February, 1993

N SF -IT P -93-17 IT P -SB -93-09 R IP -148-93 hep-th/9302049

On the BRST Operator Structure of the N = 2 String

Am it G iveon 1

Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University Jerusalem, 91904, ISRAEL

and

M artin R ocek²

Institute for TheoreticalPhysics State University of New York at Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840 USA

ABSTRACT

The BRST operator cohom obgy of N = 2 2d supergravity coupled to matter is presented. Descent equations for primary super elds of the matter sector are derived. We indone copy of the cohom ology at ghost number one, two independent copies at ghost number two, and conjecture that there is a copy at ghost number three. The N = 2 string has a twisted N = 4 superconform al symmetry generated by the N = 2 superstress tensor, the BRST supercurrent, the antighost super eld, and the ghost number supercurrent.

¹e-m ail address: G IV EON @ HUJIVM S bitnet

²e-m ail address: rocek@ instiphysics.sunysb.edu

1 Introduction

The BRST cohomology of a string theory describes the physical states of the theory (for a review, see [1]); moreover, the explicit understanding of the cohomology in a covariant gauge has been crucial for the construction of both N = 0 and N = 1 string eld theories. In nontrivial backgrounds, the BRST cohomology has revealed a deep structure related to the underlying symmetries of string theory (for example, see [2]).

The N = 2 string is a relatively simple string theory (for a review, see for example [3]). It m ight therefore be practical to rst understand aspects of the N = 2 string (for example, underlying symmetries are studied in [4]), and to use the insight gained to learn about m ore realistic, and m ore complicated, string theories.

In general, there is an equivalence between the BRST cohomology on states in Fock space, that is states annihilated by the BRST charge Q that are not them selves Q of another state, and BRST cohomology on operators, that is operators that (super)commute with Q but are not them selves (super)commutators with Q. However, only operator cohomology can be conveniently described in superspace.³

The state cohomology for the N = 2 string in (2;2)-dimensional at M inkowski space was constructed in [7]. Here we consider the operator N = 2 BRST cohomology in an arbitrary background.

M ore generally, in this paper we explore aspects of the BRST operator structure of the N = 2 string. We present the operator cohomology in superspace, and derive descent equations for primary super elds. We also nd that the N = 2 string has a twisted critical N = 4 superconform all symmetry generated by the N = 2 superstress tensor, the BRST supercurrent, the antighost super eld, and the ghost number supercurrent.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we review BRST operator cohomology for N = 0;1 strings. In section 3, we derive analogous

³There are certain special operators, such as the picture changing operator for N = 1;2[5] and the instanton number operator for N = 2 [6] that have not been constructed in superspace, and that we will not discuss.

form ulae for N = 2 strings: given an N = 2 prim ary with vanishing dimension and charge, h = q = 0, we construct corresponding operators in the BRST cohom ology, one at ghost number G = 1, two independent operators at G = 2, and a fourth operator at G = 3 that we conjecture is physical; all are described in superspace, without bosonization, etc. We derive the N = 2 descent equations, which explain how the operators arise. We not that a certain conjugation relation plays the role that is played by derivatives for the N = 0; 1 cases. We also consider the explicit example of the N = 2 string in a toroidal background, and not the usual discrete states. In section 4, we show how the superstress tensor T, the BRST supercurrent j, the antighost super eld B, and the ghost number supercurrent BC form a twisted N = 4 algebra. In section 5 we end with some discussion and comments. Finally, in the Appendix, we give some computational details.

2 Review of BRST Cohomology for N = 0;1 strings

We rst review the BRST cohom ology of the N = 0 bosonic string (see ref. [1]). The stress tensor T (z) = T + T^{bc} combines the matter sector (T) with the reparam etrization b; c ghost system (T^{bc}). The stress tensor acts on a primary eld of the matter sector with dimension h via the operator product expansion (OPE)

$$T_{1} \ _{2} \ \frac{h}{z_{12}^{2}} \ _{2} + \frac{1}{z_{12}} (0)_{2} + ...;$$
 (2.1)

where the dots in the OPE indicate nonsingular term s, and we use the notation f_1 f (z_1), and $z_{12} = z_1$ z_2 . The ghosts c and b have dimensions

1 and 2 with respect to the ghosts stress tensor $T^{bc} = c@b + 2(@c)b$. They satisfy

$$c_1b_2 \quad b_1c_2 \quad \frac{1}{z_{12}} + \dots$$
 (2.2)

The N = 0 BRST current is

$$j = c(T + \frac{1}{2}T^{bc}) + \frac{3}{2}\theta^2 c;$$
 (2.3)

where the total derivative is chosen such that j is a primary eld with h = 1. At criticality, the nilpotent BRST charge

$$Q = \frac{1}{2i}^{I} dz j(z)$$
 (2.4)

acts on a eld 0 by

$$Q(0) = [Q; 0(z_2)g] = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{c_{z_2}}^{I} dz_1 j(z_1) O(z_2);$$
 (2.5)

where the contour C $_{z_2}$ surrounds z_2 once.

