

REAL FORMS OF COMPLEX QUANTUM ANTI-DE-SITTER ALGEBRA $U_q(Sp(4;C))$ AND THEIR CONTRACTION SCHEMES

Jerzy Lukierski^y, Anatol Nowicki^z and Henri Ruegg
 D epartem ent de P hysique T heorique,
 U niversite de G eneve, 24 quai E m est-A nsem et,
 1211 G eneve 4, S w itzerland

A bstract

W e describe four types of inner involutions of the Cartan-W eyl basis providing (for $|q|=1$ and q real) three types of real quantum Lie algebras: $U_q(O(3;2))$ (quantum $D=4$ anti-de-Sitter), $U_q(O(4;1))$ (quantum $D=4$ de-Sitter) and $U_q(O(5))$. W e give also two types of inner involutions of the Cartan-Chevalley basis of $U_q(Sp(4;C))$ which can not be extended to inner involutions of the Cartan-W eyl basis. W e outline twelve contraction schemes for quantum $D=4$ anti-de-Sitter algebra. All these contractions provide four commuting translation generators, but only two (one for $|q|=1$, second for q real) lead to the quantum Poincare algebra with an undeformed space rotations $O(3)$ subalgebra.

Partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation

^yOn leave of absence from the Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, ul. Cybulskiego 36, 50205 Wrocław, Poland

^zOn leave of absence from the Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University, Plac Slowiański 6, 65020 Zielona Góra, Poland

1 Introduction

Recently the formalism of quantum groups and quantum Lie algebras¹⁾ (see e.g. [1-6]) has been applied to physical space-time symmetries. Several authors have looked for q -deformations of $D = 4$ Lorentz group and $D = 4$ Lorentz algebra [7-11]. In other papers the contraction schemes have been used to obtain the quantum deformation of semisimple Lie algebras describing Minkowski or Euclidean group of motions. In particular there were obtained:

a) quantum deformations of $D = 2$ and $D = 3$ Euclidean and Minkowski geometries, described as quantum Lie algebra or as quantum group [12,13]

b) quantum deformation of $D = 4$ Poincare algebra [14]

Quite recently also several deformations of $D = 2$ supersymmetry algebra in its Euclidean [15-17] as well as Minkowski [15,17] version were obtained.

In our recent paper [14] we obtained the quantum deformation of $D = 4$ Poincare algebra by contracting the Cartan-Weyl basis of a particular real form $U_q(SO(3;2))$ ($|q|=1$) of the second rank quantum Lie algebra $U_q(Sp(4;C))$. Performing these calculations we realized that the chosen scheme is not unique. We found that there are at least two questions which should be answered in detail for the physical applications of any quantum Lie algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of rank ≥ 2 :

a) The classification of involutions of the quantum Lie algebra $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}); \cdot, S; E)$, where \cdot denotes multiplication ($\cdot : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$); S - the antipode ("quantum inverse") ($S : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$) and E is the counit ($E : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C$)²⁾. In principle one can introduce four types of involutions (see Sect. 2).

b) The extension of inner involutions of Cartan-Chevalley basis to the full Lie algebra basis, described by the Cartan-Weyl generators. It appears that for physical applications one should "solve" the Serre relations, and study the reality condition for the deformed Lie algebra generators.

In this paper we address these questions for the simple case of rank 2 quantum Lie algebra $U_q(Sp(4))$, and provide the answers. It appears that different real structures imply different signatures as well as different restrictions on q ($|q|=1$ or q real). In particular in the case of $U_q(O(3;2))$ the Cartan subalgebra of $O(3;2)$ can be chosen maximally compact ($O(2) \oplus O(2)$) or maximally noncompact ($O(1;1) \oplus O(1;1)$), and two triples $(e_i; e_{-i}; h_i)$ ($i=1,2$) describing Cartan-Chevalley basis of $Sp(4)$ for different real structures describe different real forms of $SL(2;C)$ ($O(3)$ or $O(2;1)$). If we follow the method ref. [14] and perform the contraction procedure for different real structures we obtain different deformations of the four-dimensional inhomogeneous space-time algebras: the quantum Poincare algebras with Minkowski signature (3,1) or the ones describing four-dimensional quantum geometry with the signature (2,2). Further, the "physical" quantum Poincare algebra (with signature (3,1)) can be deformed in two different ways:

a) with undeformed $O(3)$ subalgebra of $D = 3$ space rotations.

b) with undeformed $O(2;1)$ subalgebra.

