## R egularization of ferm ion self-energy and electrom agnetic vertex in Yukawa m odel w ithin light-front dynam ics

VA.Kamanov, J.F.Mathiot, and A.V.Smimov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky Prospekt 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Universite Blaise-Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, 24 avenue des Landais, F-63177 Aubiere Cedex, France

In light-front dynam ics, the regularization of amplitudes by traditional cuto s imposed on the transverse and longitudinal components of particle m om enta corresponds to restricting the integration volume by a non-rotationally invariant domain. The result depends not only on the size of this dom ain (i.e., on the cuto values), but also on its orientation determ ined by the position of the light-front plane. Explicitly covariant form ulation of light front dynam ics allows us to param eterize the latter dependence in a very transparent form. If we decompose the regularized amplitude in term s of independent invariant amplitudes, extra (non-physical) term s should appear, with spin structures which explicitly depend on the orientation of the light front plane. The num ber of form factors, i.e., the coe cients of this decomposition, therefore also increases. The spin-1/2 ferm ion self-energy is determ ined by three scalar functions, instead of the two standard ones, while for the elastic electrom agnetic vertex the number of form factors increases from two to ve. In the present paper we calculate perturbatively all these form factors in the Yukawam odel. Then we compare the results obtained in the two following ways: (i) by using the light front dynam ics graph technique rules directly; (ii) by integrating the corresponding Feynm an amplitudes in term s of the light front variables. For each of these m ethods, we use two types of regularization: the transverse and longitudinal cuto s, and the Pauli-V illars regularization. In the latter case, the dependence of am plitudes on the light front plane orientation vanishes com pletely provided enough Pauli-V illars subtractions are m ade.

PACS num bers: 11.10.-z, 11.25 D b, 13.40 G p

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

Light-Front D ynam ics (LFD) is extensively and successfully applied to hadron phenom enology, relativistic few-body systems, and eld theory. For reviews of theoretical developm ents and applications see, e.g., Refs. [1, 2]. In the LFD fram ework, non-perturbative approaches to eld theory were developed in Refs. [3, 4, 5] and in Refs. [6, 7, 8]. In spite of som e essential di erences, these approaches proceed from the same starting point, namely, they approximate the state vector of the system by a truncated one. The problem is then solved without any decomposition in powers of the coupling constant. Doing that, one should carry out the renorm alization procedure non-perturbatively. This is a nontrivial problem which is at the heart of ongoing intense research. However, before renorm alization, one should regularize the amplitudes, both in the perturbative and non-perturbative fram eworks. The explicit dependence of these amplitudes on the cuto s is not unique. It is determ ined by the method of regularization.

It is ofutm ost im portance to understand the origin and the in plications of this dependence if one wants to address the question of non-perturbative renormalization. A swe shall show in the present article, this is the only way to identify the structure of the counterterm s needed to recover full rotationally invariant renormalized am plitudes in LFD. The question of the non-perturbative determ ination of the counterterm s in truncated Fock space is discussed in Ref. [8].

The rules for calculating am plitudes can be derived directly, either by transforming the standard T-ordering of the S-m atrix into the ordering along the Light Front (LF) time (the LFD graph technique rules [1]), or from quantized eld theory on the LF plane [2]. An alternative m ethod consists in expressing well-de ned Feynm an am plitudes through the LF variables and integrating over the m inus-com ponents of particle m om enta [9, 10]. Such an approach was applied to the derivation and study of the LF electrom agnetic am plitudes [11, 12] and to the analysis of di erent contributions to the electrom agnetic current, resulting from the LF reduction of the Bethe-Salpeter form alism [13]. A study of electroweak transitions of the spin-1 mesons, based on using the LF plane of general orientation [1], was carried out in Refs. [14, 15]. The comparison of perturbative amplitudes obtained from the LFD graph technique rules with those derived from the Feynm an approach is, in general, not trivial, as shown in Ref. [16].

C oncerning the regularization of am plitudes in LFD, at least two important features should be m entioned. First, if a given physical process is described by a set of LF diagram s, each partial LF am plitude usually diverges m ore strongly than the Feynm an am plitude of the same process. The statem ent holds true regardless of the origin of the LF contributions: either from the rules of LFD, or from the Feynm an am plitude. This increases the sensitivity of the result to the choice of the regularization procedure and m ay be a source of the so-called treacherouspoints [17]. Second, the LF variables (and, hence, the integration dom ain with the cuto sim posed on it) explicitly depend on the LF plane orientation, which means the loss of rotational invariance. Because of this extra dependence, standard decompositions of such regularized LF am plitudes into invariant am plitudes are not valid. The total num ber of invariant am plitudes (and the num ber of form factors which are the coe cients in this decom position) increases, as compared to the case when the rotational invariance is preserved. For example, the LF electrom agnetic vertex (EMV) of a spin-1/2 particle is determined by ve form factors rather than by two. In order to cancel the extra contributions (which depend on the LF plane orientation) one needs to introduce in the interaction H am iltonian new speci c counterterm s.

This complication is especially dramatic in nonperturbative approaches, where the Fock space truncation is another source of the rotational symmetry violation. A given Feynman diagram may generate a few time-ordered ones with intermediate states containing di erent num ber of particles. W hen they are truncated, the rotational invariance is lost even for invariant cutos. The interlacing of the two sources of the violation of rotational sym m etry m akes non-perturbative analysis of the counterterm structure extremely involved. One should therefore separate to a maxim alextent the problem s coming from the regularization procedure and from the Fock space truncation. That can be e ectively done in the explicitly covariant form ulation of LFD within the perturbative fram ework in a given order in the coupling constant.

In the present paper we study in detail this problem for the spin-1/2 ferm ion perturbative self-energy and the elastic EMV in the Yukawa model within the fram ework of explicitly covariant LFD [1]. The latter deals with the LF plane of general orientation  $! x = _0!t$ ! x = 0,where ! is a four-vector with  $!^2 = 0$ . In the particular case ! = (1;0;0; 1) we recover the standard approach on the plane t + z = 0. Due to !, which is transform ed as a four-vector under rotations and Lorentz boosts, we can keep m anifest rotational invariance throughout the calculations. Dependence of am plitudes on the LF plane orientation turns now in their dependence on the four-vector !. The latter participates in the construction of the spin structures in which the regularized initial LF amplitude can be decomposed, on equal footing with the particle four-momenta. This generates extra (!-dependent) spin structures with corresponding scalar coe cients (e.g., electrom agnetic form factors). The number of the extra spin structures and their explicit form s are determ ined by general physical principles (m ore precisely, by the particle spins and the sym m etries of the interaction), i.e., they are universal for any model and do not depend on particular features of dynam ics. W hereas, the dependence of the extra coe cients on particle four-m om enta is determ ined by the model. This allows to separate general properties, related to LFD itself, from model-dependent

e ects.

We shall proceed in the following two ways, both for the self-energy and the EMV. In the rst way, we calculate these quantities by the LFD graph technique rules, taking into account all necessary diagram s. In the second way, we start from the standard Feynm an am plitudes and integrate them in term softhe LF variables. For both ways, we have to introduce cuto s on the LF variables, and this fact already in plies the contribution of extra (!-dependent) structures and their corresponding form factors. The regularized self-energy and the EMV calculated by means of the LFD graph technique rules do not coincide in general with their counterparts obtained from the Feynman amplitudes. For the EMV case, the vertex found from the Feynm an am plitude with the cuto simposed on the LF variables, also diers from that calculated in a standard way, by the W ick rotation with a spherically symmetric cuto or by the Pauli-Villars (PV) regularization. All these di erences disappear when we deal with integrals which are nite from the very beginning (e.g., due to the PV regularization). They disappear also in the renorm alized amplitudes, though renorm alization procedures (counterterm s, etc.) are drastically di erent for di erent regularization schemes.

W ithin covariant LFD, the perturbative QED selfenergy and the EMV in the channel of the ferm ionantiferm ion pair creation have been studied earlier [18]. The main subject was to extract the physical (! independent) contributions from the corresponding am plitudes and to renorm alize this physical part only. The present analysis is devoted to a more detailed treatm ent of the self-energy and the EMV.We calculate both physical and non-physical contributions in the two waysm entioned above and investigate the in uence of the regularization procedure on the whole am plitudes and on their subsequent renorm alization. The Yukawa model which we use re ects some features of QED but it is simpler from the technical point of view.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we brie y describe the LFD graph technique rules and apply them to calculate the ferm ion self-energy. We use two di erent regularization procedures, the transverse and longitudinalLF cuto sorPV subtractions, either for the bosonic, or simultaneously for both bosonic and ferm ionic propagators. Then we calculate the ferm ion self-energy, starting from the manifestly invariant Feynman amplitude expressed through the LF variables and regularized in the same way as the LFD one. We compare the results obtained in both approaches and analyze how they are a ected by the choice of regularization. In Sec. III we repeat analogous steps for the ferm ion EMV. For this purpose, we derive the LF interaction H am iltonian which includes ferm ion-boson and ferm ion-photon interactions. W e then construct the complete set of the LF diagram s which contribute to the EMV. We use again the noninvariant LF cuto s and the invariant PV regularization. The LFD form factors are compared to those obtained in term s of the Feynm an am plitude with the same type of regularization. General discussion of our results is presented in Sec. IV. Sec. V contains concluding remarks. The technical details of som e derivations are given in the Appendices.

#### II. THE FERM ION SELF-ENERGY

The ferm ion self-energy is the sim plest example of how an extra spin structure is generated by rotationally noninvariant cuto s in LFD. To make the situation more transparent, we will calculate the self-energy independently in the two following ways: (1) by applying the covariant LFD graph technique nules; (2) by using the four-dimensional Feynm an approach. In each case we consider two di erent types of regularization of divergent integrals: either the traditional rotationally noninvariant cuto s or the invariant PV regularization. W e then renorm alize the am plitudes and com pare the results obtained within these two m ethods.

#### A. Calculation in light-front dynam ics

1. Light-front diagram s and their am plitudes

We calculate in this section the ferm ion self-energy in the second order of perturbation theory, using the graph technique rules of explicitly covariant LFD [1, 7, 19]. We do it in details in order to explain the rules on a concrete example.



FIG. 1: Two contributions to the LFD ferm ion self-energy (p): the two-body interm ediate state (a) and the contact term (b). The solid, dashed, and dotted lines represent, respectively, the ferm ion, the boson, and the spurion. Here p = p<sub>1</sub> ! 1, where ! 1 is the four-momentum attached to the initial (or nal) spurion line. See text for the explanation.

The self-energy (p) is determined by the sum of the two diagrams shown in Fig. 1,

$$(p) = _{2b}(p) + _{fc}(p)$$
: (1)

They correspond to the two-body contribution and the ferm ion contact term, respectively. Analytical expres-

sions for the corresponding am plitudes read

$${}_{2b}(p) = \frac{g^2}{(2)^3} \sum_{(1, k)=2}^{(2, k)} (1 + k)^2 (k - 2) d^4 k$$

$$(6q + m) (1 + q)^2 (q - m^2) d^4 q$$

$${}_{(4)}(p + 1 + k - q) \frac{d}{10}; (2a)$$

$${}_{fc}(p) = \frac{g^2}{(2)^3} \sum_{(2, k)=2}^{(2, k)} \frac{d}{21 + (p - k)} (1 - k)^2 (k - 2) d^4 k;$$

$$(2b)$$

where g is the coupling constant of the ferm ion-boson interaction, m and are the ferm ion and boson m asses, respectively. In the covariant LFD graph technique, all the four-m om enta are on the corresponding m ass shells. This is due to the fact that the propagators are proportional to delta-functions:  $(! k)^{2}(k^{2})$  is the boson propagator, (q + m) (! q)  $^{2}(q m^{2})$  is the ferm ion one. Each thetafunction (! 1) selects only one value of F  $l^2 + m_{1}^2$ of the two possible ones allowed by the corresponding  $m_1^2$ ). There is no any conservation delta-function (Î law for the components of particle four-momenta in the direction of ! (or for the m inus-com ponents, in the standard version of LFD). The conservation is restored by the spurion four-momentum ! which enters the deltafunction  $^{(4)}(p+!)$ k q). The factor 1=(i0) is the spurion propagator and the -integration is perform ed in in nite limits. To avoid misunderstanding, we emphasize that spurions are not true particles and do not a ect particle counting. They serve as a convenient way to describe the departure of interm ediate particles o the energy shell. The term "spurion" itself is used for shorter wording only. For this reason, the interm ediate state in the self-energy (2a) contains one ferm ion and one scalar boson only. The self-energy is supposed to be o -energyshell, i.e.,  $1 \neq 0$ .

Integrating by m eans of the delta-functions over  $d^4 q$  , d , and  $dk_0$  , we get

$$_{2b}(p) = \frac{g^2}{(2)^3} \frac{Z}{(p-k)} \frac{(p-k)^3}{2!} \frac{(p-k)^3}{2!} \frac{k^3}{2!} \frac{k}{p-k};$$
(3a)

$$f_{c}(p) = \frac{g^{2} \delta}{(2)^{3}}^{Z} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{3}k}{(p-k)''_{k}};$$
 (3b)

where  $\mathbf{w}_k = \frac{p}{k^2 + 2}$  and

$$= \frac{m^2}{2!} \frac{(p + k^2)}{(p + k)}:$$
 (4)

Let us go over to the LF variables. First, we denote  $x = (! \ k)=(! \ p)$  (equivalent  $t\bar{\sigma} \Rightarrow p^+$  in standard noncovariant LFD on the surface t + z = 0). We then split the three-vector k into two parts:  $k = k_2 + k_k$ , which are, respectively, perpendicular and parallel to the threevector !. Since (p) is an analytic function of  $p^2$ , we m ay calculate it for  $p^2 > 0$ , while its values for  $p^2$ 0 are obtained by the analytical continuation. If  $p^2 > 0$ , we m ay perform our calculation in the reference fram e where

p = 0.U sing the kinem atical relations from Appendix A, one can rewrite Eqs. (3) as

$${}_{2b}(p) = \frac{g^2}{16^3} \int_{0}^{Z} d^2k_2 \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{k_2^2 + m^2 x}{k_2^2 + m^2 x} \frac{g^2 x (1 - x) + 2}{p^2 x (1 - x) + 2} \frac{g^2 x}{32^3 (1 - p)} \int_{0}^{Z} d^2k_2 \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{dx}{x (1 - x)};$$
 (5a)  
$${}_{fc}(p) = \frac{g^2 x}{32^3 (1 - p)} \int_{0}^{Z} d^2k_2 \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{dx}{x (1 - x)};$$
 (5b)

Both  $_{2b}$  (p) and  $_{fc}$  (p) are expressed through integrals which diverge logarithm ically in x and quadratically in jk<sub>?</sub> j. Possible regularization procedures are discussed below.

Following Ref. [7], we will use the matrix representation

$$_{2b}(p) = g^2 A (p^2) + B (p^2) \frac{f}{m} + C (p^2) \frac{m d}{! p};$$
 (6a)  
 $_{fc}(p) = g^2 C_{fc} \frac{m d}{! p};$  (6b)

where the coe cients A, B, and C are scalar functions which depend on  $p^2$  only. They are independent of !. The coe cient C  $_{\rm fc}$  is a constant. The self-energy is thus obtained by sum m ing up Eqs. (6):

$$(p) = g^{2} \quad A (p^{2}) + B (p^{2}) \frac{p}{m} + [C (p^{2}) + C_{fc}] \frac{m \delta}{!} p ;$$
(7)

Α

Note that in the expression (7) an additional spin structure proportional to & appears, as com pared to the standard four-dim ensional Feynm an approach.

