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#### Abstract

The BRST operator cohom ology of $N=22 d$ supergravity coupled to $m$ atter is presented. D escent equations for prim ary super elds of the $m$ atter sector are derived. We nd one copy of the cohom ology at ghost num ber one, tw o independent copies at ghost num ber two, and conjecture that there is a copy at ghost number three. The $\mathrm{N}=2$ string has a tw isted $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform alsym $m$ etry generated by the $N=2$ superstress tensor, the BRST supercurrent, the antighost super eld, and the ghost num ber supercurrent.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

The BRST cohom ology of a string theory describes the physical states of the theory (for a review, se 通]); m oreover, the explicit understanding of the cohom ology in a covariant gauge has been crucial for the construction of both $\mathrm{N}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}=1$ string eld theories. In nontrivialbackgrounds, the BRST cohom ology has revealed a deep structure related to the underlying sym $m$ etries of string theory (for exam ple, see [ī]).

The $N=2$ string is a relatively sim ple string theory (for a review, see for exam ple tī1]). It $m$ ight therefore be practical to rst understand aspects of the $N=2$ string (for exam ple, underlying sym $m$ etries are studied in $[\overline{4}\rceil$ ), and to use the insight gained to leam about $m$ ore realistic, and $m$ ore com plicated, string theories.

In general, there is an equivalence between the BRST cohom ology on states in Fock space, that is states annihilated by the BRST charge $Q$ that are not them selves $Q$ of another state, and BRST cohom ology on operators, that is operators that (super) oom $m$ ute w ith $Q$ but are not them selves (super) com m utators with Q . H ow ever, only operator cohom ology can be conveniently described in superspace $1_{1}^{131}$

The state cohom ology for the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string in $(2 ; 2)$-dim ensional at M inkow skispace was constructed in [ī1]. H ere we consider the operator $N=2$ BRST cohom ology in an arbitrary background.

M ore generally, in this paper we explore aspects of the BRST operator structure of the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string. W e present the operator cohom ology in superspace, and derive descent equations for prim ary super elds. W e also nd that the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string has a tw isted critical $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform al sym $\mathrm{me} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ try generated by the $\mathrm{N}=2$ superstress tensor, the BRST supercurrent, the antighost super eld, and the ghost num ber supercurrent.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we review BRST operator cohom ology for $\mathrm{N}=0 ; 1$ strings. In section 3, we derive analogous

[^1]form ulae for $N=2$ strings: given an $N=2$ prim ary $w$ th vanishing dim ension and charge, $h=q=0$, we construct corresponding operators in the BRST cohom ology, one at ghost num ber $G=1$, tw $\circ$ independent operators at $G=2$, and a fourth operator at $G=3$ that we con jecture is physical; all are described in superspace, w thout bosonization, etc. W e derive the $\mathrm{N}=2$ descent equations, which explain how the operators arise. We nd that a certain conjugation relation plays the role that is played by derivatives for the $\mathrm{N}=0 ; 1$ cases. W e also consider the explicit exam ple of the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string in a toroidalbadkground, and nd the usualdiscrete states. In section 4, we show how the superstress tensor $T$, the BRST supercurrent $j$, the antighost super eld $B$, and the ghost num ber supercurrent $B C$ form a tw isted $N=4$ algebra. In section 5 we end $w$ th som e discussion and com $m$ ents. Finally, in the A ppendix, we give som e com putational details.

## 2 Review of BRST C ohom ology for $\mathrm{N}=0 ; 1$ strings

W e rst review the BRST cohom ology of the $\mathrm{N}=0$ bosonic string (see ref. $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$. The stress tensor $T(z)=T+T^{b c}$ combines the $m$ atter sector ( $T$ ) w th the reparam etrization b ; c ghost system ( $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{bc}}$ ). The stress tensor acts on a prim ary eld of the $m$ atter sector $w$ ith dim ension $h$ via the operator product expansion ( OPE )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1} \quad 2 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}^{2}} \quad 2+\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}(@)_{2}+::: ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots in the OPE indicate nonsingular term s , and we use the notation $f_{1} \quad f\left(z_{1}\right)$, and $z_{12}=z_{1} \quad z_{2}$. The ghosts $c$ and $b$ have dim ensions

