Relativistic con nem ent of neutral ferm ions with a trigonom etric tangent potential

Luis B.Castro¹ and Antonio S.de Castro²

UNESP - Campus de Guaratingueta Departamento de Fsica e Qumica Av. Dr. Ariberto P. da Cunha, 333 12516-410 Guaratingueta SP - Brasil

¹E -m ail address: benito@ fegunesp br (L B.Castro) ²E -m ail address: castro@ pesquisador.onpq br (A S.de Castro)

A bstract

The problem of neutral fermions subject to a pseudoscalar potential is investigated. A part from the solutions for $E = mc^2$, the problem is mapped into the Sturm-Liouville equation. The case of a singular trigonom etric tangent potential (tan x) is exactly solved and the complete set of solutions is discussed in some detail. It is revealed that this intrinsically relativistic and true con ning potential is able to localize fermions into a region of space arbitrarily sm all without the menace of particle-antiparticle production.

1 Introduction

The four-dimensional D irac equation with a mixture of spherically symmetric scalar, vector and anom alous m agnetic-like (tensor) interactions can be reduced to the two-dimensionalD irac equation with a mixture of scalar, vector and pseudoscalar couplings when the ferm ion is limited to move in just one direction $(p_v = p_z = 0)$ [1]. In this restricted motion the scalar and vector interactions preserve their Lorentz structures while the anom alous magnetic-like interaction becom es a pæudoscalar. This kind of dim ensional reduction does not necessarily in ply that the reduced D irac equation describes a ferm ion in an unrealistic two-dimensional world. As a matter of fact, since there is no spin ip in a one-dimensionalmotion the two-dimensional version of the D irac equation can be thought as that one describing a ferm ion embedded in a four-dimensional space-time with either spin up or spin down [2]. This happens because the fourdim ensionalD irac equation with its four-spinor can be split into two independent D irac equations with two-spinors associated with either spin up or spin down. Each one of these two-spinors has upper and down components associated with particle and antiparticle respectively. The absence of angular momentum and spin-orbit interaction in the two-dimensional D irac equation as well as the use of 2 m atrices, instead of 4 m atrices, allow us to explore the physical consequences of the negative energy states in a mathematically simpler and more physically transparent way.

The anom alous magnetic-like (tensor) coupling describes the interaction of neutral ferm ions with electric elds and the bound states of ferm ions in one-plusone dimensions by a pseudoscalar double-step potential [3] and their scattering by a pseudoscalar step potential [4] have already been analyzed in the literature providing the opportunity to nd some quite interesting results. Indeed, the two-dimensional version of the anom alous magnetic-like interaction linear in the radial coordinate, christened by M oshinsky and Szczepaniak [5] as D irac oscillator and extensively studied before [6]-[15], has also received attention. Nogam i and Toyam a [16], Toyam a et al. [17] and Toyam a and Nogam i [18] studied the behaviour of wave packets under the in uence of that parity-conserving potential whereas Szm ytkow ski and G ruchow ski [19] proved the com pleteness of the eigenfunctions. More recently Pacheco et al. [20] studied a few therm odynam ics properties of the 1+1 dimensional Dirac oscillator, and a generalization of the D irac oscillator for a negative coupling constant was presented in Ref. [21]. The two-dimensional generalized D irac oscillator plus an inversely linear potential has also been addressed [22]. Furtherm ore, the two-dimensional generalized

D irac oscillator plus scalar and vector harm onic potentials have found a few applications relating nuclear phenom ena [23].

The parity-conserving pseudoscalar potential $\tanh x$ is of interest in quantum eld theory where topological classical backgrounds are responsible for inducing a fractional ferm ion number on the vacuum. Models of these kinds, known as kink models are obtained in quantum eld theory as the continuum limit of linear polymer models [24]-[26]. Recently the complete set of bound states of ferm ions immersed in the background of the pseudoscalar potential $V = \sim c$ gtanh x, term ed kink-like potential, has been addressed [27].