Next we discuss BRST cohomology classes. For a primary eld of the matter sector with h = 1, the elds

$$O_{G=1} = C$$
; $O_{G=2} = C c$ (2.6)

are BRST invariant, namely, $Q(O_{G=1}) = Q(O_{G=2}) = 0$. The operators $O_{G=1}$ and $O_{G=2}$ are not Q-anticommutators, and therefore, they represent cohomology classes. The label G in (2.6) describes the ghost number of the operator O (with the convention G (c) = 1, G (b) = 1). The two operators in (2.6) generate the same physical state in di erent ghost vacua.

An element of the BRST cohomology $O_{G=1}$ is related to its corresponding primary eld by the descent equation

$$Q() = @O_{G=1}:$$
 (2.7)

M oreover, $O_{G=1}$ and $O_{G=2}$ are related via the equation

$$Q(c_h) = (1 h)c@c_h;$$
 (2.8)

where $_{h}$ is o-shell when $h \in 1$. Using equation (2.8) (and continuity in h) in the on-shell limit h ! 1, one nds that $O_{G=2}$ is physical. $O_{G=2}$ also satisfies the descent equation

$$Q (@c) = @O_{G=2}:$$
 (2.9)

The critical bosonic string can (often) be regarded as a topological conform al eld theory [8]. The OPE's of the operators T;b;j and J = bc are almost' closed on a twisted N = 2 superconform all algebra (closing the algebra requires two more generators: c and cQc). The untwisted N = 2 superconform all algebra is given by twisting T to T + $\frac{1}{2}$ QJ⁴. This concludes our discussion of the N = 0 case.

Next we discuss the BRST cohomology of the N = 1 superstring in the NS sector. We rst set the notation [5]. We de ne

$$z_{12} = z_1 \quad z_2 \quad 1 \quad 2;$$

$$z_{12} = z_1 \quad 2; \quad (2.10)$$

and the superderivative

$$D = \frac{0}{0} + 0; \quad D^2 = 0;$$
 (2.11)

where $\theta = \frac{\theta}{\theta z}$. A function $f_1 = f(z_1; t_1)$ can be expanded around $z_2; t_2$:

$$f_1 = f_2 + {}_{12} (D f)_2 + z_{12} (Q f)_2 + {}_{12} z_{12} (D Q f)_2 + ::: (2.12)$$

An N = 1 stress tensor T = G + T_B , where T_B is the bosonic stress tensor, obeys the N = 1 superconform alalgebra expressed via the operator product expansion

$$T_{1}T_{2} = \frac{\frac{3}{8}C}{z_{12}^{3}} + \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{z_{12}^{2}}T_{2} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{z_{12}}(D T)_{2} + \frac{12}{z_{12}}(0 T)_{2} + \dots$$
(2.13)

The N = 1 2d theory combines a matter sector and a ghost sector. The N = 1 energy-momentum tensor of the matter sector T acts on a primary super eld of the matter sector with dimension h as

$$T_{1} _{2} \frac{h_{12}}{z_{12}^{2}} _{2} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{z_{12}} (D)_{2} + \frac{12}{z_{12}} (Q)_{2} + \dots (2.14)$$

The superghosts C and B have dimensions 1 and 3=2 with respect to the superghost stress tensor $T^{BC} = C (@B) + \frac{1}{2}DCDB = \frac{3}{2} (@C)B$. They satisfy

$$C_1B_2 \quad B_1C_2 \quad \frac{12}{Z_{12}} + \dots$$
 (2.15)

⁴ If the background has a scalar eld, then it is possible to add total derivative terms to j and J, so that the modi ed generators T;b; j;J form a twisted N = 2 algebra which closes without adding more generators [9].

The N = 1 BRST current is

$$j = C (T + \frac{1}{2}T^{BC}) - \frac{3}{4}D (C (D C)B);$$
 (2.16)

The total derivative term in (2.16) is chosen such that j is a primary supereld with h = 1=2. At criticality, the nilpotent BRST charge

$$Q = \frac{1}{2i} dz d j(z;);$$
 (2.17)

acts on a super eld 0 by

$$Q(0) = [Q; 0(z_2; 2)g + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{c_{z_2}}^{I} dz_1 d_1 j(z_1; 1) O(z_2; 2);$$
 (2.18)

where the contour C $_{z_2}$ surrounds z_2 once.

Next we describe BRST cohomology classes. For a primary super eld of the matter sector of dimension h = 1=2, the combinations

$$O_{G=1} = CD \qquad \frac{1}{2}(DC) \qquad (2.19)$$

and

$$O_{G=2} = CD (@C) \frac{1}{2} (DC) (@C)$$
 (220)

are BRST invariant, namely, $Q(O_{G=1}) = Q(O_{G=2}) = 0$. The operators 0 are not Q -(anti)commutators, and therefore, they represent cohomology classes. The labelG in (2.19,2.20) describes the ghost number of the operator 0 (with the convention G (C) = 1, G (B) = 1). Unlike the N = 0 case, $O_{G=1}$ and $O_{G=2}$ di er not only by a choice of their ghost vacua, but also by a picture changing operation [5],[10].