1 and 2 w ith respect to the ghosts stress tensor $T^{\mathrm{bc}}=\mathrm{@} \mathrm{b}+2$ (@c)b. They satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}+:::: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{N}=0 \mathrm{BRST}$ current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=c\left(T+\frac{1}{2} T^{b c}\right)+\frac{3}{2} @^{2} c ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the total derivative is chosen such that $j$ is a prim ary eld $w$ th $h=1$. At criticality, the nilpotent BRST charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{2}^{I} d z j(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

acts on a eld O by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(O)=Q ; O\left(z_{2}\right) g \frac{1}{2 i}_{c_{z_{2}}}^{I} d z_{1} j\left(z_{1}\right) O\left(z_{2}\right) ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the contour $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}_{2}}$ surrounds $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ once.
Next we discuss BRST cohom ology classes. For a prim ary eld of the $m$ atter sector $w$ th $h=1$, the elds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}=\mathrm{c} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}=@_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are BRST invariant, nam ely, $Q\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}\right)=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}\right)=0$. The operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ are not Q -anticom m utators, and therefore, they represent cohom ology classes. The labelG in (2. 2. operator $O$ ( $w$ th the convention $G(c)=1, G(b)=1$ ). The two operators in (2. ${ }^{-1}$ ) generate the sam e physical state in di erent ghost vacua.

A $n$ elem ent of the $\operatorname{BRST}$ cohom ology $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}}=1$ is related to its corresponding prim ary eld by the descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q()=@_{G=1}: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ are related via the equation

$$
Q\left(c_{h}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & h \tag{2.8}
\end{array}\right) @_{C_{h}} ;
$$

where $h$ is 0 -shell when $h \in 1$. U sing equation (2. $h$ ) in the on-shell lim it $h$ ! 1 , one nds that $O_{G=2}$ is physical. $O_{G}=2$ also satis es the descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(@ c)=@_{G=2}: \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The criticalbosonic string can (often) be regarded as a topological con-

alm ost' closed on a tw isted $\mathrm{N}=2$ superconform al algebra (closing the algebra requires two more generators: c and @c). The untw isted $\mathrm{N}=2$ supercon form al algebra is given by tw isting $T$ to $T+\frac{1}{2} @ \underbrace{a_{1}}_{\underline{1}} T$ his conchudes our discussion of the $\mathrm{N}=0$ case.

Next we discuss the BRST cohom ology of the $\mathrm{N}=1$ superstring in the NS sector. We rst set the notation $\left.\operatorname{Wi}_{1}^{5}\right]$. W e de ne

$$
\begin{array}{rlrll}
\mathrm{z}_{12} & =\mathrm{z}_{1} & \mathrm{z}_{2} & 12 & 2 ; \\
12 & =1 & { }_{2} ; & \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

and the superderivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{@}{@}+@ ; \quad D^{2}=@ ; \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where @ $\frac{d}{@ z}$. A function $f_{1} f\left(z_{1} ; 1_{1}\right)$ can be expanded around $z_{2} ;{ }_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{1}=\mathrm{f}_{2}+{ }_{12}(\mathrm{Df})_{2}+\mathrm{z}_{12}(@ f)_{2}+{ }_{12} \mathrm{z}_{12}(\mathrm{D} @ f)_{2}+::: \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

An N $=1$ stress tensor $T=G+T_{B}$, where $T_{B}$ is the bosonic stress tensor, obeys the $\mathrm{N}=1$ superconform al algebra expressed via the operator product expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \quad \frac{\frac{3}{8} \mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}^{3}}+\frac{\frac{3}{2} 12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}^{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}(\mathrm{D} T)_{2}+\frac{12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}(@ T)_{2}+:::: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $N=12 d$ theory combines a matter sector and a ghost sector. The $\mathrm{N}=1$ energy m om entum tensor of the m atter sector T acts on a prim ary super eld of the $m$ atter sector $w$ th dim ension $h$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1} \quad 2 \frac{\mathrm{~h}_{12}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}^{2}}{ }_{2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}(\mathbb{D} \quad)_{2}+\frac{12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}(@)_{2}+::: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superghosts $C$ and $B$ have dimensions 1 and $3=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the superghost stress tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{BC}}=\mathrm{C}(@ B)+\frac{1}{2} D C D B \quad \frac{3}{2}(@ C) B$. They satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \quad \frac{12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}}+:::: \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]The $N=1$ BRST current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=C\left(T+\frac{1}{2} T^{B C}\right) \quad \frac{3}{4} D(C(D C) B) ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total derivative term in (2.1]) is chosen such that $j$ is a prim ary supereld $w$ ith $h=1=2$. At criticality, the nilpotent BRST charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{2 i}^{I} d z d \quad j(z ;) ; \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

acts on a super eld $O$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(O)=Q ; O\left(z_{2} ;{ }_{2}\right) g \quad \frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{z}_{2}} \mathrm{dz}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{1} j\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;{ }_{1}\right) \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} ;{ }_{2}\right) \text {; } \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the contour $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}_{2}}$ surrounds $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ once.
N ext we describe BRST cohom ology classes. For a prim ary super eld of the $m$ atter sector of dim ension $h=1=2$, the com binations