In the present work the pseudoscalar potential tan x is investigated. This trigonom etric potential has a kink pro le in a nite region of space and reveals to be essentially con ning. Beyond the con nement property, this potential presents the harm onic oscillator and the in nite square well as limit cases. A peculiar feature of this potential, and for the potential analyzed in Ref. [27] as well, is the absence of bound states in a nonrelativistic theory because it gives rise to an ubiquitous repulsive potential. Fortunately, apart from solutions corresponding to $\Xi = mc^2$, the problem is reducible to the nite set of solutions of the nonrelativistic exactly solvable sym m etric P osch H eller potential for both components of the D irac spinor subject to a constraint on their nodal structure. The whole spectrum of this intrinsically relativistic problem is found analytically, if the ferm ion is massless or not. A remarkable feature of this problem is the possibility of trapping a ferm ion with an uncertainty in the position that can shrink without limit as j jand jg increase without violating the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. This high degree of localization of ferm ions in a singleparticle interpretation of the theory is made plausible by the introduction of the concept of e ective wavelength.

2 The Dirac equation with a pseudoscalar potential in a 1+1 dim ension

The 1+1 dimensional time-independent D irac equation for a ferm ion of rest m ass m coupled to a pseudoscalar potential reads

$$H = E$$
; $H = c p + m c^2 + {}^{5}V$ (1)

where E is the energy of the ferm ion, c is the velocity of light and p is the momentum operator. The positive de nite function j f = y, satisfying a

continuity equation, is interpreted as a position probability density and its norm is a constant of motion. This interpretation is completely satisfactory for singleparticle states [28]. We use = 1 and = 3, where 1 and 3 are Pauli matrices, and 5 = 2. The charge conjugation operation requires that if is a solution with eigenenergy E for the potential V then 1 is a solution with eigenenergy E for the potential V then 1 is a solution with eigenenergy E for the potential V. It is interesting to note that the unitary operation just exchanging the upper and lower components of the D irac spinor induced by i ⁵ preserves the eigenenergies for a massless ferm ion when V ! V. The D irac equation is covariant under x ! x if V changes sign. This is because the parity operator P = exp() P₀ ₃, where is a constant phase and P₀ changes x into x, commutes with 3 but anticommutes with 1 and 2. Provided that the spinor is written in terms of the upper and the lower components, + and respectively, the D irac equation decomposes into:

$$E m c^{2} = i c^{0} iV$$
 (2)

where the prime denotes dimension with respect to x. In terms of $_+$ and , de ned on the closed interval [a;b], the spinor is normalized as

$$Z_{b}$$

 $dx j_{+}f + j f = 1$ (3)

so that + and are square integrable functions.

The boundary conditions on the eigenfunctions come into existence by dem anding that the Ham iltonian is Herm itian, viz.

where n is an eigenspinor corresponding to an eigenvalue E_n . In passing, note that a necessary consequence of Eq. (4) is that the eigenspinors corresponding to distinct e ective eigenvalues are orthogonal. It can be shown that (4) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{y} \sum_{n=0}^{x=b} = \sum_{n=1}^{y} (n)_{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{x=b} (n)_{n} + \sum_$$

It is clear from the pair of coupled rst-order di erential equations given by (2) that $_{+}$ and have de nite and opposite parities if the pseudoscalar potential function is odd. In this case, beyond the appropriate boundary conditions on the extrem es of the interval, we can impose boundary conditions at the origin

in two distinct ways: even functions obey the hom ogeneous Neum ann condition (d =dx $j_{k=0} = 0$) whereas odd functions obey the hom ogeneous D irichlet condition ((0) = 0).

In the nonrelativistic approximation (potential energies small compared to mc^2 and $E = mc^2$) Eq. (2) becomes

$$= \frac{p}{2mc} + i \frac{V}{2mc^2} +$$
(6)

$$\frac{-2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{V^2}{2mc^2} + \frac{-V^0}{2mc} + = E mc^2 + (7)$$

Eq. (6) shows that is of order v=c << 1 relative to $_+$ and Eq. (7) shows that $_+$ obeys the Schrödinger equation. Note that the pseudoscalar coupling results in the Schrödinger equation with an elective potential in the nonrelativistic limit, and not with the original potential itself. Indeed, this is the side elect which in a 3+1 dimensional space-time makes the linear potential to manifest itself as a harmonic oscillator plus a strong spin-orbit coupling in the nonrelativistic regime [5]. The form in which the original potential appears in the elective potential, the V² term, allow s us to infer that even a potential unbounded from below could be a binding potential. This phenomenon is inconceivable if one starts with the original potential in the nonrelativistic equation.

