# Bosonization as Duality

C.P.Burgess and F.Quevedo

Institut de Physique

Universite de Neuchâtel

CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

We show how to system atically derive the nules for bosonization in two dimensions as a particular case of a duality transform ation. The duality process amounts to gauging the global symmetry of the original (fermionic) theory, and constraining the corresponding eld strength F to vanish. Integration over the Lagrangemultiplier, for this constraint then reproduces the original theory, and integration over the gauge elds generates the dual theory with as the new (bosonized) variable. We work through the bosonization of the D irac fermion, the massive and massless Thirring models, and a fermion on a cylindrical spacetime as illustrative examples.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm Y}$  Research supported by the Sw iss National Foundation.

Perm anent Address: Physics Department, McG ill University, 3600 University St., Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3A 2T8. E-mail: cli @physics.mcgill.ca.

E-m ail: quevedo@ iph.unine.ch.

# 1. Introduction

Quantum eld theories in two spacetime dimensions en joy many special properties that are not shared by theories in other numbers of dimensions. These properties have in the past proven to be a mixed blessing. Although they have been used to good e ect to construct physically-interesting, yet solvable, models, the very dimension-dependence of these features raises doubts as to what lessons can be drawn for higher-dimensional theories. Their exploration has undergone a renaissance since the advent of string theory, how ever, in which two-dimensional eld theories play a special role.

O ne of the two-dimensional tricks which has been most fuitfully employed to explore these theories is bosonization. A model involving two-dimensional fermions is bosonized when it is re-expressed in terms of an equivalent theory built purely from bosons. Precise rules for various versions of this equivalence have been known for some time now [1][2][3]. The statement of these rules generally su ers from the drawback of not being constructive in character, being instead couched in terms of the equivalence of a given pair of bose and ferm i theories.<sup>1</sup> This is particularly true for nonabelian bosonization, where a simple, systematic, constructive and uni ed presentation of the bosonization rules is still missing [4]. O ur purpose in this note is to provide such a derivation, for the abelian case.

We do so by showing how to directly construct the equivalent bosonic theory using the technique of dualization. Dualization is a particularly convenient type of change of variables [5], which has recently been found to be useful in relating otherwise apparently inequivalent string vacua [6]. We show here how the straightforward application of these transform ations to a ferm ion system provides a 'royal road' to the bosonized version of the model.

We start with a brief sketch of the dualization technique. We then illustrate the ease with which duality transform ations deal with bosonization by considering a series of successively more complicated ferm ionic models. A fler starting with the simplest case of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are some exceptions to this statement, for the case of abelian bosonization. We return to the relation between our approach and earlier work in the nal section below.

the bosonization of a free D irac ferm ion, we turn to the massless and massive Thirring models. A lthough the initial discussion assumes a topologically trivial two-dimensional spacetime, we then show how to handle spacetimes with nontrivial homology.

# 2. A belian Bosonization

M any things in physics go by the name duality', with the comm on them e being the equivalence of two super cially quite di erent formulations of a particular eld theory. M ost of the various extant versions of duality can be thought of as special cases of what we refer to as duality in this paper. This duality is a trick for constructing the equivalent theory by embedding the model of interest within a larger gauge theory, which reduces to the original version once the gauge potential, A , is set to zero. This larger gauge theory is typically constructed simply by gauging a global sym m etry of the originalm odel [7] [8]. The originalm odel is then written as the gauged theory subject to some constraint that rem oves the gauge potential, and this is usually done by introducing a dum my variable { a Lagrange multiplier eld, { whose functional integral requires the gauge eld strength to vanish, = 0. (Additional conditions are necessary in topologically nontrivial spacetimes [7], F [9].) If , and then A , are integrated out { in this order { of the gauged version of the theory, then the original model is retrieved. The dual version of the theory, on the other hand, is obtained by performing the various functional integrals in a di erent order, in particular by integrating out the original eld, as well as A . The Lagrange multiplier, , then plays the role of the new, dual, eld. All of this is best understood in term s of a concrete example, such as we give below.

This method has been widely applied to the two-dimensional sigm a-models which describe string theory backgrounds [6]. Provided that a string vacuum admits an appropriate symmetry, duality can be used to relate it to other, equivalent string solutions. Among the vacua which can be related in this way are the toroidal compactications whose radii are related to one another by the duality transformation R \$  $\frac{12}{p}$  = R, with 'p being a string length scale which is of order the P lanck size. It has also been used to relate black {hole and cosm obgical string solutions.

