### Abelian Chem-Sim ons Vortices and Holom orphic Burgers' Hierarchy

Oktay K.PASHAEV and Zeynep Nilhan GURKAN

D epartm ent of M athem atics, Izm ir Institute of Technology
U rla-Izm ir, 35430, Turkey

February 1, 2022

#### A bstract

The Abelian Chem-Sim ons Gauge Field Theory in 2+1 dimensions and its relation with holomorphic Burgers' Hierarchy is considered. It is shown that the relation between complex potential and the complex gauge eld as in incompressible and irrotational hydrodynamics, has meaning of the analytic Cole-Hopf transform ation, linearizing the Burgers Hierarchy in terms of the holomorphic Schrodinger Hierarchy. Then the motion of planar vortices in Chem-Sim ons theory, appearing as pole singularities of the gauge eld, corresponds to motion of zeroes of the hierarchy. Using boost transform ations of the complex Galilean group of the hierarchy, a rich set of exact solutions, describing integrable dynam ics of planar vortices and vortex lattices in terms of the generalized K ampede Ferriet and Hem ite polynom ials is constructed. The results are applied to the holom orphic reduction of the Ishim orim odel and the corresponding hierarchy, describing dynamics of magnetic vortices and corresponding lattices in term s of com plexi ed Calogero-Moser models. Corrections on two vortex dynam ics from the Moyal space-time non-commutativity in terms of Airy functions are found.

# 1 Classical Ferrom agnetic Model in Continuous Media

In order to solve the so-called momentum problem in planar ferrom agnets a model of delocalized electrons has been introduced by Volovik, where the restoration of the linear momentum density has appeared by using hydrodynamical variables, the density and the normal velocity of the fermionic liquid. On this basis a simple model of ferrom agnetic uid or a spin-liquid, modifying the phenomenological Landau-Lifshitz equation, has been proposed in [1]. In the model,

the magnetic variable is described by the classical spin vector S = S(x;y;t) valued on two dimensional sphere:  $S^2 = 1$ , and the hydrodynamic variable is velocity v(x;y;t) of the incompressible ow. For particular anisotropic case of the space metric we have the system

$$S_t + {}_1Q_1S \qquad {}_2Q_2S = S \qquad (Q_1^2 \quad Q_2^2)S$$
 (1)

$$Q_{1} = Q_{2} = 2S(Q_{1}S = Q_{2}S)$$
 (2)

The rst equation is the H eisenberg m odelwhere time derivative  $\theta=\theta$  t is replaced by the material derivative  $\frac{D}{D\,t}=\frac{\theta}{\theta\,t}+$  (yr), while the second one is relation between hydrodynamic and spin variables called the Mermin-Ho relation [2]. It relates vorticity of the low with topological charge density, or the winding number for spin. In this case the next theorem is valid [3].

Theorem: For the ow constrained by the incompressibility condition

$$\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} = 0;$$
 (3)

the conservation law,  $\ell_t J_0 + \ell_2 J_2$   $\ell_1 J_1 = 0$ , holds, where

$$J_{0} = (\theta_{1}S)^{2} + (\theta_{2}S)^{2};$$

$$J_{1} = 2\theta_{1}S S (\theta_{1}^{2} \theta_{2}^{2})S + v_{1}J_{0} + 2S (\theta S \theta_{2}^{2}S \theta_{1}\theta_{2}S \theta_{2}S)$$

$$J_{2} = 2\theta_{2}S S (\theta_{1}^{2} \theta_{2}^{2})S + v_{2}J_{0} 2S (\theta S \theta_{1}\theta_{2}S \theta_{1}S \theta_{2}S);$$

$$(4)$$

Due to the above theorem , for the incompressible ow (3) the functional (4) (the "energy" functional)  $E = J_0 d^2 x$  is conserved quantity, bounded  $E = 8 \ 10 \ 2$  (Bogom olnyi Inequality) by the topological charge Q of a spin conguration. This inequality is saturated for spin congurations satisfying the rst order system, the Belavin-Polyakov self-duality equations

$$Q_{\dot{1}}S \qquad {}_{\dot{1}\dot{1}}S \qquad Q_{\dot{1}}S = 0 \tag{5}$$

In contrast to the static case our inequalities are valid for time dependent elds. The stereographic projections of the spin phase space are given by form ulas

$$S_{+} = S_{1} + iS_{2} = \frac{2}{1+i^{2}}; \quad S_{3} = \frac{1 + i^{2}}{1+i^{2}}$$
 (6)

where is complex valued function. By complex derivatives  $Q_z = \frac{1}{2} (Q_1 - iQ_2)$ ,  $Q_z = \frac{1}{2} (Q_1 + iQ_2)$ , the self-duality equations (5) in stereographic projection form become the analyticity z(z;t) = 0 or the anti-analyticity z(z;t) = 0 conditions. It is easy to show by direct calculations that for incompressible ow (3) the holomorphic constraint z(z;t) = 0 is compatible with the time evolution  $\frac{Q_z}{Q_z} = 0$ .