The super elds $and O_{G=1}$ satisfy the descent equation

$$Q() = DO_{G=1}$$
: (2.21)

In terms of components one gets

$$Q(_{0}) = O_{1}; \qquad Q(_{1}) = @O_{0}; \qquad (2.22)$$

where $= _0 + _1$, and $O_{G=1} = O_0 + O_1$, and thus O_0 is the only physical component amongst the components of $O_{G=1}$. Moreover, $O_{G=1}$ and $O_{G=2}$ are related via the equation

$$Q(O_{G=1}^{h}) = (\frac{1}{2} \quad h)O_{G=2}^{h};$$
 (2.23)

where O^{h} is constructed from an o-shell $_{h}$ when $h \in 1=2$. U sing equation (2.23) (and continuity in h) in the on-shell lim it h ! 1=2, one nds that $O_{G=2}$ is physical. $O_{G=2}$ also satis as the descent equation

$$Q (@C) = D O_{G=2}$$
: (2.24)

This concludes the review of the N = 1 BRST case. In the next section we discuss the N = 2 BRST case in N = 2 superspace, the BRST invariant operators, and the descent equation.

3 BRST Cohomology of the N = 2 String

W e rst set the notation. W e de ne

$$z_{12} = z_1 \quad z_2 \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} + & + & + & + \\ 1 & 2 & + & - & + & + \\ 12 & = & - & - & 2 \end{pmatrix};$$
(3.1)

and the spinor derivatives

$$D_{+} = \frac{0}{0} + \frac{1}{2} \quad 0; \qquad D_{-} = \frac{0}{0} + \frac{1}{2} \quad 0; \qquad \text{fD}_{+}; D_{-} g = 0;$$
$$D_{-1} z_{12} = D_{-2} z_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \quad 12; \qquad (3.2)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta z \end{pmatrix}$. A function f_1 $f(z_1; \frac{1}{2}; 1)$ can be expanded around $z_2; \frac{1}{2}; 2$:

$$f_{1} = f_{2} + {}_{12} (D f)_{2} + {}^{+}_{12} (D + f)_{2} + z_{12} (@f)_{2} + \frac{1}{2} {}^{+}_{12} {}_{12} (D ;D +]f)_{2}$$

+ $z_{12} {}_{12} (D @f)_{2} + z_{12} {}^{+}_{12} (D + @f)_{2} + \frac{1}{2} z_{12} {}^{+}_{12} {}_{12} (@D ;D +]f)_{2} + :::: (3.3)$

W e de ne an operator h^{rq} to be

$$h_{q} = h \frac{\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12}}{z_{12}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{z_{12}} D_{+} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{z_{12}} D_{+} + \frac{\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12}}{z_{12}} \partial_{-} \frac{q}{z_{12}}; \qquad (3.4)$$

and for sim plicity we denote

An N = 2 stress tensor T = J + ${}^+G_+ + G_+ + T_B$, where T_B is the bosonic stress tensor, obeys the N = 2 superconform alalgebra expressed via the OPE

$$T_1T_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{3}C}{z_{12}^2} + {}^{1}T_2 + :::;$$
 (3.6)

where ¹ is de ned in (3.5,3.4), and c is the central charge. The N = 2 2d theory combines a matter sector with a ghost sector. The N = 2 superstress tensor of the matter sector T acts on a primary super eld of the matter sector $_{h,g}$ with dimension h and U (1)-charge q as

$$T_1 (h_{rq})_2 \qquad {}^{h_{rq}} (h_{rq})_2 + :::: (3.7)$$

The N = 2 superghosts C and B have components

$$C = c + + + + + + i;$$

$$B = + + + + + b;$$
(3.8)

and satisfy

$$C_1B_2 \quad B_1C_2 \quad \frac{12}{z_{12}} + \dots$$
 (3.9)

The superstress tensor of the ghost system is

$$T^{BC} = Q(CB) (D_{+}CD B + D CD_{+}B)$$
 (3.10)

The superghosts C and B have dimension and charge h(C) = 1; q(C) = 0; h(B) = 1; q(B) = 0, with respect to T^{BC} . The total stress tensor of an N = 2 string is

$$T = T + T^{BC}$$
: (3.11)

At criticality, the total central charge is 0, which implies that the central charge of the matter sector is c = 6.

The N = 2 BRST current is

$$j = C (T + \frac{1}{2}T^{BC}) \frac{1}{2} D (CD_{+}(BC)) + D_{+}(CD_{-}(BC))]$$

= CT + B (D_{+}CD_{-}CC_{-}C@C): (3.12)

The total derivative terms in (3.12) are chosen such that j is a primary super eld of T in (3.11) with h = q = 0. As for the N = 1 case in (2.17), at criticality, the nilpotent N = 2 BRST charge

$$Q = \frac{1}{2 i}^{I} dz d^{+} d^{-} j(z; +;); \qquad (3.13)$$

acts on a super eld 0 by

$$Q(0) = [Q; 0(z_2; \frac{1}{2}; 2)g] \frac{1}{2i} \int_{c_{z_2}}^{I} dz_1 d_1 d_1 j(z_1; \frac{1}{1}; 1)O(z_2; \frac{1}{2}; 2);$$
(3.14)

where the contour C $_{z_2}\,$ surrounds $z_2\,$ once.