It is also noticeable that the change V ! V + const into the D irac equation, and into its nonrelativistic lim it as well, does not just implies into the change E ! E + const. Strange to say, the energy itself and not just the energy di erence has physical signi cance. It has already been veri ed that a constant added to the screened C oulom b potential [29] as well as to the inversely linear potential [30] is undoubtedly physically relevant. As a matter of fact, it plays a crucial role to ensure the existence of bound states in those cases.

For E \notin m c^2 , the coupling between the upper and the lower components of the D irac spinor can be form ally elim inated when Eq. (2) is written as second-order di erential equations:

$$\frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{V^2}{2c^2} + \frac{2}{2c} = \frac{2}{2c} = \frac{E^2 + m^2 c^4}{2c^2}$$
(8)

Here V is the superpotential corresponding to the Sturm -Liouville supersym – metric partner potentials $V^2 = (2c^2) \sim V^0 = (2c)$. This supersymmetric structure of the two-dimensionalD irac equation with a pseudoscalar potential has already been appreciated in the literature [18], [31] as has been too for a scalar potential

[32]. These last results show that the solution for this class of problem consists in searching for bound-state solutions for two Schrodinger equations. It should not be forgotten, though, that the equations for + or are not indeed independent because E appears in both equations. Therefore, one has to search for bound-state solutions for both signals in (8) with a common eigenvalue. At this stage one can realize that the D irac energy levels are symmetrical about E = 0. It means that the potential couples to the positive-energy component. In other words, this sort of potential couples to the mass of the ferm ion instead of its charge so that there is no atm osphere for the spontaneous production of particle-antiparticle pairs. Thus there is no room for transitions from positiveto negative-energy solutions. This all means that K lein s paradox never com es to the scenario.

The solutions for $E = mc^2$, excluded from the Sturm -Liouville problem, can be obtained directly from the D irac equation (2). One can observe that such a sort of isolated solutions can be written as

$$= N \exp [v(x)]$$

$$^{0} v^{0} = i \frac{2mc}{\sim} N \exp [v(x)]$$

$$^{D} P$$

$$(9)$$

where N_{+} and N_{-} are norm alization constants and $v(x) = {}^{N_{x}} dy V_{(y)} = (-c)$. The upper and the lower components can be norm alized as ${}^{R_{b}}_{a} dxj \quad j^{2} = N_{-} \quad j^{2}$ and the absolute values of the relative norm alization constants, N_{+} and N_{-} , can be calculated from the D irac equation (2). Indeed, one has

$$E m c^{2} dx j j^{2} = (-c)^{2} - cV j j^{2} dx^{x=b} dx j z^{x=a}$$

$$+ 2c^{2} dx dx - \frac{-2}{2} dx^{2} + \frac{V^{2}}{2c^{2}} - \frac{-2}{2c} V^{0}$$
(10)

by imposing boundary conditions which do not break the condition expressed by (5), (b) = (a) = 0 for instance, the rst term on the right-hand side of (10) vanishes. Hence one can conclude that

$$\overset{Z}{\underset{a}{\text{b}}} dx j \quad j^{2} = \frac{E \quad m c^{2}}{E \quad m c^{2}}; \quad \text{for } E \in m c^{2}$$
(11)

and

$$\frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{V^2}{2c^2} + \frac{2}{2c} = 0; \text{ for } E = mc^2$$
(12)

Finally, use of (3) and (11) yields

$$N = \frac{r}{\frac{E m c^2}{2E}}$$
(13)

O focurse, a possible solution with $E = +mc^2$ ($E = mc^2$) has a D irac spinor with a vanishing lower (upper) component. One can observe that such sort of isolated solution for $E = +mc^2$ is

$$e^{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (14)

and for $E = mc^2$ is

It is worthwhile to note that whereas one component of the D irac spinor vanishes the other one obeys a second-order di erential equation similar to (8), viz.

$$\frac{-2}{2} = 0 + \frac{V^2}{2c^2} - \frac{-2}{2c} V^0 = 0 \text{ and } = 0$$
 (16)

Of course well-behaved eigenstates are possible only if V has an appropriate behaviour at the endpoints of the range [a;b] [27]. It is noticeable that a possible solution with $E = mc^2$ uncurtains a quintessentially relativistic solution.

