

Charged Vortex Dynamics in Ginzburg-Landau Theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect

Theodore J. Allen¹ and Andrew J. Bordner²

Department of Physics
 University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706 USA

Abstract

We write a Ginzburg-Landau Hamiltonian for a charged order parameter interacting with a background electromagnetic field in $2+1$ dimensions. Using the method of Lund we derive a collective coordinate action for vortex defects in the order parameter and demonstrate that the vortices are charged. We examine the classical dynamics of the vortices and then quantize their motion, demonstrating that their peculiar classical motion is a result of the fact that the quantum motion takes place in the lowest Landau level. The classical and quantum motion in two dimensional regions with boundaries is also investigated. The quantum theory is not invariant under magnetic translations. Magnetic translations add total time derivative terms to the collective action, but no extra constants of the motion result.

tjallen@wisc.edu
 y.bordner@wisc.edu

1. Introduction

The microscopic physics of the fractional quantum Hall state is by now reasonably well understood.^[1] When the density of electrons is one per an area through which an odd number, $2n + 1$, of flux quanta pass, there can be quasiparticle excitations in the many-body state which cost little energy to produce and which have a fractional effective charge $e = (2n + 1)^{-1}$.^[2] These excitations are described as vortices in the Laughlin wave function. The fractionally charged quasiparticles themselves form an extended state and can transport charge across a sample. Finally, a many-quasiparticle state may itself have vortex excitations, and so on, from which the whole hierarchy^[3] of fractional conductivity states may be formed.

While the microscopic picture is compelling, there is not yet a complete macroscopic phenomenological description, such as a Ginzburg-Landau theory,^[4] for the fractional quantum Hall system. At present one must put in by hand such features as the fractional filling. Ginzburg-Landau theories are useful for describing many-body systems such as liquid Helium and superconductivity, and one might hope that they would find application to the fractional quantum Hall effect as well. It is well-known that Ginzburg-Landau theories can describe vortex excitations, both in liquid Helium, where they are true macroscopic fluid vortices,^[5] and in type II superconductors, where they are macroscopic lines of magnetic flux.^[6] In both of these cases, the vortex excitations, which are uncharged, may be observed directly. Vortex solutions, known as cosmic strings or Nielsen-Olesen^[7] strings, are also postulated to exist in fundamental field theories of particle physics. There the theory is derived not from some phenomenological Landau free energy, but from the fundamental Lagrangian of the Higgs fields necessary for symmetry breaking and fermion mass generation. Although Ginzburg-Landau vortices and Nielsen-Olesen vortices are quite similar, there are significant differences in their dynamics.^[8] We wish to extend the vortex description to fractional quantum Hall systems. We note that this idea has been advocated many places in the literature.^[9]

In Sec. 2 we write the most general scalar gauge-invariant Landau free energy

function which is second order in spatial derivatives. We fix the parameters in order to find a solution which is a reasonable approximation of a uniform charge density. In this charged uniform fluid we postulate vortex-like defect solutions. In Sec. 3, we use the method of Lund^[10] to find the collective coordinate action for the motion of the vortices and demonstrate that they are charged and act as though they carry a single unit of magnetic flux.

The equations of motion for the system appear to be classical, but are best interpreted quantum mechanically. In Sec. 4 we apply the method of Dirac to the quantization of the collective coordinate action. The vortices may be quantized as having any statistics we like, by postulating the behavior of the many-body wave function under an exchange of two vortices. These arbitrary statistics might be introduced through the use of non-dynamical Chern-Simons fields if the order parameter field is to be single-valued. We also consider in Sec. 4 the motion of vortices in samples with simple boundaries, and find that questions of gauge are resolved by the boundary conditions. In Sec. 5 we show that magnetic translations are not a symmetry of the quantum theory because they add total time derivative terms, sometimes called theta terms, to the effective action.

2. The Effective Hamiltonian

In the theory of superconductivity, a Landau free energy is postulated for an order parameter which has the kinetic term of a charged boson. The vector potential is not the external vector potential alone, but includes a dynamically determined piece. In order to minimize the free energy, the vector potential within most of the superconducting material must be curl-free, thus the magnetic field is concentrated in vortex lines where the material is not superconducting. To observe the fractional quantum Hall effect, the magnetic fields must be extremely large. Because of the strength of the magnetic field, we do not expect the microscopic field to be bundled into vortices and vanish throughout most of the sample. It seems quite reasonable, therefore, to neglect the self-generated vector potential in the Landau free energy.

We begin by writing the most general gauge invariant scalar free energy (or Hamiltonian) function of a complex order parameter ψ , subject to an external magnetic field. In a superconductor, the order parameter is essentially the wave function of a Cooper pair. The interpretation of the order parameter for the fractional quantum Hall system from microscopic variables is not known, but might be, for instance, a collective field for the charge density. An example of collective field theory, for fermions in $1+1$ dimensions, is constructed in ref. [11].

The most general free energy function involving at most two derivatives is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GL}(\psi; \vec{A}) = & f_1(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \overline{D_a \psi} D_a \psi + i f_2(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \overline{D_a \psi} D_b \psi \\ & + \text{Re} f_3(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \overline{D_a \psi} D_a \psi + \text{Im} f_4(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \overline{D_a \psi} D_a \psi \\ & + V(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + i \mathcal{Q}_a \frac{k}{\psi, \bar{\psi}} \overline{D_a \psi} D_b \psi : \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Here $D_a = \partial_a - ieA_a^{\text{ext}}$ is the gauge covariant derivative, and k is a constant.