#### 2. Regularization with rotationally non-invariant cuto s

In order to regularize the integrals over  $d^2k_2$ , we introduce a cuto  $_{?}$  , so that  $k_{?}^2 < _{?}^2$  . Since som e integrals overdx diverge logarithm ically at x = 0 and/or x = 1, we also introduce (where it is needed) an in nitesim al positive cuto , assuming that x m ay belong to the intervals < x < 1 and 1 + < x < +1. The corresponding analytical expressions for the functions A  $(p^2)$ , B  $(p^2)$ ,  $C(p^2)$ , and  $C_{fc}$  were found in Ref [7]:

$$(p^{2}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} dk_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{1}{k_{2}^{2} + m^{2}x - p^{2}x(1 - x) + 2(1 - x)};$$
(8a)

$$B(p^{2}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{2} dk_{2}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{k_{2}^{2} + m^{2}x} \frac{1}{p^{2}x(1-x) + 2(1-x)};$$
(8b)

$$C(p^{2}) = \frac{1}{32 \ ^{2}m} \ _{0}^{2} dk_{2}^{2} dx \frac{k_{2}^{2} + m^{2} p'(1 x)}{(1 x)k_{2}^{2} + m^{2}x p^{2}x(1 x) + 2(1 x)]};$$
(8c)

$$C_{fc} = \frac{1}{32 \ ^2m} \ _0 \ \ \frac{1}{x(1 \ x)} + \frac{1}{1+} \ \frac{1}{x(1 \ x)}$$
 (8a)

We imply in the following that  $\frac{2}{2}$  $m axf p^2 jm^2; ^2g$ 1. The dependence of physical results on the and cuto siselim inated by taking the limits  $_{?}$ ! 1, ! 0. Retaining in Eqs. (8) all terms which do not vanish in these lim its, we get

$$A(p^{2}) = \frac{m}{8^{2}} \log \frac{2}{m} + \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{\frac{0}{7}}^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \log \frac{m^{2}x \quad p^{2}x(1 \quad x) + \frac{2}{1}(1 \quad x)}{m^{2}}; \qquad (9a)$$

$$B(p^{2}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx (1 - x) \log \frac{m^{2}x \quad p^{2}x(1 - x) + \frac{2}{(1 - x)}}{m^{2}}; \quad (9b)$$

$$C(p^2) = \frac{\frac{2}{2}}{32 \ \frac{2}{m}} \log \frac{1}{m} - \frac{m^2}{16 \ \frac{2}{m}} \log \frac{2}{m} - \frac{1}{32 \ \frac{2}{m}} m^2 - 2 \ 2^2 \log \frac{m}{m}$$
; (9c)

$$C_{fc} = \frac{\frac{2}{2}}{32 \, {}^2m} \log \frac{1}{2}$$
 (9d)

We have not integrated over dx in Eqs. (9a) and (9b) because the results of the integrations are rather long. It is interesting to note that  $C(p^2)$  does not depend on  $p^2$ . D ue to this, we will denote in the following  $C(p^2)$   $C = const and, for shortness, <math>C = C + C_{fc}$ .

Each of the two quantities, C and  $C_{fc}$  diverges like  $\frac{2}{2} \log (1 = )$ . The strongest divergencies cancel in the sum  $C = C + C_{fc}$ , but the latter di ers from zero and, moreover, has no nite limit when  $\frac{1}{2}$ ! 1:

$$C = \frac{m^2}{16^2 m} \log \frac{?}{m} \frac{1}{32^2 m} m^2 = 2^2 \log \frac{m}{m} ;$$
(10)

Since the two diagrams shown in Fig. 1 exhaust the full set of the second-order diagram swhich contribute to the ferm ion self-energy, we might expect the disappearance of every ! -dependent contribution from the amplitude. As far as this does not take place, the only source of the !-dependence is the use of the rotationally non-invariant cuto s for the LF variables  $k_2^2$  and x. Indeed, if we write these variables in the explicitly covariant form

$$k_{2}^{2} = 2 \frac{(! \ k)(k \ p)}{! \ p} p^{2} \frac{! \ k^{2}}{! \ p} p^{2}; \quad x = \frac{! \ k}{! \ p};$$

it becomes evident that both of them depend on !. Introducing the cuto s  $\frac{2}{2}$  and , we restrict an !- dependent integration domain, which inevitably brings !-dependence into the regularized quantities. We will demonstrate this feature in more detail in Sec. IV by using a very simple and transparent example. Note that without adding new, !-dependent, counterterms in the interaction H am iltonian, this dependence is not killed by the standard renorm alization. The renorm alization recipe m ust be therefore m odi ed [7].

#### 3. Invariant Pauli-V illars regularization

As we learned above, the source of the appearance of the extra (!-dependent) term in the regularized ferm ion self-energy is the !-dependence of the integration domain. A standard way free from this demerit is the use of the PV regularization, since in that case the cuto s have no more relation to !. In the language of LFD, the PV regularization consists in changing the propagators as

$$(! k)^{2}(k^{2})! (! k)[^{2}(k^{2}) (k^{2})^{2}]$$

for scalar bosons, and

$$(\mathbf{6}_1 + \mathbf{m})$$
 (! q) <sup>2</sup>(q m<sup>2</sup>) !  
(! q)( $\mathbf{6}_1 + \mathbf{m}$ ) (q<sup>2</sup> m<sup>2</sup>) ( $\mathbf{6}_1 + \mathbf{m}_1$ ) (q<sup>2</sup> m<sup>2</sup><sub>1</sub>)

for ferm ions. This procedure is equivalent to introducing additional particles (one PV ferm ion with the mass  $m_1$  and one PV boson with the mass  $m_1$ ), whose wave functions have negative norm s. If needed, more subtractions can be done till all integrals become convergent. A fler the calculation of the integrals and the renorm alization, the lim its  $m_1 ! 1$  and  $m_1 ! 1$  should be taken. In the case of the ferm ion self-energy, we may regularize either the boson propagator only, or the ferm ion one, or both simultaneously. Hereafter we will supply PV-regularized quantities with the superscript "PV; b" (when only the bosonic propagator is modi ed) or "PV; b + f" (when both bosonic and ferm ionic propagators are modi ed).

Let us now calculate the PV-regularized coe cients A  $(p^2)$ , B  $(p^2)$ , and C. We can start from the expressions (9), in spite of their dependence on the "old" cuto s  $_2$  and . Indeed, the integrals in Eqs. 5) become regular, provided enough PV subtractions have been m ade. If so, they have de nite limits at  $_2$ ! 1 and ! 0.

The integrals for A  $(p^2)$  and B  $(p^2)$  become convergent after the regularization by a PV boson only:

6

$$A^{PV;b}(p^{2}) = A(p^{2};m; ) \quad A(p^{2};m; _{1}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \log \frac{m^{2}x}{m^{2}x} \frac{p^{2}x(1-x) + 2(1-x)}{p^{2}x(1-x) + 2(1-x)}; \quad (11a)$$

$$B^{PV;b}(p^{2}) = B(p^{2};m; ) \quad B(p^{2};m; _{1}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx (1-x) \log \frac{m^{2}x}{m^{2}x} \frac{p^{2}x(1-x) + 2(1-x)}{p^{2}x(1-x) + 2(1-x)}; \quad (11b)$$

The situation di ers drastically for the  $\infty$ e cient C<sup> $\cdot$ </sup>. A fler the bosonic PV regularization we get

$$C^{PV;b} = \frac{1}{32^{2}m} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 2\\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 + 2\log\frac{?}{m} \\ + 2^{2}\log\frac{m}{m} & 2\frac{2}{1}\log\frac{m}{1} \end{array} \right) (12)$$

Since the result is still divergent for  $_?$  ! 1, this regularization is not enough. The additional ferm ionic PV regularization requires some care because C is a coe - cient at the spin structure  $\mathfrak{G} = (! p)$  which itself depends on m. Hence, one should regularize the quantity m C:

$$m C' = m C'(m; ) m C'(m; _1)$$
$$m_1 C'(m_1; ) + m_1 C'(m_1; _1) = 0: (13)$$

W e see that after the double PV regularization the extra structure in Eq. (7), proportional to  $\mathfrak{G}$ , disappears, as it should. Note that Eq. (13) holds for arbitrary (i.e., not necessary in nite) PV masses m<sub>1</sub> and <sub>1</sub>.

#### 4. Renorm alization procedure

The renorm alized self-energy is obtained by using the standard procedure:

$$\sum_{ren}^{PV;b+f}(p) = PV;b+f(p) \quad q \quad Q(p \quad m); \quad (14)$$

where

$$c_{1} = \frac{u(p)^{PV;b+f}(p)u(p)}{2m};$$
 (15a)

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2m} u(p) \frac{e^{-p V; b+f}(p)}{e e} u(p) _{p^2 = m^2}$$
: (15b)

Finally,

$$P_{ren}^{V;b+f}(p) = g^2 A_{ren}(p^2) + B_{ren}(p^2) \frac{p}{m}; \quad (16)$$

with

$$A_{ren} (p^{2}) = A (p^{2}) \qquad A (m^{2}) + 2m^{2} [A^{0} (m^{2}) + B^{0} (m^{2})];$$
(17a)
$$B_{ren} (p^{2}) = B (p^{2}) \qquad B (m^{2}) \qquad 2m^{2} [A^{0} (m^{2}) + B^{0} (m^{2})]:$$
(17b)

In order to calculate  $A_{ren}$  ( $p^2$ ) and  $B_{ren}$  ( $p^2$ ) we can use the initial functions A ( $p^2$ ) and B ( $p^2$ ) regularized either by the non-invariant cuto s, Eqs. (9a) and (9b), or by m eans of the PV regularization, Eqs. (11). Any choice leads to the sam e result:

$$A_{ren} (p^{2}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx [1(x) - 2(x)]; \quad (18a)$$
$$B_{ren} (p^{2}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}^{0}} dx (1-x) [1(x) + 2(x)]; \quad (18b)$$

(18b)

where

$$_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \log \frac{m^{2}x}{m^{2}x^{2} + 2} \frac{p^{2}x(1-x) + 2(1-x)}{(1-x)} ;$$

$$_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2m^{2}x(2-3x+x^{2})}{m^{2}x^{2} + 2(1-x)} :$$

The remaining integrations over dx in Eqs. (18) are sim – ple but lengthy.

## B. Calculation in the four-dimensional Feynm an approach

#### 1. Regularization with rotationally non-invariant cuto s

We showed above that the regularized ferm ion selfenergy calculated within LFD with the traditional transverse ( $_2$ ) and longitudinal () cuto s contains an extra spin structure depending on !. In order to understand the reasons of this behavior, we calculate here the selfenergy in another way, following Ref. [9]. We start from the standard four-dimensional Feynm an expression

$$_{F}(p) = \frac{ig^{2}}{(2)^{4}} d^{4}k \frac{p (k+m)}{[k^{2} + i0][(p + k)^{2} - m^{2} + i0]};$$
(19)

but perform the integrations in term softhe LF variables, with the corresponding cuto s. For this purpose, we introduce the m inus-, plus-, and transverse com ponents of the four-m om entum k:

$$k = k_0 \quad k_z; \quad k_+ = k_0 + k_z; \quad k_2 = (k_x; k_y);$$

and analogously for p. As in Sec. IIA 1, we take, for convenience, the reference frame where p = 0. In this

fram  $e p = p^2 = p_+$ . Denoting  $k_+ = xp_+$ , we get

$$F(p) = \frac{ig^{2}p_{+}}{32} \int_{-1}^{Z} d^{2}k_{2} \int_{-1}^{Z+1} dx$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dk \frac{1}{[k \ p_{+} x \ k_{2}^{2} \ ^{2} + i0]}$$

$$\frac{(p \ k \ p_{+} \ (1 \ x) \ k_{2}^{2} \ m^{2} + i0]}{[(p \ k \ )p_{+} \ (1 \ x) \ k_{2}^{2} \ m^{2} + i0]} (20)$$

with

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{x}}{2} \mathbf{p}_{+}$$
,  $\mathbf{k}$ :

The integral over dk is calculated by using the principal value prescription:

$$Z_{+1}$$
  $Z_{L}$   
 $dk$  (:::) =  $\lim_{L \downarrow 1} dk$  (:::): (21)

This integral is well de ned unless x = 0 or x = 1. If x = 0 or x = 1, the integral (21) diverges on the upper and lower limits. So, the in nitesimal integration domains near the points x = 0 and x = 1 (the so-called zero m odes) require special consideration. We introduce a cuto in the variable x and represent (p) as a sum

$$_{\rm F}$$
 (p) =  $_{\rm p+a}$  (p) +  $_{\rm zm}$  (p); (22)

where  $_{p+a}$  (p) incorporates the contributions from the regions of integration over dx ( 1 < x < 1), excluding the singular points x = 0 and x = 1, while the zero-m ode part  $_{zm}$  (p) involves the integrations in the -vicinities of these two points.

The calculation is carried out in Appendix B. A fter closing the integration contour by an arc of a circle (see Appendix B), the integral for  $_{p+a}(p)$  is represented as a sum of the pole and arc contributions and has the form

$$p_{p+a}(p) = \frac{g^2}{16^3} d^2 k_2$$

$$Z_1 \qquad (p \quad k + m) dx$$

$$\frac{g^2 e}{k_2^2 + m^2 x} p^2 x (1 - x) + 2 (1 - x)$$

$$+ \frac{g^2 e}{32^3 (1 - p)} d^2 k_2 \qquad 1 + \frac{dx}{x (1 - x)}; (23)$$

Comparing Eq. (23) with Eqs. (5), we see that

$$p_{p+a}(p) = _{2b}(p) + _{fc}(p);$$
 (24)

where both terms on the right-hand side are regularized at x = 0 and x = 1. The pole plus arc contributions to Eq. (20) reproduce the result given by the sum of the two LFD diagrams shown in Fig. 1. Hence,

$$_{p+a}(p) = g^2 A (p^2) + B (p^2) \frac{p}{m} + C_{p+a} \frac{m d}{! p};$$
 (25)

where A ( $p^2$ ) and B ( $p^2$ ) are de ned by Eqs. (9a) and (9b), while  $C_{p+a}$  coincides with C, Eq. (10).

As mentioned above, the zero mode contribution results from the divergence (at L ! 1) of the integral over k, which occurs when x = 0;1. This divergence is determined by the leading k -term in the numerator of Eq. (20), that is by  $\frac{1}{2}$  + k. In explicitly covariant LFD, the matrix + turns into 6. The zero-mode contribution is therefore

$$_{2m}$$
 (p)  $g^{2}C_{2m} \frac{m e}{! p}$ ; (26)

where  $C_{\rm zm}\,$  is calculated in Appendix B and has the form

$$C_{zm} = \frac{m^{2}}{16 \ ^{2}m} \log \frac{?}{m}$$
(27)  
+  $\frac{1}{32 \ ^{2}m} \ m^{2} \ ^{2} \ 2^{2} \log \frac{m}{m} :$ 

Wethus nd

$$C_{p+a} + C_{zm} = 0;$$
 (28)

since  $C_{p+a} = C$  is given by the right-hand side of Eq. (10). Substituting Eqs. (25) and (26) into Eq. (22), and taking into account Eq. (28), we nally get

$$_{\rm F}$$
 (p) = g<sup>2</sup> A (p<sup>2</sup>) + B (p<sup>2</sup>) $\frac{{\bf p}}{{\bf m}}$  : (29)

W e see that after the incorporation of the pole, arc, and zero-mode contributions, the !-dependent term in the self-energy disappears, even before the renorm alization. Note that although we used the same cuto s, the form ula (29) does not coincide with the expression (7) obtained by using the LFD graph technique rules, since the sum  $C(p^2) + C_{fc}$  is not zero [it is given by Eq. (10)]. One m ight think that the dependence of the self-energy (7) on ! is an artefact of the LFD rules, whereas the independence of the Feynm an approach on ! is natural, since we started with the Feynm an expression (19) which "knows nothing" about !. It is not so, since the initially divergent integral for (p) acquires som e sense only after regularization, and the latter has been done in terms of the cuto sim posed on the LF variables. The independence of  $_{\rm F}$  (p) on ! looks thereby as a coincidence. We shall see in Sec. IIIB that, in the EMV case, in contrast to the self-energy one, the LF cuto s applied to the initial Feynm an integral do result in som e dependence of the EMV on the LF plane orientation.

A fiter the renorm alization, Eq. (29) reproduces the expression (16) obtained earlier for the self-energy found within LFD and regularized by the invariant PV m ethod.

#### 2. Invariant regularization

W e brie y recall in this section fam iliar results known from the standard four-dim ensional Feynm an form alism which is completely independent from LFD. It may serve as an additional test of the results obtained above.

