

# Electromagnetic Casimir densities induced by a conducting cylindrical shell in the cosmic string spacetime

E. R. Bezerra de Mello<sup>1</sup>, V. B. Bezerra<sup>1y</sup>, A. A. Saharian<sup>1;2z</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba  
58.059-970, Caixa Postal 5.008, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Yerevan State University,  
375025 Yerevan, Armenia

May 13, 2019

## Abstract

We investigate the renormalized vacuum expectation values of the field square and the energy-momentum tensor for the electromagnetic field inside and outside of a conducting cylindrical shell in the cosmic string spacetime. By using the generalized Abel-Plana formula, the vacuum expectation values are presented in the form of the sum of boundary-free and boundary-induced parts. The asymptotic behavior of the vacuum expectation values of the field square, energy density and stresses are investigated in various limiting cases.

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k, 98.80.Cq, 11.27.+d

## 1 Introduction

Symmetry breaking phase transitions in the early universe have several cosmological consequences and provide an important link between particle physics and cosmology. In particular, within the framework of grand unified theories various types of topological defects are predicted to be formed [1]. Among them the cosmic strings are of special interest. Although the recent observational data on the cosmic microwave background radiation have ruled out cosmic strings as the primary source for primordial density perturbations, they are still candidates for the generation of a number of interesting physical effects such as the generation of gravitational waves and gamma ray bursts. Recently, cosmic strings attract a renewed interest partly because a variant of their formation mechanism is proposed in the framework of brane inflation [2].

In the simplest theoretical model describing the infinite straight cosmic string the spacetime is locally flat except on the string where it has a delta shaped curvature tensor. In quantum field theory the corresponding non-trivial topology induces non-zero vacuum expectation values for physical observables. Explicit calculations for the geometry of a single cosmic string have been done for different fields [3]–[26]. Another type of vacuum polarization arises when boundaries are

---

E-mail: emello@fisica.ufpb.br

<sup>y</sup>E-mail: valdir@fisica.ufpb.br

<sup>z</sup>E-mail: saharayan@server.physdep.yerevan.am

present. The imposed boundary conditions on quantum fields alter the zero-point fluctuations spectrum and result in additional shifts in the vacuum expectation values of physical quantities. This is the well-known Casimir effect (for a review see [27]). In a previous paper [28], we have studied the configuration with both types of sources for the polarization of the scalar vacuum, namely, a cylindrical boundary and a cosmic string, assuming that the boundary is coaxial with the string and that on this surface the scalar field obeys Robin boundary condition. For a massive scalar field with an arbitrary curvature coupling parameter we evaluated the Wightman function and the vacuum expectation values of the field square and the energy-momentum tensor. (For a combination of topological and boundary-induced quantum effects in the gravitational field of the global monopole see Refs. [29].)

In the present paper we consider the polarization of the electromagnetic vacuum by a perfectly conducting cylindrical shell coaxial with the cosmic string. Specifically, we evaluate the vacuum expectation values of the field square and the energy-momentum tensor in both interior and exterior regions of the shell. In addition to describing the physical structure of the quantum field at a given point, the energy-momentum tensor acts as a source of gravity in the Einstein equations. It therefore plays an important role in modelling a self-consistent dynamics involving the gravitational field. In the problem under consideration all calculations can be performed in a closed form and it constitutes an example in which the gravitational and boundary-induced polarizations of the vacuum can be separated in different contributions. It is worth calling attention to the fact that the renormalized vacuum expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor for the electromagnetic field in the geometry of a cosmic string without boundaries is evaluated in [6, 8] and the effects induced by the core internal structure are investigated in [13].

In [30] it has been shown that under certain conditions strings behave like superconducting wires whose passage through galactic magnetic fields may generate a number of interesting effects (see also [1]). In particular, this type of strings might be observable as sources for synchrotron radiation and cosmic rays. These effects are of special interest for light strings which would not be observed by their gravitational interactions. From the point of view of the physics in the region outside the string core, the geometry considered in the present paper can be viewed as a simplified model for the superconducting string, in which the string core in what concerns its superconducting effects is taken to be an ideal conductor. This model presents a framework in which the influence of the finite core effects on physical processes in the vicinity of the cosmic string can be investigated. In particular, it enables to specify conditions under which the idealized model with the core of zero thickness can be used. The corresponding results may shed light upon features of finite core effects in more realistic models, including those used for string-like defects in crystals and superfluid helium. In addition, the problem considered here is of interest as an example with combined topological and boundary induced quantum effects in which the vacuum characteristics such as energy density and stresses can be found in closed analytic form.

We have organized the paper as follows. In the next section we consider the vacuum expectation values of the field square and the energy-momentum tensor in the region inside the cylindrical shell. By using the generalized Abel-Plana formula, we extract from the mode-sums the parts corresponding to the geometry of a cosmic string without the shell. The parts induced by the shell are investigated in various asymptotic regions for the parameters. The vacuum expectation values in the exterior region are studied in section 3. Finally, in section 4 the main results are summarized.