The first case was obtained in our recent paper [14], with $|q|=1$. If we consider

all possible real structures, both cases a) and b) can be accompanied with the reality conditions $\bar{q}q = 1$ as well as $q = \bar{q}$ (q real). As a consequence we conclude that in the deformation formulae given in [14] for the quantum Poincaré algebra also the purely imaginary values of deformation parameter may appear. We obtain that modulus of some numerical coefficients, depending on the choice of the involution, the $\sin \frac{p_0}{2}$ and $\cos \frac{p_0}{2}$ terms can be replaced by $\sinh \frac{p_0}{2}$ and $\cosh \frac{p_0}{2}$ terms, providing agreement with earlier results for three-dimensional case [12,13].

The plan of our paper is the following. In Sect. 2 we shall rewrite the Hopf bialgebra $U_q(\text{Sp}(4; \mathbb{C}))$ in Cartan-Weyl basis, with multiplication table, antipodes, counits and Serre relations replaced by bilinear algebraic relations. In Sect. 3 we shall consider two types of the Hopf algebra involutions, satisfying respectively the conditions

$$\text{i)} \quad S(S(a)) = a, \quad S^2 = 1 \quad (1a)$$

$$\text{ii)} \quad S^{-1}(S(a)) = a, \quad S^2 = S \quad (1b)$$

We show that there are four different ways of introducing in total 16 real structures of Cartan-Weyl basis of $U_q(\text{Sp}(4))$. (eight of type 1a) and eight of type 1b)). Expressing the Cartan-Weyl generators of $U_q(\text{Sp}(4))$ as quantum deformation of $O(3;2)$ Lie algebra basis, one can relate the choice of real structures with the choice of signature of real $D = 5$ orthogonal algebras $O(5-k; k)$ ($k = 0; 1; 2$). The results are presented in Table 1. For completeness we shall present also the involutions $s = S$ which due to the relation (1a) satisfy $s^2 = 1$. They provide the examples of inner involutions of Cartan-Chevalley basis which however cannot be extended to Cartan-Weyl basis. In Sect. 4 we discuss the contraction schemes of different real forms of $U_q(\text{Sp}(4))$, which are obtained for 16 choices of real structures (12 for quantum anti-de-Sitter, 2 for quantum de-Sitter, and 2 for $U_q(O(5))$, which can be treated as quantum $D = 4$ Euclidean de-Sitter algebra). Finally in Sect. 5 we present general remarks and comments.

2 $U_q(\text{Sp}(4))$ in Cartan-Weyl basis

Let us recall that the Lie algebra $C_2 = \text{Sp}(4)$ can be described by the following standard choice of simple roots (see e.g. [19])

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}\bar{p}; \frac{1}{2}\bar{p}, \quad \alpha_2 = (0; \frac{\bar{p}}{2}) \quad (2.1)$$

which leads to the following symmetrized Cartan matrix $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i; \alpha_j \rangle$ ($i = 1; 2$):

