Simple considerations on the behaviour of bosonic modes with quantum group symmetry

A chim Kempf
Department of Applied Mathematics & Theoretical Physics
University of Cambridge
Cambridge CB39EW, U.K.

A bstract

While it is possible to introduce quantum group symmetry into the frame-work of quantum mechanics, the general problem of how to implement quantum group symmetry into (3+1) dimensional quantum eld theory has not yet been solved. Here we try to estimate some features of the behaviour of bosonic modes.

1 Introduction

Quantum groups arose from the quantum inverse scattering method [1]. Mathematically they are deformations of groups [2, 3]. The underlying structure is that of noncommutative geometry: The (co-quasitriangular Hopf-) algebra of functions on the group becomes noncommutative when a new parameter, which is usually denoted 'q', deviates from 1, see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 5].

Quantum groups have found a wide eld of applications from statistical mechanics to knot theory, see e.g. [6,7] and are also extensively studied in their own right, see e.g. [8,9,10,11,12]. Particularly tempting is the idea to implement quantum groups as symmetries in quantum theory, which essentially means to make the commutation relations q-dependent. The usual results are then recovered as the special case q=1.

The case $q \in 1$ may e.g. apply to the description of non-standard commutation relations of collective excitations or quasi-particles in solid state physics. But one can also consider $q \in 1$ as a check for the usual quantum theory. In this context, the observation of discretizing and regularizing e ects of quantum group symmetry is of great interest [13, 14, 15, 16].

supported by Studienstiffung des deutschen Volkes, BASF-Fellow

2 Com mutation relations with SU_q (n) - sym metry

There are already several approaches to the de nition of quantum mechanical commutation relations with quantum group symmetry in the literature, see e.g. [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. In particular Heisenberg algebras generated by adjoint pairs of operators $a^y{}_i$ and a^i were developed. The following q-dependent bosonic commutation relations are conserved under the action of the quantum group $SU_q(n)$, see e.g. [17, 20]:

$$a_{i}a_{j}$$
 1=qa $_{j}a_{i}$ = 0 for $i > j$
 $a_{i}^{y}a_{j}^{y}$ 1=qa $_{j}^{y}a_{i}^{y}$ = 0 for $i < j$
 $a_{i}a_{j}^{y}$ qa $_{j}^{y}a_{i}$ = 0 for $i \in j$
 $a_{i}a_{i}^{y}$ q $_{i}^{2}a_{i}^{y}a_{i}$ = 1 + (q² 1) $_{i < i}^{x}$

The ground state can be de ned as usual:

$$<0$$
 \circlearrowleft $>=1$ and a_i \circlearrowleft $>=0$ for $i=1;...;n$

One then obtains for the scalar product <;>:

$$<0j(a_n)^{r_n}$$
 ::: $(a_1)^{r_1}(a_1^y)^{r_1}$::: $(a_n^y)^{r_n}j0> = \sum_{i=1}^{y^n} [r_i]_q!$ (1)

with

$$[r]_q! = [1]_q \ [2]_q \ [3]_q \ ::: \ [r]_q \quad and \quad [p]_q = \frac{q^{2p} \ 1}{q^2 \ 1}$$
 (2)

A Ithough quantum groups do in general have more than one free parameter, no further parameters enter in these commutation relations [24]. The usual quantum mechanical programme, representation on a positive de nit (Bargmann Fock-) Hilbert space of wave functions and de nition of integral kernels like Green functions etc., could be performed [21], while preserving the hermiticity of the observables and the unitarity of time evolution.

3 q-bosonic modes

An extension of this form alism to relativistic quantum eld theories proves to be di cult [25]. However, already by using simple nonrelativistic quantum mechanical techniques one can try to obtain some insight into the behaviour of modes of bosonic elds with quantum group symmetry. The reason for this is the estimation, that elects of $q \in 1$ do not primarily occur as high energy elects, but instead as elects of high occupation numbers—at least on the basis of the quantum mechanical formalism

m entionend above.