3

Here we apply the duality transform ation to the bosonization of a single D irac ferm ion which lives in a at two-dimensional spacetime having the topology of  $R_2$ .<sup>2</sup> For the present purposes we suppose our elds to fall to zero at spatial in nity su ciently quickly to permit the neglect of all surface terms.

W e start with the following generating functional for such a particle:

$$Z \qquad Z \qquad X \qquad I = X \qquad Z_{F}[J] \qquad D = \exp i d^{2}x \qquad Q + J_{i}O_{i}(); \qquad (1)$$

where  $J_i = J_i(x)$  represent a set of external elds. These are coupled to some collection of operators,  $O_i()$ , which we assume to be invariant under the local symmetry transformation:  $! e^{i(x)}$ . For the time being, when we wish to be more concrete, we have in mind the following operators:

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ J_{i}O_{i}() = a \quad i \quad +b \quad i \quad _{3}; \end{array}$$

although we generalize to a wider class shortly.

Following the dualization prescription, we gauge the anom aly-free vector-like symmetry,  $! e^{i (x)}$ , but constrain the corresponding eld strength to vanish:

Z "Z !#  
Z<sub>G</sub>[J] D DA D exp i d<sup>2</sup>x L<sub>F</sub>(;J)+i A + 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
" F [@ A]: (3)

Here  $L_F$  (;J) denotes the lagrangian that appears in eq. (1), and  $[A] = \int_{xt}^{Q} A(x;t)$  is a functional delta function which in poses the Lorentz gauge condition. Here the functional integration over the Lagrange multiplier, , enforces the constraint F = 0, and in the present instance of trivial spacetime topology this, together with the gauge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Our conventions are:  $x^{0} = t$ ,  $x^{1} = x$ ,  $x = \frac{1}{p^{1}}(x - t)$ ,  $x^{1} = x^{0} = \frac{1}{2}(x - t)$ ,  $x^{0} = \frac$ 

condition, allow s us to choose everywhere A = 0. In this way we see the equivalence { up to a physically irrelevant,  $J_i$ -independent, norm alization constant { of expression (3) with our starting point, eq. (1): i.e.  $Z_F = Z_G - Z$ .

The dual version of the theory is found by instead perform ing the functional integrations over A and , while leaving the integral over for last. This leads to the follow ing expression for the generating functional:

$$Z [J] = D \exp iS_{B} [; J]$$
(4)

It only remains to evaluate these integrals.

At this point there are two ways to proceed, depending on which of the remaining functional integrations are performed rst. Since both choices are instructive for the more complicated examples which follow, we describe each in turn in the present, simpler, context.

The most straightforward way to proceed is to directly evaluate the integrals by brute force. This is not so di cult to do for the simple system we are considering here. In particular, the result of performing the fermionic integral in eq. (5) has been known for some thirty years [10][11]. If we renormalize in a gauge invariant way then, neglecting (as always) an irrelevant multiplicative constant, we have:

This expression may be directly applied to eq. (5), with external elds coupled to the operators of eq. (2), by making the substitution  $A + A + a + b \cdot W$  e are left with the single functional integral:

exp iS<sub>B</sub>[;J] = DA exp i 
$$d^2x \frac{1}{4}F \square^1F + \frac{1}{2}$$
" F [@ A]: (7)

Next comes the integration over A. To this end, we rst perform the following change of variables: A ! ', with A " @ '. The Jacobian for this transformation is an irrelevant constant, so we have DA [@ A] = D'. The resulting ' integration is G aussian, with a saddle point at ' = ' , with:

$$\Box'_{c} + \Box + \emptyset (b + "a) = 0;$$
(8)

U sing this to evaluate the integral over ', gives the standard bosonic result:

$$L_{B}(;a;b) = \frac{1}{2}(0, 0) + (0, b) + (0, a) + B;$$
(9)

where B is an arbitrary - and b -independent constant. The precise value that is taken by the constant B depends on the details of how the path integral is regularized and renorm alized. It is conventional to choose it to ensure that the vacuum energy vanishes, in which case B = 0 at the classical level. It is noteworthy that in obtaining expression (9), the potentially nonlocal term s in Schwinger's result, eq. (6), cancel against those that arise when the integral over the eld ' is perform ed.