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{G=1}=C D \quad \frac{1}{2}(D C) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{G=2}=C D(@ C) \quad \frac{1}{2}(D C)(@ C) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

are BRST invariant, nam ely, $Q\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}\right)=\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}\right)=0$. The operators O are not $Q$-(anti) com $m$ utators, and therefore, they represent cohom ology classes. The labelG in ( 2 the convention $G(C)=1, G(B)=1)$. Unlike the $N=0$ case, $O_{G=1}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ di er not only by a choige of their ghost vacua, but also by a picture


The super elds and $O_{G=1}$ satisfy the descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q()=D O_{G=1}: \tag{221}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term s of com ponents one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(0)=O_{1} ; \quad Q\left(1_{1}\right)=@ O_{0} ; \tag{222}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=0+{ }_{1}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}=\mathrm{O}_{0}+\mathrm{O}_{1}$, and thus $\mathrm{O}_{0}$ is the only physical com ponent am ongst the com ponents ofO ${ }_{G}=1$. M oreover, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ are related via the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(O_{G=1}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~h}\right) \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}^{\mathrm{h}} ; \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O^{h}$ is constructed from an $O$-shell $h$ when $h \in 1=2$. U sing equation (2-23) (and continuity in $h$ ) in the on-shell lim it $h$ ! $1=2$, one nds that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ is physical. $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ also satis es the descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(@ C \quad)=D O_{G=2}: \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes the review of the $\mathrm{N}=1 \mathrm{BRST}$ case. In the next section we discuss the $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{BRST}$ case in $\mathrm{N}=2$ superspace, the BRST invariant operators, and the descent equation.

## 3 BRST C ohom ology of the $\mathrm{N}=2$ String

We rst set the notation. $W$ e de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{12} & =z_{1} \quad z_{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
+ \\
1 & 2
\end{array}{ }_{2}^{+}\right) ; \\
12 & =1 \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the spinor derivatives

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{+}=\frac{@}{@+}+\frac{1}{2} \quad @ ; \quad D=\frac{@}{@}+\frac{1}{2}+@ ; \quad f D+; D \quad g=@ ; \\
D \quad{ }_{1} z_{12}=D \quad{ }_{2} z_{12}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{12} ; \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

where @ $\frac{@}{\mathrm{Cz}}$. A function $\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;{ }_{1}^{+} ;{ }_{1}\right)$ can be expanded around $\mathrm{z}_{2}$; ${ }_{2}^{+}$; ${ }_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{1}=\mathrm{f}_{2}+{ }_{12}(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{f})_{2}+{ }_{12}^{+}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{f})_{2}+\mathrm{z}_{12}(\mathrm{@f})_{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{12}^{+}{ }_{12}(\mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{ff})_{2} \\
& +\mathrm{z}_{12}{ }_{12}(\mathrm{D} @ \mathrm{f})_{2}+\mathrm{z}_{12}{ }_{12}^{+}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{@f})_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{z}_{12}{ }_{12}^{+} 12(\mathbb{D} \quad ; \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{f})_{2}+::::
\end{aligned}
$$

W e de ne an operator ${ }^{h ; q}$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{h} \frac{+{ }_{12}^{12}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}^{2}}+\frac{+12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}} \mathrm{D}+\frac{12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}} \mathrm{D}+\frac{++12}{\mathrm{z}_{12}} @ \quad \frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for sim plicity we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad h ; 0: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $N=2$ stress tensor $T=J+{ }^{+} G_{+}+G+{ }^{+} T_{B}$, where $T_{B}$ is the bosonic stress tensor, obeys the $N=2$ superconform al algebra expressed via the OPE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \frac{\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{z}_{12}^{2}}+{ }^{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}+::: ; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{1}$ is de ned in ( theory com bines a m atter sector with a ghost sector. The $\mathrm{N}=2$ superstress tensor of the $m$ atter sector $T$ acts on a prim ary super eld of the $m$ atter sector $h_{i q}$ w ith dim ension $h$ and $U$ (1)-charge $q$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{q})_{2} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{q})_{2}+:::: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{N}=2$ superghosts C and B have com ponents

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}+{ }^{+}++ & + & + \\
\mathrm{B} & =+{ }^{+}+ & +{ }^{+} \mathrm{b} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{array}
$$

and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \quad \frac{+}{+1212}+::: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superstress tensor of the ghost system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{B C}=@(C B) \quad(D+C D \quad B+D \quad C D+B) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superghosts $C$ and $B$ have dimension and charge $h(C)=1 ; q(C)=$ $0 ; h(B)=1 ; q(B)=0$, $w$ th respect to $T^{B C}$. The total stress tensor of an $\mathrm{N}=2$ string is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T+T^{B C}: \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

At criticality, the total central charge is 0 , which im plies that the central charge of the m atter sector is $\mathrm{c}=6$.

