1}+u^{2} R_{3}+\quad+{ }^{5} R_{9}$ and $f R_{p} g$ are t-dependent p-form s on N. Substituting the decom position 9.31) into 9.3) one nds the action

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& S_{L}(R)=\quad d t \wedge{ }_{s}{ }^{10} R_{0} \wedge{ }_{N} R_{0}+\quad{ }_{S}^{6} R_{8}^{\prime} \wedge{ }_{N} R_{8} \\
& \text { RN Z } \\
& +\quad \mathrm{RNN}^{\wedge} \quad\left(R_{1}+i R_{2}\right)^{\wedge} R_{8} \quad R_{7}\left(+i R_{8}\right)^{\wedge} R_{2}+R_{9} \wedge R_{0} \tag{9.32}
\end{align*}
$$

and the self-dual eld

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{+}(R)=R_{0}+u^{1} R_{2} \quad{ }_{s}^{6} d t^{\wedge}{ }_{N} R_{8}+u^{2}\left(R_{4}+{ }_{s}^{2} d t \wedge{ }_{N} R_{6}\right) \\
& +u^{3}\left(R_{6} \text { s }^{2} d t \wedge{ }_{N} R_{4}\right)+u^{4}\left(R_{8}+\stackrel{s}{s}^{6} d t \wedge{ }_{N} R_{2}\right) \quad u^{5}{ }_{s}{ }^{10} d t \wedge{ }_{N} R_{0} \text { : } \tag{9.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us com pare expressions for the self-dual elds 920) for product space and 9.33): it is clear that they are just di erent param eterizations of the sam e classical ob ject $\mathrm{F}^{+}$.

### 9.2.3 R R elds of type IB : Exam ple 1

To construct an action principalwe have to choose a Lagrangian subspace. So consider a product space $M=R \quad N$ equipped $w$ ith $m$ etric 927). The space of odd form $s$ decom poses as
where $P$ is the pro jection operator de ned in the previous section. If in addition we assum e that the $B$ - eld on $M$ is pullback from $N$ then we can choose Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ as show $n$ above.

So any elem ent R of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.R=\psi^{1} R_{1}+u^{2} R_{3}+u_{\left\{(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{2}\right.}^{3}\left(R_{5} d t^{\wedge} i R_{5}\right\}\right\}+\psi^{3} d t^{\wedge}\left(R_{4}+i R_{5}\right)+u^{4} R_{7}+u^{5} R_{9}\right\}: \tag{9.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the decom position 9.35) into 9.3) one nds the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +i \quad d t^{\wedge}\left(R_{4}+i R_{5}\right)^{\wedge} R_{5} \quad R_{7} \wedge R_{3}+R_{9}{ }^{\wedge} R_{1} \quad: \tag{9.36}
\end{align*}
$$

and the self-dual eld

C om paring the action 9.36 ) to the pseudo action. The equations of $m$ otion for IIB are usually obtained from a \pseudo-action." The adjective \pseudo" refers to the property that its variation does not give the proper equations ofm otion. Instead, to obtain the type IBB equations ofm otion one has to im pose the self-duality constraint by hand. The pseudo-action contains the follow ing C hem-Sim ons term ${ }^{\square}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{B}^{\mathrm{h}} \exp ^{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \widetilde{R}_{5} \wedge \mathrm{H}^{\wedge} \wedge \widetilde{R}_{3}^{i} \tag{9.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is an 11-m anifold bounding the $10-\mathrm{m}$ anifold M . The right hand side does not depend on a choice of extension provided the integral of $\mathrm{H}^{\wedge} \mathrm{R}_{5}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{R}_{3}$ over any closed 11-m anifold is an even integer. In general, this is in fact not the case! (Indeed this can create di culties in the

[^9]AdS/CFT correspondence 35].) Let us com pare this C hem-Sim ons term w th the one contained in the action 9.36).

Suppose that $M$ is a product space $S^{1} \quad N$ where $N$ is a com pact 9 m anifold. W e choose our 11 m anifold Y to be $\mathrm{D}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}$ where $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ is a two dim ensional disk bounding $\mathrm{S}^{1}$. A ssum ing that the $R R$ elds have an extension to $Y$ we can rew rite the second term in 9.36) as
$N$ otice the factor of 2 as com pared to 9.38 ) !