#### 2 Holomorphic Reduction of Ishimori Model

From the theorem and the proposition presented above we have seen that incompressible ow adm its existence of positive energy functional m in in ized by holom orphic reduction and this reduction is compatible with the time evolution. It suggests to solve the incompressibility conditions explicitly. So we consider the topological magnet model (1),(2) with incompressibility condition solved in terms of real function , the stream function of the ow,  $v_1 = \theta_2$ ,  $v_2 = \theta_1$ . Then we have analytic reduction of the Ishim orim odel [4]

$$i_t \quad 2_{zz} + 2_{zz} \quad 4_{1+j\hat{j}}^2 = 0;$$
 (7)

$$zz = 2 \frac{z - z}{(1 + j - \frac{2}{3})^2}$$
: (8)

Choosing the stream function

$$= 2 \ln (1 + j^{2})$$
 (9)

equation (8) is satis ed autom atically, while from (7) we have the holom orphic Schrodinger equation

$$i_t + 2_{zz} = 0$$
: (10)

Every zero of function in complex plane z determ ines magnetic vortex of the Ishim ori model. The spin vector at center of the vortex is S=(0;0;1) while at in nity S=(0;0;1). Then a motion of zeroes of equation (10) determ ines the motion of magnetic vortices in the plane. From another side, if we consider analytic function

$$f(z;t) = \frac{1}{2} \log (z;t)$$
 (11)

as the complex potential of an elective ow [5], then every zero of function corresponds to hydrodynamical vortex of the ow with intensity , and to the  $\sin p \ln p \cos u$  arity of  $\cos p \ln u$  velocity

$$u(z;t) = f_z = \frac{i}{2} (Log)_z$$
: (12)

But the last relation has meaning of the holom orphic Cole-Hopftransform ation, according to which the complex velocity is subject to the holom orphic Burgers' equation

$$iu_t + \frac{8 i}{u_z} = 2u_{zz} \tag{13}$$

Thus, every magnetic vortex of the Ishim orim odel corresponds to hydrodynam - ical vortex of the anti-holom orphic Burgers' equation. Moreover, relation (11) written in the form

$$= e^{\frac{2 i}{b}f} = e^{\frac{2 i}{b}(+i)} = P - e^{\frac{2 i}{b}}$$
 (14)

shows that the e ective ow is just the M adeling representation for the linear holomorphic Schrodinger equation (10), where functions and are the velocity potential and the stream function correspondingly.

#### 3 N-Vortex System

The system of N magnetic vortices is determined by N simple zeroes

$$(z;t) = {Y^{N} \over (z \quad z_{k} \ (t))}$$
 (15)

positions of which according to (10) are subject to the system

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z_{k}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{X}^{N}}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{D}=1}^{N} \frac{4i}{z_{k} z_{1}}} : \tag{16}$$

In one space dimension this system has been considered rst in [6], (see also [7]) form oving poles of Burgers' equation, determ ined by zeroes of the heat equation. However, complexication of the problem has several advantages. First of all the root problem of algebraic equation degree N is complete in the complex domain as well as the moving singularities analysis of dierential equations. In contrast to one dimension, in this case the pole dynamics in the plane becomes time reversible (see below) and has interpretation of the vortex dynamics. Moreover generalization (non-integrable) of the system (16) to the case of three particles with dierent strength has been studied in [8] to explain the transition from regular to irregular motion as travel on the Riemann surfaces.