To see this, let us consider the simple quantum mechanical Hamiltonian of the n-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator:

$$H := h! \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} a_i^y a_i \qquad \text{with } ! \ 2 \ R^+$$

In the SU_q (n) sym m etric form alism it has the energy spectrum [20]:

$$E_p = h! (0 + 1 + q^2 + q^4 + \dots + q^{2(p-1)}) = h! \frac{q^{2p} - 1}{q^2 - 1}$$
 with $p = 0;1;2;\dots$ (3)

Thus its energy levels are no longer equidistant. The spacing of the levels increases or decreases depending on wether q is larger or smaller than 1. In the latter case the series actually converges and the spectrum gets an upper bound $\frac{h!}{1-q^2}$. In both cases it is true that the higher the energy level is, the more it deviates from the corresponding energy level for q=1.

In quantum eld theory there are harm onic oscillators at the points in 3-m om entum space. Thus, if it is possible to extend this form alism to quantum eld theory, it can be expected that quantum group e ects do again increase with the level of excitation, i.e. then with the number of eld quanta that are present.

Let us assume in the following, that we have a photon-or phonon-like bosonic quantum eld and, for simplicity, that the momentum space is discretized. Apart from solids or from the situation in cavity, there are also hints, that some kind of discretization can occur naturally through quantum group symmetry [13, 14, 15, 16].

Picking out a mode with xed wave vektor k, we do not need to specify the dispersion relation in order to write its free H am iltonian in the form just given above:

$$H_{\kappa} := h!_{\kappa} a_{i}^{x^{n}} (\kappa) a_{i} (\kappa)$$

If we let n = 2, the two degrees of freedom can e.g. be interpreted as two polarizations. What follows will not depend on n and its interpretation:

4 Induced em ission of eld quanta

Let us now consider a system with a source that is able to emit and to absorbeld quanta of the q-mode. We follow the early treatment by Dirac [26]. The Hamiltonian, expanded in the q-mode's variables reads:

$$H = H_{source} + X (g_{i}^{y}a_{i}(K) + a_{i}^{y}(K)g_{i})$$

$$+ X (h_{ij}a_{i}^{y}(K)a_{j}(K) + f_{ij}a_{i}(K)a_{j}(K) + f_{ij}^{y}a_{i}^{y}(K)a_{j}^{y}(K)) + :::$$

This could e.g. be a laser-like system with an atom as the source coupled to a photon mode with a large wave length compared to the size of the atom. The above Hamiltonian is then obtained from the minimal coupling of the vector potential. In the Hamiltonian the part of the free source and the free eld

$$H_{\text{source}} + h!_{\kappa} a_{i}^{y} (\kappa) a_{i}^{y} (\kappa)$$

are assumed to be dominant. Thus we can work in the direct product of the Hilbert spaces of states of the free source and of the free boson mode. Their interaction terms we then treat perturbatively. There are terms of one-boson emission, one boson absorption, two boson emission, etc.. The coe cients f;g;h are operators that act nontrivially only on the source states.

Let us now calculate for example the probability p_m of the em ission of one q-boson of the i'th degree of freedom, dependent on the number m of q-bosons already present. The probability amplitude $_m$ is proportional to the transition m atrix element:

$$_{m} / < source_{after} j < 0 \frac{(a_{i}(k))^{m+1}}{[m+1]_{q}!} j \quad a_{i}^{y} g_{i} \quad \frac{(a_{i}^{y}(k))^{m}}{[m]_{q}!} j > jsource_{before} >$$
 (4)

where $([m]_q!)^{1-2}(a_1^y(K))^m$ $\mathcal{D} > \text{ is a norm alized state of m bosons (see Eq2). Further evaluation yields:$

$$\text{ _{m} / < source_{after} jg_{i} jsource_{before} > < 0 } \\ \text{ jg} \\ \hline \underbrace{ [m + 1]_{q}! }^{V_{u}} \\ \hline \underbrace{ [m + 1]_{q}! }^{V_{u}} \\ \hline \underbrace{ [m + 1]_{q}! }^{[m + 1]_{q}! } \\ \text{ in } I_{q}! \\ \hline \underbrace{ [m + 1]_{q}! }_{[m + 1]_{q}!} \\ \text{ jp } > \\ \hline$$

Thus, while the probability of spontaneous em ission does not depend on q, the probability of induced em ission does: It is no longer proportional to the number m+1, but to the q-number m+1q. The deviation from the usual result increases with the occupation number of the boson mode.

