## Finite Tem perature and Density E ects in Planar $Q \in D$

 $GilGat^1$  and  $RashmiRay^2$ 

Physics Department University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742 USA

## A bstract

The behavior of nite tem perature planar electrodynam ics is investigated. We calculate the static as well as dynam ic characteristic functions using real time form alism. The tem perature and density dependence of dielectric and permeability functions, plasm on frequencies and their relation to the screening length is determ ined. The radiative correction to the ferm ion m ass is also calculated. We also calculate the tem perature dependence of the electron (anyon) m agnetic m om ent. Our results for the gyrom agnetic ratio go sm oothly to the known result at zero tem perature, g = 2, in accordance with the general expectation.

<sup>1</sup>ggat@ delphium d.edu

<sup>2</sup> rray@ delphium d.edu

Introduction

Perturbative quantum eld theory at nite temperature and density is of current interest in m any areas of elementary particle physics. A part from its cosm ological relevance it is in portant in determining the properties of systems like the quark-gluon plasma in Q C D and the electron-positron plasma in Q E D. It is also of some interest in a more exact description of nuclear matter [1]. The recent interest in planar systems motivates the investigation of such sytems in 2+1 dimensions [2]; further since by dimensional arguments the behavior of m any physical quantities at low temperature is expected to be enhanced in 2+1 dimensions (i.e. T instead of  $T^2$  in 3+1 dimensions) it might provide a simpler way of testing Q E D in 2+1 accurately.

In this paper we investigate som e properties of the two and three point functions in 2+1 dimensionalQ E D. The G reen functions are the basic tools in the study of quantum m any body system s. K now ledge of the G reen functions allow s one to calculate all physically interesting quantities like energy, density, therm odynam ic potential etc. Furtherm ore, the singularities in m omentum space of these functions determ ine the spectrum of excitations of the system. Linear response theory relates the G reen functions to the various quantities that characterize the response of the system to sm all external elds. In the following sections we use the real time form alism [15] to calculate the polarization tensor, the ferm ion self energy and the vertex function at nite density and tem perature. W e calculate static properties like the dielectric constant and m agnetic permeability as well as the m agnetic and electric screening lengths. The m agnetic m ass vanishes in the leading order as in the 3+1 dimensional case. Dynam ical properties such as the transverse and longitudinal plasm on frequency can also be extracted from the photon two point function. W e nd that for sm all m om enta  $(m_{pl}^{L})^2 = (m_{pl}^{T})^2 = \frac{1}{2}m_{el}^2$  (in the 3+1 dimensional case , for sm all m om enta  $(m_{pl}^{L})^2 = (m_{pl}^{T})^2 = \frac{1}{3}m_{el}^2$ ).

In 2+1 dimensions there is the possibility of adding a topological gauge invariant m ass term , the Chem Sim ons term (CS), to the action of Q E D . The spin and statistics of electrons in this case is altered by this term,  $S = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$  where is the CS coe cient. The nite temperature behavior of the CS term has been investigated by several authors [5], [7], [3]. Here we calculate its dependence on the density and temperature. The spin of electrons (anyons) is related to the anom alous m agnetic m om ent by the g factor,  $= \frac{g}{2m}S$ . It has been observed [17] that at zero temperature g = 2 and that this result is an exact one. This was veri ed to one loop in perturbation theory [16]. From the three point function we can directly calculate the anom alous magnetic moment at nite temperature. We verify that to one loop and in the limit T; ! 0, g = 2.

