Large Random Matrices: Eigenvalue Distribution

B.Eynard

Service de Physique Theorique de Saclay F-91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, FRANCE Em ail: eynard@ am oco.saclay.cea.fr

Abstract:

A recursive method is derived to calculate all eigenvalue correlation functions of a random herm itian matrix in the large size limit, and after smoothing of the short scale oscillations. The property that the two-point function is universal, is recovered and the three and four-point functions are given explicitly. One observes that higher order correlation functions are linear combinations of universal functions with coe cients depending on an increasing number of parameters of the matrix distribution.

^{*}Laboratoire de la Direction des Sciences de la Matiere du Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique

In a recent article [1], B rezin and Zee have calculated explicitly correlation functions of eigenvalues of a class of stochastic herm itian matrices of large size N. They have found that some statistical properties of the eigenvalues are universal in the large N lim it, and can thus be obtained from the correlation functions of the gaussian model. M ore precisely, they have discovered that the two-point correlation function, after sm oothing of the short scale oscillations, is universal while all other correlations vanish at the same order.

This property can be related to a renorm alization group analysis [2,3] which has shown that the gaussian model is a stable xed point in the large N limit.

Their analysis is based on the, by now standard, method of orthogonal polynom ials. An essential ingredient in the nal answer is a proposed ansatz for an asymptotic form of the orthogonal polynom ials P_n in the lim it N ! 1 and N n nite. In ref. [1] the ansatz is veried in the case of even integrands, and only up to an unknown function.

Here, we propose a direct proof of the ansatz, using a saddle point m ethod, which does not depend on the parity of the integrand, and which allows to determ ine the previously unknown function. M oreover, using a completely di erent approach [4], we present a recursive m ethod to evaluate all sm oothed correlation functions at leading order. W e give the three and four-point functions explicitly.

1. C orrelation functions of eigenvalues

Let us rst explain the problem and recall the method used in ref. [1] to explicitly evaluate the eigenvalue correlation functions.

We consider N N herm itian matrices M with a probability distribution of the form :

$$P(M) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{N \text{ trV} (M)};$$
 (1:1)

where V (M) is a polynomial, and Z the normalization (i.e. the partition function). We want to derive the asymptotic form for N large of various eigenvalue correlation functions. All can be derived from the correlation functions of the operator O ():

$$O() = \frac{1}{N} tr (M) = \frac{1}{N} X^{N} (i)$$
 (12)

(the $_i$ being the eigenvalues of M). Indeed, for any set of functions (f_1 ; ...; f_k), one has:

$$\frac{1}{N^{k}} \operatorname{htr} f_{1}(M) :::: \operatorname{tr} f_{k}(M) = d_{1}f_{1}(1) ::: d_{k}f_{k}(K) = 0 \quad (K)$$

The correlation functions of the operator O () can in turn be expressed in terms of the partially integrated eigenvalue distributions like () the density of eigenvalues, which is the probability that belongs to the spectrum of M, $_2$ (;) the probability that and are simultaneously eigenvalues of M and m ore generally $_n$ ($_1$;:::; $_n$) the probability that $_1 \dots _n$ are simultaneously eigenvalues of M. For $_1 \in _2 \dots \in _n$ (else some additional contact terms have to be added) we nd

ho
$$(_1)$$
 $(_2)$::: $(_n)$ $i = \frac{1}{N^n} \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} (_1; :::;_n)$:

A ctually the interesting functions are not directly the O () correlation functions, but their connected parts. Indeed, at leading order, when N $\,!\,$ 1 , we have the factorization property

$$\begin{array}{c} & Y \\ \text{bb} (1) (2) ::: 0 (n) i \\ & i = 1; ...; n \end{array}$$

and thus no new information can be obtained from the complete n-point function. The connected function which will be denoted

hO
$$(_1)$$
 :::O $(_n)i_{conn} = O_n (_1;:::;_n)$

is only of order 1=Nⁿ, and thus is a subleading contribution. The method of orthogonal polynom ials allows to determ ine directly all these connected functions from only one auxiliary function (;) = (;) (see refs. [1,5] or appendix 2 for details). For instance we have:

$$O_{1}() = () = (;);$$

$$O_{2}(;) = {}^{2}(;);$$

$$O_{3}(_{1};_{2};_{3}) = 2(_{1};_{2})(_{2};_{3})(_{3};_{1});$$

$$O_{4}(_{1};_{2};_{3};_{4}) = 2(_{1};_{2})(_{2};_{3})(_{3};_{4})(_{4};_{1})$$

$$2(_{1};_{3})(_{3};_{2})(_{2};_{4})(_{4};_{1})(_{4};_{1})$$

$$2(_{1};_{2})(_{2};_{4})(_{4};_{3})(_{3};_{1});$$

and analogous expressions for larger values of n:

$$O_n = (1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} X Y^n_{i+1}$$

(each product of appears exactly n times in the sum and this counting factor is cancelled by the 1=n factor). O_n m ay also be written:

$$O_n = (1)^{n+1} X Y^n$$

$$C_n = (1)^{n+1} (i; i)$$

$$Cyclic permutations i=1$$

The function is simply related to the polynomials P_n orthogonal with respect to the measured e $\stackrel{\rm N~V~()}{:}$

$$(;) / \frac{1}{N} \frac{P_{N}()P_{N-1}() - P_{N-1}()P_{N-1}()P_{N-1}()}{N} \exp[(N=2)(V()+V())]:$$
 (1:3)

The asymptotic evaluation of correlation functions is reduced to an evaluation of the function (;) and thus of the orthogonal polynom ials P_n () in the peculiar limit: N large, N n nite, and 2 [a;b]([a;b]) being the support of ()).

The ansatz proposed in ref. [1] in the case of even potentials V (M) (for which b = a) was:

$$P_n() / e^{NV()=2} \frac{1}{p_{f()}} \cos N() + (N n)'() + ();$$

where

$$= a \cos';$$

f() = $a \sin';$
 $\frac{d}{d}$ () = ();

() remaining undeterm ined, except in the gaussian and quartic cases, for which: () = '=2 =4.

We will prove below that this ansatz is still true for any V, and that is always of the form = '=2 + const. The method which leads to the proof is also interesting in itself because it uses some general tools of the saddle point calculations of the one-matrix model [6,7].

2. Orthogonal Polynom ials

Let us consider the set of polynom ials P_n (n is the degree), orthogonal with respect to the following scalar product:

$$hP_n \quad P_i = nm = de \quad P_n()P_m():$$
 (2:1)

Remarkably enough an explicit expression of these orthogonal polynom ials in terms of a herm itian matrix integral can be derived (see appendix 1):

$$P_{n}() = Z_{n+1}^{1=2} d^{n^{2}} M e^{N \text{ tr} V (M)} \det(M); \qquad (2.2)$$

where M is here a n n herm itian matrix, and the normalization Z_{n+1} the partition function corresponding to the n + 1 n + 1 matrix integral. We will use this expression to evaluate P_n in the relevant limit, i.e. N 1 and N n = 0 (1) by the steepest descent method.

Let us rst introduce the matrix integral:

$$Z (g;h;) = d^{n^2}M e^{(n=g)trV(M)};$$

where

$$V(z) = V(z) h \ln (z)$$
:

W ith these de nitions P_n is proportional to Z (g = n=N; h = 1=N;), and thus we need Z or equivalently the free energy $F_n = n^2 \ln Z$ for h and g 1 sm all.

A swe are interested only in the dependance of F , let us dimensionate F with respect to :

$$\frac{@F}{@} = \frac{h}{g}! (z = ;g;h;)$$

where ! (z) is the resolvent:

$$!(z) = \frac{1}{n} tr \frac{1}{z M}$$
 : (2:3)

Since we want the asymptotic expression of ! () $n^2h=g$, we need ! up to the order 1=n. It is known from the random matrix theory [8], that F_n , and also ! have an expansion in powers of 1= n^2 which is the topological expansion. At order 1=n, only the contribution of the sphere is required. We thus replace ! by its dom inant contribution obtained by the saddle point method.

W ith this approximation, ! may be written:

! (z) =
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1,...,n}^{X} \frac{1}{z_{i}}$$

where the $_{i}$ are the eigenvalues verifying the saddle point equation:

$$\frac{1}{g} V^{0}(_{i}) = \frac{2}{n} \frac{X}{_{j \in i}} \frac{1}{_{i} _{j \in j}};$$

i.e. they extrem ize the integrand of

Z (g;h;) /
$$e^{X Y^{n}} e^{X V (_{i})} Y^{i} (_{i} _{j})^{2}$$
:

In the large n lim if the $_{i}$ are distributed along an interval [a;b] with a continuous density (). Then:

 $!(z) = \int_{a}^{Z_{b}} d(1) \frac{1}{z}$

(2:4)

and the saddle point equation becom es:

$$\frac{1}{g}V^{0}() = !(+ i0) + !(i0) \text{ for } 2 [a;b]$$
(2.5)

Note an important property of this equation: At a and b xed it is linear and therefore the derivatives of ! with respect to g or h will also satisfy a linear equation.