The energy contained in the boson mode is proportional to $[m]_q$ (see Eq.3). Thus, while usually for the probability of boson emission holds

$$p_m / I + const$$
 (6)

with I the intensity of the incident beam, we now obtain:

$$p_{m} / I + q^{2m} const$$
 ie. $p_{m} = I / 1 + \frac{q^{2m} (q^{2} 1)}{q^{2m} 1}$ (7)

The calculations for the absorption of bosons are of course analogous.

5 Conclusion

To sum m arize, from $\sin p \ln c$ considerations, based on a $SU_q(n)$ -sym m etric quantum m echanical form alism , we expect that bosonic c elds with quantum group sym m etry should be recognizable from their particular behaviour for high occupation numbers m . Som c of the characteristics should be:

- 1. The probability of induced em ission into a xed mode is no longer essentially proportional to its occupation number (Eq.5). For high occupation numbers the probability reaches a maximum if q < 1 or, if q > 1, it increases exponentially.
- 2.0 n the other hand, the probability of em ission is still essentially proportional to the intensity of the beam (Eqs. 6, 7). For q < 1 the intensity can only increase to a nite value.
- 3. Last but not least one can expect that laser-like systems of a q-bosonic mode with a source could come 'out of tune' for high occupation numbers. This is simply because the spacing of the energy levels of the mode changes with the occupation number, and the sources may only be prepared to provide quanta of energy within a certain xed range.

6 References

R eferences

- [1] L D Faddeev, N Yu Reshetikhin, L A Takhtajan, Alg. Anal. 1,1, (1989) 178-206
- [2] V G D rinfel'd, P roceedings of the International Congress of M athem aticians, Vol. 1, 798, (1986)
- [3] SM a jid, Int JM od Phys. A. Vol. 5, No 1 (1990) 1-91
- [4] M E Sweedler, HopfAlgebras, Benjam in (1969)
- [5] E Abe, Hopf A lgebras, Cambridge Univ. Press (1980)
- [6] H JD e Vega, IntJM od Phys. A, Vol. 4, No 10: 2371-2463 (1989)
- [7] L.K. au man, Preprint ANL-HEP-CP-90-36 (1990)
- [8] H. Ewen, O. O. gievetsky, J.W. ess, Preprint M.P.I-PAE/PTh 18/91 (1991)
- [9] A Sudbery, JPhys. A. 23, L697, (1990)
- [10] Yu.IM anin, Commun.M ath. Phys. 123, (1989) 163-175
- [11] JW ess, B. Zum ino, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 18B (1991) 302

- [12] A Kempf, in Proc.XX DGM Conference, June '91, New York, eds. S. Catto, A. Rocha (World Scientic, 1991) 546
- [13] J.Schwenk, J.W. ess, Preprint MPI-PAE/PTh ss/92 (1992)
- [14] O O gievetsky, W B Schmittke, JW ess, B Zum ino, Preprint MPI-Ph/91-98
- [15] M. Pillin, W. B. Schmidke, J.W. ess, Preprint M.P.I.Ph/92-75
- [16] A. Hebecker, W. W. eich, in Proc. XIX Symposium Ahrenshoop, Eds. B.Dorfel, E.W. ieczorek, Berlin 1992, D.E.SY. Preprint 93-013
- [17] W Pusz, S.W oronowicz, Rep.M ath. Phys. 27 (1989) 231.
- [18] L.Biedenham, J.Phys. A 22 (1989) L 873
- [19] A M acfarlane, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) 4581
- [20] A K em pf, Lett. M ath. Phys. 26: (1992) 1-12
- [21] A Kempf, J.M ath. Phys., Vol. 34, No.3, (1993) 969-987
- [22] M. Chaichian, P. Kulish, J. Lukierski, Phys. Lett. B 262 (1991) 43
- [23] D B Fairlie, C. Zachos, preprint ANL-HEP-CP-91-28 (1991)
- [24] A Kempf, in Proc. X IX Symposium Ahrenshoop in Wendisch-Rietz, Eds. B Dorfel, E Wieczorek, DESY Preprint 93-013, (1992)
- [25] A Kempf, Preprint LM U-TPW 92-26 (1992)
- [26] PAM Dirac, The Principles of Quantum Mechanics, Oxford Univ. Press, 4th ed. (1958)