NONCOM MUTATIVE DYNAM ICS Dedicated to L.C. Biedenham

Jakub Rembielinski

University of Lodz Department of Theoretical Physics ul. Pomorska 149/153 90{236 Lodz, Poland

INTRODUCTION

The rst step in the noncom mutative dynamics was undertaken by L \mathcal{L} . Biedenham who considered the quantum noncom mutative harm onic oscillator. Recently A refer and Volovich published paper devoted to some nonrelativistic dynamical system in a noncom mutative phase-space framework.

Noncommutative analogon of the Galilean particle, as described in Aref'eva and Volovich 2 , has two main features:

- { Consistency of the form alism dem ands noncommutativity of the inertial mass. This phenomena holds also in Rembielinski in the relativistic case.
 - { There is no unitary time development of the system on the quantum level.

In this paper we formulate unitary noncommutative q-dynamics on the quantum level. To do this let us notice that a possible deformation of the standard quantum mechanics lies in change of the algebra of observables with consequences on the level of dynamics. This is pictured on the Fig. 1. The main observation is the well known statement, that probabilistic interpretation of quantum mechanics causes an unitary time evolution of physical system irrespectively of the choice of the algebra of observables (standard or q-deformed). As a consequence the Heisenberg equations of motion hold in each case (in the Heisenberg picture). In the following we restrict ourselves to the one degree of freedom systems.

ALGEBRA OF OBSERVABLES | STANDARD QM CASE

Construction of quantum spaces by Manin⁴ as quotient of a free algebra by two-

ALGEBRA OF OBSERVABLES

can be possibly changed:

in the standard case generated

by

x;p;I: [x;p] = ihI

STATES & MEASUREMENT

unchanged:

{Notion of states {Reduction of states {W hat is measured {Average values

probabilistic interpretation of QM

+

QUANTUM DYNAM ICS

unitary time development

m

cannot be changed:

Heisenberg equations of motion: == ($\stackrel{:}{=}$ h) \mathbb{H} ;]+ \mathbb{Q}_{t}

a possible convenient

description:

QUANTUM DE RHAM COMPLEX

Ham ilton equations & algebra of observables

Figure 1. This scheme is showing possible changes in the structure of QM

sided ideal can be applied also to the Heisenberg algebra case. In fact the Heisenberg algebra can be introduced as the quotient algebra

$$H = A (I; x; p) = J (I; x; p)$$
 (1)

where A (I;x;p) is an unital associative algebra freely generated by I, x and p, while J (I;x;p) is a two-sided ideal in A de ned by the Heisenberg rule

$$xp = px + ihI: (2)$$

There is an antilinear anti-involution (star operation) in A de ned on generators as below

$$x = x; p = p:$$
 (3)

From the above construction it follows that this anti-involution induces in H a -anti-autom orphism de ned again by the eqs. (3).

Now, according to the result of Aref'eva & Volovich², con rm ed in Rembielinski³ for the relativistic case, some parameters of the considered dynamics, like inertial mass, do not commute with the generators x and p. This means that these parameters should

be treated them selves as generators of the algebra. To be m ore concrete let us consider a conservative system described by the H am iltonian

$$H + p^2 + V (x; ;)$$
: (4)

Here and are assumed to be additional herm itean generators of the extended algebra H 0 satisfying the following re-ordering rules

$$xp = px + ih^{2}$$

$$x = x$$

$$p = p$$

$$x = x$$

$$p = p$$

$$= :$$
(5)

We observe that the generators and belong to the center of H 0 . Thus the irreducibility condition on the representation level implies that and are multipliers of the identity I.Consequently they can be chosen as follows

$$= I$$

$$= p\frac{1}{2}I$$
 (6)

so the extended algebra H 0 reduces to the hom om orphic H eisenberg algebra H de ned by (1) and (2). Notice that H 0 can be interpreted as a quotient of a free unital, associative and involutive algebra A (I;x;p; ;) by the two-sided ideal J (I;x;p; ;) de ned by eqs. (5) i.e.

$$H^{0} = A (I;x;p; ;)=J (I;x;p; ;)$$
 (7)

It is remarkable, that eqs. (5) are nothing but the Bethe Ansatz for H $^{\,0}$.

Finally, dynamics de ned by the Hamiltonian H and the Heisenberg equations lead to the Hamilton form of the equations of motion:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
- &= & 0 \\
- &= & 0 \\
\underline{\mathbf{x}} &= & \frac{1}{-p}
\end{array}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{p}} &= & V^{0}(\mathbf{x}):$$
(8)

ALGEBRA OF OBSERVABLES | q-QM CASE

Now, the formulation of the standard quantum mechanics by means of the algebra H $^{\rm 0}$ suggest a natural q-deform ation of the algebra of observables; namely the q-deformed algebra H $_{\rm q}$ is a quotient algebra

$$H_{q} = a(I;x;p;K;)=J(I;x;p;K;)$$
 (9)

where the two-sided ideal J is de ned now by the following Bethe Ansatz re-ordering rules

$$xp = q^{2}px + ihq^{2}$$

$$x = x$$

$$p = ^{1}p$$

$$xK = ^{2}Kx$$

$$pK = ^{1}Kp$$

$$K = ^{1}K$$
(10)

where K and are assumed to be invertible and

$$x = x$$
; $p = p$; $K = K$; $= :$ (11)