## 2 Vacuum expectation values inside a cylindrical shell

We consider the background spacetime corresponding to an infinitely long straight cosmic string with the conical line element

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\phi^2 - dz^2; \quad (1)$$

where  $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$  and the spatial points  $(r; \phi; z)$  and  $(r; \phi + 2\pi; z)$  are to be identified. The planar angle deficit is related to the mass per unit length of the string,  $\mu$ , by  $2\pi - \phi = 8\pi G\mu$ , where  $G$  is the Newton gravitational constant. In this paper we are interested in the change of the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of the field square and the energy-momentum tensor for the electromagnetic field, induced by a conducting cylindrical shell coaxial with the string. Expanding the field operator in terms of the creation and annihilation operators, it can be seen that the VEV for a quantity  $F fA_i; A_k g$  bilinear in the field can be written in the form of the mode-sum

$$\langle 0 | F fA_i; A_k g | 0 \rangle = \sum F fA_i; A_k g; \quad (2)$$

where  $fA_i; A_k g$  is a complete set of solutions of the classical field equations specified by a set of quantum numbers.

In accordance with (2), to evaluate the VEVs for the square of the electric and magnetic fields and the energy-momentum tensor, we need the corresponding eigenfunctions. Firstly, let us consider the region inside the cylindrical shell. For the geometry under consideration we have two different eigenfunctions corresponding to the waves of the electric and magnetic types. In the Coulomb gauge, the vector potentials for these waves are given by the formulae

$$A = \begin{cases} (l=i!)^{-1/2} e_3 + ikr_t J_{qjm} j(r) \exp[i(qm\phi + kz - \omega t)]; & \omega = 0 \\ e_3 - r_t J_{qjm} j(r) \exp[i(qm\phi + kz - \omega t)]; & \omega = 1 \end{cases}; \quad (3)$$

where  $e_3$  is the unit vector along the cosmic string,  $r_t$  is the part of the nabla operator transverse to the string,  $J(x)$  is the Bessel function, and

$$l^2 = m^2 + k^2; q = 2 = 0; m = 0; l = 1; 2; \dots; \quad (4)$$

Here and in what follows  $\omega = 0$  and  $\omega = 1$  correspond to the cylindrical waves of the electric (transverse magnetic (TM)) and magnetic (transverse electric (TE)) types, respectively. The normalization coefficient in (3) is found from the orthonormalization condition for the vector potential:

$$\int dV A \cdot A_0 = \frac{2}{l} \delta_{ll}; \quad (5)$$

where the integration goes over the region inside the shell. From this condition, by using the standard integral involving the square of the Bessel function, one finds

$$2 = \frac{q T_{qjm} j(a)}{l a}; \quad (6)$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$T(x) = x^{-1} J_0^2(x) + (1 - x^2) J_1^2(x); \quad (7)$$

The eigenvalues for the quantum number  $l$  are determined by the standard boundary conditions for the electric and magnetic fields on the cylindrical shell, namely  $n \cdot E = 0$  and  $n \cdot B = 0$ ,

with  $n$  being the normal to the boundary. From these boundary conditions we see that these eigenvalues are solutions of the equation

$$J_{qm}^{(j)}(a) = 0; \quad j = 0, 1; \quad (8)$$

where  $a$  is the radius of the cylindrical shell,  $J^{(0)}(x) = J(x)$  and  $J^{(1)}(x) = J^0(x)$ . We will denote the corresponding eigenmodes by  $a = j_{nm}^{(j)}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . As a result the eigenfunctions are specified by the set of quantum numbers  $(k; m; j; n)$ .

## 2.1 Vacuum expectation values of the field square

Substituting the eigenfunctions into the corresponding mode-sum formula, for the VEVs of the squares of the electric and magnetic fields inside the shell, we find

$$\langle 0 | F^2 | 0 \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_m = \frac{2q}{a^3} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dk \sum_{j=0,1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{j_{nm}^{(j)3} T_{qm}^{(j)}(j_{nm}^{(j)})}{j_{nm}^{(j)2} + k^2 a^2} g_{qm}^{(F)}(k; j_{nm}^{(j)}; r=a); \quad (9)$$

where  $F = E; B$  with  $E = \dots$ ,  $B = 1 \dots$ , and the prime in the summation means that the term  $m = 0$  should be halved. In (9), for a given function  $f(x)$ , we have used the notation

$$g^{(j)}(k; f(x)) = \begin{cases} (k^2 r^2 = x^2) f^0(x) + \dots f^2(x) = x^2 + f^2(x); & j = 0 \\ (1 + k^2 r^2 = x^2) f^0(x) + \dots f^2(x) = x^2; & j = 1 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

The expressions (9) corresponding to the electric and magnetic fields are divergent. They may be regularized introducing a cutoff function  $(!)$  with the cutting parameter  $\epsilon$  which makes the divergent expressions finite and satisfies the condition  $(!) \rightarrow 1$  for  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . After the renormalization the cutoff function is removed by taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . An alternative way is to consider the product of the fields at different spacetime points and take the coincidence limit after the subtraction of the corresponding Minkowskian part. Here we will follow the first approach.