Below in Section 7 we show that solution of this system is determined by N complex constants of motion, this is why the dynamics of vortices in Ishim ori model is integrable. In fact the system (16) admits mapping to the complexied Calogero-Moser (type I) N particle problem [9], [10]. For these we discrentiate once and use the system again to have Newton's equations

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} z_k = \frac{X}{(z_j - z_k)^3} :$$
 (17)

These equations have Hamiltonian form with Hamiltonian function

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} p_{k}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{X} \frac{16}{(z_{k} - z_{1})^{2}}$$
 (18)

and adm it the Lax representation, from which follows the hierarchy of constants of motion in involution  $I_k=\operatorname{tr} L^{k+1}$ . Recently complexication of the classical Calogero-M oser model and holom orphic Hopf equation has been considered in connection with limit of an in nite number of particles, leading to quantum hydrodynamics and quantum Benjamin-Ono equation [11]. From another side holom orphic version of the Burgers equation is considered in [12] to prove existence and uniqueness of the non-linear diusion process for the system of Brownian particles with electrostatic repulsion when the number of particles increases to in nity.

### 4 Integrable N-particle Problem for N-Vortex Lattices

The function of the form

$$(z;t) = \sin(z - z_k(t)) = (z - z_k(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 - \frac{(z - z_k(t))^2}{n^2 - 2}$$
 (19)

has periodic in nite set of zeroes and determ ines the vortex lattice. First for (10) we consider the system of N vortex chain lattices periodic in x

$$(z;t) = e^{2iN^2t} \sin(z - z_k(t))$$
 (20)

so that positions are subject to the rst order system

$$z_{k} = 2i$$
  $\cot(z_{k} \quad z_{1})$ : (21)

Dierentiating this system once in time we get the second order equations of motion in the Newton's form

$$z_k = 32 \frac{X}{\sin^2(z_k - z_1)} \frac{\cot(z_k - z_1)}{\sin^2(z_k - z_1)}$$
 (22)

with the Hamiltonian function of the Calogero-Mosertype IImodel [9]

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} p_{k}^{2} + \frac{X}{\sin^{2}(z_{k} - z_{1})} :$$
 (23)

For periodic in y lattices

$$(z;t) = e^{2iN^2 t} \int_{k=1}^{W} \sinh(z z_k(t))$$
 (24)

we get the Calogero-M oser type  ${\rm III}\,{\rm M}$  odel

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} p_{k}^{2} + \frac{X}{\sinh^{2}(z_{k} - z_{1})} :$$
 (25)

### 5 Complex Galilean Group and Vortex Generations

Complex Galilean Group is generated by algebra

$$\mathbb{P}_0; \mathbb{P}_z] = 0; \quad \mathbb{P}_0; \mathbb{K} = 4i\mathbb{P}_z; \quad \mathbb{P}_z; \mathbb{K} = i$$
 (26)

where the energy and momentum operators are  $P_0 = i \theta_t$ ,  $P_z = i \theta_z$  correspondingly, while the Galilean Boost is operator

$$K = z + 4it\theta_z : (27)$$

The Schrodinger operator

$$S = i\theta_t + 2\theta_z^2 \tag{28}$$

corresponds to the dispersion relation  $P_0 = 2P_z^2$  and is commuting with Galilean group

$$\mathbb{P}_0; S = 0; \mathbb{P}_z; S = 0; \mathbb{K}; S = 0:$$
 (29)

From the theory of dynamical symmetry, if exists operator W such that

$$[S;W] = 0$$
)  $S(W) = W(S) = 0$  (30)

then it transforms solution of the Schrodinger equation to another solution W . It shows that Galilean generators provide dynamical symmetry for the equation. Two of them are evident: time translation  $P_0:e^{it_0P_0}$  (z;t) = (z;t+t\_0) and the complex space translation  $P_z:e^{it_0P_z}$  (z;t) = (z + z 0;t). While the Galilean boost creates new zero (new vortex in C)

$$(z;t) = K \quad (z;t) = (z + 4it@_z) \quad (z;t):$$
 (31)

Starting from evident solution = 1 we have the chain of n-vortex solutions, K 1 = z =  $\frac{H}{2}$  (z;2it), K 2 1 =  $\frac{2}{2}$  + 4it =  $\frac{H}{2}$  (z;2it), K 3 1 =  $\frac{3}{2}$  + 12it =  $\frac{H}{3}$  (z;2it),..., K n 1 =  $\frac{H}{4}$  (z;2it) in terms of the K ampe de Ferriet Polynomials [13]

$$H_n(z; it) = n! \frac{k^{2}}{k!(n-2k)!} \frac{(it)^k z^{n-2k}}{k!(n-2k)!}$$
 (32)

They satisfy the recursion relations

$$H_{n+1}(z; it) = z + 2it \frac{\theta}{\theta z} H_n(z; it);$$
 (33)