In terms of the canonically-normalized scalar variable,  $= p^{p}$ , the dual lagrangian takes its standard form :

$$L_{B}(;a;b) = \frac{1}{2} @ @ + \frac{1}{p} @ b + \frac{1}{p} " @ a :$$
 (10)

C om paring the coe cients of a and b in eqs. (2) and (10) shows that the currents in these two theories are related by

This ultimately justimes the equivalence of the duality transformation as described above with bosonization as it is conventionally formulated.

2.2) The Dirac Ferm ion Revisited: Symmetry Arguments

The previous derivation of eq. (9) from eq. (5) has the advantage of being conceptually straightforward. Yet it also has the disadvantage of relying on being able to explicitly perform all of the relevant functional integrals. This makes it less easy to apply to more complicated ferm ionic models. As a result we here rederive eq. (9) from eq. (5) in a way that is more am enable to generalization.

This alternative approach starts by st perform ing the integral over the gauge potential, A . To proceed we therefore write the gauge condition as a functional Fourier transform :

$$[Q A] = D! \exp i d^{2}x! Q A:$$
(12)

The integral over A is now unconstrained, and since the action is linear in this variable, we are led to the result:

$$\exp iS_{B}[;J] = D D! \exp iS_{F}[;J] i + " @ @ ! : (13)$$

 $i_{3}!i_{3}+\frac{1}{2}0;$  and:  $i_{1}!i_{3}-\frac{1}{2}"0:$  (14)

As a result, the regularized lagrangian  $L_F$  (;A;b) 0 + i A + i \_3 b

transform s according to

$$L_{F} ! L_{F} + \frac{1}{2} @ (b + " A):$$
 (15)

Now, although the b-dependent term in this equation can be cancelled by a local counterterm involving just the elds ;A and b | i.e. by:  $L_{ct} = \frac{1}{2} b b$  | the second term cannot. It represents the familiar  $U_A$  (1) axial anom aly. The key point is that this anom aly can be cancelled by a term in the gauged action of eq. (3), how ever, because of the inclusion there of the extra, Lagrange multiplier, eld . It is cancelled if this eld acquires the transform ation property ! + = . In this regard the Lagrange-multiplier term in the lagrangian,  $\frac{1}{2}$  " F , plays the role of a G reen-Schwarz, or W ess-Zum ino, term for cancelling the ferm ion axial anom aly.

The upshot of all of this is that the bosonic lagrangian,  $L_B$  (;b), as defined by eq. (5), transforms under the complete axial transformations, ! + = and b ! b + @, in such a way as to ensure that the quantity  $L_B$  (;b)  $\frac{1}{2}$  b b is invariant. It follows that this quantity can depend on and b only through the covariant derivative D @  $\frac{1}{2}$  b.

The form of this invariant term of the lagrangian can now be pinned down by using the following argument. Dierentiating eq. (13) with respect to be gives:

$$\frac{S_{B}}{b} = hi \qquad _{3} i$$

$$= hi \qquad i " \qquad (16)$$

$$= 0 \qquad " \quad h0 ! i:$$

Here hX i denotes the average of X over the variables ; A and !:

$$hX i \frac{\frac{R}{D} DAD! X e^{iS_{G}}}{D DAD! e^{iS_{G}}}$$
(17)

We nally require an expression for h! i in terms of the variables and b . As we argue below, this quantity vanishes. The transform ation property of  $S_B$  under axial transform ations, together with the functional derivative of eq. (16), then in plies

$$L_{B}(;b) = \frac{1}{2} @ \frac{1}{2}b @ \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}bb + B:$$
 (18)

This reproduces the result of eq. (9) for the special case where a = 0. The coupling to a is also easily included. The simplest procedure is to remark that in the original ferm ion theory of eq. (1), the identity  $_{3} =$  " implies that the elds a and b only appear via the combination b + " a . W e must therefore add the term " @ a to the above result. (Notice that, keeping in m ind the transform ation rule, ! + = , this term is precisely what is required to reproduce the axial anom aly of the original ferm ion theory.) W e reobtain in this way the desired expression, eq. (9).

