In nite Quantum Group Symmetry of Fields in Massive 2D Quantum Field Theory

Andre LeClair

Newm an Laboratory CornellUniversity Ithaca,NY 14853

and

F.A.Sm imov

Leningrad Branch of the Steklov M athematical Institute
Fontanka 27, Leningrad 191011, USSR

Starting from a given S-m atrix of an integrable quantum eld theory in 1+1 dimensions, and know ledge of its on-shell quantum group sym metries, we describe how to extend the sym metry to the space of elds. This is accomplished by introducing an adjoint action of the sym metry generators on elds, and specifying the form factors of descendents. The braiding relations of quantum eld multiplets is shown to be given by the universal R-matrix. We develop in some detail the case of in nite dimensional Yangian symmetry. We show that the quantum double of the Yangian is a Hopfalgebra deformation of a level zero Kac-Moody algebra that preserves its nite dimensional Lie subalgebra. The elds form in nite dimensional Verma-module representations; in particular the energy-momentum tensor and isotopic current are in the same multiplet.

1. Introduction

The study of quantum eld theory in 1+1 spacetime dimensions can provide important insight into the structure of quantum eld theory in general. Of particular interest are the exactly solvable massive models, which are integrable Hamiltonian systems. In many cases, the exact on-shell S-matrices are known. (For some well-known examples see [1].) The exact form factors for some elds in many of the models have also been computed [2]. Despite the integrability of the models, many of their important properties, most notably their correlation functions, remain unknown.

More recently it has been recognized that them assive integrable quantum eld theories are to a large extent characterized by quantum group symmetries, which can serve to de ne the theory non-perturbatively [3][4][5]. The quantum group symmetry algebras of interest are in nite dimensional, and non-abelian. Explicit currents that generate a q-deformation of a ne K ac M oody algebras [6][7] were constructed in the sine-G ordon theory and its generalization to imaginary coupling a ne Toda theory [5], and shown to completely characterize the S-m atrices. At a specic value of the coupling constant where the theory is invariant with respect to a nite dimensional Lie algebra G, these theories are the Gross-N eveu models, and there the innite dimensional symmetry becomes the Yangian of Drinfel'd, which contains G as a subalgebra. The non-local conserved currents that generate this symmetry were actually constructed sometime ago by Luscher[8]. However the connection of these currents to Yangians was only recently recognized by Bernard [4].

The existence of these in nite dimensional symmetries leads to the idea that these models may be solvable in the spirit that the conformal eld theories are solved [9]. In this methodology the emphasis is on how the elds realize in nite dimensional Vermamodule representations of the symmetry algebra.

In this work, assuming the exact S-matrix and its on-shell symmetry for a general model are given, we describe how the symmetry can be extended o -shell to the space of elds. We begin by dening an adjoint action of the symmetry algebra on elds, which generalizes the notion of commutator one encounters for ordinary symmetries, to an arbitrary quantum group symmetry. Form factors of descendent' elds are shown to be explicitly computable from the form factors of ancestor' elds. The braiding of elds in the multiplets obtained by adjoint action is given by the universal R-matrix of the quantum group. A result of this kind was also found for the nite dimensional quantum group symmetry of conformal eld theory by G omez and Sierra [10].

The example we develop in some detail, which displays all of the interesting issues involved, is characterized by sl(2) Yangian symmetry. As mentioned above, these models can be formulated as the G-invariant Gross-Neveu model [11], where G is here taken to be sl(2). This model can also be formulated in a way more suitable for our discussion as a massive current-current perturbation of the G-invariant Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov (WZWN) model at level k=1, with the action

$$S = S_G^{\text{level 1}} + g \quad d^2x J^a(x)\overline{J}^a(x); \qquad (1:1)$$

where J^a ; \overline{J}^a are the level 1 K ac-M oody currents of the W ZW N conform all eld theory S_G . For this model, we are able to construct in nite dimensional multiplets through adjoint action. The derivative of the sl(2) current is actually a descendent of the energy-m omentum tensor. We construct the appropriate braiding matrix by studying the quantum double for the Yangian. These braiding matrices are in nite dimensional.

It is interesting to compare some of the features of the massive verses the conformal theory. The conformal model described by S_G can be completely solved using its Kac-Moody symmetry [12] [13], where the Kac-Moody generators are modes of the currents, $J_n^a = \frac{dz}{2 \ i} \ z^n \ J^a$ (z), n 2 Z . These generators satisfy the algebra

$$J_n^a; J_m^b = f^{abc} J_{n+m}^c + \frac{1}{2} k n^{ab}_{n;m};$$
 (12)

The full sym metry of the conformal model also includes and additional antiholom orphic K ac-M oody sym metry generated by $\overline{J}_n^a = \frac{H}{2} \frac{d\overline{z}}{i} \, \overline{z}^n \, \overline{J}^a \, (\overline{z})$. As we will describe, the Yangian algebra of the massive theory is a deformation of a Kac-M oody algebra that preserves its nite dimensional Lie subalgebra G. However the Yangian sym metry does not appear to be related in any simple way to the Kac-M oody sym metry of the conformal model. In fact, setting the deformation parameter of the Yangian to zero one recovers a level 0 Kac-M oody algebra. This zero value for the level is a general feature of the in nite dimensional algebras relevant for the massive theories. Indeed the theories with q-deformed and Kac-M oody sym metry, which the Yangian invariant theories are a limiting case of, also have zero level [5].

This zero value of the level has a physical explanation. Level zero K ac-M oody algebras (som etim es called loop algebras) possess nite dimensional representations. In conformal eld theory, since there is a one-to-one correspondence between elds and states, a non-zero level is required for the in nite dimensional representations corresponding to the elds. In

contrast, for m assive m odels, if the sym m etry algebra com m utes with the particle num ber operator, then the sym m etry algebra m ust possess nite dimensional representations on the space of particle states, since the number of states at xed particle number is nite. Thus, assuming that at least some of the representations of the Kac-Moody algebra can be deformed into representations of the Yangian, the level must be zero. However, in distinction with conformal eld theory, since there is not this correspondence between states and elds in massive theory, the elds of the theory may still form distinct in nite dimensional representations.

This paper is organized as follows. In the rst section we review some aspects of the theory of quantum groups that we will need. The general, model independent formalism is presented in section 3. The example of Yangian symmetry is treated in section 4.

2. Quantum Groups

In this section we review some general aspects of the theory of quantum groups, following mainly the presentation of Drinfel'd [14] and Faddeev, Reshetikhin, and Takhtajan [15].

Quantum groups are non-trivial examples of Hopfalgebras. Let A denote such a Hopfalgebra. It is equipped with a multiplication map m: A A! A, a comultiplication: A! A A, antipode s: A! A, and counit ": A! C, where C is the complex numbers. We suppose that A contains the unit element 1, with (1) = 1 1; s(1) = "(1) = 1. These operations have the following properties:

$$m (a 1) = m (1 a) = a$$
 (2:1a)

$$m (m id) = m (id m) (2:1b)$$

$$(id) = (id)$$
 (2:1c)

(a) (b) = (ab)
$$(2:1d)$$

$$m (s id) (a) = m (id s) (a) = "(a) 1$$
 (2:1e)

$$s(ab) = s(b)s(a)$$
 (2:1f)

$$s = (s \quad s)P \tag{2.1q}$$

$$("id) = (id ") = id$$
 (2:1h)

for a; b 2 A, and P is the permutation operator P (a b) = b a. Eq. (2:1a) is the de nition of the unit element, (2:1b;c) are the associativity and coassociativity of A, (2:1d) de nes to be a homomorphism of A to A, and (2:1e i) are the dening properties of the counit and antipode.

