

SIT-LP-06/10
hep-th/0611051
October, 2006

On Yukawa and mass terms in nonlinear supersymmetry equivalent renormalizable theory

Kazunari Shima and Motomu Tsuda^y

Laboratory of Physics, Saitama Institute of Technology
Fukaya, Saitama 369-0293, Japan

Abstract

We show explicitly in $D = 2$ that $N = 2$ nonlinear supersymmetric (SUSY) Volkov-Akulov model is equivalent to a spontaneously broken $N = 2$ linear SUSY interacting theory containing the ordinary Yukawa interactions and mass terms, which is renormalizable, by using SUSY invariant relations.

e-mail: shima@sit.ac.jp

^ye-mail: tsuda@sit.ac.jp

Dynamics of Nambu-Goldstone (NG) fermions [1]-[3] which indicates a spontaneous supersymmetry breaking (SSB) are described by the nonlinear supersymmetric (NLSUSY) model of Volkov-Akulov (VA) [4]. The linearization of NLSUSY theory gives various renormalizable linear (L) supermultiplet [5]-[7] with the Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) D term which shows the SSB. Indeed, $N = 1$ NLSUSY VA model is recasted into a scalar supermultiplet [8]-[10] or a $U(1)$ axial vector one [8, 11]. $N = 2$ NLSUSY VA model is (algebraically) equivalent to a $SU(2) \times U(1)$ vector supermultiplet [12]. We have also recently shown the (algebraic) equivalence between $D = 2, N = 3$ NLSUSY VA model and a $SO(3)$ vector supermultiplet, which contains new features common to the large $2N + 1$ SUSY [13].

The linearization of NLSUSY gives deep insight into the works towards a composite unified theory of spacetime and matter based upon $SO(10)$ super-Poincare group, i.e. the superon-graviton model (SGM) [14], which has been constructed as the NLSUSY general relativity (GR) [14, 15]. In order to obtain further informations about the low energy physics of NLSUSY GR, it is important to investigate interactions among low spin states in NL representation of SUSY. For example, as is well known, Yukawa-type interactions and mass terms are to be included in the scalar supermultiplet of Wess-Zumino [5]. However, those terms have not been discussed yet explicitly from the viewpoint of NLSUSY so far to our knowledge.

In this letter we focus on the Yukawa interactions and mass terms in $D = 2$ $SO(2) \times U(1)$ vector supermultiplet for simplicity of calculations and study those terms in $N = 2$ NLSUSY VA model.² In order to do this, we linearize the $N = 2$ NLSUSY of VA in $D = 2$ by constructing SUSY (and $SO(2)$) invariant relations between NG fermions and component fields of the vector supermultiplet. The equivalence of $N = 2$ NLSUSY VA action to a free LSUSY action with a FI term is proved to all orders by using those relations. We also show explicitly that the LSUSY Yukawa interactions and mass terms in the vector supermultiplet vanish respectively by using the SUSY invariant relations due to cancellations among the (NG fermion) terms. This fact means that the $N = 2$ NLSUSY VA model is equivalent to the spontaneously broken $N = 2$ LSUSY interacting theory containing the Yukawa interactions and mass terms, which is renormalizable.

The NLSUSY VA model [4] for arbitrary N [16] is realized by introducing (Majorana) NG fermions ψ^i .^{*} NLSUSY transformations of ψ^i parametrized by constant (Majorana) spinor parameters ϵ^i , which correspond to the supertranslations of ϵ^i

²The Yukawa term for $N = 1$ SUSY in $D = 2$ vanishes by itself due to (Majorana NG fermion)³ 0.

^{*}Minkowski spacetime indices in $D = 2$ are denoted by $a, b; \dots = 0, 1$ and $SO(N)$ internal indices are $i, j; \dots = 1, 2; \dots, N$. The Minkowski spacetime metric is $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(+, -)$. We shall follow the convention of matrices in $D = 2$ of Ref.[13].

and Minkowski coordinates x^a , are given by

$$\dot{x}^i = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^i - \dot{x}^j \theta^a \theta^j \partial_a \dot{x}^i; \quad (1)$$

where θ^a is a constant whose dimension is $(\text{mass})^{-1}$. Eq.(1) satisfies a closed on-shell commutator algebra,

$$[\partial_1, \partial_2] = P^a \partial_a; \quad (2)$$

where P^a means a translation with a generator $\partial_a = 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^a}$.