### 9.2.4 RR elds of type IB : Exam ple 2

In this exam ple we choose a di erent Lagrangian decom position of the space of odd form $s$ on the product space $M=R \quad N$ equipped with $m$ etric 927). The space of odd form s now decom poses as

$$
(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{1}=\{\underbrace{(\mathbb{P})}_{I\left(N_{2}\right)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{1} \tag{9.39}
\end{array}\right\}\{\underbrace{(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{1}}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}\}
$$

where $P$ is the pro jection operator de ned in the previous section. If in addition we assum e that the $B$ - eld on $M$ is pulled back from $N$ then we can choose Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ as show $n$ above. Since M is a product space there is another decom position of the space of odd form $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{M} ; \mathscr{R})^{1}={ }^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \quad(\mathbb{N} ; \mathscr{R})^{1} \quad{ }^{1}(\mathrm{R}) \quad(\mathbb{N} ; \mathscr{R})^{2}: \tag{9.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

These tw o decom position are related in the follow ing way

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{\text {odd }}+d t^{\wedge} R_{\text {ev }}=\{\underbrace{R_{\text {odd }} d t^{\wedge} i R_{\text {odd }}}_{(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{2}}\}+d t_{(\mathbb{R})_{2}}^{\wedge} \mathbb{R}_{\text {ev }}+\frac{i R_{\text {odd }}}{i}\} \tag{9.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\text {odd }}=u{ }^{1} R_{1}+\quad+{ }^{5} \mathrm{~B}_{9}, R_{\text {ev }}=u{ }^{1} R_{0}+\quad+{ }^{5} \mathrm{R}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{fR}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{g}$ are t-dependent p -form S on N. Substituting the decom position 9.41) into (9.3) one nds the action

> Z

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{L}(R)= & \mathrm{Z}^{R N} t^{\wedge}{\stackrel{8}{8} R_{1} \wedge{ }_{N} R_{1}+\quad{ }_{S}^{8} R_{9} \wedge{ }_{N} R_{9}}+\quad{ }_{R_{N}} d t \wedge\left(R_{0}+i R_{1}\right) \wedge R_{9} \quad\left(R_{2}+i R_{3}\right) \wedge R_{7} \quad R_{8}\left(+i R_{9}\right) \wedge R_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the self-dual eld

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{+}(R)=u^{1} R_{1} \quad{ }_{s}^{8} d t \wedge{ }_{N} R_{9}+u^{2}\left(R_{3}+{ }_{s}^{4} d t^{\wedge}{ }_{N} R_{7}\right) \\
& +u^{3}\left(R_{5} \quad d t^{\wedge}{ }_{N} R_{5}\right)+u^{4}\left(R_{7}+{ }_{s}{ }^{4} d t^{\wedge}{ }_{N} R_{3}\right)+u^{5}\left(R_{9} \quad{ }_{s}^{8} d t \wedge{ }_{N} R_{1}\right) \text { : } \tag{9.43}
\end{align*}
$$

## 10 D ependence on $m$ etric

To study $m$ etric dependence of the partition function and the action one has to use the follow ing tw o results:

Lem m a 10.1 . Let ( $M$; $g$ ) be a 10-dim ensionalLorentzian $m$ anifold. The variation of the involution I de ned in 2.8) with respect to the $m$ etric is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{g} I=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left({ }_{g}\right) I+I \quad g \text { where } g=\left(g^{1} g\right) d x \wedge i\left(\frac{\varrho}{巴 x}\right) \text { : } \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lem ma 10.2. If the Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$ is chosen to be independent of the $m$ etric then the variation of the bilinear form $!_{j}\left(v ; F^{+}(w)\right)$ with respect to $m$ etric is a sym $m$ etric form given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{g}!{ }_{j}\left(v ; F^{+}(w)\right)=\frac{1}{2}!_{j} F^{+}(v) ; I \quad{ }_{g} F^{+}(w)=\frac{1}{2} g_{j} F^{+}(v) ;{ }_{g} F^{+}(w): \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two lem m as easily follow from the results of section 8 in [10].

Stress-energy tensor for the self-dual eld. In section 92 we derived the follow ing action for the $R R$ eld on a Lorentzian $m$ anifold ( $M$; $g$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{L}(\mathbb{R})=!_{j}\left(\mathbb{R} ; F^{+}(\mathbb{R})\right) \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a $d_{H f}$-closed form belonging to the isotropic subspace $V_{1}$. The stressenergy tensor is given by the follow ing theorem

T heorem 10.1. The variation of the action 10.3) with respect to the $m$ etric is
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{g} S_{L}(\mathbb{R})=\frac{-}{2}!_{j} F^{+}(\mathbb{R}) ; I \quad{ }_{g} F^{+}(\mathbb{R})=: \overline{2}_{M} \quad G \quad T \quad\left(F^{+}\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 10.1). The derivation of (10.4) relies only on the fact that the subspaces $V_{2}$ and $V_{1}$ do not depend on a choice of $m$ etric.

