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Starting from a given Smatrix of an integrable quantum eld theory in $1+1$ dim ensions, and know ledge of its on-shellquantum group sym $m$ etries, we describe how to extend the sym $m$ etry to the space of elds. $T$ his is accom plished by introducing an adjoint action of the sym $m$ etry generators on elds, and specifying the form factors of descendents. The braiding relations of quantum eld multiplets is shown to be given by the universal R $m$ atrix. W e develop in som e detail the case of in nite dim ensional Y angian sym $m$ etry. $W$ e show that the quantum double of the Yangian is a H opfalgebra deform ation of a level zero K ac-M oody algebra that preserves its nite dim ensional Lie subalgebra. The elds form in nite dim ensional Verm a-m odule representations; in particular the energy-m om entum tensor and isotopic current are in the sam e multiplet.

## 1. Introduction

T he study ofquantum eld theory in $1+1$ spacetim e dim ensions can provide im portant insight into the structure of quantum eld theory in general. O f particular interest are the exactly solvable $m$ assive $m$ odels, which are integrable H am iltonian system s . In m any cases, the exact on-shell S-m atrices are known. (For som e well-known exam ples see [1] .) $T$ he exact form factors for som e elds in $m$ any of the $m$ odels have also been com puted [2] . D espite the integrability of the $m$ odels, $m$ any of their im portant properties, $m$ ost notably their correlation functions, rem ain unknow $n$.

M ore recently it hasbeen recognized that them assive integrable quantum eld theories are to a large extent characterized by quantum group symmetries, which can serve to de ne the theory non-perturbatively [3] [7] [5]. The quantum group sym $m$ etry algebras of interest are in nite dim ensional, and non-abelian. Explicit currents that generate a q-deform ation of a ne K acM oody algebras [ [ [ ] w ere constructed in the sine-G ordon theory and its generalization to im aginary coupling a ne Toda theory $\$$, and shown to com pletely characterize the S-m atrioes. At a speci c value of the coupling constant where the theory is invariant with respect to a nite dim ensional Lie algebra G, these theories are the $G$ ross $N$ eveu models, and there the in nite dim ensional sym $m$ etry becom es the Yangian ofD rinfeld, which contains G as a subalgebra. The non-local conserved currents that generate this symmetry were actually constructed some tim e ago by Luscher回] . H ow ever the connection of these currents to Yangians was only recently recognized by Bemard [4].

The existence of these in nite dim ensional sym $m$ etries leads to the idea that these $m$ odels $m$ ay be solvable in the spirit that the conform al eld theories are solved 9]. In this $m$ ethodology the em phasis is on how the elds realize in nite dim ensional Vem a$m$ odule representations of the sym $m$ etry algebra.

In this work, assum ing the exact S-m atrix and its on-shell sym $m$ etry for a general $m$ odel are given, we describe how the sym m etry can be extended o-shell to the space of elds. W e begin by de ning an adjoint action of the sym metry algebra on elds, which generalizes the notion of com $m$ utator one encounters for ordinary sym $m$ etries, to an arbitrary quantum group symmetry. Form factors of descendent' elds are shown to be explicitly com putable from the form factors of ancestor' elds. The braiding of elds in the $m$ ultiplets obtained by adjoint action is given by the universal $R$ m atrix of the quantum group. A result of this kind was also found for the nite dim ensionalquantum group sym $m$ etry of conform al eld theory by G om ez and Sierra [10].

The exam ple we develop in som e detail, which displays all of the interesting issues involved, is characterized by $s l(2)$ Y angian sym m etry. A s m entioned above, these m odels can be form ulated as the $G$-invariant $G$ ross $-N$ eveu $m$ odel [11], where $G$ is here taken to be $\operatorname{sl}(2)$. This m odel can also be form ulated in a w ay more suitable for our discussion as a m assive current-current pertunbation of the $G$-invariant $W$ ess-Zum ino-W itten- N ovikov (W ZW N ) m odel at levelk = 1, w ith the action

$$
S=S_{G}^{\text {level } 1}+g \quad d^{2} x J^{a}(x) \bar{J}^{a}(x)
$$

where $J^{a} ; \bar{J}^{a}$ are the levell $K$ ac-M oody currents of the $W$ ZW $N$ conform al eld theory $S_{G}$. For this model, we are able to construct in nite dim ensional multiplets through adjoint action. The derivative of the $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ current is actually a descendent of the energy $m$ om entum tensor. W e construct the appropriate braiding $m$ atrix by studying the quantum double for the $Y$ angian. T hese braiding $m$ atrices are in nite dim ensional.

It is interesting to com pare som e of the features of the $m$ assive verses the conform al theory. The conform al m odel described by $S_{G}$ can be com pletely solved using its K acM oody sym m etry [12] [13], where the $K$ acłM oody generators are $m$ odes of the currents, $J_{n}^{a}={ }^{H} \frac{d z}{2 i} z^{n} J^{a}(z), n 2 Z$. These generators satisfy the algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}^{a} ; J_{m}^{b}=f^{a b c} J_{n+m}^{c}+\frac{1}{2} k n \quad a b n ; m ; \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The full sym $m$ etry of the conform al m odel also includes and additional antinolom onphic $K$ ac-M oody sym m etry generated by $\bar{J}_{n}^{a}=\frac{d \bar{z}}{2} \bar{z}^{n} \bar{J}^{a}(Z)$. A swew ill describe, the Y angian algebra of the $m$ assive theory is a deform ation of a $K$ ac-M oody algebra that preserves its nite dim ensional Lie subalgebra G. H ow ever the Y angian sym $m$ etry does not appear to be related in any sim ple w ay to the $K$ ac $-M$ oody sym $m$ etry of the conform alm odel. In fact, setting the deform ation param eter of the Y angian to zero one recovers a level0 K ac-M oody algebra. This zero value for the levelis a general feature of the in nite dim ensionalalgebras relevant for the $m$ assive theories. Indeed the theories $w$ ith $q$-deform ed a ne $K$ acM oody sym $m$ etry, which the $Y$ angian invariant theories are a lim iting case of, also have zero level [5].
$T$ his zero value of the levelhas a physicalexplanation. Level zero K ac-M oody algebras (som etim es called loop algebras) possess nite dim ensional representations. In conform al eld theory, since there is a one-to-one correspondence betw een elds and states, a non-zero level is required for the in nite dim ensional representations corresponding to the elds. In
contrast, for $m$ assive $m$ odels, if the sym $m$ etry algebra com $m$ utes $w$ th the particle num ber operator, then the sym $m$ etry algebra $m$ ust possess nite dim ensional representations on the space of particle states, since the num ber of states at xed particle num ber is nite. Thus, assum ing that at least som e of the representations of the K ac-M oody algebra can be deform ed into representations of the Yangian, the level must be zero. However, in distinction with conform al eld theory, since there is not this correspondence between states and elds in $m$ assive theory, the elds of the theory $m$ ay still form distinct in nite dim ensional representations.

This paper is organized as follow s. In the rst section we review som e aspects of the theory of quantum groups that we w ill need. The general, m odel independent form alism is presented in section 3. The exam ple of $Y$ angian sym $m$ etry is treated in section 4.

## 2. Q uantum G roups

In this section we review som e general aspects of the theory of quantum groups, follow ing $m$ ainly the presentation of $\operatorname{rinfeld}$ 14] and Faddeev, Reshetikhin, and Takhta jan [15].

Q uantum groups are non-trivialexam ples of H opfalgebras. Let A denote such a Hopf algebra. It is equipped with a multiplication $m$ ap $m$ : A A! A, a com ultiplication : A! A A, antipode s:A! A, and counit ": A! C, where C is the com plex num bers. W e suppose that $A$ contains the unit elem ent 1 , w ith $(1)=1 \quad 1$; $s(1)="(1)=1$. These operations have the follow ing properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a} & 1
\end{array}\right)=m\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right)=a  \tag{2:1a}\\
& m(m \quad i d)=m(i d \quad m)  \tag{2:1.b}\\
& (\quad i d)=(i d \quad)  \tag{2:1c}\\
& \text { (a) }(b)=(a b)  \tag{2:1d}\\
& \text { m (s }  \tag{2:1e}\\
& \text { id) (a) }=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{id} \quad \mathrm{~s})(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{a}) \quad 1 \\
& s(a b)=s(b) s(a)  \tag{2:1f}\\
& s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s & s
\end{array}\right)  \tag{2:1g}\\
& \text { (" id) }=(i d \quad \text { ") }=i d  \tag{2:1h}\\
& "(a b)="(a) "(b) ; \tag{2:1i}
\end{align*}
$$

for $a ; b 2 A$, and $P$ is the perm utation operator $P(a \quad b)=b \quad a$. Eq. 2:1a) is the de nition of the unit elem ent, $2: 1 \mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{d})$ are the associativity and coassociativity of A , (2:1d) de nes to be a hom om orphism of $A$ to $A \quad A$, and ( $4: 1 \mathrm{l}$ i) are the de ning properties of the counit and antipode.