The m issing step in the above is the establishment of the result h! i = 0. This can be argued from the CP-transformation properties of the functional integrand. The action  $S_{G}$  is invariant under the following substitutions:  $! ! ! , ! _{2} , A ! P A$ and b ! + P b, where the parity-transformation matrix is P = diag (+1; 1). Since ! is odd under this transformation, its average value must be zero. This is because the contribution of any particular eld conguration to h! i is systematically cancelled by the contribution of its CP-conjugate conguration.

An interesting consequence of the bosonic tranform ation rule, ! + =, is that is itself a periodic variable. That is, since an axial rotation through a complete turn of 2 radians leaves the ferm ion eld unchanged, we must identify = + 2. A stronger periodicity condition, = + 1, becom es possible if we only dem and equivalence up to a lorentz transform ation, since an axial rotation through radians takes to , which equals a spatial rotation through  $360^{\circ}$ .

W e next turn to m ore com plicated applications.

2.3) M asses and the Thirring M odel

It is straightforward to extend the previous construction to the massless and massive Thirring models, for which the ferm ion action,  $S_F$ , is supplemented by additional terms,  $S_g$  and  $S_m$ , which respectively contain a four-ferm ion contact interaction, and a coupling of the chirality-breaking operators,  $\overline{}_{L,R}$ , to external currents. That is:

$$L_{g} = \frac{g^{2}}{2}$$
 ( ) ( ) and  $L_{m} = m(x)_{L} m(x)_{R}$  (19)

The special case of a spatially constant m simply corresponds to massive ferm ions.

The four-ferm item, S<sub>g</sub>, can be handled as a special case of the treatment of the coupling to the external eld a . This can be seen by using the trick of 'uncompleting the square' [12], in which  $\frac{g^2}{2}$  ( )( )( ) is rewritten as  $\frac{1}{2}cc + igc$  . The original four-ferm ion form is then recovered by performing the Gaussian integration over the dummy eld c. Performing the integrals over and A as in the previous section, followed by the integral over c, then gives the following additional contribution to the bosonic action:

$$\frac{g^2}{2}$$
 @ @ : (20)

Its net e ect is therefore to simply change the relation between and the canonicallynormalized variable, , which is now given by  $= \frac{p}{1+q^2}$ . (It is clear from this expression that the stability of the bosonic theory requires  $q^2 > -1$ .) This shows up in a change in the normalization of the expressions for the currents, which becom e: i \$

$$p \frac{1}{+g^2}$$
 " @ etc..

Since it changes the relation between and , this g-dependent change of norm alization has a geom etrical interpretation in terms of the periodicity of the bosonic variable, . In string theory it is conventional to de ne the radius, R, of the space parameterized by by writing the kinetic term as  $\frac{R^2}{4}$  @ @ , where the variable is de ned to have the periodicity = +2. G iven the basic equivalence = +2, we see that . ( <sup>0</sup> is the square of the fundam ental string length scale, which is of order the P lanck length.) W ith this choice we see that the radius so de ned is related to the Thirring m odel coupling according to  $R^2 = 2^{-0} + 1 + \frac{g^2}{2}$ .

Them (x)-dependent term s can also be included along the same lines as for the ferm ion kinetic term s. In this case the axia  $H_A$  (1) transform ation properties of bosonic lagrangian are:

$$- L_{B} \frac{1}{2} b b = 2im (x) h_{L} i + 2im (x) h_{R} i:$$
 (21)

Integration of this expression to obtain  $S_B$  requires an expression for the expectation value F[]  $h_{L}$  i, and its complex conjugate  $F[] = h_{R}$  i as functionals of the bosonic

elds , a ;b , and m . The -dependence can be obtained by using its transform ation properties under the chiral sym m etry:  $F + \frac{1}{2} = e^{2i} F[]$ , for all and . The most general solution to this functional identity is:  $F[] = A \exp(2 i)$ , where A is invariant under local chiral transform ations. (Sim ilarly  $h_{R}$  i = A exp(2 i).) In principle chiral invariance perm its A to depend on the variables and b , provided that they only appear through invariant combinations such as  $(e - \frac{1}{2}b)^2$ . How ever this possibility can be ruled out by considering the functional derivative  $S_B = b$ , for which the argum ents of the previous section again in ply  $S_B = b = e$ . As a result we nd that A must be – and b –independent.