The $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{BRST}$ current is

$$
\begin{align*}
j & \left.=C\left(T+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T}^{B C}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D} \quad(C D+(B C))+D+(C D \quad(B C))\right] \\
& =C T+B(D+C D C C C C): \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The total derivative term $s$ in ( $\overline{3}$. 12
 critically, the nilpotent $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{BRST}$ charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{2}^{I} d z d^{+} d \quad j\left(z^{+} ; \quad\right) ; \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

acts on a super eld $O$ by
where the contour $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}_{2}}$ surrounds $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ once.
N ext we describe the BRST cohom ology classes. First we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
O() \quad D \quad(C D+) D+(C D): \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for a prin ary super eld of the $m$ atter sector $w$ th $h=q=0, \quad 0 ; 0$, the combinations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}=\mathrm{O}() ;  \tag{3.16}\\
& \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}=\mathrm{O}(@ \mathrm{C}) ;  \tag{3.17}\\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{G}=2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{D}+\mathbb{C}) ;  \tag{3.18}\\
& \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=3}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}(@ C \mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{D}+\mathbb{C}) ; \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

are BRST invariant, nam ely, $Q\left(O_{G=1}\right)=Q\left(O_{G=2}\right)=Q\left(\sigma_{G=2}\right)=Q\left(O_{G=3}\right)=$ 0 . The operators $O_{G=1} ; O_{G=2}$, and $\sigma_{G=2}$ are not $Q$-(anti) com mutators, and therefore, represent cohom ology classes. W e con jecture that $O_{G}=3$ is $Q-$
 of the operator $O$ ( $w$ th the convention $G(C)=1 ; G(B)=1$ ).

W e have described the BRST cohom ology in particular pictures; indeed, as in the $\mathrm{N}=1$ case, the operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2} ; \sigma_{\mathrm{G}=2}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=3}$ not only correspond to di erent ghost vacua, but also di er by picture changing operations.

The proof that $O_{G=1}$ is $B R S T$ invariant but is not a $Q$-commutator is straightforw ard. It helps to use the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(C)=C @ C \quad D+C D \quad C ;  \tag{320}\\
Q()=D \quad(C D+) \quad D+(C D \quad) ; \tag{321}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}(f(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{g}())=\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{C})) \mathrm{g}()+()^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{t})) ; \tag{3,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are arbitrary functions of $C$ and and their derivatives, respectively; the last relation follow s because the structure of $Q$ is such that there are no double contractions w ith $f$ and $g$.

Let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \quad C D \quad: \tag{323}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ) can be intenpreted as the descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{Y}_{+} \quad \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}:\right. \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$


W e recall that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ in (3-16) is the antisym $m$ etric com bination $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}=$
 combination $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}=\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{Y}_{+}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{Y}$ is a Q -commutator of a prim ary super eld. H ow ever, for any $Y$ that obeys $Q\left(D Y_{+}+D+Y\right)=0$, one has $Q(Y)=D \quad Y_{(2)}$, and hence the antisymmetric combination (D $Y_{+}+$ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{+} \mathrm{Y}\right)=\mathrm{D} \mathrm{Y}_{+} \quad \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{Y}$ is also annihilated by Q. C onsequently $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ is $Q$-closed. This is analogous to the $N=0(N=1)$ case, where the de-
 BRST cohom ology to the $Q$-(anti) com $m$ utator of its corresponding prim ary (super) eld; here the operation plays the role of the derivative.

Furtherm ore, generically $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ cannot be Q -exact, since there is no naturalcandidate operator forQ ( ) ofthe correct ghost num ber and dim ension
other than itself; also, on physicalgrounds, since is an $h=q=0$ prim ary, it creates a physical state, and hence $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}$ should not be trivial. F inally, we have explicitly checked that there are no further operators bilinear in $C$ and in the cohom ology.
Expanding the descent equation ( $\left(\underline{3}-2 \overline{2} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in com ponents one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q\left(1_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} @ O_{0} ;  \tag{325}\\
& Q(\quad)=0 ; \tag{326}
\end{align*}
$$

and


$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
O_{0}=+\quad+\quad 2 c_{1}^{1} \\
O_{+}=+\frac{1}{2} @ c+1 \frac{1}{2}+@ \quad 0^{+} @+
\end{array} \\
& 0=\frac{1}{2} @ c+\quad 1 \frac{1}{2} @ 0+\infty \\
& O_{1}=\frac{1}{2} @(+++\quad @ \quad 0): \tag{328}
\end{align*}
$$

 the com ponents of the super eld $O_{G=1}$; it is referred to as the $(0,0)$-picture physical operator (see [ī1] for a discussion of picture changing for the $N=2$ string). This concludes the discussion ofBR ST cohom ology at ghost num ber one.

