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A bstract
W e consider two dim ensional topological Landau-G inzburg models. In order to obtain the free energy of these $m$ odels, and to determ ine the $K$ ahler potential for the $m$ arginalpertunbations, one needs to determ ine at or special' coordinates that can be used to param etrize the perturbations of the superpotentials. T his paper describes the relationship betw een the naturalLandau-G inzburg param etrization and these at coordinates. In particular we show how one can explicitly obtain the differential equations that relate the tw o. W e discuss the problem for both C alabi-Y au m anifolds and for general topologicalm atterm odels (w ith arbitary central charges) $w$ ith relevant and $m$ arginal perturbations. W e also give a num ber of exam ples.
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## 1. Introduction

Topological Landau-G inzburg theories ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ are not only of interest in their own right, but they also determ ine the m odular dependence of the Yukaw a couplings in string theories [2]. The correlation functions of such topologicalm odels [3] are com pletely determ ined by a prepotential (or free energy), $F$, and in particular there is a set of ' $\mathrm{at}^{\prime}$ (or special') [4-7] coordinates, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1$;:::; , in which the three point function can be w ritten as

$$
C_{i j k}=\frac{@^{3} F}{@ t_{i} @ t_{j} @ t_{k}} ;
$$

and forwhich

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}{ }^{m} C_{k l m}=C_{i 1}{ }^{m} C_{k j m}: \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These coordinates are referred to as at since the two point function, $i j$, is an invertible, t-independent $m$ atrix, providing a natural, at $m$ etric on the space of chiral prim ary elds. O ne set of at coordinates is provided by taking the $t_{i}$ to be the coupling constants of the chiral prim ary perturbations about the underlying $\mathrm{N}=2$ superconform al eld theory. In particular one has:
where ${ }^{(1 ; 1)} G_{\frac{1}{2}} G_{\frac{1}{2}}$. O ne could, in principle, consider perturbations by any chiralprim ary eld; how ever, for several reasons it is natural, and perhaps necessary [1], to restrict ones attention to relevant and $m$ arginal perturbations.

In string theory, only $m$ arginalperturbations are considered (relevant operators would generate space-tim e tachyons and thus are pro jected out). The three-point
y By this we mean the topological, chiral prim ary sub-sector of two-dim ensional $\mathrm{N}=2$ supersym $m$ etric Landau-G inzburg $m$ odels, or equivalently, topologically tw isted Landau-G inzburg m odels [1].
functions, or structure constants, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$, determ ine the Y ukaw a couplings of the low energy e ective eld theory. In addition to this, the $K$ ahler potential, $K$, of the Zam olodchikov $m$ etric is determ ined from the prepotential $F$. To obtain $K$ one rst passes to hom ogeneous coordinates $z^{A}, A=0 ;::: ;$, such that $t_{i}=z^{i}=z^{0}$; $i=1 ;::: ;$ and view sF $\left(t_{i}\right)$ as a function of the $z^{A}$ that is hom ogeneous of degree 2. That is, $F\left(z^{A}\right) \quad\left(z^{0}\right)^{2} F\left(z^{A}=z^{0}\right)=\left(z^{0}\right)^{2} F\left(t_{i}\right)$. O ne then has [8][4] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\log i \bar{t}^{\dagger} \frac{@ F}{@ t^{j}} \quad i t^{\dagger} \frac{\varrho \overline{\mathrm{F}}}{@ \bar{t}} \quad: \tag{1:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we will consider topological (or $\mathrm{N}=2$ supersym m etric) theories that have a Landau-G inzburg description [10]. O ne can obtain a set of coordinates for such a topological eld theory sim ply by param etrizing the supenpotential, W [3]. The problem is that these param eters are generally not the at coordinates. O ne needs the at coordinates to use (1.4). M oreover, for general coordinates the derivatives in (1.1) are covariant, $m$ aking it di cult to determ ine $F$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$. H ow ever, once given a param etrization of $W$ in term sof at coordinates $t_{i}$, it is trivial to determ ine the structure constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ijk}}(\mathrm{t})$ via sim ple polynom ialm ultiplication m odulo the vanishing relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{i}(t) \quad j(t)=C_{i j}{ }^{k}(t) \quad k \text { (t) } \bmod \quad r W \quad 0 \text {; } \tag{1:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i(t) \quad \frac{@}{@ t_{i}} W$ (t) [3].
Thus our purpose w illbe to determ ine how these at coordinates can be related to general param etrizations of the Landau-G inzburg potential.

To date there have been several approaches to solving this problem. On C alabiYau manifolds the required coordinates can be related to the periods of the holo$m$ orphic 3-form evaluated on an integral hom ology basis [5] [4-12]. O ne can som etim es evaluate these periods explicitly as in [11]. O ne also know s that such periods

[^1]$m$ ust satisfy a linear di erentialequation, and it tums out that there is an elem entary algorithm for determ ining this di erentialequation directly from the LandauG inzburg superpotentialW. (A brief exposition of this has already been given in [13].) This $m$ ethod has been well know $n$ to $m$ athem aticians for $m$ any years (see, for exam ple, $[14,15][16])$, but is apparently not well know $n$ in the physics com $m$ unity, and so we will give an exposition of the procedure, along $w$ ith som e exam ples, in section 2.

In section 3 we will discuss the relationship between at coordinates and the di erentialequations of section 2, and derive in detail the at coordinate of a fam ily of K 3 surfaces. We will also discuss the role of the dually group of the LandauG inzburg potential. In section 4 we will describe how the $C$ alabi-Y au techniques can be generalized to general topological Landau-G inzburg $m$ odels. This tim e one considers periods of di erential form s on the level curves ofW, and then one show s that by choosing the gauge carefully, one can solve the consistency conditions (1.1) and (12). The basic $m$ ethod is also know $n$ in the $m$ athem atics literature and is an application of the w ork ofK . Saito. A recent, ratherbriefexposition of this appeared in [6]. Our intention here is not only to sim plify the exposition still further, but also to show that if one restricts to relevant and $m$ arginal perturbations then the calculations can be sim pli ed. Indeed (contrary to the expectations expressed in [6]) it becom es relatively straightforw ard to solve topologicalm odels whose underly ing conform al theory has c> 3 .

## 2. C hiralR ings and $D$ i erential $E$ quations for $P$ eriods

In this section we will, for sim plicity, consider a d- dim ensional (non-singular) hypersurface, $V$, de ned by the vanishing of hom ogenous polynom ial, w, ofdegree
in $\mathbb{C P}^{\mathrm{d}+1}$ (the generalization to weighted pro jective spaces is elem entary). W ew ill denote the hom ogenous coordinates on $\mathbb{C P}{ }^{d+1}$ by $x^{A} ; A=1 ;:: ;{ }^{d}+2 . W$ ew illalso consider $W$ to be a function of the $x^{A}$ and of som $e$ (dim ensionless) moduli $i$.

Ifthe rst C hem class ofV vanishes then there is a globally de ned, holom onphic d -form, , on V . This form can be represented [15] [17,18] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{Z}{W}!; \quad!={ }_{A=1}^{\mathbb{Z}}(1)^{A} x^{A} d x^{1 \wedge}:::^{\wedge} d x^{A} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d x^{d+2} ; \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a sm all, one-dim ensional curve winding around the hypersurface $V$. $M$ ore generally, the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{k}+1}}!; \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p\left(x^{A}\right)$ is a hom ogenous polynom ial of degree $k$, represents a (rational) di erentiald-form. The form,, is an elem ent of ${ }^{L}{ }_{q=0}^{k}{ }_{(d i q)}$. O ne nds [15][18] that represents a non-trivialcohom ology elem ent in $F_{k} \quad L_{q=0}^{k} H(d q ; q)(V ; R)$ if and only if $p$ is a non-trivialelem ent of the localring, $R$, ofW. Ifwe take the $p$ to be a basis for $R$, then the corresponding form $s$, , are a basis for the cohom ology $H^{d}$. For the $m$ om ent we will restrict our attention to these cohom ologically nontrivial di erential form $s$.

The set of periods of a di erential form, , is de ned to be the integrals of over elem ents of a basis of the integral hom ology of $V$. This also has a convenient representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{x}^{A}\right)}{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{k}+1}}!; \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a representative of a hom ology basis in $H_{d+1}\left(\mathbb{C P}{ }^{d+1} \quad V ; \mathbb{T}\right)$. The curve $m$ ay be thought of as a tube over the corresponding cycle in $H_{d}(V ; \mathbb{Z})$.