U sing this in eq. (21), allows us to infer the m-dependent chiral-symmety breaking terms which appear in  $S_B$ . Switching once more to canonically-normalized variables, we not the general bosonized form :

$$L_{B}(;a;b;m) = \frac{1}{2}(0) + \frac{1}{2}(0) + \frac{1}{2}(0) + \frac{1}{2}(0) + \frac{1}{2}(1) +$$

The parameter appearing in this form ula is related to the Thirring-model coupling by  $= 2 = p + q^2$ . Again both A and B are constants which depend on how the path integral is renormalized. The conventional choice of vanishing vacuum energy leads to a relation between B and A at the classical level { e.g. for m = m , B = 2m A. The value taken for A depends on the renormalization condition that is used to de ne the composite operators exp(i ) and L.

As for the simpler case treated earlier, eq. (22) reproduces the results of earlier workers [2].

# 3. Bosonization on the Cylinder

As our next application we apply our procedure to bosonization on spacetimes with nontrivial topology. For the purposes of illustration we consider here the sim plest possible example: a single free D irac ferm ion on a cylinder. We see no obstacle to the application of our method also to Euclidean spaces of more complicated topology, such as to the R iem ann surfaces which appear in string theories [13]. Som e of the issues we encounter in this section have also arisen in applications of duality to string theory, such as in ref. [7] [9] [14], as well as in earlier work with the Thirring and Schwinger models [15].

Consider, therefore, a cylindrical spacetime for which the spatial coordinate is periodic with period L: x = x + L (for all t). The new feature in this example is the existence of the nontrivial hom ology cycle, ', consisting of curves which wind around the cylinder. A two-dimensional D irac ferm ion, , can have several choices of boundary conditions, or spin structures, according to whether  $_{L}$  and  $_{R}$  are periodic or antiperiodic around such curves:

$$(x + L;t) = ()^{n_{L}} + ()^{n_{R}} + (x;t):$$
 (23)

Here the spin structures are labelled by the pair of integers,  $(n_L;n_R)$ , modulo 2. In what follows we restrict ourselves, for simplicity, to nonchiral boundary conditions for which  $n_L = n_R$  n. We wish to determ ine the bosonic theory which is dual to a free D irac ferm ion, \_\_\_\_\_\_, which satis es these boundary conditions.

W e therefore consider again the ferm ionic generating function:

$$Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad Z_{n,F}[a;b] \qquad D_n \exp i d^2 x \qquad n \not (a_n + i_n \qquad n a + i_n \qquad 3 \qquad n b ; \quad (24)$$

A s before, to dualize we must regard the above expression as coming from a gauge theory that is subject to a gauge-invariant constraint. A key dimension from the previous section, however, is that this time it is not su cient to use the constraint F = 0 to achieve this end, since this constraint, taken together with a hom ogeneous gauge (like Lorentz gauge), can only ensure that the spatial component,  $A_1$ , of the gauge potential is a spacetime-independent constant. This constant cannot be gauged away using a gauge transform ation A + A + A + A, for which the gauge group element,  $g(x) = e^{i-(x)}$ , is a periodic function of x. In fact, the x-independent part of  $A_1$  can only be shifted by large' gauge transform ations, which satisfy (x + L;t) = (x;t) + 2 k, with k an integer, so  $A_1$  can be made to take values on a circle of circum ference 2 =L. A convenient way to characterize the constant mode of  $A_1$  is through the W ilson loop, given by W [A] <sup>R</sup>, A dx =  $\frac{R_L}{0}$  A<sub>1</sub> dx. C learly if both F = 0 and W [A] = 0 vanish, then A = 0 up to a periodic gauge transform ation.

W e therefore adopt the follow ing gauged generating functional:

$$Z_{n;c} [a;b] = D_{n} DA D^{\sim} exp i d^{2}x L_{F} (n;a+A;b) + \frac{1}{2} \sim F$$

$$[0 A]_{t} (W [A]):$$
(25)

Several features of this expression bear explicit m ention:

1: The main new feature in eq. (25) is the additional delta function t (W [A]). Here the subscript t' indicates that this functional delta function is to be imposed separately at each instant of time only, since  $W ([A]) = \frac{R_L}{0} dx A_1$  is itself independent of x. It will prove to be convenient to write this constraint as a functional Fourier transform :

$$Z \qquad Z$$
t (W [A]) = Dut exp i d<sup>2</sup>xut A s; (26)

where  $s = {}_{1}$  is a constant unit vector pointing in the x direction, and the subscript t' on the Lagrange-multiplier eld,  $u_t$ , is meant to emphasize that it is a function of t only:  $u_t = u(t)$ .