To prove that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ in ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{2}^{1} \overline{1}\right)$ is BRST invariant, we de ne $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}^{\mathrm{h}}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(O_{G=1}^{h}\right)=h O_{G=2}^{h} ; \tag{329}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}^{\mathrm{h}}$ is de ned by replacing in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1} \mathrm{w}$ th an O -shell prim ary super eld w th zero U (1)-charge: $h \quad h ; 0$. Equation ( $\overline{3} 2{ }_{2}^{2}$ ) is sim ilar to the $\mathrm{N}=0$ case in eq. ( $(\overline{2}-\mathrm{d})$, and to the $\mathrm{N}=1$ case in eq. $(\overline{2} 2 \overline{1})$, where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}}=2$ is related to a $Q$-anticom $m$ utator of $O_{G=1}$ at the $\lim$ it $h!1$ and $h!\quad 1=2$,
respectively; here we will nd that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ in eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ is the $\lim$ ith ! 0 of $O_{G=2}^{h}$, and thus is $Q$-closed.

A s a trick for com puting $O_{G=2}^{h}$ we de ne the operator $Q_{h}$ by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left({ }_{h}\right)=\quad O_{G=1}^{h}+h Q_{h}\left({ }_{h}\right) ; \quad Q_{h}(C)=0: \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

 instead of , and therefore has no explicit $h$-dependence. Equation ( $\overline{5} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{0})$ im plies that the operator $Q_{h}$ acts on $h$ and $C$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{h}\left(h_{h}\right)=@_{h} ; \quad Q_{h}(C)=0: \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because there is no explicit $h$ dependence in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}^{\mathrm{h}}$, and since $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}\right)=0$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(O_{G=1}^{h}\right)=h Q_{h}\left(O_{G=1}^{h}\right) ; \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence from ( $\left(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{d}_{1}\right)$ it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{h}\left(O_{G=1}^{h}\right)=O_{G=2}^{h}: \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This holds in the lim ith! 0 as well, and thus, using eq. ([3] $O_{G=2}$ in eq. $(\overline{3}=\overline{1} \overline{1})$.

To verify that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ is not Q -exact we have checked that acting w th Q on the 6 independent bilinear term $s$ in $C$ and, it is im possible to get $O_{G=2}$ (see A ppendix). W e can also show that $O_{G}=2$ is $Q$-exact, which im plies that we can construct $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}}=2$ from a descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(@ C)=\quad O_{G=2}: \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a consequence of the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{G=2}^{h}=Q_{h}\left(O_{G=1}^{h}\right)=Q_{h}\left(Q\left(h_{h}\right)\right)=Q\left(Q_{h}(h)\right)=Q\left(@ C h_{h}\right) ; \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

 (recall that the operation is de ned by (D $Y_{+} \quad D_{+} Y$ ) = D $\quad Y_{+} \quad D+Y$ ). The second equality follows from eq. (3ె-30d) and because $Q^{2}=0$ for all $h$ im plies $Q_{h}^{2}=0$. The third equality follow secause $Q^{2}=0$ for all $h$ implies


To prove that $\sigma_{G}=2$ in $(\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is BRST invariant, we proceed in precisely the sam e w ay as for $O_{G=2}$, except that we go o -shell by continuing $q$ rather than $h$ away from zero. $W$ e nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=1}^{\mathrm{q}}\right)=\quad \sigma_{\mathrm{G}=2}^{\mathrm{q}} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{q}\left(q_{q}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D} \quad ; D+\right] C \quad q ; \quad Q_{q}(C)=0 ; \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q \quad 0 ; q$ is a zero dim ension $o$-shell prim ary super eld. This gives (3.1"). A gain, we have explicitly checked that $\sigma_{G}=2$ is not $Q$-exact and is not in the sam e cohom ology class $\mathrm{as}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ (see A ppendix). Finally, it again follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Q}(\mathbb{D} \quad ; \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{C} \quad)=\quad \sigma_{\mathrm{G}=2} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence that $\sigma_{G=2}$ can be derived from a descent equation. This com pletes the discussion of BRST cohom ology at ghost num ber 2 .

The con jectured BRST cohom ology at G $=3$ is found analogously, either from

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{G=3}=Q_{h}\left(Q_{q}\left(O_{G=1}\right)\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

or from the descent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{2} Q(@ C \mathbb{D} \quad ; D+] C\right)=\quad O_{G=3}: \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have not explicitly veri ed that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=3}$ is not Q -exact.
N ext we discuss an exam ple: the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string in toroidal background. In term $\operatorname{sof} N=2$ chiralsuper elds

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{i}(Z ; Z ; \quad ; & =X_{L}^{i}(Z ;)+X_{R}^{i}(Z ;) \\
& =X^{i}(Z ; Z)+{ }_{L}^{i}(Z ; Z)+{ }_{R}^{i}(Z ; Z)+F^{i}(Z ; Z) \\
Z & =Z \quad+\quad \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