Terms of higher order in derivatives are irrelevant for critical phenomena, and can be neglected. The last term above is actually a surface term which measures the total change in the phase of ψ around the boundary of the sample. The total change in phase is a gauge invariant quantity which will count the total 'vorticity' of the configuration. We write the most general free energy (2.1) for completeness and later on we will specialize the free energy by choosing the simplest functions $f_i(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ guaranteeing vortex excitations.

We have avoided introducing Chern-Simons gauge fields *ab initio*, preferring instead that they come out as derived quantities. Even though Chern-Simons gauge fields have not been explicitly introduced, we find in Sec. 4 that charged vortices do act as though they carry a flux in addition to their charge, which is suggestive of Chern-Simons type interactions and fractional statistics. However, we find in Sec. 4.3 that canonical quantization allows any type of statistics.

The non-linear Schrodinger equation, $i\partial_t \psi = H_{GL} \psi$ with Hamiltonian function (2.1), implies the following equations of motion for the phase, θ , and the

square modulus, ψ , of the order parameter $\psi = P e^{i\theta}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H} = & \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} f_1 (\nabla \psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_3 r^2 (\nabla \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_4 r^2 (\nabla \psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_4 (\nabla \theta)^2 \right] \\
 & + \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} f_1 \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (f_1^0) \frac{r^2}{2} + (f_1 + f_1^0) \frac{r^2}{2} + (r - eA)^2 \right. \\
 & + (2 f_3 + f_3^0) (r - eA)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_3 r^2 + \left. \frac{1}{2} f_3^0 (r - eA)^2 \right] \\
 & + e f_2 \epsilon_{ab} \partial_a A_b - V^0(\psi);
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We look for solutions with constant $\psi = \psi_0$ and constant $\theta \rightarrow \theta_0$ because they will be a good analogy to a fluid in which vortices can form. It is easy to see that in general there can be no such solution if A has a non-zero curl, unless the functions $f_i(\psi)$ are chosen carefully. For now we assume that there is some non-negative function $f_1(\psi)$ such that at the minimum, $\psi = \psi_0$, of $V(\psi)$, the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\psi_0 f_1'(\psi_0) + f_1(\psi_0) = 0; \quad \psi_0 f_1(\psi_0) = \frac{1}{2}; \quad \text{and } f_1(0) < 1; \tag{2.3}$$

where $\frac{1}{2}$ is some positive constant. The last condition is necessary in order that vortices, which have $(r - eA)^2$ singular at their cores, can avoid making an infinite contribution to the free energy (2.1) by having ψ vanish there. The function $f_1(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \psi^2$, is an example meeting all conditions but the last. For now it is a reasonable assumption because we are going to ignore the problem of the singularity at the core when deriving the collective dynamics of the vortices. It is simplest to take the functions f_2 , f_3 and f_4 to be zero. As an aside we note that an interesting ansatz for the function $f_1(\psi)$ is

$$f_1(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{C}{\psi^2} \right) \sin^2 \frac{C}{\psi}; \tag{2.4}$$

which has the properties (2.3) above when $\psi_0 = \frac{C}{\sqrt{2}}$.

In a Coulomb gauge, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$, the equations of motion (2.2) reduce to the Laplace equation $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ for the phase function $\phi(\mathbf{x})$. Thus any single vortex solutions, $\phi_A(\mathbf{x})$, may be superposed to form a multi-vortex solution $\phi = \sum_A \phi_A(\mathbf{x})$.

3. Collective Coordinate Action

In a beautiful paper,^[10] Lund has given a construction of the equations of defect dynamics^[12] from a variational principle. His method is to substitute the collective coordinate vortex ansatz into the action and to vary that action with respect to the collective coordinates. We now show that Lund's method also works to find the dynamics of charged vortices. We follow closely the argument, and use the notation, in ref. [10]. With the assumptions of constant density, $j_z = j_0$, all the $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ being zero except $f_1(\mathbf{x})$, which must satisfy (2.3), the Schrodinger action

$$S = \int dt d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - H_{GL}(\phi; \mathbf{x}) \right]; \quad (3.1)$$

reduces to

$$S = \int dt d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi + e \mathbf{A})^2 \right]; \quad (3.2)$$

The phase of the N vortex order parameter is explicitly given by the multi-vortex ansatz

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}; t) = \sum_{A=1}^N n_A \phi_A(\mathbf{x}; X^A(t)); \quad (3.3)$$

where the function $\phi_A(\mathbf{x}; X^A(t))$ is the polar angle of the difference vector $\mathbf{x} - X^A(t)$ from the center of the A^{th} vortex to the field point $\mathbf{x}$,

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{x}; X^A(t)) = \tan^{-1} \frac{x_2 - X_2^A(t)}{x_1 - X_1^A(t)}; \quad (3.4)$$

and the integer n_A is the winding number or 'vorticity' of the A^{th} vortex. Because ϕ is a multivalued function, it is useful to take the domain of integration to have cuts in it