From a NLSUSY invariant differential one-form under Eq.(1),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}^a &= dx^a - \dot{x}^2 \theta^a d\theta^i \\ &= \left(\partial_a - \dot{x}^2 \theta^a \partial_b \right) dx^b \\ &= (\partial_a + t^a_b) dx^b \\ &= w^a_b dx^b; \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

a NLSUSY action is obtained as the volume form in $D = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NL} &= \frac{1}{2^2} \int \dot{x}^0 \wedge \dot{x}^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^2x \dot{x}^j \dot{x}^j \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^2x \left(1 + t^a_a + \frac{1}{2!} (t^a_a t^b_b - t^a_b t^b_a) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^2x \left(1 - \dot{x}^2 \theta^i \theta^i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{x}^i \theta^i \theta^j \partial_a \theta^j - \dot{x}^a \theta_b \theta^i \theta^j \partial_a \theta^b \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^2x \left(1 - \dot{x}^2 \theta^i \theta^i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{x}^i \theta^j \partial_a \theta^i \theta^j \partial_b \theta^j + \dot{x}^i \theta^j \partial_a \theta^i \theta^j \partial_b \theta^j \right) \right); \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the second term, $\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2 \theta^i \theta^i$, is the kinetic term for \dot{x}^i .

On the other hand, we introduce $D = 2$ SO(2) vector supermultiplet with the SSB which is (algebraically) equivalent to the NLSUSY VA model for $N = 2$. Components fields of the on-shell L supermultiplet are denoted by v^a for a vector field, ψ^i for doublet (Majorana) fermions and A for a scalar field in addition to θ^a for a scalar field and F for an auxiliary scalar field. Note that the on-shell fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom of these component fields are balanced as $4 = 4$. $N = 2$

LSU SY transformations of the component fields generated by ϵ^i are defined as

$$v^a = -i \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^i a^j; \quad (5)$$

$$\epsilon^i = (F - i\epsilon A) \epsilon^i + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ab} \epsilon^j - i \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^j; \quad (6)$$

$$A = -i \epsilon^i; \quad (7)$$

$$= \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j; \quad (8)$$

$$F = -i \epsilon^i \epsilon^i; \quad (9)$$

where $F_{ab} = \partial_a v_b - \partial_b v_a$. Eqs. from (5) to (9) satisfy the closed on-shell commutator algebra with a U(1) gauge transformation of v^a ,

$$[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2] = -\epsilon^i \epsilon^i + \epsilon^j \epsilon^j; \quad (10)$$

where ϵ^i is the U(1) gauge transformation with a generator $G = 2(\epsilon_1^i \epsilon^i - \epsilon_2^j \epsilon^j - \epsilon_1^i \epsilon_2^j A - \epsilon_1^i \epsilon_2^i)$. A free LSU SY action which is invariant under Eqs. from (5) to (9) is written as

$$S_0 = \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{4} (F_{ab})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^i \epsilon^i + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a \epsilon^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} F^2 - F \right); \quad (11)$$

where the last term proportional to ϵ^i is an analog of the FID term with a real parameter $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and the field equation for the auxiliary fields gives the vev $\langle F \rangle = -$ indicating the SSB.