Let fe_ag denote a linear basis for A . The above operations can be formulated by means of structure constants:

$$e_a e_b = m_{ab}^c e_c (2.2a)$$

$$(e_a) = \int_a^{bc} e_b e_c$$
 (2.2b)

$$s(e_a) = s_a^b e_b$$
 (2.2c)

$$"(e_a) = "_a;$$
 (2.2d)

where m $_{ab}^{c}$; $_{a}^{bc}$; s_{a}^{b} , and " $_{a}$ are constants in C.W e also de ne constants "a such that

$$1 = ^{\parallel a} e_a :$$
 (2:3)

The properties (2:1) are easily expressed as consistency conditions on the structure constants:

$$m_{ab}^{c} m^{a} = m_{ba}^{c} m^{a} = b^{c}$$
 (2:4a)

$$m_{ab}^{c} m_{de}^{b} = m_{ad}^{b} m_{be}^{c}$$
 (2:4b)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} bc & de \\ a & b \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} db & ec \\ a & b \end{array} \tag{2:4c}$$

$$m_{ij}^{b} s_{k}^{j} = m_{ij}^{b} s_{k}^{j} = m_{a}^{b}$$
 (2:4e)

$$m_{ab}^{i} s_{i}^{c} = s_{b}^{j} s_{a}^{k} m_{jk}^{c}$$
 (2:4f)

$$_{i}^{ab} s_{c}^{i} = s_{j}^{a} s_{k}^{b} c_{c}^{kj}$$
 (2:4g)

$$m_{ab}^{c} = m_{ab}^{c}$$
 (2:4i)

For the applications we are interested in, we need to introduce the concept of the quantum double. Let A denote an algebra dual to A in the quantum double sense, with

basis feag. The elements of the dual basis are dened to satisfy the following relations:

$$e^{a}e^{b} = {}^{ab}e^{c}$$
 (2.5a)

$$(e^a) = m_{bc}^a e^c e^b$$
 (2:5b)

$$s(e^{a}) = (s^{-1})_{b}^{a} e^{b}$$
 (2:5c)

$$"(e^a) = "a$$
 (2:5d)

$$_{a}^{a}e^{a}=1$$
: (2:5e)

Due to the properties of the structure constants (2:4), one has a Hopfalgebra structure on A .

The quantum double is a Hopfalgebra structure on the space A A. The relations between the elements e_a and the dual elements e^a are de ned as follows. De ne the permuted comultiplication 0 :

$$^{0}(e_{a}) = {}^{bc}_{a} e_{c} e_{b};$$
 (2:6)

and skew antipode s⁰:

$$m (s^0 1)^0 (a) = {}^{n}a 1$$
: (2:7)

The universal R-matrix is an element of A A de ned by

$$R = {\begin{array}{c} X \\ e_a \end{array}} e_a e^a;$$
 (2:8)

satisfying

R
$$(e_a) = {}^{0}(e_a)R$$
; (2:9)

for all e_a . The equation (2.9) implies the following relation between elements of A and A:

$$_{a}^{bc} m _{ib}^{d} e^{i} e_{c} = _{a}^{cb} m _{bi}^{d} e_{c} e^{i}$$
: (2:10)

U sing the property (2.7) of ${\rm s^0}$, and (2:4b;c), the above equation can be written as

$$e_a e^b = {kcl \atop a} m {b \atop idk} s_1^{0i} e^d e_c$$
 (2:11a)

$$e^{b} e_{a} = \int_{a}^{lck} m_{kdi}^{b} s_{1}^{0i} e_{c} e^{d}$$
 (2:11b)

w here

$$_{a}^{lck} = _{a i}^{li} ck; \qquad m_{kdm}^{b} = m_{kd}^{i} m_{im}^{b} : \qquad (2:12)$$

The universalR-matrix constructed in this fashion satis es the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$$
: (2:13)

One also has

$$R^{1} = X$$
 $s(e_a) e^a$: (2:14)

When A has an invariant bilinear form, A can often be identied with A, and R becomes an element of A. However in some important examples, such as the Yangian, this is not the case.

3. G eneral T heory

Let us suppose we are given the exact factorizable S-m atrix of an integrable quantum eld theory. As usual, the energy-m om entum of an on-shell particle is parameterized by the rapidity

$$p_0() = m \cosh(); \quad p_1() = m \sinh(); \quad (3:1)$$

In general, the one-particle states have isotopic degrees of freedom taking values in some vector space V. A complete in nite dimensional set of states is provided by the multiparticle basis

$$\beta i = j_n; n_1; ...; 1i:$$
 (32)

The above state is a vector in V^n ; the isotopic indices are not explicitly displayed. Instates have n > n > 2 > 2 > 3.

The two-particle to two-particle S-m atrix is an operator

$$S_{12}(_{1} _{2}) : V V ! V V :$$
 (3:3)

The S-m atrix S_{12} ($_1$ $_2$) satisfies the usual constraints of the Yang-Baxter equations, unitarity and crossing symmetry [1]:

$$S_{12}(_{1} _{2})S_{13}(_{1} _{3})S_{23}(_{2} _{3}) = S_{23}(_{2} _{3})S_{13}(_{1} _{3})S_{12}(_{1} _{2})(3:4a)$$

$$S_{12}()S_{21}() = 1$$
 (3:4b)

$$S_{12}$$
 (i) = c_2S_{21} () c_2 ; (3:4c)

where c is the matrix of charge conjugation, and the subscripts refer to the space where the operator acts. The multiparticle S-matrix is factorized into 2-particle S-matrices:

$$S_{i;i+1}(i_{i+1}) j_n; i_{i+1}; i_{i$$

We further suppose that the S-m atrix is invariant under some symmetry algebra A. The algebra A is assumed to be a quantum group with the structures outlined in section 2. The space of multiparticle states H from s an in nite dimensional representation H of the algebra A. It is assumed that the generators of A commute with the particle number operator. This implies that the representation H is necessarily reducible into nite dimensional representations of xed particle number. More specifically we have nite dimensional representations at xed rapidities, i.e. we do not count the additional multiplicity involved in varying the rapidity.) Given the representation H of A on one-particle states, which is in general rapidity dependent, the representation on multiparticle states is provided by the comultiplication. The on-shell symmetry of the S-matrix is the statement

$$S_{12}(_{1} _{2}) _{V} _{V}(_{e_{a}})) = _{V} _{V}(_{e_{a}})) S_{12}(_{1} _{2}):$$
 (3:6)

For the models of interest, (3.6) completely characterizes the S-matrix. Comparing eq. (3.6) with the dening equation (2.9) for the universal R-matrix it is evident that the S-matrix is a specialization of the R-matrix to the nite dimensional rapidity dependent representation $_{\rm V}$ of A (up to overall scalar factors $_{\rm S_0}$ () required for unitarity and crossing symmetry):

$$S_{12}() = S_0()_V \times (R):$$
 (3:7)

It is of primary interest to extend the above on-shell symmetries to the space of elds. This problem is more complex than for the conformal eld theories since there is no one-to-one correspondence between elds and states here. The elds in the theory are completely dened by specifying their matrix elements in the space of states H, i.e. their form factors. Let us begin by reviewing some basic properties of form factors in integrable quantum eld theory [2]. The form factors $f(x_1; \dots; x_n)$ of a eld $f(x_n)$ are dened as the particular matrix elements

$$f(_1; :::;_n) = h0j (0) j_n;_{n=1}; :::;_1i:$$
 (3:8)

The form factor in (3.8) is a map from V $^{\rm n}$ to a function of n rapidity variables; it is thus a vector in the dual space (V $^{\rm n}$) .

The form factors satisfy the following axiom atic properties:

$$S_{i;i+1}(i_{i-i+1})f(i_{j};i;i_{j+1};i;i_{n}) = f(i_{j};i;i_{j+1};i;i;i;i_{n});$$
 (3.9)

and for local elds

$$f(1; :::; n + 2 i) = f(n; 1; 2; :::; n 1):$$
 (3:10)

The form factors also satisfy a third axiom that relates residues of n-particle form factors to n 1-particle form factors, but we will not need it here. The general matrix elements follow from the functions (3.8) by crossing symmetry

$$h_1; ...;_m j_0(0) j_n; ...;_1 i = c_1 _m cf(_m i; ...;_1 i;_1; ...;_n): (3:11)$$

(The equation (3.11) is correct as it stands for the set of rapidities f_1 ; ...; f_m g disconnected from the set f_1 ; ...; f_m g. When some of the rapidities coincide with , there are some additional -function terms in (3.11).) Finally the x dependence of the matrix elements can be trivially restored due to translational invariance

$$X^{n}$$
 X^{n} X^{n} X^{n} X^{n} X^{n} X^{n} X^{n} Y^{n} Y^{n

For the more familiar symmetries of quantum eld theory, such as global Lie-algebra invariance, the symmetry is realized on the space of elds through the commutator with the global conserved charges. The Jacobi identity ensures that elds related by this adjoint action fall into nited in ensional representations of the symmetry algebra. It is this feature that we now generalize to an arbitrary quantum group A. We dene two adjoint actions on the space of elds:

$$ade_a ((x)) = \int_a^{ij} s(e_i) (x) e_j$$
 (3:13a)

$$ad_{e_a}^0$$
 ((x)) $a_a^{ij} s^0(e_j)$ (x) e_i : (3:13b)

¹ For arbitrary Hopf algebras there exists a standard de nition of the adjoint action of the algebra on itself which is analogous to the adjoint action on elds de ned below [16].