The ferm ion self-energy is given by Eq. (19). It is convenient to use the following decomposition:

$$_{\rm F}$$
 (p) = g<sup>2</sup> A  $_{\rm F}$  (p<sup>2</sup>) + B  $_{\rm F}$  (p<sup>2</sup>)  $\frac{f}{m}$ ; (30)

where  $A_F(p^2)$  and  $B_F(p^2)$  are scalar functions. Note that they do not coincide with  $A(p^2)$  and  $B(p^2)$  from Eq. (29), since we use here another regularization procedure. Applying the Feynm an param etrization, we can rewrite Eq. (19) as

$${}_{F}(p) = \frac{ig^{2}}{16^{-4}} {}^{Z} d^{4}k^{0}$$

$${}^{Z}_{0} dx \frac{(1 x)p}{[k^{0^{2}} m^{2}x + p^{2}x(1 x)^{-2}(1 x) + i0]};$$
(31)

where we introduced  $k^0 = k$  xp. Going over to Euclidean space by means of the W ick rotation  $k_0^0 = ik_4^0$  with real  $k_4^0$  and regularizing the divergent integrals by an invariant cuto  $k^{0^2}j = k^{0^2} + k_4^{0^2} < {}^2$  (assuming that  ${}^2 fm^2$ ;  ${}^2; p^2 jg$ ), we can perform the four-dimensional integration and get

$$F_{F}(p) = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx [(1 \ x)p + m]$$

$$\log \frac{2}{m^{2}x p^{2}x(1 \ x) + 2(1 \ x)} = 1 : (32)$$

The term s of order 1 = 2 and higher are om itted. C om - paring the right-hand sides of Eqs. (30) and (32), we nd

$$A_{F}(p^{2}) = \frac{m}{16^{2}} \log \frac{2}{m^{2}} + \frac{m}{16^{2}} \log \frac{Z_{1}}{m^{2}} dx \log \frac{m^{2}x \quad p^{2}x(1 \quad x) + 2(1 \quad x)}{m^{2}}; \quad (33a)$$

$$B_{F}(p^{2}) = \frac{m}{32^{2}} \log \frac{2}{m^{2}} + \frac{m}{16^{2}} \log \frac{Z_{1}}{m^{2}} dx (1 - x) \log \frac{m^{2}x + p^{2}x(1 - x) + 2(1 - x)}{m^{2}} ; \quad (33b)$$

The renormalized self-energy  $_{F;ren}(p)$  is found from Eq. (14), changing  $^{PV;b+f}(p)$  by  $_{F}(p)$ . The corresponding renormalized functions  $A_{F;ren}(p^2)$  and  $B_{F;ren}(p^2)$  coincide with  $A_{ren}(p^2)$  and  $B_{ren}(p^2)$  given by Eqs. (18). We thus reproduce again Eq. (16) for  $_{F;ren}(p)$ .

It is easy to verify that using the PV subtraction for the boson propagator (instead of the cuto  $^2$ ),

$$F_{F}^{PV;b}(p) = F(p;m;) F(p;m;1);$$

leads, in the lim it  $_1 ! 1$ , to the same expression (16) for the renorm alized self-energy. We have therefore

$$P_{;ren}(p) = P_{F;ren}^{PV;b}(p) = P_{ren}^{PV;b+f}(p):$$
 (34)

To conclude, any of the considered ways of regularization (either with the non-invariant cuto s  $_{?}$  and or with the single bosonic PV subtraction) can be used in order to get the correct expression for the renormalized self-energy in the Feynman approach, while the double (bosonic + ferm ionic) PV subtraction is required in the case of LFD.

#### III. FERM ION ELECTROMAGNETIC VERTEX

W e can now proceed to the calculation of the spin-1/2 ferm ion elastic EMV, , which is connected with the

m atrix element of the electrom agnetic current  $J \;$  by the relation

$$J = eu(p^0) u(p);$$
 (35)

where e is the physical electrom agnetic coupling constant, p and p<sup>0</sup> are the initial and nal on-m ass-shell ferm ion four-m om enta ( $p^{0^2} = p^2 = m^2$ ). A ssum ing P-, C-, and T-parity conservation, is de ned by two form factors depending on the m om entum transfer squared  $Q^2 = (p^0 p)^2$ :

$$u^{0} \quad u = u^{0} F_{1}(Q^{2}) + \frac{iF_{2}(Q^{2})}{2m} \quad q \quad u:$$
 (36)

We om it for simplicity the bispinor arguments and denoted  $q = p^0$  p,  $= i()^{2}$ .

We consider here, as in Sec. II, the Yukawa model which takes into account interaction of ferm ions with scalar bosons, while the EMV "dressing" due to ferm ion-photon interactions is neglected. The ferm ion-boson interaction is treated perturbatively, up to term s of order  $q^2$ .

At that order, the EMV must be renormalized. The standard renormalization recipe consists in the subtraction  $^{ren} = Z_1$  with the constant  $Z_1$  found from the requirement u(p) = u(p) = u(p). This leads

to the follow ing well-known expressions for the renorm alized form factors:

$$F_1^{ren}(Q^2) = 1 + F_1(Q^2)$$
  $F_1(0);$   $F_2^{ren}(Q^2) = F_2(Q^2);$ 
  
(37)

We shall follow the same ideology that we exposed above for the self-energy: independent calculations of the EM V are performed, within covariant LFD and the Feynm an approach, both for non-invariant and invariant regularization. However, in contrast to the self-energy case, the use of rotationally non-invariant cuto s results in the appearance of new structures (and form factors) in the EM V, even if one starts from the standard fourdimensional Feynm an expression. By this reason, the renorm alization of the two physical form factors only, as prescribed by Eqs. (37), is not enough to get the full renorm alized EM V.

#### A. Calculation in light-front dynam ics

#### 1. Light-front interaction H am iltonian involving electrom agnetic interaction

Before going over to the consideration of the EMV in covariant LFD, one should derive the interaction H am iltonian which involves both ferm ion-boson and ferm ion-photon interactions. A lthough all the diagrams calculated below are generated by the graph technique rules form ulated in R ef. [1], this is another way to explain their origin.

W e will not give here a detailed derivation. The corresponding procedure is exposed in Ref. [7]. W e derived there the LF H am iltonian describing a system of interacting ferm ion and m assless vector boson elds. This expression holds also for a ferm ion-photon system. W hen the photon eld is taken in the Feynm an gauge, the H am iltonian in Schrodinger representation has the form

$$H^{\text{int}}(\mathbf{x}) = e \mathbf{A} + e^2 \mathbf{A} \frac{\mathbf{0}}{2i(! \mathbf{Q})} \mathbf{A} ; \qquad (38)$$

where and A are the free ferm ion and photon elds, respectively, and the operator 1=(i! p) in coordinate space acts on the coordinate along the four-vector! (for convenience we denote it for a moment as x , since ! in standard LFD has only the minus-component):

$$\frac{1}{i! \ \mathbb{R}} f(x) = \exp^{h} \frac{e}{i! \ 0} \stackrel{i}{A} \\ \frac{1}{i! \ 0} \exp^{h} \frac{e}{i! \ 0} \stackrel{i}{A}$$
(39)

and 1=(i! (!) is the free reversal derivative operator:

$$\frac{1}{1!} \oint_{\underline{0}} (x) = \frac{1}{4} dy (x y) f(y); \quad (40)$$

where (x) is the sign function. The operators  $1=(i! \ @)$  erato inside the exponents act on the functions ! A only, while only.

that standing between the exponents acts on all the functions to the right of it. In momentum representation, the action of the operator  $1=(i! \quad @)$  on a function f(x) reduces to the multiplication of its Fourier transform f(k) by the factor  $1=(! \quad k)$ .

It is easy to modify the Hamiltonian (38) in order to incorporate interactions between ferm ions and scalar bosons. The equation of motion for the Heisenberg ferm ion eld operator , in the absence of scalar bosons, looks like (if m) = eA =, where A is the Heisenberg photon eld operator. If we introduce a scalar boson eld , the equation of motion becom es m) = (eA = g). Since the latter equation of (i@ motion is obtained from the previous one by the substitution A = ! A = + (q = e), it is enough to make the same substitution in the Ham iltonian (38), everywhere except in the operator  $1=(i! \mathbb{R})$  [7]. The Ham iltonian thus becom es

$$H^{\text{int}}(\mathbf{x}) = [g' + eA = ]$$

$$+ [g' + eA = ] \frac{?}{2i! \mathbb{R}} [g' + eA = ] : (41)$$

We see that the LFD interaction H am iltonian (41) involves also, besides the usual term [g' + eA=] describing ordinary ferm ion-boson and ferm ion-photon interactions, the so-called contact term s which are nonlinear in the coupling constants. Note that if we expand the H am iltonian (41) in powers of e, this expansion contains an in nite number of term s. Such a peculiarity is connected with the photon spin and with the gauge we have chosen.

In this paper, we are not interested in studying electrom agnetic e ects, but focus on the interaction between ferm ions and scalar bosons. We therefore restrict the H am iltonian to the rst order in the electrom agnetic coupling constant e, neglecting the terms of order  $e^2$  and higher. The result is

$$H^{int} = H_{fb1} + H_{fb2} + H_{em 1} + H_{em 2} + H_{em 3}; \quad (42)$$

where

$$H_{fb1} = g';$$
 (43a)

$$H_{fb2} = g^2 ' \frac{6}{2i!} \frac{6}{2} ;$$
 (43b)

$$H_{em 1} = e \triangle ; \qquad (43c)$$

$$H_{em 2} = eg \quad \prime \frac{d}{2i!} \frac{d}{d} + dA \frac{d}{2i!} \frac{d}{d} ; \quad (43d)$$

$$H_{em 3} = \frac{1}{2}eg^{2} ' \frac{6}{i!} \frac{1}{0!} \frac{1}{i!} A$$
$$\frac{1}{i!} \frac{1}{0!} A \frac{6}{i!} ' : \qquad (43e)$$

The contact term s are  $H_{fb2}$ ,  $H_{em 2}$ , and  $H_{em 3}$ . The op-(e) erators 1=(i! (e) inside the squared brackets act on ! A ile on ly. 2. Light-front diagram s and their am plitudes



FIG.2: Triangle LF diagram



FIG.4: Left (a) and right (b) contact term s

Since the amplitude of the process which we are interested in is proportional to  $eg^2$ , we should collect together the matrix elements from the Hamiltonian (42) in the rst, second and third orders of perturbation theory. It can be written schematically as

$$< H_{fb1}^{2}H_{em 1} > + < H_{fb1}H_{em 2} > + < H_{em 3} > :$$

Note that although the matrix element of the sec-



FIG. 3: Pair creation by a photon. The double solid line stands for an antiferm ion

ond order of perturbation theory, < H  $_{\rm fb2}$ H  $_{\rm em 1}$  >, is also of order eg<sup>2</sup>, it does not result in irreducible diagram s. The H am iltonian (42) produces therefore the ve contributions to the EM V, shown in Figs. 2{5. The triangle and pair creation diagrams are generated by < H  $_{\rm fb1}^2$ H  $_{\rm em 1}$  >, the left and right contact terms com e from < H  $_{\rm fb1}$ H  $_{\rm em 2}$  >, while < H  $_{\rm em 3}$  > is responsible for the double contact term. Applying the rules of the LFD graph technique [1] to these diagram s<sup>1</sup>, we can nd analytical expressions for the corresponding am plitudes. H ow ever, before writing them down, one should note the follow ing. W hen calculating the form factors in covariant LFD, the condition ! q = 0 on the momentum transfer is usually in posed (equivalent to q<sub>t</sub> = q<sub>0</sub> + q<sub>z</sub> = 0 in the non-covariant version of LFD). It com es from the analysis of the pure scalar "EM V " (i.e., when all the particles, including the photon, are spinless), where it forbids the pair creation diagram . Indeed, since the plus-com ponent of the pairm om entum is always positive, the pair can not be created by a virtual photon with  $q_{\pm} = 0$ . As a result, when  $q_t$  ! 0, the corresponding phase space volume tends to zero, and the amplitude of the pair creation diagram disappears. For system s involving ferm ions and/or vector photons, the amplitude of the pair creation diagram becom es inde nite if ! q exactly equals zero, since it is given by an integral with an in nitely large integrand and zero phase space volum e. For this reason, one has to take ! q € 0. W e set ! q (! p), where is a constant which we take positive, for de niteness. W e will see below that for the rotationally non-invariant cuto s discussed in Sec. II, a non-zero contribution to the EM V from the pair creation diagram survives, and m oreover, it tends to in nity when ! 0.



FIG.5: Double contact term

We can now proceed to the calculation of the LF diagram amplitudes. The contribution of the triangle dia-

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The rules incorrectly prescribe to use the theta-function  $(!\ k)$  for the contact term . This theta-function should be rem oved.

gram on Fig. 2 reads

We have introduced here new integration LF variables  $k_2$  and x in a standard fashion (see Sec. IIA 1). The singularities of the integrand at x = 0 and x = 1 are excluded by introducing an in nitesimal positive cuto

. The values of 's are found from the conservation laws im posed by the delta-functions. N am ely,

2! (p k) = 
$${}^{2}m$$
 (p k<sup>2</sup>)  
= (1 x)  $\frac{k_{2}^{2} + m^{2}}{1 x} + \frac{k_{2}^{2} + {}^{2}}{x}$  m<sup>2</sup>; (45a)

2! 
$$(p k)^{0} = m^{2} (p^{0} k)^{2}$$
  
=  $(1 x^{0}) \frac{k^{0^{2}}_{?} + m^{2}}{1 x^{0}} + \frac{k^{0^{2}}_{?} + 2}{x^{0}} m^{2}$ ; (45b)

where  $x^0 = !$   $k = !^0 = px = (1 + )$ ,  $k_2^0 = k_2 x^0$ , and is the part of the three-vector q, transversal to !. Note that

$$^{2} = Q^{2} (1 + ) \qquad ^{2}m^{2}:$$
 (46)

The form ulas (45) { (46) follow from the kinem atical relations listed in Appendix A .

The contribution of the pair creation diagram, Fig. 3, is given by

The line carrying the four-momentum  $k_1$  corresponds to an antiferm ion and is described by the propagator (m  $\{k_1\}$ ) (! 1)  $\{k_1^2 \ m^2\}$ ). It is convenient to introduce a new variable =  $^{0}$  1, instead of 1:

The "shifted" enters the delta-function and is determ ined by the same formula (45a) as in other 's. We can therefore use the same kinematics. The integration over d by means of the delta-function  $[(p \ k+! \ ^{2}) \ m^{2}]$  brings the factor j!  $(p \ k)$  j in the denom inator. We used that j!  $(p \ k)$  j = !  $(p \ k)$  inside the integration interval 1+ < x < 1 +

The contribution of the left contact term to the EMV is shown in Fig. 4(a). The corresponding amplitude has the form

For the right contact term, Fig. 4(b), we get

Finally, the double contact term , Fig. 5, yields

$$\frac{g^{2}}{(2)^{3}} = \frac{g^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{2}k_{2}}{d^{2}k_{2}} \frac{d^{2}k_{2}}{\frac{d^{2}k_{2}}{2k}} \frac{d^{2}k_{2}}{\frac{d^{2}k_{2}}{2k}}$$

The full EMV is the sum of all the ve contributions (44), (47){(50). Note that the lim its of integrations over dx are di erent in these contributions. In order to make the calculations easier, we split the whole region of possible values of x into the three sub-regions: (1) < x < 1 ; (2) 1 + < x < 1 + ; (3) 1 + + < x < +1. We then represent each of the vertices (lot) and (2ct) as a sum of integrals over these integration sub-regions, rem oving the singularities by m eans of the same cuto :

$$(lct) = (1; lct) + (2; lct);$$

where

and, analogously,

$$^{2ct)} = (1;^{2ct)} + (2;^{2ct)}:$$

where

A first some rearrangem ent of the contributions, we can represent the full EM V as

$$^{(A)} + ^{(B)} + ^{(C)}$$
 (51)

with

(A) =	(tri) +	(1;lct) +	(rct) +	(1;2ct)	(0);
					(52a)
<sup>(B)</sup> =	<sup>(pair)</sup> +	(2;lct)	(1);		(52b)
<sup>(C)</sup> =	<sup>(2;2ct)</sup> +	(0) +	(1):		(52c)

The two new integrals,

have been introduced in order to make the integrands of <sup>(A)</sup> and <sup>(B)</sup> non-singular in x, although each term on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (52a) and (52b) contains logarithm ic divergencies either at x = 0 or at x = 1, or at  $x = 1 + \ldots$ 

Taking the sum s (52a) and (52b), we easily nd

$${}^{(A)} = \frac{g^2}{16^3} {}^{Z} d^2 k_2 {}^{Z} \frac{1}{0} \frac{dx}{x} \frac{(\mathbf{p}^0 \ \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{m}) \ (\mathbf{p}^0 \ \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{m})}{(^2 \ 2\mathbf{p}^0 \ \mathbf{k}) \ \mathbf{k} \ 2\mathbf{p} \ \mathbf{k})} \frac{\mathbf{6} \ \mathbf{6}}{4 \ (1 + ) \ (! \ \mathbf{p}^3)} ;$$
(54a)  
$${}^{(B)} = \frac{g^2}{64^3 \ (! \ \mathbf{p}^3)} d^2 k_2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{dx}{x \ (1 + x)}} \frac{(\mathbf{p}^0 \ \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{6} \ ^0 + \mathbf{m}) \ (\mathbf{p}^0 \ \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{6} \ ^0 + \mathbf{m})}{(x \ 1)^0 \ (^0 \ )} \frac{\mathbf{6} \ \mathbf{6}}{(x \ 1)^0 \ (^0 \ )} ;$$
(54b)

Since the regularization in x is no m ore required, we set 
$$= 0$$
.