in order that all quantities in the integrand be single-valued. We also take the region of integration to exclude the cores of the vortices. Figure 1 shows the integration region and boundary cuts for a two vortex configuration. After substitution of the ansatz (3.3) into (3.2), the first term reduces to a sum of integrals over the cuts $S_A = \{x(t) = Y^A(s;t) | 0 < s < 1\}$ from the A^{th} vortex to infinity, each of the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{n_A} d^2x dt \partial_t &= \int_{n_A} dt \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S_A} d^2x_A + 2 \int_{n_A} dt \int_{S_A} d^a_{ab} V_b \\
 &= 2 \int_{n_A} dt \int_{S_A} ds \frac{\partial Y_a}{\partial s} \frac{\partial Y_b}{\partial t} \\
 &= \int_{n_A} dt \int_{S_A} d^a_{ab} X^A_a X^A_b :
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The last equality is obtained by two partial integrations. For now we ignore the total derivative term, which is irrelevant for classical mechanics, but we must return to the question of adding total time derivatives when quantizing the system. The second term in (3.2) leads to a double sum over A and B of terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{n_A n_B} d^2x dt [\partial_a \phi_A \partial_a \phi_B] &= \int_{n_A n_B} dt \left[\int_{S_A} d^a_{ab} \phi_B + \int_{S_B} d^a_{ab} \phi_A + \int_{S_1} d^a_{ab} \phi_A \phi_B \right] \\
 &= 2 \int_{n_A n_B} dt \int_{S_A} d^a_{ab} \phi_B \\
 &= 2 \int_{n_A n_B} dt \ln \dot{X}^A_a \dot{X}^B_b :
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and a sum over B of the terms

$$\begin{aligned}
2e n_B \int dt d^2x (A_a \partial_a B) &= 4 e n_B \int dt \int_{S_B} d^2x \partial_a A_b(x) \\
&= 4 e n_B \int dt \int_{S_B} ds \frac{\partial Y_a}{\partial s} A_b(Y) \\
&= 4 e n_B \int dt \int_{S_B} ds \frac{\partial Y_a}{\partial s} A_b \left(\frac{1}{2} B_{bc} Y_c \right) \\
&= - n_B e B \int dt \mathcal{X}^B f;
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where we have specialized to the case of a uniform magnetic field in the symmetric gauge $A = \frac{1}{2} B \cdot r$. The integrals over the boundaries at infinity vanish because the integrands fall off quickly enough. The integrals over the small circular boundaries S_T have been neglected as being small. The diagonal self-energy terms in the sums (3.6) do not depend on the collective coordinates and have been dropped. Up to total time derivative terms, the full collective vortex action is

$$\begin{aligned}
S_V &= \int dt \sum_A \sum_B n_A n_B \int_{S_B} d^2x \partial_a A_b(x) \mathcal{X}^A \mathcal{X}^B f \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A < B} n_A n_B \int_{S_B} d^2x \partial_a A_b(x) \mathcal{X}^A \mathcal{X}^B f \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A < B} n_A n_B \int_{S_B} d^2x \partial_a A_b(x) \mathcal{X}^A \mathcal{X}^B f;
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

4. Vortex Dynamics

4.1. Classical Motion

The classical equations of motion following from (3.8),

$$\dot{X}_a^A = 2 \sum_{B \neq A} n_B \epsilon_{ab} \frac{X_b^B}{X^B} \frac{X_b^A}{X^A} \epsilon_{ab} X_b^A; \quad (4.1)$$

have the interesting property that they are first order in time and not translation invariant. There is one obvious constant of the motion for the equations of motion (4.1), which is essentially the angular momentum,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_A n_A X^A \dot{X}^A = 0; \quad (4.2)$$

Since the vortex Hamiltonian function,

$$H_V = 2 \sum_{A < B} n_A n_B \ln |X^A - X^B| + \sum_A n_A \epsilon_{ab} X^A \dot{X}^B; \quad (4.3)$$

is a constant of the motion by virtue of the equations of motion

$$2 \sum_A n_A \dot{X}_a^A = \epsilon_{ab} \frac{\partial H_V}{\partial X_b^A}; \quad (4.4)$$

there is one other constant of the motion, involving the separations of the vortices,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{A < B} n_A n_B |X^A - X^B|^2 = 0; \quad (4.5)$$

The motion of a single vortex in the absence of other vortices is a circular orbit centered on the origin, with angular velocity proportional to the external magnetic field. If a background of vortices of uniform density is added, a vortex will move

with an angular velocity which is the sum of two pieces. One piece is proportional to the external $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{d}$ and is identical to the angular velocity of a vortex in the absence of other vortices and the other is proportional to the total vortex number enclosed by the orbit. This can be seen from the equations of motion (4.1), by averaging the contributions of each vortex inside the orbit. Since the ' $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{d}$ ' is inversely proportional to the separation, in two dimensions we can smear the vorticity uniformly over the enclosed area and ignore the contributions from outside the orbit, just as one can for $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{d}$ s inversely proportional to the square of the separation in three dimensions.

Thus the influence of other vortices is just the same as a background magnetic $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{d}$. Two vortices of the same vorticity, n_A , in the absence of an external $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{d}$ or other vortices, will orbit each other with an angular velocity which is inversely proportional to the square of their separation. The vortices behave as if they carry $n_A = e$ units of flux at their cores and a charge-to-mass ratio $e/m = e/m_0$. The relative angular momentum of two vortices can be calculated using the equation of motion (4.1) and the definition of the momentum as the derivative of the Lagrangian (3.8) with respect to velocity, $P_a^A = \partial L / \partial \dot{X}_a^A$. In the case of only two vortices with the same vortex numbers, $n_A = n_B = n$, there is a very simple relation:

$$J^{\text{rel}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_a \left(\frac{n}{eB} \dot{X}_b^0 + \frac{4n^2}{eB} \epsilon_{bc} \frac{\dot{X}_c^0}{j^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_a \left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{X}_b^0 + e A_b(\mathbf{x}) \right); \quad (4.6)$$

$$\epsilon_{ab} \partial_a A_b(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4n}{e} \epsilon^{(2)}(\mathbf{x});$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X}^A - \mathbf{X}^B$ is the relative separation of the two vortices A and B. The term A_b in (4.6) is an effective vector potential, which is that of a point flux of $2n = e$ units. This also strongly suggests that the vortices carry attached point fluxes in addition to their charge. The factor of two arises because there are two vortices and we must add the contribution of each. In fact, using the equations of motion (4.1), and the

definition of momentum, we find the suggestive relation

$$\begin{aligned}
P_a^A &= \frac{2n_0}{eB} X_a^A - \frac{2n_0}{B} A_a(X^A) - \frac{2n_0}{B} A_a^{\text{ext}}(X^A); \\
&= m X_a^A + e A_a(X^A) + e A_a^{\text{ext}}(X^A); \\
A_a(X^A) &= \sum_{B \in A} \frac{X_b^B}{e} \frac{2n_B}{ab} \frac{X_b^B}{X^B} \frac{X_b^A}{X^A} :
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Arovas has argued^[13] that the extra factor of two in the statistical gauge field A_a is necessary because each particle carries a flux as well as a charge.