It can be shown that the above $D = 2$ SO(2) vector supermultiplet with the SSB is indeed equivalent to the $N = 2$ NLSU SY VAM model by means of the $N = 2$ SU SY and SO(2) invariant expressions of $(v^a; \epsilon^i; A; F)$ as composites of ϵ^i in all orders,

$$v^a = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^i a^j \epsilon^j; \quad (12)$$

$$\epsilon^i = \epsilon^i \epsilon^j - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j \epsilon^k (1 - \epsilon^{2k} \epsilon^k) \epsilon^k; \quad (13)$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^i \epsilon^i \epsilon^j \epsilon^j; \quad (14)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j \epsilon^k \epsilon^k; \quad (15)$$

$$F = -\epsilon^i \epsilon^i - \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{32} (\epsilon^i \epsilon^i \epsilon^j \epsilon^j); \quad (16)$$

Eqs. from (12) to (16) are obtained by using heuristic arguments [9, 12, 13] such that the NLSU SY transformations (1) of those expressions reproduce the LSU SY

ones (5) to (9). In particular, the transformation of Eq.(12) under Eq.(1) gives the U (1) gauge transformation besides the NLSU SY one as

$$v^a(\varphi) = -i^{ij} i^a j(\varphi) + \partial^a X(\varphi); \quad (17)$$

where $X(\varphi)$ is the U (1) gauge transformation parameter defined by

$$X(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} i^{ij} i^j k^k (1 - i^2 \partial^k \partial_k) \varphi; \quad (18)$$

Eq.(17) means that gauge invariant quantities like $F_{ab}(\varphi)$ transform exactly same as the NLSU SY transformation. Since Eq.(18) satisfies

$$\partial_\mu X(\varphi) = \partial_\mu X(\varphi) = \partial_\mu (1/2 i^{ij} i^j k^k \partial_\mu \varphi); \quad (19)$$

the commutator on $v^a(\varphi)$ of Eq.(17) does not contain the U (1) gauge transformation term $\partial_\mu X(\varphi)$, which reflects the case of the commutator on ψ^i [12, 13].

By substituting Eqs. from (12) to (16) into the action S_0 of Eq.(11), it reduces to the NLSU SY VA action (4) for $N = 2$ up to surface terms, i.e. we can show

$$S_0 = S_{NL} + [\text{surface terms}] \quad (20)$$

in all orders of \hbar . Note that SO (2) is not broken in the (almost) free action.

Let us discuss Yukawa interactions and mass terms in the $D = 2$ SO (2) vector supermultiplet which are invariant under Eqs. from (5) to (9) from the viewpoint of NLSU SY. The most general Yukawa-type interaction terms are given by

$$S_f = \int d^2x f f (A^i i^i + i^{ij} i^j \partial_5^j + A^2 F^2 F^{ab} A F_{ab}) g \quad (21)$$

with f being a constant whose dimension is $(\text{mass})^1$, while the Majorana spinor mass terms are

$$S_m = \int d^2x \frac{1}{2} m (\psi^i i^i - 2AF + \epsilon^{ab} F_{ab}) : \quad (22)$$

By substituting Eqs. from (12) to (16) into the terms S_f of Eq.(21) or S_m of Eq.(22), surprisingly we find that S_f and S_m vanish respectively by means of miraculous cancellations among the terms, i.e. we can see

$$S_f(\varphi) = 0; \quad S_m(\varphi) = 0; \quad (23)$$

in all orders of \hbar . This means that the most general renormalizable LSU SY action with the Yukawa couplings and mass terms of $D = 2$ SO (2) vector supermultiplet is equivalent to the $N = 2$ NLSU SY VA action up to surface terms, i.e.

$$S_L = S_0 + S_f + S_m = S_{NL} + [\text{surface terms}] \quad (24)$$

in all orders of \hbar . This new results are the breakthrough towards the realistic model building for the low energy particle physics and the cosmology in the SGM scenario [17].