The signi cance of the two dierent adjoint actions will be explained shortly. The adjoint actions as we have de ned them are primarily characterized as being hom om orphisms

hom o:
ad;
$$ad^0:A ! A (x) A:$$
 (3:14)

M ore precisely,

$$ad_{e_a} (ad_{e_b} (x)) = m_{ab}^c ad_{e_c} (x)$$
 (3:15)

This follows from the fact that the comultiplication is a homomorphism from A to A (2:1d), and from (2:1f). For elements a 2 A with the trivial comultiplication (a) = a 1+1 a, and counit "(a) = 0, ad a is just the usual commutator.

Repeated adjoint action on a given eld (x) generates a tower of its descendents':

ad
$$(x)$$
 ! $f_{a_1;a_2;...}(x)g$ (x) (3:16a)

ad⁰
(x) ! f
$$_{a_1;a_2;...}^{0}(x)g$$
 $^{0}(x)$: (3:16b)

The form factors of any descendent of a eld (x) are explicitly computable from know ledge of the form factors for (x) and the given action $_H$ of A on the space of multiparticle states. This is evident from the de nition (3:13), where when computing the matrix elements of ade_a (x) the elements of A to the right or left of the eld give a known transform ation on states.

By virtue of the sym metry of the S-matrix (3.6), the descendent form factors satisfy the axiom (3.9). However they do not generally satisfy (3.10), hence descendents are generally not local elds. This is due to the non-locality of the conserved currents that generate A. Indeed, as explained in [4][5], and similarly for conformal eld theories in [10], the non-trivial comultiplication of A is due to the non-locality of these currents.

A highest weight eld is de ned as a eld which cannot be reached by adjoint action on another eld. A precise de nition of highest weight eld requires a de nition of raising and lowering operators for the algebra A, or at least for its quantum double. The towers of descendents (3:16) of a highest weight eld by construction llout a representation of A, denoted (x). This is due to the property (3.15) of the adjoint action. More specifically,

$$ad_{e_a} ((x)) = (e_a) (x):$$
 (3:17)

The elds are thus intertwiners for A.

Speci c m odels are characterized by which representations span its eld content. For algebras A generated by a nite number of elements these representations are expected to be nite dimensional. For the case where A is simply a nite dimensional Lie algebra, this corresponds to the fact that elds are irreducible tensors. For in nite dimensional algebras A the possibility arises that the representations are in nite dimensional, Verm a-m odule representations. For the example considered in the next section, this is the case.

The elds (x) are in part characterized by their braiding relations. We will prove the fundamental braiding relation

$$_{2}(y;t)$$
 $_{1}(x;t) = R$ $_{1}(x)$ $_{2}(y)$ $x < y;$ (3:18)

where R $_{_1}$; $_{_2}$ is the universal R m atrix specialized to the representations $_{_{1;2}}$ of the elds $_{_{1:2}}$:

$$R_{1,1,2} = {}_{1} {}_{2} (R):$$
 (3:19)

One way to prove (3.18) is to use a generalization of the locality theorem used in [3]. One rst uses this locality theorem to show that

$$_{1}(x;t) \quad _{2}^{0}(y;t) = \quad _{2}^{0}(y;t) \quad _{1}(x;t) \quad x < y:$$
 (3.20)

The proof of (320) is model dependent; however it is ultimately due to the crossing symmetry of the S-matrix. The relation (320) will be established for the example of the next section.

The elds (x) and (x) are related by the following expression:

$$^{0}(x) = R_{H}; (x);$$
 (3.21)

where R $_{\rm H}$; is again the universal R $_{\rm H}$ atrix evaluated in the representations indicated. The formula (3.21) is established by showing that R $_{\rm H}$; must satisfy its de ning relation (2.9). To prove the last statement, note that

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{H} & ((e_a)) & (x) = \int_a^{ij} e_i \, ade_j \, ((x)) \\
& = \int_a^{ij} \int_j^{kl} e_i \, s(e_k) \, (x) \, e_l \\
& = \int_a^{kl} e_i \, s(e_k) \, (x) \, e_l
\end{array}$$
(3:22)

where in the last step we used the coassociativity (2:4c), the de ning properties of the antipode (2:4e), and (2:4h). Sim ilarly,

H (
$${}^{0}(e_{a})$$
) ${}^{0}(x) = {}^{0}(x) e_{a}$: (3.23)

Therefore 0 (x)e_a = R , (x)e_a im plies

$$_{\rm H}$$
 (0 (e_a)) $_{\rm R}$; (x) = $_{\rm R}$; ($_{\rm H}$) ((e_a)) (x); (3.24)

which establishes the relation (2.9) for R $_{_{\rm H}}$; .

The relation (3.21) can be inserted into (3.20) to derive the braiding relation (3.18) for the elds. We have

where we have used (2.8), and the hom om orphism property (3.15) for the relation (2.5a) in the dual space. From the expression (2.8) for R, one has thus established (3.18).

The above proof of the braiding relation (3.18) relied on (3.20), which is established in a speci c model using form factors. Eq. (3.18) can be understood in an alternative model independent way. We set show that the adjoint action on a product of elds is given by the comultiplication, namely:

Above we have used the coassociativity (2:4c) and (2:4h). Applying ad_{e_a} to both sides of (3.18), and using (3.26), one nds that R must satisfy the dening property of the universal R-matrix (2.9).

Let us suppose that we can associate a conserved current J_a (x), satisfying @ J_a (x) = 0, to an element e_a of A , such that $e_a = \int_a^R dx J_a^t(x)$. The braiding of these currents with other elds can be expressed in a simple way. Note 1st that due to the (co)associativity

(2:4b;c) of the algebra A , there exists an adjoint representation $_{adj}$ of A , A whose matrix elements are given in terms of the structure constants:

$$hbj_{adj}(e_a)jci = m_{ac}^b$$
 (3.27a)

$$hcj_{adj}(e^a) jbi = {ab \atop c}$$
 (3.27b)

This fact is analogous to the fact that the structure constants of a Lie algebra form the adjoint representation of the algebra due to the Jacobi identity. The currents J_a (x) are associated to the elds in adj(x). The braiding relation (3.18) for adj(x) = adj(x) reads:

$$J_a(y) (x) = R_a^b (x) J_b(y) x < y;$$
 (328)

w here

$$R_a^b = {}^{cb}_a e_c$$
: (3.29)

The above equations im ply that the braiding with the currents can be simply determined from the comultiplication.

4. The exam ple of Yangian Sym m etry

In this section we apply the general theory of the last section to models with Yangian symmetry. As explained in the introduction these models can be described by the action (1.1). For simplicity we consider only the case of sl(2).