Let $f e_{a} g$ denote a linear basis for A. The above operations can be form ulated by $m$ eans of structure constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{a} e_{b}=m_{a b}^{c} e_{c}  \tag{2:2a}\\
& \left(e_{a}\right)={ }_{a}^{b c} e_{b} e_{c} \\
& s\left(e_{a}\right)=s_{a}^{b} e_{b}  \tag{2:2c}\\
& "\left(e_{a}\right)="_{a} ; \tag{2:2d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m{ }_{a b}^{c} ;{ }_{a}^{b c} ; s_{a}^{b}$, and " ${ }_{a}$ are constants in C. W e also de ne constants "a such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\boldsymbol{n}^{\mathrm{a}} e_{a}: \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties 2:1) are easily expressed as consistency conditions on the structure constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{a b}^{c} m_{d e}^{b}=m{ }_{a d}^{b} m_{b e}^{c}  \tag{2:4b}\\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{bc} & \mathrm{de} \\
\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}=\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{db} & \mathrm{ec} \\
\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}  \tag{2:4c}\\
& { }_{a}^{i j}{ }_{b}^{k 1} m{ }_{i k}^{c} m{ }_{j 1}^{d}=m{ }_{a b}^{i}{ }_{i}^{c d}  \tag{2:4d}\\
& m_{i j}^{b} S_{k}^{j}{ }_{a}^{i k}=m_{j i}^{b} S_{k}^{j}{ }_{a}^{k i}="_{a}{ }^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2:4e}\\
& m{ }_{a b}^{i} S_{i}^{c}=s_{b}^{j} S_{a}^{k} m_{j k}^{c}  \tag{2:4f}\\
& { }_{i}^{a b} s_{c}^{i}=s_{j}^{a} s_{k}^{b}{ }_{c}^{k j}  \tag{2:4g}\\
& { }_{a}^{b c}{ }_{c}={ }_{a}^{c b}{ }_{c}{ }_{c}={ }_{a}^{b}  \tag{2:4h}\\
& m_{a b}^{c} "_{c}="_{a}{ }^{b}
\end{align*}
$$

For the applications we are interested in, we need to introduce the concept of the quantum double. Let A denote an algebra dual to $A$ in the quantum double sense, w ith
basis $f e^{a} g$. T he elem ents of the dualbasis are de ned to satisfy the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{a} e^{b}={ }_{c}^{a b} e^{c}  \tag{2:5a}\\
& \left(e^{a}\right)=m_{b c}^{a} e^{c} \quad e^{b}  \tag{2:5b}\\
& s\left(e^{a}\right)=\left(s^{1}\right)_{b}^{a} e^{b}  \tag{2:5c}\\
& "\left(e^{a}\right)="^{a}  \tag{2:5d}\\
& "_{a} e^{a}=1: \tag{2:5e}
\end{align*}
$$

D ue to the properties of the structure constants 2:4), one has a H opf algebra structure on A.

The quantum double is a H opf algebra structure on the space A A. The relations between the elem ents $e_{a}$ and the dual elem ents $e^{a}$ are de ned as follows. De ne the perm uted com ultiplication ${ }^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}\left(e_{a}\right)={ }_{a}^{b c} e_{c} \quad e_{0} ; \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and skew antipode $s^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(s^{0} \quad 1\right){ }^{0}(a)="_{a} \quad 1: \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The universal $R \mathrm{~m}$ atrix is an elem ent of A A de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\int_{a}^{X} e_{a} \quad e^{a} ; \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \quad\left(e_{a}\right)={ }^{0}\left(e_{a}\right) R ; \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e_{a}$. The equation (2.9) im plies the follow ing relation between elem ents of $A$ and A :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{b c} m_{i b}^{d} e^{i} e_{c}={ }_{a}^{c b} m_{b i}^{d} e_{c} e^{i}: \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the property 2.7) of $s^{0}$, and $\left.2: 4 \mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{j}\right)$, the above equation can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{a} e^{b}={ }_{a}^{k c l} m_{i d k}^{b} s_{1}^{0 i} e^{d} e_{c}  \tag{2:11a}\\
& e^{b} e_{a}={ }_{a}^{l c k} m_{k d i}^{b} s_{1}^{o i} e_{c} e^{d} \tag{2:11b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { lck }}{a}={\underset{a}{l i} \underset{i}{c k} ; \quad m_{k d m}^{b}=m_{k d}^{i} m_{i m}^{b}: ~}_{\text {b }}^{\text {b }} \tag{2:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he universal $R$ m atrix constructed in this fashion satis es the $Y$ ang $B$ axter equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{12} \mathrm{R}_{13} \mathrm{R}_{23}=\mathrm{R}_{23} \mathrm{R}_{13} \mathrm{R}_{12}: \tag{2:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne also has

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{1}={ }_{a}^{X} S\left(e_{a}\right) \quad e^{a}: \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen A has an invariant bilinear form, A can often be identi ed with A, and R becom es an elem ent ofA A. H ow ever in som e im portant exam ples, such as the Y angian, th is is not the case.
3. G eneral Theory

Let us suppose we are given the exact factorizable $S$-m atrix of an integrable quantum eld theory. A s usual, the energy-m om entum of an on-shell particle is param eterized by the rapidity

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}()=m \cosh () ; \quad p_{1}()=m \sinh (): \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the one-particle states have isotopic degrees of freedom taking values in som e vector space $V$. A com plete in nite dim ensional set of states is provided by the $m$ ultiparticle basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { -Bi= jnin } 1 ;:::: i_{1} i: \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above state is a vector in $V{ }^{n}$; the isotopic indices are not explicitly displayed. In-


The two-particle to two-particle S-m atrix is an operator

$$
S_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{3:3}
\end{array}\right): V \quad V!V \quad V:
$$

$T$ he $S-m$ atrix $S_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ satis es the usual constraints of the $Y$ ang $B$ axter equations, unitarity and crossing sym $m$ etry [1]:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{S}_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{S}_{13}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{S}_{23}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right) & =\mathrm{S}_{23}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{S}_{13}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{S}_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)(3: 4 \mathrm{a}) \\
\mathrm{S}_{12}(1) \mathrm{S}_{21}(\mathrm{l}
\end{array}\right)=1 .
$$

where $c$ is the $m$ atrix of charge con jugation, and the subscripts refer to the space where the operator acts. The multiparticle $S-m$ atrix is factorized into 2 -particle $S-m$ atrioes:

W e further suppose that the $S$-m atrix is invariant under som e sym $m$ etry algebra A. The algebra A is assum ed to be a quantum group with the structures outlined in section 2. The space of $m$ ultiparticle states $H$ form $s$ an in nite dim ensional representation $н$ of the algebra A. It is assum ed that the generators of A com mute w th the particle num ber operator. This im plies that the representation $н$ is necessarily reducible into nite dim ensional representations of xed particle num ber. (M ore speci cally we have nite dim ensional representations at xed rapidities, i.e. we do not count the additional $m$ ultiplicity involved in varying the rapidity.) G iven the representation $\boldsymbol{н}$ of $A$ on oneparticle states, which is in general rapidity dependent, the representation on $m$ ultiparticle states is provided by the com ultiplication. T he on-shell sym $m$ etry of the $S$-m atrix is the statem ent

$$
S_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2) \tag{3:6}
\end{array}\right) \quad v\left(\left(e_{a}\right)\right)=v \quad v\left({ }^{0}\left(e_{a}\right)\right) S_{12}(1 \quad 2):
$$

For the $m$ odels of interest, 3.6) com pletely characterizes the $S-m$ atrix. C om paring eq. (3.6) $w$ ith the de ning equation (2.9) for the universal $R$ matrix it is evident that the $S-m$ atrix is a specialization of the $R-m$ atrix to the nite dim ensional rapidity dependent representation v of A (up to overallscalar factors $s_{0}()$ required for unitarity and crossing sym $m$ etry) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{12}()=S_{0}() \quad v \quad v(R): \tag{3:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is of prim ary interest to extend the above on-shell sym $m$ etries to the space of elds. $T$ his problem ism ore com plex than for the conform al eld theories since there is no one-toone correspondence betw een elds and states here. T he elds in the theory are com pletely de ned by specifying theirm atrix elem ents in the space of states $H$, i.e. their form factors. Let us begin by review ing som e basic properties of form factors in integrable quantum eld theory [ ${ }^{2}$ ]. The form factors $f(1 ;::: ; n$ ) ofa eld $(x)$ are de ned as the particular $m$ atrix elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(1 ;::: ; n)=h 0 j(0) j_{n} ; n_{1} ;::: ; 1 \text { i: } \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form factor in (3.8) is a $m$ ap from $V{ }^{n}$ to a function of $n$ rapidity variables; it is thus a vector in the dual space ( $V^{\mathrm{n}}$ ).