The equations of motion (4.1) are classical equations giving definite time evolution to the centers of the vortices. Classically, of course, the motion of a charge in the background of an infinitesimally thin flux tube is the same as the motion in a field-free region. The fact that the 'classical' motion of a vortex is influenced by the other vortices and the existence of the point flux in the relation (4.7) are the first hints that the motion described by the action (3.8) is quantal and not classical.

4.2. Quantum Motion in Symmetric Gauge

We have said that the true meaning of the dynamics of (3.8) is quantal. In order to describe the quantum mechanics of (3.8), one must take into account its unusual canonical structure. Unlike the standard Lagrangian for a massive point particle, the vortex Lagrangian is first order in time, which means that there are constraints on its phase space. These constraints are second-class according to the classification of Dirac.^[14] They are

$$\phi_a^A(p^A; X^A) = P_a^A + n_A \epsilon_{ab} X_b^A = 0; \tag{4.8}$$

where P_a^A is the momentum conjugate to the position of the A^{th} vortex, X_a^A . The Poisson brackets of the constraints (4.8) are

$$\{\phi_a^A, \phi_b^B\} = 2 n_A \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon^{AB} : \tag{4.9}$$

The Hamiltonian, which must conserve the constraints, is only defined by its numerical value on the phase space hypersurface defined by the constraints. This leaves its

algebraic expression in terms of the original unconstrained phase space variables quite ambiguous. Usually it is said that the Hamiltonian is defined only up to the addition of the constraints with Lagrange multipliers, $H_V = H_V + \lambda_a^A \chi_a^A$. If we take this as the most general Hamiltonian for now, the conservation of the constraints fixes the Lagrange multipliers, resulting in the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
H_V = & 2 \sum_{A < B} n_A n_B \ln \left(\frac{X^A}{X^B} \right)^2 \\
& + \sum_{0 \leq A \leq B} n_B \sum_{ab} P_a^A \frac{X_b^B}{X^A} \frac{X_b^A}{X^B} \\
& - \sum_{0 \leq A} \frac{eB}{2} P_a^A X_b^A \delta_{ab} :
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

We have dropped an irrelevant constant term in the Hamiltonian (4.10), which we could have included.

The constraints (4.8) need to be taken into account in the quantum mechanics in order to construct physical states for the system. One possible solution is to solve them by choosing, say, the Y variables to be the momenta conjugate to the X coordinates. Then the states would be functions of X only. We choose not to do this, but to implement the constraints as operator conditions instead. It is convenient to go to complex coordinates in the case of the symmetric gauge. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^A &= X_1^A + iX_2^A; & i\partial^A &= \frac{1}{2} P_1^A - iP_2^A; \\
\bar{Z}^A &= X_1^A - iX_2^A; & i\bar{\partial}^A &= \frac{1}{2} P_1^A + iP_2^A :
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

For the motion of a single vortex in the background field we note that the constraints, when written in complex form

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau' &= \tau'_1 + i\tau'_2 = -2i\bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{2} \partial nZ; \\
\bar{\tau}' &= \tau'_1 - i\tau'_2 = -2i\partial - \frac{1}{2} \partial n\bar{Z};
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

have Poisson brackets

$$\{f', g\} = 4 \int d^2x \{f', g\} \quad (4.13)$$

These Poisson brackets become the commutator relations of creation and annihilation operators upon quantization. Thus, we may impose the constraints by asking that the correct one of them annihilate physical states. In the case of a single vortex of vorticity $n = 1$, we impose $\hat{a}$ and take the states to be eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (4.10).

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} \psi(z; \bar{z}) &= \left(2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi(z; \bar{z}) = 0; \\ \hat{H} \psi(z; \bar{z}) &= \frac{eB}{4} \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \psi(z; \bar{z}) = E \psi(z; \bar{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

The resulting one-vortex physical states are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z; \bar{z}) &= z^N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |z|^2\right); \\ N &= \frac{eB}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Being purely analytic functions times an exponential of $|z|^2$, these states are of the form of the lowest Landau level. Because they are also eigenstates of an annihilation operator, these states are of the form of a coherent excitation in the N^{th} Landau level as well. One needs more evidence to decide which is the proper interpretation. Others have found that motion restricted to the lowest Landau level can be related to one-dimensional fermions through an action that is first order in time,^[15] and we will also come to the conclusion that the lowest Landau level interpretation is most natural after considering the motion of vortices in a half plane.

We note here that positive and negative winding number vortices behave as if they have opposite charge in this quantization, in that their wave functions are complex conjugates of each other. This is in contrast to the classical motion, where one cannot tell the difference between positive and negative winding number vortices by their direction of motion because all vortices orbit the center in the same sense.

