

CERN-TH.6196/91

FOCK SPACE RESOLUTIONS OF THE VIRASORO
HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES WITH $c = 1$

Peter Bouwknegt

CERN - Theory Division
CH-1211 Geneva 23
Switzerland

Jim McCarthy^y

Department of Physics
Brandeis University
Waltham, MA 02254

Krzysztof Pilch^z

Department of Physics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484

Abstract

We extend Felder's construction of Fock space resolutions for the Virasoro minimal models to all irreducible modules with $c = 1$. In particular, we provide resolutions for the representations corresponding to the boundary and exterior of the Kac table.

Submitted to: Letters in Mathematical Physics

CERN-TH.6196/91
BRX-TH-323
USC-91/21

August 1991
revised August 1991

^y Supported by the NSF Grant # PHY-88-04561.

^z Supported in part by the Department of Energy Contract # DE-FG 03-84ER-40168 and by the USC Faculty Research and Innovation Fund.

1. Introduction

The problem we address in this letter arises quite naturally in the so-called free field approach to conformal field theories. The mathematical question one wants to answer within this framework is whether a given irreducible module L of the chiral algebra A has a resolution in terms of Fock spaces. More precisely, one wants to construct a family of free field Fock spaces $F^{(i)}$, which are A -modules, and a set of A -homomorphisms (intertwiners) $d^{(i)} : F^{(i)} \rightarrow F^{(i+1)}$, such that these spaces with the maps between them form a complex whose (co)homology is isomorphic to L . The first example of such a construction was given by Felder [1] for the class of representations of the Virasoro algebra corresponding to minimal models [2]. Later, this was extended to other conformal field theories, in particular WZNW and their coset models (for review see [3] and references therein).

Felder's construction relies on the complete classification of submodules of Fock spaces given by Feigin and Fuchs [4,5], and the explicit form of the intertwiners. The latter are defined, following Thom [6], as multiple integrals of products of the screening currents. One may wonder whether the restriction to the representations in the fundamental range of the minimal series is important. The answer turns out to be negative, and already examples of similar resolutions outside this series have been discussed in [7]. In the following we will argue that a Fock space resolution can be explicitly constructed for any irreducible highest weight module of the Virasoro algebra provided one introduces additional intertwiners besides those considered in [1,7]. The existence of such intertwiners, and their properties needed in the computation of the cohomology, were demonstrated by Tsuchiya and Kanie [8]. We will discuss some of their results within the Dotsenko-Fateev formalism [9], as used in [1,3], which may be more familiar. Not to make our presentation too long we will restrict to modules with $c \leq 1$.

Our three main results, which cover the cases not analyzed previously, are summarized in Theorems 5.2, 6.1 and 7.1. In particular, the first gives the resolution for modules corresponding to the so-called boundary of the Kac table [10], whilst the last extends Felder's construction to modules outside the fundamental range.

This letter is organized as follows: In Section 2, as well as introducing some definitions and notation, we summarize the results of Feigin and Fuchs which will be used later. Then, in Sections 3 and 4, we introduce intertwiners and recall the construction of Felder's complex. After this review, we discuss the boundary case in detail in Sections 5 and 6, and in Section 7 describe the extension of the resolution for the irreducible modules beyond the fundamental range. We conclude in Section 8 with some remarks on possible applications of these results.

2. Feigin-Fuchs modules

The generators of the Virasoro algebra, Vir , satisfy

$$[L_m; L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0}; \quad [L_n; c] = 0; \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (2.1)$$

In this letter we will consider three classes of highest weight modules of Vir : the irreducible modules $L_{h;c}$, the Verma modules $M_{h;c}$, and the Feigin-Fuchs modules $F_{p;Q}$. We recall that the central charge, c , and the conformal dimension, h , determine $L_{h;c}$ and $M_{h;c}$ completely, and that the latter is generated freely by L_{-n} , $n \geq 1$, acting on the vacuum $v_{h;c}$, $L_0 v_{h;c} = h v_{h;c}$.

The Feigin-Fuchs module $F_{p;Q}$ is just the Fock space of a scalar field, $\phi(z)$, with a background charge Q and momentum p such that

$$c = 1 - 12Q^2; \quad h = \frac{1}{2}p(p - 2Q); \quad (2.2)$$

We follow the convention that the two-point function of $\phi(z)$ is

$$\langle \phi(z) \phi(w) \rangle = \ln(z - w); \quad (2.3)$$

and the stress energy tensor, $T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$, has the form

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} : \partial \phi(z) \partial \phi(z) : + iQ \partial^2 \phi(z); \quad (2.4)$$

In terms of modes $i\partial \phi(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n z^{-n-1}$,

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_{n-m} \alpha_m : - (n+1)Q \alpha_n; \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$[\alpha_m; \alpha_n] = m \delta_{m+n,0}; \quad (2.6)$$

The Fock space $F_{p;Q}$ is generated from the vacuum v_p , $L_0 v_p = p v_p$, by the free action of the creation operators α_{-m} , $m \geq 1$.