Finite Tem perature Propagators

There exist two main formulations of eld theory at nite temperature. In the imaginary time formalism one replaces the continuous energy variable  $k_0$ by 2 inT for bosons and 2 i(n +  $\frac{1}{2}$ )T for fermions. and the integration over this variable by a discrete sum  $\frac{R}{2} \frac{dk}{2}$ ! iT  $\frac{P}{n=1}$ . From this one immediately notices that in the very high temperature case the time direction disappears entirely because the temporal interval shrinks to zero. A lso, in this limit only the zero energy processes survive, as nonzero energy necessarily means high energy at high temperatures, owing to discrete nature of the energy variable. Thus at high temperatures all M atsubara modes with n  $\neq$  0 decouple. This feature is certainly attractive in a study of static processes. How ever, one might want to study processes where the energy is neither of two extrem es{large or zero. The imaginary time formalism is clearly not ideally suited for this regime. A part from this, if one is interested in addressing non-static problem s one has to analytically continue the results in order to recover the real time. This process of analytic continuation can actually become quite arduous in many cases of interest. In the real time formulation, on the other hand the energies remain realbut one has to accept a doubling of the number of degrees of freedom. T in e in this formalism is treated as a complex quantity and the real time is actually just one branch (A) of a time contour (Fig. 1) in the complex plane, that lies on the real axis. The other branches of the contour how ever necessitate the introduction of unphysical degrees of freedom which have support on the branch (B) of the contour. Consequently, we are required to deal with a minimum of four di erent propagators between AA, BB, AB and BA respectively [14].

Here we just quote the expressions for the ferm ion and the photon propagators of the AA kind. The ferm ion propagator is

$$S_F(k) = \frac{i}{k - m + i} - 2(k + m)(k^2 - m^2)n_F(k_0);$$
 (1)

where

$$n_{\rm F}(k_0) = \frac{(k_0)}{e^{(k_0+1)} + 1} + \frac{(k_0)}{e^{(k_0-1)} + 1}$$
(2)

The photon propagator in 2+1 dimensions in the Landau gauge is

D (k) = ig 
$$\frac{k k}{k^2} + iM$$
  $\frac{k}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 M^2} - 2 i (k^2 M^2) n_B (k_0)$ ;  
(3)

where

$$n_{\rm B} (k_0) = \frac{1}{e^{k_0} - 1}$$
: (4)

In one loop calculations we need only these propagators [3].

Photonic Two point function

The polarization tensor at nite tem perature and density determ ines the dielectric constant, m agnetic perm eability and other physically interesting quantities [4]. Here we calculate the vacuum polarization to one loop at nite tem perature and density . The usual notion of tem perature presupposes the existence of a preferred frame of reference. We choose to stay with this de nition and thus lose a manifestly covariant description. We therefore compute  $_{00}$  and  $_{ij}$ separately <sup>1</sup>. In contrast to sim ilar calculations in 3+1 dimensions it is possible to express all the results in terms of sim ple analytic functions.

The most general expression for the parity-even part of the photon two point function is given by [4]

$$_{T} (!; \tilde{K})P + _{L} (!; \tilde{K})Q ;$$
 (5)

where

P g + 
$$\frac{k k}{\tilde{\kappa}^2}$$
  $\frac{k_0}{\tilde{\kappa}^2}$  (k g \_0 + k g \_0) +  $\frac{k^2}{\tilde{\kappa}^2}$  g \_0 g \_0 (6)

and

$$\frac{1}{k^{2}\tilde{k}^{2}}(k_{0}k \quad k^{2}g_{0})(k_{0}k \quad k^{2}g_{0}):$$
(7)

This satis es the W ard identity

0

$$k = 0$$
 : (8)

The functions  $_{\rm T}$  and  $_{\rm L}$  can be obtained from as

$$L (!;k) = \frac{k^2}{jk j^2} _{00} (!;k);$$
  

$$T (!;k) = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} _{ij} (!;k):$$
(9)

W e are mostly concerned with the static limit, where the time component  $k_0$  of the four-vector k is set to zero. This is appropriate to the case where the linear response to a static external electrom agnetic eld is investigated. Here, the W ard identity assumes the form

$$k^{i}_{\ i} = 0$$
: (10)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  of course one could form ulate the problem covariantly a la W eldon [4].

In this particular situation, where  $P_{i0} = Q_{i0} = Q_{ij} = 0$ , this is tantam ount to

$$k^{i}_{j} = 0$$
: (11)

Thus

$$_{ij} = _{T} (0; \tilde{\kappa}) (g_{ij} + \frac{k_i k_j}{\tilde{\kappa}^2}) :$$
 (12)

It is easily veri ed that the trace of the polarization tensor is just  $_{\rm T}$  (0;  $\tilde{\kappa})$  in this case.