3 The trigonom etric tangent potential

Now let us concentrate our attention on the potential

$$V = \sim c g tan x$$
 (17)

where the kink parameter, , and the dimensionless coupling constant, g, are real numbers. Due to the in nities at x = (2j) we restrict ourselves to jxj = (2j). This potential is unbounded from below so that it is unable to bind a ferm ion in the nonrelativistic theory. The potential is invariant under

the change ! so that the results can depend only on j jwhereas the sign of V depends on the sign of g. Since the solutions for di erent signs of g can be connected by the charge conjugation transform ation, and also by the chiral transform ation in the event of massless fermions, we restrict ourselves to the case g > 0.

The Sturm -Liouville problem corresponding to Eq. (8) becomes

$$\frac{2}{2} = 0 + V_{\text{eff}}^{[]} = E_{\text{eff}}$$
(18)

where we recognize the elective potential as the exactly solvable symmetric $P \operatorname{osch} H \operatorname{Teller} potential [33]-[37]$

$$V_{eff}^{[1]}(x) = \frac{\lambda^2 q}{2} g(g 1) \tan^2 x g$$
 (19)

whose norm alizable eigenfunctions corresponding to bound-state solutions, subject to the boundary conditions = 0 as jxj = =(2j) (where the potential becomes in nitely steep) and identically zero for jxj > =(2j), are possible only if the elective potentials for both + and present potential-well structures. A coording to (19), this dem ands that g > 1. The corresponding elective eigenenergy is given by (in the notation of Refs. [35]-[37]g (g 1) = (1))

$$E_{eff} = \frac{E^2 m^2 c^4}{2c^2} = \frac{2}{2} n^2 + 2n + g$$
 (20)

where

$$_{+} = g + 1; = g; n = 0;1;2; ...$$
(21)

Notice that $V_{eff}^{[\]}$ is an even function under x ! x. Furtherm ore, the capacity of the potential to hold bound-state solutions is in nite. In fact, the e ective potential is a wellpotential limited by in nite barriers at x = =(2j). Referring to (19) and (20) one can note that the D irac eigenenergies are restricted to the range q

$$f_{\rm E} j > m^2 c^4 + (\sim c)^2 g$$
 (22)

and that there is no continuum. Since the positive- and negative-eigenenergies never intercept once again one can see that K lein s paradox is absent from the scenario. In other words, the pseudoscalar tangent potential is a true con ning potential. Furtherm ore, the ferm ion tends to be con ned into a region of space which tends to zero as j j! 1. In order to m atch the common e ective

eigenvalue for the e ective potentials $V_{eff}^{[+]}$ and $V_{eff}^{[-]}$, one can see from (20)-(21) that there appears the constraint

$$n = n_{+} + 1$$
 (23)

requiring that n = 1;2;3;::: This last fact can be better understood by observing that $V_{eff}^{[]}$ is deeper than $V_{eff}^{[+]}$. Now, (20)-(21) tell us that

$$E = \frac{q}{m^2 c^4 + (c^2)^2 [n_+^2 + 2n_+ (g+1) + 2g+1]}$$
(24)

The upper and low er components of the D irac spinor can be written as (see Ref. β_{5} - β_{7})

$$= N \qquad j j(n +) - \frac{2 + n}{n + 1} \qquad 1 \qquad z^{2} \qquad \sum_{n + 1}^{1 = 2} P_{n + 1}^{1 = 2} (z) \qquad (25)$$

where $z = \sin x$ and P (z) is the associated Legendre function of the rst kind. In terms of the Gegenbauer (ultraspherical) polynomial, $C_n^{(a)}$ (z), a polynomial of degree n de ned on the interval [1;+1], the components of the D irac spinor can be written as

= N 2
$$2jj(n +) - \frac{n + 1}{n + 2} - \frac{(2)}{(n + 1 = 2)} 1 z^{2} = C_{n}^{()}(z)$$
(26)

Since $C_n^{(a)}$ (z) = ()ⁿ $C_n^{(a)}$ (z) and $C_n^{(a)}$ (z) has n distinct zeros (see, e.g. [38]), it becomes clear that + and have de nite and opposite parities, as expected. Furthermore, the number of nodes of + and just dier by 1 according to the rule expressed by (23). Note that these solutions for the second-order di erential equations given by (18), for E \in m c², is entirely equivalent to the D irac equation itself provided N satisfy Eq. (22).