This new contribution serves two separate purposes. Firstly, it provides the additional condition, as discussed above, that is required in order to constrain the gauge potential to vanish, and so to ensure the equivalence of eq. (25) with our starting point, eq. (24):  $Z_{n,F} = Z_{n,G} - Z_n$ . It also serves to remove the freedom to perform 'large' gauge transform ations, which would otherwise be un-xed since this freedom is not constrained by the imposition of Lorentz gauge, Q = A = 0.

2: Notice that both of the bosonic integration variables in eq. (25) must be strictly periodic in x. This is true for both components of A since these must have the same behaviour as does the derivative ( . Sim ilarly, the Lagrange multiplier eld, ~, (the tilde is included here for later notational convenience) must also be periodic since otherwise its interaction lagrangian,  $\frac{1}{2}$  ~" F , itself would not be single-valued on the cylinder.

As in the previous section, the dual version of the theory is found by performing all of the functional integrals with the exception of that over ~. This gives  $Z_n[a;b] = R_D \sim \exp iS_B[~;a;b]$ , with

The integration over  $u_t$  is quite easy to deal with. This is because, apart from a surface term, the quantities  $u_t$  and ~ only enter into the above expression through the combination " @ ~+  $u_ts$  . As a result the x-independent mode of ~ and  $u_t$  are redundant variables, and  $u_t$  may be completely removed from the integrand of eq. (27) by redening

with  $\frac{df}{dt} = u_t$ . This de nes up to the ambiguity of an additive constant. With this choice the remaining integration over  $u_t$  just contributes a eld-independent overall factor to  $Z_n$ , which can be ignored. The ability to completely remove  $u_t$  in this way shows that it is actually su cient to impose W [A] = 0 at any one time, t, rather than independently for each t, as was done in the above.

W e now must grapple with the evaluation of the various functional integrations. W e do so below, treating in turn the cases of a periodic and antiperiodic ferm ion.

#### 3.1) Periodic Fermions

Consider rst the case where the ferm ion is periodic in the spatial direction (n = 0): (x + L;t) = (x;t). In this case we proceed a la Schwinger, and explicitly integrate out rst the ferm ion, and then the gauge potential. (Notice that even though the ferm ions are periodic, we need not worry about ferm ion zero modes in the path integral, since the potentially dangerous mode = (constant) is not norm alizable on an in nitely long cylinder.)

We therefore repeat the steps of the previous section, starting from the basic result for the ferm ion integration, eq. (6), which states:

$$Z \qquad Z \qquad D_{p} \exp i d^{2}x \qquad Q + i \qquad A = \exp \frac{i}{4} d^{2}x F \qquad \Box_{p}^{1}F \qquad (29)$$

The subscripts p' on the ferm ion measure, and the G reen's function for  $\Box$ , indicate that the corresponding quantities are periodic about the cylinder's circular direction.

Since the argum ent proceeds precisely as before, we only sketch the m ain points again here, with an emphasis on those features which are special for the cylindrical geometry. As before, we change variables to A = " @ ', where the periodicity of the gauge potential,A, ensures the same for the scalar eld '. Perform ing the same integrations as in theearlier section, we not the same result as in eq. (9):

$$L_{B}(;a;b) = \frac{1}{2}(0, 0) + (0, b) + (0, a) = \frac{1}{2}(0, 0)$$
 (30)

C learly a periodic ferm ion bosonizes to a periodic boson, with the same generating function as was obtained when spacetime was  $R_2$ .