4.3. The Motion of Two Vortices

The equations of motion (4.1) determine that two vortices of the same vorticity n will orbit each other at constant separation $j^2 = |X^{(1)} - X^{(2)}|^2$ with constant relative angular velocity

$$\omega = \frac{2n}{j^2} + \frac{eB}{0} : \quad (4.16)$$

The center of mass, $X_{CM} = \frac{1}{2}(X^1 + X^2)$, will orbit the origin at constant radius and angular velocity

$$\omega = \frac{eB}{0} : \quad (4.17)$$

The Hamiltonian separates in CM and relative coordinates,

$$H = H_{CM} + H_{rel} = 2 \frac{eB}{0} |X_{CM}|^2 + 2 \frac{n}{j^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{eB}{0} j^2; \quad (4.18)$$

as do the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_a^{CM} &= P_a^{CM} + 2 \frac{n}{0} \epsilon_{ab} X_b^{CM} = 0; \\ \mathcal{H}_a^{rel} &= P_a + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{0} \epsilon_{ab} b = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

If the usual complex combinations of constraints are made

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{CM} &= \mathcal{H}_1^{CM} + i \mathcal{H}_2^{CM} = 0; \\ \mathcal{H}^{rel} &= \mathcal{H}_1^{rel} + i \mathcal{H}_2^{rel} = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and imposed on states, one finds that the states are all of the form

$$(\psi; Z) = Z^N \exp \left(- \frac{0n}{4} j^2 \right); \quad (4.21)$$

where $Z = X_1^{CM} + i X_2^{CM}$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i \epsilon_2$, and N must be an integer if the center of mass wave function is to be single-valued. Otherwise, the values of ϵ and N are completely

undetermined by the Hamiltonians

$$\begin{aligned} H_{CM} &= \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \bar{\psi}|^2 \right); \\ H_{rel} &= \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \bar{\psi}|^2 \right); \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Thus, from the point of view of this quantization, any statistics are allowed. This result is less restrictive than those obtained in Ref. [16].

4.4. Boundary Conditions and Gauge Invariance

We have not yet touched upon boundary conditions for the Ginzburg-Landau equations (2.2). Because the order parameter is essentially a macroscopic wave function, the boundary conditions should ensure that no current flows across free boundaries of a sample. This is guaranteed by the usual Ginzburg-Landau boundary conditions,

$$\hat{n} \cdot \frac{\partial H_{GL}}{\partial (\nabla \psi)} \Big|_{\partial S} = 0; \quad (4.23)$$

which follow from considering the minimization of the free energy with respect to arbitrary variations which do not vanish on the boundaries of the sample. This boundary condition can be thought of as determining the correct gauge for a particular sample geometry. In an infinite sample, the multi-vortex ansatz was that the vortices were superimposed upon a stationary background quantum fluid 'sea.' In the case of a finite system, the correct ansatz is

$$\psi = \sum_A n_A \psi_A(x; X^A) + \phi(x); \quad (4.24)$$

so that in the absence of vortices, the boundary conditions on the quantum sea ϕ will be

$$\nabla \phi \cdot \hat{n} \Big|_{\partial S} = 0; \quad (4.25)$$

This is equivalent to using ! to change the gauge of A_{ext} so that it will satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} r A_{\text{ext}} &= 0; \\ \hat{n} \cdot A_{\text{ext}}|_S &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

and leaving the multi-vortex ansatz to satisfy the Laplace equation with Neumann boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 A(x) &= 0; \\ \hat{n} \cdot r A|_S &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

In simple geometries one can solve the boundary condition in (4.27) by the method of images,

$$A(x; X^A) = A(x; X^A) + \sum_j X_j A(x; R_j(X^A)): \quad (4.28)$$

Here j is the image vortex strength, whose sign depends on the number of reflections the original vortex at X^A has undergone to produce the image vortex at $R_j(X^A)$.

In the derivation of the action (3.8), the symmetric gauge was chosen. This choice is forced if one imagines the infinite sample to be the limit of a circular sample when the radius increases without bound. The vector potential must be in the symmetric gauge and vanish at the center of the circle.

As a simple example, we examine the motion of vortices in a constant magnetic field and in a finite circular sample. We then specialize to the case of a single vortex. The derivation of the action starts from the multi-vortex ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} A(x; t) &= \sum_{A=1}^N n_A \left[A(x; X^A) + \sum_i A(x; R_i(X^A)) \right]; \\ R(X^A) &= \frac{r^2}{X^A} X^A; \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where r is the radius of the disk and the center of the disk is the center of the coordinate system. We proceed as before, substituting the ansatz back into the

action and putting cuts from each vortex to a fixed point on the outer boundary of the sample. The resulting action is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_V = & \int dt \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} n_A n_B \dot{\mathbf{x}}^A \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}^B + \frac{1}{2} n_A n_B \left(\mathbf{x}^A - \mathbf{x}^B \right)^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{2} n_A n_B \left(\mathbf{x}^A - \mathbf{x}^B \right)^2 \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} n_A n_B \left(\mathbf{x}^A - \mathbf{x}^B \right)^2 :
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

The classical equation of motion for a single vortex of vorticity n , is

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_a = -\frac{n}{r^2} \mathbf{x}_a \quad (4.31)$$

The quantum states are again found to be of the same form as (4.15),

$$(z; \bar{z}) = z^N \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 \right); \quad (4.32)$$

but now the dependence of the energy on N is considerably more complicated.

4.5. Quantum Motion in a Half Plane

In choosing the boundary conditions for motion in a half plane, we must be careful to note that there is not a unique vector potential A^{ext} satisfying the conditions (4.26). We take our geometry to be the upper half plane $y > 0$. It is most natural to take the Landau gauge condition $A_x = -By, A_y = 0$. The non-uniqueness of the vector potentials comes in through the addition of a constant vector field $A_x = C, A_y = 0$. If the limit is taken by starting with a rectangle and letting three of its sides go to infinity, then the constant vector potential is infinite, unfortunately. It is still useful to look at the example to determine the behavior of vortices near a long straight boundary far from other boundaries.