It is noteworthy that the width of the position probability density decreases as j jorg increases. As such it prom ises that the uncertainty in the position can shrink without lim it. It seems that the uncertainty principle dies away provided such a principle implies that it is impossible to localize a particle into a region of space less than half of its C ompton wavelength (see, for example, [1]). This apparent contradiction can be remedied by resorting to the concept of e ective m ass. The previous results suggest that one can de ne the e ective m ass as

$$m_{eff} = m^2 + \frac{\tilde{c}}{c}^2 g$$
 (27)

in such a way that the D irac eigenenergies are restricted to the range $\pm j > m_{eff}c^2$. Now it is possible to de ne the elective C ompton wavelength as $_{eff} = -=(m_{eff}c)$. Hence, the minimum uncertainty in the position consonant with the uncertainty principle is given by $_{eff}=2$ whereas the maximum uncertainty in the momentum is given by $m_{eff}c$. It means that the localization of a neutral fermion can shrink to zero without spoil the single-particle interpretation of the D irac equation, even if the trapped neutral fermion is massless. It is true that as j j or g increases the binding potential becomes stronger, though, it contributes to increase the elective mass of the fermion in such a way that there is no energy available to produce fermion-antiferm ion pairs.

Turning now to the isolated solutions, one can observe from (14) and (15) that a norm alizable isolated solution is possible only if the upper component of the spinor vanishes and $E = mc^2$. The norm alized D irac spinor can be written as s_____

$$= 2 \frac{g+1=2}{(g+1=2)} j \frac{g}{(g+1=2)} 1 z^{2} \frac{g=2}{1}$$
(28)

independently of the magnitude of g. It turns out that g > 1. Indeed, satis es Eq. (18) with $V_{eff}^{[\]}$ given by (19) (E_{eff} = 0). Therefore, the coupling constant for an isolated solution has precisely the sam e restriction as that one for the solutions of the Sturm -Liouville problem. A fter all, the best localization of ferm ions as well as the validity of the uncertainty principle is unperturbed if one uses the elective mass given by (27). A sam atter of fact, a num erical calculation for the most critical case (m = 0) with g = 1:001 yields $x = 0:5680_{eff}$ and $p = 0:9995m_{eff}c$, regardless the value of ($\sim = c = 1$).

4 Conclusions

We have succeeded in searching for the complete set of exact bound-state solutions of ferm ions in the background of a pseudoscalar trigonom etric tangent potential. This kind of potential has opposite values at the ends of the interval, viz. V (+ =(2j j)) = V (=(2j j)). It is this topological behaviour that gives rise to two di erent kinds of solutions. The potential adm its no scattering states and, except for the solution $E = m c^2$, it presents a spectral gap greater than $2m_{eff}c^2$. Since $C_0^{(a)}(z) = 1$ (see, e.g. [38]) and $N_+ = 0$ for $E = m c^2$, one can see that the position probability am plitude corresponding to the isolated solution given by (28) can be written in the very sam e m athem atical structure of the remaining am plitudes. Thus, one could suspect that the isolated solution is just a particular case and that this segregation is a particularity of the method used in this paper. However, the isolated solution has some distinctive characteristics when compared to the solutions of the Stum -Liouville problem which lead us to believe that, in fact, they belong to di erent classes of solutions. The isolated solution breaks the symmetry of the energy levels about E = 0 exhibited by the solutions of the Stum -Liouville problem, and the corresponding eigenspinor has one component di ering from zero. It is this asymmetric spectral behaviour that leads to the fractionalization of the fermion number in quantum eld theory [26]. Por massless fermions, except for E = 0, the spectral gap is greater than $2 \ 3 \sim cj$ j and the D irac H am iltonian anticommutes with $_3$ in such a way that the positive- and negative-eigenenergy solutions can be mapped by the operation $_E = _3 \ E$. The solution given by (28) appears now in the center of the spectral gap.