In order to evaluate the mode-sum in (9), we apply to the series over  $n$  the generalized Abel-Plana summation formula [31]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{qm}^{(j)}(j_{nm}^{(j)}) f(j_{nm}^{(j)}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx f(x) + \frac{-\text{Res}_{z=0} \text{esf}(z) Y_{qm}^{(j)}(z)}{4 Y_{qm}^{(j)}(z)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{K_{qm}^{(j)}(x)}{I_{qm}^{(j)}(x)} e^{qm \cdot i} f(ix) + e^{qm \cdot i} f(-ix); \quad (11)$$

where  $Y(z)$  is the Neumann function and  $I(z), K(z)$  are the modified Bessel functions. As it can be seen, for points away from the shell the contribution to the VEVs coming from the second integral term on the right-hand side of (11) is finite in the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and, hence, the cutoff function in this term can be safely removed. As a result the VEVs can be written as

$$\langle 0_s | F^2 | 0_s \rangle = \langle 0_s | F^2 | 0_s \rangle + F_b^2; \quad (12)$$

where

$$\langle 0_s | F^2 | 0_s \rangle = \frac{q}{a} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dk \int_0^1 dx \frac{!}{2 + k^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{2} J_{qm}^0(r) + \frac{q^2 m^2}{2 r^2} J_{qm}^2(r) + J_{qm}^2(r) \right]; \quad (13)$$

and

$$hF^2 i_b = \frac{4q}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dk \int_{-1}^1 dx x^3 \frac{K_{qm}^{(1)}(xa) G_{qm}^{(F)} [k; I_{qm}(xr)]}{I_{qm}^{(1)}(xa) \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{1}{k^2}} : \quad (14)$$

Note that in Eq. (14) we used the notations

$$G^{(j)} [k; f(x)] = \begin{cases} (k^2 r^2 = x^2) f^{\mathcal{O}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} f^2(x) = x^2 + f^2(x); & j = 0 \\ (k^2 r^2 = x^2 - 1) f^{\mathcal{O}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} f^2(x) = x^2; & j = 1 \end{cases} : \quad (15)$$

The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) vanishes in the limit  $a \rightarrow 1$ . It is worth calling attention to the fact that this term depends not only on the boundary but also on the presence of the cosmic string. On the other hand the first one does not depend on  $a$ . Thus, we can conclude that the term  $h0_s F^2 j_s i$  corresponds to the part in VEVs when the cylindrical shell is absent with the corresponding vacuum state  $j_s i$ . Note that for the geometry without boundaries one has  $h0_s F^2 j_s i = h0_s j^2 j_s i$ . Hence, the application of the generalized Abel-Plana formula enables us to extract from the VEVs the boundary-free parts and to write the boundary-induced parts in terms of the exponentially convergent integrals.

Now, let us calculate the boundary-free part contribution. To do this, let us firstly consider the case when the parameter  $q$  is an integer. In this case the summation over  $m$  can be done by using the formula [9, 32]

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} J_{qm}^2(y) = \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} J_0(2y \sin(lq)) : \quad (16)$$

Differentiating this relation and using the equation for the Bessel function, it can be seen that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} J_{qm}^{\prime 2}(y) + \frac{q^2 m^2}{y^2} J_{qm}^2(y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2lq)}{2q} J_0(2y \sin(lq)) : \quad (17)$$

Thus, using this result, we find that the corresponding VEV is given by

$$h0_s F^2 j_s i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 dk \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{(!)}{2 + k^2} J_0(2r \sin(lq)) \frac{1}{2 + 2k^2 \cos(2lq) + 1} : \quad (18)$$

The  $l=0$  term in this formula corresponds to the VEV in the Minkowski bulk,  $h0_M F^2 j_M i$ . Therefore, the renormalized value of the VEV is obtained subtracting this term, that is

$$hF^2 i_{s;ren} = \lim_{! \rightarrow 0} h0_s F^2 j_s i - h0_M F^2 j_M i : \quad (19)$$

Introducing polar coordinates  $(!; \varphi)$  in the  $(k; x)$  plane, the  $!$ -integral with the cutoff function  $(!) = e^{-!}$  is evaluated by using the formula [32]

$$\int_0^{\infty} d! !^n e^{-!} J_0(!x) = \left( \frac{1}{!} \right)^n \frac{\varrho^n}{\varrho^n} \frac{1}{2 + x^2} ; \quad (20)$$

which leads to the result

$$hF^2 i_{s;ren} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{Y_l} \lim_{! \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varrho^3}{\varrho^3} dx \varphi \frac{y_1^2 - 1}{2y_1^2 - 4r^2 + 1} \frac{x^2}{x^2} A ; \quad (21)$$

where  $y_1 = 1 = \sin(\theta = q)$ . Thus, evaluating the integral and taking the limit we find

$$hF^2 i_{s,ren} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{r^4}^{X^1} Y_1^4 = \frac{(q^2 - 1)(q^2 + 11)}{180 r^4} : \quad (22)$$

This result is an analytic function of  $q$  and, hence, by analytic continuation it is also valid for non-integer values of  $q$ .