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

The Drinfeld-Jimbo q -deformation of $U_q(\text{Sp}(4))$ is described by the following deformation of Cartan-Chevalley basis $(e_i; e_{-i}; h_i)$, corresponding to simple roots (2.1) (

$$[x] = (q - q^{-1})^{-1} (\xi - q^x)$$

$$[e_i; e_j] = -ij [h_i]_q$$

$$[h_i; e_j] = -ij e_j \quad [h_i; h_j] = 0$$

restricted also by the q -Serre relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-1}^h e_{-1} [e_{-1}; e_{-2}]_{q^{-1}} [q^{-1}]_{q^{-1}} &= 0 \\ e_{-2}^h [e_{-2}; e_{-1}]_{q^{-1}} [q^{-1}]_{q^{-1}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $[e_i; e_j]_q = e_i e_j - q^{\langle i; j \rangle} e_j e_i$. The coproduct and antipodes are given by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} (h_i) &= h_i - 1 + 1 - h_i \\ (e_{-i}) &= e_{-i} - q^{\frac{h_i}{2}} + q^{\frac{h_i}{2}} e_{-i} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$S(h_i) = -h_i \quad S(e_{-i}) = -q^{\frac{1}{2}d_i} e_i \quad (2.6)$$

where $d_i = \langle -i; -i \rangle = (1; 2)$.

In order to write the Cartan-Weyl basis one has to introduce the generators corresponding to nonsimple roots. $(-1 + 2; 2 - 1 + 2)$. Introducing the normal order of roots $(-1; 4 = 2 - 1 + 2, 3 = -1 + 2; 2)$ and using the following defining relations for nonsimple generators staying in normal order $(-; +;)$ [20,21]

$$\begin{aligned} e_{+} &= e e - q^{\langle i; j \rangle} e e \\ e &= e e - q^{\langle i; j \rangle} e e \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

one obtains $([A; B]_q = AB - qBA)$

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 &= [e_1; e_2]_q \quad e_{-3} = [e_{-2}; e_{-1}]_{q^{-1}} \\ e_4 &= [e_1; e_3] \quad e_{-4} = [e_{-3}; e_{-1}] \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The complete set of commutation relations for $U_q(C_2)$ in q -deformed Cartan-Weyl basis was given in [14]. We recall that

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i; e_j] &= -ij [h_i]_q \\ [h_i; h_j] &= 0 \\ [h_i; e_j] &= -ij e_j \quad (2.9a) \\ [e_3; e_{-3}] &= [h_3]_q \quad h_3 = h_1 + h_2 \\ [e_4; e_{-4}] &= [h_4]_q \quad h_4 = h_1 + h_3 \end{aligned}$$

The set of bilinear relations equivalent to q-Serre relations takes the form :

$$[e_1; e_4]_{q^{-1}} = 0; [e_2; e_3]_q = 0$$

$$[e_4; e_3]_{q^{-1}} = 0; [e_3; e_4]_q = 0 \quad (2.9b)$$

$$[e_3; e_2]_{q^{-1}} = 0; [e_4; e_1]_q = 0$$

The formulae for coproduct and antipode for the generators (2.8) look as follows:

$$(e_3) = e_3 - q^{\frac{1}{2}h_3} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}h_3} - e_3 + (q^{-1} - q)q^{\frac{1}{2}h_2}e_1 - e_2q^{\frac{1}{2}h_1} \quad (2.10a)$$

$$(e_{-3}) = e_{-3} - q^{\frac{1}{2}h_3} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}h_3} - e_{-3} + (q - q^{-1})q^{\frac{1}{2}h_1}e_2 - e_1q^{\frac{1}{2}h_1}$$

$$(e_4) = e_4 - q^{\frac{1}{2}h_4} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}h_4} - e_4 + (q - q^{-1})f(1 - q^{-1})$$