The remaining part of the EMV, (C), is given by a

sum of regularized integrals:

$${}^{(C_{-})} = \frac{g^2}{64^{-3}} \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{(! \ \mathfrak{g})}^{\mathbb{Z}} d^2 k_2 \frac{1}{1+} \frac{Z_{-1}}{1+} \frac{dx}{x} + \frac{1}{1+} \frac{Z_{-1}}{1+} \frac{dx}{x(1-x)} + \frac{Z_{-1}}{1+} \frac{dx}{x(1+x)(1-x)} :$$

#### 3. Electrom agnetic form factors

W e represent the EMV via the following decomposition:

$$u^{0} \quad u = u^{0} \quad F_{1} \quad + \frac{iF_{2}}{2m} \quad q$$
  
+  $B_{1} \quad \frac{\mathbf{6}}{! \quad p} (p + p^{0}) \quad 2 \quad + B_{2} \frac{m!}{! \quad p} + B_{3} \frac{m^{2}\mathbf{6}!}{(! \quad p^{3})} \quad u;$ 
(56)

which is sim ilar, although not identical, to the one used in Ref. [20]. We shall come back to this di erence in Sec. IV. The decomposition is determined by the ve<sup>2</sup> form factors  $F_{1;2}$ ,  $B_{1}_{3}$ . We shall call  $F_{1;2}$  the physical form factors, in contrast to the non-physical ones,  $B_{1}$  3, which must be absent in the physically observed EMV. The appearance of the three extra form factors is a property of LFD. Note that they appear in standard non-covariant LFD as well, but in this approach they can not be separated out from the physical form factors, and an illusion may occur that the EMV structure is determined, according to Eq. (36), by the two form factors, as in the Feynm an case. This is how ever not so, because the electrom agnetic current operator in LFD has ve independent matrix elements, not two. One may argue that even if each particular LF diagram produces a contribution of the form (56) to the EMV, the extra three structures disappear (i.e., one has  $B_{1,3} = 0$ ) after sum m ing up all the contributions, at least in a given order of perturbation theory. It would be so if the amplitudes of the LF diagram s were given by convergent integrals, which happens for the pure scalar case (scalar bosons plus scalar "photon" and no ferm ions). For system s involving ferm ions, however, the amplitudes strongly diverge. Their regularization, as will be shown below, may give rise to the appearance of extra (!-dependent) spin structures in the perturbative and non-perturbative reqularized EMV's. In this sense the situation is analogous to the case of the ferm ion self-energy discussed in the previous section.

The procedure to calculate the form factors is quite sim ilar to that exposed in Ref. [20]. We denot the matrix

$$O = \frac{({\bf p}^0 + m) ({\bf p} + m)}{4m^2}$$
(57)

and the following contractions:

(55)

$$c_{1} = \operatorname{TrfO} g;$$

$$c_{2} = \frac{iq}{2m} \operatorname{TrfO} g;$$

$$c_{3} = \frac{(p+p^{0})}{! p} \operatorname{TrfO} \mathfrak{G}g;$$

$$c_{4} = \frac{m !}{! p} \operatorname{TrfO} g;$$

$$c_{5} = \frac{m^{2} !}{(! p^{0})} \operatorname{TrfO} \mathfrak{G}g:$$
(58)

Now, using Eq. (56), we can get the following relations between the form factors and the quantities  $c_{1-5}$ :

$$c_{1} = (2 \quad 4 \quad )E_{1} \quad 6 \quad E_{2} + 2(4 \quad + \quad )B_{1} \\ + (2 + \quad )B_{2} + 2(1 + \quad )B_{3};$$

$$c_{2} = 6 \quad F_{1} + 2 \quad (2 \quad )E_{2} \quad 2 \quad (4 \quad )B_{1} \\ + \quad (2 + \quad )B_{2} + \frac{2}{2}B_{3};$$

$$c_{3} = 2(2 + \quad )F_{1} \quad 2 \quad (2 + \quad )F_{2} \\ + 4[2 \quad (1 + \quad ) + \quad ]B_{1} + (2 + \quad )^{2}B_{2} \\ + 2(2 + \quad )(1 + \quad )B_{3};$$

$$c_{4} = (2 + \quad )F_{1} \quad E_{2} + \quad B_{1}];$$

$$c_{5} = 2(1 + \quad )F_{1} \quad \frac{2}{2}F_{2} + 2 \quad (1 + \quad )B_{1}; \quad (59)$$

where =  $Q^2$ =(4m<sup>2</sup>). Solving the system of linear equations (59) with respect to F<sub>1;2</sub> and B<sub>1</sub> <sub>3</sub>, we express them through c<sub>1</sub> <sub>5</sub>. These expressions are lengthy and we do not give them here. Then, substituting the form ulas (54), (55) for <sup>(A)</sup>, <sup>(B)</sup>, and <sup>(C)</sup> into Eq. (57), calculating the traces (58), and expressing the scalar products of the four-vectors p, p<sup>0</sup>, k, and ! through the two-dim ensional vectors k<sub>2</sub>, , and the scalars x, , we cast each form factor in the form of a three-dim ensional integral over d<sup>2</sup>k<sub>2</sub> dx. The expressions for the scalar products through the integration variables and the momentum transfer are given in Appendix A.

#### 4. Regularization with rotationally non-invariant cuto s

W e give here the nal expressions for the form factors found by using the rotationally non-invariant cuto s  $_{?}$  and in posed on the variables  $k_{?}$  j and x. A s we said above, the vertex functions <sup>(A)</sup> and <sup>(B)</sup> do not require to introduce a cuto in x. A s far as <sup>(C)</sup> is concerned, it is represented by a sum of integrals, each requiring regularization and thus depending on . However, as can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The coincidence of the num ber of form factors with the num ber of LF diagram s is, of course, by chance.

be established by direct integration in Eq. (55), the sum itself has a nite limit when ! 0:

$${}^{(C)} = \frac{g^2}{32} \frac{2}{2} \frac{\log(1+)}{(1+)} \frac{g!}{(!+)} \frac{g!}{(!+)}; \qquad (60)$$

We therefore remain with the cuto  $\frac{1}{2}$  only. Note that the mutual cancellation of the terms singular in x, which happens for the full EM V, is connected with rather weak (logarithm ic) divergence of the corresponding integrals, so that the cuto  $\frac{1}{2}$  is enough to make them nite. The same took place in the ferm ion self-energy case (see Sec. IIA 2). As we shall see below [Eq. (C11) in Appendix C], the quadratically divergent term  $6! ! \frac{2}{2}$ results also from <sup>(B)</sup> (but not from <sup>(A)</sup>) and cancels in the sum with <sup>(C)</sup>.

The details of calculations of all the contributions (A;B;C) are given in Appendix C. Adding all them together, we arrive at the following nal result for the form factors:

$$F_{1} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{-2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{4^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{-2} \frac{7}{8}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{-2}m^{2}} \log \frac{m}{-2} \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^{4}); \quad (61a)$$

$$F_{2} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16^{2}} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} + O(Q^{4});$$
 (61b)

$$B_1 = \frac{g^2}{64^2}; (61c)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{g^2}{32^2}; (61d)$$

$$B_{3} = \frac{g^{2} (m^{2} - 2)}{16^{2} m^{2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{32^{2} m^{2}} \frac{Q^{2}}{m} + \frac{2}{2} \log \frac{m}{m} + 1 \quad : (61e)$$

Note that the terms  $\log \frac{2}{m}$  cancel in the form factors  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . These form factors are nite and do not depend on any cuto , in contrast to  $B_3$  which does not have a nite limit when  $_2$  ! 1 . As we have already mentioned, neither of the form factors depend on the cuto . At the same time, the amplitude of each of the LF diagram shown in Figs.2{5, diverges like  $\frac{2}{2}$  log . It means that the senior divergent terms  $\frac{2}{2}$  log and

 $\frac{2}{2}$  cancel after the incorporation of all the LF diagram s. However, the form factors B<sub>1 3</sub> which must be absent in the physical EM V remain non-zero values. The same happened for the 6 term in the full self-energy, Eq. (7).

We also see that  $B_3$  has a pole at = 0. One cannot set here  $Q^2 = 0$ , since it is impossible to keep xed = (! q)=(! p) when q ! 0. M ore precisely, since<sup>2</sup> 0, from Eq. (46) we have  $Q^2 = \frac{2m^2}{1+}$ . However, nothing prevents us to take ! 0 at xed  $Q^2$ . As the inspection shows, the singular term 1= in  $B_3$  results from <sup>(pair)</sup>, Eq. (47).

The standard renormalization procedure (37) a ects

the form factor  $F_1$  only, so that

$$F_1^{ren} = 1 \quad \frac{g^2 Q^2}{24 \, {}^2 m^2} \quad \log \frac{m}{-} \quad \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^4):$$
 (62)

The cuto -dependent term  $\log(2 = m)$  cancels, as it should. The renormalized form factor  $F_2^{ren}$  coincides with  $F_2$ , Eq. (61b). It is important to note that in order to eliminate the three extra form factors  $B_1$ , one should introduce new 2-dependent counterterms into the interaction H am iltonian. Since  $B_3$  non-trivially depends on q through  $Q^2 = q^2$  and = 2 q q q q q q q. Therefore, to eliminate  $B_3$  we need an in nite number of local counterterms, for this particular type of regularization.

#### 5. Invariant Pauli-V illars regularization

We can now calculate the form factors within LFD, but using the invariant PV regularization instead of the LF cuto s  $_{\rm 2}$  and .

Regularization by a PV boson.We start with the regularization by one PV boson only. Since each of the LF diagram s contains one bosonic propagator, this regularization reduces to a simple subtraction

$$F^{PV;b} = F(Q^2;m;) F(Q^2;m;1)$$
:

As before, F denotes any of the form factors  $F_i$  or  $B_i$ . In the limit of large  $_1$  we have

$$F_{1}(Q^{2};m; 1) = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}}\log \frac{?}{m} - \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}}\log \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{4};$$
  

$$F_{2}(Q^{2};m; 1) = 0;$$

for arbitrary nite Q  $^2$ . Subtracting these expressions from those given by Eqs. (61a) and (61b), respectively, we get

$$F_{1}^{PV;b} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{-2}} \log \frac{1}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{4^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{m} - \frac{15}{16}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{-2}m^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{8} - \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^{4}); (63a)$$
$$F_{2}^{PV;b} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16^{-2}} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{32^{-2}m^{-2}} + O(Q^{4}): (63b)$$

In spite of the fact that Eq. (63a) di ers from Eq. (61a), both equations lead to the sam e renorm alized form factor  $F_1^{ren}$ , Eq. (62). The renorm alized physical form factors obtained for the invariant and non-invariant types of regularization do therefore coincide.

Concerning the non-physical form factors, the situation is quite di erent. Since  $B_{1-3}$  were calculated for arbitrary , we nd from Eqs. (61c){(61e):

$$B_{1}^{PV;b} = B_{2}^{PV;b} = 0;$$
(64a)

$$B_{3}^{PV;b} = \frac{g^{2}(\frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{2})}{16^{-2}m^{2}(1 + -)}\log\frac{2}{m}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}}{32^{-2}m^{2}} - \frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{2} - 2^{-2}\log\frac{m}{2} - 2^{-2}\log\frac{m}{1} - 2^{-2}\log\frac{1}{m} = 2 + \frac{2}{1}\log\frac{1}{m} = (64b)$$

The form ulas (63) are valid for  $! 0 \text{ and } _1 ! 1$ , while Eqs. (64) hold for arbitrary and  $_1$ . The non-physical form factors  $B_{1;2}$  turned into zero, while  $B_3^{PV;b} \notin 0$  and still diverges logarithm ically.

<u>Regularization by one PV boson plus one PV ferm ion</u>. Since the PV regularization by one boson only does not cancel the form factor B<sub>3</sub>, we have to introduce in addition a PV ferm ion. In that case, no contact terms a appear at all, and the only diagram s which contribute to the EMV are the triangle (Fig. 2) and pair creation (Fig. 3) diagram s. Each of them contains two ferm ion propagators, both being subject to the PV regularization. For this reason we need to know the vertex with di erent internal ferm ions. We denote the masses of these ferm ions by m<sub>1</sub> and m<sub>10</sub>, with the indices i and i<sup>0</sup> being either 0 or 1. Let m<sub>0</sub> m and m<sub>1</sub> be the physical and PV ferm ion masses, respectively. Analogously, we denote the physical and PV boson masses by <sub>0</sub> and <sub>1</sub>.

The PV regularized EMV is de ned by

$$P^{V;b+f} = \frac{X^{1}}{i_{j}i^{0}; j=0} (1)^{i+i^{0}+j} (m_{i};m_{i^{0}}; j);$$

where the notation  $(m_i; m_i^o; j)$  stands for the corresponding initial EMV calculated for the internal particles with the masses  $m_i, m_i^o$ , and j. The quantity

 $(m_i; m_{i^0}; j)$  itself is given by a sum of Eqs. (54), changing everywhere

It can be shown that we do not need to introduce the PV ferm ion to regularize the form factors  $F_{1,2}$  and  $B_{1,2}$ . In other words, in the lim it  $m_1 ! 1$  we would obtain the same form ulas (63) { (64a) as without the PV ferm ion at all. So, the only thing to do is to calculate  $B_3^{PV;b+f}$ . This calculation is in principle quite sim ilar to that perform ed above, but the algebra is more lengthy. We represent  $B_3^{PV;b+f}$  as follow s:

$$B_{3}^{PV;b+f} = \frac{X^{1}}{_{i;i^{0}=0}} (1)^{i+i^{0}} X B_{3}^{(N)PV;b} (m_{i};m_{i^{0}});$$
(65)

where

$$B_{3}^{(N)PV;b}(m_{i};m_{i^{0}}) = \begin{cases} X^{1} \\ (1)^{j}B_{3}^{(N)}(m_{i};m_{i^{0}}; j) \end{cases} (66)$$

and  $B_3^{(N)}$  (m<sub>i</sub>;m<sub>i</sub>°; <sub>j</sub>) is found through the vertex  ${}^{(N)}$  (m<sub>i</sub>;m<sub>i</sub>°; <sub>j</sub>). Though the order of summations in Eqs. (65) and (66) does not matter, it is convenient to calculate rst  $B_3^{(N)PV;b}$  (m<sub>i</sub>;m<sub>i</sub>°), separately for the two vertices, then add the results, and nally sum over the PV ferm ion indices. O mitting rather tiresome manipulations, we give here the expressions for the functions  $B_3^{(N)PV;b}$  (m<sub>i</sub>;m<sub>i</sub>°), in order to see the details of the cancellations:

$$B_{3}^{(A)PV;b}(m_{i};m_{i^{0}}) = \frac{g^{2}(\frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{2})(2 + \frac{2}{1})}{16^{-2}m^{2}(1 + \frac{2}{1})^{2}}\log\frac{2}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{32^{-2}m^{2}} - 2\frac{2}{1}\log\frac{1}{m} + 2^{-2}\log\frac{m}{m} - 3(\frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{1}) + R(m_{i};m_{i^{0}});$$
(67a)

$$B_{3}^{(B)PV;b}(m_{i};m_{i^{0}}) = \frac{g^{2}(\frac{2}{1}-2)}{16^{2}m^{2}(1+2)^{2}}\log\frac{2}{m} + \frac{g^{2}(\frac{2}{1}-2)}{16^{2}m^{2}} R(m_{i};m_{i^{0}});$$
(67b)

where

$$R \ (m_{i}; m_{i^{0}}) = \frac{g^{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array}\right)}{64^{-2}m^{2}Q^{2}} \ (m_{i}^{2} \\ m_{i^{0}} \end{array}) \log \frac{m_{i}^{2}}{m_{i^{0}}^{2}} - 2Q^{2} \log \frac{m_{i}m_{i^{0}}}{m^{2}} + s_{Q} \log \frac{(m_{i}^{2} \\ m_{i^{0}}^{2})^{2} \\ (m_{i}^{2} \\ m_{i^{0}}^{2})^{2} \\ (Q^{2} + s_{Q})^{2} \end{array}$$

and  $s_Q = \frac{p_{m_1^2}}{m_1^2} \frac{2m_1^2(m_{1^0}^2 - Q^2) + (m_{1^0}^2 + Q^2)^2}{(m_{1^0}^2 + Q^2)^2}$ . Both contributions (67) are rather complicated functions depending on the physical and PV masses, as well as on  $Q^2$ . In their sum, however, the dependence on the ferm ion mass  $m_1$  and on  $Q^2$  drops out completely, and for  $N_{P=A,B} = B_3^{(N)PV;b}$  ( $m_1; m_{1^0}$ ) we arrive at the same expression (64b) found previously without any ferm ion PV regularization. The nalsum mation over the ferm ion PV indices, as prescribed by Eq. (65), turns  $B_3$  into zero:

$$B_{3}^{PV;b+f} = 0:$$
(68)

W e can thus form ulate the main results of this section: the full cancellation of the three non-physical form factors is achieved by the double (i.e., bosonic + ferm ionic) PV regularization, whereas the single boson PV regularization cancels only two of the three non-physical form factors. The renorm alized physical form factors obtained by using the PV regularization (both single and double) coincide with those calculated through the rotationally non-invariant cuto s.