Now, we turn to the investigation of the boundary-induced part given by (14). Changing the integration variable to  $y = \frac{x}{x^2 - k^2}$  and introducing polar coordinates in the  $(k; y)$  plane, after the explicit integration over the angular part, one obtains

$$\int_0^{Z_1} dk k^m \int_k^{Z_1} dx \frac{x f(x)}{x^2 - k^2} = \frac{p - \frac{m+1}{2}}{2 \frac{m}{2} + 1} \int_0^{Z_1} dx x^{m+1} f(x) : \quad (23)$$

By using this formula, the part in the VEV induced by the cylindrical shell can be written in the form

$$hF^2 i_b = \frac{q}{a} \int_{m=0}^{Z_1} dx x^3 \frac{K_{qm}^{(j)}(xa)}{I_{qm}^{(j)}(xa)} G_{qm}^{(j)} [I_{qm}(xr)] ; \quad (24)$$

where we have used the notation

$$G^{(j)} [f(x)] = \begin{cases} f^{(j)}(x) + 2f^2(x) = x^2 + 2f^2(x); & j = 0 \\ f^{(j)}(x) - 2f^2(x) = x^2; & j = 1 \end{cases} : \quad (25)$$

This result tells us that the boundary-induced parts for the electric and magnetic fields are different and, hence, the presence of the shell breaks the electric-magnetic symmetry in the VEVs. Of course, this is a consequence of different boundary conditions for the electric and magnetic fields.

The expression in the right-hand side of (24) is finite for  $0 < r < a$  and diverges on the shell. To find the leading term in the corresponding asymptotic expansion, we note that near the surface the main contribution comes from large values of  $m$ . By using the uniform asymptotic expansions of the modified Bessel functions (see, for instance, [33]) for large values of the order, up to the leading order, we find

$$hE^2 i_b \sim hB^2 i_b \sim \frac{3}{4(a-r)^4} : \quad (26)$$

This leading term does not depend on the angle deficit and has opposite signs for the electric and magnetic fields. In particular, it is cancelled in the evaluation of the vacuum energy density. Surface divergences originate in the unphysical nature of perfect conductor boundary conditions and are well-known in quantum field theory with boundaries. In reality the expectation values will attain a limiting value on the conductor surface, which will depend on the molecular details of the conductor. From the formulae given above it follows that the main contribution to  $hF^2 i_b$  are due to the frequencies  $\omega \sim (a-r)^{-1}$ . Hence, we expect that formula (24) is valid for real conductors up to distances  $r$  for which  $(a-r)^{-1} \gg \omega_0$ , with  $\omega_0$  being the characteristic frequency, such that for  $\omega > \omega_0$  the conditions for perfect conductivity fail.

Near the string,  $r=a-1$ , the asymptotic behavior of the boundary induced part in the VEVs of the field squares depends on the parameter  $q$ . For  $q > 1$ , the dominant contribution comes from the lowest mode  $m=0$  and to the leading order one has

$$hE^2 i_b \sim \frac{q}{a^4} \int_0^{Z_1} dx x^3 \frac{K_0(x)}{I_0(x)} \sim 0.320 \frac{q}{a^4} ; \quad (27)$$

$$hB^2 i_b \sim \frac{q}{a^4} \int_0^{Z_1} dx x^3 \frac{K_1(x)}{I_1(x)} \sim 0.742 \frac{q}{a^4} : \quad (28)$$

For  $q < 1$  the main contribution comes from the mode with  $m = 1$  and the boundary induced parts diverge on the string. The leading terms are given by

$$hE^2_{i_b} = hB^2_{i_b} \frac{(r=a)^{2(q-1)}}{2^{2(q-1)} (q)a^4} \int_0^Z dx x^{2q+1} \frac{K_q(x)}{I_q(x)} \frac{K_q^0(x)}{I_q^0(x)} : \quad (29)$$

As for the points near the shell, here the leading divergence is cancelled in the evaluation of the vacuum energy density. In accordance with (22), near the string the total VEV is dominated by the boundary-free part. Here we have considered the VEV for the field square. The VEVs for the bilinear products of the fields at different spacetime points may be evaluated in a similar way.

Now, we turn to the investigation of the behavior of the boundary-induced VEVs in the asymptotic regions of the parameter  $q$ . For small values of this parameter,  $q \ll 1$ , the main contribution into (24) comes from large values of  $m$ . In this case, we can replace the summation over  $m$  by an integration in accordance with the correspondence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h(qm) \sim \frac{1}{q} \int_0^{\infty} dx h(x) : \quad (30)$$

By making this replacement, we can see from (24) that, in this situation, the boundary induced VEVs tend to a finite value. Note that the same is the case for the boundary-free part (22). In the limit  $q \ll 1$ , the order of the modified Bessel functions is large for  $m \neq 0$ . By using the corresponding asymptotic formulae it can be seen that the contribution of these terms is suppressed by the factor  $\exp[-2qm \ln(a=r)]$ . As a result, the main contribution comes from the lowest mode  $m = 0$  and the boundary induced VEVs behave like  $q$ .