In [10] we derived an expression for the stressenergy tensor of a p-form using the operator $g$. It is convenient to rew rite (10.4) by substituting $\mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathrm{R})=(\mathrm{R})_{2}^{?}+\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{R})_{2}^{?}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \quad \mathrm{g} \mathrm{~T} \quad \operatorname{vol}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~F}^{+}(\mathrm{R}) ; \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathbb{R})_{i}^{?} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~g}_{j}(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{?} ;(\mathbb{R})_{2}^{?}: \tag{10.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w h e r e \operatorname{tr} g=\operatorname{tr}\left(g^{1} g\right)$.

Let us consider several exam ples. The self-dual eld $\mathrm{F}^{+}$can be param eterized in m any ways depending on the choice of Lagrangian subspace $V_{2}$. Here we will consider the self-dual elds presented in 2.11) and 2.12).

For type IIA one nds

This is the standard stress-energy tensor for RR elds of type IIA.
For type IB one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& +{ }_{s}{ }^{4}{ }^{h} R_{3} \wedge{ }_{g} R_{3} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}{ }_{g} R_{3} \wedge \quad R_{3}{ }^{i}+F_{5}^{+} \wedge \quad{ }_{g} F_{5}^{+}: \tag{10.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is the standard stressenergy tensor for $R R$ elds of type IIB.

## 11 C onclusion: Future D irections

There are $m$ any potentially fruitfuldirections for future research. A smentioned in the introduction, one of our main m otivations was to understand the action su ciently clearly to be able to address the problem ofcom puting the am plitudes forbrane instanton $m$ ediated transitionsbetw een ux vacua. It is also of interest to com pute $m$ ore explicitly the one-loop determ inants, especially in the IIB case (this is also true, and even $m$ ore pressing, for the self-dual eld on the M 5 -brane). $W$ e intend to use our im proved understanding of the tw isted $K$-theory partition function to understand how the com putation of [3] generalizes to the case ofnontrivialbackground $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{ux}$. It would also be very interesting to see if our form alism ts in well $w$ ith supersym $m$ etry, and in particular how the ferm ions in supergravity can be inconporated. The results of 12] make this avenue of research appear to be very prom ising. On the $m$ ore $m$ athem atical end, one of the weaknesses in our discussion has been the treatm ent ofdi erential cocycles. Indeed ourm otivation for regarding a di erential K theory group as a gauge group was som ew hat form al. It would be very nice to have a concrete $m$ odel of di erential cocycles in tw isted di erential $K$-theory which $m$ akes this identi cation $m$ ore obvious. Furtherm ore, there are interesting form al sim ilarities between the discussion oftw isted C hem characters and certain aspects of generalized com plex geom etry which
we hope to explore.

## A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank D. Freed for initial collaboration on this pro ject, and J. D istler and D. Freed for collaboration on closely related projects. W e would also like to thank S. de A lw is, J. H arvey, J. Jenquin, S. Lukic, S.Re ert, G. Segal, Y. Tachikaw a, E. W itten and L. P ando Zayas for discussions and correspondence. D B. thanks C . H ull, A. K . K ashaniP oor, K . Stelle, A. T seytlin and D.W aldram for discussions and correspondence. W e would like to acknow ledge the hospitality of the $K$ avliInstitute for $T$ heoreticalP hysics, the A spen C enter for $P$ hysics, and the Sim onsW orkshop at Stony B rook, where part of this w ork was done. G M . thanks the Institute for A dvanced Study and the M onell foundation for hospitality. D B. thanksN ew H igh Energy Theory C enter at R utgers U niversity, the Center for Theoretical P hysics at U niversity of $M$ ichigan and TheoreticalP hysics G roup at the U niversity ofC alifomia at B erkeley. This w ork was supported in part by DOE grant DEFG 02-96ER 40949, and by the N ational Science Foundation under G rant No. PHY 99-07949. D B.was supported by PPARC and in part by RFBR grant 05-01-00758.

## A T w isted cohom ology

In this appendix we give an exam ple of som e nontrivial tw isted cohom ology groups, follow ing the work of Atiyah and Segal 25].