The form factors satisfy the follow ing axiom atic properties:
and for local elds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(1 ;::: ;{ }_{n} 1 ;{ }_{n}+2 \text { i) }=f\left({ }_{\mathrm{n}} ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ;{ }_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right):\right. \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he form factors also satisfy a third axiom that relates residues of $n$-particle form factors to $n$ 1-particle form factors, but we will not need it here. T he generalm atrix elem ents follow from the functions (3.8) by crossing sym m etry

(T he equation 3.11) is correct as it stands for the set ofrapidities $f 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m}$ 9 disconnected from the set f $1 ;::: ;{ }_{n} g$. W hen som e of the rapidities coincide $w$ ith , there are som $e$ additional -fiunction term $s$ in (3.17). ) Finally the $x$ dependence of the $m$ atrix elem ents can be trivially restored due to translational invariance

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1} ;::: ; \quad \text { m (0) j } n \text {; :::; } 1 i: \tag{3:12}
\end{align*}
$$

For the $m$ ore fam iliar sym $m$ etries of quantum eld theory, such as global Lie-algebra invariance, the sym $m$ etry is realized on the space of elds through the com $m$ utator w ith the global conserved charges. T he Jacobi identity ensures that elds related by this adjoint action fallinto nite dim ensional representations of the sym $m$ etry algebra. It is this feature that we now generalize to an arbitrary quantum group A. W e de ne two adjoint actions on the space of eldst

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\operatorname{ade}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})\right) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{aj}}^{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}  \tag{3:13a}\\
& \operatorname{ad}_{e_{a}}^{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad{ }_{a}^{i j} s^{0}\left(e_{j}\right) \quad(x) e_{i}: \tag{3:13b}
\end{align*}
$$

1 For arbitrary H opf algebras there exists a standard de nition of the adjoint action of the algebra on itself which is analagous to the adjoint action on elds de ned below [16].

The signi cance of the two di erent adjoint actions willbe explained shortly. $T$ he adjoint actions as we have de ned them are prim arily characterized as being hom om onphism s
hom 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d ; a d^{0}: A \quad!\quad A \quad(x) A: \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ade}_{e_{a}}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{e_{0}}((x))\right)=m_{a b}^{c} \operatorname{ade}_{c}((x)): \tag{3:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the fact that the com ultiplication is a hom om onphism from $A$ to A A 2:1d), and from 2:1f). For elem ents a 2 A w ith the trivial com ultiplication (a) = a $1+1 \quad a$, and counit " $(a)=0$, ad $a$ is just the usual com $m$ utator.

Repeated adjoint action on a given eld (x) generates a tow er of its descendents':

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ad } \\
& \text { (x) ! f } a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::(x) g \quad(x)  \tag{3:16a}\\
& \mathrm{ad}^{0} \\
& \text { (x) ! f } \quad \underset{a_{1} ; a_{2} ;:::}{0}(x) g \quad{ }^{0}(x) \text { : } \tag{3:16b}
\end{align*}
$$

The form factors ofany descendent ofa eld (x) are explicitly com putable from know ledge of the form factors for ( $x$ ) and the given action $\boldsymbol{H}$ of $A$ on the space of $m$ ultiparticle states. This is evident from the de nition (3:13), where when com puting the $m$ atrix elem ents of $\operatorname{ad}_{e_{a}}(\mathrm{X})$ ) the elem ents of $A$ to the right or left of the eld give a known transform ation on states.

By virtue of the sym $m$ etry of the $S-m$ atrix (3.6), the descendent form factors satisfy the axiom 3.9). H ow ever they do not generally satisfy 3.10), hence descendents are generally not local elds. This is due to the non-locality of the conserved currents that generate A. Indeed, as explained in [4] [5], and sim ilarly for conform al eld theories in [10], the non-trivial com ultiplication of $A$ is due to the non-locality of these currents.

A highest weight eld is de ned as a eld which cannot be reached by adjoint action on another eld. A precise de nition of highest weight eld requires a de nition of raising and low ering operators for the algebra A, or at least for its quantum double. The tow ers of descendents 3:16) of a highest weight eld by construction llout a representation of A, denoted (x). This is due to the property (3.15) of the adjoint action. M ore speci cally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ade}_{\mathrm{a}}(\quad(\mathrm{x}))=\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{x}): \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elds are thus intertw iners for $A$.
Speci cmodels are characterized by which representations span its eld content. For algebras A generated by a nite num ber ofelem ents these representations are expected to be nite dim ensional. For the case where $A$ is sim ply a nite dim ensionallie algebra, this corresponds to the fact that elds are irreducible tensors. For in nite dim ensional algebras A the possibility arises that the representations are in nite dim ensional, Verm a-m odule representations. For the exam ple considered in the next section, this is the case.

The elds (x) are in part characterized by their braiding relations. W e w ill prove the fundam entalbraiding relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(y ; t) \quad(x ; t)=R \quad{ }_{1} ; \quad 2 \quad(x) \quad(y) \quad x<y ; \tag{3:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $R{ }_{1} ; \quad$ is the universal $R m$ atrix specialized to the representations ${ }_{1 ; 2}$ of the elds 1;2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1} ;_{2}=1 \quad 2(R): \tag{3:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne way to prove 3.18) is to use a generalization of the locality theorem used in 目]. O ne rst uses this locality theorem to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t) \quad 0_{2}(y ; t)=0_{2}(y ; t) \quad(x ; t) \quad x<y: \tag{3:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of 320 ) is m odel dependent; how ever it is ultim ately due to the crossing sym m etry of the S-m atrix. The relation 320 ) will be established for the exam ple of the next section.

The elds (x) and ${ }^{0}(x)$ are related by the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}(x)=R_{\text {н }} ; \quad(x) \text {; } \tag{3:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $R_{\text {н }}$; is again the universal $R \rightarrow m$ atrix evaluated in the representations indicated. $T$ he form ula 321) is established by show ing that $R_{\text {н }}$; must satisfy its de ning relation (2.9). T o prove the last statem ent, note that

$$
\text { H } \quad \begin{align*}
\left(\left(e_{a}\right)\right) \quad(x) & ={ }_{a}^{i j} e_{i} \operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{j}}(\quad(x)) \\
& ={ }_{a}^{i j}{ }_{j}^{k l} e_{i} s\left(e_{k}\right) \quad(x) e_{l} \\
& =(x) e_{a} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last step we used the coassociativity (2:4d), the de ning properties of the antipode 2:43), and 2:4h). Sim ilarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H } \quad\left({ }^{0}\left(e_{a}\right)\right){ }^{0}(x)=0^{0}(x) e_{a} \text { : } \tag{3:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore ${ }^{0}(x) e_{a}=R_{\text {н }} ; \quad(x) e_{a}$ implies

$$
\text { H } \quad\left({ }^{0}\left(e_{a}\right)\right) R_{\text {н }} ; \quad(x)=R_{\text {н }} ; \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\text { н } & )\left(e_{a}\right)\right) & (x) ; \tag{3:24}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which establishes the relation (2.9) for $R_{\text {н ; }}$;
The relation 321) can be inserted into (3.20) to derive the braiding relation 3.18) for the elds. W e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& =s\left(e_{i}\right) \quad{ }_{1}(x) e_{j} \quad{ }_{2}\left(e^{i}\right) \quad{ }_{2}\left(e^{j}\right) \quad{ }_{2}(y) \\
& =\left({ }_{1}\left(e_{a}\right) \quad{ }_{1}(x)\right)\left({ }_{2}\left(e^{a}\right) \quad(y)\right) \text {; } \tag{3:25}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (2.8), and the hom om onphism property (3.15) for the relation (2:5z) in the dual space. From the expression 2.9) for $R$, one has thus established 3.18).

T he above proof of the braiding relation (3.18) relied on 3.20), which is established in a speci cm odelusing form factors. Eq. (3.18) can be understood in an altemative model independent way. W e rst show that the adjoint action on a product of elds is given by the com ultiplication, nam ely:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ade}_{a}\left(\quad{ }_{2}(y) \quad(x)\right) & ={ }_{a}^{b c} s\left(e_{0}\right) \quad{ }_{2}(y) \quad{ }_{1}(x) e_{c} \\
& ={ }_{a}^{b c} \operatorname{ad}_{e_{0}}\left({ }_{2}(y)\right) \operatorname{ade}_{c}\left({ }_{1}(x)\right) \\
& \left.=2 \quad 1\left(e_{a}\right)\right) \quad{ }_{2}(y) \quad{ }_{1}(x): \tag{3:26}
\end{align*}
$$

A bove we have used the coassociativity 2:4g) and 2:4h). A pplying ade ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ to both sides of 3.18), and using (3.26), one nds that $R$ must satisfy the de ning property of the universal $R$ m atrix 2.9).