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta z} H_n(z; it) = n H_{n-1}(z; it)$$
 (34)

and can be written in term s of Herm ite polynom ials

$$H_n(z;2it) = (2it)^{n=2} H_n \frac{z}{2^{n-2}it}$$
 (35)

Let  $w_n^{(k)}$  is the k-th zero of the H erm ite polynom ial, H  $_n$  ( $w_n^{(k)}$ ) = 0 , then evolution of corresponding vortex is given by

$$z_k(t) = 2w_n^{(k)} \frac{p}{2it}$$
: (36)

Under the time rejection t! the position of the vortex rotates on 90 degrees  $z_k$ !  $z_k e^{i}$  =2. The last one is also symmetry of the vortex equations (16). Using formula

$$H_{n}(z;2it) = \exp it \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta z^{2}} z^{n}$$
 (37)

and superposition principle we have solution

$$(z;t) = \begin{bmatrix} x^{1} \\ a_{n} H_{n} & (z;2it) = \end{bmatrix}_{n=0}^{x^{1}} a_{n} \exp 2it \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} z^{n} = \exp 2it \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} \underbrace{x^{1}}_{n=0}^{x^{1}} a_{n} z^{n}$$

So if  $(z) = \frac{P}{n=0} a_n z^n$  is any analytic function, then  $(z;t) = \exp 2it \frac{e^2}{e^2 z^2}$  (z) is solution, determined by integrals of motion  $a_0; a_1; \ldots$  Therefore for polynomial degree n describing evolution of n vortices, we have n complex integrals of motion.

The generating function of the Kampe de Feriet Polynomials

$$\frac{x^{n}}{n!} \frac{k^{n}}{n!} H_{n} (z; it) = e^{kz + ik^{2}t}$$
(38)

is also solution of the plane wave type. If we exponentiate the G alilean boost  $e^{i\ K}=e^{i\ (z+4it\theta_z)}$  and factorize it by B aker-H ausdorf form ula  $e^{A+B}=e^{B}e^{A}e^{\frac{1}{2}[A;B]}$ , so that  $e^{i\ K}=e^{i\ z+2i\ ^2t}e^{At\theta_z}$ , then applied on a solution (z;t) it gives

$$e^{i K} (z;t) = e^{i z+2i^2t} (z 4 t;t)$$
 (39)

the Galilean boost with velocity 4, where the generating function of vortices (38) appears as the 1-cocycle.

The Galilean boost (31)  $(z;t) = (z + 4it@_z)$  connecting two solutions of the holom orphic Schrodinger equation (10) generates the auto-Backlund transform ation:

$$v = u + \frac{i}{2} \theta_z \ln (z - \frac{8t}{2} u)$$
 (40)

between two solutions

$$u(z;t) = \frac{i}{2} - \frac{z}{z}; \quad v(z;t) = \frac{i}{2} - \frac{z}{z}$$
 (41)

of the anti-holom orphic Burgers equation (13).

As an example we consider double lattice solution

$$(z;t) = e^{8it} \sin(z - z_1(t)) \sin(z + z_1(t))$$
 (42)

where  $\cos 2z_1 = re^{8it}$ , r is a constant. Applying the boost transform ation (31) we have solution describing collision of a vortex with the double lattice

$$(z;t) = z + 4it \frac{\theta}{\theta z}$$
 (2;t) (43)

G eneralizing we have N-vortices interacting with M-vortex lattices

$$(z;t) = e^{iM t} z + 4it \frac{\theta}{\theta z} \sin(z z_k(t))$$
 (44)

where  $z_1$ ; :::;  $z_k$  are subject to the system (21).

# 6 Abelian Chern-Sim ons Theory and Complex Burgers' Hierarchy

Now we show as the anti-holom orphic Burgers hierarchy appears in the Chem-Sim ons gauge eld theory. The Chem-Sim ons functional is de ned as follows

$$Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad S (A) = \frac{1}{4} \qquad A \land dA = \frac{1}{4} \qquad A \qquad F \qquad (45)$$

where M is an oriented three-dim ensionalm anifold, A is U (1) gauge connection, the coupling constant – the statistical param eter. In the canonical approach M =  $_2$  R, where R we interpret as a time. Then A =  $(A_0;A_1)$ , (i=1;2), where  $A_0$  is the time component and the action takes the form

$$S = \frac{Z}{4} dt \qquad ^{ij} A_i \frac{d}{dt} A_j \quad A_0 F_{ij}$$
 (46)