## 2.2 Vacuum expectation value for the energy-momentum tensor

In this subsection we consider the vacuum energy-momentum tensor in the region inside the cylindrical shell. Substituting the eigenfunctions (3) into the corresponding mode-sum formula, we obtain (no summation over  $i$ )

$$\langle 0 | T_i^k | 0 \rangle = \frac{q_i^k}{4^2 a^3} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^X dk \frac{j_{m,n}^{(i)3} T_{qm}^{(i)}(j_{m,n}^{(i)})}{j_{m,n}^{(i)2} + k^2 a^2} f_{qm}^{(i)}(k; j_{m,n}^{(i)}(r=a)) ; \quad (31)$$

where we have introduced the notations

$$f^{(i)}[k; f(x)] = (-1)^i 2k^2 = 2 + 1 f^{(0)}(x) + 2 f^2(x) = y^2 + f^2(x); \quad i = 0; 3; \quad (32)$$

$$f^{(i)}[k; f(x)] = (-1)^i f^{(0)}(x) = 1 + (-1)^i x^2 f^2(x); \quad i = 1; 2; \quad (33)$$

As in the case of the field square, we apply the summation formula (11) to rewrite the sum over  $m$ . This enables us to present the VEV in the form of the boundary-free and boundary-induced parts as follows

$$\langle 0 | T_i^k | 0 \rangle = \langle 0_s | T_i^k | 0_s \rangle + hT_i^k_{i_b} ; \quad (34)$$

By taking into account (23), the part induced by the cylindrical shell may be written in the form (no summation over  $i$ )

$$hT_i^k_{i_b} = \frac{q_i^k}{4^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^X dx x^3 \frac{K_{qm}^{(i)}(xa)}{I_{qm}^{(i)}(xa)} F_{qm}^{(i)}[I_{qm}^{(i)}(xr)]; \quad (35)$$

with the notations

$$F^{(0)}[f(y)] = F^{(3)}[f(y)] = f^2(y); \quad (36)$$

$$F^{(i)}[f(y)] = (-1)^i f^{(2)}(y) - 1 - (-1)^i y^2 f^2(y); \quad i = 1; 2; \quad (37)$$

As it can be easily checked, this tensor is traceless and satisfies the covariant continuity equation  $r_k h\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$ . By using the inequalities  $[I_0(y)K_0(y)]^0 < 0$  and  $I_0^0(y) < \frac{1}{1+y^2} I_0^0(y)$ , and the recurrence relations for the modified Bessel functions, it can be seen that the boundary-induced parts in the vacuum energy density and axial stress are negative, whereas the corresponding radial and azimuthal stresses are positive.

The renormalized VEV of the energy-momentum tensor for the geometry without the cylindrical shell is obtained by using the corresponding formulae for the field square (22). For the corresponding energy density one finds [6, 8]

$$h\Gamma_0^0 i_{s,ren} = \frac{1}{8} hE^2 i_{s,ren} + hB^2 i_{s,ren} = \frac{(q^2 - 1)(q^2 + 11)}{720 r^4}; \quad (38)$$

Other components are found from the tracelessness condition and the continuity equation.

Now, let us discuss the behavior of the boundary-induced part in the VEV of the energy-momentum tensor in the asymptotic region of the parameters. Near the cylindrical shell the main contribution comes from large values of  $m$ . Thus, using the uniform asymptotic expansions for the modified Bessel functions for large values of the order, up to the leading order, we find

$$h\Gamma_0^0 i_b \approx \frac{1}{2} h\Gamma_2^2 i_b \approx \frac{(a-r)^3}{60 r^2 a}; \quad h\Gamma_1^1 i_b \approx \frac{(a-r)^2}{60 r^2 a^2}; \quad (39)$$

These leading terms do not depend on the planar angle deficit in the cosmic string geometry. Near the cosmic string the main contribution comes from the mode  $m = 0$  and we have

$$h\Gamma_0^0 i_b \approx h\Gamma_1^1 i_b \approx h\Gamma_2^2 i_b \approx \frac{q}{8 r^2 a^4} \int_0^1 dx x^3 \frac{K_0(x)}{I_0(x)} \frac{K_1(x)}{I_1(x)} = 0.0168 \frac{q}{a^4}; \quad (40)$$

Therefore, differently from the VEV for the field square, the boundary induced part in the vacuum energy-momentum tensor is finite on the string for all values of  $q$ .

The behavior of the boundary induced part in the VEV of the energy-momentum tensor in the asymptotic regions of the parameter  $q$  is investigated in a way analogous to that for the field square. For  $q \gg 1$ , we replace the summation over  $m$  by the integration in accordance with (30) and the VEV tends to a finite limiting value which does not depend on  $q$ . Note that as the spatial volume element is proportional to  $l=q$ , in this limit the global quantities such as the integrated vacuum energy behave as  $l=q$ . In the limit  $q \ll 1$ , the contribution of the modes with  $m > 1$  is suppressed by the factor  $\exp[-2qm \ln(a=r)]$  and the main contribution comes from the  $m = 0$  mode with the behavior  $\propto 1/q$ . Though in this limit the vacuum densities are large, due to the factor  $l=q$  in the spatial volume, the corresponding global quantities tend to finite value. In figure 1 we have plotted the boundary-induced parts in the VEVs of the energy density (full curves),  $h\Gamma_0^0 i_b$ ; and radial stress (dashed curves),  $h\Gamma_1^1 i_b$ , as functions of the scaled radial coordinate,  $r=a$ , for the geometry of the cosmic string with  $q = 2$ . In figure 2 we present the same quantities evaluated at  $r=a = 0.5$  as functions of the parameter  $q$ .