(w here $i=s ; t$ denote com plex spacelike and tim elike com ponents, and bars denote com plex conjugation), the vertex operators to create a m ode w ith Lorentzian $m$ om entum ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(X ; X)=e^{i\left(p_{L} X_{L}+p_{L} X_{L}+p_{R} X_{R}+p_{R} X_{R}\right)} ; \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are complex 2 m om entum, and ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) is in the even-selfdual lattice ${ }^{4 ; 4}$ (see $\left[\frac{4 \bar{u}}{1}\right]$ for $m$ ore details). The antichiral super eld $X$ in ( $\overline{3} .4 \overline{4}$ ) $)$ is obtained from $X$ by a com plex conjugation, together $w$ ith $\$$ ${ }^{+}$. The on-shell condition is $p_{L} \quad P=p_{R} \quad Q=0 . W$ ith this condition, $p\left(X_{L} ; X_{L}\right)$ is a prim ary super eld $w$ ith $h=q=0$, and it generates the


In addition to these cohom ology classes, there are elem ents of the BRST cohom ology corresponding to discrete states (that appear only at mom entum $p=0$ ). For a holom onphic chiral super eld $X_{L}$, one nds the discrete BRST invariant operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\text {discrete }}=\mathrm{D}_{+}\left(\mathrm{CD} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) ; \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for a holom orphic antichiral super eld $X_{L}$ one nds the discrete operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\text {discrete }}=D \quad\left(C D+X_{L}\right): \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T$ his can be show by inserting $=X_{L}$ or $=X_{L}$ into eq. ( $\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1}$ - $)$, and by using the property of (anti)chiral super elds: $D_{+} X=0(D X=0)$ ). The discrete states corresponding to these cohom ology classes are form ed from - -shell states by taking an appropriate lim it p! 0 (see ref. [îll for details). There are also analogous discrete states at $G=2$; these are

$$
\begin{equation*}
D+\left(C D \quad D+C D \quad X_{L}\right) ; \quad D \quad\left(C D+D \quad C D+X_{L}\right) ; \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

relevant identities for nding these can be found in the A ppendix.

## 4 The tw isted $N=4$ Sym m etry of the $N=2$ String

In ref. [1] an $N=2$ super eld extension of the topological conform al algebra. In this section we untw ist the $N=2$ topologicalalgebra to get an SU (2) SU (2) $\mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{N}=4$ superconform al current algebra ${ }^{5}$

[^3]We introduce the follow ing $N=2$ super elds

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{BC} ;  \tag{4.1}\\
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{j} ;  \tag{42}\\
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{B} ;  \tag{4.3}\\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{a@J}: \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

 BRST current de ned in ( $\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{2})$, and $G$ in ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{3}$ ) is the superghost $B$. The stress tensor $T_{a}$ is a tw ist of the ghost $+m$ atter stress tensor $T$ de ned in
 $T_{a} w$ th respect to $T$ are $h=0 ; 0 ; 1$ and 1 , respectively, and their charge is $q=0$.
 ( $\overline{3} .14$ ')

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(B)=T ; \quad Q(J)=j: \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th the operator ${ }^{h}$ de ned in ( the algebra

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{~T} & { }^{1} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}+::: ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{~J} & { }^{0} \mathrm{~J}+::: ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{G} & { }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}+::: ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{G} & { }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}+::: ; \tag{4.9}
\end{array}
$$

In (4. 4 (d) $(\overline{4} .1$ point $\left(z_{1} ; 1_{1}\right)$ in superspace, while the second operator in the product and
the operator on the right-hand side are at the point $\left(z_{2} ;{ }_{2}\right) . W$ e have also used the shorthand notation: ${ }_{12}, \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z}_{12}$. From eq. (4̄. $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) we leam that $T_{a}$ is a stress tensor $w$ th central charge $c_{a}=0$ for any tw ist param eter a. Eq. ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{-1}) \mathrm{I}) \mathrm{m}$ eans that $J$ is a prim ary super eld of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}}(J)=0$. Eqs. ( nam ely, there is a spectral ow under the tw ist $T!T_{a}$.