Let us suppose that we can associate a conserved current $J_{a}(x)$, satisfying @ $J_{a}(x)=$ 0 , to an elem ent $e_{a}$ of $A$, such that $e_{a}={ }^{R} d x J_{a}^{t}(x)$. The braiding of these currents $w$ ith other elds can be expressed in a simple way. N ote rst that due to the (co)associativity
(2:4b; ) of the algebra A , there exists an adjoint representation adj of A , A whose $m$ atrix elem ents are given in term s of the structure constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hbj } \operatorname{adj}\left(e_{a}\right) j \dot{c i}=m_{a c}^{b}  \tag{3:27a}\\
& \text { hcj }{ }_{\text {adj }}\left(e^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \text { jbi }=\begin{array}{c}
a b \\
c
\end{array} \tag{3:27b}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his fact is analogous to the fact that the structure constants of a Lie algebra form the adjoint representation of the algebra due to the Jacobi identity. The currents $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})$ are associated to the elds in adj $(x)$. The braiding relation 3.18) for ${ }_{2}=$ adj reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a}(y) \quad(x)=\quad R_{a}^{b} \quad(x) J_{b}(y) \quad x<y ; \tag{3:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a}^{b} \quad{ }_{a}^{c b} e_{c}: \tag{3:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he above equations im ply that the braiding w ith the currents can be sim ply determ ined from the com ultiplication .
4. The exam ple of $Y$ angian Sym m etry

In this section we apply the general theory of the last section to $m$ odels $w$ ith $Y$ angian sym $m$ etry. A s explained in the introduction these $m$ odels can be described by the action (1.1). For sim plicity we consider only the case of sl(2).

### 4.1 Sym m etries of the $S-m$ atrix

The spectrum of particles in this $m$ odel is known to consist only ofkinks j;itransform ing under the 2 -dim ensional spin-1/2 representation $j$ i of sl(2). The S-m atrix has the follow ing sim ple form

$$
S_{12}()=S_{0}()\left(\quad \text { i } P_{12}\right) \text {; }
$$

$w$ here $P_{12}$ is the perm utation operator:

$$
P_{12}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{X^{3}} \quad \begin{gather*}
\text { a }  \tag{4:2}\\
a=1
\end{gather*} \quad a+1 ;
$$

( ${ }^{a}$ are the $P$ auli spin $m$ atriges), and

$$
s_{0}()=\frac{(1=2+\quad=2 \text { i }) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
=2 & \text { i })  \tag{4:3}\\
(1=2 & =2
\end{array}\right) \quad(=2 \text { i })}{} \text { : }
$$

$W$ e now describe the sym $m$ etries of the $S-m$ atrix 4.1). Let $Q_{0}^{a} ; a=1 ; 2 ; 3$ denote the global sl(2) charges satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{0}^{b} & =f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{c}  \tag{4:4}\\
f^{a b c} & =i^{a b c}:
\end{align*}
$$

D e ne an additional charge $Q_{1}^{a}$, satisfying

$$
Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b}=f^{a b c} Q_{1}^{c}:
$$

T hese charges have the follow ing action on 1-particle states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{a} j i=t^{a} j i ; \quad Q_{1}^{a} j i=t^{a} \quad j i ; \tag{4:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

wheret $t^{a}=2$, and $j$ iare eigenstates oft $t^{3}, t^{3} j i=j i=2$. Theaction on multiparticle states is given by the com ultiplication

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\mathrm{a}} 1+1 \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{a}  \tag{4:7a}\\
& \left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{a} \quad 1+1 \quad \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\mathrm{c}} ; \tag{4:7b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
=\frac{2 i}{C_{A}}
$$

and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=2$ is the quadratic casim ir in the adjoint representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mathrm{abc}} f^{\mathrm{bcd}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{ad}}: \tag{4:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com ultiplication 4:7) im plies

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}^{a} j_{n} ;::: ; 1_{1} i=@_{i=1}^{0} X_{i}^{n} t_{i}^{a}+f^{a b c}{ }^{X} j_{i}^{b} t_{j}^{c A} j_{n} ;::: ;{ }_{1} i \tag{4:11}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hat these are sym $m$ etries of the $S-m$ atrix, i.e. that (3.6) is satis ed, is easily checked by explicit com putation. The value 4.8) for is a consequence of the crossing sym $m$ etry of $S_{12}$.

The equations (4.4), 4.5), and (4:7), are part of the de ning relations of the Y angian $Y$, as form ulated by $D$ rinfeld [14]. The com plete set of relations for the $Y$ angian include the additional terri $c^{\prime}$ 园 relations

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b} ; Q_{0}^{c} & Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b} ; Q_{1}^{c}={ }^{2} A^{a b c d e f} f Q_{0}^{d} ; Q_{0}^{e} ; Q_{0}^{f} g  \tag{4:12a}\\
Q_{1}^{\mathrm{a}} ; Q_{1}^{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{c} ; \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{d} & +Q_{1}^{c} ; Q_{1}^{d} ; Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b} \\
& ={ }^{2} A^{a b k l m n} f^{c d k}+A^{c d k l m n} f^{a b k} f Q_{0}^{1} ; Q_{0}^{m} ; Q_{1}^{n} g ; \tag{4:12b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\text {abcdef }}=f^{a d k} f^{\text {bel }} f^{c f m} f^{k l m}$, and $f ; g$ denotes sym m etrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fx}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~g}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!} \prod_{\mathrm{i}_{1} \notin \mathrm{i}_{2}} \quad \mathrm{X} \underset{\mathrm{n} f \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}} \quad \mathrm{i}_{n} \mathrm{x} \tag{4:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

These last relations 4:12) were constructed to be consistent w the com ultiplication. For sl(2), eq. 4:12a) is super uous, i.e. it follow s from (4.5) w ith the right hand side of 4:123) equal to zero. For other groups, 4:12b) follows from (4.5) and 4:12a).

The rem aining $H$ opf algebra properties of the $Y$ angian are

$$
\begin{gather*}
"\left(Q_{0}^{a}\right)="\left(Q_{1}^{a}\right)=0 ;  \tag{4:14}\\
s\left(Q_{0}^{a}\right)=Q_{0}^{a} ; \quad S\left(Q_{1}^{a}\right)=Q_{1}^{a}+f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{b} Q_{0}^{c}: \tag{4:15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The antipodes 4.15) are derived from the de nition 2:1®) .
The Yangian is a H opf algebra for any constant value of the param eter . Setting
= 0 one recovers half of the K ac -M oody algebra. By half' we m ean the generators w ith either positive or negative eigenvalue of the derivation elem ent, or positive or negative frequency $m$ odes in the sense of current algebra. The Yangian is the unique deform ation of the $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{M}$ oody algebra that preserves the nite dim ensional L ie subalgebra (4.4). It has a natural grading where $Q_{0}^{a}$ has degree zero, and $Q_{1}^{a}$ and have degree +1 . E lem ents of the Yangian corresponding to the other half of the $\mathrm{Kac}-\mathrm{M}$ oody algebra are m issing in D rinfeld's work. For our punposes, m ore speci cally for constructing the universal R $m$ atrix that characterizes the braiding of elds, it is necessary to introduce the quantum double of the $Y$ angian $D(Y)$. The deform ation of the other half of the $K$ ac $-M$ oody algebra is located in $D(Y)$ as we w ill show in the next section.

[^0]
## 32 The Yangian D ouble and Deform ations of the Full K ac-M oody A lgebra

A s explained in the last section, for our goals an extension of the $Y$ angian $Y$ is needed which we are now going to describe. For the sake of sim plicity we consider only the sl(2) case 3 . For this case, the second relation in 4:12) can be rew ritten as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.f^{a c d}\left[Q_{1}^{c} ; Q_{1}^{d}\right] ; Q_{1}^{b}\right]+f^{b c d}\left[Q_{1}^{c} ; Q_{1}^{d}\right] ; Q_{1}^{a}\right]=2^{2} f^{a c d} f Q_{0}^{b} ; Q_{0}^{c} ; Q_{1}^{d} g+f^{b c d} f Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{0}^{c} ; Q_{1}^{d} g \tag{4:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(by multiplying ( $4: 12 \mathrm{D})$ by $\mathrm{f}^{\text {abi }} \mathrm{f}^{\text {cdj }}$ ), while the rst relation is satis ed trivially. A s m entioned above, the Yangian is a deform ation of the universal enveloping algebra of the subalgebra $\mathrm{Sl}_{1}(2)_{+}$of the K ac M oody algebra $\mathrm{Sl}(2)$. In this w ork, for reasons that w ill soon be apparent, we need only consider the $\mathrm{sl}_{1}(2)$ loop algebra, which is obtained from the K acM oody algebra by setting the level to zero. W e begin by presenting several sim ple facts conceming the loop algebra itself.