At leading order we introduce the resolvent $!_0(z) = !(z; g = 1; h = 0)$, and write:

g!
$$(z;g;h;) = !_0(z) + (g = 1)_q(z;) + h_h(z;) + O(1=n^2);$$

where we have de ned the two functions:

$$g = \frac{@g!}{@g} ;$$
 $h = \frac{@g!}{@h} ;$ $h = \frac{@g!}{@h} ;$

The function $!_0(z)$ is the resolvent of the usual one-m atrix m odel, and from eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain:

$$!_{0}(z = i0) = \frac{1}{2}V^{0}(z) = i (z)$$
 for $z \ge [a;b]$:

As we noted above, the two functions $_{g}$ and $_{h}$ obey linear equations, obtained by di erentiation of the equation (2.5) satisfied by !. Following a method introduced in ref. [9] we can then easily determine them from their analyticity properties, and the boundary conditions. $_{g}$ (z) veri as the linear equation:

$$_{q}(z + i0) + _{q}(z - i0) = 0$$

and behaves as 1=z when z ! 1, and as 1=z \overline{z} \overline{z} \overline{z} \overline{z} \overline{b} near the cut end-points a and b, because ! behaves as $p \overline{z}$ \overline{a} \overline{z} \overline{b} . These conditions determ ine $_{g}$ uniquely:

$$_{g}(z;) = \frac{1}{(z \ a)(z \ b)};$$

The same method applies to h which satis es

$$_{h}(z + i0) + _{h}(z i0) = 1 = (z);$$

and behaves like $_{h}$ O (1= z^{2}) for z large, $_{h}$ 1 = z a z b near a; b, and is regular near z = . It follows:

$$h(z;) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p(z - a)(z - b)}{(z - a)(z - b)} \frac{p(z - a)(z - b)}{z} \frac{p(z - a)(z - b)}{z}$$

Then if we set z = :

$$_{h}(z =) = \frac{1}{2(a)(b)} \frac{1}{2d} \ln^{p}(a)(b)$$

W e now have the necessary ingredients to determ ine @F = @:

$$n^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = N !_0 (z =) + (n N)_g (z =) + h (z =) + O (1=N):$$

We still have to integrate all these terms with respect to $\$. In order to integrate ! _0 = V ⁰=2 i , we introduce a function ()

$$() = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ d^{0} & (0) \end{bmatrix}$$

We also need the integral of $[(a)(b)]^{1=2}$. For this purpose, we parametrize $=\frac{1}{2}(a+b) - \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\cos'$, so that the integral of $\frac{1}{2}(b-a)\sin'$ is simply '. Finally, the result takes the form :

$$n^{2}F(i0) = \frac{1}{2}NV() iN() i(N n)' \frac{1}{2}'i$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\ln^{p} (a)(b) + const:$$

Since P_n is a polynom ial, we have P_n () = $\frac{1}{2} P_n$ (+ i0) + P_n (i0)], and therefore:

$$P_{n}() = \frac{r}{2} \frac{2}{e} N V() = 2 \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{f()}} \cos N() (N n)'() + \frac{1}{2}'() + \text{const}; \quad (2.6)$$

where f() = p (a) (b). The constant factor 2^{-1} is xed by the condition that (;) = (). In the case of even potentials V, parity considerations in ply that the arbitrary constant phase is const = =4. Indeed, we have (a) = 0, (b) = ,'(a) = 0, '(b) = , (we have f(a) = f(b) = 0, but for a sm all positive num ber : f(a +) = f(b) = 1) and thus:

$$P_n$$
 (b) = P_n (a +) (1)⁺¹ tan (const):

For general potentials the constant phase remains undeterm ined at this order, but we note that the general form of P_n does not depend on the parity properties of V.

3. Connected Correlation Functions

From this asymptotic expansion of P_n one can now derive the kernel (;), and then the connected correlation functions, in the large N limit. The authors of ref. [1] have calculated some correlation functions in two regimes: short range correlations ($_i _j$) 1=N, and m esoscopic correlations ($_i _j$) 1=N. Note that the polynomials P_N and P_N 1 oscillate at a frequency of order N (which corresponds to the discrete spectrum of a matrix of size N nite), and therefore, all the correlation functions will present such oscillations.