From now on, we will x and consider the vector \$ . C onsidered as a function of the $m$ oduli $i$ of $W$, the vector $\$$ satis es a regular singular, $m$ atrix
di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@} \quad A_{i}(j) \$=0 \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som em atrioes $A_{i}$. ( $T$ he com plete set of solutions to this di erential equation is in fact all of the colum ns of the period $m$ atrix [15] [19].)

O ur purpose in this section is to give an elem entary procedure that generates the di erential equation directly from $W$. The key ingredient is a technical result established in [15]. T hat is, one considers a di erential (d 1)-form ofV de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\frac{1}{W^{1}}}_{B<C}^{n}(1)^{B+C} x^{B} Y_{C}\left(x^{A}\right) x^{C} Y_{B}\left(x^{A}\right) d x^{1 \wedge}:::^{\wedge} d x^{B} \wedge:::^{\wedge} d x^{C} \wedge ~:::^{\wedge} d x^{d+2} ; \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $Y_{B}\left(x^{A}\right)$ are hom ogenous of degree $1 \quad(d+1) . O$ ne nds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d={\frac{1}{W^{l+1}}}_{\quad l}^{A=1} Y_{A}^{+2} \frac{@ W}{@ x^{A}} \quad W X_{A=1}^{+2}{\frac{@ Y_{A}}{@ x^{A}}}_{i}^{i}: \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because this is an exact form, it provides us w th a sim ple $m$ eans of integrating by parts. Equivalently, if $p\left(x^{A}\right)$ in (2.2) has the form ${ }^{P} Y_{A} \frac{\varrho W}{\varrho x^{A}}$ then, modulo exact form $s$, we have from (2.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}{\underset{\mathrm{Z}}{ } \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{k}}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{X}}{\frac{@ Y_{A}}{@ \mathrm{x}^{A}}}^{\mathrm{i}} \text { !: } \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can iterate this procedure (if necessary) and so reduce the num erator until it lies in the local ring of $W$. $N$ ote that this procedure am ounts to the $m$ ost naive form of partial integration.

To derive the di erential equation, sim ply di erentiate under the integral to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \$}{@_{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\left(@_{i} p\right)}^{W^{k+1}} \quad(k+1) \frac{p\left(@_{i} W\right)^{i}}{W^{k+2}}!; ~ ; ~}{\text { i }} \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then partially integrate until all num erators have been reduced to elem ents
of the local ring of $W$. Expressing this reduced r.h.s. of (2.8) in term s of the im m ediately yields (2.4).

If one is interested in the dependence of on one particularm odulus, 0 , then one can reduce the rst order system (2.4) to one linear, regular singular O D E. R for $\$ 1$ of order equalto or less than the dim ension, , of the local ring of $W$. $N$ ote that the order is often $m$ uch less then . For exam ple, ifp ( $x^{A}$ ) and $W$ ( $x^{A} ; 0$ ) are invariant under som e discrete sym $m$ etry, then the foregoing reduction procedure can generate only those for which $p$ is also invariant. H ence the order of the di erential equation cannot be greater than the num ber of such invariant $p$ 's.

W e conclude this section by calculating a couple of exam ples. First we consider the cubic tonus, that is, we take $d=1,(0)$, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(x^{A}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}\right) \quad x y z: \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\$_{1}={ }^{R} \frac{1}{W}$ ! and $\$_{2}={ }^{R} \frac{x y z}{W^{2}}$ !. Then obviously $\frac{@}{@} \$_{1}=\$_{2}$ and

$$
\frac{@}{@} \$ 2=2^{Z} \frac{x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}}{W^{3}}!:
$$

O ne now uses the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1 \quad{ }^{3}\right) x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}=x z^{2}{ }^{n}{ }^{n} @_{z} W+z @_{x} W+x @_{y} W^{\circ} \text {; } \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integrating by parts yields

$$
\left(1 \quad{ }^{3}\right) \frac{@}{@} \$ 2={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{z}^{3}}{\mathrm{~W}^{2}}!+2^{2^{\mathrm{Z}}} \frac{\mathrm{xyz}}{\mathrm{~W}^{2}}!:
$$

$\mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing} \mathrm{z}^{3}=\mathrm{z@} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{xyz}$ in the rst term and again integrating by parts gives then

$$
\left(1 \quad{ }^{3}\right) \frac{\varrho}{\varrho} \$ 2=\$_{1}+3^{2} \$ 2 ;
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{@}{@} \begin{aligned}
& \$_{1} \\
& \$_{2}
\end{aligned}=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1^{!} \\
\frac{3^{2}}{\left(13^{3}\right)} & \frac{3^{2}}{\left(1^{3}\right)} \\
\$_{2}
\end{array}:
$$

U pon elim inating $\$ 2$, this equation can be rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{(1} \quad{ }^{3}\right) @^{2} \quad 3^{2} @ \quad a^{i} \$_{1}=0: \tag{2:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This exam ple can easily be generalized to the series of potentials,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{(N)}\left(x_{A}\right)=\frac{1}{N}_{i=1}^{X_{i}^{N}} x_{i}^{N} \quad{ }_{i=1}^{\mathbb{N}} x_{i} ; \quad N \quad 3 ; \tag{2:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that describe $N=2$ Landau-G inzburg theories with central charge $c=3(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)$. C onsider the follow ing periods, which are associated with ( $\mathbb{N}$ l 1;1 1)-form $s$ on $V^{(N)}$ ),

$$
\$_{1}^{\mathbb{N})}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{l} & 1)! \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}=1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{11} \\
(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}))^{1}
\end{array} ; \quad l=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1:\right.
$$

W e nd an equation in a $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ rinfeld-Sokolov" form :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { @ }}{\text { @ }}{ }_{0}^{(N)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coe cients are recursively de ned:

$$
\left.b_{1}^{(N)}=l b_{1}^{(N)}+b_{11}^{(N)} 1\right) ; \quad \text { for } l=1 ;::: ; \mathbb{N} \quad 2 ;
$$

$w$ th $b_{1}^{(\mathbb{N})}=1$ and $b_{N}^{(N)}{ }_{1}^{(N)}=\frac{1}{2} N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \cdot T$ he $m$ atrix equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \frac{@^{\mathrm{N}^{1}}}{@ \mathrm{~N}^{1}} \quad \mathrm{~K}^{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \frac{@^{11}}{@^{11}} \$_{1}^{\mathrm{N})}=0: \tag{2:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that due to the high degree of sym $m$ etry of the perturbation, the order of this equation is $m$ uch less then the dim ension of the corresponding local ring.

U nder the substitutions ${ }^{N}!z^{1}$ and $\$_{1}!z^{1=N} \$_{1}$, this equation transform $S$ into the follow ing generalized hypergeom etric di erentialequation [20] w ith regular, singular points at $\mathrm{z}=0 ; 1 ; 1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{@} \mathrm{Z}}{ }^{\mathrm{N}} 1  \tag{2:14}\\
& z \quad z \frac{@}{@ z}+\frac{1}{N} \\
& z \frac{@}{@ z}+\frac{2}{N}::: z \frac{@}{@ z}+\frac{N \quad 1}{N} \\
& \$_{1}^{(\mathbb{N})}=0:
\end{align*}
$$

For $N=5$, this is identical to the equation that was discussed in [11]:
$\mathrm{z}^{3}(1 \quad \mathrm{z}) \frac{@^{4}}{@ z^{4}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}6 & 8 z) z^{2} \frac{@^{3}}{@ z^{3}}+\left(7 \quad \frac{72}{5} z\right) z \frac{@^{2}}{@ z^{2}}+\left(1 \quad \frac{24}{5} z\right) \frac{@}{@ z} \frac{24}{625}{ }^{i}{ }_{1}^{(5)}=0: ~\end{array}\right.$