In the rst order form alism it im plies that the Poisson brackets

$$fA_i(x); A_j(y)g = \frac{4}{ij} (x y)$$
 (47)

and the H am iltonian H =  $A_0^{ij}F_{ij}$ . The last one is weakly vanishing H 0 due to the C hern-S in ons G auss law constraint

$$Q_1 A_2 \qquad Q_2 A_1 = 0$$
 ,  $F_{ij} = 0$  (48)

Then the evolution is determ ined by Lagrange multipliers  $A_0$ :  $\theta_0A_1=\theta_1A_0$ ,  $\theta_0A_2=\theta_2A_0$ . Due to the gauge invariance A! A+  $\theta$ , to x the gauge freedom we choose the C oulom b gauge condition: divA=0. In addition we have the C hem-S in ons G auss law (48): rotA=0. These two equations are identical to the incompressible and irrotational hydrodynamics. Solving the rst equation in terms of the velocity potential':  $A_k=\theta_k$ ', (k=1;2), and the second one in terms of the stream function :  $A_1=\theta_2$  and  $A_2=\theta_1$ ; we have the C auchy-R iem ann E quations:  $\theta_1$ '=  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_2$ '=  $\theta_1$ . So these two functions are harm onically conjugate and the complex potential f(z)=f(x,y)+f(x,y) is analytic function of f(z)=f(z)=f(z) is an anti-analytic function. In analogy with hydrodynamics, the logarithm ic singularities of the complex potential

$$f(z;t) = \frac{1}{2} i_{k=1}^{X^{N}} Log(z z_{k}(t))$$
 (49)

determ ine poles of the complex gauge eld

$$A = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{k}{z + z_{k}(t)}$$
 (50)

describing point vortices in the plane. Then the corresponding "statistical" magnetic eld

$$B = Q_1 A_2 \quad Q_2 A_1 = = = f(z)$$
 (51)

where is the Laplacian, determined by the stream function

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} {}_{k} \operatorname{Log}_{\mathcal{Z}} z_{k} (t) j$$
 (52)

is

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} {}_{k} Log_{z} z_{k} (t) j = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} {}_{k} (x x_{k} (t)) :$$
 (53)

Corresponding total magnetic ux is

The above relation (53) has interpretation as the Chem-Sim ons Gauss law

$$B = \frac{1}{-} = \frac{1}{-} \tag{55}$$

for point particles located at  $r_k$  (t) with density

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}} (x + x_{k}(t))$$
 (56)

(with masses  $_1;_2; :::;_N$ ). Then magnetic uxes are superimposed on particles and has meaning of anyons. As a result, an integrable evolution of the complex gauge eld singularities (vortices) would lead to the integrable evolution of anyons. Evolution of the anti-holomorphic complex gauge potential is determined by equation,  $\theta_0 A = 2\theta_z A_0$ , where as follows function  $A_0$  is harmonic  $A_0=0$ , and is given by  $A_0=\frac{1}{2}\,F_0\,(z;t)+F_0\,(z;t)]$ . Then the evolution equation is

$$Q_0 A = Q_z F_0 : (57)$$

Let

$$F_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{\frac{1}{2}}} c_n F_0^{(n)} (z;t)$$
 (58)

w here

$$F_0^{(n)}(z;t) = (\theta_z + A(z;t))^n$$
 (59)

then for arbitrary positive integer n we have the anti-holom orphic Burgers Hierarchy

$$Q_{t} A (z;t) = Q_{z} [(Q_{z} + A (z;t))^{n} 1];$$
 (60)

U sing the recursion operator  $R = \theta_z + \theta_z A \theta_z^{-1}$  we write it in the form

$$\theta_{t_n} A = R^{n-1} \theta_z A :$$
 (61)

The above hierarchy can be linearized by anti-holom orphic Cole-Hopf transform ation for the complex gauge eld

$$A = \frac{z}{(f(z;t))}$$
 (62)

in terms of the holom orphic Schrodinger (Heat) Hierarchy

$$Q_{t_n} = Q_{\tau_n}^n : (63)$$

For n=2 the second member of the hierarchy is just (10) and zeroes of this equation corresponds to magnetic vortices of the Ishim orim odel. The relation between and complex potential f has meaning of the Madelung representation for the hierarchy

$$(z;t) = e^{f(z;t)} = e'^{+i} = (e')e^{i} = p^{-}e^{i}$$
: (64)