4.1 Sym m etries of the S-m atrix

The spectrum of particles in this model is known to consist only of kinks j; i transform ing under the 2-dim ensional spin-1/2 representation j i of sl(2). The S-m atrix has the following simple form

$$S_{12}() = S_0()(i P_{12});$$
 (4:1)

where P_{12} is the permutation operator:

$$P_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a=1}^{X^3} a^a + 1; \qquad (4.2)$$

(a are the Pauli spin matrices), and

$$s_0() = \frac{(1=2+ =2 i) (=2 i)}{(1=2=2 i) (=2 i)};$$
 (4:3)

We now describe the sym metries of the S-matrix (4.1). Let Q_0^a ; a = 1; 2; 3 denote the global sl(2) charges satisfying

$$Q_0^a; Q_0^b = f^{abc}Q_0^c$$

$$f^{abc} = i^{abc};$$

$$(4:4)$$

De ne an additional charge Q_1^a , satisfying

$$Q_0^a; Q_1^b = f^{abc}Q_1^c;$$
 (4:5)

These charges have the following action on 1-particle states:

$$Q_0^a j i = t^a j i;$$
 $Q_1^a j i = t^a j i;$ (4:6)

where $t^a = a=2$, and j i are eigenstates of t^3 , t^3 j i = j i=2. The action on multiparticle states is given by the comultiplication

$$(Q_0^a) = Q_0^a + 1 + 1 + Q_0^a$$
 (4:7a)

$$(Q_1^a) = Q_1^a + 1 + 1 + Q_1^a + f^{abc} Q_0^b + Q_0^c;$$
 (4:7b)

w here

$$= \frac{2 i}{C_A}; \qquad (4:8)$$

and $c_A = 2$ is the quadratic casim ir in the adjoint representation

$$f^{abc}f^{bcd} = c_{\lambda} \quad ad : \tag{4:9}$$

The comultiplication (4:7) implies

$$Q_0^a j_n; _{n 1}; ...; _{1}i = X_1^n t_1^a j_n; _{n 1}; ...; _{1}i$$

$$(4:10)$$

$$Q_{1}^{a} j_{n}; :::; _{1}i = \begin{pmatrix} X^{n} & & & & & \\ & X^{n} & & & & \\ & & t_{i}^{a} & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

That these are sym m etries of the S-m atrix, i.e. that (3.6) is satis ed, is easily checked by explicit computation. The value (4.8) for $\,$ is a consequence of the crossing sym m etry of $\,$ S₁₂.

The equations (4.4), (4.5), and (4.7), are part of the dening relations of the Yangian Y, as formulated by Drinfel'd [14]. The complete set of relations for the Yangian include the additional terric² relations

$$Q_{1}^{a}; Q_{1}^{b}; Q_{0}^{c} \qquad Q_{0}^{a}; Q_{1}^{b}; Q_{1}^{c} = {}^{2}A^{abcdef} fQ_{0}^{d}; Q_{0}^{e}; Q_{0}^{f}g \qquad (4:12a)$$

$$Q_{1}^{a}; Q_{1}^{b}; Q_{0}^{c}; Q_{1}^{d} + Q_{1}^{c}; Q_{1}^{d}; Q_{0}^{a}; Q_{1}^{b}$$

$$= {}^{2}A^{abklm n} f^{cdk} + A^{cdklm n} f^{abk} fQ_{0}^{l}; Q_{0}^{m}; Q_{1}^{n}g; \qquad (4:12b)$$

where $\mathtt{A}^{\,\mathrm{abcdef}} = \, \mathtt{f}^{\,\mathrm{adk}} \, \mathtt{f}^{\,\mathrm{bel}} \mathtt{f}^{\,\mathrm{cfm}} \,\, \mathtt{f}^{\,\mathrm{k}\,\mathrm{lm}}$, and \mathtt{f} ; g denotes sym m etrization

$$fx_1; x_2; ...; x_n g = \frac{1}{n!} X x_{i_1} x_{i_2} x_{i_n} x$$
 (4:13)

These last relations (4:12) were constructed to be consistent with the comultiplication. For sl(2), eq. (4:12a) is super uous, i.e. it follows from (4.5) with the right hand side of (4:12a) equal to zero. For other groups, (4:12b) follows from (4.5) and (4:12a).

The remaining Hopfalgebra properties of the Yangian are

"
$$(Q_0^a) = "(Q_1^a) = 0;$$
 (4:14)

$$s(Q_0^a) = Q_0^a;$$
 $s(Q_1^a) = Q_1^a + f^{abc}Q_0^bQ_0^c:$ (4:15)

The antipodes (4.15) are derived from the de nition (2:1e).

The Yangian is a Hopf algebra for any constant value of the parameter . Setting = 0 one recovers half of the Kac-M oody algebra. By half we mean the generators with either positive or negative eigenvalue of the derivation element, or positive or negative frequency modes in the sense of current algebra. The Yangian is the unique deformation of the Kac-M oody algebra that preserves the nite dimensional Lie subalgebra (4.4). It has a natural grading where Q_0^a has degree zero, and Q_1^a and have degree + 1. Elements of the Yangian corresponding to the other half of the Kac-M oody algebra are missing in Drinfeld's work. For our purposes, more specifically for constructing the universal R-matrix that characterizes the braiding of elds, it is necessary to introduce the quantum double of the Yangian D (Y). The deformation of the other half of the Kac-M oody algebra is located in D (Y) as we will show in the next section.

² We are here quoting Drinfel'd.

32 The Yangian Double and Deformations of the Full Kac-Moody Algebra

As explained in the last section, for our goals an extension of the Yangian Y is needed which we are now going to describe. For the sake of simplicity we consider only the sl(2) case 3 . For this case, the second relation in (4:12) can be rewritten as follows

$$f^{acd}[[Q_{1}^{c};Q_{1}^{d}];Q_{1}^{d}] + f^{bcd}[[Q_{1}^{c};Q_{1}^{d}];Q_{1}^{d}] = 2^{2} f^{acd}fQ_{0}^{b};Q_{0}^{c};Q_{1}^{d}g + f^{bcd}fQ_{0}^{a};Q_{0}^{c};Q_{1}^{d}g$$
(4:16)

(by multiplying (4:12b) by $f^{abi}f^{cdj}$), while the rst relation is satis ed trivially. As mentioned above, the Yangian is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of the subalgebra $\$1(2)_+$ of the Kac-M oody algebra \$1(2). In this work, for reasons that will soon be apparent, we need only consider the \$1(2) loop algebra, which is obtained from the Kac-M oody algebra by setting the level to zero. We begin by presenting several simple facts concerning the loop algebra itself.

The loop algebra \$1(2) has the set of generators J_n^a ; n 2 Z satisfying the relations

$$[J_m^a; J_n^b] = f^{abc} J_{m+n}^c$$
 (4:17)

It contains two subalgebras $\$1(2)_+$ and $\$1(2)_-$ generated by fJ_n^a ; n = 0g and fJ_n^a ; n < 0g respectively. One can de ne the set of generators in a more economical way; for example, J_1^a ; J_0^a ; J_1^a are su cient to generate $\$1(2)_+$, because the relations (4.17) allow one to construct the remaining generators. However, one must impose additional relations due to the fact that the free Lie algebra generated by J_1^a ; J_0^a ; J_1^a is larger than the loop algebra. Using the relations (4.17) one has many ways of dening J_m^a ; jn j = 3 in terms of J_0^a ; J_1^a . (J_2^a are dened uniquely for $\$1(2)_-$). Thus, it is necessary to require that these dierent denitions dene the same algebra. It can be shown that for the $\$1(2)_-$ case it is su cient to require that two dierent denitions of J_3^a ; J_3^a coincide:

$$f^{acd}[J_m^c; J_m^d]; J_m^b] + f^{bcd}[J_m^c; J_m^d]; J_m^a] = 0 \quad m = 1:$$
 (4:18)

Provided (4.18) is satisfied, all possible de nitions of J_m^a ; in j 3 coincide automatically. The relations (4.18) play the same role as the Serre relations [17]. Thus the loop algebra \$1(2) is generated by J_1^a ; J_0^a satisfying (4.17), (4.18), with the trivial comultiplication

$$(J_{m}^{a}) = J_{m}^{a} \quad 1 + 1 \quad J_{m}^{a} \quad m = 0; 1:$$
 (4:19)

³ Below we will use the special properties of the sl(2) structure constants: $f^{abe}f^{cde} = ad^{bc}$ ac bd.