Equation (2.14) is solved [20] by

$$
\$_{1}^{(N)}={ }_{N}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{N}} 2{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} ;:::: \frac{\mathrm{N} \quad 1}{\mathrm{~N}} ; 1 ; 1 ;::: ; 1 ; \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{i}} ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{A} F_{B} a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; A_{A} ; b_{1} ; b_{2} ;:: b_{B} ; z \tag{2:16}
\end{align*}
$$

is the generalized hypergeom etric fiunction. In direct generalization of the results of
[11], a com plete set of linear independent solutions to (2.14) for $N>3$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{k}=z^{k=N}{ }_{N} 1_{1} F_{N} 2^{h} \frac{k}{N} ; \frac{k}{N} ;::: ; \frac{k}{N} ; \frac{z+1}{N} ; \frac{k+2}{N} ;::: ; \frac{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}} 1 z^{1} \tag{2:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $k=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1)$, where the overbrace indicates that the entry w ith value equal to one is to be om itted.
$T$ he reduction $m$ ethod that we have described for obtaining the di erential equation (2.4) works farm ore generally than for the class of potentials $W$ discussed above. For exam ple, the generalization to quasihom ogenous spaces is straightforward. M oreover, one can apply these techniques to $m$ arginal deform ations ofm ore general Landau-G inzburg $m$ odels. O ne does not have to restrict to Landau-G inzburg theories that have a sigm a-m odel interpretation; one such generalization is obtained by form ally com bining theories $w$ ith other ones so as to $m$ im ick the $c=3 d$ situation. Then one applies the results derived above and $m$ akes the trivialobservation that so long as the $m$ arginal perturbations do not $m$ ix one com ponent theory with another, this tensoring of theories is irrelevant for the determ ination of the di erential equation. H ence we need not restrict to theories with $c=3 d$. A s an illustration, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=x^{3} y+y^{3}+z^{3} \quad x^{3} z ; \tag{2:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes a perturbation of an $N=2$ theory $w$ th $c=\frac{11}{3}$ and $=14 . \mathrm{W}$ e nd

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 \tag{2:19}
\end{array}\right)^{\varrho^{2}} \mathrm{C}^{2} \quad 24^{2} \frac{@}{@} \quad 4^{i} \$_{1}=0:
$$

In general, for theories that havee ectively onem odulus, the order of the di erential equation willbe two if $3 \mathrm{c}<6$, three if $6 \mathrm{c}<9$, and so on.

In the next section, wew illdescribe how the linear equations (2.4) for the periods are related to non-linear di erential equations that determ ine the dependence of the at coordinates on the moduli, i. In section 4, we will further generalize the $m$ ethod to arbitrary $m$ arginal and relevant perturbations of generic Landau-G inzburg potentials.

## 3. $N$ on- inear Equations, $D$ uality and $M$ onodrom y G roups

To obtain the at or special' coordinates on the m oduli space of a $C$ alabi-Y au $m$ anifold one expands the holom onphic (3;0)-form in a basis of integralcohom ology [5][11]. That is, one introduces a sym plectically diagonalbasis, f a; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{g}$, of integral cohom ology and writes the (3;0)-form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=z^{a} a+G_{b}{ }^{b}: \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T he periods $z^{a}$ and $G_{b}$ are integral linear com binations of the entries of an appropriate row of the period $m$ atrix ). Since is only de ned up to $m$ ultiplication by an arbitrary function $f\left(z^{a}\right)$, the quantities $z^{a}$ and $G^{b}$ are only de ned projectively. It was shown in [5][11][12] that the $z^{a}$ de ne good projective coordinates on the m oduli space, while the $G_{b}$ satisfy $G_{b}=@_{b}\left(z^{a} G_{a}\right)$. It is thus the inhom ogeneous coordinates ${ }^{a}=z^{a}=z^{0}$ that constitute the required at, or special coordinates, $t_{i}$. $T$ he crucial ingredient that leads to the at coordinates is the choige of an integral cohom ology basis. This, in a very strong sense, $m$ eans that we are choosing a locally constant fram e for the cohom ology bration over the space ofm oduli.

In the follow ing, we w ill not restrict ourselves to 3-folds, but we w ill consider pro jective coordinates a that are provided by the expansion of the the holom onphic (d;O)-form on a general C alabi-Y au' $m$ anifold.

In section 2 we saw how to derive a linear system of equations (2.4) that is satis ed by the periods, $z^{a}$. Obviously, if one multiplies by $f\left(z^{a}\right)$ then one will obtain a di erent set of equations, and thus (2.4) is not unique. The appropriate invariant equation is a non- linear system of equations for ${ }^{a}$ that can be derived from the linear system for $z^{a}$.

For exam ple, the linear, second order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} z}{d^{2}}+p() \frac{d z}{d}+q() z=0 \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives rise to the non-linear, Schw arzian di erential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
; \quad=2 I ; \quad \mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{q} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{p}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{dp}}{\mathrm{~d}} \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $=z_{1}=z_{2}$ and $z_{i}, i=1 ; 2$ are the two solutions of the linear equation (32). The Schw arzian di erentialoperator on the lefthand-side ofthis equation is de ned by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ; } \quad \frac{\infty}{0} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \infty^{2} \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satis es:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y ; x=\frac{d y}{d x}{ }^{2} x ; y  \tag{3:5}\\
y ; x=\frac{d z}{d x} 2^{h} y ; z \quad x ; z^{i}  \tag{3:6}\\
y ; x \quad 0 \text { if and only if } y=\frac{a x+b}{c x+d} \tag{3:7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for som ea;b;c;d2 $\mathbb{C}$ and ad $b c \in 0$.
$T$ he quantity $I$ in (3.3) is often referred to as the invariant of (3.2) since it is unchanged if one replaces (3.2) by the linear equation for $f() z()$ (where $f()$ is an arbitrary function).

Recall [21] that the duality group' w of the supenpotentialW consists of those transform ations of the $m$ odulithat are induced through quasihom ogeneous changes of the variables, $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{A}}$, that leave the form of the superpotential unchanged up to an overall factor. That is, if $\mathrm{W}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{B}} ; \quad \mathrm{i}\right.$ ) is a quasihom ogeneous potential then one seeks quasi hom ogeneous changes of variable $\hat{x}^{A}$ whose Jacobian, $\operatorname{det} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{Cx}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{B}}$, is constant, or at worst a function, ( i), of $i$ and forwhich:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{0}\left(\hat{x}^{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{i}^{1}\right)^{1} \mathrm{~W}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{A}} ; \wedge_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i})\right) ; \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here ${ }^{{ }^{i}}$ is som efunction of ${ }_{i}$. If one $m$ akes such a change of variables in the period integrals of then the result is changed by an overall factor of h (i) (i).

It follow s that the linear system of di erentialequations m ust be covariant w ith respect to the duality group of the superpotential. That is, if $z(i)$ is a solution, then so is ( $\quad \mathrm{i}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{z}\left(\wedge_{i}(\mathrm{i})\right)$. The corresponding system of non-linear equations $m$ ust be invariant $w$ ith respect to this duality group. This duality covariance and invariance can be very instructive in understanding the properties of the linear and non-linear equations. Tuming this around, it allow $s$ in principle to determ ine w from the di erential equations. In particular, given a second order equation (3.2), one can often read o the solution of the associated Schw arzian equation in term sof triangle functions, $s(;$; ). The param eters ; and then determ ine the duality group of the superpotential. For triangle functions, this group can be thought of as being generated by re ections in the sides of hyperbolic triangles (w ith angles
; and ) that cover the upper half-plane.
It is thus of interest to understand the relationship betw een the foregoing dualIty group $w$, the $m$ onodrom y group $m$ of the linear equations and the m odular' group of the surface. T he integral hom ology basis undergoes an integral sym plectic transform ation when it is transported around singular points in the m oduli space of the $m$ anifold. C onsequently, the periods of the di erential form $s$ undergo just such sym plectic transform ations about these singular points. $T$ his is directly re ected in the $m$ onodrom $y$ around regular singular points of the solutions of the di erential equations. T he set of all such $m$ onodrom ies $w i l l$ generate a subgroup, m , of the m odular group', . The set of duality transform ations $w$ of the supenpotentialm aps the surface back to itself and $w$ ill thus extend the group $m$ to an even larger subgroup of . In som e cases this extension is all of , and then the duality group of $W$ is $w==\mathrm{m}$.