It is crucial in obtaining this result that the ferm ions really are periodic. This is because in obtaining eq. (30) from eq. (27), there is a cancellation between two potentially nonlocal terms, having the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\text{h}}{\text{@ (a + " b)}} \prod_{p}^{1} \prod_{p}^{1} \text{@ (a + " b)}:$$
(31)

For this cancellation to work, both of these G reen's functions must satisfy the same boundary conditions, as they do since both are periodic around the cylinder. But the boundary conditions of the rst G reen's function in eq. (31) are those of the scalar eld, ' | which is periodic since A is | while the second G reen's function in (31) has the boundary conditions of the ferm ion, . The construction must evidently be di erent for antiperiodic ferm ions, to which we return shortly. In the previous section we were led to a dual form which involved a boson eld, , which was strictly periodic about the cylinder. Since is itselfperiodic (in the target-space sense) under shifts by an integer, it can satisfy boundary conditions for which (x + L;t) = (x;t) + k, for k an integer. We pause here to determ ine the ferm ionic system which corresponds to these more general boundary conditions.

Consider, therefore, the ferm ion generating functional in which all of the ferm ion eld con gurations are restricted to have a de nite integer charge, q. That is, de ne  $Z_{F}^{q}$  by inserting the following functional delta function into the generating functional of eq. (24):

As before the subscript on the function  $v_t$  is to emphasize that it is a function of t only.

B osonization of the generating functional in the presence of this delta function m ay be carried out as in the previous section. It leads to precisely the same result as before, eq. (30), with the following three modi cations: (i) the axial eld b must be shifted to b  $v_t^{-1}$ ; (ii) the additional term,  $q^R dt v_t$ , must be added to  $S_B$ ; and (iii) the functional integral over  $v_t$  must be performed. The net result for the bosonic action becomes:

This gives the usual expression, eq. (30), for the dual action, but with the new feature that the integration over is only to be performed over the con gurations which wind

q times around the cylinder. We see in this way the one-to-one correspondence between the sectors of de nite charge in the ferm ionic theory, and the sectors with a given winding number in the bosonic theory. In order to handle antiperiodic ferm ions we use the artice of trading the new boundary condition for the previous one. That is, we recast the ferm ionic theory by de ning a new Dirac spinor , by (x;t)  $e^{i_n \cdot 3}_n(x;t)$ , with  $n = n \times L$ . The boundary conditions of eq. (23) for n, then imply that must simply be periodic: (x + L;t) = (x;t).

The inform ation that is encoded in the boundary condition does not disappear, however, since this eld rede nition does not leave the lagrangian invariant. The change in the lagrangian can be most simply inferred by recognizing that and n are related by a local axial transform ation, and we have determ ined the transform ation properties of the bosonic lagrangian under such axial transform ations in the previous sections. In particular, we know that the elect of perform ing a transform ation of the form  $! e^{i_{3}}$  in the de nition, (27), of S<sub>B</sub> [;a;b], has the follow ing three elects: (i) those which can be undone by perform ing the compensating transform ations b ! b + 0 and ! + = ; (ii) those which can be cancelled by the addition of the counterterm  $\frac{1}{2}$  b b ; and (iii) those due to the axial anom aly, which are reproduced by the term " 0 a .

That is to say, the bosonic result,  $L_{n_{B}}$  (;a;b), for antiperiodic ferm ions is related to the corresponding result, eq. (30), for periodic ferm ions,  $L_{B}$  (;a;b), by:

$$L_{n,B}$$
 (;a;b)  $\frac{1}{2}bb$  " @ a =  $L_{B}$  (°;a;b<sup>0</sup>)  $\frac{1}{2}b^{0}b^{0}$  " @ <sup>0</sup>a; (34)

in which  $^{0}$   $_{n}$  = and  $b^{0}$  b @  $_{n}$ . This implies:

$$L_{n_{\mathcal{F}}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \parallel \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0$$

Notice that the eld,  $^{0}$ , which appears in this expression is no longer periodic around the cylinder since the periodicity of implies

$$^{0}(x + L;t) = ^{0}(x;t) n:$$
 (36)

Thus, we are ultimately led to the boundary condition for that would be expected for antiperiodic ferm ions from the usual operator correspondence \$ exp(i).

Notice that this boundary condition is also what was encountered for the bosonization of periodic ferm ions subject to the constraint Q = n. The functional integrands are not identical, however, due to the appearance in the present case of the additional term s:

$$\frac{1}{2} (a_n b^0 + " a) = \frac{n}{L} b_1^0 + a^0):$$
(37)

Since the electric charge in the ferm ionic theory is obtained from the generating functional by di erentiating with respect to the x-independent mode of  $b_1$  or  $a^0$ , these additional terms are precisely what is required to cancel the charge that would otherwise be implied by the boundary condition of eq. (36).