Each vortex in this geometry has a single reflected image, $R(X; Y) = (X; -Y)$. Constructing the vortex action as in the circular case, we find

$$S_V = \int dt \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_A n_A \dot{X}_A^2 - \sum_{A < B} n_A n_B \ln \left(\frac{X^A}{X^B} \right) \right] \quad (4.33)$$

The action has the same constraints as before, but the Hamiltonian is different. The single-vortex Hamiltonian,

$$H_V = n^2 \ln \frac{R(X)^2}{2} + 2 \ln B(X_2)^2; \quad (4.34)$$

must be modified to preserve the constraints. We use the "\star variables" explained in appendix A. For vortex number $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_V &= H_V(X_2) = 2 \ln [4(X_2)^2]; \\ X_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(X_2 - \frac{1}{0}P_1): \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

The star variable X_2 commutes with both constraints so the Hamiltonian does also. Diagonalizing X_2 will diagonalize H_V as well, so we solve the simultaneous conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &= 0; \\ \hat{X}_2 &= k; \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

to find the physical states which diagonalize the Hamiltonian (4.35),

$$\langle X \rangle = e^{i \int_0^1 X_1 X_2} \exp \left[i \int_0^1 k X_1 \int_0^1 X_2 \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^2 \right] : \quad (4.37)$$

Except for the extra factor $e^{i \int \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2}$, is a Landau gauge ground state. Being a pure phase, this extra factor is physically irrelevant.

5. Magnetic Translations and Theta Terms

An interesting fact of the Landau problem is that while a uniform field strength is translation invariant, one must choose a gauge potential in order to write the usual minimally coupled Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2; \quad (5.1)$$

thereby breaking manifest translation invariance. The translation invariance is not really lost, however. A combination of a translation

$$\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} \quad (5.2)$$

and a gauge transformation,

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi, \quad \chi = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{a}; \quad (5.3)$$

will leave the Hamiltonian invariant. The combination of transformations (5.2) and (5.3) together are a magnetic translation. Another way of getting at the translation invariance is to look at the action

$$S = \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 + e\mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} \right]; \quad (5.4)$$

in a linear gauge $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{x}$. Under a translation $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}$ the action changes by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int dt \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int dt \left[\mathbf{x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right] \\ &= \int dt \frac{d}{dt} \left[\mathbf{x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

The first equality is by direct computation, the second is the chain rule, and the third uses the equations of motion. This implies that there is a conserved quantity for the

system

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_A \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_A} - K \sum_A x_A = \frac{dQ}{dt} : \quad (5:6)$$

The action (3.8) is not quite invariant even under magnetic translations, however. The classical orbits have their centers shifted by magnetic translations, but under a magnetic translation by the vector a , the vortex action transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} S_V^0(fX^A - ag) &= S_V(fX^A g) + \sum_A [a; fX^A g]; \\ [a; fX^A g] &= \sum_A n_A \int_0^1 dt \frac{d}{dt} \epsilon_{ab} a_a X_b^A; \end{aligned} \quad (5:7)$$

where S_V^0 denotes the gauge transformed action. A similar analysis to (5.5) and (5.6) performed for the action (3.8), shows that there is no conserved charge even under a magnetic translation of all vortex centers simultaneously:

$$Q = \sum_A a_A \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}^A} - \int_0^1 dt \sum_A X^A = 0 : \quad (5:8)$$

Here ϵ_{ab} is the matrix

If a theta term, $[a; fX^A g]$, as in (5.7), is present in the action, then the constraints are modified, as is the Hamiltonian H_V :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} &= \bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz (z - z_0) = 0; \\ \hat{p} &= \partial - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\bar{z} (\bar{z} - \bar{z}_0) = 0; \\ \hat{H} &= z\partial - \bar{z}\bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz (\bar{z}z_0 + z\bar{z}_0) : \end{aligned} \quad (5:9)$$

Here we have taken $z_0 = a_1 + ia_2$. The general physical state for a single vortex of winding number $n = 1$ is then of the form

$$(z; \bar{z}) = C z^N \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz (\bar{z}^2 + z\bar{z}_0 - \bar{z}z_0) \right] : \quad (5:10)$$

The shifted states do not stay in a single Landau level but are mixtures of all Landau levels. The only effect of magnetic translations is to shift the theta terms,

which leaves the classical equations unaffected, but the quantum states are radically changed. Even when no field is present and magnetic translations become ordinary translations, there is no symmetry of the theory, so it is not surprising that a magnetic translation of (3.8) should mix Landau levels.

6. Discussion and Conclusions

We have demonstrated, under the assumptions of an appropriate Landau free energy, that vortex defects in the order parameter are charged and that these vortices obey an equation of motion which is first order in time. After quantization these vortices are seen to behave correctly quantum mechanically, and, in fact, can be taken to occupy the lowest Landau level of the minimally coupled single-vortex quadratic Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} - e \mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}})^2; \quad (6.1)$$

with $e = m = 1$ and $e = 2$ and $\phi_0 = B$. We have not postulated a form for the potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ in our Landau free energy (2.1), but have assumed that it can be chosen so that the minimum will set the parameter $\phi_0 = \frac{eB}{2(2n+1)}$. The constant can be chosen to fix the effective mass m .