We now arrive to the im portant observation of this section. At $a=0$, the algebra ( $\overline{4} \cdot \bar{a})-(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{3})$ is the $\mathrm{N}=2$ super eld extension of the topological conform al algebra in [1] $\overline{1}]$ ], nam ely, the algebra generated by $T ; J ; B$ and $j$. $T$ his algebra is tw isted to the standard $\operatorname{SU}$ (2) $\operatorname{SU}(2) \quad \mathrm{U}$ (1) N $=4$ superconform al algebra, with central charge $c=0$, when $a=1=2$. Explicitly, we identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=i T_{a=1=2} ; \quad I=\frac{1}{2} J ; \quad A=\frac{i}{2}(G+G) ; \quad B=\frac{1}{2}(G \quad G) ; \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a super stress tensor of conform al spin $1, A$ and $B$ are two spin$1 / 2$ super elds, and $I$ is a spin -0 super eld. It now follows that the $N=2$ super elds $\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}$ obey the $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform alalgebra appearing in eq. (23) of ref. [i] nam ely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { T } \quad \text { T } \quad i^{1} T+:: ;  \tag{4.15}\\
& \text { T I } \quad i^{0} I+::: ;  \tag{4.16}\\
& \text { T A } \quad i^{1=2} A+::: ; \quad \text { B } \quad i^{1=2} B+::: ;  \tag{4.17}\\
& \text { I } \quad A \quad \frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z} B+::: ; \quad \text { I } \quad \frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z} A+::: ;  \tag{4.18}\\
& \text { A A B B } \frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z} T+::: ;  \tag{4.19}\\
& \text { A B } \quad i^{0} I+\frac{i^{+}}{2} \frac{z}{z} I+:: ;  \tag{4.20}\\
& \text { I I 0: } \tag{421}
\end{align*}
$$

This algebra is the so-called Iarge' $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform al algebra [ī4] w ith $c=\quad=0$, where $c$ is the central charge, and is a $m$ easure for the asym metry between the two a ne SU (2) sub-algebras. ${ }^{\text {¹, }}$, It has 16 generators (the four com ponents of the four super elds in ( $\left.\bar{A} \bar{A}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{1}\right)$ ), which are: the spin2 stress tensor $\left(T_{1}\right), 4$ spin- $3 / 2$ supercurrents ( $T ; A_{1}$, and $B_{1}$ ), 7 spin1 currents ( $\mathrm{T}_{0}$; $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{I}_{1}$, and $@ \mathrm{I}_{0}$ ) generating the a ne extension of $\operatorname{SU}(2) \quad \mathrm{SU}(2) \quad \mathrm{U}(1)$, and 4 spin- $1 / 2$ currents ( $\mathrm{A}_{0} ; \mathrm{B}_{0}$, and I ). (N ote
 through its derivatives only.)

The tw ist described in this section resembles the twist of an $\mathrm{N}=2$ superconform al algebra to a topological conform al algebra. In the latter case, one de nes $T_{a}=T+a @ J$ in term sof the $N=2$ stress tensor $T$ and U (1) current J . It then follow s that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is a stress tensor w ith central charge $C_{a}=c_{0}\left(14 a^{2}\right)$, and at $a=1=2$ one recovers the $N=0$ topologicalconform al algebra. In this section, we have shown that an SU (2) SU (2) U (1) N = 4 superconform al algebra can be tw isted into an $N=2$ topological conform al algebra.

M oreover, the tw ist of the $N=2$ critical string algebra, regarded as a topologicalsuperconform alalgebra, into an $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform alalgebra, is sim ilar to the bosonic string regarded as a topologicalconform al eld theory, that is alm ost' a tw ist of an $N=2$ superconform al algebra (see section 2) ${ }^{7} 1$

In the next section we show that the algebra of any $\mathrm{N} \quad 2$ criticalstring', regarded as a topological supercon form alalgebra, can be tw isted into an $N+2$ superconform al algebra

[^4]
## 5 C om m ents and D iscussion

In this section we discuss the results presented in this work, and make a few com $m$ ents.

In section 4 we have show $n$ that $\mathrm{N}=2$ strings w ith total ghost+ m atter central charge $\mathrm{c}=0$ have an $\mathrm{N}=4$ tw isted sym m etry $\mathrm{y}_{\underline{\prime \prime}}^{\mathrm{P}_{1}}$ It is rem arkable that unlike the $\mathrm{N}=2$ superconform alstructure of the $\mathrm{N}=0$ string, and the $\mathrm{N}=3$ superconform al structure of the $\mathrm{N}=1$ superstring, the $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform alstructure of the $N=2$ string does not involve an im provem ent of the BRST current. W hile the com bined supem atter and supergravity stress tensor is tw isted, the BRST supercurrent $j$ and ghost num ber supercurrent $J$ are not $m$ odi ed. This occurs because the $N=2$ ghost num ber current is not anom alous, and hence needs no im provem ent.

Iteratively, one can tw ist the algebra of any N > 2 superconform ally invariant 2d system into an $\mathrm{N}+2$ superconform al algebra. O ne regards the $\mathrm{N}>2$ system as a criticalmatter system, whether or not it already has ghosts (e.g., the $\mathrm{N}=4$ system constructed in section 4) and adds (new) ghosts and antighosts. Since for $\mathrm{N}>2$ the ghost system has $\mathrm{c}=0$, the new system with the ghosts rem ains critical. In particular, for N 2 the ghost num ber current $J$ is not anom alous. tensor T ! T $+\frac{1}{2} @ J$, the BRST current and the gravitational antighost can be brought to dim ensions 3=2, and they becom e the tw o new supersym $m$ etry currents. The rem aining lower dim ensional currents of the algebra can then be generated by the OPE's of the supercurrents.