Tw isted cohom ology is a cohom ology for the tw isted di erential $d_{H}=d \quad u^{1} H$ which acts on ( $X ; R\left[u ; u^{1}\right]$ ). It is clear that $d_{H}$ operator preserves only parity and therefore there are only two tw isted cohom ologies: even $H_{d_{H}}^{0}(X)$ and odd $H_{d_{H}}^{1}(X)$. A though the tw isted di erential does not preserve grading of the de R ham com plex it preserves Itration whose p-com ponent is the sum of the form $s$ of degrees grater or equal than p. Atiyah and Segal 25] showed that this ltration yields a spectral sequence which converges to the tw isted cohom ology.

The lowest term in the spectral sequence is just (X;R)w ith the usual di erential d, so

$$
E_{2}=H \quad(X):
$$

N ow consider x of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{u}^{1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}+2}+\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}+4}+::: \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d x_{p}=0$ is a closed p-form representing the cohom ology class $\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}\right] 2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{X})$. We want to choose $x_{p+2} ; x_{p+4} ;::$ : such that $d_{H} x=0$. This yields the follow ing series of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{p+2} \quad H^{\wedge} x_{p}=0 ; \quad d x_{p+4} \quad H^{\wedge} x_{p+2}=0 ; \quad \text { etc: } \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst equation says that $\mathrm{H}^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ vanishes in the de R ham cohom ology. Thus the third di erential in the spectral sequence $d_{3}$ is just multiplication by $H . H$ ence $E_{3}=\operatorname{kerd}_{3}=i m d_{3}$. There is no di erential of degree 4 so $\mathrm{E}_{4}=\mathrm{E}_{3}$. N ow for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}} 2 \mathrm{E}_{4}$ it guarantied that $\left.\mathbb{H} \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]=0$ thus there exists $x_{p+2}$ such that $d x_{p+2}=H \wedge x_{p}$. The second equation in A. 2) says that $H^{\wedge} x_{p+2} m$ ust vanish in the de R ham cohom ology. This in poses a restriction on $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ which can be written using M assey product $\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{g}=0$ (for de nition of the M assey product see 25]). Thus the $f$ di erential in the spectral sequence is $d_{5}=f H ; H ; g$. So $E_{5}=E_{5}=k e r d_{5}=\dot{m} d_{5}$. In 25] it is proved that the higher di erentials of this spectral sequence are given by the higher M assey products, e.g. $\mathrm{d}_{7}=\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}$; g etc. T hus a ${ }^{-1}$-cohom ology is roughly speaking a subspace of all cohom ology classes which are annihilated by the higher di erentials ( $m$ odulo im ages). Given an elem ent $x_{p}$ from this subspace one can construct $x_{p+2} ; x_{p+4} ;::$ : such that $x=x_{p}+u^{1} x_{p+2}+:::$ is $d_{f 1}-c l o s e d$. If $x_{p}$ is not in the im age of higher di erentials then x represents a nontrivial $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}$-cohom ology class.

E xam ples. Now we consider an exam ple. In A ppendix A of 25] it was constructed a sim ple $n+1 m$ anifold $Y_{n}$ which has nonvanishing $M$ assey products, and thus interesting $d_{H}$ cohom ology.
$Y_{2} . Y_{2}$ is a tw isted circle bundle over $S^{1} \quad S^{1}$. Let $x ; y$ be closed one form $S w$ ith integral periods representing generators $[x]$ and $[y]$ of $H^{1}\left(S^{1} \quad S^{1}\right)$. W e denote by $z$ a connection one form $w$ th $\mathrm{dz}=\mathrm{xy}$. The de R ham cohom ology of $Y_{2}$ has the follow ing generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Y_{2}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [x]; } \mathrm{y}] \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{2}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [xz]; [yz] in } \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(Y_{2}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [xyz] in } H^{3}\left(Y_{2}\right) \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he nonvanishing $M$ assey products are $f x ; x ; y g=x z$ and fy;y; $x g=y z$.
To obtain a 3-form we consider the 7m anifold $Y_{2} \quad C P^{2}$. W e denote by ta closed 2-form w th integral periods which represents a generator $t]$ of $H\left(C P^{2}\right)$. W e choose $H=x t$. The nonzero di erentials are $d_{3}=x t$ and $d_{5}=f x t ; x t ; g\left(d_{5} y=x z t^{2}\right)$. A simple calculation show $s$ that the ranks of $\mathrm{E}_{2} ; \mathrm{E}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{6}=\mathrm{E}_{1}$ are 18; 10 and $8 . \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{2} \quad \mathrm{CP}{ }^{2}\right)$ is generated by

| $p$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{p}$ | $x$ | $y t ; x y z$ | $y t^{2}$ | $x z$ | $x z t ; t^{2}$ | $y z t^{2}$ |