As can easily be seen from (2.5), $F_{p;Q}$ and $F_{-p;-Q}$ are isomorphic as Virasoro modules. Choosing one solution for Q in (2.2) leaves us, for a given h and c , with two Fock spaces $F_{p;Q}$ and $F_{2Q-p;Q}$, dual to each other, i.e. $F_{p;Q} \cong F_{2Q-p;Q}$.

See, e.g. [11] for basic definitions and [2,12] for a review of conformal field theory techniques used throughout this letter.

The detailed structure of submodules of all Verma and Feigin-Fuchs modules of the Virasoro algebra has been obtained in [4,5]. There are three main types of modules, I, II and III, depending on whether the equation

$$x^2 + y^2 + \frac{p}{2}(p - Q) = 0; \quad (2:7)$$

has respectively zero, one or infinitely many integral solutions for x and y . Here we have introduced α and β , $\alpha + \beta = 1$, which also parametrize the background charge,

$$Q = \frac{c}{2} \left(\alpha + \beta \right): \quad (2:8)$$

In the first case (type I) the Verma module and the Fock space are isomorphic and irreducible [5], and obviously no resolution is needed. Thus we will assume that (2.7) has at least one integral solution, or, equivalently, that the momentum p can be parametrized by a pair of integers n and n^0 (i.e. there is exactly one such pair for type II, and for modules of type III infinitely many such),

$$p = p_{n,n^0} = \frac{c}{2} \left((1 - \alpha)n + \alpha(1 - n^0) \right): \quad (2:9)$$

For p_{n,n^0} as in (2.9) we denote the corresponding conformal dimension, computed from (2.2), by h_{n,n^0} , and, for a fixed Q (and c) write F_{n,n^0} and M_{n,n^0} instead of $F_{p_{n,n^0};Q}$ and $M_{h_{n,n^0};c}$. Virasoro modules with the momentum given in (2.9) arise in the generalized Dotsenko-Fateev minimal models [9,7].

In case III, in order to have infinitely many integral solutions to (2.7), there must exist (relatively prime) integers $p, p^0, pp^0 \neq 0$, such that $p^2 + p^{0^2} = 0$. We can then take $\alpha = \frac{p}{p^2 + p^{0^2}}$ and $\beta = \frac{p^0}{p^2 + p^{0^2}}$. Clearly the central charge must be rational, and, depending on whether $pp^0 > 0$ or $pp^0 < 0$ we have $c \leq 1$ or $c \leq 25$, respectively. In the following we will restrict ourselves to $c \leq 1$ and take $p^0 = p = 1$. In particular $c = 1$ corresponds to $p^0 = p = 1$, and for $c < 1$ we must have $p^0 > p$. Using the freedom in the parametrization of the momentum, $p_{n,n^0} = p_{n+jp,n^0+jp^0}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can always set $0 \leq n^0 \leq p^0 - 1$ (or, equivalently, $0 \leq n \leq p - 1$). If, in addition, $p^0 > p > 1$ and

$$1 - n \leq p - 1; \quad 1 - n^0 \leq p^0 - 1; \quad (2:10)$$

we will say that the module belongs to the fundamental range of the minimal series [2], or to the interior of the Kac table [10]. The exterior of the table is defined by letting $n \notin \mathbb{Z}$ mod p to be outside the range (2.10). Finally, $n = 0$ mod p or $n^0 = 0$ mod p^0 correspond to the boundary of the table.

In cases II and III, the detailed structure of F_{n,n^0} as a Virasoro module depends on the momentum p_{n,n^0} (2.9), and all possible subcases (in case III with $c \leq 1$) are listed in the following theorem which summarizes the results of Feigin and Fuchs.

Theorem 2.1. ([5]) In case II there are four subcases:

Case II₀ (respectively II₀): If $nn^0 < 0$ (respectively $nn^0 = 0$) then $F_{n;n^0}$ and $M_{n;n^0}$ are isomorphic and irreducible.

Case II₊ (-): If $n;n^0 < 0$ then $F_{n;n^0}$ ' $M_{n;n^0}$ are reducible. The maximal submodule is isomorphic with $F_{n;n^0}$ ' $M_{n;n^0}$.

Case II₊ (+): This case, where $n;n^0 > 0$, is dual to II₊ (-), e.g. $F_{n;n^0}$ ' $M_{n;n^0}$.