A consistency of the system (10) requires

$$jqj = j j = j'' j = 1$$
: (12)

The corresponding conservative Hamiltonian has the form

$$H = p^2 K^2 + V(x; K;)$$
: (13)

Now, sim ilary to the standard case, and K are assumed constant in time:

$$-= \frac{i}{h} [H;] \quad 0 \tag{14}$$

$$K = \frac{i}{h} [H;] \quad 0 \tag{15}$$

which im plies, under the assumption of the proper classical lim it (5),

$$" = 1 \tag{16}$$

and by means of eqs. (16)

$$V(x;K;) = V(x;K;)$$

 $V(x;K;) = V(^2x;K;)$
(17)

Furtherm ore, taking into account (16)

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{h}} [\mathbf{H}; \mathbf{x}] = K^{2} [\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{h}} (1 \quad (^{\mathbf{g}})^{4}) p^{2} \mathbf{x} + q^{4} ((^{\mathbf{g}})^{2} + 1)^{2} p]; \tag{18}$$

and

$$\underline{p} = \frac{i}{h} [H; p] = \frac{i}{h} p [V(x; K;) V(q^{2}x; K;)] + \frac{q}{(2^{2})^{2} + 1} [V((2^{2})^{2}x; {}^{2}K;) V(x; {}^{2}K;)]^{2}; \qquad (19)$$

Notice that the last term is the quantum (G auss-Jackson) gradient of V (x; 2 K;) 2 . Now, a consistency of the H am ilton form of the equations of motion (14), (15), (18) and (19) with the algebra (10) and with the Leibniz rule con rms (16) { (17) and in plies

$$V(x;K;) = V((\frac{q}{2})^2x;K;)$$
 (20)

Furtherm ore, eqs. (17) and (20) in plies that in the formula (19) the term linear in p vanish. Consequently

$$\underline{p} = q \theta_{x}^{(q=)^{2}} V(x; {}^{2}K;) {}^{2}$$
 (21)

where $\theta_x^{(q=)^2}$ is the G auss-Jackson derivative as de ned in the eq. (19).

M oreover, under the assumption of the proper classical limit, eq. (20) implies that

$$= q (22)$$

and V depends only on the variable xK 1 2 or V does not depend on x, so taking into account (17) we obtain in this case

$$V = 0: (23)$$

Therefore we have two cases.

Case I

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{h}} \quad {}^{4} \quad {}^{4} \quad {}^{4} \quad {}^{2} \quad {$$

and

$$xp = q^{2}px + ihq^{2}$$

$$x = x$$

$$p = {}^{1}p$$

$$xK = {}^{2}Kx$$

$$pK = Kp$$

$$K = {}^{1}K :$$
(25)

(26)

Case II

$$H = p^{2}K^{2} + V \quad (2m)^{1=2} q^{1} xK^{1}^{2}$$

$$\underline{x} = 2(K)^{2}p \qquad (27)$$

$$\underline{p} = q(0_{x}V)^{2};$$

and the algebra (25) holds under the condition (22) = q. The m eaning of the normalisation factor 2m, m > 0, will be evident later. Notice that from the eqs. (27) we can identify the inertial mass M as

$$M = \frac{1}{2}q(K)^{2};$$
 (28)

$$xM = q^{2}M x$$

$$pM = q^{2}M p$$

$$M = q^{2}M :$$
(29)

Now, let us consider the dynamical models by Arefeva & Volovich2.

Free particle

We choose the potential V = 0 so $H = p^2K^2$ and consequently

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{q}^{1} \mathbf{M}^{1} \mathbf{p}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0};$$
(30)

Notice that eqs. (30) do not contain . The equations (30) and the algebra (29) are the same as in Arefeva & Volovich². However it is impossible to full the unitarity condition without of the operator (rest of the algebra is de ned by eqs. (25), (27). Therefore the lacking of the unitarity in Arefeva & Volovich² is caused by the choice = I which contradicts the reordering rules (25).

H arm onic oscillator

We start with the Hamiltonian:

$$H = p^{2}K^{2} + \frac{!^{2}}{2} (q^{1} xK^{1})^{2} :$$
 (31)

C onsequently

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{q}^{1} \mathbf{M}^{1} \mathbf{p}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{!^{2}}{2} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{M} :$$
(32)

Eqs. (32) still do not contain \cdot . The reason of the lacking unitarity in the A refere & Volovich² is the same as in the free-particle case.