We are uncertain as to the general validity of this conclusion, but a simple illustration is provided by the cubic torus, (2.9). T he non-linear system associated to (2.11) is given by the Schw arzian

$$
\begin{equation*}
; t=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(8+{ }^{3}\right)}{\left(13^{3}\right)^{2}} \quad(0)^{2} ; \tag{3:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved by the triangle function $(t)=s\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; J(t)\right)$ [22][21]. The transform ation properties of this function are well know $n$; in particular, it is a m odular form of (3) PSL $\left(2 ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$, and this group is the $m$ onodromy group $m$ of the di erentialequation (2.11). B oth sides of (3.9) are invariant under $=$ PSL ( $2 ; \mathbb{\mathbb { Z }}$ ), which is the full m odular group of the torus. The quotient, the tetrahedral group
$=(3)$, is precisely the duality group $w$ of the superpotential (2.9), [22][21].
A s a further exam ple of the non-linear system s of equations that one can derive for the at coordinates, we will descibe in som e detail the a very particular fam ily of K 3 surfaces. That is, we w ill consider the surface de ned by the superpotential (2.12) for $N=4$. Equation (2.13) becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{(1} \quad 4\right) \frac{\varrho^{3}}{\varrho^{3}} \quad 6^{3} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}} \quad 7{ }^{2} \frac{\varrho}{@} \quad \$_{1}^{(4)}=0 ; \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before discussing the solution of this system, it is instructive to consider a general third order equation and see how one passes to the associated non-linear system and obtains the invariants. O ur discussion will follow that of [23]. C onsider the generic third order equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\${ }^{\infty}+3 p() \$^{\infty}+3 q() \$^{0}+r() \$=0: \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne starts by partially rem oving the freedom to multiply a solution by an arbitrary function of . This is done by requiring the vanishing of the goe cient of the second derivative, and is accom plished by substituting $\$$ ye pd. The di erential equation then takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\infty}+3 Q() y^{0}+R() y=0 ; \tag{3:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=q \quad p^{2} \quad p^{0} \\
& R=r \quad 3 p q+2 p^{3} \quad p^{\infty}: \tag{3:13}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$ be solutions of (3.12) and de ne $s$ and $t$ by

$$
\mathrm{s}=\frac{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} ; \quad \mathrm{t}=\frac{\mathrm{Y}_{3}}{\mathrm{y}_{1}}:
$$

Substituting $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\mathrm{Sy} 1$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{3}=\mathrm{ty}_{1}$ into (3.12), and using the fact that $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ is a solution, one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
3 s^{0} y_{1}^{\infty}+3 s^{\infty} y_{1}^{0}+\left(3 Q s^{0}+s^{\infty}\right) y_{1} & =0 \\
3 t^{0} y_{1}^{\infty}+3 t^{\infty} y_{1}^{0}+\left(3 Q t^{0}+t^{\infty}\right) y_{1} & =0: \tag{3:14}
\end{align*}
$$

If one now di erentiates these two equations again, and elim inates $y_{1}^{\infty}$ using (3.12) one obtains tw om ore equations that are linear in $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\infty}$. T hese tw o equations, along w ith (3.14), provide four linear equations for the three non-trivial, independent unknow $n s y_{1}, y_{1}^{0}$ and $y_{1}^{\infty}$, and thus there are two indepedent 3 determ inants that $m$ ust vanish. The vanishing of these determ inants gives tw ofourth order, non-linear equations for $s$ and $t$. C onversely, given a solution to these nonlinear equations, one can elim inate $y_{1}^{\infty}$ from the linear system described above to obtain a sim ple linear, rst order equation for $y_{1}$, whose solution is:

$$
y_{1}=s^{\infty_{t} 0} \quad s^{0} t^{\infty} \frac{1}{3}:
$$

$T$ he other solutions are then obtained from $y_{2}=s_{1}$ and $y_{3}=t_{1}$.
The actual non-linear system for $s$ and $t$ is fairly unedifying, but we will give it here for the sake of com pleteness. De ne the follow ing variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=s^{\infty} t^{0} \quad s^{0} t^{\infty} \quad u_{2}=s^{(3)} t^{0} \quad s^{0} t^{(3)} \quad u_{3}=s^{(4)} t^{0} \quad s^{0} t^{(4)} \\
& v_{1}=s^{(3)} t^{\infty} \quad s^{\infty} t^{(3)} \quad v_{2}=s^{(4)} t^{\infty} \quad s^{Q_{t}(4)} ; \tag{3:15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s^{(i)} \quad d^{i} s=d^{i}$, and introduce the di erential operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{1}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{t} ;) \quad \frac{\mathrm{u}_{3} 2 \mathrm{v}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{1}} \frac{4}{3}{\frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{1}}}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{t} ;)  \tag{3:16}\\
& \frac{9 \mathrm{v}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{1}} \frac{6 \mathrm{u}_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}_{3}+4 \mathrm{v}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{u}_{1}^{2}}+8 \frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{1}}{ }^{3}:
\end{align*}
$$

The non-linear system $m$ ay then be w ritten:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{1}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{t} ;)=3 \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{I} ; \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{t} ;)=27\left(\frac{\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{R}\right) \quad \mathrm{J}: \tag{3:17}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he operators $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are invariant under fractional linear transform ations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1} \frac{a_{2}+b_{2} s+c_{2} t}{a_{1}+b_{1} s+c_{1} t} ; \frac{a_{3}+b_{3} s+c_{3} t}{a_{1}+b_{1} s+c_{1} t} ;=D_{1}(s ; t ;)  \tag{3:18}\\
& D_{2} \frac{a_{2}+b_{2} s+c_{2} t}{a_{1}+b_{1} s+c_{1} t} ; \frac{a_{3}+b_{3} s+c_{3} t}{a_{1}+b_{1} s+c_{1} t} ;=D_{2}(s ; t ;):
\end{align*}
$$

The right-hand-sides of (3.17) de ne the quantities I and $J$, which are called the invariants of the system .

To solve (3.10) one needs to use som em ore of the theory of reduced di erential equations of the form (3.12) [23]? . The form of (3.12) can be preserved by a com bined rescaling and reparam etrization. That is, one introduces a new param eter $t$ and sets $y=\frac{d t}{d}{ }^{1} u$. U nder this transform ation the resulting di erentialequation has the form of (3.12), but with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ! t; } y!u ; \quad Q!Q \quad \frac{d t}{d}{ }^{2} Q \quad \frac{2}{3} t \text {; } \\
& R \quad R^{r} \quad \frac{d t}{d} \quad R \quad \frac{d}{d} t \text {; } \quad 3 \frac{d^{2} t}{d^{2}} \quad \frac{d t}{d} \sigma \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

It is interesting to note that $Q$ transform sprecisely like an energy $m$ om entum tensor, and that the com bination $W_{3} \quad R \quad \frac{3}{2} \frac{d Q}{d}$ transform s hom ogeneously, i.e.:

$$
W_{3}!W_{3} \quad R^{r} \quad \frac{3}{2} \frac{d \mathscr{Q}}{d t}=\frac{d t}{d}{ }^{3} W_{3}:
$$

It is precisely one of the classicalW -generators [24]. O ne can $x$ the reparam etriz-

[^2]ation invariance by requiring that $\widetilde{Q}=0$, or
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad=\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{Q}: \tag{3:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

If one puts (3.10) in the form (3.12) one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left({ }^{4}+11\right)}{\left.(1)^{4}\right)^{2}} \\
& R=\frac{11+36^{4}+8}{\left(14^{4}\right)^{3}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

From this one nds an extra bonus: $W_{3} 0$, or $R=\frac{3}{2} Q^{0}$. This $m$ eans that when one passes to the equation for $u(t)$, one obtains $\frac{d^{3} u}{d t^{3}}=0$, whose solutions are 1 , $t$ and $t^{2}$. Therefore the solutions to (3.10) are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\$=\left(1 \quad{ }^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d t}{d} \quad{ }^{1} u(t) ; \quad u(t)=1 ; t ; t^{2} ; \tag{3:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t()$ is the solution of (3.19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad=\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{Q} \quad \frac{1}{2}^{2} \quad \frac{{ }^{4}+11}{\left(14^{4}\right)^{2}}: \tag{3:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, changing variables $z={ }^{4}$ in (321) one obtains:

$$
t_{;} z=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z^{2}}+\frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{(z 1)^{2}} \quad \frac{13}{32} \frac{1}{z(z 1)}:
$$

$T$ he solution of this equation is given by a triangle function, $t(z)=s\left(0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{4} ; z\right)$, which can, in tum, be re-expressed as the ratio of two solutions to the ordinary hypergeom etric equation $w$ ith param eters $=\frac{1}{8}, \quad=\frac{3}{8}$, and $=1$. (The solution can, of course, also be expressed in term s of ratios of generalized hypergeom etric functions (2.17).) W e rem ark that the structure of the m onodrom y group of (3.10) is very sim ilar to that of the quintic of [11], the di erence being that allappearances of 5 in the form ulae of [11] m ust be replaced by 4. This rule seem s to hold for all N.