In case III for $c = 1$ there are four subcases, in all of which the Fock space is a reducible module. In the first three, III₀ and III₀ (-) below, $p^0 > p > 1$ (i.e. $c < 1$), and $m;m^0$ denote labels in the fundamental range, $1 \leq m \leq p-1, 1 \leq m^0 \leq p^0-1$. In III₀₀ we have $p^0 = p-1$ (i.e. $c = 1$). In all cases $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case III₀: The submodules of $F_{m+jp;m^0}$ are generated by the vectors u_i, v_i , and w_i given by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} v_0 & & w_0 & & v_1 & & w_1 & & v_2 & & w_2 & & \\ & \& & & & \& & & & \& & & \\ & & u_1 & & v_{-1} & & u_2 & & v_{-2} & & u_3 & & \end{array} ; \quad (2.11)$$

whose conformal weights are (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} h(v_0) &= h_{m+jp;m^0}; \\ h(u_i) &= h_{m+(jj+2i)p;m^0}; i \geq 1; & h(w_i) &= h_{m-(jj+2i)p;m^0}; i \geq 0; \\ h(v_{-i}) &= h_{m-(jj+2i)p;m^0}; i \geq 1; & h(v_i) &= h_{m+(jj+2i)p;m^0}; i \geq 1: \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Case III₀⁰ (-): The submodules of i) $F_{m+jp;0}, j \geq 0$, and ii) $F_{0;m^0+jp^0}, j \geq 0$, are generated by the vectors u_i and v_i ,

$$v_0 \quad ! \quad u_1 \quad \quad v_1 \quad ! \quad u_2 \quad \quad v_2 \quad ! \quad u_3 \quad \quad ; \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad h(v_i) &= h_{m+(j+2i)p;0}; i \geq 0; & h(u_i) &= h_{m-(j+2i)p;0}; i \geq 1; \\ \text{ii)} \quad h(v_i) &= h_{0;m^0+(j+2i)p^0}; i \geq 0; & h(u_i) &= h_{0;m^0-(j+2i)p^0}; i \geq 1: \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Case III₀⁰ (+): The submodules of i) $F_{m+jp;0}, j < 0$, and ii) $F_{0;m^0+jp^0}, j < 0$, are generated by the vectors u_i and v_i ,

$$u_1 \quad \quad v_1 \quad ! \quad u_2 \quad \quad v_2 \quad ! \quad u_3 \quad \quad v_3 \quad ! \quad : \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad h(v_i) &= h_{m-(j-2(i-1))p;0}; i \geq 1; & h(u_i) &= h_{m+(j-2(i-1))p;0}; i \geq 1; \\ \text{ii)} \quad h(v_i) &= h_{0;m^0-(j-2(i-1))p^0}; i \geq 1; & h(u_i) &= h_{0;m^0+(j-2(i-1))p^0}; i \geq 1: \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Case III⁰: The Fock space $F_{jp;0} \subset F_{jp;0}$ is the direct sum of irreducible modules, $F_{jp;0} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^L (jj+2k)p;0$.

In the diagrams (2.11), (2.13), and (2.15) vectors u_i correspond to singular vectors in the Fock space $F_{?;?}$ and generate the submodule $F_{?;?}^0$, which is a direct sum of irreducible highest weight modules. In the quotient $F_{?;?} = F_{?;?}^0$ vectors v_i become singular, and generate $F_{?;?}^{00}$ which is a direct sum of irreducible highest weight modules. Note that v_0 is special, since it is singular. Finally, w_i are singular in $F_{?;?}^0 = F_{?;?}^{00}$, and generate a direct sum of irreducible highest weight modules. The arrows, $1 \rightarrow 2$, indicate that the second vector is in the submodule generated by the first one.

There are also similar diagrams for the composition series of singular vectors of the Verma modules, in which the singular vectors occur at precisely the same conformal weights as above. Moreover, some arrows must be reversed, so that all of them describe embeddings of the Verma submodules.

3. The intertwiners

Let us first introduce a class of intertwiners between Fock spaces. They are constructed as products of the screening currents

$$s_+(z) = : \exp(i \frac{p}{2} \phi_+(z)) : \quad \text{and} \quad s_-(z) = : \exp(i \frac{p}{2} \phi_-(z)) : ; \quad (3.1)$$

integrated over suitable multiple contours [6,5,8,1]. For positive integers r and r^0 let us consider operators

$$Q_r^{(+)}[\gamma] = \llbracket (s_+)^r \rrbracket_{\gamma} ; \quad Q_{r^0}^{(-)}[\gamma] = \llbracket (s_-)^{r^0} \rrbracket_{\gamma} ; \quad (3.2)$$

where $\llbracket \quad \rrbracket_k$ is defined by

$$\llbracket s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k} \rrbracket_k = \oint_{\gamma_k} dz_1 \dots dz_k s_{i_1}(z_1) \dots s_{i_k}(z_k) ; \quad i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z} ; \quad g : \quad (3.3)$$

and γ_k is a set of contours for z_1, \dots, z_k . When acting on $F_{n;n^0}$, the integrand in (3.3) is analytic in $M_k = \mathbb{C}^k \setminus \{z_1 = 0; z_i = z_j; i, j = 1, \dots, k\}$ and has nontrivial monodromies due to the presence of the factor

$$\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{2-2} \prod_k \left(\frac{p}{2} \phi_k \right)^{p_{n,n^0}} ; \quad (3.4)$$

In order that (3.3) be a well-defined operator we must require that γ_k is a closed contour [8], i.e. it is an element of $H_1(M_k; \mathbb{Z})$, the homology of M_k with coefficients in the local system S_{-2} corresponding to (3.4) (this system depends only on -2).