To get a $d_{H}$-cohom ology class one has to restore $x_{p+2}$; etc, e.g.

$$
[x]_{d_{H}}=x ; \quad[x z]_{d_{H}}=x z ; \quad[y t]_{d_{H}}=y t+u^{1} z t^{2} ; \quad \text { etc: }
$$

A s it is expected the dim ension of the tw isted cohom ology, say dim $H_{d_{H}}^{0}=4$, is sm aller then the sum of the even Bettinumbers $=9$.
$Y_{3} . Y_{3}$ is a tw isted circle bundle over $Y_{2}$. If we denote by $v$ a connection 1-form the its curvature is one of the previous $M$ assey products, say $d v=x z$. The de Rham cohom ology of $Y_{3}$ has the follow ing generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Y_{3}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [x]; } \mathrm{y}] \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [xv]; [yz] in } \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(Y_{3}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [yzv]; [xzv] in } \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { [xyzv] in } \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right) \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

The nonvanishing $M$ assey products are $f x ; x ; x ; y=x v$ and fy;y; $x g=y z$.
To obtain a 3-form we consider a $10-\mathrm{m}$ anifold $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}_{3} \quad \mathrm{CP}{ }^{3}$. We denote by t a closed 2form with integral periods which represents a generator $\mathbb{t}$ ] of $H\left(C P^{3}\right)$. W e will consider two
tw istings $H=x t$ and $H=y t$. The nonvanishing $M$ assey products are fxt; $\mathrm{xt} ; \mathrm{xt} ; \mathrm{yg}=\mathrm{xvt}^{3}$, fyt;yt;xg=yzt and fyt;yt;xtg $=y z t^{3}$. For $H=x t$ the ranks of $E_{2} ; \mathrm{E}_{4}=\mathrm{E}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}=\mathrm{E}_{1}$ are 32; 20 and 16. The $d_{x t}$-cohom ologies $H_{d_{x t}}^{0}$ and $H_{d_{x t}}^{1}$ are generated by

| p | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | xV | xyzv; xvt; yzt | $x v t^{2} ; t^{3} ; y z t^{2}$ | $\mathrm{yzt}^{3}$ | x | xzv; yt | $y t^{2} ; x z v t$ | $y t^{3} ; x z v t^{2}$ | $\mathrm{yzvt}^{3}$ |

To get a $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xt}}$-cohom ology class one has to restore $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}+2}$; etc, e.g.

$$
[x v]_{d_{x t}}=x v ; \quad[y z t]_{d_{x t}}=y z t+u^{1} y v t^{2}+u^{2} z v t^{3} ; \quad[y t]_{d_{x t}}=y t+u^{1} z t^{2}+u^{2} v t^{3} ; \quad \text { etc: }
$$

O ne sees that rank of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{xt}}^{0}$ is 8 .
For $H=y t$ the ranks of $E_{2} ; \mathrm{E}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{6}=\mathrm{E}_{1}$ are 32; 20 and 12. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yt}}$-cohom ologies $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}}}^{0}$ and $H_{d y t}^{1}$ are generated by

| $p$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{p}$ | $y z$ | $x y z v ; y z t$ | $x v t^{2} ; t^{3}$ | $x v t^{3}$ | $y$ | $y z v$ | $x t^{2} ; y z u t$ | $x t^{3}$ | $x z v t^{3}$ |

To get a $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yt}}$-cohom ology class one has to restore $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}+2}$; etc, e.g.

$$
\left[x v t^{2}\right]_{d_{y t}}=x v t^{2} \quad u^{1} z v t^{3} ; \quad\left[x t^{2} l_{d y t}=x t^{2} \quad u^{1} z t^{3} ;\right. \text { etc: }
$$

O ne sees that the rank of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{yt}}^{0}$ is 6 .

## B D eterm in ing the $m$ etric-dependent factor

In 35, 10] we found that norm alizing the C hem-Sim ons wavefunction $\mathrm{kZ} \mathrm{k}^{2}=1$ identi es N gr or m ore precisely, $\mathrm{kN}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}}(\mathrm{~g}) \mathrm{k}^{2}$, w ith the correct one-loop determ inants of the holographically dual eld. It is not clear a priori why this is the correct procedure | although it ts in very beautifilly w ith the view point that the partition function in AdS/CFT should be regarded as a wavefunction but since it works in other cases we will carry out that procedure for the exam ple of the RR elds.