A s we have seen, the $m$ odular dependence of the periods of this fam ily of K 3 surfaces could have involved a non-trivialW 3 invariant, but instead we found that $W_{3}$ vanished. In this sense, the structure is determ ined m erely by the V irasoro algebra, that is, by the Schw arzian di erential equation (3.19).

It would be very interesting to discover to what extent the higher dim ensional surfaces de ned by (2.12) m ight be sim ilarly reduced, and to understand whether the appearance of such reduced $W$-algebras has any deeper $m$ eaning. In particular, note that the solutions of (3.10) are algebraically related (inspection of (3.20) show s that $\$ 1 \$ 3=\${ }_{2}^{2}$ ), and as we have seen this is a consequence of the vanishing of $W_{3}$. It tums out that for the quintic, i.e. for (2.15), one also has $W_{3} 0$ but $W_{4} \notin 0$, and it is know $n$ that the $G_{b}$ in (3.1) are hom ogenous functions of the $z^{a}$. $T$ hus it appears that the vanishing of $W$-generators is closely connected to algebraic relations betw een the solutions. W e hope to discuss these issues elsew here.

## 4. $F$ lat $C$ oordinates for $G$ eneric $P$ erturbations

We now wish to generalize the $m$ ethods of section 2 to $m$ arginal and relevent perturbations of arbitrary topological Landau-G inzburg eld theories? . The basic problem is that general $N=2$ supercon form al theories have no obvious analogue of integral cohom ology. A s discussed in the previous section, it is this that leads one to at coordinates for Calabi-Y au' spaces.

For general topologicalm atter $m$ odels, one can $m$ ake a generalansatz for $F$, or for $W$, in term $s$ of the at coordinates, and then evolve algebraic and di erential equations from consistency conditions of the topologicalm atterm odels [3] [25] [26]. In particular one requires that the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ be given by (1.1) and that they satisfy (1.2) . H ow ever, solving the system (12) is extrem ely laborious, except in the sim plest cases.

[^3]Thus we like to obtain di erentialequations that determ ine the at coordinates $m$ ore directly from the superpotential. Let $W 0\left(x^{A}\right)$ be a quasihom ogenous supenpotentialand $W$ ( $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) be a param etrization ofa general, versaldeform ation ofW 0 by elem ents of the chiralring. The problem is to determ ine the relationship betw een the general coordinates $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and the at coordinates $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$. O nce the param etrization of $W$ in term $s$ of the $t_{i}$ is know $n$, the free energy $F$ and all correlation functions can easily be com puted.

W ew ill regard $W$ ( $x^{A} ; s_{i}$ ) as a quasihom ogenous function of $x^{A}$ and $s_{i}$, and thus the coupling constants $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be assigned dim ensions. (W ew illadopt the convention that both $W 0$ and $W$ have dim ension equalto one.) Below wew illactually consider only m arginal and relevant perturbations, whose corresponding coupling constants w illhave vanishing or positive dim ensions. Thisw ill lead to the m a jor sim pli cation that allquantities w ill have polynom ialdependence on the coupling constants w ith positive dim ension, and the only non-polynom ialbehavior willbe via the marginal param eters.

The coupling constant associated to the constant term in $W\left(x^{A} ; S_{i}\right)$ (i.e., the unique coupling constant of dim ension one) will play a distinguished, im portant role and it w ill be denoted by $\mathrm{s}_{1}$. The rem aining coupling constants $\mathrm{s}_{2} ; \mathrm{s}_{3} ;::: \mathrm{w}$ ill be denoted generically by $s^{0}$. W e w ill take

$$
\text { 南 }\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right)=W\left(x^{A} ; S_{i}\right) \quad S_{1}
$$

as independent of $\mathrm{s}_{1}$.
Let $\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right) ;=1 ;::: ;$, be any (polynom ial) basis for the chiral ring and consider integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{()}=(1)^{+1}(+1)^{Z} \frac{\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right)}{W^{+1}} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}:::^{\wedge} d x^{n} ; \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken over any com pact hom ology cycle ${ }^{y}$ in the set fx 2 $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{n}}: W(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{s}) \in \mathrm{g}$. The gam $m$ a function and the factor of $(1)^{+1}$ are introduced for later conven ience. These integrals are related to the periods of di erential form $s$ on the level surfaces of $W$, [19]. They satisfy som e im portant recurrence relations [27][6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{s_{1}}^{k} u^{()}=u^{(+k)} ; \quad k 2 \mathbb{Z}  \tag{4:3}\\
& @_{S_{i}} u^{()}=X^{k=1} B_{i}^{(k)}\left(s^{0}\right) u^{(k)} \\
& S_{1} u^{()}=X^{(1)} A^{(k 2)}\left(s^{0}\right) u^{(k)}
\end{align*}
$$

These recurrence relations are derived by the sam e procedure as that em ployed in section 2. Equation (4.3) is a trivial consequence of di erentiation under the integral. Equation (4.4) is also obtained by di erentiating under the integral, but in this second instance the num erator of the integrand is a polynom ial ( $\left.@_{s_{1}} W\right) \quad\left(x^{A} ; s^{9}\right)$ which $m$ ight need to be reduced. That is, by de nition of the local ring of $W$ this polynom ialm ay alw ays be rew ritten in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varrho_{s_{i}} W\right) \quad\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right) \quad C_{i} \quad\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right)+q_{i}^{(0) A}\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right) \frac{@ W}{@ x^{A}}\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right) \tag{4:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e polynom ial $q_{i}^{(0) \mathrm{A}}$. O ne now integrates by parts to obtain

$$
\varrho_{S_{i}} u^{()}=C_{i} u^{(+1)}+(1)^{+1}(+1)^{Z} \frac{\left(\frac{\varrho}{@ x^{A}} q_{i}^{(0) A}\right)}{W} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}::::^{\wedge} d x^{n}:
$$

[^4]O nœe again one decom poses the num erator

$$
\frac{@}{@ x^{A}} q_{i}^{(0) A}=B_{i}^{(0)} \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \quad\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right)+q_{i}^{(1) A}\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right) \frac{@ W}{@ x^{A}}\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right) ;
$$

and integrates by parts. In this $m$ anner one $m$ ay recursively com pute $B_{i}^{(k)}\left(s^{0}\right)$, $k=1 ; 0 ; 1 ;:::$. $N$ ote that after every integration by parts the polynom ial degree (in $x^{A}$ ) of the successive term $s\left(\frac{d}{\left(d x^{A}\right.} q_{i}^{(k) A}\right)$ decreases by one unit, and hence this procedure $m$ ust term inate after a nite num ber of steps. A lso note that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ $B_{i}^{(1)} \quad\left(s^{0}\right)$ are essentially the structure constants of the local ring.
$F$ inally, equation (4.5) is obtained by taking $s_{1}$ inside the integral, and rew riting it as $S_{1} W\left(x^{A} ; s_{i}\right)$ 需 $\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right)$. The factor of $W$ is cancelled im $m$ ediately, while有 $\left(x^{A} ; s^{9}\right)\left(x^{A} ; s^{9}\right)$ is sim pli ed by the identical, recursive reduction procedure described above.

It is very convenient to $m$ ake a $\backslash$ Fourier transform ation" in the $s_{1}$ variable. Speci cally, it replaces $f\left(s_{1}\right)$ by $\begin{aligned} & R_{0} \\ & 1 e^{s_{1}=z} f\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} \text {. This has the e ect of sending }\end{aligned}$ $@_{s_{1}}!z^{1}$ and $s_{1}!z^{2} \frac{d}{d z}$. Let $u^{()}\left(z ; s^{0}\right)$ denote the transform of $u^{()}(s)$. Then equations (4.4) and (4.5) m ay be rew ritten as a linear system :

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{S_{i}} \quad X^{\mathrm{A}} \quad z^{k} B_{i}^{(k)} \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \quad u^{()}\left(z ; s^{0}\right)=0  \tag{4:7}\\
& \mathrm{k}=1
\end{align*}
$$

O bserve that the $u^{()}\left(z ; s^{0}\right)$ are, by de nition, covariant constant sections of a at vector bundle whose connections are de ned by $B_{i}^{(k)}$ and $A^{(k)}$.