## B. Calculation in the four-dim ensional Feynm an approach

The amplitude of the Feynm an triangle diagram which determ ines the EMV in the given order of perturbation theory is

$$= \frac{ig^{2}}{(2)^{4}} \int_{0}^{Z} d^{4}k \frac{1}{[k^{2} + i0]} \frac{(6^{0} + m)}{[k^{2} + m](6^{0} + m)} \frac{(6^{0} + m)}{[k^{2} + m](k^{2} + m)} \frac{(69)}{[k^{2} + m](k^{2} + m)}$$

where  $p^2 = p^{02} = m^2$ . As we shall see, the spin structure of the Feynm an EMV depends on how it is regularized, in contrast to the self-energy, Eq. (19). In order to avoid overloading the form ulas by additional indices indicating the type of the cuto s, we use in this section the sam e notations for the Feynm an EMV and the form factors as for the LFD ones.

#### 1. Regularization with rotationally non-invariant cuto s

As we did for the self-energy, Sec. IIB 1, we rst nd the form factors by integrating the Feynman amplitude (69) in terms of the LF variables restricted by the transverse and longitudinal cuto s. We shall see that such a procedure generates extra (non-physical) form factors B<sub>1 3</sub> [see Eq. (56)], in a very similar way as the use of the LFD rules.

Rew riting the integrand in Eq. (69) through the plus, m inus, and transverse components of the four-vector k, we get

$$= \frac{ig^{2}}{32^{4}} \int_{0}^{Z} d^{2}k_{2} dk_{+} dk$$

$$= \frac{(p^{0} \quad k + m)}{[(p^{0}_{+} \quad k_{+})(p^{0} \quad k) \quad (p^{0}_{2} \quad k_{2})^{2} \quad m^{2} + i0]}$$

$$= \frac{(p \quad k + m)}{[(p_{+} \quad k_{+})(p \quad k) \quad (p_{2} \quad k_{2})^{2} \quad m^{2} + i0]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{[k_{+} k \quad k_{2}^{2} \quad 2 + i0]}; \qquad (70)$$

We take the reference frame where p = 0. We then choose  $p_{+} = p_{+}^{0}$ . The latter condition is equivalent, in the language of covariant LFD, to  $= (! \ q)=(! \ p)=0$ . In our LFD calculation of the form factors in Sec. IIIA, we initially kept to be non-zero and went over to the limit ! 0 after summing up all the LF contributions. A sm ooth limit ! 0 was important in the LFD fram ework, since the amplitudes of di erent diagrams shown in Figs. 2{5 depend on . The Feynm an amplitude (69) is wellede ned for any values of its arguments p and  $p^{0}$ , in particular, for  $p_{+} = p_{+}^{0}$ . We can therefore safely set  $p_{+} = p_{+}^{0} = 0$  from the very beginning.

Similarly to Eq. (22) for the self-energy, we represent the full EM V as a sum of the two terms:

$$(p+a) + (zm);$$
 (71)

corresponding to the "norm al" contribution and the zero m odes, respectively.

Like the self-energy case, the "norm al" part <sup>(p+ a)</sup> is determ ined by the sum of the pole and arc contributions. It is calculated in Appendix D, Sec.D 2. The result reads

C om paring the right-hand sides of Eq. (72) for  $^{(p+a)}$ and Eq. (54a) for the part  $^{(A)}$  of the EM V calculated within LFD, we see that they exactly coincide with each other at = 0:

$$^{(p+a)} = ^{(A)} = _{=0}^{(A)}$$
 (73)

We have already encountered a similar situation above, in Sec. IIB 1, where it was shown that the pole plus arc contribution to the Feynman expression for the self-energy exactly coincided with the full LFD self-energy [see Eq. (24)]. Evidently, the vertex  $^{(p+a)}$  can be also represented via form factors, in the form of the decom – position (56), but with its "own" form factors  $F_{1;2}^{(p+a)}$ ,  $B_{1-3}^{(p+a)}$ . Form factors for  $^{(A)}$  were found in Appendix C. D ue to the identity (73), in order to derive the form factors  $F_{1;2}^{(p+a)}$  and  $B_{1-3}^{(p+a)}$ , we can make use of Eq. (C 2) with

the coe cients from Eqs. (C7) { (C9), taken at = 0. We and (75): thus nd

$$F_{1}^{(p+a)} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{4^{2}} \log \frac{m}{2} - \frac{7}{8} + \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{2}m^{2}} \log \frac{m}{2} - \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^{4}); \quad (74a)$$

$$F_{2}^{(p+a)} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16^{2}} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} + O(Q^{4}); \qquad (74b)$$

$$B_{1}^{(p+a)} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} \log \frac{?}{m} - \frac{g^{2}}{64^{2}} + \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} / (Q^{2});$$
(74c)

$$B_{2}^{(p+a)} = \frac{g^{2}}{8^{2}} \log \frac{?}{m} \frac{g^{2}}{32^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{8^{2}} (Q^{2}); (74d)$$

$$B_{3}^{(p+a)} = \frac{g^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} 6m^{2} 4^{2} + Q^{2} \log \frac{?}{m}$$

$$+ \frac{g^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} ^{2} 2 \log \frac{m}{m} + 1$$

$$(4m^{2} 2^{2} + Q^{2})' (Q^{2}); (74e)$$

where the function ' (Q<sup>2</sup>) is given by Eq. (C10). Note that ' (0) = 0. As before, the form factors  $F_{1,2}^{(p+a)}$  are calculated for ! 0 and decomposed in powers of Q<sup>2</sup>, whereas  $B_{1,3}^{(p+a)}$  are exact in this sense.

The zero-m ode contribution (zm) is calculated in Appendix D, Sec.D 3. The expression for (zm) is given by Eq. (D 21). We represent it in the form of decomposition (56). Then the form factors in this decomposition have the form

$$F_{1}^{(zm)} = 0;$$
 (75a)

$$F_2^{(2m)} = 0;$$
 (75b)

$$B_{1}^{(zm)} = \frac{g}{16^{-2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g}{16^{-2}} (Q^{2});$$
(75c)

$$B_{2}^{(zm)} = \frac{g}{8^{2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g}{8^{2}} ' (Q^{2}); \qquad (75d)$$

$$B_{3}^{(zm)} = \frac{g^{2}}{32 \ ^{2}m^{2}} \ 6m^{2} \ 4^{2} + Q^{2} \ \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{32 \ ^{2}m}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}}{32 \ ^{2}m^{2}} \ ^{2} \ 2 \log \frac{m}{m} + 1$$

$$(4m^2 \quad 2^2 + Q^2)' (Q^2)$$
 : (75e)

The nalexpressions for the form factors are given by the sum of the corresponding quantities from Eqs. (74)

$$F_{1} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{-2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{4^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{-2} \frac{7}{8}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{-2}m^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{-2} \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^{-4}); \quad (76a)$$

$$F_{2} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16^{-2}} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{32^{-2}m^{2}} + O(Q^{4});$$
(76b)

$$B_{1} = \frac{g^{2}}{64^{2}}; (76c)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{g^2}{32^2}; (76d)$$

$$B_3 = \frac{g^2}{32^2}; (76e)$$

The expressions for B<sub>1</sub> <sub>3</sub> are exact, i.e., these form ulas are valid for any Q<sup>2</sup> and . That is, the Q<sup>2</sup>-dependence coming from B<sub>1</sub><sup>(p+a)</sup>, Eqs. (74c) { (74e), as well as the <sub>2</sub>-dependent terms are exactly canceled by the corresponding zero mode contributions B<sub>1</sub><sup>(2m)</sup>. The form factors F<sub>1;2</sub> and B<sub>1;2</sub> are the same as those obtained from the LFD diagram matic approach, in Eqs. (61), while B<sub>3</sub>'s in Eqs. (61e) and (76e), are di erent. Moreover B<sub>1</sub> <sub>3</sub> are not zeroes, though we started from the Feynm an expression for the EMV, which initially had no any relation to the light front (i.e., to the four-vector !). W e will discuss this situation in more detail below, in Sec. IV.

#### 2. Invariant regularization

To complete our analysis, we give here the form factors obtained from Eq. (69) by using an invariant regularization of the divergent integral over  $d^4k$ . The standard recipe consists in using the Feynman parametrization, then making the W ick rotation and imposing a cuto<sup>2</sup> on the modulus of the Euclidean four-momentum squared, similarly to how it has been done in Sec. IIB 2. A fler that, identifying the structure of the EMV (69) with the decomposition (36), we reproduce the well-known result:<sup>3</sup>

$$F_{1} = \frac{g^{2}}{32^{-2}} \log \frac{2}{m^{2}} + \frac{g^{2}}{4^{-2}} - \log \frac{m}{m} - \frac{15}{16}$$
$$- \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{-2}m^{-2}} - \log \frac{m}{m} - \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^{4}); \quad (77a)$$

$$F_{2} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16^{-2}} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{32^{-2}m^{2}} + O(Q^{4}):$$
(77b)

Eq. (77b) exactly coincides with Eq. (76b) obtained for the rotationally non-invariant cuto s. The for-

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  F<sub>2</sub> (Q  $^2$  = 0) is an anom alous m agnetic m om ent. Introducing the coupling constant =  $g^2 = (4 \ )$ , we get in the Yukawa m odel: F<sub>2</sub>(0) = 3 = (4 ) that di ers by a factor of 3=2 from the QED value = (2 ).

mulas (77a) and (76a) di er by a constant (ie.,  $Q^{2}$ -independent) part. The corresponding form factors  $F_{1}^{ren}$  how ever also coincide after the renorm alization (37).

In principle, one m ight identify the structure of the Feynman EMV (regularized by means of an invariant cuto !) with the LF decomposition (56) containing ve form factors. In this case the form ulas (77) would be found for  $F_{1;2}$ , while one would arrive at the evident result  $B_{1-3} = 0$  for the other form factors.

The regularization of the EMV (69) by means of one PV boson results in the same form ulas (77), changing  $^2$  to  $^2_1$ .

#### IV. DISCUSSION

W e have shown above that the perturbative spin-1/2ferm ion self-energy and the EMV calculated in LFD depend on the orientation of the LF plane, when the traditional regularization in terms of the transverse and longitudinal cuto s is applied to the corresponding am plitudes. This dependence reveals itself in the appearance of extra spin structures in the decom positions of the selfenergy and the EMV in invariant amplitudes. In covariant LFD on the plane ! x = 0 with  $\frac{2}{3} = 0$ , it is conveniently param eterized through the four-vector ! . The corresponding decom positions for the self-energy and the EMV are given by Eqs. (7) and (56), respectively. The structure of the LF self-energy is characterized by the three scalar functions A, B, and  $\mathcal{C} = C(p^2) + C_{fc}$ , instead of the two usual ones, while the on-energy-shell EMV contains ve form factors, the two standard  $F_{1,2}$ and the three extra,  $B_{1}$  <sub>3</sub>, ones.

Perform ing calculations of the self-energy by integrating the Feynm an amplitude written in terms of the LF variables with the same cuto s as in LFD, we did not encounter any !-dependence in the nalresult, Eq. (29). The latter therefore does not coincide with the LFD selfenergy (7). For the EMV, both methods lead to !dependent structures with ve form factors. However, the EMV calculation by means of the LFD rules results in the set of form factors (61), whereas the calculation of the Feynm an amplitude in terms of the LF variables gives a di erent set of form factors (76). Though this di erence concerns the form factor B<sub>3</sub> only, the corresponding EMV's do not coincide nevertheless with each other.

The extra form factors do not, of course, appear when the Feynm an am plitude is calculated with a spherically symmetric cuto in four-dimensional space. This can be achieved, e.g., by imposing a direct cuto on the W ick rotated integration variable in Euclidean space  $jk^2\,j=k^2+k_4^2<~^2$  or by using the PV regularization. In the case of LFD, we deal with three-dimensional integration variables. Therefore, constructing rotationally invariant (i.e., !-independent) cuto s which restrict a three-dimensional integration dom ain encounters serious di - culties since the integration dom ains in LFD am plitudes

di er from each other, and it is not easy to restrict them simultaneously in a self-consistent way. This is a reason why the PV regularization boks much more preferable. It is naturally generalized to LFD and allows us to rem ove ultra-violet divergencies in an !-independent way. We showed that in order to cancel com pletely all !dependent term s in the LF self-energy and the EMV it is necessary to use the PV subtractions for both the boson and ferm ion propagators. Note that this cancellation occurs for arbitrary (nite) PV m asses. Simultaneously, all ultra-violet divergencies disappear. A fler that, the regularized LFD results coincide exactly with the Feynm an ones calculated with the same PV subtractions.

The physical quantities like the functions A and B in the self-energy or the form factors  $F_{1,2}$  in the EMV, can be easily extracted from the corresponding amplitudes. Once we know the self-energy (p) explicitly, taking the traces

$$Trf (p)g = 4g^{2}A (p^{2});$$
$$Trf (p) \delta g = \frac{4g^{2} (! p)}{m} (p^{2});$$

allows to get A ( $p^2$ ) and B ( $p^2$ ), because the term 6 in the self-energy (7) does not contribute to these traces. To separate the physical electrom agnetic form factors  $F_{1,2}$  from the non-physical ones,  $B_{1-3}$ , it is enough to consider the plus-component of the current (or, in terms of covariant LFD, the contraction of the current with !) written in the form (56). Indeed, in the lim it !  $p = !^0$ , p

$$u^{0} + u = u^{0}(!) = u^{0} F_{1} \delta + \frac{iF_{2}}{2m} ! q u:$$

As we see,  $B_{1}_{3}$  dropped out from here. This is however not always the case since the decomposition (56) is not unique. Take, for instance, the decomposition of the same EMV given in Ref. [20]:

$$u^{0} \quad u = u^{0} \quad F_{1}^{\prime} \quad + \frac{iF_{2}^{\prime}}{2m} \quad q$$

$$+ B_{1} \quad \frac{6}{!} \quad p \quad \frac{1}{m (1 + \cdot)} \quad (p + p^{0})$$

$$+ B_{2} \frac{m!}{!} \quad p + B_{3} \frac{m^{2} 6!}{(! - p^{0})} \quad u; \quad (78)$$

where  $= Q^2 = 4m^2$ . It is easy to see that the form factors  $B_{1,3}$  in Eqs. (56) and (78) are identical, while

$$F_1 = F_1 = \frac{2 B_1}{1+}; \quad F_2 = F_2 = \frac{2B_1}{1+};$$

If we now identify  $F'_{1,2}$  with the physical form factors, they will dier from  $F_{1,2}$ , unless  $B_1 = 0$ . If we used for the regularization the LF cuto s  $_2$  and , we would get

 $B_1 = q^2 = 64^2$  [see Eqs. (61c) and (76c)] and

$$F'_{1} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{-2}} \log \frac{?}{m} + \frac{g^{2}}{4^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{-} - \frac{7}{8}$$
$$\frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{-2}m^{-2}} \log \frac{m}{-} - \frac{21}{16} + O(Q^{-4});$$
$$F'_{2} = \frac{7g^{2}}{32^{-2}} - \frac{5g^{2}Q^{-2}}{128^{-2}m^{-2}} + O(Q^{-4}):$$

 $F_{1;2}$  do not coincide neither with the Feynm an form factors, given by Eqs. (77) (if we identify  $_2$  with ), nor with the LFD ones de ned by Eqs. (76a) and (76b). The regularization by the PV boson kills B $_1$  and this am biguity disappears. However, if the non-physical form factors are not canceled completely, the result is sensitive to the form of the EMV representation.