In explicit computations one needs to have convenient representatives of these homology classes. We will construct them using the following two classes of multicontours (singular at a point) that have been used in [1,13,3]: In the first class, which we denote γ_k , the integration variables $z_1; \dots; z_k$ are taken counterclockwise from -1 to 1 around 0 , and nested according to $j_1 > \dots > j_k$. In the second, γ_k^0 , the z_1 integration is along a contour surrounding 0 , while $z_2; \dots; z_k$ are integrated counterclockwise from the base point z_1 to z_1 around 0 , and the nesting is the same as in γ_k . The ambiguity in the phase of the integrand (3.3) is fixed by analytic continuation from the positive real half-line (see [1,13]). Let us denote the resulting operators (3.2) by $Q_r^{(+)}$, $Q_{r^0}^{(-)}$ and $\phi_r^{(+)}$, $\phi_{r^0}^{(-)}$, respectively.

We will also consider multiple contours obtained by putting several of those together. For example $\gamma_k \cup \gamma_{k^0}$ will denote a multiple contour $z_1; \dots; z_k; \dots; z_{k+k^0}$ in which the variables of γ_{k^0} are nested inside those of γ_k .

We may now state the main result about the intertwiners between Feigin-Fuchs modules of type III due to Tsuchiya and Kanie [8].

Theorem 3.1. 1) Consider $F_{n;n^0}$, where $n = m + jp$, $1 \leq m < p$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and n^0 is arbitrary. Then for any non-negative integer k the operator

$$Q_{m+kp}^{(+)}[\cdot] : F_{n;n^0} \rightarrow F_{n-2(m+kp);n^0} \quad (3.5)$$

is a well defined intertwiner provided $m+kp \geq 2H(M_{m+kp}; S_{\frac{2}{p}})$.

2) These intertwiners are nontrivial in the sense that such $m+kp$ exists for any $k \geq 0$ and

- i) if $n^0 + (k-j)p^0 \leq 0$ then $Q_{m+kp}^{(+)}[\cdot] v_{n;n^0} \neq 0$;
- ii) if $n^0 + (k-j)p^0 > 0$ then $Q_{m+kp}^{(+)}[\cdot] = v_{n-2(m+kp);n^0}$ for some $\cdot \in F_{n;n^0}$.

Here $v_{n;n^0}$ and $v_{n-2(m+kp);n^0}$ denote vacua of $F_{n;n^0}$ and $F_{n-2(m+kp);n^0}$, respectively.

The analogous result holds for the operators $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(-)}[\cdot]$.

4. The Fock space resolution for type II_+ and III modules

We begin by constructing explicitly intertwiners using contours γ_r , γ_{r^0} , γ_r^0 and $\gamma_{r^0}^0$, $-1 \leq r \leq p$ and $-1 \leq r^0 \leq p^0$, introduced in the previous section.

Lemma 4.1. Operators $Q_r^{(+)}; \phi_r^{(+)} : F_{n;n^0} \rightarrow F_{n-2r;n^0}$ and $Q_{r^0}^{(-)}; \phi_{r^0}^{(-)} : F_{n;n^0} \rightarrow F_{n;n^0-2r^0}$ are well defined intertwiners between Virasoro modules if $r = n \bmod p$ and $r^0 = n^0 \bmod p^0$, respectively.

Proof: Operators $\phi_r^{(+)}$ and $\phi_{r^0}^{(-)}$ are well defined and commute with the action of the Virasoro algebra if γ is a closed cycle. This amounts to the z_1 -contour being closed. For the values of r and r^0 as in the lemma this is easily verified using standard methods [1]. For $Q_r^{(+)}$ and $Q_{r^0}^{(-)}$ we use the following lemma which can be proven by standard manipulations with the contours [1,13]. □

Lemma 4.2. For $1 \leq r \leq p$ and $1 \leq r^0 \leq p^0$,

$$Q_r^{(+)} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \frac{q^{2r}}{q^2} \phi_r^{(+)}; \quad Q_{r^0}^{(+)} = \frac{1}{r^0} \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \frac{q^{2r^0}}{q^2} \phi_{r^0}^{(+)}; \quad (4.1)$$

where $q = \exp(i\pi/2)$.

Note that for positive $r_1, r_2, r_1 + r_2 \leq p$ we have $Q_{r_1}^{(+)} Q_{r_2}^{(+)} = Q_{r_1 + r_2}^{(+)}$, provided $Q_{r_1}^{(+)}$ and $Q_{r_2}^{(+)}$ act on spaces on which they are well-defined. In particular (after further investigation of the integral in $\phi_p^{(+)}$) (4.1) implies

$$Q_m^{(+)} Q_{p-m}^{(+)} = Q_{p-m}^{(+)} Q_m^{(+)} = Q_p^{(+)} = 0; \quad (4.2)$$

The same also holds for $Q_{m^0}^{(+)}$.