To get $m$ ore insight into why we $m$ ake this construction, suppose that $B_{i}^{(k)} \quad 0$ fork 1 and de ne $D_{i}=@_{s_{i}} \quad B_{i}^{(0)} ; C_{i a}=B_{i}^{(1)} \quad\left(s^{0}\right)$. Then the atness of the connection, or integrability of (4.7) im plies

$$
D_{i} \quad z^{1} C_{i} ; D_{j} \quad z^{1} C_{j}=0 ;
$$

and separating out di erent orders in $z$, one gets the zero curvature equations ${ }^{z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i} ; D_{j} \quad D_{[i} C_{j]} \quad C_{i} ; C_{j} \quad 0: \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ence the connection $B_{i}^{(0)}$ is at, the structure constants $C_{\text {ia }}$ commute and are covariantly constant. If we now arrange that the basis $f \quad g$ of the chiral ring is, in fact, given by $\frac{@ \mathrm{CW}}{\varrho s_{\mathrm{i}}}$ g, and let

$$
{ }_{i j}^{k}=B_{i j}^{(0) k}
$$

then one nds that ${ }_{i j}{ }^{k}={ }_{j i}{ }^{k}$ and equation (4.10) implies that is the at coordinate connection we seek. We thus have solved the consistency conditions (1.1) and (12). The rem ainder of this section will essentially reduce the general problem to the foregoing sim pler situation.

Because the connection de ned by $A$ and $B$ is at, one already know sthat one can nd a gauge transform ation that will trivialize it. M ore precisely, because there $m$ ight be non-trivialm onodrom $y$, one can $n d$ a $m$ atrix $M$ such that ${ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\left(@_{z} M\right) M^{1}+M^{\overparen{A}\left(s^{0}\right)}  \tag{4:11}\\
z & M^{1} \\
B_{i} & =\left(@_{S_{i}} M^{1}\right) M^{1}:
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we can gauge away all of B and alm ost all of A. The problem is that the $m$ atrix $M$ will in general involve all powers in $z$ and $1=z$. Hence $M$ will de ne a basis change involving $u^{(+k)}$ for all $k 2 \mathbb{Z}$. To control this, and indeed to preserve quasihom ogeneity, we want to restrict ourselves to changes of basis that are upper triangular, that is, $u^{(+k)}$ is only modi ed by addition of polynom ials in $s^{0}$ and $u^{(+1)}$ for $l \mathrm{k}$. This $m$ eans that the change of basis $m$ ust be analytic at $z=0$.

[^5]N ow suppose that we can decom pose M of equation (4.11) in the follow ing $m$ anner:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=g_{0}\left(z ; s^{0}\right) g_{1}\left(\frac{1}{z} ; s^{0}\right) ; \tag{4:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g_{0}$ is analytic at $z=0$ and $g_{1}$ is analytic at $z=1 . N$ ow let $A^{0} \quad g_{0}{ }^{1} A g_{0}$ $g_{0}{ }^{1}\left(@_{z} g_{0}\right)$ and $B_{i}^{0} \quad g_{0}{ }^{1} B_{i} g_{0} \quad g_{0}{ }^{1}\left(@_{z} g_{0}\right)$. Then it is elem entary to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{0}={ }^{h}\left(@_{z} g_{1}\right) g_{1}{ }^{1}+g_{1} \frac{A_{0}\left(s^{0}\right)}{z} g_{1}{ }^{1}  \tag{4:13}\\
& B_{i}^{0}=\left(@_{s_{i}} g_{1}\right) g_{1}{ }^{1}:
\end{align*}
$$

M oreover, by modifying $g_{0}$ by multiplying by a suitable $m$ atrix, $h\left(s^{0}\right)$, one can further gauge aw ay the $z$-independent term in $B^{0}$. Thus, provided that we can $m$ ake the split in (4.12) there is a z-analytic gauge choice that has $A^{(k)} B_{i}^{(k)} 0 ; k \quad 0$.

T he problem of nding the spllting (4.12) is called a R iem ann $H$ ilbert problem, and is generically [28], but not alw ays, solvable. Its solution is intim ately connected w th solving integrable m odels (see, for exam ple, [29]). W e have, in fact, a variational $R$ iem ann $H$ ibert problem in that our $m$ atrices have param eters $s^{0}$. This $m$ akes the problem much easier to address and it will be discussed further in the appendix. In particular, we show in the appendix that $g_{0}=I+O\left(s^{0}\right)$, where $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix, and we will also show that $g_{0}$ is analytic in $s^{0}$ and preserves quasihom ogeneity (i.e. the elem ents of the new basis have a well-de ned scaling dim ension).

To get at coordinates, we need to $m$ ake the restriction to $m$ arginal or relevant perturbations (of dim ension less than or equal to one), whidh means dim ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) 0 . Let $v\left(z ; S^{0}\right)$ be the basis in which $A^{(k)} \quad B^{(k)} 0$ for $k \quad 0$. Observe that if we restrict to ( $x^{A} ; s^{0}$ ) ofdim ension strictly less than one, then the corresponding $u^{()}\left(s^{9}\right)$ have dim ensions strictly less than $\left.{ }^{P}!_{A}\right) \quad$ (w here $!_{A}$ is the w eight of $x^{A}$ ). H ow ever, because the basis change has the form : $g_{0}=1+0\left(s^{0}\right)$, is analytic in $z$ and $s^{0}$ and preserves quasihom ogeneity, it follow sthat the $v$ of dim ension strictly less than ${ }^{P}!_{A}$ ) are analytic, quasihom ogenous com binations of $s^{0}$ and the $u^{()}\left(s^{0}\right)$.

In particular, such $v$ do not involve any $u^{(+k)}\left(s^{9}\right)$ for $\left.k \in 0\right)$. Furtherm ore, the $v$ ofdim ension equal to $\binom{P}{!_{A}}$ must be analytic, quasihom ogenous com binations of $s^{0}$, the $u^{()}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{0} \quad u_{0}^{(1)} \quad(1) \quad()^{Z} \frac{1}{W} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}:::^{\wedge} d x^{n}: \tag{4:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne of the $v$ of dim ension equal to $\left({ }^{P}!_{A}\right) \quad m$ ust be (a dim ensionless $m$ ultiple of) $u_{0}^{\left({ }^{1)}\right.}=z u_{0}^{()}$, while the rest of the $v$ must start $w$ th $a u^{()}$term for which $\left(x^{A} ; s^{0}\right)$ is a $m$ arginal operator. Let $s$ denote the (dim ensionless) $m$ arginal param eters and let $v^{0}$ and $f(s)$ be such that $f v^{0} ; f(s) u_{0}^{0} g$ form $s$ a set of linearly independent $v^{()}$of dim ension less than or equal to $\left({ }^{P}!_{A}\right)$.

It follow s from the foregoing that there is a quasihom ogenous, analytic, invertible matrix e ${ }^{j}\left(s^{0}\right)$ and a set of functions $q_{j}(s)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ s_{j}} u_{0}^{0}=e_{j} \quad v^{0} \quad q_{j}(s) u_{0}^{0} \tag{4:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{j} 0$ if dim $\left(S_{j}\right)>0$. $N$ ext observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ s_{i} @ @_{j}} u_{0}^{0} & =@_{i} e_{j} \quad v^{0}+e_{j} \quad\left(@_{i} v^{0}\right) \quad q_{j}\left(@_{i} u_{0}^{0}\right) \quad\left(@_{i} q_{j}\right) u_{0}^{0} \\
& =@_{i} e_{j} \quad q_{j} e_{i} \quad v^{0}+z^{1} e_{j} \quad C_{i a} v^{0}+q_{i} q_{j} \quad @_{i} q_{j} u_{0}^{0}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$B y$ linear independence of the $v^{0}$ and $u_{0}^{0}$ we have $@_{[i} q_{j]} 0$ and hence $q_{j} @_{j} q$ for som $e$ function $q(s)$.

The foregoing com bines to give us the follow ing sim ple result. T here is a universal' function $q(s)$ of all the $m$ arginal (dim ension zero) param eters such that the integral

$$
u_{0}=(1) \quad()^{Z} \frac{q(s)}{W} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}::::^{\wedge} d x^{n}
$$

satis es the follow ing equation?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ s_{i} @ s_{j}} u_{0}=C_{i j} u^{(+1)}+{ }_{i j}{ }^{k} \frac{@}{@ s_{k}} u_{0}: \tag{4:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus, the function $q(s)$ is determ ined by requiring that (4.17) contains no term proportional to $u_{0}$ itself. $T$ he $C_{i j}$ are just the structure constants of the chiral ring and ${ }_{i j}{ }^{k}$ is the $G$ auss $M$ anin connection. F lat coordinates are determ ined by sim ply requiring that 0 on the r.h.s. of (4.17).