Next we describe the BRST cohomology classes. First we de ne

$$O() D (CD_{+}) D_{+} (CD_{-}):$$
 (3.15)

Then for a primary super eld of the matter sector with h = q = 0, $_{0;0}$, the combinations

$$O_{G=1} = O();$$
 (3.16)

$$O_{G=2} = O(@C);$$
 (3.17)

$$\mathcal{O}_{G=2} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O} ([D ; D_{+}]C);$$
(3.18)

$$O_{G=3} = \frac{1}{2}O(@C[D;D_{+}]C);$$
 (3.19)

are BRST invariant, namely, $Q(O_{G=1}) = Q(O_{G=2}) = Q(O_{G=2}) = Q(O_{G=3}) = 0$. The operators $O_{G=1}$; $O_{G=2}$, and $O_{G=2}$ are not Q-(anti)commutators, and therefore, represent cohomology classes. We conjecture that $O_{G=3}$ is Q-nontrivial as well. The label G in (3.16)-(3.19) describes the ghost number of the operator O (with the convention G (C) = 1; G (B) = 1).

We have described the BRST cohom obgy in particular pictures; indeed, as in the N = 1 case, the operators $O_{G=1}$; $O_{G=2}$; $\mathcal{O}_{G=2}$, and $O_{G=3}$ not only correspond to di erent ghost vacua, but also di er by picture changing operations.

The proof that $O_{G=1}$ is BRST invariant but is not a Q-commutator is straightforward. It helps to use the relations

$$Q(C) = C@C D_{+}CD C;$$
 (3.20)

$$Q() = D(CD_{+}) D_{+}(CD);$$
 (3.21)

and

 $Q(f(C)g()) = Q(f(C))g() + ()^{f}f(C)Q(g());$ (3.22)

where f and g are arbitrary functions of C and and their derivatives, respectively; the last relation follows because the structure of Q is such that there are no double contractions with f and g.

Let us denote

Equation (3.21) can be interpreted as the descent equation

$$Q() = D Y_{+} D_{+}Y O_{G=1}$$
: (3.24)

The operation in (3.24) changes $D Y_+ D_+ Y$ to $D Y_+ D_+ Y$.

We recall that $O_{G=1}$ in (3.16) is the antisymmetric combination $O_{G=1} = D Y_+ D_+ Y$. The descent equation (3.24) tells us that the symmetric combination $O_{G=1} = D Y_+ + D_+ Y$ is a Q-commutator of a primary super eld. However, for any Y that obeys Q (D $Y_+ + D_+ Y$) = 0, one has Q (Y) = D $Y_{(2)}$, and hence the antisymmetric combination (D $Y_+ + D_+ Y$) = D $Y_+ D_+ Y$ is also annihilated by Q. Consequently $O_{G=1}$ is Q-closed. This is analogous to the N = 0 (N = 1) case, where the descent equation (2.7) ((2.21)) relates a (super)derivative of an element in the BRST cohomology to the Q-(anti)commutator of its corresponding primary (super) eld; here the operation plays the role of the derivative.

Furtherm ore, generically $O_{G=1}$ cannot be Q -exact, since there is no natural candidate operator for Q () of the correct ghost num ber and dimension

other than itself; also, on physical grounds, since is an h = q = 0 primary, it creates a physical state, and hence $O_{G=1}$ should not be trivial. Finally, we have explicitly checked that there are no further operators bilinear in C and in the cohom ology.

Expanding the descent equation (3.24) in components one nds

$$Q(_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} @O_{0};$$
 (3.25)

$$Q() = 0;$$
 (3.26)

and

$$Q (@_{0}) = 2O_{1};$$
 (3.27)

where $= _{0} + _{+} + _{+} + _{1}$ and $O_{G=1} = O_{0} + _{+} + O_{+} + O_{+} + _{1}$ + O_{1} with

$$O_{0} = + + 2C_{1}$$

$$O_{+} = + \frac{1}{2}@C_{+} + \frac{1}{2} + @_{0} + c@_{+}$$

$$O = \frac{1}{2}@C_{+} + \frac{1}{2} @_{0} + c@_{-}$$

$$O_{1} = \frac{1}{2}@(-+++ + c@_{0}): \qquad (3.28)$$

From eqs. (3.26,3.27) we learn that O_0 is the only physical operator amongst the components of the super eld $O_{G=1}$; it is referred to as the (0,0)-picture physical operator (see [11] for a discussion of picture changing for the N = 2 string). This concludes the discussion of BRST cohom ology at ghost number one.