The loop algebra $\mathcal{S l}_{1}(2)$ has the set of generators $J_{n}^{a}$; n 2 Z satisfying the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]=\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{c}} \tag{4:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It contains tw o subalgebras $\operatorname{sl}(2)_{+}$and $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ generated by $f J_{n}^{a} ; n \quad 0 g$ and $f J_{n}^{a} ; n<0 g$ respectively. O ne can de ne the set of generators in a m ore econom ical way; for exam ple, $J_{1}^{a} ; J_{0}^{a} ; J^{a}{ }_{1}$ are su cient to generate $\mathrm{S}_{1}(2)$, because the relations 4.17) allow one to construct the rem aining generators. H ow ever, one m ust im pose additional relations due to the fact that the free Lie algebra generated by $J_{1}^{a} ; J_{0}^{a} ; J^{a}{ }_{1}$ is larger than the loop algebra. $U$ sing the relations 4.17) one hasmany ways of de ning $J_{m}^{a} ; ~$ in $j \quad 3$ in term $\operatorname{sof} J_{0}^{a} ; J^{a}{ }_{1}$. ( $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{2}$ are de ned uniquely for $\mathrm{Sl}(2)$.) Thus, it is necessary to require that these di erent de nitions de ne the sam e algebra. It can be shown that for the $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ case it is su cient to require that tw o di erent de nitions of $J_{3}{ }^{a} J^{a}{ }_{3}$ coincide:

Provided 4.18) is satis ed, all possible de nitions of $J_{m}^{a}$; in j 3 coincide autom atically. The relations 4.18) play the sam e role as the Serre relations [17]. T hus the loop algebra $\mathrm{Sl}_{1}(2)$ is generated by $\mathrm{J}_{1}{ }_{1} ; \mathrm{J}_{0}^{a}$ satisfying 4.17), 4.18), w ith the trivial com ultiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{m}^{a}\right)=J_{m}^{a} \quad 1+1 \quad J_{m}^{a} \quad m=0 ; 1: \tag{4:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]The loop algebra can be equipped w ith the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
h J_{m}^{a} ; J_{n}^{b} i={ }^{a b} m+n+1 ; 0: \tag{4:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

 ture of the classical double can be introduced [18] and the classical r-m atrix can be de ned:

$$
r=\begin{array}{llllllll}
X & J_{n}^{a} & \left(J_{n}^{a}\right)=  \tag{4:21}\\
n & 0 & J_{n}^{a} \quad J_{n}^{a}{ }_{n}: \\
& 0
\end{array}
$$

For the tensor product of nite dim ensional representations depending on spectral param eters ; ,where $J_{m}^{a}!{ }^{m} t^{a} ;{ }^{m} t^{a}$, the $r-m$ atrix is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \tag{4:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and coincides with the celebrated classical r-m atrix found by Sklyanin 19].
Let us now retum to the Yangian. Wewant to dem onstrate rst that $Y$ is a defor$m$ ation of the universal enveloping algebra $U\left(\mathrm{sl}(2)_{+}\right)$of $\mathrm{sl}(2)_{+}$. The basis of $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{sl}(2)_{+}\right)$ consists of the follow ing elem ents

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{fJ}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}}^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} & { }_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}} \Phi & \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{A}} \tag{4:23}
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(J_{K}^{A}\right)=\underbrace{X}_{k 2 K} k: \tag{4:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ne the follow ing elem ents of $Y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{k}^{a}=\frac{1}{(2)^{k} 1} f^{a_{1} a_{2}} f^{a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}} \quad a_{2 k} f_{4} a_{2 k} 3 a_{2 k} 2 \quad Q_{1}^{a_{1}} Q_{1}^{a_{3}} \quad{ }_{1}^{a_{2} Q^{3}} ; Q_{1}^{a_{2 k}{ }_{2}}\right] \tag{4:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the vector space w hose basis consists of the elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fQ}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}}^{a_{1}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{A}}: \tag{4:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing induction in the degree of the elem ents one can show that the vector space de ned in this way coincides $w$ ith $Y$, just as the vector space generated by (4.23) coincides $w$ ith $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{Sl}_{1}(2)_{+}\right)$. An im portant point is that the relations 4.16) allow one to express the difference betw een two possible de nitions of $Q_{3}^{a}$ in term $s$ of the elem ents of low er degree.
$T$ hus $Y$ is indeed a deform ation of $U\left(S l(2)_{+}\right)$where $Q_{k}^{a}$ corresponds to $J_{k}^{a}$. $W$ e present the follow ing exam ples of the $m$ ultiplication $m$ ap in $Y$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{0}^{a} Q_{0}^{b}=Q_{0 ; 0}^{a ; b}+\frac{1}{2} f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{c}  \tag{4:27}\\
& Q_{0 ; 0}^{a ; b} Q_{0}^{c}=Q_{0 ; 0 ; 0}^{a ; b ; c}+\frac{1}{2} f^{a c d} Q_{0 ; 0}^{b ; d}+\frac{1}{2} f^{b c d} Q_{0 ; 0}^{a ; d} \\
& \frac{1}{12}\left(^{a c b d}+b c a d \quad 2^{a b c d}\right) Q_{0}^{d} \\
& \text { ) } m_{Q_{0}^{b} Q_{0}^{\mathrm{b}}}^{\substack{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{abc}} ; \underset{Q_{00}^{\mathrm{d}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}} Q_{0}^{c}}}{\substack{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1}{12}(2 \text { de ac dc ae ec ad }) \text { : } \tag{4:28}
\end{align*}
$$

For what follow s we need the com ultiplication of $Q_{K}^{A}$, which can be shown to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{K}^{A}\right)=\sum_{\operatorname{deg} Q_{K}^{A}=\operatorname{deg} Q_{L}^{B}+\operatorname{deg} Q_{M}^{C}}^{\substack{Q_{K}^{B} ; Q_{M}^{C}} Q_{L}^{B} \quad Q_{M}^{C}, ~} \tag{4:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} Q_{K}^{A}=\underbrace{X}_{k 2 K}(k+1): \tag{4:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(Q_{1}^{a}\right)=Q_{1}^{a} & 1+1 & Q_{1}^{a}+f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{b} \quad Q_{0}^{c}  \tag{4:31}\\
\left(Q_{2}^{a}\right)=Q_{2}^{a} & 1+1 & Q_{2}^{a}+f^{a b c}\left(Q_{0}^{b} \quad Q_{1}^{c} \quad Q_{1}^{c} \quad Q_{0}^{b}\right) \\
& { }^{2}\left(Q_{0}^{b}\right. & \left.Q_{0 ; 0}^{a ; b}+Q_{0 ; 0}^{a ; b} \quad Q_{0}^{b}\right):
\end{array}
$$

W e w ish to extend $Y$ in order to obtain a deform ation of the com plete loop algebra. $T$ his can be accom plished by follow ing the general construction of the quantum double discussed in Section 2. Nam ely, let us construct the H opf algebra Y dual to Y. The elem ent dual to $Q_{0}^{a}$ is denoted by $2 Q^{a}{ }_{1}$. It can be shown that:

1. The algebra $Y$ is generated by $Q^{a}{ }_{1}$.
2. T he generators $Q^{a}{ }_{1}$ satisfy the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{acd}}\left[Q^{c}{ }_{1} ; Q^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{1}\right] ; Q^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{1}\right]+\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{bcd}}\left[Q^{c}{ }_{1} ; Q^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{1}\right] ; Q^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{1}\right]=0 \tag{4:32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the undeform ed form of (4.18) for $m=1$. The relation (4.32) w ill be proven below .

The algebra $Y$ is equivalent as an algebra (but not as coalgebra!) to $U$ ( $\mathrm{Sl}_{1}(2)$ ). So, its basis consists of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{A}{ }_{K} \quad f Q_{k_{1}}^{a_{1}} \quad{ }_{a_{n}}^{Q_{n}} g_{;} \quad k_{i}>0 \tag{4:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}^{a}=\frac{1}{(2)^{k} 1} f^{a_{1} a_{2}} f^{a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}} \quad a_{2 k} f_{1} a_{2 k} a_{2 k} a_{2 k} \quad\left[Q_{1}^{a_{1}}\left[Q_{1}^{a_{3}} \quad a_{2} Q^{3} ; Q_{1}^{a_{2 k}}\right] \quad\right]: \tag{4:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The degree of $Q^{A}{ }_{K}$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} Q_{k}^{A}=X_{k 2 K}^{X} k: \tag{4:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiplication m ap m in Y is described by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{A}{ }_{K} Q^{B}{ }_{L}=\underset{\operatorname{deg} Q^{A}{ }_{K}+\operatorname{deg} Q^{B}{ }_{L}=\operatorname{deg} Q^{C}{ }_{M}}{\mathrm{~m}_{Q^{A}}^{Q^{C}{ }_{M}}{ }^{\prime} Q^{B}{ }_{L}} Q^{C}{ }_{M}: \tag{4:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the general theory of section 2, nam ely 2:5a), the constants $m$ are com putable from the com ultiplication m ap in Y .