REPARAM ETRISATION

The dependence of the potential V on the element q^1 (2m) $^{1=2}$ xK 1 2 and the form of the kinetic term in H am iltonian (27) suggest the following non-canonical reparam etrisation of the q-Q M dynamics in the C ase Π :

$$X = q^{1} (2m)^{1-2} xK^{1}^{2}$$
 (33)
 $P = (2m)^{1-2} pK$:

By m eans of the eqs. (33), (25) and (22) we obtain the following form of the reordering rules (in terms of X, P, K, and)

$$X P = PX + ihI$$

$$K = qK$$

$$[;X] = [;P] = [K;X] = [K;P] = 0:$$
(34)

$$H_{q} = H \qquad M_{q}^{2} \tag{35}$$

i.e. H $_{\rm q}$ is the direct sum of the H eisenberg algebra generated by X , P and of the real M anin's plane M $_{\rm q}^2$ (generated by K and). M or eover the H am ilton equations take the standard form

$$X_{-} = \frac{1}{m} P$$

$$P_{-} = V^{0}(X)$$
(36)

with

$$H = p^2 K^2 + V (q^1 (2m)^{1=2} xK^1) = P^2 \frac{1}{2m} + V (X)$$
: (37)

It is evident that energy spectra of both dynam ics (de ned by x and p or by X and P) are the same. However both theories are unitary nonequivalent so its physical content (identication of observables) is rather dierent. In the Case I for = q an analogous reparam etrisation is impossible. It is remarkable, that a similar analysis given in Brzezinski & al. for a quantum particle on a q-circle leads to quite analogous conclusions.

QUANTUM DE RHAM COMPLEX

Now, we observe that the Hamiltonian equations of motion (8) in the standard quantum mechanics can be written as

$$dx \underline{x}dt = \frac{1}{-p}dt (38)$$

$$dp \underline{p}dt = V^{0}(x) dt;$$

By means of the Heisenberg reordering rule (2) it is easy to calculate that

$$x dx = dx (x + ihp^{1})$$

$$p dx = dx p$$

$$x dp = dp x$$

$$p dp = dp (p ihV^{0}(x)=V^{0}(x))$$
(39)

or in a more symmetric form

$$px dx = dx xp$$

$$p dx = dx p$$

$$x dp = dp x$$

$$V^{0}(x)p dp = dp pV^{0}(x)$$
:
(40)

A ssum ing that dx and dp are obtained from x and p respectively as an e ect of the application of external di erential d satisfying usual conditions (linearity, nilpotency and the graded Leibniz rule) we can complete the di erential algebra with a two-form sector. It is matter of simple calculations to show that

$$dx dp = dp dx$$

$$p^{2} (dx)^{2} = (px ih=2) dx dp$$

$$(dp)^{2} = \frac{ih}{2} dx dp D_{x} \frac{V^{(0)}(x)}{V^{(0)}(x)}!$$
(41)

where D_x is the partial h-derivative with respect to x, de ned via df (x;p) = $dx D_x f + dp D_p f$.

As a consequence

$$(dx)^3 = (dp)^3 = dx (dp)^2 = (dx)^2 dp = 0$$
: (42)

Therefore we have de ned a \mathbb{Z}_2 graded H-bi-m odule with dim (H) = 1+2+1=4 a quantum analogon of the deRham complex.

Now, the above quantum deR ham complex can be q-deformed according to the deformation of the Heisenberg algebra H. The resulting rst order dierential calculus reads

$$px dx = q^{4} dx xp$$

$$x dp = q^{2} dp x$$

$$dx p = q^{2} p dx$$

$$\theta_{x} V (X) p dp = q^{4} dp p \theta_{x} V (X)$$

$$dK = d = 0;$$

$$(43)$$

where X is given in (33) while the derivative θ_x is with respect to x.

It can be veri ed that the H am ilton equations (27) can be reconstructed from (43) by m eans of the eqs. (22), (25) under substitution

$$dx = \underline{x}(x;p;K;)dt$$

$$dp = \underline{p}(x;p;K;)dt;$$
(44)

Therefore the quantum deRham complex contain all information about the algebra of observables and dynamics of the theory.

Recently D in akis et al. 6 also applied some dierential geometric methods to the Heisenberg algebra but from another point of view.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

I am grateful for interesting discussions to P rof. H D . D oebner, P rof. W . Tybor, M r. T . B rzezinski and M r. K . Sm olinski.

This work is supported under Grant KBN 202189101.

REFERENCES

- 1. L.C. Biedenham, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22: L873 (1989).
- 2. I.Ya. A refeva, I.V. Volovich, Quantum group particles and non-Archimedean geometry, preprint CERN {TH {6137/91 (1991).
- 3. J. Rem bielinski, Phys. Lett. B 287:145 (1992).
- 4. Yu.I.M anin, \Quantum G roups and Non-Commutative Geometry", publication CRM, Montreal (1988).
- 5. T. Brzezinski, J. Rem bielinski, K. A. Smolinski, Quantum particle on a quantum circle, Lodz University preprint KFT UL 92{10 (1992).
- 6. A.D im akis, F.M uller-Hoissen, Quantum mechanics as a non-commutative symplectic geometry, Gottingen University preprint, (1992).