In the short distance regime, these oscillations give the dominant behaviour, and eq. (2.6) leads to:

$$(;) \frac{\sin [2 N () ()]}{2 N ()}$$

and all connected correlation functions follow. Note that prime being of order 1=N, the connected m icroscopic n-point function will be of order 1=Nⁿ. All this is studied in detail in ref. [1], and we will now concentrate our attention on the mesoscopic case.

In the regime $_{i}$ $_{j}$ 1=N, it is interesting to consider sm oothed functions, de ned by averaging the fast oscillations. For instance we nd that:

$$[D_2(;)]_{\text{sm ooth}} = \frac{1}{2N^{2/2}} \frac{1}{(;)^2} \frac{1}{\sin'\sin'} \frac{1}{\sin'\sin'};$$
 (3:1)

where

$$= \frac{a+b}{2} \quad \frac{b}{2} \cos'; \quad = \frac{a+b}{2} \quad \frac{b}{2} \cos : \quad (32)$$

B rezin and Zee noted (ref. [1]) that the sm oothed higher order n-points correlation functions vanished identically at the order $1=N^n$ for n > 2. Indeed, we will prove below that they are of order $1=N^{2(n-1)}$, and give a recursive method to compute them with the help of loop-correlators.

4. The n-Loop Correlation Functions

Let us introduce the functions:

$$!_{n}(z_{1}; :::; z_{n}) = N^{n-2} tr \frac{1}{z_{1} M} ::: tr \frac{1}{z_{n} M} (4:1)$$

They are related to the previous correlation functions by the relations:

$$!_{n} (z_{1}; :::; z_{n}) = N^{2n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{Y^{n}}{z_{i}} \frac{d_{i}}{z_{i}} O_{n} (1; :::; n)$$
(4.2)

 $!_n$ is called the n-loop correlation function, because it is the Laplace-transform of the partition function of a discrete random surface limited by n loops (the z_i are conjugated to the lengths of the loops). This remark allows to understand the topological origin of the factor N 2n 2 indeed,

the Laplace-transform of the complete n-point correlation function which is of order 1, would be the partition function of every surface (not necessary connected) with n boundaries. Each surface contributes with a topological weight N where is its Euler character. The leading term is the most disconnected one, with = n (indeed, such a surface is made of n discs, each of them having = 1), while the connected term has = 2 n (it is a sphere (= 2) from which n discs have been removed). Therefore, the relative contribution of the connected part to the complete n-loop function is N^{2 2n}.

Remark that relation (4.2) can be inverted: $!_n$ is analytical except when some of the z_i belong to the interval [a;b]. O_n can then be expressed in terms of the di erences of $!_n$ between opposite sides of the cut. For instance:

$$() = \frac{1}{2i} (! (+ i0) ! (i0))$$

$$O_{2}(;) = \frac{1}{(2i N)^{2}} (!_{2}(+ i0; + i0) !_{2}(+ i0; i0) !_{2}(i0; + i0)$$

$$+ !_{2}(i0; i0)):$$

and for generaln:

$$O_{n}(_{1};:::;_{n}) = \frac{1}{N^{2n-2}} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{i=-1}^{n} X_{i}(_{1})^{(_{1}+:::+_{n})} !_{n}(_{1}+_{1}i0;:::;_{n}+_{n}i0) (4:3)$$

Note that these functions are directly the smoothed correlation functions, since we rst compute $!_n$ in the large N lim it at complex arguments (which suppresses the oscillations), and then take the discontinuities along the cut.

In order to compute $!\,_n$, we consider the partition function:

$$Z = e^{N^2 F} = dM e^{N trV(M)}$$

with V (z) = $P_{k=1}^{1} g_k z^k = k$, and de ne the loop insertion operator:

$$\frac{X^{k}}{V(z)} = \frac{k}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} \frac{k}{z^{k+1}} \frac{Q}{Q_{k}}} :$$
(4:4)

Note that with this de nition

$$\frac{V(z^{0})}{V(z)} = \frac{1}{z z^{0}} - \frac{1}{z}:$$
(4.5)

The $!_n$ are obtained from the free energy F by the repeated action of this operator:

$$!_{n}(z_{1}; :::; z_{n}) = - V(z_{1}) - V(z_{n})^{F};$$
 (4:6a)

$$= \frac{1}{V(z_n)}!_{n-1}(z_1; :::; z_{n-1}):$$
(4:6b)

It is not necessary to calculate the free energy F, since we already know the one-loop function !(z). We have already emphasized that !(z) satisfies a linear equation (eq. (2.5)), and thus, all its derivatives satisfy the same linear equation, with a dimension $!_n$.