Therefore hierarchy of equations for f is the M adelung form of the holomorphic Schrodinger hierarchy

$$\theta_{t_n} f = (\theta_z + \theta_z f)^n \qquad 1 = e^f \theta_z^n e^f$$
 (65)

or

$$\varrho_{t_n} \left( e^f \right) = \varrho_{\tau}^n \left( e^f \right) \tag{66}$$

which is the potential Burgers' hierarchy. We have the next Linear Problem for the Burgers hierarchy

$$_{z} = A ; _{t_{n}} = Q_{z}^{n} : (67)$$

It can be written as the Abelian zero-curvature representation for the holomorphic Burgers hierarchy,  $\theta_{t_n}U = \theta_z V_n = 0$ , where U = A,  $V_n = (\theta_z + A)^n = 1$ . For the N -vortices of equal strength

$$(z;t) = e^{f} = Y^{N}$$
 (z  $z_{k}$  (t)) (68)

positions of the vortices correspond to zeroes of (z;t). As a result the vortex dynam ics, leading to integrable anyon dynam ics, is related to motion of zeroes subject to the vortex equations (16) for n=2 case and for arbitrary n to equation

$$\frac{dz_{k} (t_{n})}{dt_{n}} = R es_{z=z_{k}} \quad e_{z} + \frac{X^{N}}{z} \frac{1}{z z_{1}(t_{n})}$$
 1; (k = 1; :::; N): (69)

### 7 Galilean Group Hierarchy and Vortex Solutions

Now we consider complex Galilean Group hierarchy

$$[P_0; P_z] = 0; \quad [P_0; K_n] = i^n n P_z^{n-1}; \quad [P_z; K_n] = i$$
 (70)

where hierarchy of the boost transform ations is generated by

$$K_n = z + nt\theta_z^{n-1}$$
 (71)

is com muting with the holom orphic n-Schrodinger equation

$$S_n = \theta_t \quad \theta_z^n : \tag{72}$$

As a result, application of K  $_{\rm n}$  to solution  $\,$  creates solution with additional vortex

$$(z;t) = K_n \quad (z;t) = (z + nt@_{z}^{n-1}) \quad (z;t):$$
 (73)

For particular values we have K  $_n$   $1=z=H_1^{(n)}(z;t)$ , K  $_n^2$   $1=\overset{.}{2}=H_2^{(n)}(z;t)$ , ..., K  $_n^{n-1}$   $1=\overset{.}{2}=H_n^{(n)}(z;t)$ , K  $_n^{n}$   $1=\overset{.}{2}+n!t=H_n^{(n)}(z;t)$ , ..., K  $_n^{m}$   $1=H_n^{(n)}(z;t)$ , where the generalized K ampe de Feriet polynomials [15] are

$$H_{m}^{(n)}(z;t) = m! \frac{t^{k}z^{m} n^{k}}{k!(m nk)!}$$
 (74)

satisfy the holomorphic Schrodinger hierarchy (63)

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} H_{m}^{(n)}(z;t) = \theta_{z}^{n} H_{m}^{(n)}(z;t):$$
 (75)

The generating function is given by

$$\frac{x^{k}}{m} \frac{k^{m}}{m!} H_{m}^{(n)}(z;t) = e^{kz + k^{n}t} :$$
(76)

From operator representation

$$H_n^{(N)}(z;t) = \exp t \frac{e^N}{e^N} z^n$$
 (z;t) =  $\exp t \frac{e^N}{e^N}$  (z) (77)

we have solution of (63) in terms of arbitrary analytic function . Polynomials H  $_{\rm m}^{\rm (N)}$  (z;t) are connected with the generalized H erm ite polynomials [14]

$$H_{m}^{(N)}(z;t) = t^{[m]} H_{m}^{(N)} = \sum_{\substack{n=1\\ N \neq 1}}^{Z} :$$
 (78)

Then the k-th zero  $w_n^{\,(\!N\,\,)k}$  of generalized H erm ite polynom ial H  $_n^{\,(\!N\,\,)}$  determ ine evolution of the corresponding vortex

$$H_n^{(N)}(w_n^{(N)k}) = 0$$
)  $z_k(t) = w_n^{(N)k} = t$ : (79)

The zeroes are located on the circle in the plane with time dependent radius. When t! the transformation of the vortex rotate on angle  $z_k$ !  $z_k e^{i} = N$ . The Galilean boost hierarchy (73) provides the Backlund transformation for n-th member of anti-holomorphic Burgers hierarchy (60)

$$v = u + \theta_z \ln [z + N t(\theta_z + u)^{N-1} 1]$$
: (80)