To prove that $O_{G=2}$ in (3.17) is BRST invariant, we de ne $O_{G=2}^{h}$ by the relation

$$Q(O_{G=1}^{h}) = hO_{G=2}^{h};$$
 (3.29)

where $O_{G=1}^{h}$ is defined by replacing in $O_{G=1}$ with an o-shell primary super eld with zero U (1)-charge: h h;0. Equation (3.29) is similar to the N = 0 case in eq. (2.8), and to the N = 1 case in eq. (2.23), where $O_{G=2}$ is related to a Q-anticommutator of $O_{G=1}$ at the limit h ! 1 and h ! 1=2, respectively; here we will nd that $O_{G=2}$ in eq. (3.17) is the lim it h ! 0 of $O_{G=2}^{h}$, and thus is Q-closed.

As a trick for computing $O_{G=2}^{h}$ we de ne the operator Q_{h} by the relations

$$Q(h) = O_{G=1}^{h} + hQ_{h}(h); \quad Q_{h}(C) = 0:$$
 (3.30)

Note that $O_{G=1}^{h}$ has the same functional form as $O_{G=1}$ in (3.24) with $_{h}$ instead of , and therefore has no explicit h-dependence. Equation (3.30) in plies that the operator Q_{h} acts on $_{h}$ and C by

$$Q_{h}(_{h}) = @C_{h}; \qquad Q_{h}(C) = 0:$$
 (3.31)

Because there is no explicit h dependence in $O_{G=1}^{h}$, and since Q ($O_{G=1}$) = 0, one gets

$$Q (O_{G=1}^{h}) = hQ_{h} (O_{G=1}^{h});$$
 (3.32)

and hence from (3.29) it follows that

$$Q_{h}(O_{G=1}^{h}) = O_{G=2}^{h}$$
: (3.33)

This holds in the lim it h ! 0 as well, and thus, using eq. (3.31) one recovers $O_{G=2}$ in eq. (3.17).

To verify that $O_{G=2}$ is not Q -exact we have checked that acting with Q on the 6 independent bilinear term s in C and , it is in possible to get $O_{G=2}$ (see Appendix). We can also show that $O_{G=2}$ is Q -exact, which in plies that we can construct $O_{G=2}$ from a descent equation

$$Q (@C) = O_{G=2}$$
: (3.34)

This is a consequence of the equalities

$$O_{G=2}^{h} = Q_{h} (O_{G=1}^{h}) = Q_{h} (Q (h)) = Q (Q_{h} (h)) = Q (Q_{h}$$

where the rst equality follows from (3.33) and because commutes with Q_h (recall that the operation is dened by (D Y₊ D₊Y) = D Y₊ D₊Y). The second equality follows from eq. (3.30) and because $Q^2 = 0$ for all h in plies $Q_h^2 = 0$. The third equality follows because $Q^2 = 0$ for all h in plies $fQ; Q_hg = 0$, and the last equality follows from eq. (3.31).

To prove that $\mathcal{O}_{G=2}$ in (3.18) is BRST invariant, we proceed in precisely the same way as for $O_{G=2}$, except that we go o -shell by continuing q rather than h away from zero. We nd that

$$Q_{q}(O_{G=1}^{q}) = O_{G=2}^{q}$$
 (3.36)

where

$$Q_{q}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}; D_{+} \mathbb{C}_{q}; \qquad Q_{q}(C) = 0; \qquad (3.37)$$

and $_{q}$ $_{0_{R}}$ is a zero dimension o -shell primary super eld. This gives (3.18). Again, we have explicitly checked that $\mathcal{O}_{G=2}$ is not Q -exact and is not in the same cohom ology class as $O_{G=2}$ (see Appendix). Finally, it again follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}Q(D; D_{+}C) = O_{G=2}$$
(3.38)

and hence that $\mathcal{O}_{G=2}$ can be derived from a descent equation. This completes the discussion of BRST cohomology at ghost number 2.

The conjectured BRST cohom ology at G = 3 is found analogously, either from

$$O_{G=3} = Q_h (Q_q (O_{G=1}))$$
 (3.39)

or from the descent equation

$$\frac{1}{2}Q (@C [D ; D_{+}]C) = O_{G=3}:$$
(3.40)

W e have not explicitly veri ed that $O_{G=3}$ is not Q -exact.

Next we discuss an example: the N = 2 string in toroidal background. In term s of N = 2 chiral super elds

$$X^{i}(Z;Z; ; ;) = X^{i}_{L}(Z;) + X^{i}_{R}(Z;)$$

= $X^{i}(Z;Z) + {}^{i}_{L}(Z;Z) + {}^{i}_{R}(Z;Z) + {}^{i}_{R}(Z;Z) + {}^{i}_{R}(Z;Z) + {}^{i}_{R}(Z;Z)$
$$Z = Z^{+}; \qquad (3.41)$$

(where i = s;t denote complex spacelike and tim elike components, and bars denote complex conjugation), the vertex operators to create a mode with Lorentzian momentum ($p_L; p_R$) is

$$_{p}(X;X) = e^{i(p_{L}X_{L}+p_{L}X_{L}+p_{R}X_{R}+p_{R}X_{R})};$$
(3.42)

where p_L and p_R are complex 2-m on entum, and $(p_L; p_R)$ is in the even-selfdual lattice ^{4;4} (see [4] for more details). The antichiral super eld X in (3.42) is obtained from X by a complex conjugation, together with \$ ⁺. The on-shell condition is $p_L \quad p = p_R \quad p = 0$. W ith this condition, $_p(X_L; X_L)$ is a primary super eld with h = q = 0, and it generates the BRST elements given in equations (3.16)-(3.19).