In practice one takes the $s_{i}$ to be the at coordinates $t_{i}$, and considers a perturbation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t)=W_{0}(x)+{ }^{X} \quad i(t) m_{i}(x) \text {; } \tag{4:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{i}(x)$ are $m$ onom ials in the local ring (w ith degree less or equal than one), and the Landau-G inzburg couplings, $i(t)$, are unknown functions to be determ ined. W e note that it is elem entary to explicitly w rite dow $n$ the constraints im plied by (4.17) since this only involves di erentiating under the integral and integrating by parts, just like the reduction procedure described in section 2. T he constraints take rst the form of linear di erential equations for the $i$. O ne determ ines $q(e)$ in term sof the $i(t)$ by requiring that the $u_{0}$ piece in (4.17) vanishes. Substituting for $q(t)$ then tums the linear system into the associated non-linear system (e.g., into a Schw arzian di erential equation) that determ ines the $i(t)$.
$T$ he function $q(C)$ appears to be playing the role of a conform al rescaling of the vielbein. In particular we note that for the exam ples we com puted, the function $q(C){ }^{2}$ is precisely the conform al factor that takes the $G$ rothendieck $m$ etric of [7] to the at $m$ etric.

For conform al theories with c>3, there are chiral prim ary elds of dim ension larger than one. $W$ ith the restriction that we have $m$ ade on the perturbed supenpotential, we cannot write these irrelevant chiral prim aries as $\frac{\varrho \mathrm{W}}{\varrho \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ for som e $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$. T hus

[^6]it m ight appear that these irrelevant chiral prim aries play no role in determ ining the form of the equations that we derive from the procedure described above. T his is not so. It is im portant to rem ember to pass rst to the basis for all the chiral prim aries in which one has $B_{i}^{(k)}=0$ for $k \quad 0$, and then one $m$ ust use this basis in calculating the separate term $s$ in expressions like those on the right-hand-side of (4.17) .

Finally we note that equations (4.17) and (4.10) can be recast in the fam iliar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{i} \$=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{D}_{i} ; \widetilde{D}_{j}=0 ; \tag{4:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i}=@_{S_{i}}+{ }_{i}+C_{i} @_{1}$ and $\$_{i}=@_{i} u_{0}$. The rst equation is a generalization of the $m$ atrix di erential equation (2.4) we discussed in section 2 .

## 5. E xam ples R evisited

It is instructive to reconsider rst the torus exam ple (2.9) of section 2, but now w th an additional, relevant perturbation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{1}{3}\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}\right) \quad \text { (t) xyz } \quad s_{1} \text { (t) xy } \quad \frac{1}{2} s^{2} \quad 2 \text { (t) } z \quad \frac{1}{6} s^{3} \quad 3 \text { (t): } \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, $t$ is a dim ensionless, at coordinate (the m odular param eter of a torus), and $s$ is a param eter ofdim ension $1=3$. The dependence of the Landau-G inzburg coupling constants on the relevant perturbation param eters is already xed by its dim ension, so we will have to determ ine only the dependence on the $m$ odular param eter. The tw o-param eter perturbation is certainly not the $m$ ost general one (which was considered previously in [25]), but the extension is obvious. T he speci c perturbation we chose is how ever the $m$ ost general one consistent with the $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ sym $m$ etry generated by (x;y;z;s)! (!x;! $\left.{ }^{2} y ; z ; s\right)$ and ( $\left.x ; y ; z ; s\right)!(!x ;!y ; z ;!s)$.

Let $u_{0}$ ( 1) ( ) ${ }^{R} \frac{q(t)}{W} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}:::^{\wedge} d x^{n}$. Wewant to solve for ( t ) and $i_{i}(t)$ by requiring the connection in (4.17) to be at; this corresponds to the vanishing
of term sproportional to $u^{()}$in this equation. In particular, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a^{2} u_{0}}{a t^{2}}=\frac{q^{\infty}}{q} u_{0}+(1)^{+1}(+1)^{Z} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}:::^{\wedge} d x^{n} \frac{1}{W+1} \\
& n h 2 \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{\omega^{i}}{0} 0_{q x y z}+s 2 \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{e^{1}}{1_{1}^{i}}{ }_{1}^{0} q x y \\
& +\frac{1}{2} s^{2} 2 \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{e_{2}^{\infty i}}{2_{2}^{0}}{ }_{2}^{0} q z+\frac{1}{6} s^{3}{ }^{h} 2 \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{{ }_{3}^{\infty i}}{3_{0}^{0}}{ }_{3}^{0} q{ }^{0} \\
& +(1)^{+2}(+2) d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}:::^{\wedge} d x^{n} \frac{1}{W+2} \\
& \text { n }\left(0^{2} q x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+2 s{ }^{0}{ }_{1}^{0} q x^{2} y^{2} z+s^{2}\binom{0}{1}^{2} q x^{2} y^{2}+s^{2} 0_{2}^{0} q x y z^{2}+s^{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3}{ }^{0}{ }_{3}^{0}\right] q x y z+\frac{1}{4} s^{4}\left({ }_{2}^{0}\right)^{2} q z^{2}+\frac{1}{3} s^{4} \quad{ }_{1}^{0} \quad{ }_{3}^{0} q x y+\frac{1}{6} s^{5}{ }_{2}^{0}{ }_{3}^{0} q z+\frac{1}{36} s^{6}\binom{0}{3}^{2} q \quad: \tag{5:2}
\end{align*}
$$

W e could obtain equations for $q$; ; i by considering all the di erent powers of $s$ in this equation, but it is easier to just concentrate on the $s=0$ pieces. W e can thus use (2.10) and subsequently $z^{3}{ }_{s=0}=\left(z @_{z} W+x y z\right)_{s=0}$ to integrate $\frac{R x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}}{W+2}$ by parts to reduce its degree. The vanishing of the connection corresponds to the vanishing of the term sproportional to $\frac{1}{\mathrm{w}+1}$, i.e.

$$
(1)^{+1}(+1)^{Z} d x^{1 \wedge} d x^{2}:::^{\wedge} d x^{n} \frac{1}{W}+12 \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{\infty}{0}+\frac{3^{2} 0^{i}}{\left.(1)^{3}\right)}{ }_{q x y z} \quad 0:
$$

$T$ his determ ines $q(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(t)=\frac{1}{0}(t)_{0^{3}}= \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (5.2) (w ith $s=0$ ) by parts, substituting (5.3) and requiring the vanishing of all term $s$ that are proportional to $u_{0}$, we then indeed obtain directly the Schw arzian di erential equation (3.9) for (t),

$$
; t=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(8+{ }^{3}\right)}{\left(13^{3}\right)^{2}}(0)^{2} ;
$$

which is associated to the linear equation (2.11). H ow ever, by using the $m$ ethods derived in the foregoing section, we can now also solve for the couplings $i(t)$ of the
relevant perturbations. To obtain $1(t)$, it is easiest to consider the $s=0$ piece of $\frac{\varrho^{2} u_{0}}{\text { @set }}$; by integrating by parts, we nd the condition

$$
\left.\frac{1}{W^{h}+1} 2 \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{0}{1}+\frac{2^{2} 00^{3}}{(1} 3^{3}\right) \quad \text { qxy } \quad 0 ;
$$

 Sim ilarly, from the $s$ independent piece of $\frac{\varrho^{2} u_{0}}{@ s^{2}}$ we obtain

$$
{\frac{1}{W^{+1}}}^{h} 2+{\frac{1}{\left(13^{3}\right)}}^{i} q z \quad 0 ;
$$

which yields $2(t)=A^{2}$ (t) $(t)^{0}\left(1 \quad(t)^{3}\right)^{2=3}$. Finally, for 3 we consider the piece linear in $s$ of $\frac{\varrho^{2} u_{0}}{@ s^{2}}$, and use the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} y^{2}= & \frac{1}{\left(1{ }^{3}\right)}{ }^{n} x z @_{x} W+x^{2} @_{y} W+{ }^{2}{ }_{x y @}{ }_{z} W \\
& +2 s \quad 1 x y z+s_{1} x @_{x} W+s^{2}{ }_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} s^{2}{ }^{2}{ }_{2} x y
\end{aligned}
$$

$P$ artial integration gives

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{W}+1}^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{sq} 3+{\frac{1}{\left(1 \mathrm{l}^{3}\right)}}^{i} 0
$$

and thus determ ines $3(t)$. These results coincide with the expressions derived in [25]. O ne can also check that for the choice of ; i give above, the connection is com pletely attened.