All the vortices behave classically, according to (4.1), as if they have the opposite sign charge to the background quantum fluid. That is, they all travel in the same direction regardless of their vorticities n_A . The collective Hamiltonian function for the vortices (4.3), has a direct connection to the Laughlin many-body wave function. The relation between (4.3) and the Laughlin wave function is

$$\langle \psi_{\text{Laughlin}} | \psi_{\text{Laughlin}} \rangle = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2m} H_V(\mathbf{r}) \right]; \quad (6.2)$$

When all the vortex numbers are taken to be positive, $n_A > 0$, some $n_A = m$ and some $n_B = 1$, and the magnetic field is in the $\hat{z}$ direction, (6.2) is the modulus of the Laughlin wave function of electrons and quasiholes of charge $1/m$.

Numerical work, solving the first order equations of motion, (4.1), for a random initial configuration of particles, all with the same vortex numbers, shows that the system evolves so that the vortices form a lattice of nearly uniform density which rotates rigidly in the effective magnetic field which is the background field plus that produced by the vortices themselves.^[17] Thus it seems reasonable to write an effective Ginzburg-Landau theory for the fluid of vortices, in which further vortices may form. This lends support to the correctness of the phenomenological theory. In this way one may hope to reproduce the whole hierarchy of fractional quantum Hall states through the use of a Ginzburg-Landau theory.

The present work assumes the existence of multi-vortex solutions which have core sizes much smaller than their separations. We have assumed that such solutions exist for the appropriate choice of functions $f_i(j^2)$, as they are known to exist in the Abelian Higgs model.^[18]

We have not fixed all the parameters in the theory to relate the average density of vortices to the magnetic flux through the sample. It seems plausible that this could be done. Instead of choosing finite energy as our criterion, we have chosen that the equations of motion for the non-linear Schrödinger equation have constant density solutions. Because of the unscreened external vector potential, it is impossible to keep just the first term in (2.1) and demand finite energy in an infinite sample. It is our hope that including more of the terms in the free energy (2.1) will yield a detailed macroscopic description of the fractional quantum Hall effect, determining, for instance, the vortex density from the applied magnetic field, without harming the basic picture of vortex dynamics we have sketched.

From the point of view of first order vortex dynamics, it is unclear how the statistics of the quantum vortices are determined. From the discussion leading up to eq. (4.21), it seems as though the quantum statistics can be chosen independently of the effective charge, but there are tantalizing hints, from eq. (4.6) or from (4.7), that the Chern-Simons induced statistics directly related to the effective charge are already implied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Dennis Crossley for a useful discussion, A.P. Balachandran, B. Durand, and L. Durand for reading the manuscript and making useful suggestions on its improvement and Steve Fosal for sharing with us his numerical simulations of many vortex motion. This work was supported in part by DOE grant No. DE-AC02-76-ER00881.

APPENDIX A

Dirac Quantization of Second-Class Systems

When an action has second-class constraints, $\chi_i \approx 0$, there are several options for constructing a quantum system from the classical system. If the constraints are simple enough, one can just solve them and write the dynamics in terms of a reduced set of variables. If one chooses not to do this, there are still several possibilities. Since the Poisson bracket of the constraints does not vanish,

$$\{ \chi_i, \chi_j \} \approx g_{ij} \neq 0; \quad \det g \neq 0; \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

the constraints cannot simply annihilate the quantum states, or the theory will be trivial,

$$\chi_i | \psi \rangle = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \psi | \chi_i = 0; \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

One can, however, require that the constraints have vanishing matrix elements between any two physical states,

$$\langle \psi | \chi_i | \phi \rangle = 0; \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

A stricter condition is to require that some complex combinations of the con-

straints annihilate all physical states,

$$\begin{aligned} C^A b_{ji} &= 0; \\ C^A C^B &= 0; \\ \det_{(AB)} [C^A \quad \overline{C}^B] &\neq 0: \end{aligned} \quad (A:4)$$

In this case, one must make sure that the Hamiltonian preserves the constraints C^A :

$$fC^A; H = V_B^A C^B: \quad (A:5)$$

One possibility is to use so-called "star variables" when the matrix is constant. The idea is to transform from the old set of canonical variables z_a to a new set of variables z_a^* (here the star means modified variables, not complex conjugated variables)

$$\begin{aligned} z_a &= z_a^* \quad (1) \quad f^*; z_a g; \\ f z_a^*; g &= 0: \end{aligned} \quad (A:6)$$

Any function can be made to have weakly vanishing Poisson bracket with the constraints simply by replacing the function of the old canonical variables by the same function of the star variables,

$$fF(z); g = 0: \quad (A:7)$$

The weak equalities in (A.7) and (A.6) will be equalities when the Poisson brackets $f^*; z_a g$ as well as $z_a^* g$ are constants.

APPENDIX B

Spectrum and States of Landau Problem

The electron states in a two dimensional conductor with a perpendicular magnetic field are highly degenerate. These degenerate states, the Landau levels, can easily be found in the Landau gauge

$$A(x; y) = -By \hat{x} \quad (B.1)$$

The momentum p_x is a conserved quantity of the Landau Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (p_x - eA)^2 = \frac{1}{2m} [(i\partial_x + eBy)^2 + (-i\partial_y)^2]; \quad (B.2)$$

as is the quantity $p_y + eBx$. These are the magnetic translation operators. By diagonalizing p_x , one finds that the energy eigenstates are products exponentials and harmonic oscillator wave functions, ψ_n , centered at $y_0 = \frac{k}{eB_0}$ with frequency $\frac{eB_0}{m}$;