### 3 Vacuum densities in the exterior region

In this section we consider the VEVs for the field square and the energy-momentum tensor in the region outside the cylindrical boundary. The corresponding eigenfunctions for the vector






Figure 1: Boundary-induced parts in the VEVs of the energy density (full curves),  $a^4 h \Gamma_0^0 i_b$ , and the radial stress (dashed curves),  $a^4 h \Gamma_1^1 i_b$ , as functions of  $r=a$  for the cosmic string with  $q = 2$ .




Figure 2: Boundary-induced parts in the VEVs of the energy density (full curve),  $a^4 h \Gamma_0^0 i_b$ , and the radial stress (dashed curve),  $a^4 h \Gamma_1^1 i_b$ , evaluated at  $r=a = 0.5$  as functions of the parameter  $q$ .

potential are given by formulae (3) with the replacement

$$J_{qjn_j}(r) \rightarrow g_{qjn_j}^{(\cdot)}(a; r) = J_{qjn_j}(r)Y_{qjn_j}^{(\cdot)}(a) - Y_{qjn_j}(r)J_{qjn_j}^{(\cdot)}(a); \quad (41)$$

where, as before,  $\cdot = 0; 1$  correspond to the waves of the electric and magnetic types, respectively. Now, the eigenvalues for  $\cdot$  are continuous and in the normalization condition the corresponding part on the right is presented by the delta function. As the normalization integral diverges for  $\cdot = 0$ , the main contribution into the integral comes from large values of  $r$  and we can replace the cylindrical functions with the argument  $\cdot r$  by their asymptotic expressions for large values of the argument. By this way it can be seen that the normalization coefficient in the exterior region is determined by the relation

$$2 = \frac{2}{q} \int_0^{\infty} dk \left[ J_{qjn_j}^{(\cdot)2}(a) + Y_{qjn_j}^{(\cdot)2}(a) \right]; \quad (42)$$

Substituting the eigenfunctions into the corresponding mode-sum formula, for the VEV of the field square one finds

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^2 \rangle_i = \frac{q}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dk \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{x^3 g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(k; g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(a; r))}{k^2 + \frac{1}{2} [J_{qm}^{(\cdot)2}(a) + Y_{qm}^{(\cdot)2}(a)]}; \quad (43)$$

where the functions  $g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(k; g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(a; r))$  are defined by relations (10) with  $f(x) = g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(a; x)$ . To extract from this VEV the boundary-induced part, we subtract from the right-hand side the corresponding expression for the bulk without boundaries, given by formula (13). The difference can be further evaluated by using the identity

$$\frac{g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(k; g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(a; r))}{J_{qm}^{(\cdot)2}(a) + Y_{qm}^{(\cdot)2}(a)} - g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}[J_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(r)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_{qm}^{(s)}(a)}{H_{qm}^{(s)}(a)} g_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(k; H_{qm}^{(s)}(r)); \quad (44)$$

where  $H_{qm}^{(1;2)}(z)$  are the Hankel functions. In order to do the integral over  $\cdot$  with the term on the right of (44) we rotate the integration contour by the angle  $\cdot = 2$  for the term with  $s = 1$  and by the angle  $\cdot = 2$  for the term with  $s = 2$ . After introducing the modified Bessel functions and integrating over  $k$  with the help of formula (23), we can write the VEVs of the field square in the form (12), with the boundary induced part given by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^2 \rangle_{ib} = \frac{q}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dx x^3 \frac{I_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(xa)}{K_{qm}^{(\cdot)}(xa)} G_{qm}^{(\cdot)}[K_{qm}(xr)]; \quad (45)$$

where the functions  $G_{qm}^{(\cdot)}[f(x)]$  are defined by formulae (25). Comparing this result with (24), we see that the formulae for the interior and exterior regions are related by the interchange  $I_{qm} \leftrightarrow K_{qm}$ . The boundary-induced part diverges on the cylindrical shell with the leading term being the same as that for the interior region. At large distances from the cylindrical shell we introduce a new integration variable  $y = xr$  and expand the integrand over  $a=r$ . The main contribution comes from the lowest mode  $m = 0$  and up to the leading order we have

$$\langle \mathcal{E}^2 \rangle_{ib} = \frac{q}{2 r^4 \ln(r=a)} \int_0^{\infty} dx x^3 [2K_0^2(x) + K_1^2(x)] = \frac{2q}{3 r^4 \ln(r=a)}; \quad (46)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^2 \rangle_{ib} = \frac{q}{2 r^4 \ln(r=a)} \int_0^{\infty} dx x^3 K_1^2(x) = \frac{q}{3 r^4 \ln(r=a)}; \quad (47)$$

As we see, at large distances the ratio of the boundary-induced and purely topological VEVs behaves as  $1 = \ln(r=a)$ .