A lthough one can use the plus-com ponent of the current (56) to extract the physical form factors in hadron phenom enology, it is not enough in m any other cases, when the know ledge of the full m atrix structure of the vertex is needed. For example, this occurs in LFD nonperturbative approaches, when the EM V enters as an o energy-shell subgraph into a m ore com plicated diagram s. In such a case, one can not sim ply ignore the extra spin structures, because they m ay contribute to observable quantities. The latter statem ent concerns the self-energy as well.

As our results show, the dependence on the LF plane orientation appears not only in LFD amplitudes, but also in Feynm an ones, if we put the cuto s in the LF variables  $k_2^2$  and x, i.e., constrain the integration domain by a spherically non-sym metric region, the orientation of which follows the orientation of the LF plane. At rst glance, this seem s contradictory: (i) the extra form factors B<sub>1 3</sub>, Eqs. (76c) { (76e) ] originate from the spherically non-sym metric cuto s, but (ii) they do not depend on the cuto values. This is in fact a norm al situation which can be illustrated by the following toy example. C onsider the three-dimensional integral

$$I_{ij} = \frac{k_i k_j d^3 k}{(k^2 + m^2 + Q^2)^{5=2}} \qquad F_{1 \ ij}:$$
(79)

 $\mathbb{R}$  is logarithm ically divergent at in nity:  $F_1$ 

 $d_k = k_j$ . Let us introduce the spherically symmetric cuto  $k_j < L.A$  simple calculation gives

$$F_1 = \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{L^2}{m^2 + Q^2} + \frac{4}{9} (\log 8 - 4) + O - \frac{1}{L}$$
:

Let us now regularize this integral by a cuto imposed on the two-dimensional variable  $k_{\rm ?}$  in the plane orthogonal to an arbitrary direction n. That is, we set  $k_{\rm ?}^2$  =  $k^2$  (k  $\hat{n}$ )<br/><  $\frac{2}{\rm ?}$ . In other words, we integrate<br/>over the volume of a cylinder of the radius  $_{\rm ?}$  and of in-<br/>nite length, with the axis directed along n. The initial integral turns into

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{reg} = \frac{k_i k_j}{(k^2 + m^2 + Q^2)^{5=2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} k^2 + (k - r_i^2) d^3 k$$
  
$$F_{1 ij} + B_1 (ij - n_i n_j): \qquad (80)$$

The integral along n (or over  $dk_z$ , if n is parallel to z) converges, sim ilarly to the convergence of the integral over dx in the Feynm an amplitude written through the LF variables. We see that the spherically non-symmetric cuto  $_2$  generates one extra form factor B<sub>1</sub>, like this happens for the Feynm an amplitude. We obtain

$$F_{1} = \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{\frac{2}{2}}{m^{2} + Q^{2}} + 0 \frac{1}{2} ;$$
  

$$B_{1} = \frac{2}{3} + 0 \frac{1}{2} :$$

The leading terms / logL in  $F_1$  and  $F_1$  coincide, provided we identify  $_2$  with L. However, there is a difference in the nite parts:  $F_1 = \frac{4}{9} (\log 8 - 4)$ , like the di erence between the term  $\frac{15}{16}$  in Eq. (77a) and  $\frac{7}{8}$  in Eq. (76a). The transverse cuto  $_2$  generates a nite extra form factor  $B_1$ , which itself does not depend on  $_2$ , sim larly to  $B_{1-3}$  in the EM V. This example clearly m in ics the properties of the above calculation of the electrom agnetic form factors from the Feynman amplitude regularized by the LF cuto s.

It is therefore m isleading to think that one can derive som e "true" LF am plitude starting with the covariant Feynm an amplitude and calculating it in the LF variables: I =  $:::d^4k = :::d^2k_2 dxdk$ . This integral diverges (except for some particular cases) and it has no sense without regularization. It depends on the size, shape and orientation of the integration dom ain constrained by the regularization procedure. In other words, there is no "covariant Feynm an am plitude" in itself. There exists only an inseparable couple: the covariant Feynm an amplitude together with the rules to regularize it.

W hen we calculate am plitudes by means of the LFD graph technique rules, the non-invariant integration domain is not the only source of the !-dependence. Another source is hidden in the rules them selves. Indeed, these rules are not obliged to reproduce exactly the amplitude which follows from the Feynman approach, even for the same integration domain D. The divergent (and, after regularization, cuto-dependent) terms are treated non-identically in the two approaches and may be dierent. This fact is illustrated by the above calculation of the form factor  $B_3$  (cf. Eq. (61e) obtained within LFD with Eq. (76e) coming from the Feynman approach). The renormalization procedures may be dierent too. How-ever, the renormalized, observed am plitudes must be the same.

W hen the !-dependent contributions to the self-energy and EMV survive, one has to cancel them with appropriate extra counterterm s in the interaction H am iltonian. These counterterms are inherent to the LFD H am iltonian and should not be confused with the traditional charge and m ass counterterms in the original Lagrangian. The need for such a counterterm for the renormalization of the self-energy was already advocated in Ref. [7], where the non-perturbative ferm ion m ass renormalization was studied in the two-body approximation within covariant LFD. This new counterterm cancels the contribution  $\mathfrak{G}$ in the self-energy (7).

However, the introduction of additional specic counterterms may help only if their number is nite and tractable. For the case of the ferm ion self-energy we would need only one !-dependent counterterm, since the coe cient C is a constant. In the case of LFD calculations of the EMV, the form factor B<sub>3</sub> depends non-trivially on the momenta (through Q<sup>2</sup> and ), which would generate an in nite number of local counterterms to kill it, even in perturbation theory. For this reason, the use of counterterm s can not serve as an universal tool for the calculation of renorm alized quantities in LFD with the traditional transverse and longitudinal cuto s.

If the renorm alization is done correctly, the dependence of any renorm alized am plitude on the cuto s disappears and the nal result is the same, regardless to the type of the regularization used. However, from the practical point of view, the di culty of calculations rapidly increases with introducing extra counterterm s. Therefore, rotationally invariant regularization (like the PV one) seem s by farm ore preferable in the LFD fram ew ork. The approach developed in R ef. [21], regularizing eld theory by de ning elds as distributions, could provide another m ethod of rotationally invariant regularization.

#### V. CONCLUSION

We have calculated perturbatively the ferm ion selfenergy and the EMV in the Yukawa model, in two di erent ways: (i) by the LFD graph technique rules, taking into account all necessary diagrams; (ii) by integrating the Feynm an amplitudes in terms of the LF variables (i.e., the transverse and longitudinal parts of momenta) and summing up the pole, arc, and zero-mode contributions. For the same set of the cuto s im posed on the LF variables, both methods give di erent results for the regularized amplitudes. This di erence disappears if the invariant PV regularization is used instead of the rotationally non-invariant one.

Such properties follow from the fact that the cuto s constrain a spherically non-symmetrical integration domain, the symmetry being destroyed by the choice of a distinguished direction de ned by the orientation of the LF surface. The dependence of regularized amplitudes on the LF plane orientation is conveniently taken into account in the explicitly covariant version of LFD by constructing extra spin structures. To exclude these structures from the physically observed quantities one may introduce new counterterm s in the interaction H am iltonian, which also depend on the LF plane orientation. Taking them into account, one can calculate the renormalized amplitudes.

The use of spherically symmetric (in four-dimensional space) regularization, like, for instance, the PV one, considerably simpli es calculations. In perturbation theory it allows to avoid the presence of these extra counterterm s at all.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

Two of us (V A K. and A V S.) are sincerely grateful for the warm hospitality of the Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Universite Blaise Pascal, in Clem ont-Ferrand, where the present study was performed. This work has been partially supported by the RFBR grant No. 05-02-17482-a.

#### APPENDIX A: KINEMATICAL RELATIONS

W e give below som e kinem atical relations used in the self-energy and EM V calculations within covariant LFD. Am plitudes of the LF diagrams are expressed through the three-dimensional integrals over  $d^3k = k$ . Take the four-vectors k = (k + k) and  $p = (p_0; p)$ , and construct a new four-vector R = k xp with x = (l + k) = (l + p). Since l = 0 and  $d^2 = 0$ , in the reference frame, where p = 0, we have  $\frac{m_k}{k_1^2} + \frac{m_k}{k_2} = 0$ . Taking into account that  $\frac{q}{k_1^2} + \frac{m_k}{k_1^2} + \frac{q}{k_2} = 0$  and changing  $p_0$  to  $\frac{p}{p^2}$ , we arrive at the relations

$$k_{k} j = \frac{k_{2}^{2} + \frac{2}{p} x^{2} p^{2}}{2x p^{2}}; \quad \mathbf{w}_{k} = \frac{k_{2}^{2} + \frac{2}{p} + x^{2} p^{2}}{2x p^{2}}:$$

In the variables  $k_{\, ?}\,$  and x , the invariant phase space element becom es

$$d^{3}k = \mathbf{u}_{k} = d^{2}k_{2} dx = x$$
: (A1)

We can now express the scalar product k p entering the quantity , Eq. (4), through  $k_2^2$  and x. For this purpose, we represent the invariant quantity  $R^2$  in two di erent ways:

 $R^{2} = (k xp)^{2} = {}^{2} 2x (k p) + {}^{2}p^{2}$ 

and

$$R^{2} = (\mathbf{W}_{k} \times \mathbf{x}_{0})^{2} + k_{2}^{2} + k_{k}^{2} = k_{2}^{2}$$

E quating the right-hand sides of these expressions yields

k 
$$p = \frac{k_2^2 + 2 + x^2 p^2}{2x}$$
: (A2)

In the calculation of the EM V we have one m ore four-vector  $p^0$ . We de netwo new four-vectors R = k xp and

 $R^{0} = k \quad x^{0}p^{0}$ , where  $x^{0} = (! \quad k) = (! \quad 0)$  p x = (1 + ). From the equalities !  $R = ! \quad ^{0} \neq 0$ , in the reference frame, where p = 0, follow  $s R^{2} = R_{2}^{2} = k_{2}^{2}$ ,  $R^{0^{2}} = R^{0^{2}}_{2} = (k_{2} \quad x^{0} \quad )^{2}$ , where  $p = p_{2}^{0} = q_{2}$ . Analogously to Eq. (A2), we get from here

k p = 
$$\frac{k_2^2 + 2 + x^2 m^2}{2x}$$
; (A 3a)

$$k \quad p = \frac{(k_2 \quad x^0)^2 + 2 + x^{0^2} m^2}{2x^0} : \quad (A 3b)$$

From these formulas follow the expressions (45a) and (45b). For completeness, we give here the other scalar products which are needed for the EMV calculations:

! 
$$k = x(! p);$$
  
!  $p = (1 + )(! p);$   
 $p p = \frac{Q^2}{2} + m^2;$   
!  $2 = 0; p^2 = p^{0^2} = m^2; k^2 = 2:$ 

A fier integrating over the azim uthalangle of the vector  $k_2$ , the form factors depend on <sup>2</sup>, and one should relate it with the invariant square of the momentum transfer  $Q^2 = (p^0 p)^2$ . If one had  $! p = !^0$  (equivalent to = 0), it would be simply  $Q^2 = {}^2$ . In our case the relation is more complicated. Indeed, since  $! p = _0^m$ , we have, on the one hand  $q : {}^0p = (1 + )!_0^m$ , and, on the other hand,  $! {}^0p = !_0({}^2 + p_k^{02} + m^2 p_k^0)$ . We thus nd

$$\dot{p}^{0}_{k} \dot{j} = \frac{2 m^{2} (2 + )}{2m (1 + )}$$

and

$$Q^{2} = ( \frac{q}{2 + p_{k}^{0^{2}} + m^{2}} m)^{2} p_{k}^{0^{2}} = \frac{2 + 2m^{2}}{1 + m^{2}};$$
  
(A 4)

From here Eq. (46) follows.

#### APPENDIX B:DERIVING THE LIGHT-FRONT SELF-ENERGY FROM THE FEYNMAN APPROACH

P roceeding from the Feynm an amplitude (19) for the self-energy, Sec. IIB, we calculate here the pole, arc, and zero-m ode contributions.

#### 1. Pole and arc contributions

We rst consider the integral over dk in Eq. (20) for the x-integration in the limits 1 < x < , < x < 1, and 1 + < x < +1, determining  $_{p+a}$  (p). It can be calculated directly (by primitive). However, in order

to get the results in a form closer to LFD, we will use the residues. The integrand has two poles in the points

$$k = k^{(1)} \frac{k_{?}^{2} + 2 i0}{xp_{+}}$$

and

$$k = k^{(2)}$$
  $p = \frac{k_2^2 + m^2 i0}{(1 x)p}$ 

If 1 < x < or 1 + < x < +1, both poles lay, respectively, above or below the realaxis. If < x < 1 the poles are situated on the opposite sides from the real axis. We close the integration contour by an arc of a circle of the radius L, either in the upper half-plane or in the lower one, so that the pole k <sup>(2)</sup> is always outside the contour. The situation is illustrated in Fig. 6, where the integration contour is shown for the case < x < 1.

N ote that the order of integrations we have chosen in Eq. (20) requires some care in treating the cuto s. Since the integration over dk is performed rst, we should keep L arbitrary large, while the other cuto s and ? are considered as being nite. In other words, we imply that L  $\frac{2}{2} = (m)$ . Such a convention ensures mutual position of the poles and the contour, as exposed above. Then p+a (p) is represented as



FIG.6: Contour of the integration over dk . Positions of the poles are shown for  $\ < \ x < 1$  .

$$p_{+a}(p) = \frac{ig^{2}p_{+}}{32} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z_{1} \\ d^{2}k_{2} \end{bmatrix} (p_{ple} = arc; low) dx$$

$$Z_{+1}$$

$$arc; low dx = arc; up dx; (B1)$$

where  $_{pole}$  is the residue at the pole k<sup>(1)</sup>, multiplied by 2 i, while  $_{arc;low}$  and  $_{arc;up}$  come from the integrations along the arcs in the lower and upper half-planes, respectively. The result for  $_{pole}$  reads

$$pole = \frac{2 i(p + m)}{p_{+} [k_{2}^{2} + m^{2}x + p^{2}x(1 + x) + 2(1 + x)]}; (B2)$$

The four-vector k here is an on-m ass-shell four-vector  $(k^2 = {}^2)$  with the components expressed through  $k_2$ 

and x as follow s: k (k;  $k_+$ ;  $k_2$ ) =  $(\frac{k_2^2 + 2}{xp_+}; xp_+; k_2)$ . Tak To calculate arc; low and arc; up, we should move along form the arc in the clockw ise and counter-clockw ise directions,

respectively. In the points of the arc  $k~=~Le^i$  and  $dk~=~iLe^i~d$ , where ~ is an azim uthal angle. In the lim it L ! 1, we retain in the integrand the dom inating k-term . We thus obtain

$$arc; low = arc; up = \frac{1}{2p_{+}^{2}} \frac{+}{x(1 - x)}$$
: (B 3)

Substituting Eqs. (B 2) and (B 3) into Eq. (B 1) and going over to the explicitly covariant notations by m eans of the identities  $_{+} = \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $p_{+} = !$  p, we obtain that  $_{p+a}$  (p) is given by Eq. (23).