$$\psi_n = e^{ikx} \phi_n(y - y_0); \quad (B.3)$$

In the symmetric gauge

$$A(r) = \frac{1}{2} B r^2 \hat{\phi}; \quad (B.4)$$

the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2m} (p_x^2 + p_y^2) = \frac{1}{4m} (p_z^2 + p_{\bar{z}}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \left(4\partial\bar{\partial} + \left(\frac{eB_0}{2}\right)^2 z\bar{z} \right) = eB_0 \left(z\partial + \bar{z}\bar{\partial} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (B.5)$$

where $\partial = i\partial_z = eA$. The problem has been formulated in complex coordinates as in eq. (4.11). The z and $\bar{z}$ can be treated as creation and annihilation operators. They satisfy the commutation relations

$$[z; \bar{z}] = 2m\omega_c; \quad (B.6)$$

Here ω_c denotes the signed frequency $\frac{eB_0}{m}$. The sign of ω_c determines which of z and $\bar{z}$ is the creation operator. In the following $\omega_c > 0$. The notation is simpler if the

complex coordinates are scaled by $(m \hbar \omega)^{-1/2}$. The spectrum generating operators can then be identified as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m \hbar \omega}} \left(-i p + \frac{1}{2} m \omega z \right) \\ \hat{a} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m \hbar \omega}} \left(i p + \frac{1}{2} m \omega \bar{z} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B :7})$$

Just as for the one dimensional harmonic oscillator, the ground state is annihilated by $\hat{a}$, otherwise it could be lowered to a state of lower energy and the Hamiltonian must be bounded below. It follows that the ground states of the Hamiltonian are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(z, \bar{z}) &= \exp(-\frac{1}{2} |z|^2) \psi_0(z); \\ H \psi_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \psi_0; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B :8})$$

Excited states are built upon any ground state (B.8), by applying creation operators.

$$\psi_n; f i = \frac{1}{n!} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \exp(-\frac{1}{2} |z|^2) \psi_0(z); \quad (\text{B :9})$$

There are again two constants of the motion for this problem. These are the magnetic translation operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\bar{a} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{z} \right); \\ \hat{b}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a + \frac{1}{2} z \right); \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B :10})$$

which commute with the spectrum generating operators,

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{b}] = [\hat{a}, \hat{b}^\dagger] = [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{b}] = [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{b}^\dagger] = 0; \quad (\text{B :11})$$

The full set of states can be generated from a single ground state through the use of the two raising operators

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,m} i &= \frac{1}{n! m!} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n (\hat{b}^\dagger)^m \exp(-\frac{1}{2} |z|^2) \psi_0(z); \\ \langle \psi_{n,m} i &= (n + \frac{1}{2})! \langle \psi_{n,m} i; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B :12})$$

REFERENCES

1. The Quantum Hall Effect, R.E. Prange and S.M. Girvin, eds., (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987), and references therein.
2. R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 1395;
 B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 1583;
 D.Arovas, R. Schrieffer and F.Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 722;
 R.Tao and Y.S.Wu, Phys. Rev. B 31 (1985) 6859;
 D.J.Thouless and Y.S.Wu, Phys. Rev. B 31 (1985) 1191;
 D.A.Arovas, R.Schrieffer and A.Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 251 (1985) 117.
3. F.D.M.Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 605;
 B.I.Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 1583; Ibid. 2390 (E);
 R.B.Laughlin, Surface Science 141 (1984) 11.
4. V.I.Ginzburg and L.D.Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 20 (1950) 1937.
5. L.Onsager, Nuovo Cimento 6 Suppl. 2 (1949) 249;
 R.P.Feynman, Prog. of Low Temp. Physics, C.J.Gorter, Ed., Vol. I (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1955) p.17.
6. A.A.Abrikosov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 32 (1957) 1442; Sov. Phys. JETP 5 (1957) 1174.
7. H.Nielsen and P.Olesen, Nucl. Phys. B 61 (1973) 45.
8. R.L.Davis, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 5 (1990) 853.
9. S.Girvin and A.MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1252;
 S.M.Girvin, in ref. 1, p.389;
 S.C.Zhang, T.H.Hansson and S.Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 82;
 N.Read, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 86.
10. F.Lund, Phys. Lett. A 159 (1991) 245.

11. D . Karabali and B . Sakita, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 6 (1991) 5079;
D . Karabali and B . Sakita, to appear in the proceedings of the International Sakharov conference, Moscow 1991;
D . Karabali, Collective Field Representation of Nonrelativistic Fermions in $(1+1)$ Dimensions, City College preprint CCNY-HEP-91/11, hep-th@xxx/9109013.
12. A L. Fetter, *Phys. Rev.* 151 (1966) 100;
J. Cresswick and N. Morrison, *Phys. Lett. A* 76 (1980) 276;
F D M . Haldane and Yong-Shi Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55 (1985) 2887;
H . Kuratsuji, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 68 (1992) 1746.
13. D P. Arovas, in *Geometric Phases in Physics*, A . Shapere and F . Wilczek, eds., (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p.288.
14. P A M . Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Yeshiva University, (Academic Press, New York, 1967);
A J. Hanson, T . Regge and C . Teitelboim , *Constrained Hamiltonian Systems*, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei (Rome, 1976).
15. S . Iso, D . Karabali and B . Sakita, One-dimensional Fermions as Two-dimensional Droplets via Chern-Simons Theory, preprint CCNY-HEP-92/1, hep-th@xxx/9202012.
16. R . Y . Chiao, A . Hansen and A A . Moulthrop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54 (1985) 1339;
A . Hansen, A A . Moulthrop and R . Y . Chiao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55 (1985) 1431.
17. S. Fosdal, private communication.
18. C H . Taubes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 72 (1980) 277.

Figure Caption

Figure 1: The integration region and boundary cuts for a two vortex configuration.




Fig. 1