The linear equation for ! is:

$$! (+ i0) + ! (i0) = V^{0}():$$
 (4:7)

By a repeated action of the loop insertion operator, we obtain:

$$!_{2}(+ i0;z) + !_{2}(i0;z) = \frac{1}{(z + y^{2})};$$
 (4:8)

and for n > 2:

$$!_{n} (+ i0; z_{2}; ...; z_{n}) + !_{n} (i0; z_{2}; ...; z_{n}) = 0 :$$
(4:9)

The function ! (z) has the form

!
$$(z) = \frac{1}{2} V^{0}(z) M(z) (z a)(z b)$$

where M (z) is a polynom ial such that !(z) = 1=z when z ! 1, and therefore:

M (z) =
$$\frac{V^{0}(z)}{(z \ a)(z \ b)}$$
;

T hen

$$() = \frac{1}{2}M()^{p}(a)(b) = \frac{1}{2}M()^{b}a\frac{a}{2}\sin';$$

where we have used the param etrization (3.2).

The two-loop function is also completely determ ined by the linear equation (4.8) and boundary conditions:

$$!_{2}(x;y) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(x \ y)^{2}} \quad 2 + \frac{(x \ y)^{2}}{p} \frac{(x \ a)(x \ b)}{(x \ a)(x \ b)} \frac{(y \ a)(y \ b)}{(y \ a)(y \ b)}$$

ı.

and thus, in agreem ent with eq. (3.1):

$$O_2(;) = \frac{1}{2N^{2}} \frac{1}{(;)^2} \frac{1}{(;)^2} \frac{1}{\sin' \sin'} \frac{1}{\sin' \sin'}$$

The other loop functions all satisfy an hom ogeneous equation, and can be written:

$$!_{n}(_{1}; \ldots; _{n}) = \begin{array}{c} Y^{n} \\ \vdots = 1 \end{array} \frac{!_{2n} 3}{!_{2n}} W_{n}(_{1}; \ldots; _{n});$$

with now $_{n} = \frac{a+b}{2}$ $\frac{b-a}{2} \cos'_{n}$, and where the W $_{n}$ are some symmetric polynomials of degree less than 2n 5 in each $_{i}$, which are no longer determined by the boundary conditions. It is necessary to directly use the recursion relation (4:6b). Since $!_{2}$ depends on the potential V (M) only through a and b, we need the actions of loop-insertion operator on a and b, for instance a = V(z), ${}^{2}a = V(z) V(z)$ For this purpose, we introduce the follow ing m oments of the potential:

$$M_{k} = \frac{1}{2i} dz \frac{1}{(z \ a)^{k}} p \frac{V^{0}(z)}{(z \ a) (z \ b)}$$
$$J_{k} = \frac{1}{2i} dz \frac{1}{(z \ b)^{k}} p \frac{V^{0}(z)}{(z \ a) (z \ b)}$$

(the integration path turns clockwise around the cut [a;b]).

They are such that:

$$M(z) = \begin{bmatrix} X & & X \\ M_{k+1}(z & a)^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} X & & \\ J_{k+1}(z & b)^{k} \\ & & k \end{bmatrix}$$

The M_k and J_k are linearly related to the coe cients of the potential V, and if V is a polynom ialofdegree v, M is ofdegree v 2, and there are only v 1 independent coe cient among the M_k and J_k. Note also, that if V is even, we have M_k = $(1^k)J_k$.

The cut end-points a and b depend on V through the conditions that (see ref. [8]):

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{-1}^{I} dz \frac{p}{p} \frac{\nabla^{0}(z)}{(z \ a) (z \ b)} = 0;$$

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{-1}^{I} dz \frac{p}{p} \frac{z \nabla^{0}(z)}{(z \ a) (z \ b)} = 2:$$

It follows (using (4.5) and perform ing the contour integrals):

$$\frac{a}{V(z)} = \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1}{z} \frac{p}{a} \frac{1}{(z - a)(z - b)}$$

$$\frac{b}{V(z)} = \frac{1}{J_1} \frac{1}{z} \frac{p}{b} \frac{1}{(z - a)(z - b)}$$

In order to determ ine the higher order derivatives of a and b, we need to di erentiate the coe cients M $_k$ and J_k:

$$\frac{M_{k}}{V(z)} = \frac{2k+1}{2} \frac{a}{V(z)} M_{k+1} \frac{M_{1}}{(z \ a)^{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{V(z)} \frac{J_{1}}{(b \ a)^{k}} \frac{J_{1}}{(z \ a)^{k}} \frac{J_{1}}{(z \ a)^{k}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{M_{l+1}}{(b \ a)^{k-1}}$$

and analogous form ulae are obtained for the J_k by the exchange a b.