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}h_2}e_1^2 - e_2q^{h_1} - q^{\frac{1}{2}h_3}e_1 - e_3q^{\frac{1}{2}h_1} \quad (2.10b)$$

$$(e_{-4}) = e_{-4} - q^{\frac{1}{2}h_4} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}h_4} - e_{-4} + (q - q^{-1})f(q - 1)$$

$$q^{h_1}e_2 - e_1^2q^{\frac{1}{2}h_2} + q^{\frac{1}{2}h_1}e_3 - e_1q^{\frac{1}{2}h_3}$$

and

$$S(e_3) = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}e_3 + q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^2)e_1e_2$$

$$S(e_{-3}) = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}e_{-3} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{-2})e_2e_1 \quad (2.11)$$

$$S(e_4) = -qe_4 + (1 - q^2)f(q - 1)e_1^2e_2 + e_1e_3g$$

$$S(e_{-4}) = -q^{-1}e_{-4} + (1 - q^{-2})^{-n}(q^{-1} - 1)e_2e_1^2 + e_3e_1$$

The description of quantum algebra in Cartan-Weyl basis is an alternative description to the standard one, given by (2.3 - 2.6). There are two advantages of this approach:

- a) The nonlinear Serre constraints are replaced by bilinear relations (see (2.9b))
- b) In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ one obtains the ordinary Lie algebra relations.

In comparison with [14] we shall propose here more general relations between the "root" generators $e_a; e_{-a}; h_i$, ($a = 1; i = 1; 2$) and the "physical" rotation generators $M_{AB} = M_{BA}$ ($A; B = 0; 1; 2; 3; 4$), allowing for the q-dependent rescaling of the "root" generators

$$e_a \rightarrow E_a = C_a(q)e_a \quad (2.12)$$

where $C_a(1) = 1$. In fact a nontrivial choice of the numerical coefficients C_a is necessary if we wish to obtain the reality conditions for M_{AB} from the inner involution formulae for the Cartan-Chevalley basis, satisfying the condition (1.1a).

3 Real forms of $U_q(\mathrm{Sp}(4))$

Let us consider the Hopf algebra A over \mathbb{C} with multiplication and antipode S . We shall distinguish the following four types of involutive homomorphisms in A :

i) The $+$ -involution, which is an anti-automorphism in the algebra sector, and automorphism in the coalgebra sector, i.e. $(a_i \in A)$:

$$(a_1 \ a_2)^+ = a_2^+ a_1^+ \quad ((a))^+ = (a^+) \quad (3.1)$$

ii) The $-$ -involution, which is an automorphism in the algebra sector, and an antiautomorphism in the coalgebra sector, i.e.

$$(a_1 \ a_2) = a_1 a_2 \quad ((a)) = {}^0(a) \quad (3.2)$$

where ${}^0 = {}^1 = R R^{-1}$ ($-$ ip automorphism in $A \rightarrow A$).

We assume further that the bialgebra involutions i), ii) satisfy the relation (1a).

iii) The $-$ -involution, which is an antiautomorphism in both algebra and coalgebra sectors, i.e.

$$(a_1 \ a_2) = (a_2) (a_1) \quad ((a)) = {}^0 a \quad (3.3)$$

iv) The $\bar{}$ -involution, which is an automorphism in both algebra and coalgebra sectors, i.e.

$$(a_1 \ a_2)^{\bar{}} = (a_1)^{\bar{}} (a_2)^{\bar{}} \quad ((a))^{\bar{}} = a^{\bar{}} \quad (3.4)$$

We assume for the bialgebra involutions iii), iv) the relation (1b). We would like to recall that the standard real structure of the Hopf algebra A is given by the involution i) (see e.g. [2]).

Now we shall present 16 involutions of $U_q(\mathrm{Sp}(4))$ -four in every category i) - iv), distinguished by two parameters and (we choose further $\epsilon = 1$; $\epsilon = -1$) -which are inner on Cartan -Weyl basis ($k = q^{\frac{1}{2}h}$):

i) $+$ -involutions ($\bar{} = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} k_i^+ &= k_i & , & \quad h_i^+ = h_i \\ e_{-1}^+ &= e_{-1} & e_{-2}^+ &= e_{-2} \\ e_{-3}^+ &= q^{-1} e_{-3} & e_{-4}^+ &= q^{-1} e_{-4} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We define the rescaled generators $E_{-3}; E_{-4}$ by introducing in the formula (2.12)