The loop algebra can be equipped with the inner product

$$hJ_m^a; J_n^b i = {}^{ab}_{m+n+1;0};$$
 (4:20)

The subalgebras $\$1(2)_+$; $\$1(2)_-$ are dual with respect to this inner product. So, the structure of the classical double can be introduced [18] and the classical r-m atrix can be defined:

$$r = \begin{cases} X & J_n^a & (J_n^a) = X \\ & J_n^a & J_{n-1}^a : \end{cases}$$
 (4.21)

For the tensor product of nite dim ensional representations depending on spectral parameters; , where J_m^a ! m t^a ; m t^a , the r-m atrix is equal to

$$\frac{1}{-1}t^a \quad t^a \qquad (4.22)$$

and coincides with the celebrated classical r-m atrix found by Sklyanin [19].

Let us now return to the Yangian. We want to demonstrate rst that Y is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\$1(2)_+)$ of $\$1(2)_+$. The basis of $U(\$1(2)_+)$ consists of the following elements

$$fJ_{k_1}^{a_1}$$
 $k_n \sigma J_K^A$ (4:23)

where k_i 0; f g m eans sym m etrization, and A; K are multi-indices. We de ne

$$\deg(J_K^A) = X \qquad (4.24)$$

De ne the following elements of Y:

$$Q_{k}^{a} = \frac{1}{(2)^{k-1}} f^{aa_{1}a_{2}} f^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \qquad {}^{a_{2k}} f^{4} {}^{aa_{2k-3}} {}^{aa_{2k-2}} \qquad Q_{1}^{a_{1}} Q_{1}^{a_{3}} \qquad {}^{a_{2k}} Q_{1}^{a_{2k-2}} \qquad [4:25]$$

and consider the vector space whose basis consists of the elements

$$fQ_{k_1}^{a_1} = {a_n \choose k_n} Q Q_K^A :$$
 (4.26)

U sing induction in the degree of the elements one can show that the vector space de ned in this way coincides with Y, just as the vector space generated by (4.23) coincides with U $(\$1(2)_+)$. An important point is that the relations (4.16) allow one to express the difference between two possible de nitions of Q a_3 in terms of the elements of lower degree.

Thus Y is indeed a deform ation of U $(\$1(2)_+)$ where Q_k^a corresponds to J_k^a . We present the following examples of the multiplication map in Y:

$$Q_{00}^{a}Q_{0}^{b} = Q_{00}^{a,b} + \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}Q_{0}^{c}$$

$$Q_{000}^{a,b}Q_{0}^{c} = Q_{0000}^{a,b,c} + \frac{1}{2}f^{acd}Q_{000}^{b,d} + \frac{1}{2}f^{bcd}Q_{000}^{a,d}$$

$$\frac{1}{12}(^{ac}bd + ^{bc}ad + ^{bc}ad + ^{ab}cd)Q_{00}^{d}$$

$$(4.27)$$

)
$$m_{Q_0^{a_0}Q_0^{c}}^{Q_0^{a}} = \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}; \quad m_{Q_0^{a_0}Q_0^{c}}^{Q_0^{a}Q_0^{c}} = \frac{1}{12}(2^{de\ ac\ dc\ ae\ ec\ ad});$$
 (4.28)

For what follows we need the comultiplication of Q $_{\rm K}^{\rm A}$, which can be shown to be of the form

w here

deg
$$Q_{K}^{A} = (k+1)$$
: (4:30)

For exam ple,

We wish to extend Y in order to obtain a deform ation of the complete loop algebra. This can be accomplished by following the general construction of the quantum double discussed in Section 2. Namely, let us construct the Hopf algebra Y dual to Y. The element dual to Q_0^a is denoted by Q_0^a . It can be shown that:

- 1. The algebra Y is generated by Q^{a}_{1} .
- 2. The generators Q $^{\rm a}$ $_{1}$ satisfy the relation:

$$f^{acd}[[Q^{c}_{1};Q^{d}_{1}];Q^{b}_{1}] + f^{bcd}[[Q^{c}_{1};Q^{d}_{1}];Q^{a}_{1}] = 0$$
 (4:32)

which is the undeformed form of (4.18) for m = 1. The relation (4.32) will be proven below.

The algebra Y is equivalent as an algebra (but not as coalgebra!) to U (\$1(2)). So, its basis consists of

$$Q_{K}^{A}$$
 fQ $k_{1}^{a_{1}}$ $k_{1}^{a_{n}}$ $k_{1} > 0$ (4:33)

w here

$$Q_{k}^{a} = \frac{1}{(2)^{k-1}} f^{aa_{1}a_{2}} f^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \qquad {}^{a_{2k}} f^{1} {}^{aa_{2k-3}a_{2k-2}} \qquad Q_{1}^{a_{1}} Q_{1}^{a_{3}} \qquad {}^{a_{2k-2}} Q_{1}^{a_{2k-2}}] \qquad [4:34)$$

The degree of Q $^{\rm A}$ $_{\rm K}$ is de ned as

$$\deg Q^{A}_{K} = \begin{matrix} X \\ k: \end{matrix} \qquad (4:35)$$

The multiplication map m in Y is described by the equation:

$$Q_{K}^{A}Q_{L}^{B} = X \qquad m_{Q_{K}^{A};Q_{L}^{B}} Q_{M}^{C} : \qquad (4:36)$$

$$deg_{Q_{K}^{A}}^{A} + deg_{Q_{L}^{B}}^{B} = deg_{Q_{M}^{C}}^{C}$$

From the general theory of section 2, namely (2:5a), the constants m are computable from the comultiplication map in Y.

To exhibit the properties of the double D (Y), know ledge of the basis elements is needed. The dual elements to Q_K^A 2 Y should be expressed in terms of the basis elements of Y. Let A;B;C 2 Y and X;Y;Z 2 Y. The comultiplication coecients of Y and the multiplication map m in Y have the usual denitions: (A) = $\frac{B}{A}^C$ B C and X Y = $\frac{B}{X}^C$ Let us dene a matrix of coecients T, where

$$A = {X \atop X \ 2 \ Y} \qquad A \ 2 \ Y : \qquad (4:37)$$

Then by (2:5a), T must satisfy

$$_{C}^{AB}$$
 T^{CZ} = T^{AX} T^{BY} m $_{XY}^{Z}$: (4:38)

We were unable to deduce an general form ula for T. However a study of particular examples (up to degree-4 in Y) convinced us that the dening relations (4.38) allow a solution. Furtherm one the matrix T is triangular:

$$T^{AX} = 0$$
 if deg (A) \neq deg (X) or deg (A) > deg (X); (4:39)

w here

deg
$$Q_{K}^{A} = X_{k2K}$$
 (k 1): (4:40)

Let us present som e exam ples of dual elem ents. Consider (Q_1^a) . From (2.5a) one has

$$(Q_0^a)$$
 $Q_0^b = (2)^2$ $Q_{1;1}^{a;b} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1;2}^a + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1}^b = X$ $Q_0^a;Q_0^b$ D : (4:41)

The only non-zero contributions to the above sum over D comes from $Q_0^a; Q_0^b = f^{abc}$ and $Q_0^a; Q_0^b = 1$. Thus

$$f^{abc}(Q_1^c) = 2^2 Q_{1}^a; Q_1^b$$

$$Q_{00}^{ab} = 4^2 Q_{1}^{a;b}; 1$$
(4:42)

The above equation implies $(Q_1^a) = 2 Q_2^a$ and $[Q_1^a; Q_1^b] = f^{abc}Q_2^c$.