W ith these tools, we can now determ ine the $!_n$ recursively. Let us for instance write $!_3$:

$$!_{3} (x;y;z) = \frac{a \ b}{8 \ p \ (x \ a) (x \ b)} \frac{p}{(y \ a) (y \ b)} \frac{p}{(z \ a) (z \ b)}^{3}$$
$$\frac{1}{M_{1}} (x \ b) (y \ b) (z \ b) \frac{1}{J_{1}} (x \ a) (y \ a) (z \ a)$$

For $!_4$ we rst de ne the polynom ials

Q
$$(x_i;a) = Y$$
 $(x_i a):$

W ith this notation

$$!_{4} (x_{i}) = \frac{1}{16 [0 (x_{i};a) 0 (x_{i};b)]^{3=2}} \qquad 3 (b \quad a \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}^{3}} 0 (x_{i};b) \quad 3 (a \quad b) \frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}^{3}} 0 (x_{i};a) + 3 \frac{1}{M_{1}^{2}} 0 (x_{i};b) (b \quad a) \qquad X \quad 4 \quad \frac{1}{i=1} \frac{1}{x_{i}} \quad a \quad 1 \\ + 3 \frac{1}{J_{1}^{2}} 0 (x_{i};a) (a \quad b) \qquad X \quad 4 \quad \frac{1}{i=1} \frac{1}{x_{i}} \quad b \quad 1 \\ + \frac{1}{M_{1}J_{1}} [(x_{1} \quad a) (x_{2} \quad a) (x_{3} \quad b) (x_{4} \quad b) + 5 \text{ term s] };$$

where the last additional terms symmetrize in the four variables. The connected functions O_n , are then obtained by (4.3) and (4.9), and they are simply given by:

$$O_n(1;:::; n) = \frac{1}{N^{2n-2}} \frac{1}{1}^n !_n(1+i0;:::; n+i0):$$

Universality. We observe that the only universal features of $O_1() = ()$ are the square-root singularities at the edge of the distribution, otherwise the function is potential dependent. Instead the two-point correlation function has a universal form, and can thus be calculated from the gaussian model.

The n-point sm oothed correlation functions with n 3 can be calculated recursively by a system atic m ethod described above. The main property is that they consist in a sum of a nite number of universal functions and involve only the rst n 2 moments of the potential. That means for instance, that two potentials V and V induce the same threepoint function as soon as they yield the same M₁ and J₁ coe cients, but they don't need to be identical. However, the n-point correlation function is no longer given by a gaussian model, since it is of order 1=N^{2n 2}. Perturbative corrections to the gaussian m odel have to be considered.

Note that the determ ination of correlation functions allows an evaluation of the moments M $_{\rm k}$, in a case where the potential V is unknown. Let us emphasize that these moments play an important role in the study of critical points. Since

$$() = \frac{1}{2}M()^{p}$$
 (a) (b)

we see that if som e m om ents vanish, the behaviour of near the end-points is no longer a square-root. W hen the m rst M $_{\rm k}$ vanish, one nds ($d^{\rm o}$) $^{+1=2}$ which corresponds to the m $^{\rm th}$ multicritical point of the one-m atrix model of 2D gravity. [7,8].

5. C onclusions

In this article we have recovered, by a completely di erent method, the results of ref. [1]concerning the two-point eigenvalue correlation function of a random herm itian matrix in the limit in which the size N of the matrix becomes large. Our method has allowed us to generalize the results to new distributions. In addition, we have established a recursion relation between successive n-point correlation functions at leading order for N large.

B rezin and Zee in ref. [1] have shown that the two-point function is universal, and therefore identical to the function of the gaussian matrix model. The gaussian model is the xed point of a renormalization group [2,3] and a direct RG analysis should be performed to put this result in perspective.

In the same way, B rezin and Zee have shown that higher correlation functions vanish at leading order. The contributions we have calculated here should be considered as corrections to the leading scaling behaviour. The explicit expressions we have obtained show that they depend now on successive m om ents of the potential, indicating an implicit classi cation of the deviations from the gaussian m odel in terms of their irrelevance for N large. Here also it would be interesting to con rm the qualitative aspects of these results by a direct RG analysis.