In addition to these cohom ology classes, there are elements of the BRST cohom ology corresponding to discrete states (that appear only at m om entum p = 0). For a holom orphic chiral super eld X_L, one nds the discrete BRST invariant operator

$$O_{\text{discrete}} = D_{+} (C D X_{L}); \qquad (3.43)$$

while for a holom orphic antichiral super eld X $_{\rm L}$ one $\,$ nds the discrete operator

$$O_{discrete} = D (C D_{+} X_{L}):$$
 (3.44)

(This can be shown by inserting = X_{L} or = X_{L} into eq. (3.16), and by using the property of (anti)chiral super elds: $D_{+}X = 0$ ($D_{-}X = 0$)). The discrete states corresponding to these cohomology classes are form ed from o -shell states by taking an appropriate lim it p! 0 (see ref. [4] for details).

There are also analogous discrete states at G = 2; these are

$$D_{+}(CD D_{+}CD X_{L});$$
 $D(CD_{+}D CD_{+}X_{L});$ (3.45)

relevant identities for nding these can be found in the Appendix.

4 The twisted N = 4 Symmetry of the N = 2 String

In ref. [12] it was shown that N = 2 string theory gives a realization of an N = 2 super eld extension of the topological conform al algebra. In this section we untwist the N = 2 topological algebra to get an SU (2) SU (2) U (1) N = 4 superconform al current algebra.⁵

⁵ A twisting of N = 4 superconform al eld theories to topological eld theories with N = 2 supersymmetry was also considered in ref. [13].

W e introduce the following N = 2 super elds

$$J = BC; \qquad (4.1)$$

$$G = j;$$
 (4.2)

$$G = B;$$
 (4.3)

$$T_a = T + aQJ:$$
 (4.4)

The super eld J in (4.1) is the ghost number current, G in (4.2) is the BRST current de ned in (3.12), and G in (4.3) is the superghost B. The stress tensor T_a is a twist of the ghost+m atter stress tensor T de ned in (3.11). The central charge of T is c = 0, the dimensions of J, G, G and T_a with respect to T are h = 0;0;1 and 1, respectively, and their charge is q = 0.

It is notable [12] that the BRST charge in (3.13) acts on B and J by (3.14)

$$Q(B) = T; \quad Q(J) = j:$$
 (4.5)

W ith the operator $\ ^{h}$ de ned in (3.5,3.4), one nds that J;G;G;T_a obey the algebra

$$T_{a} = T_{a}^{1} = T_{a}^{1} + \dots$$
 (4.6)

$$T_a J ^0 J + ...;$$
 (4.7)

$$T_a G ^{a}G + ...;$$
 (4.8)

$$T_a G = {}^{1 a}G + ..., (4.9)$$

J G
$$\xrightarrow{+}_{Z}$$
 G + :::; J G $\xrightarrow{+}_{Z}$ G + :::; (4.10)

G G
$$\xrightarrow{+}_{Z}$$
 (T_a a@J) ($\xrightarrow{+}_{Z}$ D₊ $\xrightarrow{-}_{Z}$ D)J + :::; (4.11)

G G
$$\frac{1}{z}$$
 (T_a + (1 a)@J) + ($\frac{1}{z}$ D + $\frac{1}{z}$ D)J + :::; (4.12)

In (4.6)-(4.13) it is understood that the rst operator in the product is at the point $(z_1; _1)$ in superspace, while the second operator in the product and

the operator on the right-hand side are at the point $(z_2; _2)$. We have also used the shorthand notation: $_{12}$, $z = z_{12}$. From eq. (4.6) we learn that T_a is a stress tensor with central charge $c_a = 0$ for any twist parameter a. Eq. (4.7) means that J is a primary super eld of T_a with h_a (J) = 0. Eqs. (4.8) and (4.9) mean that h_a (G) = a, and h_a (G) = 1 a with respect to T_a , namely, there is a spectral ow under the twist T ! T_a .

We now arrive to the important observation of this section. At a = 0, the algebra (4.6)-(4.13) is the N = 2 super eld extension of the topological conform all algebra in [12], namely, the algebra generated by T;J;B and j. This algebra is twisted to the standard SU (2) SU (2) U (1) N = 4 super-conform all algebra, with central charge c = 0, when a = 1=2. Explicitly, we identify

$$T = iT_{a=1=2}; \qquad I = \frac{1}{2}J; \qquad A = \frac{i}{2}(G + G); \qquad B = \frac{1}{2}(G - G); \qquad (4.14)$$

where T is a super stress tensor of conform all spin 1, A and B are two spin-1/2 super elds, and I is a spin-0 super eld. It now follows that the N = 2 super elds T; I; A; B obey the N = 4 superconform all algebra appearing in eq. (23) of ref. [15] (with $c_1 = c_2 = c_k = 0$ in the notation of [15]), namely