One can deduce (Q $_k^a$) inductively as follows. Let us suppose (Q $_k^a$ $_1$) = 2 Q $_k^a$, and

$$Q_{k-1}^{a} = Q_{k-1}^{a} \quad 1 + 1 \quad Q_{k-1}^{a} + f^{abc} \quad Q_{0}^{b} \quad Q_{k-2}^{c} \quad Q_{k-2}^{b} \quad Q_{0}^{b} + \tag{4.43}$$

Note that (Q_k^a) is of this form . Representing Q_k^a as $f^{abc}Q_1^bQ_{k-1}^c$, from (4.43) one shows that (Q_k^a) is of the same form as (4.43) with k-1! k. We have

$$(Q_0^a)$$
 $Q_{k-1}^b = (2)^2 Q_{0}^a Q_k^b = X_{00}^{00} Q_{k-1}^b D$: (4:44)

Now it is not hard to realize that the only contributions in the above sum over D come from D = Q_k^a and $Q_{0;k-1}^{ab}$. Thus

$$(Q_k^a) = 2 Q_{k-1}^a$$
 (4:45)
 $Q_{0k}^{ab} = 4 Q_{1:k-1}^{a;b}$

which implies

$$Q_{1}^{a}; Q_{k}^{b} = f^{abc}Q_{k}^{c}$$
: (4:46)

The Serre' relation (4.32) in Y is now seen to be a consequence of (4.46). The relation (4.46) also implies the following examples of the multiplication map in Y:

$$Q^{a}_{1}Q^{b}_{1} = Q^{a;b}_{1; 1} + \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}Q^{c}_{2}$$

$$Q^{a;b}_{1; 1}Q^{c}_{1} = Q^{a;b;c}_{1; 1; 1} + \frac{1}{2}f^{acd}Q^{b;d}_{1; 2} + \frac{1}{2}f^{bcd}Q^{a;d}_{1; 2}$$

$$\frac{1}{12}(^{ac\ bd} + ^{bc\ ad} 2^{ab\ cd})Q^{d}_{3};$$

$$(4:47)$$

Dualelem ents generally have corrections to the classical form ulas, unlike (4.45). Consider for example Q_{000}^{abc} . By examining (4.38) with A = Q_{00}^{ab} and B = Q_{0}^{c} , one inds

$$Q_{000}^{abc} = 8 \, {}^{3}Q_{1;1;1}^{a;b;c} + \frac{4}{3} \, {}^{3} \, {}^{ac \, bd} + {}^{bc \, ad} + {}^{ab \, cd} \, Q_{3}^{d}$$
 (4:48)

Now using the general formulas (2:11) one can deduce the commutation relations in the Yangian double. A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 Q_0^a; B \end{bmatrix} = m_{[D;Q_0^a]}^B D$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 Q_1^a; B \end{bmatrix} = m_{[D;Q_1^a]}^B D + f^{acd} m_{Q_0^cD}^B + m_{DQ_0^c}^B D Q_0^d$$

$$+ f^{acd} m_{Q_0^c;[D;Q_0^d]}^B D$$

$$(4:49)$$

where B;D 2 Y, $m_{[D;Q_0^a]}^B = m_{DQ_0^a}^B m_{Q_0^aD}^B$, and similarly for $m_{Q_0^c;[D;Q_0^d]}^B$. From (4.49) with B = Q_0^b , and the above dual elements, one nds

$$Q_0^a; Q_1^b = f^{abc} Q_1^c$$
: (4:50)

One can also compute the rst few terms in the commutator

$$Q_{1}^{a};Q_{1}^{b} = f^{abc}Q_{0}^{c} - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}Q_{1}^{c} - 2^{2}Q_{1;1}^{a;b} + \frac{2^{2}}{3}^{ab}Q_{1;1}^{c;c} + \frac{2^{2}}{3}f^{abd}Q_{1;1}^{c;c} + \frac{2^{2}}{3}f^{abd}Q_{1;1}^{c;c} + \frac{2^{2}}{3}f^{acd}Q_{1;1}^{c;b} + \frac{2^{2}}{3}f^{acd}Q_{1;1}^{c;b} + \cdots$$

$$(4:51)$$

The comultiplication of Q a 1 can be found as follows. Consider all possible products of elements of Y which contain Q a 2. Evidently the only elements of this type are Q a_1 0.

$$Q_{0}^{b_{1}} \stackrel{k}{Q}_{0}^{c_{1}} \stackrel{1}{=} m_{Q_{0}^{b_{1}}} \stackrel{Q_{0}^{a}}{=} m_{Q_{0}^{b_{1}}} \stackrel{k}{=} p_{Q_{0}^{c_{1}}} \stackrel{k}{=} p_{Q_{0}^{c_{1}}}$$

A coording to the general rules

$$Q_{1}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} X_{C:D,2,Y} m_{D,C}^{Q_{0}^{a}} C D :$$
 (4:53)

The rst several term s in (4.53) are

$$Q_{1}^{a} = Q_{1}^{a} + 1 + 1 \quad Q_{1}^{a} \quad f^{abc}Q_{1}^{b} \quad Q_{1}^{c} + \frac{2^{2}}{3} \quad ac \quad bd + \quad ba \quad dc \quad 2^{bc} \quad ad$$

$$Q_{1}^{d} \quad Q_{1; 1}^{b;c} + Q_{1; 1}^{b;c} \quad Q_{1}^{d} + ::: \qquad (4:54)$$

Finally the R -m atrix of D (Y) is equal to

$$R = I + 2 Q_{k}^{a} Q_{k}^{a} Q_{k}^{a} + 4 Q_{0k}^{ab} Q_{1; k 1}^{ab}$$

$$+ 8 Q_{000}^{abc} Q_{1; 1; 1}^{a;b;c} + 4 Q_{000}^{abb} Q_{3}^{b} + 4 (4:55)$$

In the classical lim it

$$R = 1 + r + o(^{2})$$

$$r = Q_{0}^{a} Q_{1}^{a} + Q_{1}^{a} Q_{2}^{a} + Q_{2}^{a} Q_{3}^{a} +$$

$$(4:56)$$

which coincides with the classical r-matrix (4.21).

We remark that one does not have the freedom to introduce a level k into the Yangian double, as in (12). Indeed, upon setting to zero in (4.51), one recovers the loop algebra. Though it remains an interesting mathematical problem to determine whether a central extension of the Yangian can be introduced by other means, this does not appear to be relevant for the physical applications we are considering.

3.3 Adjoint Action on Fields

From the de nition (3:13) we have the following adjoint actions

$$ad_{Q_0^a}((x)) = ad_{Q_0^a}^0((x)) = [(x);Q_0^a]$$
 (4:57a)

$$ad_{Q_1^a}((x)) = [(x);Q_1^a] \qquad f^{abc}Q_0^b[(x);Q_0^c]$$
 (4:57b)

$$ad_{Q_1^a}^0$$
 ((x)) = $ad_{Q_1^a}$ ((x)) + 2 $f^{abc}Q_0^b$ [(x); Q_0^c]: (4:57c)

Highest weight elds (x) can be de ned to satisfy

$$ad_{Q_{0}}^{+}$$
 ((x)) = $ad_{Q_{1}}^{a}$ ((x)) = 0; (4:58)

where $Q_0 = Q_0^1$ i $Q_0^2 = Q_0^2$. The vacuum is invariant under all the charges

$$Q_0^a \dot{p}i = Q_1^a \dot{p}i = Q_1^a \dot{p}i = 0:$$
 (4:59)

Descendents of (x), which comprise the multiplet (x), are denoted as

$$a_1; \quad m \neq M \quad (x) = ad_{Q_1^{a_1}} \quad ad_{Q_1^{a_2}} \quad Q_1^{a_1} \quad ad_{Q_1^{a_1}} \quad (x) : \qquad (4:60)$$

Let f(x); f(x) denote the form factor for a eld f(x), not necessarily highest weight, as in (3.8). We suppose that f(x) transform sunder some nite dimensional representation of f(x):

$$[Q_0^a; (x)] = t^a (x)$$
: (4:61)

The sl(2) invariance implies

$$x^{n}$$
 $t^{a} + t^{a} + t^{a} = t^{a}$
 $t^{a} + t^{a} = t^{a}$
 $t^{$

Consider now the form factors of the descendents with respect to Q $_1^{\rm a}$

$$f^{a_1;a_2;...;a_m}$$
 (1;:::; n) = h0j $a_1;a_2;...;a_m;0$ (0) j n;:::; 1i: (4:63)

From (4.57), (4.59), and (4.11), one obtains these form factors in terms of the form factors of (x)

$$f^{a_1;a_2;...;a_m}$$
 $(a_1;...;a_n) = T^{a_1}(a_1;...;a_n)$ $a_mT(a_1;...;a_n) f(a_1;...;a_n)$: (4:64)

A similar expression holds for descendents with respect to ${\rm ad}_{\,\mathbb{Q}_{\,1}^{\,a}}^{\,0}$, where ! in the de nition for T a .