#### 2. Zero m odes

Consider now the zero-mode term \_\_\_\_\_ (p). We denote

$$_{zm}$$
 (p)  $_{zm}^{(0)}$  (p) +  $_{zm}^{(1)}$  (p);

where the two items on the right-hand side correspond to the contributions to Eq. (20) from the in nitesimal integration regions < x < and 1 < x < 1 +, respectively. Take rst  $_{zm}^{(0)}$  (p) (bosonic zero modes). If x = 0, the term k  $p_{+}x$  in the denom inator of the integrand disappears and the integral over dk diverges linearly. This in nite contribution should therefore be proportional to (x). We take x ! 0 and keep in the num erator and in the denom inator of the integrand in Eq. (20) the leading k -terms (where k is not multiplied by x) only. That is

The double integral over dxdk is of the type of Eq. (E1) with  $b = (k_2^2 + {}^2) = p_+$  and h = 0 (see Appendix E). U sing Eq. (E2), we obtain, in the covariant notations:

Changing the variable x ! 1 x, for  $z_m^{(1)}$  (p) (ferm ionic zero modes) we similarly get

Taking the sum of (B5) and (B6), we nd that it has the form of eq. (26):  $_{\text{zm}}$  (p)  $g^2 C_{\text{zm}} \frac{\text{m } 6}{!}$  with

$$C_{zm} = \frac{g^2}{32^2} \int_{0}^{Z_{\frac{2}{2}}} dk_{2}^{2} \log \frac{k_{2}^{2} + m^{2}}{k_{2}^{2} + 2}$$

Calculating this integral, we nd Eq. (27) for  $C_{zm}$  .

#### APPENDIX C: LIGHT FRONT CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FORM FACTORS

In this Appendix, starting with the LF vertices  $^{(A)}$ ,  $^{(B)}$ , and  $^{(C)}$  found in Sec. IIIA 2, Eqs. (52a) { (52c), we calculate their contributions to form factors  $F_{1:2}$ ,  $B_{1-3}$ .

#### 1. Prelim inary transform ations

Each contribution to a form factor F (F  $_{\rm fi}$  or B $_{\rm i})$  from the vertices  $^{(A)}$ ,  $^{(B)}$ , or  $^{(C)}$  can be written as an integral of the form

$$F = \int_{x_{m in}}^{Z_{x_{m ax}}} \frac{Z_{2}}{2} Z_{2} df(k_{2}^{2};x;;Q^{2};);$$

$$F = \int_{x_{m in}}^{Z_{m ax}} dk_{2}^{2} df(k_{2}^{2};x;;Q^{2};);$$
(C1)

where is the angle between the two-dimensional vectors  $k_2$  and , f is a given function (f's are dimensional for dimensional for dimensional for dimensional form factors), and the modulus of is expressed through Q $^2$  by Eq. (46). The limits  $x_{m\ in}$  and  $x_{m\ ax}$  depend on which vertex is considered.

The analysis of the dependence of the form factors on the cuto  $_{?}$  (when it tends to in nity) allows to represent each of them as

$$F = a_1 \frac{2}{2} + a_2 \log \frac{2}{m} + a_{reg};$$
 (C2)

where the coe cients a  $_1$ , a $_2$ , and  $a_{reg}$  are regular functions depending on the particle m asses, Q<sup>2</sup>, and , but independent of  $_2$ . If  $\leftarrow$  0, no other divergencies (i.e., cuto -dependent term s) excepting those listed in Eq. (C2) appear. Since the coe cients a  $_1$  and a $_2$  determine the dependence of the form factors on the cuto , we will calculate them at arbitrary , in order to see in detail how the cuto disappears (if so!) after taking into account all the contributions  $^{(A)}$ ,  $^{(B)}$ , and  $^{(C)}$  to the full EM V and its subsequent renorm alization. A s far as the coe cients a  $_{reg}$  are concerned, we will nd them in the lim it ! 0, retaining non-vanishing terms only. This simplie s calculations a lot, but leads to the same nal result as if was non-zero.

A lthough direct integrations over d and  $dk_2^2$  can always be done analytically for arbitrary Q<sup>2</sup> and , the results turn out to be very cum bersom e, so that the nal integration over dx (if it can be done analytically) takes too much time. To make the computations more e ective, we will proceed in the following way. We take the

initial expression for a given form factor in the form of Eq. (C1) and study the behavior of the integrand as a function of  $k_2^2$  in the asymptotic region  $k_2 j! 1$ . For this purpose we decompose it in a Laurent series:

$$f(k_{?}^{2};x;;Q^{2};) = f_{0}(x;Q^{2};) + \frac{f_{1}(x;Q^{2};)\infty s}{k_{?};j} + \frac{f_{2}(x;;Q^{2};)}{k_{?}^{2}} + \dots (C3)$$

W e use this decomposition in order to de ne the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_2$  (the term with  $f_1$  drops out after the integration over d). We then represent f as a sum

$$f(k_{?}^{2};x;;Q^{2};) = f_{reg}(k_{?}^{2};x;;Q^{2};) + f_{0}(x;Q^{2};) + \frac{2(x;Q^{2};)}{k_{?}^{2} + m^{2}(x)}; \quad (C4)$$

where

$$_{2}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{Q}^{2};) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} f_{2}(\mathbf{x};;\mathbf{Q}^{2};) d$$
 (C5)

and (x) is a positive function which can be chosen in any convenient way in order to avoid the singularity at  $k_2^2$  ! 0. Eq. (C 4) should be considered as a denition of the function  $f_{reg}(k_2^2;x;;Q^2;)$ . The latter, after the integration over d , decreases faster than  $1=k_2^2$  in the asymptotic region, and its integration over  $dk_2^2$  does not require any regularization. The functions  $f_0(x;Q^2;)$  and  $_2(x;Q^2;)$  are rather simple, so that the two last addenda on the right-hand side of Eq. (C 4) can be easily integrated over all the variables.

A fler separating out the term s which slow by decrease when  $j_{k_2} j! 1$ , we remain with a set of regular functions  $f_{reg}$ . At arbitrary , these functions are even m ore complicated than the initial integrands f. However, we calculate the regular contributions to the form factors in the lim it ! 0. So, it is enough to consider the lim iting functions  $f_{reg}(k_2^2;x;;Q^2;)$  0) which are much simpler than  $f(k_2^2;x;;Q^2;)$ . If  $f_{reg}$  is singular at

! 0, we decompose it in powers of and retain all non-vanishing term s.

The trick exposed above allows to nd the coe cients in Eq. (C2). Indeed, substituting Eq. (C4) into Eq. (C1), we get

$$a_1 = 2 \sum_{\substack{x_{m ax} \\ Z^{x_{m in}}}}^{Z_{x_{m ax}}} f_0(x;Q^2;) dx;$$
 (C 6a)

$$a_2 = 4$$
  $_2 (x;Q^2;) dx;$  (C 6b)  
 $Z = \frac{x_{m in}}{2} Z = 2$ 

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{reg}} = \begin{array}{c} & \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{x}_{\text{max}}} & \mathbf{d}_{1} \\ & \mathbf{d}_{2} \\ & \mathbf{d}_{2} \\ & \mathbf{d}_{2} \\ & \mathbf{d}_{2} \\ & \mathbf{f}_{\text{reg}} (\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2} ; \mathbf{x}; ; \mathbf{Q}^{2}; ! 0) \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

2  $_{2}$  (x;Q<sup>2</sup>; ! 0) log (x) : (C 6c)

The integrations over d and  $dk_{?}^{2}$  can be done analytically for all the ve form factors. For  $F_{1;2}$  the results of the remaining integrations over dx at arbitrary Q<sup>2</sup> and

are not expressed through elementary functions. In order to simplify the form ulas, we decompose both  $F_{1;2}$  in powers of Q<sup>2</sup> up to term s of order Q<sup>2</sup> and take the limit ! 0. Concerning the form factors B<sub>1</sub> 3, all the integrations are performed analytically without any approximation.

Below, in Secs. C 2{C 4, we list the coe cients  $a_1, a_2$ , and  $a_{reg}$  entering Eq. (C 2), pointing out in superscripts which form factor the given coe cient belongs to. The coe cients are calculated by means of Eqs. (C 6), separately for the vertices <sup>(A)</sup>, <sup>(B)</sup>, and <sup>(C)</sup> [see Eqs. (54) and (55)].

### 2. Contribution to the form factors from (A)

It is convenient to set in Eq.(C 4)  $(x) = x^2 + \frac{2}{m^2} (1 - x)$ . The limits of the x-integration are  $x_{m \text{ in}} = 0$ ,  $x_{m \text{ ax}} = 1$ . Then

$$a_1^{F_1} = a_1^{F_2} = a_1^{B_1} = a_1^{B_2} = a_1^{B_3} = 0;$$
 (C7)

$$a_{2}^{F_{1}} = \frac{g^{2} 2(1 + y)^{2} + y^{2}(2 + y)^{2}}{16^{2}(1 + y)^{2}z}; \quad a_{2}^{F_{2}} = 0;$$

$$a_{2}^{B_{1}} = \frac{g^{2}(2 + y)(1 + y)^{2}y^{2} + y^{2}m^{2}}{16^{2}(1 + y)^{2}z};$$

$$a_{2}^{B_{2}} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}(1 + y)} \frac{4^{2}(2 + 2 + y)^{2}m^{2} + y^{2}(1 + y)(2 + y)}{(1 + y)(2 + y)z} \quad 4;$$

$$a_{2}^{B_{3}} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}m^{2}(1 + y)^{2}}; \quad (2 + y)^{2} \frac{(3 + 3 + y^{2})m^{2}}{1 + y^{2}} \frac{Q^{2}[(1 + y)Q^{2} - y^{2}m^{2}]}{z};$$

24

where  $z = (2 + 2 + {}^{2})Q^{2} + 2 {}^{2}m^{2}$ , and

$$a_{reg}^{F_{1}} = \frac{g^{2}}{4^{2}} \log \frac{m}{m} - \frac{7}{8} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{24^{2}m^{2}} \log \frac{m}{8} - \frac{9}{8} + O(Q^{4});$$

$$a_{reg}^{F_{2}} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16^{2}} - \frac{g^{2}Q^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} + O(Q^{4});$$

$$a_{reg}^{B_{1}} = \frac{g^{2}}{64^{2}} - 1 + 4'(Q^{2});$$

$$a_{reg}^{B_{2}} = -\frac{g^{2}}{32^{2}} - 1 + 4'(Q^{2});$$

$$a_{reg}^{B_{3}} = -\frac{g^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} - 2 2\log \frac{m}{m} + 1 - (4m^{2} - 2^{2} + Q^{2})'(Q^{2});$$
(C.9)

with

' 
$$(Q^2) = 1$$
  $1 + \frac{4m^2}{Q^2} \log \frac{p Q^2 + 4m^2 + p Q^2}{2m}!$   
(C10)

3. Contribution to the form factors from  $\ensuremath{}^{\mbox{(B )}}$ 

We set (x) = 1,  $x_{m \text{ in}} = 1$ ,  $x_{m \text{ ax}} = 1 + \cdot$ . We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1}^{F_{1}} &= a_{1}^{F_{2}} = a_{1}^{B_{1}} = a_{1}^{B_{2}} = 0; \\ a_{1}^{B_{3}} &= \frac{g^{2}}{32^{2}m^{2}} \frac{\log(1+)}{(1+)}; \\ a_{2}^{F_{1}} &= \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} - 1 - \frac{2(1+)Q^{2} + 2(2-2)m^{2}}{(1+)^{2}z}; a_{2}^{F_{2}} = 0; \end{aligned}$$
(C11)  
$$a_{2}^{B_{1}} &= -\frac{g^{2}(2+)(1+)Q^{2} + 2m^{2}}{16^{2}(1+)^{2}z}; \\ a_{2}^{B_{2}} &= -\frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}(1+)} - \frac{4^{2}(2+2+2)m^{2} + (B+(2+))(B+2+2)(Q^{2}-2m^{2})}{(1+)(2+)z} + 3 \\ a_{2}^{B_{3}} &= -\frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}m^{2}(1+)^{2}} - 2 - \frac{(2+)m^{2}}{1+} - \frac{Q^{2}[(1+)Q^{2}-2m^{2}]}{z} \\ \end{aligned}$$

W hile integrating over dx in Eq. (C 6c), we introduce a new variable =  $(x \quad 1)$ = . Then

$$Z_{1+}$$
  $Z_{1}$   
 $dx (:::) = d (:::):$ 

A fler that the integrands  $f_{reg}$  should be decomposed in powers of up to term s of order 1= . Perform ing this transform ation, we obtain the following result:

$$a_{\text{reg}}^{\text{F}_{1}} = a_{\text{reg}}^{\text{F}_{2}} = 0;$$

$$a_{\text{reg}}^{\text{B}_{1}} = \frac{1}{2}a_{\text{reg}}^{\text{B}_{2}} = \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} \, (Q^{2}); \quad (C12)$$

$$a_{\text{reg}}^{\text{B}_{3}} = \frac{g^{2}}{32^{-2}m^{2}} \, \frac{Q^{2}}{-} + (4m^{2} - 2^{-2} + Q^{-2}) \, (Q^{2})$$

4. Contribution to the form factors from  $\ensuremath{}^{\text{(C)}}$ 

The matrix structure of the vertex  $^{(C)}$  is the same as the one in front of the form factor B<sub>3</sub> in the decomposition (56). For this reason  $^{(C)}$  contributes to B<sub>3</sub> only. From Eq. (60) we easily nd

$$a_1^{B_3} = \frac{g^2}{32 \, {}^2m^2} \frac{\log(1+)}{(1+)};$$
 (C 13)

all the other coe cients being zero.

with ' (Q $^2$ ) given by Eq. (C10).

#### APPENDIX D:DERIVING THE LIGHT-FRONT ELECTROMAGNETIC VERTEX FROM THE FEYNMAN APPROACH

P roceeding from the Feynm an amplitude (69) for the EMV, Sec. IIIB, we calculate here the pole, arc, and zero-m ode contributions.

#### 1. P relim in ary transform ations

Under the condition  $p_{+}^{0}$  p = 0, the LF components of the four-vectors p and  $p^{0}$  are

$$p_{2} = 0; \quad p = \frac{m^{2}}{p_{+}};$$
  
 $p_{2}^{0} = q_{2}; \quad p^{0} = \frac{q_{2}^{2} + m^{2}}{p_{+}};$ 
(D1)

where  $q_{?}$  is the transversal part of the three-dimensional momentum transfer:

$$q_{?} = p_{?}^{0}$$
  $p_{?}$ ;  $Q^{2} = (p^{0} p)^{2} = q_{?}^{2}$ :

Introducing a new variable x by means of the relation  $k_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  =  $xp_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  and using the notations

$$a = \frac{k_{?}^{2} + {}^{2}}{p_{+}}; \quad b = \frac{k_{?}^{2} + m^{2}}{p_{+}}; \quad b^{0} = \frac{(k_{?} - q_{?})^{2} + m^{2}}{p_{+}};$$
(D 2)

we cast Eq. (70) in the form

$$= \frac{ig^{2}}{128 \, {}^{4}p_{+}^{2}} \, \begin{array}{c} Z & Z_{+1} \\ d^{2}k_{2} & dx \\ 1 & 1 \\ z_{+1} \\ 1 \end{array} \, \frac{dk \, [k_{+} + M^{0}] \, [k_{-} + M]}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{2}^{0}}; \quad (D3)$$

where

$$M = (x 1)p, p + 2k_{2} 2m; (D 5)$$
  
$$M^{0} = (x 1)p, p^{0} + 2(k_{2} q_{2}) 2m:$$

The matrices M  $\,$  and M  $^0$  do not depend on k  $\,$  .

The integral in Eq. (D 3) diverges at  $k_2 j! 1$ . If x = 0 or x = 1, it diverges also at k ! 1. We constrain the integration over  $dk_2^2$  in  $d^2k_2 = \frac{1}{2}dk_2^2 d$  by the cuto  $\frac{2}{2}$ , and introduce also the cuto sL in k, as in Eq. (21), and in x, splitting the region of the integration over dx into three "norm al" regions 1 < x < 1

< x < 1 , 1 + < x < +1 and two in nitesimal
ones < x < , 1 < x < 1 + . The integrals over
the "norm al" regions are calculated by sum ming up the
residue and arc contributions, as in Sec. IIB 1. The contributions (if any) from the two in nitesimal regions of
the integration over dx, which do not vanish in the lim it</pre>

! 0, correspond to the zero m odes. Because of rather weak (logarithm ic) divergence of the initial integral (69) we expect that the result of the full integration over dk and dx has a nite limit at L ! 1 and ! 0, while the remaining integration over  $d^2k_2$  produces logarithmic dependence of the EM V on the cuto  $_2$ .