Now we turn to the VEVs of the energy-momentum tensor in the exterior region. Substituting the eigenfunctions into the corresponding mode-sum formula, one finds (no summation over  $i$ )

$$h\Gamma_i^k j_i = \frac{q_i^k X_0^{Z+1}}{8^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} X_0^{Z+1}} \int_0^{X_0} dk \int_0^{X_0} dX \frac{f_{qm}^{(i)}(k; g_{qm}^{(i)}(a; r))}{k^2 + \frac{1}{2} J_{qm}^{(i)2}(a) + Y_{qm}^{(i)2}(a)} : \quad (48)$$

Subtracting from this VEV the corresponding expression for the bulk without boundaries, analogously to the case of the field square, it can be seen that the VEV is presented in the form (34), with the boundary-induced part given by

$$h\Gamma_i^k j_b = \frac{q_i^k X_0^Z}{4^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} X_0^Z} \int_0^{X_0} dx x^3 \frac{I_{qm}^{(i)}(xa)}{K_{qm}^{(i)}(xa)} F_{qm}^{(i)}[K_{qm}(xr)]; \quad (49)$$

where the functions  $F_{qm}^{(i)}[f(y)]$  are defined by formulae (36), (37). By taking into account the relations for the modified Bessel functions already used in the previous section and the inequality  $K^0(y) > \frac{1}{1+y^2} K(y)$ , we can see that in the exterior region the boundary-induced vacuum energy density, axial and radial stresses are positive and the corresponding azimuthal stress is negative. Note that, in general, the values of the angle deficit in the exterior and interior regions might be different. In particular, we can consider a model with zero angle deficit in the interior region, for which the metric is regular everywhere.

The leading divergence in the boundary induced part (49) on the cylindrical surface is given by the same formulae as for the interior region. For large distances from the shell one has

$$h\Gamma_0^0 j_b \sim h\Gamma_1^1 j_b \sim \frac{1}{3} h\Gamma_2^2 j_b \sim \frac{q}{24^{\frac{1}{2}} r^4 \ln(r=a)} : \quad (50)$$

For small values of the parameter  $q$ , the main contribution to the boundary-induced VEVs in the exterior region comes from large values of  $m$  and the leading terms in the corresponding asymptotic expansion are obtained from (45) and (49) by making use of the replacement (30). These terms do not depend on the parameter  $q$ . For large values of  $q$ ,  $q \gg 1$ , the contribution of the terms with  $m > 0$  is suppressed by the factor  $\exp[-2qm \ln(r=a)]$  and the main contribution comes from the  $m = 0$  term with the behavior  $h\Gamma_2^2 j_b / q$  and  $h\Gamma_1^1 j_b / q$ . In figure 1 we have presented the boundary-induced parts in the vacuum energy density (full curves) and radial stress (dashed curves) in the exterior region as functions of  $r=a$  for the cosmic string with  $q = 2$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper we have investigated the polarization of the electromagnetic vacuum by a conducting cylindrical shell coaxial with the cosmic string. In section 2 we have considered the interior region. The corresponding mode-sums for both field square and the energy-momentum tensor contain series over the zeros of the Bessel function and its derivative. For the summation of these series we used a variant of the generalized Abel-Plana formula. The latter allows us to extract from the VEVs the parts corresponding to the cosmic string geometry without a cylindrical shell and to present the part induced by the shell in terms of exponentially convergent integrals for points away from the boundary. In particular, we have shown that the

boundary-free parts of the squares for the electric and magnetic fields are the same. The corresponding energy-momentum tensor is obtained from these quantities and coincides with the result previously obtained in [6, 8]. In the interior region the shell-induced parts, which in fact also codify the presence of the cosmic string, are given by formulae (14) for the field squares and by (35) for the energy-momentum tensor. The corresponding energy density and the axial stress are negative for all values of the angle deficit, whereas the radial and azimuthal stresses are positive. The expressions for the boundary-induced parts in the VEVs of the field square and the energy-momentum tensor outside the shell are given by formulae (45), (49). In this region the vacuum energy density, axial and radial stresses are positive and the azimuthal stress is negative. At large distances from the shell, the ratio of the boundary-induced and purely topological densities decays logarithmically. The dependence of the vacuum densities in various limiting regions for the parameters, tells us that for small values of the parameter  $q$  the boundary induced VEVs tend to a finite limiting value. On the other hand, for large values of this parameter, the contribution into the boundary induced VEVs coming from the modes with  $m \neq 0$  is exponentially suppressed, whereas the contribution of the lowest mode  $m = 0$  is proportional to  $q$ . Though in this limit the vacuum densities are large, due to the factor  $l=q$  in the spatial volume element, the corresponding global quantities tend to finite limiting values.