# 4.Conclusions

Our purpose here has been to show how the two-dimensional technique of bosonization can be considered to be a special case of the wider class of relationships amongst two-dimensional theories that has emerged from the study of string theories. In particular, we show here how the usual rules of abelian bosonization follow system atically as a particular application of a duality transformation. Besides the intrinsic interest of placing the bosonization technique within this wider framework, we regard our new perspective on bosonization as being useful inasmuch as it permits a constructive determination of the bosonic counterpart of any given fermionic theory. This should permit a more systematic determination of the bosonization rules in more complicated systems, such as for fermions on arbitrary R iem ann surfaces, or for the nonabelian bosonization of N m a jorana fermions, for which the nonabelian duality technique of [8] should give the W ess-Zum ino-W itten (W ZW ) m odel after bosonization.

O ther path integral approaches to abelian bosonization exist [16], which hinge on the introduction of a collective eld' through the perform ance of a local chiral transform ation, the parameter of which is then promoted to a dynamical eld by using a Fadeev-Popov type trick. In this formulation the bosonic and ferm ionic theories come as two dierent gauge choices of an enlarged gauge symmetry, and so the equivalence need not apply to o - shell quantities, such as the elective action, which are not a priori gauge independent. By

contrast, in the approach presented here both theories are obtained using the same gauge and so their equivalence is manifest even o shell. It might be interesting to explore, in the present formulation, the more general, on-shell, equivalence that becomes available by using dierent gauges as is done in ref. [16]. Furthermore, since the collective eld method does not rely on the existence of symmetries in the original theory, it might potentially be used to generalize duality to a more general context.

Finally, we remark that our approach opens up a number of interesting questions. Since dualization is not an intrinisically two-dimensional procedure, perhaps it could be used to provide a prescription for bosonization in higher-dimensional fermionic eld theories. A lso, an equivalent understanding of how to fermionize bosonic theories could lead to new types of duality transform ations which could have wider applications. We intend to pursue some of these issues in a future publication.

# A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to acknow ledge helpful conversations with PoulD am gaard, X enia de la  $0 \operatorname{ssa}$ ,  $0 \operatorname{scar} H$  emandez and R ob M yers. This research was partially funded by N S E R C. of C anada, les Fonds F C A R. du Q uebec, and the Sw iss N ational Foundation.

### 5.References

- [1] A.Luther and I.Peschel, Phys. Rev. B 9 (1974) 2911.
- [2] S.Colem an, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2088;
   S.M andelstam, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 3026
- [3] E.W itten, Comm. Math. Phys. 92 (1984) 455.
- [4] For a recent review see Y.Frishm an and J.Sonnenschein, Phys. Rep. C 223 (1993) 309.
- [5] See for instance, N.J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindstrom and M. Rocek, Comm. M ath. Phys. 108 (1987) 535 and references therein.
- [6] T.Buscher, Phys. Lett. 194B (1987) 59, Phys. Lett. 201B (1988) 466.
- [7] M.Rocek and E.Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 373 (1992) 630.
- [8] X.de la Ossa and F.Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B 403 (1993) 377.
- [9] E.A lvarez, L.A lvarez-G aum e, J.B arbon and Y.Lozano, preprint CERN-TH.6991/93.
- [10] J.Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 2425.
- [11] A useful review with references to the earlier literature is R. Jackiw, in Relativity, G roups and Topology II, B. DeW itt and R. Stora, eds. North Holland (1983).
- [12] Y. Nam bu and G. Jona-Laisinio, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 231;D. Gross and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 3235.
- [13] L.A lvarez-Gaume, G.Moore and C.Vafa.Comm.Math.Phys. 106 (1986) 1.
- [14] P.Grin and O.Hemandez, Nucl. Phys. B 356 (1991) 287; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992) 1233.

[15] D.Wolf and J.Zittartz, Zeit. Phys. B 51 (1983) 65;

N.Manton Ann.Phys. (NY) 159 (1985) 220;

JE.Hetrick and Y.Hosotani, Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 2621.

[16] P.H. Dam gaard, H.B. Nielsen and R. Sollacher, Nucl. Phys. B 385 (1992) 227; Phys. Lett. 296B (1992) 132.