#### 2. Pole and arc contributions

W e start with the calculation of  $(p^{+a})$ . The procedure is quite sim ilar to that exposed in Appendix B 1 and in the papers [9, 10, 15, 16, 17]. The integrand in Eq. (D 3) has three poles:

$$k^{(1)} = \frac{a \quad i0}{x};$$

$$k^{(2)} = \frac{b + (x \quad 1)p \quad i0}{x \quad 1};$$

$$k^{(3)} = \frac{b^{0} + (x \quad 1)p^{0} \quad i0}{x \quad 1}: \qquad (D \ 6)$$

If 1 < x < or 1 + < x < +1, all of them are, respectively, either in the upper half-plane of k or in the lower one. If < x < 1, the pole  $k^{(1)}$  is in the lower half-plane, while the poles  $k^{(2)}$  and  $k^{(3)}$  are in the upper one. W e can thus write, analogously to Eq. (B1):

where <sup>pole</sup> equals the residue of the integrand in the pole  $k = k^{(1)}$ , multiplied by 2 i:

$$pole = \frac{8 i p_{+}^{2}}{x} \frac{(6p^{0} k + m) (6p k + m)}{[(p^{0} k)^{2} m^{2}][(p k)^{2} m^{2}]} (D8)$$

with  $k^2 = 2^{-1}$ , and the arc contributions are

$$\operatorname{arc; low} = \operatorname{arc; up} = \frac{1}{x(1 - x)^2} + + : (D 9)$$

Substituting Eqs. (D8) and (D9) into Eq. (D7), we nd

Г

W e substitute here  $\log (1 = )$  by  $R_1^{-1}$  dx=x and take the limit

! 0. The latter is achieved simply by setting = 0 in the integration limits, because the integrand is no more singular neither at x = 0 nor at x = 1. We also return to the covariant notations, replacing <sub>+</sub> by  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $p_+$  by ! p. In this way we not that <sup>(p+a)</sup> is given by Eq. (72).

# In order to calculate these integrals, we need their asymptotical limit when L ! 1 and ! 0. As explained in Sec. IIB 1, one should take the limit L ! 1 rst, while keeping nite, and then allow ! 0.

W e represent 
$$G^{(x=0)}$$
 as

#### 3. Zero modes

Let us now consider the zero mode contribution to Eq. (D 3). It can be written as

$$(zm) = \frac{ig^2}{128 q^4 p_+^2} d^2 k_2 G^{(x=0)} + G^{(x=1)};$$
 (D11)

where

$$G^{(x=0)} = dx \int_{L}^{Z} \frac{Z}{u} \frac{dk \ k + M^{0} \ k + M^{0}}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{2}^{0}};$$

$$G^{(x=1)} = dx \int_{L}^{Z} \frac{dk \ k + M^{0} \ k + M^{0}}{u_{1}v_{2}v_{2}^{0}};$$

$$(D \ 12a)$$

$$U^{(x=1)} = dx \int_{L}^{Z} \frac{dk \ k + M^{0} \ k + M^{0}}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{2}^{0}};$$

$$(D \ 12b)$$

$$G^{(x=0)} = dx dk + \frac{k^2}{v_1 v_2 v_2^0} + (M^0 + + M^0) \frac{k}{v_1 v_2 v_2^0} + M^0 M \frac{1}{v_1 v_2 v_2^0} ;$$

For further calculations, we will make use of the form ulas

$$\frac{k^{n}}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{2}^{0}} = \frac{D_{n}(x)}{v_{1}} + \frac{E_{n}(x)}{v_{2}} + \frac{F_{n}(x)}{v_{2}^{0}}; \quad n = 0; 1; 2; (D 13)$$

where  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , and  $v_2^0$  are de ned by Eqs. (D 4), and the functions D<sub>n</sub>(x), E<sub>n</sub>(x), and F<sub>n</sub>(x) are

$$D_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^{2}}{t_{1}t_{1}^{0}}; \qquad E_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{t_{1}t_{2}}; \qquad F_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{t_{1}^{0}t_{2}};$$

$$D_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a\mathbf{x}}{t_{1}t_{1}^{0}}; \qquad E_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{x} - 1)\mathbf{p}}{t_{1}t_{2}}; \qquad F_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{b}^{0} + (\mathbf{x} - 1)\mathbf{p}^{0}}{t_{1}^{0}t_{2}}; \qquad (D 14)$$

$$D_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^{2}}{t_{1}t_{1}^{0}}; \qquad E_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{|\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{x} - 1)\mathbf{p}|^{2}}{(\mathbf{x} - 1)t_{1}t_{2}}; \qquad F_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{|\mathbf{b}^{0} + (\mathbf{x} - 1)\mathbf{p}^{0}|^{2}}{(\mathbf{x} - 1)t_{1}^{0}t_{2}};$$

following three types:

with

$$t_1 = (x 1)(xp a) + bx; \\ t_1^0 = (x 1)(xp^0 a) + bx; \\ t_2 = b b^0 + (p p^0)(x 1):$$

The values of a, b, and  $b^0$  are de ned by Eqs. (D 2).

A fter the transform ation (D 13), the problem of nding G  $^{\rm (x=0)}$  reduces to the calculation of integrals of the

 $Z \qquad Z \qquad L \qquad \frac{dk}{v_1};$   $Z \qquad Z \qquad L \qquad \frac{dk}{v_1};$   $Z \qquad Z \qquad L \qquad \frac{dk}{v_2};$   $Z \qquad Z \qquad L \qquad \frac{dk}{v_2};$   $Z \qquad Z \qquad L \qquad \frac{dk}{v_2};$   $dx f(x) \qquad L \qquad \frac{dk}{v_2^0};$ 

with various functions f (x), being either D  $_n$  (x), E  $_n$  (x), and F  $_n$  (x), or their products with M and M  $^0$ . It is easy to see that the latter two integrals in Eqs. (D 15) always

form ula (E 4) and taking into account that D<sub>2</sub>(0) = 1, we get  

$$G^{(x=0)} = + + dx D_{2}(x) \sum_{L}^{Z} \frac{dk}{v_{1}}$$

$$= + + 2 i \log \frac{L}{a} \log \frac{1}{a}$$
(D 16)

The calculation of  $G^{(x=1)}$  is similar, but the algebra is more lengthy. Changing the variable  $x \mid x = 1$ , we have

$$G^{(x=1)} = \begin{array}{c} Z & Z_{L} \\ dx & dk \\ L & k \\ + (M_{1}^{0} + + + M_{1}) \frac{k}{u_{1}u_{2}u_{2}^{0}} \\ + M_{1}^{0} & M_{1} \frac{1}{u_{1}u_{2}u_{2}^{0}} \end{array};$$

where

$$u_1 = (x + 1)k$$
  $a + i0;$   
 $u_2 = xk$   $b$   $xp + i0;$   
 $u_2^0 = xk$   $b^0$   $xp^0 + i0;$  (D17)

$$M_{1} = xp_{+} \qquad p_{+} \qquad 2k_{2} \quad 2m; \quad (D18)$$
$$M_{1}^{0} = xp_{+} \qquad p_{+}^{0} \quad 2(k_{2} \quad q_{2}) \quad 2m:$$

W e have now, instead of Eq. (D 13):

$$\frac{k^{n}}{u_{1}u_{2}u_{2}^{0}} = \frac{D_{n}(x+1)}{u_{1}} + \frac{E_{n}(x+1)}{u_{2}} + \frac{F_{n}(x+1)}{u_{2}^{0}};$$
  

$$n = 0; 1; 2: \qquad (D 19)$$

A gain, we encounter integrals of the types (D 15), v's being changed by u's. The term s with  $u_2$  and  $u_2^0$  in the denom inators contribute only, while those with  $u_1$  disappear in the lim it ! 0, after the integration over dx. U sing the form ulas (E4) and (E5) from Appendix E, we obtain after som e transform ations:

$$G^{(x=1)} = + + ^{2} + 2 i \log \frac{L}{a} \log \frac{L}{a}$$
$$+ 2 iH_{1}(k_{2})g$$
$$+ 2 ip_{+} M^{0}_{10} + + M_{10}]H_{2}(k_{2}); (D 20)$$

where

$$M_{10} = p + 2k_{2} \cdot 2m;$$

$$M_{10}^{0} = p^{0} + 2(k_{2} \cdot q_{2}) \cdot 2m;$$

$$H_{1}(k_{2}) = \frac{p \cdot p^{0}}{b \cdot b} + \log \frac{a}{b}$$

$$+ \frac{(a \cdot b)(b \cdot b) + bp^{0} \cdot bp}{(b \cdot b)^{2}} \log \frac{b}{b^{0}};$$

$$H_{2}(k_{2}) = \frac{1}{p_{+}(b \cdot b)} \log \frac{b}{b^{0}};$$

In order to nd the form factors F  $^{(zm)}$  from the vertex  $^{(zm)}$ , it is convenient to cast the latter in an explicitly covariant form . For this purpose we introduce the four-vectors

Then, taking the sum of Eqs. (D 16) and (D 20), substituting it into Eq. (D 11), and changing everywhere  $_{+}$  !  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $p_{+}$  ! p, we arrive at the desired result:

To nd the form factors, one should substitute (2m) into Eq. (57), instead of , then nd  $c_{1-5}$  by m eans of Eqs. (58), and nally revert the system of linear equations (59) taken for = 0.W e give here the result:

$$F_{1}^{(zm)} = F_{2}^{(zm)} = 0;$$
  

$$B_{1}^{(zm)} = \frac{g^{2}}{32^{3}} d^{2}k_{2} b_{1}(k_{2});$$

where

$$b_1 (k_?) = H_2 (k_?); \quad b_2 (k_?) = 2H_2 (k_?); b_3 (k_?) = \frac{1}{m^2} H_1 (k_?) \quad 2 (k_? + m^2)H_2 (k_?) :$$

It is convenient to use the following representations:

$$H_{1}(k_{2}) = \frac{\sum_{0}^{1} dz}{0} \frac{zp + (1 - z)p^{0} + a - b^{0}}{bz + b^{0}(1 - z)} + \frac{a - b}{az + b(1 - z)};$$

$$H_{2}(k_{2}) = \frac{1}{p_{+}} \sum_{0}^{Z_{-1}} \frac{dz}{bz + b^{0}(1 - z)};$$

which can be checked by direct integration. Calculating rst the integrals over  $d^2k_2$  and then over dz, we nd the zero m ode contribution to the form factors, Eqs. (75).

# APPENDIX E: CALCULATION OF THE ZERO-M ODE INTEGRALS

Finding the zero m ode contribution to the self-energy and EMV requires calculation of the asymptotic value of the integral

$$I_{1} = dx \int_{L}^{Z} \frac{dk}{xk + i0}$$
(E1)

quantities independent both of k  $\$  and x). As we shall see below, the real part of I<sub>1</sub> is nite in this limit, so that

The imaginary part of  $I_1$  is divergent in L and  $\,$  , and we have to retain nite values of the cuto s:

$$Im I_1 = dx dk (xk b xh):$$

U sing the identity (z) = (z), we can easily see that Im  $I_1$  does not change under the transform ation b! b. It is thus legitim ate to substitute b by [b]. Calculating the integral by means of the -function, we get

$$\operatorname{Im} I_{1} = \frac{\sum_{\substack{j > j \\ \overline{L} + h}}^{2} \frac{j_{0}j}{\overline{L} + h}} \frac{dx}{j_{x}j} + \frac{\sum_{\substack{j > j \\ \overline{L} - h}}^{2} \frac{dx}{x}}{\sum_{\substack{j > j \\ \overline{L} - h}}^{2} + 2 \log :$$

C ollecting the results together and neglecting the term s of order  $(1=L)^2$  and higher, we nally obtain

$$I_1 = {}^2 \operatorname{sgn}(b) 2 \operatorname{i} \log \frac{L}{p_j} \log \frac{1}{2} : (E2)$$

Let us now consider the integral needed for the calculation of the zero-m ode contribution to the EMV :

$$I_2 = \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dx}{L} \frac{L}{k} \frac{dk}{k} \frac{dk}{k}$$
(E3)

where the principal value prescription for the pole at

x = 0 is implied. The real part of  $I_2$  is found by direct integration:

$$ReI_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & & Z & & I \\ \frac{dx}{x} & P & V & & \frac{dk}{x} & b & xh \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} \log \frac{xL}{xL + b + xh} \\ = \frac{4h}{L} + O & \frac{h}{L} \end{bmatrix}^{3}$$

We have expanded the result in a series in powers of l=(L), retaining the leading term only. Note that according to our prescription we should rst tend L to in n-ity, while keeping nite, and then tend to zero. Under such a convention, we obtain  $ReI_2 = 0$ . The imaginary part of  $I_2$  reads

$$\operatorname{Im} I_{2} = \frac{Z}{x} \frac{dx}{x} \operatorname{I}_{L} dk \quad (xk \quad b \quad xh)$$
$$= \frac{Z}{x^{2}} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} \operatorname{I}_{xL \quad b \quad xh} dy \quad (y)$$
$$= \frac{2 \quad h}{b}:$$

Finally,

$$I_2 = \frac{2 \text{ ih}}{b}$$

From the results obtained above it is easy to derive the following expressions for the generalized integrals

$$I_{1} = \frac{Z}{dx f(x)} \int_{L}^{Z} \frac{dk}{xk} \frac{dk}{b xh + i0}$$
(E4)  
$$= \frac{2}{sgn(b)} + 2 i \log \frac{L}{bj} \log^{-1} f(0);$$
  
$$I_{2} = \frac{Z}{dx} \frac{f(x)}{x} \int_{L}^{Z} \frac{dk}{xk} \frac{dk}{b xh + i0}$$
(E5)  
$$= \frac{2 ih}{b} f(0)$$
$$\frac{2}{sgn(b)} + 2 i \log \frac{L}{bj} \log^{-1} f^{0}(0);$$

where f(x) is an arbitrary function supposed to be smooth and nite at x = 0.

- [1] J. Carbonell, B. Desplanques, V A. Karm anov and J.-F.M athiot, Phys.Rep.300, 215 (1998).
- [2] S.J. Brodsky, H.C. Pauli, and S.S. Pinsky, Phys. Rep. 301, 299 (1998).
- [3] S.J.Brodsky, J.R.H iller, G.M cC artor, Annals Phys. 305 266 (2003).
- [4] S.J. Brodsky, V.A. Franke, J.R. Hiller, G. McCartor, S.A. Paston, E.V. Prokhvatilov, Nucl. Phys. B 703, 333

(2004).

- [5] S.J. Brodsky, J.R. Hiller, G. McCartor, Annals Phys. 321, 1240 (2006).
- [6] D.Bernard, Th.Cousin, VA.Kam anov, J.F.M athiot, Phys. Rev. D 65, 025016 (2002).
- [7] V A. Karmanov, J.F. Mathiot, A V. Sm imov, Phys. Rev.D 69,045009 (2004).
- [8] J.F. M athiot, V A. Karmanov, A.V. Sm imov, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 161, 160 (2006).
- [9] N E. Ligterink and B L G. Bakker, Phys. Rev. D 52, 5917, 5954 (1995).
- [10] N L J. Schoonderwoerd and B L G. Bakker, Phys. Rev. D 58,025013 (1998).
- [11] JPBC. de Melo and T. Frederico, Phys. Rev. C 55, 2043 (1997); JPBC. de Melo, JHD. Sales, T. Frederico and PJ. Sauer, Nucl. Phys. A 631, 584c (1998); JPBC. de Melo, T. Frederico, HWL. Naus and PJ. Sauer, Nucl. Phys. A 660, 219 (1999); HWL. Naus, JPBC. de Melo and T. Frederico, Few-body Systems, 24, 99 (1998); JPBC. de Melo and T. Frederico, Braz. JPhys. 34, 881, (2004).

- [L2] B L G .Bakker, H .M .Choiand Ch.R.Ji, Phys.Rev.D 65, 116001 (2002).
- [13] B C. T iburziand G A. M iller, Phys. Rev. D 67, 054014, 054015 (2003).
- [14] F.Bissey and J.F.M athiot, Eur. Phys. J.C 16, 131 (2000).
- [15] W .Jaus, Phys.Rev.D 67,094010 (2003).
- [16] BLG. Bakker, MA. DeW itt, Ch.R. Ji and Yu.M ishchenko, Phys. Rev. D 72, 076005 (2005).
- [17] Ch.R. Ji, B L G. Bakker and H.-M. Choi, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 161, 102 (2006).
- [18] J.-J. Dugne, V A. K am anov and J.-F. M athiot, Eur. Phys. J. C 22, 105 (2001).
- [19] V A.Kam anov, ZhETF, 71, 399 (1976) transl. JETP, 44, 210 (1976)].
- [20] V A.K am anov and J.F.M athiot, Nucl. Phys.A 602, 338 (1996).
- [21] P.G range and E.W emer, Nucl. Phys.B (Proc. Suppl) 161, 75 (2006).