To exhibit the properties of the double $D(Y)$, know ledge of the basis elem ents is needed. The dual elem ents to $Q_{K}^{A} 2 Y$ should be expressed in term $s$ of the basis elem ents of $Y$. Let $A ; B ; C 2 Y$ and $X ; Y ; Z 2 Y$. The com ultiplication coe cients of $Y$ and the multiplication map m in Y have the usual de nitions: $(A)={ }_{A}^{B C} B \quad C$ and $X \quad Y=m_{X}^{Z}$. Let us de ne a $m$ atrix of coe cients $T$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~T}^{A X} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{Y}: \tag{4:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by 2:5a), T m ust satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{C}^{A B} T^{C Z}=T^{A X} T^{B Y} m{\underset{X Y}{Z}}_{Z} \tag{4:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew ere unable to deduce an generalform ula for $T$. H ow ever a study ofparticular exam ples (up to degree-4 in Y ) convinced us that the de ning relations 4.38) allow a solution. Furtherm ore the $m$ atrix $T$ is triangular:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{A X}=0 \text { if } \operatorname{deg}(A) \operatorname{deg}(X) \text { or } \operatorname{deg}(A)>\operatorname{deg}(X) ; \tag{4:39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{deg} Q_{k}^{A}=X_{k 2 k}^{X}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & 1 \tag{4:40}
\end{array}\right):
$$

Let us present som e exam ples of dualelem ents. C onsider $\left(Q_{1}^{a}\right)$. From 2:5a) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{0}^{a}\right) Q_{0}^{b}=(2)^{2} Q_{1 ; 1}^{a ; b}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b}={ }_{D 2 Y}^{X}{ }_{D}^{Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{0}^{b}} D \text { : } \tag{4:41}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\underset{\substack{Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{b}^{b} \\ Q_{o b}^{a b} \\ a}}{a}=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\mathrm{abc}}\left(Q_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) & =2^{2} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{1} ; \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{1}  \tag{4:42}\\
\mathrm{Q}_{00}^{\mathrm{ab}} & =4^{2} \mathrm{Q}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}}:
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation implies $\left(Q_{1}^{a}\right)=2 Q^{a}{ }_{2}$ and $\left.Q^{a}{ }_{1} ; Q^{b}{ }_{1}\right]=f^{a b c} Q^{c}{ }_{2}$.
O ne can deduce $\left(Q_{k}^{a}\right)$ inductively as follow $s$. Let us suppose $\left(Q_{k}^{a}{ }_{1}\right)=2 Q^{a}{ }_{k}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}^{a}{ }_{k}=Q_{k}^{a} 1+1 Q_{k}^{a}+f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{b} Q_{k}^{c} 2 Q_{k}^{c} 2 Q_{0}^{b}+ \tag{4:43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that ( $Q_{2}^{a}$ ) is of this form. Representing $Q_{k}^{a}$ as $f^{a b c} Q_{1}^{b} Q_{k}^{c} \quad{ }_{1}$, from 4.43) one show $s$ that $(Q \underset{k}{a})$ is of the same form as 4.43) with k 1 ! $k$. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{0}^{a}\right) Q_{k}^{b} 1_{1}=(2)^{2} Q^{a}{ }_{1} Q^{b}{ }_{k}=X_{D 2 Y}^{X} Q_{D}^{a} ; Q_{k 1}^{b} D: \tag{4:44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow it is not hard to realize that the only contributions in the above sum over $D$ come from $D=Q_{k}^{a}$ and $Q_{0 ; k}^{a b} \quad 1 . T$ hus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(Q_{k}^{a}\right) & =2 Q^{a}{ }_{k} 1  \tag{4:45}\\
Q_{0 k}^{a b} & =4^{2} Q^{a ; b}{ }_{1 ; k} ;
\end{align*}
$$

which im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{a}{ }_{1} ; Q_{k}^{b}=f^{a b c} Q_{k}^{c}{ }_{1}: \tag{4:46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Serre' relation (4.32) in $Y$ is now seen to be a consequence of 4.46). The relation (4.46) also im plies the follow ing exam ples of the $m$ ultiplication $m$ ap in $Y:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{a}{ }_{1} Q^{b}{ }_{1}=Q^{a ; b}{ }_{1 ; 1}+\frac{1}{2} f^{a b c} Q^{c}{ }_{2}  \tag{4:47}\\
& Q \underset{1 ; 1}{a ; b} Q^{c}{ }_{1}=Q Q_{1 ; 1 ; 1}^{a ; b ; c}+\frac{1}{2} f^{a c d} Q_{1 ; 2}^{b ; d}+\frac{1}{2} f^{b c d} Q_{1 ; 2}^{a ; d} \\
& \frac{1}{12}\left({ }^{a c b d}+b c a d \quad 2^{a b c d}\right) Q^{d}{ }_{3}:
\end{align*}
$$

D ualelem ents generally have corrections to the classical form ulas, unlike 4.45). C onsider for example $Q_{000}^{a b c}$. By exam ining 4.38) with $A=Q_{00}^{a b}$ and $B=Q_{0}^{c}$, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{000}^{\mathrm{abc}}=8^{3} \mathrm{Q}_{1 ; 1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c}}+\frac{4}{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{ac} \mathrm{bd}+\mathrm{bc} a \mathrm{ad}+\mathrm{abcd} \mathrm{Q}_{3}^{\mathrm{d}}: \tag{4:48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow using the general form ulas (2:11) one can deduce the com $m$ utation relations in the Yangian double. A straightforw ard com putation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Q } \left.{ }_{0}^{a} ; B \quad\right]=\mathrm{m}_{\left.\mathbb{D} ; Q_{0}^{\mathrm{B}}\right]} \mathrm{D}  \tag{4:49}\\
& \left.Q_{1}^{a} ; B\right]=m_{\left.\mathbb{D} ; Q_{1}^{a}\right]}^{B} D+f^{\text {acd }} m_{Q_{0}^{c} D}^{B}+m_{D}^{B} Q_{0}^{c} D Q_{0}^{d} \\
& \left.+f^{\text {acd }}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{Q}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{d}\right] \mathrm{D}
\end{align*}
$$

 $w$ th $B=Q_{0}^{b}$, and the above dual elem ents, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b}=f^{a b c} Q_{1}^{c}: \tag{4:50}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can also com pute the rst few term $s$ in the com $m$ utator

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}^{a} ; Q_{1}^{b} & =f^{\mathrm{abc}} Q_{0}^{c} \quad \overline{2}^{\mathrm{abc}} Q_{1}^{c} \quad 2^{2} \mathrm{Q}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}}+\frac{2^{2}}{3}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} Q_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{c}} \\
& +\frac{2^{2}}{3} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{abd}} \mathrm{Q}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{c}} Q_{0}^{\mathrm{d}} \quad \frac{2^{2}}{3} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{acd}} \mathrm{Q}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{b}} Q_{0}^{\mathrm{d}}+:::
\end{align*}
$$

The com ultiplication of $Q^{a}{ }_{1}$ can be found as follow s . C onsider all possible products of elem ents of $Y$ which contain $Q_{0}^{a}$. Evidently the only elem ents of this type are $Q_{0 ;}^{a_{1}}{ }^{n}{ }_{0}^{a}$

A ccording to the general rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{a}{ }_{1}=\frac{1}{2}_{C ; D 2 Y}^{X} m_{D C}^{Q_{D}^{a}} C \quad D: \tag{4:53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst several term sin (4.53) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q^{a}{ }_{1}=Q^{a}{ }_{1} 1+1 Q^{a}{ }_{1} f^{\mathrm{abc}} Q^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{1} Q^{c}{ }_{1}+\frac{2^{2}}{3} \text { ac bd }+ \text { ba dc } 2^{\mathrm{bc} a \mathrm{ad}} \\
Q^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c}}{ }_{1 ; 1}+\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c}}{ }_{1 ; 1} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{1}+::: \tag{4:54}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally the $R$ m atrix of $(Y)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=I+2 \sum_{k=0}^{X} Q_{k}^{a} Q_{k}^{a} 1_{1}+4^{2} Q_{0 k}^{a b} \quad Q_{1 ; k}^{a b} \quad 1 \\
& \mathrm{k}=0 \tag{4:55}
\end{align*}
$$

In the classical lim it

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{R} & =1+\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{o}\left({ }^{2}\right)  \tag{4:56}\\
\mathrm{r} & =\mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{1}+\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{2}^{a}+\mathrm{Q}_{2}^{a} \quad \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{a}}+
\end{align*}
$$

which coincides $w$ ith the classical rm atrix (421).
W e rem ark that one does not have the freedom to introduce a levelk into the $Y$ angian double, as in (1.2). Indeed, upon setting to zero in 4.51), one recovers the loop algebra. $T$ hough it rem ains an interesting $m$ athem atical problem to determ ine whether a central extension of the $Y$ angian can be introduced by other $m$ eans, this does not appear to be relevant for the physical applications we are considering.