$$C_{-3}(q) = C_{-4}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.6)$$

satisfying the relations

$$E_{-3}^+ = E_{-3} \quad E_{-4}^+ = E_{-4}$$

We define the rotation generators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{12} &= h_1 & M_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} (E_3 - E_3) \\
M_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_1 + e_{-1}) & M_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_2 + e_{-2} + E_4 + E_{-4}) \\
M_{31} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_1 - e_{-1}) & M_{14} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_2 - e_{-2} - E_4 + E_{-4}) \\
M_{04} &= h_3 & & \\
M_{03} &= \frac{1}{2} (E_3 + E_{-3}) \\
M_{02} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_2 - e_{-2} + E_4 - E_{-4}) \\
M_{01} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_2 + e_{-2} - E_4 - E_{-4})
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}$$

giving for $q = 1$ the condition

$$M_{AB} = M_{AB}^+ \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$A; B = 0; 1; 2; 3; 4$$

and for $q \neq 1$

$$[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = g_{BC} M_{AD} + g_{AD} M_{BC} - g_{AC} M_{BD} - g_{BD} M_{AC} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$g_{AB} = \text{diag} (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{3.11a}$$

By proper choice of "i" factors in the formulae (3.8) one can achieve the condition (3.9) also for other values of q and ϵ . One gets then the relations (3.10) with the following set of metrics:

$$q = 1; \epsilon = 1: \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{3.11b}$$

$$q = 1; \epsilon = 1: \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{3.11c}$$

$$q = 1; \epsilon = 1: \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{3.11d}$$

The involution (3.5) with $q = 1$ corresponds in dual picture to the one proposed in [1] for the real form $Sp_q(2n; \mathbb{R})$ of the complex quantum group $Sp_q(2n; \mathbb{C})$.

ii) q -involution (q real)

$$\begin{aligned}
k_i &= k_i^{-1} & h_i &= h_i \\
e_{-1} &= e_1 & e_{-2} &= e_2 \\
e_{-3} &= q^{-1} e_3 & e_{-4} &= q^{-1} e_4
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}$$

If we rescale the generators $e_3; e_4$ using the scaling factor (3.6) and introduce in suitable way the rotation generators (3.8) with proper "i-factors", in order to satisfy the condition

$$M_{AB} = M_{AB} \quad (3.13)$$

one can show that the choices of and in (3.12) implies the following D = 5 orthogonal metrics³⁾

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ + + + +) \quad (3.14a)$$

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ + + +) \quad (3.14b)$$

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ + + +) \quad (3.14c)$$

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ + + +) \quad (3.14d)$$

We see therefore that we obtained for six involutions (3.11a-d) and (3.14c-d) the O(3;2) metric, for the choice (3.14b) - the O(4;1) metric, and for (3.14a) - the O(5) metric. All eight involutions (3.5) and (3.12) satisfy the condition (1.1a).

iii) - involution ($\eta\eta = 1$)

$$k_i = k_i^{-1} \quad h_i = h_i$$

$$e_1 = e_1 \quad e_2 = e_2 \quad (3.15)$$

$$e_3 = e_3 \quad e_4 = e_4$$

In the case of the involutions (3.15) the rescaling of "root" generators is not needed. If one choose "i-factors" in the formula (3.8) properly, the relations (3.15) will imply the reality conditions

$$M_{AB} = M_{AB} \quad (3.16)$$

and the following choices of the metric in the formula (3.10):

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ ; + ; + ; + ; +) \quad (3.17a)$$

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ ; + ; + ; + ; +) \quad (3.17b)$$

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ ; + ; + ; + ; +) \quad (3.17c)$$

$$= 1; = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag}(+ ; + ; + ; + ; +) \quad (3.17d)$$

We would like to mention here that in our previous paper [14] we considered the contraction scheme for the involution (3.17b); the involution (3.17a) was also presented in [14].

iv) Q -involution (qreal)

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^* &= k_1, & h_1^* &= h_1 \\ e_1^* &= e_1 & e_2^* &= e_2 \\ e_3^* &= e_3 & e_4^* &= e_4 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The choice of the involutions (3.18) implies for real generators.