We have considered the idealized geometry of a cosmic string with zero thickness. A realistic cosmic string has a structure on a length scale defined by the phase transition at which it is formed. As it has been shown in Refs. [12, 13, 21], the internal structure of the string may have non-negligible effects even at large distances. Here we note that when the cylindrical boundary is present, the VEVs of the physical quantities in the exterior region are uniquely defined by the boundary conditions and the bulk geometry. This means that if we consider a non-trivial core model with finite thickness  $b < a$  and with the line element (1) in the region  $r > b$ , the results in the region outside the cylindrical shell will not be changed. As regards to the interior region, the formulae given above are the first stage of the evaluation of the VEVs and other effects could be present in a realistic cosmic string. Note that from the point of view of the physics in the exterior region the conducting cylindrical surface can be considered as a simple model of superconducting string core. Superconducting strings are predicted in a wide class of field theories and they are sources of a number of interesting astrophysical effects such as generation of synchrotron radiation, cosmic rays, and relativistic jets.

## Acknowledgments

AAS was supported by PVE/CAPES Program and in part by the Armenian Ministry of Education and Science Grant No. 0124. ERBM and VBB thank Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and FAPESP-PB/CNPq (PRONEX) for partial financial support.

## References

- [1] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rep.* 121, 263 (1985); A. Vilenkin and E.P.S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994).
- [2] S. Sarangi and S.H.H. Tye, *Phys. Lett. B* 536, 185 (2002); E.J. Copeland, R.C. Myers, and J. Polchinski, *JHEP* 06, 013 (2004); G.Dvali and A. Vilenkin, *JCAP* 0403, 010 (2004).
- [3] T.M. Hellwell and D.A. Konkowski, *Phys. Rev. D* 34, 1918 (1986).
- [4] W.A. Hiscock, *Phys. Lett. B* 188, 317 (1987).

- [5] B. Linet, *Phys. Rev. D* 35, 536 (1987).
- [6] V. P. Frolov and E. M. Serebriany, *Phys. Rev. D* 35, 3779 (1987).
- [7] J. S. Dowker, *Phys. Rev. D* 36, 3095 (1987).
- [8] J. S. Dowker, *Phys. Rev. D* 36, 3742 (1987).
- [9] P. C. W. Davies and V. Sahni, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 5, 1 (1988).
- [10] A. G. Smith, in *The Formation and Evolution of Cosmic Strings*, Proceedings of the Cambridge Workshop, Cambridge, England, 1989, edited by G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, and T. Vachaspati (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990).
- [11] G. E. A. Matsas, *Phys. Rev. D* 41, 3846 (1990).
- [12] B. Allen and A. C. Ottewill, *Phys. Rev. D* 42, 2669 (1990).
- [13] B. Allen, J. G. M. C. Laughlin, and A. C. Ottewill, *Phys. Rev. D* 45, 4486 (1992).
- [14] T. Souradeep and V. Sahni, *Phys. Rev. D* 46, 1616 (1992).
- [15] K. Shiraishi and S. Hirenzaki, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 9, 2277 (1992).
- [16] V. B. Bezerra and E. R. Bezerra de Mello, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 11, 457 (1994); E. R. Bezerra de Mello, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 11, 1415 (1994).
- [17] D. Fursaev, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 11, 1431 (1994).
- [18] G. Cognola, K. Kirsten, and L. Vanzo, *Phys. Rev. D* 49, 1029 (1994).
- [19] M. E. X. Guimaraes and B. Linet, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 165, 297 (1994).
- [20] E. S. Moreira Jnr, *Nucl. Phys. B* 451, 365 (1995).
- [21] B. Allen, B. S. Kay, and A. C. Ottewill, *Phys. Rev. D* 53, 6829 (1996).
- [22] M. Bordag, K. Kirsten, and S. Dowker, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 182, 371 (1996).
- [23] D. Jellici, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 14, 3287 (1997).
- [24] N. R. Khusnutdinov and M. Bordag, *Phys. Rev. D* 59, 064017 (1999).
- [25] J. Spinelly and E. R. Bezerra de Mello, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 20, 873 (2003).
- [26] V. B. Bezerra and N. R. Khusnutdinov, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 23, 3449 (2006).
- [27] V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov, *The Casimir Effect and Its Applications* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1997); M. Bordag, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Phys. Rep.* 353, 1 (2001); K. A. Milton *The Casimir Effect: Physical Manifestation of Zero-Point Energy* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002).
- [28] E. R. Bezerra de Mello, V. B. Bezerra, A. A. Saharian, and A. S. Tarloyan, *Phys. Rev. D* 74, 025017 (2006).
- [29] A. A. Saharian and M. R. Setare, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 20, 3765 (2003); A. A. Saharian and M. R. Setare, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 19, 4301 (2004); A. A. Saharian and E. R. Bezerra de Mello, *J. Phys. A* 37, 3543 (2004); E. R. Bezerra de Mello and A. A. Saharian, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 23, 4673 (2006).

- [30] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 249, 557 (1985).
- [31] A. A. Saharian, Izv. AN Arm. SSR, Matematika 22, 166 (1987) [Sov. J. Contemp. Math. Anal. 22, 70 (1987)]; A. A. Saharian, "The generalized Abel-Plana formula. Applications to Bessel functions and Casimir effect," Report No. IC/2000/14 (hep-th/0002239).
- [32] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986), Vol. 2.
- [33] Handbook of Mathematical Functions, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, (Dover, New York, 1972).