We now show that the two sets of 's descendent elds and 0 commute for x < y (3.20). The proof of (3.20) relies on a generalization of the locality theorem, which can be stated as follows [2]. Let the form factors of two elds $O_{1}(x)$ and $O_{2}(x)$ be given. The matrix elements are defined as

$$f_{1;2}(m; ::::; j_1; ::::; m) = h_1; :::; m jo_{1;2}(0) j_n; :::; ji: (4:65)$$

These matrix elements can be de ned in two dierent ways

$$f_{1;2}(_{m};:::;_{1}j_{1};:::;_{n}) = f_{1;2}(_{m} i ;:::;_{1} i ;_{1};:::;_{n})$$
 (4:66a)

$$f_{1;2}^{0}(_{m};:::;_{1}j_{1};:::;_{n}) = f_{1;2}(_{1};:::;_{n};_{m} + i_{1};:::;_{1} + i_{1})$$
 (4:66b)

(For sim plicity of notation we don't display the charge conjugation matrices.) For local operators, by (3.10), $f = f^0$. The locality theorem states that all matrix elements satisfy

$$O_1(x) O_2(y) = O_2(y) O_1(x)$$
 $x < y$: (4:67)

The proof of (4.67) involves inserting a complete set of states between the operators, representing the matrix elements of O_1 and O_2 with f_1 and f_2^0 respectively, and using analytic properties of form factors [2].

The details of the proof of the locality theorem adm it the following generalization [3]. Let us be given any two operators 0 (x) and 0 (y), whose matrix elements are of the form f and f^0 respectively, as in (4:66). Then

$$\circ (x) \circ \circ (y) = \circ \circ (y) \circ (x) \qquad x < y$$
: (4:68)

Let us apply the above result to the comparison of descendent form factors in and 0 . For simplicity consider the case where the ancestor eld is local. We adopt the notation that hA j jB i represent the states h $_{1}$;:::; $_{m}$ jand j $_{n}$;:::; $_{1}$ i, respectively. The form factor f^{a} ($_{1}$;:::; $_{n}$) has the following analytic property

$$f^{a}(_{1};:::;_{n} + 2 i) = T^{a}(_{1};:::;_{n}) f(_{n};_{1};:::;_{n}) + 2 it_{n}^{a} f(_{n};_{1};:::;_{n}):$$
(4:69)

The operator T a has the following property

$$T^{a}(_{1};:::;_{n}) = T^{a}(_{n};_{1};:::;_{n}) = 2 it_{n}^{a} = 2 f^{abc}t_{b}^{b}t_{n}^{c};$$
 (4:70)

where t_B^b is the representation of sl(2) on the state β i, $t_B^b = P_{i=1}^n t_i^b$. Using (4.62), the equations (4.69) and (4.70) imply more generally that

$$f^{a}(B_{1};B_{2}+2 i) = f^{a}(B_{2};B_{1}) + 2 f^{abc}t^{b}t^{c}_{B_{2}}f(B_{2};B_{1}):$$
 (4:71)

Consider now the two kinds of matrix elements

$$f^{a}(A + B) = f^{a}(A + i ; B)$$
 (4:72a)

$$f^{0a}(A + B) = f^{a}(B;A + i)$$
: (4:72b)

From (4.71)

$$f^{0a}(A B) = f^{a}(A i;B) + 2 f^{abc} t^{b} t^{c}_{A} f(A i;B)$$
: (4:73)

Comparing (4.73) with (4:57), one sees that $f^a(AB)$ and $f^{0a}(AB)$ represent the matrix elements of $ad_{Q_1^a}$ () and $ad_{Q_1^a}^0$ () respectively. Therefore, from the generalized locality theorem, these two elds commute for x < y. Repeated adjoint action yields the result (3.20).

Let $J^a(x)$ and $J^a(x)$ denote the currents generating the conserved charges Q_0^a and Q_1^a respectively. Form the general result (3.28) one has the following braiding relations

In applying (3.28) we have used the fact that the current generating the identity is zero.

Non-local currents $J^a(x)$ generating the charges Q_1^a were constructed by Luscher and Bernard [8][4]. As was shown in these works, the current $J^a(x)$ can be constructed solely in terms of the current $J^a(x)$, through a non-local bilinear expression. Using this explicit construction of the current it was shown in [4] that it satis es the braiding relation (4.74).

Let us say a few words about what one expects for the eld content of the speci c model we are considering. The conserved energy momentum tensor T , being an sl(2) singlet, of course has no descendents with respect to Q_0^a . However repeated $\mathrm{ad}_{Q_1^a}$ action generates an in nite multiplet of conserved currents, which we analyze in more detail in the next section. As we will show, the energy momentum tensor and the sl(2) current J^a are in the same multiplet. There are also elds (x); (x) which are sl(2) doublets that create the kink particles asymptotically. The elds $_+$; $_+$ are highest weight elds for some additional in nite dimensional Verm a module representations.

3.4 Descendents of the Energy-Momentum Tensor

The form factors of the energy-m om entum tensor T $\,$ (x) and the sl(2) current J^a (x) are known [2]. They have the following presentation. De ne two operators O $_T$ and O $_J^a$, related to T $\,$ and J^a by

$$T (x) = \frac{1}{2} (\circ \circ + \circ \circ) @ \circ @ \circ O_{T} (x)$$

$$J^{a}(x) = @ O_{J}^{a}(x); \qquad (4:75)$$

where is the antisymmetric tensor. The energy-momentum tensor and sl(2) current can be represented this way since they are conserved. The form factors for T and J^a follow simply from those of O $_T$; O $_J^a$ due to the relation

$$X^{n}$$
 X^{n} !

 $A \neq 0 \neq 0 \neq 1$ $A \neq 0$

For sim plicity we discuss only the two-particle form factors. They take the following form

h0j0_T (0) j₂;
$$_{1}i = \frac{1}{2 i} \frac{(_{1} \quad _{2})}{_{2} \quad _{1} \quad i}$$
 jsingleti₂₁
h0j0_J (0) j₂; $_{1}i = \frac{(_{1} \quad _{2})}{_{2} \quad i}$ jriplet; ai₂₁; (4:77)

where () is the function

() =
$$\sinh$$
 (=2) $\exp \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{\sin^{2}(x(+i)=2)}{x \sinh(x)\cosh(x=2)} e^{x=2}$: (4:78)

The states in (4.77) are

$$j_{\text{singleti}_{21}} = (j_{\text{i}} i j i j i j_{\text{i}})$$
 (4:79)
 $j_{\text{triplet}};1;2;3i_{21} = (j i j i j_{\text{i}} i j_{\text{i}})=2;$ $i(j i j i + j_{\text{i}} i j_{\text{i}})=2;$ $(j_{\text{i}} i j i + j_{\text{i}} i j_{\text{i}})=2:$

Consider now the rst descendent of T whose form factors are given by the general formula (4.63). Since T is an sl(2) singlet, its rst descendent is a local operator, as indicated by (4.71). One can show by a simple computation that

$$T^{a}(1; 2)$$
 is ingleti $21 = (2 1 i)$ triplet; ai_{21} : (4:80)

Therefore, comparing the 2-particle form factors of this rst descendent of T with the form factors of J^a , one derives

$$[Q_1^a; T(x)] = \frac{1}{2}$$
 @ $J^a(x) + (3.2)$ (4:81)

Introduce the generator L of Lorentz boosts

$$Z$$
 $L = dx xT_{00}$ (t = 0): (4:82)

Then the global version of (4.81) is

$$[L;Q_1^a] = Q_0^a$$
: (4:83)

The generator L thus has degree 1. The equation (4.83) was expected from the fact that on-shell, one has (4.6) and L = $\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}$. Thus one concludes that the Yangian must actually

be extended to include the Poincare algebra with generators L;P in order to realize its full implications. Though we have presented the derivation of (4.81) using only the two particle form factors, the same relation is implied by the general multiparticle form factors.

We consider next the rst descendents of Ja. One nds

$$\frac{1}{2}f^{abc} ad_{Q_1^a} J^b(x) = J^c(x) + \frac{1}{2}J^c(x); \qquad (4:84)$$

up to possible additional total derivatives. This follows by comparing the braiding of the current J^a on the right hand side of (4.84) computed from the generalized locality theorem with the braiding of the more abstractly dened current in (4.74), and also by considering the global relation (4.5).