A sm entioned in the Introduction of this work, the anom alous magnetic-like coupling turns into a pseudoscalar coupling when the ferm ion experiences an onedimensional motion. The anom alous magnetic interaction has the form i ~ 0 (r), where is the anom alous magnetic moment in units of the Bohrm agneton and is the electric potential, i.e., the time component of a vector potential [28]. In one-plus-one dimensions the anom alous magnetic interaction turns into $_2$ ⁰, then one might suppose that the trigonometric tangent potential is due to an electric potential proportional to $\ln (\cos x)^{g}$. Therefore, the problem addressed in this paper could be considered as that one of con ming neutral ferm ions by a bow 1-shaped electric potential.

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors wish to thank P rof. M. Hott for stimulating discussions and for the algebraic calculations leading to Eq. (13) and to an anonym ous referee for very constructive remarks. This work was supported in part by m eans of funds provided by CAPES, CNPq and FAPESP.

References

- P. Strange, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] W . G reiner, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: W ave Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990
- [3] A.S. de Castro, W. G. Pereira, Phys. Lett. A 308 (2003) 131.
- [4] A.S. de Castro, Phys. Lett. A 309 (2003) 340.
- [5] M. Moshinsky, A. Szczepaniak, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) L817.
- [6] D. Ito, et al., Nuovo Cimento A 51 (1967) 1119.
- [7] N.V.V. Swamy, Phys. Rev. 180 (1969) 1225.
- [8] P.A. Cook, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 10 (1971) 419.
- [9] E F. Chan, J. Math. Phys. 14 (1973) 977.
- [10] Y M . Cho, Nuovo C im ento A 23 (1974) 550.
- [11] H.Ui, G. Takeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72 (1984) 266.
- [12] H.Ui, G. Takeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72 (1984) 813.
- [13] A B. Balanketin, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 164 (1985) 277.
- [14] JN.G inocchio, in: B.G ruber, R.Lenczewski (Eds.), Symmetries in Science II, Plenum Press, New York, 1986.
- [15] R.J.Hughes, V.A.Kostelecky, M.M.Nieto, Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 1100.
- [16] Y.Nogam i, F.M. Toyam a, Can. J. Phys. 74 (1996) 114.
- [17] F.M. Toyama, et al, J. Phys. A 30 (1997) 2585.
- [18] F.M. Toyam a, Y. Nogam i, Phys. Rev. A 59 (1999) 1056.
- [19] R. Szm ytkowski, M. Gruchowski, J. Phys. A 34 (2001) 4991.
- [20] M H. Pacheco, et al, Phys. Lett. A 311 (2003) 93.

- [21] A.S. de Castro, Phys. Lett. A 318 (2003) 40.
- [22] A.S. de Castro, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 311 (2004) 170.
- [23] A.S. de Castro, et al., PhysRev.C 73 (2006) 054309.
- [24] J.Goldstone, F.W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 (1981) 986.
- [25] R.Jackiw, G.Semeno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 439.
- [26] For a review see: A J. Niem i, G. Sem eno, Phys. Rep. 135 (1986) 99.
- [27] A S. de Castro, M. Hott, Phys. Lett. A 351 (2006) 379.
- [28] B. Thaller, The Dirac Equation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [29] A.S. de Castro, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 320 (2005) 56.
- [30] A S. de Castro, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 21 (2006) 2321.
- [31] Y.Nogam i, F.M. Toyam a, Phys. Rev. A 57 (1998) 93.
- [32] F. Cooper, et al., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 187 (1988) 1.
- [33] G. Poschl, E. Teller, Z. Phys. 83 (1933) 143.
- [34] I.I.Goldman, V.D.Krivchenkov, Problems in Quantum Mechanics, Pergamon, London, 1961.
- [35] M. M. Nieto, L. M. Simmons Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 41 (1978) 207.
- [36] M. M. Nieto, L. M. Simmons Jr., Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 1332.
- [37] M M. Nieto, Phys. Rev. A 20 (1979) 700.
- [38] M.Abram ow itz, IA. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover, Toronto, 1965.