$$M_{AB}^* = M_{AB} \quad (3.19)$$

the following choices of metric:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1; \quad = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (+ \quad + \quad +) \\ &= 1; \quad = -1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (+ \quad + \quad -) \\ &= -1; \quad = 1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (- \quad - \quad +) \\ &= -1; \quad = -1 : \quad g_{AB} = \text{diag} (- \quad - \quad -) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By considering the involutions (3.15) and (3.18) we see again that we obtained six involutions providing $O(3;2)$ metric, one - the $O(4;1)$ metric, and one giving $O(5)$ metric. All eight involutions (3.15) and (3.18) satisfy the condition (1.1b).

In order to complete the discussion of involutions for $U_q(Sp(4))$ we should consider also two types of involutions, obtained by the composition of antipode map and the involutions i), ii). Using the formulae for the antipode one gets the following two new involutions:

$$v) \quad s = S$$

Because the antipode S is an antiautomorphism of algebra as well as coalgebra, the involution s is a standard real structure of Hopf bialgebra behaving as the $+ -$ involution (see i)), and satisfies the relations [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 a_2)^s &= (a_2)^s (a_1)^s \\ (a^s)^s &= a \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

On $U_q(Sp(4))$ the relations (3.21) imply that q should be real. Besides the involution s takes the following explicit form :

$$\begin{aligned} k_i^s &= k_i, & h_i^s &= h_i \\ e_1^s &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_1 & e_2^s &= q^{-1} e_2 \\ e_3^s &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_3 & e_4^s &= q^{-1} e_4 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $e_a = e_a$ ($a = 3, 4$; ip automorphism), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 &= [e_2; e_1]_q & e_4 &= [e_3; e_1] \\ e_3 &= [e_1; e_2]_{q^{-1}} & e_4 &= [e_1; e_3] \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

We easily see from the formula (3.22) that the involution s does not have an extension to the Cartan-Chevalley basis.

$$vi) +_s = S +$$

In similar way one can introduce for $jj = 1$ behaving as the $-$ involution (see ii)). It is given by the following outer involution of the Cartan-Chevalley basis:

$$\begin{aligned} k_i^{+s} &= k_i^{-1}, & h_i &= h_i^{+s} \\ e_1^{+s} &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_1 & e_2^{+s} &= q^{-1} e_2 \\ e_3^{+s} &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_3 & e_4^{+s} &= q^{-1} e_4 \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

4 Different contraction schemes

In our previous paper [14] we have chosen the $-$ involution (3.15) with the choice of the parameters $\beta = 1$; $\gamma = 1$ (see 3.17b), providing the real quantum Lie algebra $U_q(O(3;2))$. In this paper we have obtained twelve real quantum Lie algebras $U_q(O(3;2))$ (see table 1)

In particular $U_q(Sp(4))$ as a second rank quantum algebra contains two quantum $U_q(Sl^{(i)}(2;C))$ subalgebras ($i = 1, 2$), with generators $(e_i; e_{-i}; h_i)$. Because we have chosen the assignment provided by the formulae (3.8) we obtain that

$$SL^{(1)}(2;C) = (M_{12}; M_{23}; M_{31}) \quad (4.1a)$$

$$SL^{(2)}(2;C) = (M_{04}; \frac{1}{2}(M_{02} + M_{14}); \frac{1}{2}(M_{01} - M_{24})) \quad (4.1b)$$

Our contraction is defined by rescaling the generators ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) [14]

$$P = \frac{1}{R} M_0, \quad M \text{ unchanged} \quad (4.2)$$

the rescaling of the deformation parameter [12-14]

$$\ln q = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{R} & \text{for } q \text{ real} \\ \frac{i}{R} & \text{for } jj = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

and performing the limit $R \rightarrow 1$. Following [14] we obtain in this limit that