To ilhustrate that our $m$ ethod $m$ ay be used for theories $w$ ith arbitrary central charges, reconsider the potential (2.18) w ith additional, relevant perturbations:

$$
W=x^{3} y+y^{3}+z^{3} \quad \text { (t) } x^{3} z \quad s_{1} 1 \text { (t) } z \quad s_{2} \quad 2 \text { (t) } x^{2} \text {; }
$$

$W e$ nd for the $\frac{1}{W+1}$ piece in the $s_{i}=0$ part of $\frac{\varrho^{2} u_{0}}{\varrho t^{2}}$

$$
\frac{1}{W}+1_{W^{h}}^{2} \frac{q^{0}}{q}+\frac{\infty}{0} \frac{8}{3}{\frac{20}{\left(1+{ }^{3}\right)}}^{i}{ }^{0} x^{3} z \text {; }
$$

and this determ ines

$$
q(t)={ }^{0}(t)^{1=2} 1+(t)^{3^{4=9}}:
$$

The $u_{0}$ piece in (4.17) then vanishes if satis es the di erential equation

$$
; t=\frac{40}{9\left(1+3^{3}\right.} \quad\left(0^{2}:\right.
$$

This is precisely the Schwarzian form of the linear equation (2.19). M oreover, 1 $m$ ay be obtained from the $s_{i}=0$ piece in $\frac{@^{2} u_{0}}{@ t 巴 s_{1}}: \quad 1(t)={ }^{0}(t)^{1=2}\left[1+(t)^{3}\right]^{1=3}$. Sim ilarly, we nd $2(t)={ }^{0}(t)^{1=2}\left[1+(t)^{3}\right]^{1=9}$. Hence, we can com pute the follow ing term of the free energy:

$$
F\left(s_{i} ; t\right)=\frac{1}{2} s_{1} s_{2}^{2}{ }^{0}(t)^{1=2}\left[1+(t)^{3}\right]^{1=9}+::::
$$

It is clear that we could com pute the other tem s of F in a sim ilar way.
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## APPENDIX

O ur purpose here is to show why one can nd a $m$ atrix $g_{0}\left(z ; S^{0}\right)$ that is analytic in $z$ and $s^{0}$ in the neighbourhood of $z=0, s^{0}=0$ and which will gauge the potentials $A^{(k)}$ and $B^{(k)}$ to zero for $k \quad 0$. Aswas shown in section 3, it su ces to show that the $m$ atrix $M\left(z ; s^{9}\right)$ de ned in (4.11) can be factorized as in (4.12). The $B$ irkho decom position theorem [28] [31] im plies that any $m$ atrix $M\left(z ; s^{9}\right)$ can be decom posed according to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=g_{0}\left(z ; s^{0}\right)\left(z ; s^{0}\right) g_{1}\left(\frac{1}{z} ; s^{0}\right) ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g_{0}$ is analytic at $z=0, g_{1}$ is analytic at $z=1$ and $\left(z ; s^{9}\right)$ is a diagonal $m$ atrix whose entries are integral powers ${ }^{?}$ of $z$. $W$ e need to show that $=I$, $w$ here $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix. $M$ ore sim ply, it su ces to show that all the integral powers of $z$ in are, in fact, zero and hence is $z$ independent and can thus be absorbed into $g_{0}$ or $g_{1}$. Since integers can only be continuous functions of $s^{0}$ by being constant, we can establish the desired result in a region about $s^{0}=0$ by sim ply show ing that it is true at $s^{0}=0$.

Let $\quad(x)=\left(x ; s^{0}=0\right)$, and recall that $W 0(x)$ ( $\quad\left(x ; s^{0}=0\right)$. By assum $p-$ tion $W_{0}(x)$ and (x) are quasihom ogeneous of weight 1 and of som e weight

[^7]respectively. It follow s that $W$ o
P ${ }_{A}!{ }_{A} x^{A} \frac{\varrho W}{@ x^{A}}$ and hence
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Wo (x) } \\
& \text { (x) } \quad \int_{A} x^{A} \frac{@ W_{0}}{@ x^{A}} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=X^{A} \frac{\varrho}{@ x^{A}}!_{A} x^{A} W_{0} \\
=X_{A}^{@} \frac{\varrho}{@ x^{A}}!_{A} x^{A} W_{0}
\end{array} \\
& \text { (x) } \\
& !_{A} W_{0} \quad!_{A} x^{A} W 0 \frac{@}{@ x^{A}} \\
& \mathrm{hX}!_{A}+\mathrm{i}_{0} \quad \text { : } \\
& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Therefore $W_{0}(x)(x)$ is a total derivative at $s^{0}=0$. It follow $s$ that $A^{(k)}\left(s^{0}\right) \quad 0$ fork 0 . Now take

$$
g_{0}\left(z ; s^{0}\right)=I+X_{j k=0}^{X^{1}} z^{k} s_{j}^{0} B_{j}^{(k)}\left(s^{0}=0\right)+O\left(\left(s^{0}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

$w$ here $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix. $T$ his $y$ ields the desired gauge for all values of $z$ but $w$ ith $s^{0}=0$. A s described above, the $B$ irkho theorem then guarantees that it can be done in a region about $s^{0}=0$. A lso note that $g_{0}\left(z ; s^{0}=0\right)=I$.

To see that the solution can be made quasihom ogeneously, consider the di erential equation that needs to be solved:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} & g_{0}{ }^{1} @_{z} g_{0}=z^{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(s^{0}\right)+\mathrm{z}^{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}\left(s^{0}\right) \\
g_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j} g_{0} & g_{0}{ }^{1} @_{s_{j}} g_{0}
\end{aligned}=z^{2} Q_{j}\left(s^{0}\right), ~ l
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $Q_{j}$ are unknowns. $T$ his $m$ eans that we $m$ ust solve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{s_{j}} g_{0}=g_{0}{ }_{g_{0}{ }^{1} B_{j} g_{0}^{i}}^{+} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [ $]_{+} m$ eans: take only the non-negative powers of $z$ in a power series expansion about $z=0$. The fact that the system (A 2) is integrable follow s from the generalobservations above. (It could probably be proved $m$ ore directly.) If $g_{0}$ is know $n$ to $n$-th order in $s^{0}$, then (A 2) determ ines the ( $n+1$ )-st order term. T hus one
can evolve a pow er series in $s^{0}$. (T he convergence of this pow er series is guaranteed by the C auchy K ow alew skitheorem.) It is elem entary to see that quasihom ogeneity is preserved order by order. F inally, it is of som e interest to know over what size of patch one can nd the required gauge. G enerically one nds [32] that this gauge choice can be $m$ ade in a large Schubert cell of the underlying $L$ ie group. T hat is, one expects that $g_{0}\left(z ; s^{0}\right)$ w ill becom e singular when one runs into a $W$ eylpoint of the underlying L ie group.
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[^0]:    ? A ddress after N ov. 1, 1991: C ERN , G eneva, Sw itzerland.

[^1]:    It appears [9] that K is not uniquely de ned given at coordinates that obey only (1.1). W e believe that the borrect' at coordinates are those which obey (12) as well.

[^2]:    ? A recent discussion of this sub ject $m$ ay be found in [24].

[^3]:    ? O ur discussion will follow, and extend, that of [6]. Flat coordinates in generic topological $m$ atter theories have also recently been discussed in [7].

[^4]:    y There are independent possible choices, but the choice is not im portant for the m om ent. The reader $m$ ight nd it helpfiul to consider the one-variable case, in which is som e loop around som e subset of the zeros of $W$.
    That is, one uses the fact that $0 \quad \frac{R}{e x^{A}} \frac{V^{A}}{W}+1 x^{\wedge} d x^{2}::::^{\wedge} d x^{n}$ for any vector $V^{A}$.

[^5]:    z Such equations have also been discussed in 5][7].
    $\backslash T$ hese $m$ atrioes are analytic in $s^{0}$, but not in $z$, hence the form of the equation.

[^6]:    ? Rem em ber that $W$ is only perturbed by $m$ arginaland relevant operators, i.e., $0 \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) 1$.

[^7]:    ? These integers are related to the $C$ hem class of the relevant vector bundle over $S^{2}$.