The partition function $Z(a ; j)$ restricted to $P_{j}=(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H}}^{j}=(X ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; Z}^{j}$ de nes an elem ent of the nite dim ensionalH ilbert space $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{qu}}$. O ne can norm alize the wave function, $\mathrm{kZ} \mathrm{k}^{2}=1$, w th respect to the inner product in $H^{q u}$ and in this way $x$ the norm square of $N_{v_{1} ; v_{2}}(g)$.

It is clear that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} ; \mathrm{V}_{2}}(\mathrm{~g})$ does not depend on the source $j$. So to sim plify the calculation we put it zero, and assum e that the characteristic class $=0$. In this case we can choose the base point $A=0$. Thismeans that $(A)=0$ and $a=A \quad A$ is a $d_{H}$-closed form. In Theorem 7.2 we
introduced a norm alization factor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} ; \mathrm{V}_{2}}(\mathrm{~g})$. This m ust be regarded as a section of a H erm itian line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ over the space ofm etrics. The norm on the $H$ ilbert space $H^{q u}$ is just the $L^{2}$-norm on $\mathscr{L}$ L: Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kZ} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}^{2}=(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}}^{j}=(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} ; Z}^{j} \operatorname{DakZ}(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{k}^{2} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second set ofk $k$ denotes the norm on $\mathscr{L}$.
From Theorem 73 and C orollary 7.1 we leam that the partition function restricted to the real slice $a=\left(a^{+}\right)$can be written as


$$
\text { R2 } 2{ }_{1}^{h}{ }^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $a$ is a harm onic form, $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$ according to the Lagrangian decom position $\quad \begin{gathered}h \\ = \\ 1\end{gathered}{ }_{2}^{h}$.
To calculate the nom B.1) we need to $x$ a gauge in this functional integral. This can be done by using equation B.13z). By evaluating the $G$ aussian integral and solving the equation $\mathrm{kZ} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}^{2}=1$ for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}}$ one nds for IIA:
for IIB :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kN}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{k}^{2}=4 \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{Im})_{\mathrm{p}=1}^{\mathrm{I}^{10}} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{1 p}\right)^{2} \operatorname{det}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~d}_{1 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1=2}}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{1 p} ;>11 \mathrm{p}\right)^{2} \operatorname{det}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~d}_{1 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{j}_{>11 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1=2}} \#_{(1)^{\mathrm{p}+1}}^{3_{1=2}} 5^{2}: \tag{B.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation B...3) it follow s that $N_{V_{1} ; v_{2}}(g)$ is som e kind of square root of the right hand side of (B.3). We now con jecture that there is a very natural squareroot provided we view $N_{V_{1} ; v_{2}}(g)$ as a section of som e determ inant line bundle. W e expect that we should set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { B 3a, or } B, 3 \mathrm{~B})=k \operatorname{det} \bar{D} k_{Q}^{2} \tag{B.A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side is the $Q$ uillen norm of a section $\operatorname{det} \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ of som e determ inant line bundle DET $(\bar{D})$ over the space ofm etrics on $X$.

G auge elds. Consider a gauge potential a $2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$. D enote by $\mathscr{G}^{j}$ the group of gauge transform ations a $7 \mathrm{a}+!^{j}$ where $!^{j} 2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ;}^{j}$. In this paragraph we want to obtain a formula for Z

$$
\left(x ; R^{j=\mathscr{G} j} \mathscr{D} a:\right.
$$

N ote that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}:(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}!(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j+1}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{j}}!:=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}!\right)$ is an elliptic operator. M oreover the corresponding Laplacian $D_{j}=d_{j} d_{j}+d_{j 1} d_{j 1}$ is a self-adjoint positive elliptic operator where $d_{j}$ denotes the adjoint operator in the $R$ iem annian $m$ etric 2.7). N ote that the $m$ etric (2.7) is a dim ensionless $m$ etric de ned by a $R$ iem annian $m$ etric $g_{E}$ on $X$ and the string scale ${ }_{s}$. A ny form a $2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ can be uniquely written in the form (H odge decom position)

$$
a=a^{h}+a^{T}+d_{j 1} \stackrel{T}{j 1}_{T}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
k a k_{j}^{2}=k \quad a^{h} k_{j}^{2}+k a^{T} k_{j}^{2}+g_{j 1} \quad{ }_{j 1}^{T} ;\left[d_{j 1} d_{j 1}\right] \quad{ }_{j 1}^{T} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k w k_{j}^{2}$ is $g_{j}(w ; w)$. Thus