Appendix 1. Orthogonal polynom ials P_n: An explicit expression

Let us show that the orthogonal polynom ials P_n de ned by the orthogonality condition: Z

$$hP_n \quad P_i = nm = d e \quad P_n()P_m():$$

are given, up to a norm alization, by equation (2.2):

$$P_n()/d^{n^2}Me$$
 NtrV(M)
det(M):

First, this integral clearly yields a polynom ial of degree n in . Let us then verify the orthogonality property: after integration over the unitary group, the integration m easure R dM reduces to an integration over the eigenvalues of M, and the Jacobian of this transform ation is a square V and em onde determ inant:

$$P_{n}() / d_{i}e^{NV(i)} (i)^{2}(1;:::;n);$$

where

$$(_{1};:::_{n}) = Y_{(_{i} _{j}):_{i < j}}$$

In this form we recognize a more classical expression [10]. Then, setting = $_0$:

The rst V anderm onde is completely antisymmetric in the n + 1 variables, we can therefore antisymmetrize the factor $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, the result is zero if m < n because the only polynomial completely antisymmetric and of degree less than n = 1 in $_0$ is zero. Thus

$$< P_n \qquad {}^m > = 0:$$

it proves that P_n is orthogonal to any P_m with m < n, and that $< P_n P_m > = 0$ as soon as $m \in n$.

Remark that a similar integral representation can also be found for multi-matrix models. Consider two families of orthogonal polynom ials P_n and Q_n , such that:

$$< P_{n}() Q_{m}() > = d d e$$
 N(V()+U() c)
 $P_{n}()Q_{m}()/_{nm}:$

Then, we have:

$$P_{n}() = dM_{1} dM_{2} e^{N \operatorname{tr} [V(M_{1}) + U(M_{2}) \quad dM_{1}M_{2}]} \det(M_{1})$$

where M $_1$ and M $_2$ are herm it ian n n. Sim ilarly:

 $Q_{m}() = \frac{Z Z}{dM_{1} dM_{2} e}$ N tr [V (M₁) + U (M₂) dM₁M₂] det (M₂):

Appendix 2. Connected correlation functions and the kernel (;)

Some exact expressions exist for the correlation functions of m atrix m odels [11], in terms of a kernel (;). We again consider the m atrix distribution (1.1):

$$P(M) = \frac{1}{Z}e^{N \text{ tr} V(M)}$$

The corresponding measure can be rewritten in terms of the eigenvalues $_i$ of M and a unitary transformation U which diagonalizes M :

$$P(M)dM = Z^{-1}dU \qquad \begin{array}{c} Y \\ d_{i}e \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} NV(i) \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2(1; \ldots; N) \end{array}$$

 $(= {}^{Q}_{i < j} (i_{j})$ being the Vanderm onde determ inant). Therefore, the probability that the eigenvalues of M are $_{1}; :::;_{N}$ is:

$$\sum_{N (1;:::; N)} \frac{Y}{\sum_{i=1:::N}} e^{N V(i)} 2(1;:::; N);$$

and the correlation functions are obtained by partially integrating over som e eigenvalues:

$$\begin{array}{c} Z & Y \\ (1) = & d_{i N} (1; ...; N); \\ Z & Y \\ 2(1; 2) = & d_{i N} (1; ...; N) \\ & i = 3; ...; N \end{array}$$

... and so on .

The Vanderm onde determ inant can be written as:

$$(_{i}) = det _{i}^{j 1};$$

and thus, after som e linear com binations of colum ns of the m atrix:

= det
$$_{i 1}(_{i});$$
 $_{n}() = ^{n} + 0 ^{n 1};$

identity true for any set of polynom ials f $_n$ g norm alized as above. In order to perform the integrations, we choose $_n / P_n$, P_n being the orthogonal polynom ials (2.1). ² is the product of two such determ inants, therefore it is the determ inant of a matrix product:

$$K(_{i};_{j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{x}} P_{k}(_{j})P_{k}(_{j}): \qquad (2.1b)$$

The proportionality constant in (2.1a) is here irrelevant because the eigenvalue distribution is norm alized. The D arboux {Christo el form ula (appendix 3) tells that:

K (;) =
$$\frac{P_{N}()P_{N-1}()}{P_{N-1}()}$$

where is a norm alization constant depending on N, and = (a b)=4 when N ! 1. The important properties of K (;) are:

An explicit expression for n

$$n(1;:::; n) = \frac{1}{N!} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Y & Y & NV(i) \\ & d & i & e \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N!} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Y & Y & 0 \\ & d & i & e \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1;...;N} = \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Y & Y & 0 \\ & d & i & e \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N!} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Y & Y & 0 \\ & d & i & e \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N!} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Y & Y & 0 \\ & d & i & e \end{bmatrix}$$

can be obtained by successively integrating over eigenvalues. U sing the rules of K integration it is easy to prove by induction

$$n(1; 2; :::; n) = \frac{N^{n}(N n)!}{N!} \det (i; j);$$

where we have introduced the reduced function

$$(;) = \frac{1}{N} e^{(N=2)[V()+V()]} K(;):$$

Therefore, when the $_{i}$ are all distinct we have:

$$hO(_{1}):::O(_{n})i = det (_{i};_{j}) = (_{1}) (_{i};_{i})$$

The connected function will involve only the sum over the permutations such that Q_i (_i; _i) cannot be split in the product of two cyclic products, i.e. only cyclic permutations will contribute to the connected function. This intuitive result is a classical combinatorial identity. can be proven from The connected function can thus be written:

$$h0 (_{1}):::0 (_{n})i_{conn} = (_{1})^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} \underset{permutations \ i}{X Y} (_{i}; _{i+1});$$
$$= (_{1})^{n+1} [_{(_{1};_{2})} (_{2};_{3})::: (_{n};_{1}) +];$$

where the additional terms in the r.h.s. symmetrize the expression. Or in a more compact way:

$$h0 (_1):::0 (_n)i_{conn} = (_1)^{n+1} X Y^n (_i; _i)$$

$$(_i; _i)$$

$$(_i; _i)$$

Appendix 3. Derivation of the Darboux {Christo el form u la

The polynom ial P_n () can be expanded on the basis of the P_m with m + 1:

$$P_{n}() = \sum_{m=n-1}^{N+1} Q_{nm} P_{m}():$$

The orthogonality condition (2.1) implies that the matrix Q is symmetric:

$$Q_{nm} = \langle P_n \quad P_n \rangle = \langle P_n \quad P_n \rangle = Q_{mn}$$

The polynomial () K (;) can thus be written:

$$() K (;) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ NX^{1} & X^{N} & X^{N} \\ 0 & Q_{ki}P_{i}()P_{k}() & Q_{kj}P_{k}()P_{j}()^{A} \\ 0 & j=0 \end{pmatrix}$$

All the term s cancel, except the upper-bounds:

()K (;) =
$$Q_{N;N-1} P_N$$
 () P_{N-1} () P_N () P_{N-1} ();

therefore = $Q_{N,N}$ 1. In the large N limit, it is possible to calculate . The simplest way of doing this is to calculate P_{N-1} from expression (2.6). Since

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \quad \frac{b}{2} \quad \frac{a}{2} \cos' \quad \cos(\ ') = \frac{a+b}{2} \cos(\ ') \quad \frac{b}{4} \cos (\ 2');$$

we have:

$$P_{N-1} = \frac{a+b}{2}P_{N-1} - \frac{b-a}{4}(P_{N} + P_{N-2})$$

and therefore = (a b)=4.

References

- [1] E.Brezin and A.Zee, Nucl. Phys. B402 (1993); Correlation functions in disordered systems
- [2] E.Brezin and J.Zinn-Justin, Phys. Lett. B288 (1992) 54.
- [3] S. Higuchi, C. Itoi, S. Nishigaki, N. Sakai, Nucl. Phys. B 318 (1993) 63; Nonlinear Renorm alization Group Equation for Matrix Models
- [4] J. Ambjm, L. Chekhov, C.F. Kristjansen, Yu Makeenko, Nucl. Phys. B404 (1993)
 127; Matrix model calculations beyond the spherical limit.
- [5] M.L.Mehta, Random matrices, second edition, ed. by A cadem ic Press inc (1991).
- [6] E.Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.-B. Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. 59 (1978) 35;
 Bessis, C. Itzykson, and J.-B. Zuber, Adv. Appl. Math. 1 (1980) 109.
- [7] M.Douglas and S.Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B335 (1990) 635;
 E.Brezin and V.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B236 (1990) 144;
 D.Gross and A.Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 127; Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 333.
- [8] For a review on matrix model techniques see also for example P. Di Francesco, P. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, 2D Gravity and Random Matrices Saclay preprint T 93/061, Physics Report to appear.
- [9] B.Eynard and J.Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. B 386 (1992) 558.
- [10] G.Szego, Orthogonal Polynom ials ed. by the American Mathematical Society, (1939).
- [11] F.J.D yson, Commun.M ath. Phys. 19 (1970) 235; Correlations between the eigenvalues of a random m atrix.