### 3.3 Adjoint Action on F ields

From the de nition (3:13) we have the follow ing adjoint actions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{ad}_{Q_{0}^{a}}((x))=a d_{Q_{0}^{a}}^{0}((x))=\left[(x) ; Q_{0}^{a}\right]  \tag{4:57a}\\
& \operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}^{a}}((x))=\left[(x) ; Q_{1}^{a}\right] \quad f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{b}\left[(x) ; Q_{0}^{c}\right]  \tag{4:57b}\\
& \operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}^{a}}^{0}((x))=\operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}^{a}}((x))+2 f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{b}\left[(x) ; Q_{0}^{c}\right]: \tag{4:57c}
\end{align*}
$$

H ighest weight elds (x) can be de ned to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ad}_{Q_{0}^{+}}(\quad(x))=\operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}}{ }_{1}(\quad(x))=0 ; \tag{4:58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{0}=Q_{0}^{1} \quad Q_{0}^{2}={ }^{P} \overline{2}$. The vacuum is invariant under all the charges

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{a} j 0 i=Q_{1}^{a} j 0 i=Q^{a}{ }_{1} j 0 i=0: \tag{4:59}
\end{equation*}
$$

D escendents of (x), which com prise the multiplet (x), are denoted as

Let $f\left(1 ;::: ;{ }_{n}\right)$ denote the form factor fora eld $(x)$, not necessarily highest weight, as in 3.8). W e suppose that ( x ) transform s under som e nite dim ensional representation of $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Q_{0}^{a} ;(x)\right]=t^{a} \quad(x): \tag{4:61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sl(2) invariance ìm plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{a}+X_{i=1}^{X^{n}} t_{i}^{a} f\left(1 ;::: ; n_{n}\right)=0: \tag{4:62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ onsider now the form factors of the descendents $w$ ith respect to $Q_{1}^{a}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; a_{m}}\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; n_{n}\right)=h 0 j^{a_{1} ; a_{2} ;:: ; a_{m} ; 0}(0) j_{n} ;::: ;{ }_{1} i: \tag{4:63}
\end{equation*}
$$

From 4:57), 4.59), and 4.11), one obtains these form factors in term sof the form factors of ( x )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{a_{1} ; a_{2} ;:: ; a_{m}}\left(1 ;::: ; n_{n}\right)=T^{a_{1}}\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; n_{n}\right) \quad a_{m} T\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; n_{n}\right) f\left(1 ;::: ; n_{n}\right): \tag{4:64}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar expression holds for descendents $w$ ith respect to $\mathrm{ad}_{Q_{1}^{2}}$, where ! in the de nition for $\mathrm{T}^{\text {a }}$.
$W$ e now show that the two sets of 's descendent elds and ${ }^{0}$ commute for $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}$ (3.20) . The proof of (320) relies on a generalization of the locality theorem, which can be stated as follows [ $m$ atrix elem ents are de ned as

These $m$ atrix elem ents can be de ned in two di erent ways
(For sim plicity of notation we don't display the charge conjugation $m$ atrices.) For local operators, by 3.19), $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}^{0}$. The locality theorem states that all m atrix elem ents satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{O}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}: \tag{4:67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of 4．67）involves inserting a com plete set of states between the operators， representing the $m$ atrix elem ents of $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ w ith $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}^{0}$ respectively，and using analytic properties of form factors［⿴囗 $]$ ．

The details of the proof of the locality theorem adm it the follow ing generalization 目］． Let us be given any two operators $O(x)$ and $O^{0}(y)$ ，whose $m$ atrix elem ents are of the form $f$ and $f^{0}$ respectively，as in 4：68）．Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
O(x) O^{0}(y)=O^{0}(y) O(x) \quad x<y: \tag{4:68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us apply the above result to the com parison of descendent form factors in and ${ }^{0}$ ．For simplicity consider the case where the ancestor eld is local．We adopt the
 form factor $f^{a}(1 ;::: ; n)$ has the follow ing analytic property
$T$ he operator $T^{a}$ has the follow ing property

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{a}\left(1 ;::: ; n_{n}\right)=T^{a}\left(n_{n} ; 1:::: n_{n}\right) \quad 2 \text { it } t_{n}^{a} \quad 2 f^{a b c} t_{B}^{b} t_{n}^{c} ; \tag{4:70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{B}^{b}$ is the representation of $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ on the state $\beta i, t_{B}^{b}={ }_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{b}$ ．U sing 4．62），the equations 4．69）and 4．7d）im ply m ore generally that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{a}\left(B_{1} ; B_{2}+2 \text { i) }=f^{a}\left(B_{2} ; B_{1}\right)+2 f^{a b c} t^{b} t_{B_{2}}^{c} f\left(B_{2} ; B_{1}\right):\right. \tag{4:71}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider now the two kinds ofm atrix elem ents

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{a}(A \not B)=f^{a}(A \quad i ; B)  \tag{4:72a}\\
f^{0 a}(A \not B)=f^{a}(B ; A+i): \tag{4:72b}
\end{gather*}
$$

From 4．71）

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{0 a}(A-B)=f^{a}(A \quad i ; B)+2 f^{a b c} t^{b} t_{A}^{c} f(A \quad i ; B): \tag{4:73}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring 4．73）w ith 4：57），one sees that $f^{a}(A \mathcal{B})$ and $f^{0 a}(A \beta B)$ represent the $m$ atrix elem ents of $\operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}}()$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}^{a}}^{0}()$ respectively．Therefore，from the generalized locality theorem，these tw o elds com $m$ ute for $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}$ ．Repeated adjoint action yields the result 320）．

Let $J^{a}(x)$ and $J^{a}(x)$ denote the currents generating the conserved charges $Q_{0}^{a}$ and $Q_{1}^{a}$ respectively. Form the general result 3.28 ) one has the follow ing braiding relations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
J^{a}(y) & (x)= & (x) J^{a}(y)  \tag{4:74}\\
J^{a}(y) & (x)=y \\
& (x) J^{a}(y) \quad f^{a b c} Q_{0}^{b} ; \quad(x) \quad J^{c}(y):
\end{array}
$$

In applying (3.28) we have used the fact that the current generating the identity is zero.
$N$ on-local currents $J^{a}(x)$ generating the charges $Q_{1}^{a}$ w ere constructed by Luscher and
 in term $s$ of the current $J^{a}(x)$, through a non-localbilinear expression. $U$ sing this explicit construction of the current it $w$ as shown in [4] that it satis es the braiding relation (4.74).

Let us say a few words about what one expects for the eld content of the speci c $m$ odel we are considering. The conserved energy $m$ om entum tensor $T$, being an $s l(2)$ singlet, of course has no descendents w ith respect to $Q_{0}^{a}$. H ow ever repeated $a d_{Q_{1}}$ action generates an in nite m ultiplet of conserved currents, which we analyze in m ore detail in the next section. A s we w ill show, the energy mom entum tensor and the sl(2) current $J^{a}$ are in the sam em ultiplet. There are also elds (x); (x) whidh are sl(2) doublets that create the kink particles asym ptotically. T he elds $+i_{+}+$are highest weight elds for som e additional in nite dim ensional Verm a m odule representations.