T T
$$i^{1}T + :::;$$
 (4.15)

T I
$$i^{0}I + ...;$$
 (4.16)

T A
$$i^{1=2}A + ...,$$
 T B $i^{1=2}B + ...,$ (4.17)

I A
$$\frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z}$$
 B + :::; I B $\frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z}$ A + :::; (4.18)

A A B B
$$\frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z} T + ...;$$
 (4.19)

A B
$$i^{0}I + \frac{i}{2} \frac{+}{z} @I + :::;$$
 (4.20)

This algebra is the so-called 'large' N = 4 superconform al algebra [14] with c = 0, where c is the central charge, and is a measure for the asymmolecular metry between the two and SU (2) sub-algebras.⁶ It has 16 generators (the four components of the four super elds in (4.14)), which are: the spin-2 stress tensor (T_1), 4 spin-3/2 supercurrents (T; A₁, and B₁), 7 spin-1 currents (T_0 ; A; B; I₁, and @I₀) generating the and extension of SU (2) SU (2) U (1), and 4 spin-1/2 currents (A₀; B₀, and I). (Note that the spin-0 eld I₀ enters the right-hand side of the algebra (4.15)-(4.21) through its derivatives on ly.)

The twist described in this section resembles the twist of an N = 2 superconform all algebra to a topological conform all algebra. In the latter case, one denes $T_a = T + a@J$ in terms of the N = 2 stress tensor T and U (1) current J. It then follows that T_a is a stress tensor with central charge $c_a = c_0 (1 \ 4a^2)$, and at a = 1=2 one recovers the N = 0 topological conform all algebra. In this section, we have shown that an SU (2) SU (2) U (1) N = 4 superconform all algebra can be twisted into an N = 2 topological conform all algebra.

M oreover, the twist of the N = 2 critical string algebra, regarded as a topological superconform alalgebra, into an N = 4 superconform alalgebra, is sim ilar to the bosonic string regarded as a topological conform all eld theory, that is 'alm ost' a twist of an N = 2 superconform alalgebra (see section 2).⁷

In the next section we show that the algebra of any N 2 'critical string', regarded as a topological superconform alalgebra, can be twisted into an N + 2 superconform alalgebra.⁸

⁶ A general SU (2) SU (2) U (1) N = 4 superconform alalgebra has a free parameter m easuring the asymmetry between the two a ne SU (2) sub-algebras. This algebra is sometimes referred to as the o(4) N = 4 algebra. When = 1=2 it becomes the SU (2) N = 4 algebra. The Yarge' N = 4 symmetry algebra of the N = 2 string presented here has = 0.

 $^{^{7}}$ D uring the years that we have been writing up our results, it was shown that the N = 1 superstring has an analogous N = 3 twisted supersymmetry [16]. As in the bosonic string case, the only requirement is that the combined supermatter and supergravity system has a U (1) supercurrent that can be used to improve the BRST current.

 $^{^8\}mathrm{W}$ e thank N athan Berkovitz for pointing this out and explaining it to us.

5 Comments and Discussion

In this section we discuss the results presented in this work, and make a few comments.

In section 4 we have shown that N = 2 strings with total ghost+ m atter central charge c = 0 have an N = 4 twisted symmetry.⁹ It is remarkable that unlike the N = 2 superconform all structure of the N = 0 string, and the N = 3 superconform all structure of the N = 1 superstring, the N = 4 superconform all structure of the N = 2 string does not involve an improvement of the BRST current. While the combined supermatter and supergravity stress tensor is twisted, the BRST supercurrent j and ghost number supercurrent J are not modiled. This occurs because the N = 2 ghost number current is not anom abus, and hence needs no improvement.

Iteratively, one can twist the algebra of any N > 2 superconform ally invariant 2d system into an N + 2 superconform all gebra. One regards the N > 2 system as a critical matter system, whether or not it already has ghosts (e.g., the N = 4 system constructed in section 4) and adds (new) ghosts and antighosts. Since for N > 2 the ghost system has c = 0, the new system with the ghosts remains critical. In particular, for N = 2 the ghost number current J is not anom alous.¹⁰ Therefore, by twisting the stress tensor T ! T + $\frac{1}{2}$ @J, the BRST current and the gravitational antighost can be brought to dimensions 3=2, and they become the two new supersymmetry currents. The remaining lower dimensional currents of the algebra can then be generated by the OPE's of the supercurrents.

Returning to the N = 2 string, we note that the N = 2 descent equations have the novel feature that instead of involving derivatives, they involve the operation of interchanging the real and in aginary parts of an operator (with respect to complex conjugation de ned on a Lorentz signature worldsheet).

Finally we comment on some open issues. The relation between the ghost

 $^{{}^{9}}$ T his is not to be confused with the physical N = 4 supersymmetry proposed in ref. [17].