The gauge group $\mathscr{G}^{j}$ has several connected com ponents labelled by the harm onic form $s$ w ith quantized periods $\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{z}}^{j}$ (X). U sing the H odge decom position we can write
where $\mathscr{G}_{0}^{j}=(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{H}}^{j}{ }_{\text {exact }}=\mathscr{G}^{j 1}$ is the connected com ponent of the identity of the gauge group $\mathscr{G}^{j}$ 。

Volum e of the gauge group ( $m$ otivating exam $p l e$ ). Before considering the generalexpression for the volum e of the gauge group we consider the exam ple of type IIA : in this case our gauge eld is a $2(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{0}$ and the corresponding gauge transform ations and gauge transform ations for gauge transform ations can be sum $m$ arized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{0}=\mathrm{u}^{0} 0 \mathrm{u}^{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{4} \mathrm{u}^{3}{ }^{6} \mathrm{u}^{4} 8^{8} \mathrm{u}^{5}{ }^{10} \text {; } \\
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{1}=\mathrm{u}^{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{u}^{1}{ }^{3} \quad \mathrm{u}^{2}{ }^{5} \quad \mathrm{u}^{3}{ }^{7} \quad \mathrm{u}^{4} \quad 9 \text {; } \\
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{2}=\mathrm{u}^{1} \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} \quad \mathrm{u}^{3}{ }^{4} \quad \mathrm{u}^{4}{ }^{6} \quad \mathrm{u}^{5}{ }^{8} \quad \mathrm{u}^{6}{ }^{10} \text {; } \\
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{3}=\mathrm{u}^{2} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{u}^{3}{ }^{3} \quad \mathrm{u}^{4}{ }^{5} \quad \mathrm{u}^{5}{ }^{7} \quad u^{6}{ }^{9} \text {; } \\
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{4}=\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{u}^{2} & 0 & \mathrm{u}^{3} 2^{2} & \mathrm{u}^{4} & 4 & \mathrm{u}^{5} & 6 & \mathrm{u}^{6} \\
8 & 8 & \mathrm{u}^{7} & 10 \text {; }
\end{array} \\
& \text { ! } \\
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{9}=\mathrm{u}^{5} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{u}^{6}{ }^{3} \mathrm{u}^{7}{ }^{5} \mathrm{u}^{8}{ }^{7} \mathrm{u}^{9}{ }^{9} \text {; } \\
& (\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{10}=\mathrm{u}^{5} \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{u}^{6} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{u}^{7} \quad 4 \quad \mathrm{u}^{8} \quad 6 \quad \mathrm{u}^{9} \quad 8 \quad \mathrm{u}^{10}{ }^{10} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

The form s in the gray boxes correspond to the \real" gauge transform ationsw hile the form s outside the gray boxes correspond to the elds which has to be excluded. Recall that the group de ned on each line acts on the previous one by $k \geqslant \quad k+d_{H} \quad k \quad$. If the curvature $H$ of the $B$ - eld were zero when we could easily describe the gauge group appearing on each line | it consists just of the elem ents in the gray box modulo closed form $s w$ ith quantized periods. But if $H \in 0$ the description is slightly $m$ ore com plicated because $d_{f 1}$ does not preserve the space indicated by the gray boxes. W em ust quotient out by the term s not appearing in the gray boxes. The gauge group appearing on each line is
where ( $\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{m}^{>}>12 \mathrm{k}$ denotes the space of form softotal degree k and di erential form degree greater or equal 12 k . It is easy to see that for $\mathrm{H}=0$ equation B.8) yields the correct gauge group.

Volum e of the gauge group. To calculate the volum e $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}^{j}\right)$ we notioe that
where $\mathrm{F}^{j 1}$ is de ned in (8.8). N ote that $j=0 ; 1$ are the two cases of interest. E ectively we have two di erent integrals: one in the num erator and another in the denom inator. The gauge groups the integrals in the num erator are always $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{H} ; z}^{k}$ while the gauge groups for the integrals in the denom inator are always $(\mathrm{X} ; \mathscr{R})_{d_{i f} ; Z}^{{ }^{k} ; ~}{ }^{2} 12 \mathrm{k}$.