Lemma 4.1 together with identity (4.2) is the basis for the construction of the complex of Fock spaces when m, m^0 lie in the fundamental range (2.10). This complex, $(F; d)$ $f(F_{m, m^0}^{(i)}; d^{(i)}); i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} F_{m, m^0}^{(2j)} &= F_{m - 2jp, m^0}; & F_{m, m^0}^{(2j+1)} &= F_{m - 2jp, m^0}; & j \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ d^{(2j)} &= Q_m^{(+)}; & d^{(2j+1)} &= Q_{p-m}^{(+)}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using the submodule structure of Fock spaces $F_{m, m^0}^{(i)}$ as summarized in Theorem 2.1, Felder [1] was able to compute the kernels and the images of all the intertwiners $d^{(i)}$, and prove the following important result

Theorem 4.3. Let $1 \leq m \leq p, 1 \leq m^0 \leq p^0$. Then the complex $(F; d)$ defined in (4.3) is a (two-sided) resolution of the irreducible module L_{m, m^0} , i.e.

$$H^{(i)}(F; d) = \delta_{i,0} L_{m, m^0}; \quad (4.4)$$

In fact there are three more resolutions, one in terms of modules $F_{p-m, p^0-m^0}^{(i)}$ (recall that $F_{m, m^0} = F_{p-m, p^0-m^0}$ and $L_{p-m, p^0-m^0} = L_{m, m^0}$), and two others if we use operators $Q_{?}^{(-)}$ instead of $Q_{?}^{(+)}$.

It may be worth noting that Felder's proof also shows that the differential in the complex is nilpotent without resorting to the computation in (4.2).

A similar construction for the modules of type $II_+(\cdot)$ was carried out in [7].

Theorem 4.4. In the notation of Theorem 2.1, let $n, n^0 < 0$. Then the complex

$$0 \rightarrow F_{n, n^0} \xrightarrow{Q^{(+)}_n} F_{n, n^0} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0; \quad (4.5)$$

is a resolution for L_{n, n^0} . For the case $II_+(\cdot)$ the resolution can be obtained by dualization of (4.5).

5. Resolutions for the modules of type III⁰

Using the results reviewed in the previous sections we will now construct resolutions for irreducible modules $L_{h;c}$ of type III⁰, for which $h = h_{m+jp^0}, 1 \leq m \leq p-1$ or $h = h_{0,mp^0+jp^0}, 1 \leq m \leq p^0-1, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $h_{n,mp^0} = h_{n;-n^0}$, we may take $j \geq 0$.

Let us consider the Fock space F_{0,mp^0+jp^0} . According to Theorem 2.1 this space has type III⁰ () and its maximal submodule, $F_{0,mp^0+jp^0}^0$, is generated by vectors $u_i, v_i, i \geq 1$. It also follows from (2.13) that the irreducible module is isomorphic to the quotient, namely

$$L_{0,mp^0+jp^0} = F_{0,mp^0+jp^0} / F_{0,mp^0+jp^0}^0 : \quad (5.1)$$

By comparing (2.14) and (2.15) we find that the weights of u_i and v_i in $F_{0,mp^0+jp^0}^0$ are precisely those of the vectors generating submodules of the Fock space $F_{0,mp^0-(j+2)p^0}$. Let us denote the latter vectors by $u_i^0, v_i^0, i \geq 1$. This observation and (5.1) reduce the problem of constructing a resolution to that of finding a homomorphism d from $F_{0,mp^0-(j+2)p^0}$ into F_{0,mp^0+jp^0} , such that $du_i^0 = u_i$ and $dv_i^0 = v_i, i \geq 1$. Note that then d must be injective, i.e. it is an embedding.

In a sense the problem is similar to the one in case II₊ (), except that the structure of submodules of Fock spaces is somewhat more complicated. Since $F_{0,mp^0+jp^0} \neq F_{jp,mp^0}$ and $F_{0,mp^0-(j+2)p^0} \neq F_{(j+2)p,mp^0}$, the intertwiner should involve $(j+1)p$ currents $s_+(z)$. By Theorem 3.1 we know it exists. To construct it explicitly we observe that, in view of Lemma 4.1 and (4.2), an obvious building block for such operators is $\phi_p^{(+)}$. Its properties are summarized in the following technical lemma whose proof will be outlined at the end of the section.