As a nalexample consider the conserved current and charge

$$Z$$
 $N (x) = ad_{Q_{1}^{a}} J^{a}(x) ; N = dx N_{t}(x) ; (4:85)$

In order to characterize this current, we determ ine the matrix elements of the charge N. Matrix elements of conserved charges can be generally computed as follows. Let J(x) be a general current for a conserved charge Q, and de ne J(x) = Q(x). The operator 0 is non-local. The form factors of Q(x) correspond to the partially integrated current

$$Z_{x}$$

$$dy hA jJ_{t}(y) \beta i = hA jO(x) \beta i$$

$$Z_{1}^{1}$$

$$dy hA jJ_{t}(y) \beta i = hA jO(x) \beta i;$$

$$(4:86b)$$

The matrix elements on the RHS's of (4:86a;b) should be dened by the continuations in (4:66a;b) respectively, since these are consistent with the range of integration. Therefore

$$hA jQ \beta i = \lim_{! \ 0} f(A + i\beta) f(A \ i\beta);$$
 (4:87)

where f are the form factors of 0. The RHS of (4.87) is non-zero due to the fact that 0 is non-local.

Returning to the charge N , let N $\,$ (x) = $\,$ @ O $_{\rm N}$ (x). The form factors of O $_{\rm N}$ $\,$ are computed to be

h0j0_N (0) j₂; ₁i=
$$\frac{c_{1=2}}{2}$$
 (₁ ₂) (₁ ₂ i) jsingleti; (4:88)

where $c_{1=2}=3=4$ is the casim ir in the spin 1/2 representation. Using the fact that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ has a simple pole at $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 1$, and the identity

$$\frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{+i} = 2 i (); (4:89)$$

we obtain

$$h_1; jN j_2; i = \frac{3 i}{2} (_1);$$
 (4:90)

Thus on one-particle states, N is just proportional to the particle number operator. A similar computation for multiparticle states veri es this identication:

$$N j_n; :::; _1 i = \frac{3 i}{2} n j_n; :::; _1 i:$$
 (4:91)

5. Concluding Remarks

The relation (4.81) expressing the derivative of the sl(2) current as a descendent of the energy-m omentum tensor is remarkable. It implies the space-time properties of the theory are closely related to the sl(2) properties. Since the non-local current generating Q_1^a can be expressed in terms of the sl(2) current $J^a(x)$, (4.81) implies the energy-momentum tensor can be expressed exactly in terms of the sl(2) current also, thereby indicating an exact Sugawara construction in the massive theory. The details of such a construction deserves further study. This idea taken together with the fact that the action (1.1) is expressed only in terms of the current J^a implies that the theory is fully characterized by the current algebra and the Yangian symmetry.

We have shown that the level k of the Kac-Moody symmetry in the conformal model does not enter into the Yangian algebra. This is somewhat paradoxical, since the spectrum of elds in the WZWN model is governed by k. Furthermore, the level k is still dened in the massive theory as appearing in the current commutator

$$J_t^a(x); J_x^b(0) = f^{abc} J_x^c(0) (x) \frac{k}{i} ab^{-0}(x)$$
: (5:1)

The resolution of this puzzle can be found by considering the exact spectrum and S-m atrices of the model (1.1) for arbitrary level k, which were proposed in [20]. Similar S-m atrices were found for spin-chain realizations of (1.1) in [21]. Here it was found that the spectrum of massive particles K_{ab} , a; b 2 f0; 1=2; 1; ...; k=2g is still governed by an integer k, and rejects the spectrum of primary elds of the conformal model S_G it is a

perturbation of. However the S-m atrix factorizes into two pieces, one which is the Yangian invariant factor (4.1) for the indices of K_{ab} , the other is characterized by an additional fractional supersymmetry of order k which acts on the indices a; b. Thus the Yangian symmetry is una ected by varying the level k. Rather, increasing k enlarges the Yangian symmetry to include an independent fractional supersymmetry.

The precise m athem atical nature of the eld representations of the Yangian should be explored. Them athem atics literature has so far focused only on nite dimensional rapidity dependent representations, which are only applicable to on-shell objects. Indeed this partly explains why the precise connection of the Yangian with a deformation of the full Kac-Moody algebra, which arises is the study of its quantum double, was never precisely made before.

The correlation functions are also constrained by the quantum symmetry, and we conclude with a few remarks on this problem. It is evident that the W and identities are given by

(n)
$$(e_a)$$
 h0j $_1(x_1)$ $_n(x_n)$ j0i = 0: (5.2)

This is derived by inserting the generator e_a to the left of the elds in the correlation function and using $h0je_a=0$, with (3.26). This equation can be used to relate correlation functions of various elds related by adjoint action. However by itself, it cannot determ ine correlation functions. What is missing are the analogs of hull-elds' that are available in the conformal theory. The possibility of such null-elds is a very interesting issue.

A cknow ledgem ents

We gratefully thank D.Bernard, I.Frenkel, N.Reshetikhin, and M.Semenov-Tian-Shansky for valuable discussions. We wish to thank J.Cardy for the opportunity to visit the Institute in Santa Barbara, where this work was begun. F.S. thanks the Cornell group for hospitality and the MSI at Cornell for nancial support. This work was supported in part by the USN ational Science Foundation under grants no. PHY-8715272, PHY-8904035, and by the USA my.

References

- [1] A.B.Zam olodchikov and Al.B.Zam olodchikov, Annals Phys. 120 (1979) 253.
- [2] F.A.Sm imov, in Introduction to Quantum Groups and and Integrable Massive Models of Quantum Field Theory, Nankai Lectures in Mathematical Physics, Mo-Lin Ge and Bao-Heng Zhao (eds.), World Scientic, Singapore (1990);
 - A.N.K irillov and F.A.Sm imov, Phys. Lett. 198B (1987) 506; Zap. Nauch. Sem in. LOM I 164 (1987) 80.
- [3] F.A.Sm imov, Commun.Math.Phys. 132 (1990) 415.
- [4] D.Bernard, Hidden Yangians in 2D Massive Current Algebras, to appear in Commun. Math. Phys.
- [5] D.Bernard and A.LeClair, Quantum Group Symmetries and Non-Local Currents in 2D QFT, to appear in Commun.Math.Phys; Phys.Lett.B247 (1990) 309.
- [6] V.G.Drinfel'd, Sov.Math.Dokl. 32 (1985) 254; Sov.Math.Dokl. 36 (1988) 212.
- [7] M.Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63; Lett. Math. Phys. 11 (1986) 247; Commun. Math. Phys. 102 (1986) 537.
- [8] M. Luscher, Nucl. Phys. B135 (1978) 1.
- [9] A.A.Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, and A.B. Zam olodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B241 (1984) 333.
- [10] C.Gom ez and G.Sierra, Phys.Lett.240B, (1990), 149; The Quantum Symmetry of RationalConformalField Theory, to appear in Nucl.Phys.B.
- [11] M.Karowski and H.J. Thun, Nucl. Phys. B 190 (1981) 61.
- [12] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zam olodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 297 (1984) 83.
- [13] D.Gepner and E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 278 (1986) 493.
- [14] V.G.Drinfel'd, Quantum Groups', Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, CA, 1986.
- [15] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin, and L. Takhtajan, in Braid Group, Knot Theory and Statistical Mechanics, C. N. Yang and M. L. Ge (ed.), World Scientic, 1989.
- [16] N.Yu.Reshetikhin, private communication.
- [17] V.G.Kac, In nite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [18] M. Sem enov-Tian-Shansky, Funct. Anal. Appl. 17 (1983) 259; Publ. R IM S 21 (1985) 1237.
- [19] E. Sklyanin, On Complete Integrability of the Landau-Lifshitz equation', Leningrad preprint LOMI (1980).
- [20] C.Ahn, D. Bernard, and A. LeClair, Nucl. Phys. B346 (1990) 409.
- [21] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, S-M atrices in Integrable Models of Isotropical Magnetic Chains, Harvard preprint HUTMP-90-B292, 1990.