 $^{^{10}}$ J J 0 because J is a sum of commuting N = 2 currents each of which is not anomalous.

number one and two (and three) BRST classes in the N = 1;2 strings is not completely clari ed in this work. As was mentioned in the text, they are related by a change of the ghost vacuum as well as some picture changing operations, but the precise relation is not presented here. Furtherm ore, the full cohom ology at all ghost numbers has not been found.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e would like to thank N athan Berkovitz, A lfred Shapere, W arren Siegel, Erik Verlinde, and Barton Zwiebach for discussions. W e would like to thank the Institute for Advanced Studies in Princeton, where most of this work was done, and the Institute for Theoretical Physics at Santa Barbara where this m anuscript was completed. AG would like to thank the Institute for Theoretical Physics at Stony Brook, where part of this work was done, for its warm hospitality. This work was supported in part by NSF grants Nos. PHY 89-04035 and PHY 92-11367, as well as the John Sim on Guggenheim Foundation.

A Appendix

In this appendix we brie y sum marize som e of our computations, and dem onstrate that $O_{G=2}$ and $O_{G=2}$ in (3.17,3.18) are both cohom ologically nontrivial and inequivalent.

We rst evaluate Q on a basis of dimension zero bilinears in C and using (3.20)-(3.22). Because Q $(O_{G=1}) = Q$ $(O_{G=1}) = 0$ implies Q $(D \ C D) = Q$ $(C \ D \ D)$, we only need the following:

$$Q (D_{+}D C_{-}) = (CD_{+}D_{-}QC_{-}D_{+}CD_{-}QC_{-}) + D_{+}D_{-}D_{-}CD_{+}CD_{+}D_{-}CD_{+}CD_{+}CD_{+}CQ_{-});$$

$$Q (D_{-}D_{+}C_{-}) = (CD_{-}QC_{-}D_{-}CD_{+}QC_{-}) + D_{-}CD_{+}CD_{+}CQ_{-}); (A.1)$$

$$Q (CD_{+}D_{-}) = C (D_{+}QCD_{-}+D_{-}CD_{-}Q_{-}) D_{+}CD_{-}CD_{+}D_{-};$$

$$Q (CD_{-}D_{+}) = C (D_{-}QCD_{+}+D_{-}CD_{+}Q_{-}) D_{+}CD_{-}CD_{-}D_{+};$$

$$(A.2)$$

From the de nitions (3.17) and (3.18) it is easy to show that

$$\mathcal{O}_{G=2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad O_{G=2} + D \quad (C \quad (D_{+}D \quad C_{-})D_{+-}) + D_{+-} \quad (C \quad (D_{-}D_{-}C_{-})D_{--});$$

$$\frac{1}{2}O_{G=2} = \mathcal{O}_{G=2} \quad D \quad (C \quad (D_{+}D \quad C_{-})D_{+-}) + D_{+-} \quad (C \quad (D_{-}D_{-}C_{-})D_{--}):$$

$$(A \quad 3)$$

Thus $O_{G=2}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{G=2}$ are cohom obgically equivalent to term swithout undifferentiated 's, and hence cannot possibly be expressible in term s of anything involving (A.1). It is then straightforward to show that no linear combination is expressible as a linear combination of (A.2). This proves that $O_{G=2}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{G=2}$ are generically cohom obgically nontrivial and inequivalent. Eqs. (A.3) also are useful for mding the G = 2 discrete states (3.45).

References

- [1] M B.Green, J.H. Schwarz and E.W itten, Superstring theory' (Cam bridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987).
- [2] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 373 (1992) 187.
- [3] H.Ooguriand C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 361 (1991) 469.
- [4] A.G iveon and A. Shapere, Nucl. Phys. B 386 (1992) 43.
- [5] D.Friedan, E.Martinec and S.Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B 271 (1986) 93.
- [6] N.Berkovitz, preprint ITP-SB-92-42, 1992.
- [7] J.Bienkowska, Phys. Lett. B 281 (1992) 59.
- [8] R.D ijkgraaf, E.Verlinde and H.Verlinde, preprint PUPT-1217, 1990, in Trieste Spring School (1990) 91.
- [9] B. Gato-Rivera and A M. Sem ikhatov, Phys. Lett. B 288 (1992) 38; preprint CERN-TH-6527-92, 1992.
- [10] E. Verlinde, private communication.
- [11] M. Li, preprint UCSB-TH-92-14, 1992.
- [12] J.Gom is and H. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. B 278 (1992) 266.
- [13] S.Nojiri, Phys. Lett. B 262 (1991) 419; B 264 (1991) 57.
- [14] M.Ademollo et.al, Phys.Lett.B 62 (1976) 75; K.Schoutens, Phys.
 Lett.B 194 (1987)75; Nucl. Phys. B 295 (1988) 634; A.Sevrin, W.
 Troost and A.Van Proeyen, Phys.Lett.B 208 (1988) 477.
- [15] M. Rocek, C. Ahn, K. Schoutens and A. Sevrin, preprint IASSNS-HEP-91-69, 1991.
- [16] M. Bershadsky, W. Lerche, D. Nemeschansky and N.P. Warner, preprint CERN-TH.6694/92, 1992.

[17] W .Siegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1493.