E ach ofthese integrals can now be calculated in the standard w ay (see, for exam ple, appendix C in 10]). The nal result is
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}^{j \mathrm{p}}=\mathscr{H}^{j \mathrm{p}}=\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{j \mathrm{p}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{T}^{j \mathrm{p} ;>12+j \mathrm{p}}=\mathscr{H}^{j \mathrm{p} ;>12+j \mathrm{p}}=\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{j 1 ;>12+j \mathrm{p}}: \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathscr{H}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is the space of tw isted harm onic form sof total degree $\mathrm{k}, \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ is the space of tw isted harm onic form s of total degree k w ith quantization condition and $\mathscr{H}$ k; ${ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{k}$ is the space of tw isted harm onic form $s$ of total degree $k$ and di erential form degree $>12 \mathrm{k}$. The volum e of the tw isted harm onic torus is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{k}\right)=\operatorname{detg}_{k}(!;!)^{1=2} \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f!g$ is an \integral" basis of tw isted harm onic form s of total degree $k$. N otige that $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ does not depend on the choige of the \integral" basis $f!g$.

Combining this result w ith B.7) one nds
Z

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{x}: \mathscr{R})^{0}=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathscr{R})_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{z}}^{0} \mathscr{D} \mathrm{a}=\underset{\mathscr{H}^{0}=\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{z}}^{0}}{\mathscr{D} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{h}}} \quad \dot{\mathrm{~m}} \quad \mathscr{D} \mathrm{a}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \sum_{p=1}^{8} \mathbb{1}^{\mathbb{1} 0} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(T^{p}\right)^{2} \operatorname{det}^{0}\left(d_{p} d_{p}\right)^{1=2}}{\operatorname{Vol}(T \mathrm{p} ;>12 p)^{2} \operatorname{det}^{0}\left(d_{p} d_{p} j>12 p\right)^{1=2}} \\
& \#(1)^{p+1} \stackrel{9}{=} 1=2 \\
& \text {; (B.13a) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

In these equations we assum ed that $\operatorname{Vol}(\mathrm{pt})=1$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This decom position should not be confused w ith the decom position $F=B+d t \wedge E$ in $M$ axwell theory. Here because of self-duality, $G^{m}$ ag are dependent degrees of freedom, and should be regarded as functions of $G$ elec in a $m$ anner speci ed by the Lagrangian subspaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A notherw ay ofde ning an involution I and self-duality condition $\mathrm{IF}^{+}=\mathrm{F}^{+}$is as follow s . The volum e elem ent on a Lorentzian ( $4 \mathrm{k}+2$ )-dim ensionalm anifold de nes the involution in the C li ord algebra $=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{g})$ ), where $c(!)$ denotes $C$ li ord $m$ ultiplication by a form !. Now for $w 2(X ; \mathscr{R})^{j}$ we de ne involution I by

    $$
    c(\operatorname{Iw}):=c(w):
    $$

    $U$ sing the relation $c\left(w_{p}\right)=(1)^{p(p+1)=2} c\left(w_{p}\right)$ one can show that the involution de ned by yields exactly $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ w ritten in 2.9).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ h is fiunctional is the bosonic part of pseudo-action 12], know $n$ also as \dem ocratic" form ulation of supergravity.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ For the de nition of a $d_{H}-c l o s e d$ form $w$ ith \integralperiods" see section 8 . The facts quoted above follow from the perfect pairing on tw isted $K$-theory.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ In general, we have to consider a $Z_{2}$-graded tw isted bundle. T he C hem character is de ned by stre ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}=2$ i where $F$ is the curvature of a superconnection and str is the super trace.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~T}$ h is is the anom aly cancellation condition which should properly be referred to as the $\backslash$ Freed F itten anom aly." $T$ he fam ous shift of the $U$ (1) ux quantization by $\frac{1}{2} C_{1}$ for $D$-branesw rapping non-spin $m$ anifolds $w$ as already pointed out earlier in 28]. A very usefulinterpretation of all these facts (and more) w as advocated by D. Freed in 目] where the $U$ (1) gauge degree of freedom was interpreted as a trivializing cochain in di erential cohom ology.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ If we choose a noncanonical splitting $K^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=R=Z \quad Z_{Z}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ then we can take $[t]=(d t ; 0)$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ In 37] the possibility w as left open that one could possibly add $Z_{2}$-valued topological term sto the $M$-theory action. If that is indeed possible then there would be a corresponding m odi cation of . If that possibility can be nuled out, then the choige of based on the $m$ od-two index is unique.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ If $=0$ then there exists a preferred base point $A=0$. H ow ever even in this case we would like to keep $A$ arbitrary because we w ill use it later to specify the extemal current.

[^9]:    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~W}$ e are talking here about the pseudo action de ned in 39]. There is a di erent pseudo action de ned in 22 , and it does not have this problem.