### 8 The Negative Burgers' Hierarchy

The holomorphic Schrodinger hierarchy and corresponding Burgers hierarchy can be analytically extended to negative values of N . Introducing negative derivative (pseudo-di erential) operator  $\ell_z^1$ , so that,  $\ell_z^m z^n = \frac{z^{n+m}}{(n+1) ::: (n+m)}$ , we have the hierarchy

$$Q_{t_n} = Q_z^n$$
 (81)

or di erentiating n tim es, in pure di erential form  $\ell_{t_n} \ell_z^n$  = . In term s of A de ned by (62) we have the negative Burgers hierarchy

$$Q_{t_n} A = Q_z \frac{1 - Q_{t_n} A^n}{A^n}$$
: (82)

For n=1 we have equation  $\ell_{t_1}=\ell_z^1$  or the Helm holz equation  $\ell_{t_1}\ell_z=$ . A nalytical continuation of the generalized K am pe de Feriet polynom ials to  $\ell_z=1$  [15] is given by

$$H_{M}^{(1)}(z;t) = M! \frac{X^{k}}{k!(M+k)!}$$
 (83)

T hen

$$H_{M}^{(1)}(z;t) = e^{t\theta_{z}^{1}} H_{M}^{(1)}(z;0)$$
 (84)

$$H_{M}^{(1)}(z;0) = z^{M}$$
: (85)

M oreover higher order functions are generated by the "negative G alilean boost"

$$H_{M}^{(1)}(z;t) = (z t e_{z}^{2})^{M} H_{0}^{(1)}(z;t)$$
: (86)

Functions H  $_{\rm M}^{(-1)}$  (z;t) are related with Bessel functions [15]. First, they are directly related with the Tricom i functions

$$C_{M} (zt) = \frac{z^{M}}{M!} H_{M}^{(1)} (z;t)$$
 (87)

determ ined by the generating function

$$X^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 $M \quad C_M \quad (x) = e^{+x} :$ 
 $M = 1$ 
(88)

The last one is connected with Bessel functions according to

$$J_{M}(x) = \frac{x}{2}^{M} C_{M}(\frac{x^{2}}{4})$$
: (89)

Then we have explicitly

$$H_{M}^{(1)}(z;t) = M! \frac{z}{t} J_{M}(2^{p}-zt)$$
 (90)

This provides solution of the negative (-1) ow Burgers equation

$$\theta_{t}A = \theta_{z} \frac{1 - \theta_{t}A}{A} \tag{91}$$

in the form

$$A = \frac{(H_{M}^{(1)}(z;t))_{z}}{H_{M}^{(1)}(z;t)} = \frac{M}{2z} + \frac{r}{z} \frac{J_{M}^{0}}{J_{M}} = \frac{r}{z} \frac{J_{M}}{J_{M}} \frac{(2^{p}-zt)}{(z^{p}-zt)} :$$
(92)

For arbitrary m em ber of the negative hierarchy we have

$$H_{M}^{(N)}(z;t) = e^{t\theta_{z}^{N}} H_{M}^{(N)}(z;0)$$
 (93)

$$H_{M}^{(N)}(z;0) = z^{M}$$
 (94)

and relation

$$W_{M}^{(N)}(zt^{1=N}) = \frac{z^{M}}{M!}H_{M}^{(N)}(z;t)$$
 (95)

where the W right-Bessel functions [15] W  $_{\rm M}^{\rm (N)}$  (x) are given by generating function

### 9 Space-Time Noncommutativity

Now we consider in wence of the space-time non-commutativity on the vortex dynamics. Remarkable is that for two vortices the problem can be solved explicitly. Non-commutative Burgers' equation, its linearization by Cole-Hopf transform ation and two-shock soliton collision has been considered in [16]. Here we consider the holomorphic heat equation

$$Q_t = Q_z^2 \tag{97}$$

with two-vortex solution in the form

$$(z;t) = (z z_1(t)) (z z_2(t))$$
 (98)

where the Moyal product is dened as

$$f(t;z) \quad g(t;z) = \dot{e}^{i(\theta_{t}\theta_{z})} e^{i(\theta_{t}\theta_{z})} f(t;z) g(t^{0};z^{0}) \dot{g}_{z}^{2} e^{i(t+t)}$$

$$= \frac{X^{k}}{n!} \frac{(i \cdot )^{n}}{n!} \frac{X^{n}}{k} ((1)^{k} \cdot n \cdot k \cdot (\theta_{t}^{n} \cdot k \cdot \theta_{z}^{k} f) (\theta_{t}^{k} \cdot \theta_{z}^{n} \cdot k \cdot g) (100)$$