### 3.4 D escendents of the E nergy-M om entum Tensor

$T$ he form factors of the energy $m$ om entum tensor $T \quad(x)$ and the $s l(2)$ current $J^{a}(x)$ are know $n$ [ 亿l] $^{2}$. They have the follow ing presentation. De ne two operators $O_{T}$ and $O_{J}^{a}$, related to T and $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{a}}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
T \quad(x) & =\frac{1}{2}(0 \quad 0+0 \quad 0) @ \circ @ \circ O_{T}(x) \\
J^{a}(x) & =@ O_{J}^{a}(x) ; \tag{4:75}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here is the antisym $m$ etric tensor. The energy-m om entum tensor and $s l(2)$ current can be represented this $w$ ay since they are conserved. The form factors for $T$ and $J^{a}$ follow sim ply from those of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{T}} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{J}}$ due to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hA j@ O (x) }-B i=i \underbrace{x^{m}}_{i=1} p\left(i^{c} X_{i=1}^{n} p(i) \quad h A j O(x) \not-B i:\right. \tag{4:76}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sim plicity we discuss only the two-particle form factors. They take the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h0jo }{ }_{j}^{a}(0) j_{2} ;{ }_{1} i=\frac{(1 \quad 2)}{2 i} \text { friplet; } \mathrm{ai}_{21} \text {; } \tag{4:77}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( ) is the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\sinh (=2) \exp \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x \frac{\sin ^{2}(x(+i)=2)}{x \sinh (x) \cosh (x=2)} e^{x=2} \quad: \tag{4:78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The states in 4.77) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { jsingleti }{ }_{21}=(j+i \quad j i \quad j i j+i) \\
& \text { friplet; } 1 ; 2 ; 3 i_{21}=(j i \quad j i \quad j+i \quad j+i)=2 ; i(j i \quad j i+j+i \quad j+i)=2 \text {; } \\
& \text { (j+i ji+ji j+i) }=2 \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

C onsider now the rst descendent of $T$ whose form factors are given by the general form ula 4.63). Since $T$ is an $\mathrm{sl}(2)$ singlet, its rst descendent is a local operator, as indicated by 4.71). O ne can show by a sim ple com putation that

$$
\mathrm{T}^{a}\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right) \text { jsingleti } i_{21}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & \text { i friplet; } i_{21} \text { : } \tag{4:80}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$T$ herefore, com paring the 2 -particle form factors of this rst descendent of $T$ with the form factors of $J^{a}$, one derives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{1}^{a} ; T \quad(x)\right]=\frac{1}{2} \quad @ J^{a}(x)+\quad @ J^{a}(x): \tag{4:81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the generator L of Lorentz boosts

$$
L=Z^{Z} d \times x T_{00} \quad(t=0):
$$

Then the global version of 4.81) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L} ; Q_{1}^{a}\right]=Q_{0}^{a}: \tag{4:83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generator $L$ thus has degree 1. The equation 4.83) was expected from the fact that on-shell, one has 4.9) and $L=\frac{\mathbb{C}}{\text { e }} . T$ hus one concludes that the $Y$ angian $m$ ust actually
be extended to include the Poincare algebra w ith generators $L$; $P$ in order to realize its full im plications. Though we have presented the derivation of 4.81) using only the two particle form factors, the sam e relation is im plied by the generalm ultiparticle form factors. W e consider next the rst descendents of $J^{a}$. O ne nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} f^{a b c} \operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}^{a}} J^{b}(x)=J^{c}(x)+\frac{-}{2} J^{c}(x) ; \tag{4:84}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to possible additional total derivatives. This follow s by com paring the braiding of the current $J^{a}$ on the right hand side of (4.84) com puted from the generalized locality theorem w th the braiding of the $m$ ore abstractly de ned current in (4.74), and also by considering the global relation (4.5).

A s a nal exam ple consider the conserved current and charge

$$
N \quad(x)=\operatorname{ad}_{Q_{1}^{a}} J^{a}(x) ; \quad N=d^{Z} N_{t}(x):
$$

In order to characterize this current, we determ ine the $m$ atrix elem ents of the charge $N$. $M$ atrix elem ents of conserved charges can be generally com puted as follow s. Let $J$ ( $x$ ) be a general current for a conserved charge $Q$, and de ne $J(x)=@ O(x)$. The operator $O$ is non-local. The form factors of $O$ ( $x$ ) correspond to the partially integrated current

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{x} & d y h A j J_{t}(y)-B i=h A j O(x)-B i \\
Z_{1}^{1} & \\
& d y h A J_{t}(y)-B i=h A j O(x)-B i:
\end{array}
$$

Them atrix elem ents on the RH S's of (4:86a; ${ }^{(1)}$ should be de ned by the continuations in (4:66a; d$)$ respectively, since these are consistent $w$ ith the range of integration. T herefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h A j Q \beta i=\lim _{0} f(A+i \mathcal{B}) \quad f(A \quad i \beta) ; \tag{4:87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ are the form factors of O. TheRHS of 4.87) is non-zero due to the fact that $O$ is non-local.

Retuming to the charge $N$, let $N(x)=@ O_{N}(x)$. The form factors of $O_{N}$ are com puted to be

$$
h 0 j O_{N}(0) j j_{2} ;{ }_{1} i=\frac{C_{1=2}}{2 i}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & i \tag{4:88}
\end{array}\right) \text { jsingleti; }
$$

$w$ here $c_{1=2}=3=4$ is the casim ir in the spin $1 / 2$ representation. $U$ sing the fact that $\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ has a simple pole at $\quad 2=1+i$, and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{i} \quad \frac{1}{+i}=2 i \quad() ; \tag{4:89}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} ; j N j_{2} ; i=\frac{3 i}{2}(1 \quad 2): \tag{4:90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus on one-particle states, $N$ is just proportional to the particle num ber operator. A sim ilar com putation form ultiparticle states veri es this identi cation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N j_{n} ;:::{ }_{1} i=\frac{3 i}{2} n j_{n} ;::: ;{ }_{1} i: \tag{4:91}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. C oncluding Rem arks

The relation 4.81) expressing the derivative of the $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ current as a descendent of the energy m om entum tensor is rem arkable. It im plies the space-tim e properties of the theory are closely related to the sl(2) properties. Since the non-localcurrent generating $Q_{1}^{a}$ can be expressed in term sof the sl(2) current $\left.J^{a}(x), 4.81\right)$ im plies the energy-m om entum tensor can be expressed exactly in term s of the sl(2) current also, thereby indicating an exact Sugaw ara construction in the $m$ assive theory. The details of such a construction deserves further study. This idea taken together $w$ ith the fact that the action 1.1) is expressed only in term s of the current $J^{a}$ im plies that the theory is fully characterized by the current algebra and the Yangian sym $m$ etry.
$W$ e have show $n$ that the levelk of the $K$ acM oody sym $m$ etry in the conform alm odel does not enter into the $Y$ angian algebra. This is som ew hat paradoxical, since the spectrum of elds in the W ZW N m odel is govemed by k. Furtherm ore, the levelk is still de ned in the $m$ assive theory as appearing in the current com $m$ utator

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{t}^{a}(x) ; J_{x}^{b}(0)=f^{a b c} J_{x}^{c}(0) \quad(x) \quad \frac{k}{i} \quad a b \quad 0(x): \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resolution of this puzzle can be found by considering the exact spectrum and S $m$ atrioes of the $m$ odel (1.1) for arbitrary level $k$, which were proposed in 2d]. Sim ilar S-m atrioes were found for spin-chain realizations of (1.1) in 21]. H ere it was found that the spectrum of $m$ assive particles $K_{a b}, a ; b 2 f 0 ; 1=2 ; 1 ;::: ; k=2 \mathrm{~g}$ is still govemed by an integer $k$, and re ects the spectrum of prim ary elds of the conform almodel $S_{G}$ it is a
perturbation of. H ow ever the $S-m$ atrix factorizes into tw o pieces, one which is the $Y$ angian invariant factor 4.1) for the indiges of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}}$, the other is characterized by an additional fractional supersym $m$ etry of order $k$ which acts on the indices $a ; b$. $T$ hus the $Y$ angian sym $m$ etry is una ected by varying the levelk. $R$ ather, increasing $k$ enlarges the $Y$ angian sym $m$ etry to include an independent fractional supersym $m$ etry.
$T$ he precise $m$ athem atical nature of the eld representations of the $Y$ angian should be explored. Them athem atics literature has so far focused only on nite dim ensional rapidity dependent representations, which are only applicable to on-shellob jects. Indeed this partly explains why the precise connection of the Yangian with a deform ation of the full K acM oody algebra, which arises is the study of its quantum double, was never precisely $m$ ade before.

The correlation functions are also constrained by the quantum symmetry, and we conclude with a few rem arks on this problem. It is evident that the $W$ ard identities are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (n) }\left(e_{a}\right) h 0 j_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \quad n\left(x_{n}\right) j 0 i=0 \text { : } \tag{5:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is derived by inserting the generator $e_{a}$ to the left of the elds in the correlation function and using h0je $e_{a}=0$, with 3.26). This equation can be used to relate correlation functions of various elds related by adjoint action. H ow ever by itself, it cannot determ ine correlation functions. $W$ hat is $m$ issing are the analogs of hull- elds' that are available in the conform al theory. The possibility of such null- elds is a very interesting issue.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ W e are here quoting $D$ rinfel ${ }^{\prime} d$.

[^1]:    3 Below we willuse the specialproperties of the $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ structure constants: $f^{\text {abe }} f^{\text {cde }}=$ ad bc ac bd.