Retuming to the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string, we note that the $\mathrm{N}=2$ descent equations have the novel feature that instead of involving derivatives, they involve the operation of interchanging the real and im aginary parts of an operator (w ith respect to com plex conjugation de ned on a Lorentz signature worldsheet).
$F$ inally we com $m$ ent on som e open issues. The relation betw een the ghost

[^5]num ber one and two (and three) BRST classes in the $N=1 ; 2$ strings is not com pletely clari ed in this work. A s was mentioned in the text, they are related by a change of the ghost vacuum as well as som e picture changing operations, but the precise relation is not presented here. Furtherm ore, the fill cohom ology at all ghost num bers has not been found.
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## A A ppendix

In this appendix we brie y sum $m$ arize som e ofour com putations, and dem onstrate that $O_{G}=2$ and $\sigma_{G}=2$ in (3 and inequivalent.

We rst evaluate $Q$ on a basis ofdim ension zero bilinears in $C$ and using $\left(\overline{3} 2 \overline{2} \bar{Q}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\overline{3} \overline{2}_{2}^{2} 2^{\prime}\right)$. Because $Q\left(O_{G=1}\right)=Q\left(O_{G=1}\right)=0$ implies $Q(D C D \quad)=$ Q (CD D ), we only need the follow ing:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(D+D C)=(C D+D @ C \quad D+C D \quad @ C) \\
& +D_{+} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{D}_{+} \mathrm{CD}+\mathrm{DCD} \mathrm{C}\right. \text { C@); } \\
& Q(D \quad D+C)=(C D D+@ C \quad D C D+@ C) \\
& +\quad D \quad D+C(D+C D \quad+D C D+\quad C @) ; \quad(A .1) \\
& Q(C D+D)=C(D+C D+D+C D @) \quad D+C D C D+D \text {; } \\
& Q\left(C D D_{+}\right)=C\left(D @ C D_{+}+D C D+@\right) D+C D C D D_{+} \text {; } \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

From the de nitions $\left(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ and $(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1})$ it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{G=2}=\frac{1}{2} \quad O_{G=2}+D(C(D+D C) D+)+D+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(D \quad D+C) D
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} O_{G=2}=\sigma_{G=2} \quad D \quad(C(D+D \quad C) D+)+D+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(D) D+C) D
\end{array}\right): \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

T hus $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{G}=2}$ are cohom ologically equivalent to term S w ithout undifferentiated 's, and hence cannot possibly be expressible in term sofanything involving ( $(\bar{A}-\overline{1})$ ). It is then straightforw ard to show that no linear com bination is expressible as a linear com bination of ( $\bar{A}-\overline{-}-\overline{2})$. This proves that $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{G}}=2$ and $\sigma_{G}=2$ are generically cohom ologically nontrivial and inequivalent. Eqs. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{-}-\overline{-1})$ also are useful for nding the $G=2$ discrete states ( $\left.\overline{3}, 4 \overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ There are certain specialoperators, such as the picture changing operator for $N=1 ; 2$
     superspace, and that we w ill not discuss.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ If the background has a scalar eld, then it is possible to add total derivative term $s$ to $j$ and $J$, so that the modi ed generators $T ; b ; j ; J$ form $a$ tw isted $N=2$ algebra which
    

[^3]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~A}$ tw isting of $\mathrm{N}=4$ superconform al eld theories to topological eld theories w ith $\mathrm{N}=2$ supersym $m$ etry was also considered in ref. [1] $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}]$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ A generalSU (2) $S U(2) \quad U(1) N=4$ superconform alalgebra has a free param eter $m$ easuring the asymm etry betw een the two a ne SU (2) sub-algebras. $T$ his algebra is som etim es referred to as the $\circ$ (4) $\mathrm{N}=4$ algebra. W hen $=1=2$ it becom es the SU (2) $\mathrm{N}=4$ algebra. The Iarge' $\mathrm{N}=4$ sym m etry algebra of the $\mathrm{N}=2$ string presented here has $=0$.
    ${ }^{7}$ D uring the years that w e have been writing up our results, it was shown that the $\mathrm{N}=1$ superstring has an analogous $N=3$ tw isted supersym $m$ etry [ [1] ]. A $s$ in the bosonic string case, the only requirem ent is that the com bined superm atter and supergravity system has a $U$ (1) supercurrent that can be used to im prove the BRST current.
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~W}$ e thank N athan Berkovitz for pointing this out and explaining it to us.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~T}$ his is not to be confused w ith the physical $\mathrm{N}=4$ supersym m etry proposed in ref. $\left[\begin{array}{c}Z_{1} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$.
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{~J} \quad 0$ because J is a sum of com muting $N=2$ currents each of $w$ hich is not anom alous.