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Root mappings; values of q	Type of involution	algebra	Co- algebra	M etrics (g ₀₀ ;g ₁₁ ;g ₂₂ ;g ₃₃ ;g ₄₄)	Cartan subalgebra	N ondeform ed subalgebra D = 3	D = 4 subalgebra (q = 1)
! qj= 1	+	anti- aut.	aut.	(+ +) (+) (+ + +) (+ + +)	N C N C N C N C N C N C N C N C	O (2;1) O (2;1) O (2;1) O (2;1)	O (3;1) O (3;1) O (2;2) O (2;2)
! q real	*	aut.	anti- aut.	(+ + + +) (+ + +) (+ + +) (+ +)	C C C C C C C C	O (3) O (2;1) O (3) O (2;1)	O (4) O (3;1) O (3;1) O (2;2)
! qj= 1		anti- aut.	anti- aut.	(+ + + + +) (+ + + +) (+ +) (+ + +)	C C C C C C C C	O (3) O (2;1) O (3) O (2;1)	O (4) O (3;1) O (3;1) O (2;2)
! qj real	Q	aut.	aut.	(+ + +) (+ + +) (+ +) (+ +)	N C N C N C N C N C N C N C N C	O (2;1) O (2;1) O (2;1) O (2;1)	O (3;1) O (2;2) O (2;2) O (3;1)

Table 1: DESCRIPTION OF REAL STRUCTURES AND CONTRACTIONS OF $U_q(Sp(4;C))$ (Aut. automorphism, antiaut. antiautomorphism, C - compact abelian $O(2)$, N C - noncompact abelian $O(1;1)$); $+$ () - set of positive (negative) roots)

i) The quantum subalgebra $U_q(SL^{(1)}(2;C))$ becomes a classical one, i.e.

$$U_q(SL^{(1)}(2;C)) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow 1} U(SL^1(2;C)) \quad (4.4)$$

ii) The generators $P = \lim_{R \rightarrow 1} P$ commute

$$[P, P] = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

however their primitive coproduct is modified

iii) If we put all $P = 0$, we obtain the quantum deformation of six generators, describing the quantum counterpart of Lorentz algebra. In table 1 we list these algebras in column 8 in the free limit $q \rightarrow 1$.

The choice of a real form for $Sp(4)$ leads to the choice of a real form of $SL^{(1)}(2;C)$. These real three-dimensional algebras are listed in table 1 in column 7, and due to the relation (4.4) they are not deformed.

We see from table 1 that out of 12 real quantum algebras $U_q(O(3;2))$ only two seem to be physically interesting - one considered in [14] and second one given by -involution (3.12) with $\epsilon = 1$ and $\eta = 1$. Because the rescaling (3.6) for $R \rightarrow 1$ disappears, in the contraction limit we obtain

a) The algebra considered in [14], with the generators satisfying the reality condition corresponding to the notion of Hermitian operators in quantum theory

b) The algebra from [14] with imaginary parameter and the reality condition for generators corresponding to the notion of real number (or real function) in classical theory. In such a case we obtain the cos and sin deformation terms replaced by cosh and sinh terms.

We would like to recall that the conventional Poincare algebra is obtained in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$.

5 Final Remarks

This paper has been written in a search for other contractions describing quantum deformation of Poincare algebra. We keep the contraction scheme the same as proposed in [14] but we apply it to all possible real forms of quantum de-Sitter algebra $U_q(\mathrm{Sp}(4))$. As a result we obtain two cases which are interesting as candidates for quantum deformation of the Poincare algebra: one corresponding to quantum, and second to classical realization of quantum algebra.

In our paper we restricted ourselves to the involutions satisfying the conditions (1a-b) – describing two types of real structure of Hopf bialgebra over \mathbb{C} . If these conditions are not satisfied, we shall still get real bialgebras. It is possible that other physically interesting contractions are of this type. Also it should be added that we did not realize the involutions as adjoint operators on the representation spaces of quantum algebra. In general one can say only that the involutions which are algebra automorphisms are related with classical realizations, (involution = complex conjugation), and the involutive antiautomorphisms are good candidates for the adjoint operation defined for quantum realizations (involution = Hermitian conjugation).