Lemma 5.1. Consider $\phi_p^{(+)} : F_{0,mp^0+kp^0} \rightarrow F_{0,mp^0+(k+2)p^0}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Depending on k there are four cases described by the diagram s i) {iv) below. Operator $\phi_p^{(+)}$ maps special vectors u_i^0 and v_i^0 from the first Fock space onto u_i and v_i in the second space, as indicated by the downward arrows, i.e. $\phi_p^{(+)}$ is nonzero along these arrows.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{i) } k \geq 3 : & \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & u_1^0 & & v_1^0 & ! & u_2^0 \\
 & & & \# & & \# & & \# \\
 & & & & & & & \\
 & u_1 & & v_1 & ! & u_2 & & v_2 & ! & u_3
 \end{array} \\
 \text{ii) } k = 2 : & \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & u_1^0 & & v_1^0 & ! & u_2^0 & & v_2^0 & ! \\
 & & & \# & & \# & & \# & & \# & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & v_0 & ! & u_1 & & v_1 & ! & u_2 & & v_2 & !
 \end{array} \\
 \text{iii) } k = 1 : & \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & u_1^0 & & v_1^0 & ! & u_2^0 & & v_2^0 & ! & u_3^0 \\
 & & & \# & & \# & & \# & & \# & & \# \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & v_0 & ! & u_1 & & v_1 & ! & u_2
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{iv) } k=0: \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} v_0^0 & \rightarrow & u_1^0 & \rightarrow & v_1^0 & \rightarrow & u_2^0 & \rightarrow & v_2^0 \\ & & \# & & \# & & \# & & \\ & & v_0 & \rightarrow & u_1 & \rightarrow & v_1 & \rightarrow & \end{array}$$

The main result of this section is

Theorem 5.2. The intertwiner $d = (\phi_p^{(+)})^{j+1}$ is an embedding of $F_{0,m^0-(j+2)p^0}$ into F_{0,m^0+jp^0} , i.e. the complex

$$0 \rightarrow F_{0,m^0-(j+2)p^0} \xrightarrow{d} F_{0,m^0+jp^0} \rightarrow 0; \quad (5.2)$$

is a Fock space resolution of L_{0,m^0+jp^0} . Another resolution is given by the dual complex

$$0 \rightarrow F_{0,-m^0-jp^0} \xrightarrow{d^*} F_{0,-m^0+(j+2)p^0} \rightarrow 0; \quad (5.3)$$

where $d = (\phi_p^{(+)})^{j+1}$.

Proof: Using Lemma 5.1 we can compute the image of $F_{0,m^0-(j+2)p^0}$ when acting with subsequent $\phi_p^{(+)}$. The result is $d(F_{0,m^0-(j+2)p^0}) = F_{0,m^0+jp^0}^0$. The second part of the theorem follows from $L_{0,m^0+jp^0} \subset L_{0,m^0+jp^0}$ and $\phi_p^{(+)} = \phi_p^{(+)}$. \square

Clearly, for modules L_{m+kp^0} there are analogous resolutions in which the differential is $\phi_{p^0}^{(+)}$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1: The general idea of the proof is the same as that of parts of Theorem 4.3 (see [1]). Let us begin with case i). By Theorem 3.1.2.i) $\phi_p^{(+)}u_1^0 \notin 0$. To verify this we choose a covector $\in F_{0,m^0-(k+2)p^0}$ (see [6] and Appendix in [1]) such that

$$h; s_+(z_1) \cdots s_+(z_p) u_1^0 i = \int_{\substack{v_1=1 \\ v_1^0=1 \\ v_1^0=0}} \int_{\substack{v_1=1 \\ v_1^0=1 \\ v_1^0=0}} (z_1 - z_{v_1})^2 \int_{\substack{v_1=1 \\ v_1^0=1 \\ v_1^0=0}} z_1^{\frac{p}{2} + p_0 m^0 + k p^0} : \quad (5.4)$$

Then a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} h; \phi_p^{(+)} u_1^0 i &= \int_b dz_1 \cdots dz_p \int_{\substack{v_1^0=1 \\ v_1^0=0}} (z_1 - z_{v_1})^2 \int_{\substack{v_1=1 \\ v_1^0=1 \\ v_1^0=0}} z_1^{\frac{p}{2} + p^0 - 1} \\ &= 2^{-i} (1)^{p-1} \int_{v_1=1} \frac{(1 - q_+^2)^2}{1 - q_+^2} J_{0,p-1} (2^{\frac{p}{2} + 1}; 2^{\frac{p}{2} + 1} p^0 - 1; \frac{p}{2} + 1) \\ &= (2^{-i})^p (1)^{p p^0 + p - 1} \frac{p^0!}{(p-1)!} \frac{e^{i \frac{p^0}{2}}}{(1 + \frac{p^0}{2})^p} \int_{v_1=1} \frac{\sin \sqrt{\frac{p^0}{2}}}{\sin \frac{p^0}{2}} \\ &\notin 0: \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

We used here an explicit result for the Dotsenko-Fateev integral [9]

$$J_{0,n}(\gamma; \gamma; \gamma) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 dt_1 \dots dt_n \prod_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)^{\gamma_i-1} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\gamma_i-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)^{\gamma_i-1} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\gamma_i-1} \quad (5.6)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(\gamma_i)}{(n+1)} \frac{(1+\gamma_i)}{(2+\gamma_i)} \dots \frac{(1+\gamma_i)}{(n+\gamma_i)} :$$

The rest of the lemma in this case follows entirely from the embedding patterns of submodules in both Fock spaces and $\Phi_p^{(+)}$ being an intertwiner. Let us outline the first few steps.