Then we have deformed vortex equations

$$\underline{z}_{1} = \frac{2}{z_{1} z_{2}} \quad \dot{z}_{1} \frac{z_{1} z_{2}}{z_{1} z_{2}}$$

$$\underline{z}_{2} = \frac{2}{z_{1} z_{2}} + \dot{z}_{1} \frac{z_{1} z_{2}}{z_{1} z_{2}} : \tag{101}$$

Adding we have the  $\,$  rst integral of motion  $z_1+z_2=C$  -the center of mass. Choosing beginning of coordinates in the center of mass we have C=0 and  $z_2=z_1$ . Integrating reduced equation for  $z_1$  and substituting  $z_1=2i$  Y (t) we obtain the R icatti equation

$$Y + Y^2 = \frac{1}{2^2} (t t_0)$$
: (102)

This can be linearized by Y = - = in term s of the A iry Equation

$$=\frac{1}{2^{2}}(t t_0)$$
: (103)

The solution is

$$z_{1}(t) = 2i \frac{Ai^{0}((t t_{0}))}{Ai((t t_{0}))} = i^{p} \frac{Z_{1}(t t_{0})}{Z_{2}(t t_{0})} \frac{K_{2=3}(\frac{p}{3}(t t_{0})^{3=2})}{K_{1=3}(\frac{p}{2}(t t_{0})^{3=2})}$$
(104)

where =  $(=2^2)^{1=3}$  and K  $_n$  are modiled Bessel functions of fractional order. This solution should be compared with the undeformed one (36). The noncommutative corrections are coming from the ratio of two Bessel functions depending on . Using asymptotic form of A iry function we have correction in the form

$$z_1 (t) = z_2 (t)$$
  $p = \frac{1}{2(t + t_0)}$   $\frac{1}{2(t + t_0)}$  (105)

as t! + 1. As easy to see the correction is independent of di usion coe cient and has the global character.

#### 10 A cknow ledgem ents

This work was partially supported by TUBITAK under the Grant No. 106T 447.

#### R eferences

- [1] L.Martina, O.K.Pashaev, G.Soliani, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen., 27, (1994).
- [2] N.D.Mermin, T.Ho, Phys Rev Lett, 36, No.11,(1976); Phys Rev E, Vol.21, No.11,(1980).
- [3] L.Martina, O.K.Pashaev, G.Soliani, Theor.Math.Phys. 99, 726-732, (1994).
- [4] Y. Ishim ori, Prog. of Theor. Phys., Vol.72, No.1, July (1984).
- [5] M.A. Lavrentiev, B.V. Shabat, Problems of Hydrodynamics and Their Mathematical Models. Nauka, Moscow, (1973) (in Russian).
- [6] D.V.Choodnovsky, G.V.Choodnovsky, IlNuovo Cimento, Vol. 40B, No. 2, 11 August (1977).
- [7] F. Calogero, Il Nuovo Cimento, Vol. 43B, No. 2, 11 February (1978).
- [8] F. Calogero, D. Gomez-Ullate, P. M. Santini, M. Sommacal, J. Phy. A: Math. Gen. 38, (2005), 8873-8896.
- [9] A.M. Perelom ov, Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie Algebras, Vol. 1, Birkhauser Verlag, (1990).
- [10] F. Calogero, Classical Many-Body Problems Amenable to Exact Treatments, Springer, (2001).
- [11] A.B.Abanov, P.B.W iegm ann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 076402
- [12] A.Bonami, F.Bouchut, E.Cepa, D.Lepingle, J.Functional Analysis, 165 (1999) 390-406
- [13] G.Dattoli, P.L.Ottaviani, A. Torre and L. Vazquez, Revista del Nuovo Cimenti, V. 20, (1997) 1-128.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 79, (1976) 457-461
- [15] G. Dattoli, P. E. Ricci, C. Cesarano, Applicable Analysis, Vol. 80, (2001). 379–384.
- [16] L.M artina, O.K. Pashaev, in Nonlinear Physics: Theory and Experiment. II, World Scientic, (2003), 83-88.