We summarize our results as follows. We have studied Yukawa-type interactions and mass terms in $D = 2$ SO (2) (U (1)) vector supermultiplet from the viewpoint of NLSU SY. We have linearized the $N = 2$ NLSU SY of VA in $D = 2$ by constructing the SUSY and SO (2) invariant relations in all orders of NG fermions as in Eqs. from (12) to (16). In the similar discussions in Refs.[12, 13], the commutator for $v^a(\cdot)$ of Eq.(12) are calculated by using the NLSU SY transformations (1) of \hbar , and it has been shown that it does not contain the U (1) gauge transformation term. By using the SUSY (and SO (2)) invariant relations, we have proved to all orders the equivalence of the NLSU SY VA action (4) for $N = 2$ to the free LSU SY action (11) up to the surface terms as in Eq.(20), while we have shown explicitly that the LSU SY Yukawa interactions and mass terms vanish respectively in Eq.(23) by means of the cancellations among the terms. From these discussions we have concluded in $D = 2$ that the $N = 2$ NLSU SY VA model is equivalent to the spontaneously broken $N = 2$ LSU SY interacting theory containing the Yukawa interactions and mass terms, which is renormalizable. From the systematics in the cancellations in $D = 2$ we anticipate that this is also the case in $D = 4$. In fact, we have observed the cancellations among mass terms at the leading order in $D = 4$ $N = 1$. As for the all-order cancellations in $D = 4$, we need further calculations.

Finally we just mention the physical meaning of our results. It is a breakthrough towards the realistic unified model building based upon the compositeness of all particles (except graviton), a la Landau-Ginzburg theory v.s. Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer theory for the superconductivity, though non-relativistic, which is the prototype of SGM scenario, i.e. SM (GUTs) v.s. SGM (NLSU SY GR) as mentioned in [14]. Because NLSU SY VA model is the simplest and unique NLSU SY realization and is accommodated as the cosmological term for everything (except the space-time curvature energy) in the SGM scenario. The equivalence between the unique NLSU SY VA model and the various LSU SY free action with FI terms are well understood. The generic nontrivial Yukawa and the mass terms break the equivalence and are excluded. In our work we have found that these terms become trivial (vanish) automatically only by the composite viewpoint (i.e. the SUSY invariant relations), therefore they can be added to the equivalent free action without violating

the equivalence. Once they are added to the free action with FI term (i.e. thrown into the true vacuum), they become nontrivial, break SUSY and produce mass for the composite fields automatically as partly realized in [17].

References

- [1] A . Salm and J . Strathdee, Phys. Lett. B 49 (1974) 465.
- [2] P . Fayet and J . Ilipoulos, Phys. Lett. B 51 (1974) 461.
- [3] L . O ' Raifeartaigh, Nucl. Phys. B 96 (1975) 331.
- [4] D . V . Volkov and V . P . Akulov, JETP Lett. 16 (1972) 438;
D . V . Volkov and V . P . Akulov, Phys. Lett. B 46 (1973) 109.
- [5] J . W ess and B . Zum ino, Phys. Lett. B 49 (1974) 52.
- [6] J . W ess and B . Zum ino, Nucl. Phys. B 78 (1974) 1.
- [7] P . Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B 113 (1976) 135.
- [8] E A . Ivanov and A A . Kapustnikov, Relation between linear and nonlinear realizations of supersym m etry, JINR D ubna Report No . E2-10765, 1977 (unpublished);
E A . Ivanov and A A . Kapustnikov, J. Phys. A 11 (1978) 2375;
E A . Ivanov and A A . Kapustnikov, J. Phys. G 8 (1982) 167.
- [9] M . Rocek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41 (1978) 451.
- [10] T . Uematsu and C K . Zachos, Nucl. Phys. B 201 (1982) 250.
- [11] K . Shim a, Y . Tanii and M . T suda, Phys. Lett. B 525 (2002) 183.
- [12] K . Shim a, Y . Tanii and M . T suda, Phys. Lett. B 546 (2002) 162.
- [13] K . Shim a and M . T suda, Phys. Lett. B 641 (2006) 101.
- [14] K . Shim a, Z . Phys. C 18 (1983) 25;
K . Shim a, European Phys. J. C 7 (1999) 341;
K . Shim a, Phys. Lett. B 501 (2001) 237.
- [15] K . Shim a and M . T suda, Phys. Lett. B 507 (2001) 260;
K . Shim a and M . T suda, C lass. Quantum Grav. 19 (2002) 5101;
K . Shim a and M . T suda, Cosm ology and nonlinear supersym m etric general relativity, hep-th/0512308.
- [16] W . Bardeen and V . V isnjic, Nucl. Phys. B 194 (1982) 422.
- [17] K . Shim a and M . T suda, hep-th/0611072.