Normalize u_2 such that $u_2 = \Phi_p^{(+)} u_1^0$. Since u_1^0 is in the submodule generated by v_1^0 we must have $\Phi_p^{(+)} v_1^0 \notin 0$. Note that the latter remains nonzero after we divide $F_{0,m^0+(k+2)p^0}$ by the submodule generated by u_1 and u_2 . Indeed, suppose the opposite, i.e. that $\Phi_p^{(+)} v_1^0 = P_1 u_1 + P_2 u_2$, where $P_{1,2}$ are some polynomials in L_n , $n \geq 1$. Since the submodule generated by u_1 and u_2 is a direct sum of two irreducible ones, we also have $u_1 = P_1^0 P_1 u_1$ where P_1^0 is some element in the enveloping algebra of Vir . But if $P_1 u_1 \notin 0$ then $u_1 = \Phi_p^{(+)} P_1^0 (v_1^0 - P_2 u_1^0)$, and a simple examination of the weights in both Fock spaces shows the r.h.s. must vanish, which is a contradiction. Thus we can at most have $\Phi_p^{(+)} v_1^0 = P_2 u_2$. But then $\Phi_p^{(+)} (v_1^0 - P_2 u_1^0) = 0$, i.e. $v_1^0 - P_2 u_1^0 \notin 0$ is in the kernel of $\Phi_p^{(+)}$. Since the submodule $\ker \Phi_p^{(+)}$ contains neither u_1^0 nor v_1^0 , there can be no such vectors at this level, i.e. we must have $\Phi_p^{(+)} v_1^0 \notin P_2 u_1$. That $\Phi_p^{(+)} v_1^0$ is singular after we divide out the submodule generated by u_1 follows from the corresponding property for v_1^0 . Thus, finally, we may set $\Phi_p^{(+)} v_1^0 = v_2$. In the next step a similar reasoning shows that $\Phi_p^{(+)} u_2^0 \notin 0$, so, up to normalization it yields $\Phi_p^{(+)} u_2^0 = u_3$. And so on.

Case ii) is proven by exactly the same method. Cases iii) and iv) are dual to ii) and i), respectively. Since $\Phi_p^{(+)} = \Phi_p^{(-)}$, we deduce from $\text{coker } \Phi_p^{(+)} = \text{ker } \Phi_p^{(-)}$ that $\Phi_p^{(+)}$ must be onto. Because $\Phi_p^{(+)}$ commutes with Vir , u_i^0 are mapped onto u_i , and the maps indicated by the arrows are clearly nonzero. After we divide both sides by submodules generated by u_i^0 and u_i , respectively, $\Phi_p^{(+)}$ becomes a homomorphism from a direct sum of irreducible highest weight modules onto a direct sum of a subset of these modules, and the arrows between v_i^0 and v_i follow. ti

6. Resolutions for the modules of type III⁰⁰

The problem of obtaining a resolution for a module $L_{jp;0}$, $j \geq 0$, is similar to the one discussed above. Given the Fock space $F_{jp;0}$ we must construct an embedding from $F_{(j+2)p;0}$ into $F_{jp;0}$. The result is

Theorem 6.1. Let $p^0 = p - 1$ and $j = 0$. The operator $\phi_p^{(+)} : F_{(j+2)p;0} \rightarrow F_{jp;0}$ is an embedding and $L_{jp;0} \subset F_{jp;0} = \phi_p^{(+)}(F_{(j+2)p;0})$.

Proof: Since $F_{jp;0}$ and $F_{(j+2)p;0}$ are direct sums of irreducible highest weight modules, the proof essentially consists of verifying that $\phi_p^{(+)}$ does not annihilate any of the singular vectors in $F_{(j+2)p;0}$. For simplicity let us only consider the case $p = p^0 = 1$, i.e. $c = 1$. Then $\phi_1^{(+)} = \int dz : \exp(i \frac{p}{2} \bar{z}) :$ is just a vertex operator. Using explicit formulae (in terms of Schur polynomials) for singular vectors in the Fock space $F_{kp;0}$, $k = 0$ [14,15], it is easy to check that, up to normalization, they coincide with the vectors $(\phi_1^{(+)})^{-1} v_{kp+2;0}$, $k = 0$, where $v_{kp+2;0}$ denotes the vacuum of $F_{kp+2;0}$. The theorem is then obvious. The general case is similar. \square

7. Generalizations of Felder's construction

Consider an irreducible module, $L_{m+jp;m^0}$, of type III, where m, m^0 lie in the fundamental range (2.10) and j is an arbitrary integer. The Fock space $F_{m+jp;m^0}$ appears in the complex $(F; d)$ corresponding to the resolution of $L_{m;m^0}$ for j even, and $L_{p-m;m^0}$ for j odd, constructed as in Section 4. An important feature of $(F; d)$ is that the vacuum of the 0-th Fock space has the highest weight with respect to all other states in the complex. In particular, this guarantees that the cohomology contains at least the irreducible module. Let us now consider the collection of Fock spaces obtained by removing from $(F; d)$ all Fock spaces whose weights are higher than $h_{m+jp;m^0}$; i.e. we delete the spaces $F_{m+2jp;m^0}; \dots; F_{m-2jp;m^0}$ for $j > 0$, and the spaces $F_{m-jp;m^0}; \dots; F_{m+(j+2)p;m^0}$ for $j < 0$. On both sides of the deleted segment the differential is defined as before in terms of $Q_m^{(+)}$ and $Q_p^{(+)}$. In the middle we must use a new intertwiner of the form