Finally, we would like to stress that the contraction produces the deformation described by a dimensionfull parameter, in our case mass-like parameter. It would be extremely interesting to find the role in real world for such a mass-like parameter. One of possible applications is the regularization of local fields by the introduction of large but finite value of. Unfortunately, in order to make such an idea more concrete firstly the q -deformation of Minkowski geometry should be formulated.

Acknowledgments

One of us (J.L.) would like to thank Dr. V. Dobrev for discussions. Two of the authors (J.L.) and (A.N.) would like to thank the University of Geneva for its warm hospitality.

FOOTNOTES:

1. Following [1] we distinguish here the quantum group G_q as the q -deformation of the (algebra of functions on the) Lie group, and the quantum Lie algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, described by the q -deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra.
2. For the discussion of real forms of the complex quantum Lie algebra $U_q(\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{C}))$ see e.g. [18]; for discussion of real forms of quantum groups see [1]. In these papers only the antiautomorphisms of algebra and automorphisms of coalgebra are listed.
3. We recall that q -involution is a conjugation of algebra, not changing the order in

a product of operators, and the condition (3.13) implies the reality of the metric in (3.10).

References

- [1] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin and L. Takhtajan, *Algebra i Analiz*, 1 (1989), 178; Engl. translation *Leningrad Math. Journ.* 1, 193 (1990)
- [2] L. Woronowicz, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 111 (1987) 613, 122 (1989) 125
- [3] W. G. Drinfeld, "Quantum groups", *Proceedings of International Congress of Math.*, Berkeley, USA (1986), p. 703
- [4] M. Jimbo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 10 (1985), 63; *ibid.* 11 (1986), 247
- [5] Yu. T. Manin, "Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry", *Centre de Recherches Math. Univ. de Montreal Publ.*, 1989
- [6] *Proceedings of First EIMI Workshop on Quantum Groups*, October-December 1990, Leningrad, ed. P. Kulish, Springer Verlag, 1991
- [7] P. Podles and S. L. Woronowicz, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 130, 381 (1990)
- [8] V. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, M. Scholl and S. Watamura, *Z. Phys. C* 48, 159 (1990)
- [9] V. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, M. Scholl and S. Watamura, *Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. A* 6, 3081 (1991)
- [10] W. P. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Max Planck Institute preprint MP-Ph/91-15* March 1991
- [11] S. L. Woronowicz, "New Quantum Deformation of $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ - Hopf Algebra Level", *Warsaw University preprint* 1990
- [12] E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, *J. Math. Phys.* 32 (1991) 1155; *ibid.* 1159
- [13] E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, "Contraction of quantum groups", *Contribution to First Semester "Quantum Groups"*, Leningrad 1990, ed. P. Kulish, Springer Verlag (in press)
- [14] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V. N. Tolstoy, *Phys. Lett. B* 264 (1991) 331
- [15] W. B. Schmidke, S. P. Vokos and B. Zumino, *Zeitschr. für Phys. C* 48, 249 (1990)

- [16] E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, P. P. Kulish, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, Firenze Univ. preprint DFF 139/6/91
- [17] J. Lukierski and A. Nowicki, Wrocław University preprint ITPUW r 777/91, June 1991
- [18] T. Masuda, K. Mimachi, Y. Nakagami, M. Noumi, Y. Saburi and K. Ueno, Lett. Math. Phys. 19, 187 (1990)
- [19] R. Gilmore, "Lie groups, Lie algebras and some of their applications", New York, Wiley, 1974
- [20] M. Rosso, Comm. Math. Phys. 124, 307 (1989)
- [21] V. T. Tolstoy, in Proc. of Summer Workshop "Quantum Groups", Clausthal, July 1989, Springer Verlag; p.118