$$Q_{m+kp}^{(+)} = [(s_+)^{m+kp}]_{m+kp} \quad \text{or} \quad Q_{(p-m)+kp}^{(+)} = [(s_+)^{(p-m)+kp}]_{(p-m)+kp}; \quad k = 1; \quad (7.1)$$

where $_{m+kp} = _m [b_p [\dots [b_p$ and $_{(p-m)+kp} = _{(m-p)} [b_p [\dots [b_p$. The resulting extension of the Fock space resolution to modules outside the fundamental range can be summarized by the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. Let $1 = m = p - 1, 1 = m^0 = p^0 - 1$, and $j = 0$. Then the complex of Fock spaces

$$Q_m^{(+)} F_{m+(j+2)p;m^0} \xrightarrow{Q_{(p-m)}^{(+)} + jp} F_{m-jp;m^0} \xrightarrow{Q_m^{(+)}} F_{m-jp;m^0} \xrightarrow{Q_p^{(+)}} Q_p^{(+)}; \quad (7.2)$$

is a resolution of the irreducible module $L_{m-jp;m^0}$, while

$$Q_m^{(+)} F_{m+(j+2)p;m^0} \xrightarrow{Q_p^{(+)}} F_{m+jp;m^0} \xrightarrow{Q_m^{(+)}} F_{m-jp;m^0} \xrightarrow{Q_p^{(+)}} Q_p^{(+)}; \quad (7.3)$$

is a resolution of L_{m+jp,m^0} .

Proof: Contours $(p-m)+kp$ and $m+kp$ are nontrivial cycles in local homology. This can be verified by a computation similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Thus the intertwiners $Q_{(p-m)+jp}^{(+)}$ and $Q_{m+jp}^{(+)}$ are precisely those given in Theorem 3.1. In particular they satisfy i) and ii), respectively, which is precisely what one needs to extend the proof of Theorem 4.3 to the present case. \square

It is clear that the three other resolutions discussed in Section 4 (the dual, and the two others constructed with $Q_{?}^{(-)}$ instead of $Q_{?}^{(+)}$) may be extended in the same way to modules outside the fundamental range.

8. Concluding remarks

Formally, the new intertwiners in Sections 5, 6 and 7 are proportional to operators of the form

$$\frac{[(s_-)^k]}{[k]_q!}; \quad (8.1)$$

where $[n]_q$ denotes the usual q -number. As has been extensively discussed for free field realizations during the past two years, the screening currents inside $[[\]]$ satisfy the defining relations of generators of a quantum group $U_q(n_-)$ (see e.g. [3] and references therein). It is worth noting that in the discussion of the general class of resolutions in this letter one is automatically led to the "rescaled quantum group" generators of Lusztig [16].

An interesting application of these resolutions is to extend the computation of the BRST cohomology of minimal models coupled to 2D quantum gravity [17] to generalized Dotsenko-Fateev models using methods discussed in [18]. This is elaborated in more detail in [19].

References

- [1] G. Felder, Nucl. Phys. B 317 (1989) 215; erratum, *ibid.* B 324 (1989) 548.
- [2] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984) 333.
- [3] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 102 (1990) 67.
- [4] B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuchs, Funct. Anal. Appl. 16 (1982) 114; *ibid.* 17 (1983) 241; Lect. Notes Math. 1060 (1984) 230.
- [5] B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuchs, in Representations of infinite-dimensional Lie groups and Lie algebras, Gordon and Breach, New York (1989).
- [6] C. Thom, Nucl. Phys. B 248 (1984) 551.
- [7] G. Felder, J. Frohlich, and G. Keller, Commun. Math. Phys. 124 (1989) 647.
- [8] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie, Publ. RIMS 22 (1986) 259.

- [9] V.I.S. Dotsenko and V.A. Fateev, Nucl. Phys. B 251 [FS13] (1985) 691.
- [10] V.G. Kac, Lect. Notes Math. 94 (1979) 441.
- [11] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987).
- [12] D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B 271 (1986) 93.
- [13] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, Commun. Math. Phys. 131 (1990) 125.
- [14] M. Wakimoto and H. Yamada, Lett. Math. Phys. 7 (1983) 513.
- [15] V.G. Kac and A.K. Raina, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 2, World Scientific, Singapore (1987).
- [16] G. Lusztig, J. Am. Math. Soc. 3 (1990) 447; Geom. Dedic. 35 (1990) 89.
- [17] B.H. Lian and G.J. Zuckerman, Phys. Lett. 254B (1991) 417; preprint YCTP-P18-91.
- [18] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, preprint CERN-TH.6162/91.
- [19] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, in preparation.