In the real time form alism one can easily separate the vacuum contribution from the nite density and temperature contribution. In the leading order (p) can be written as

$$(p) = ie^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \operatorname{Tr}[S_{F}(p+k) S_{F}(k)]$$

$$= {}^{(0;0)}(p) + {}^{(;)}(p);$$

$$(13)$$

where  $^{(0;0)}$  (p) is the zero tem perature and density polarization tensor. The tem perature and density dependent terms are given by:

$$(;) (p) = ie^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} L (p;k)2 i \frac{n_{F}(k)(k^{2} - m^{2})}{(k+p)^{2} - m^{2} + i} + \frac{n_{F}(p+k)((p+k)^{2} - m^{2})}{k^{2} - m^{2} + i}$$

$$+ 2 in_{F}(k)n_{F}(k+p)(k^{2} - m^{2})((k+p)^{2} - m^{2});$$

$$(14)$$

where

L 
$$(p;k) = 2[2k k + p k + p k g (k^2 m^2 + p k) + im p]: (15)$$

From this rather general expression for the one-loop polarization tensor, we extract useful information about the screening length of the electric eld at nite temperature and density (from the electric mass  $m_{el}$ ), the static dielectric function and the static magnetic susceptibility.

If the electric eld due to a charge placed in a medium picks up a mass term as a result of interactions with the medium, it becomes short ranged and

the charge is screened. Screening occurs naturally in a plasma as the charge attracts a halo of charges of the opposite signature around it, polarizing the plasma and thereby electively reducing its own strength. The starting point for a calculation of the screening length is the vacuum polarization (photonic two point) function in the plasma in the static limit. In fact, the potential energy of a static  $e^+ - e^-$  pair, V (R; ;T) is given by

$$V(\mathbf{R};;\mathbf{T}) = \frac{Z}{\frac{d^{2}k}{(2)^{2}}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} V(\mathbf{k};;\mathbf{T})$$
$$= \frac{\frac{d^{2}k}{(2)^{2}}}{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{2}}{k^{2}} [1_{00}(0;\mathbf{k})=\mathbf{k}^{2}]: \quad (16)$$

For large R this potential becomes V (R; ;T) !  $\frac{e^2}{4} \frac{\beta}{p} \frac{m}{m} \frac{e^{1R}}{m}$ , so that it is actually screened with screening length '  $\frac{1}{m}$ . In order to calculate the screening length of static external electric elds we compute  $_{00}$  in the static infra red lim it  $\lim_{p!=0} p = (0;p)$ :

$$Re(_{00}(p)) = m_{el}^{2} + \sim_{00}(0)p^{2} + ::: (17)$$

We thus rst restrict our attention to the real part of  $_{00}$ . From eq.(14) it follows that the terms that contribute to this part are linear in  $n_F$ .

$$Re(\binom{(i,i)}{00}(p)) = e^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{2^{2}} (k_{0}^{2} + w^{2} + p - k) \frac{(k_{0}^{2} - w^{2})}{k_{0}^{2} - p^{2} - 2p - k^{-2}w} + \frac{(k_{0}^{2} - w^{2})}{k_{0}^{2} - w^{2}} n_{f}(k)$$
(18)
where  $w = \frac{p}{k^{2} + m^{2}}$  and  $w^{0} = \frac{p}{w^{2} + p^{2} + 2p - k} k$ . Expanding the second

where  $w = k^2 + m^2$  and  $w^\circ = w^2 + p^2 + 2p - k$ . Expanding the second term in eq.(18) around p = 0 we nd that it exactly cancels the contribution of the rst term, with the remaining contribution being easy to evaluate through integration by parts. Thus:

$$m_{e1}^{2} = \frac{e^{2}}{2} \log [1 + 2e^{m} \cosh () + e^{2m}] + \frac{e^{2m}}{2} \frac{1}{e^{(m)} + 1} + \frac{1}{e^{(m+)} + 1};$$
(19)

and

$$\sim_{00} (0) = \frac{e^2}{2 m} \frac{1}{e^{(m+)} + 1} + \frac{1}{e^{(m-)} + 1} \frac{e^2}{8} \frac{e^{(m+)}}{(e^{(m+)} + 1)^2} + \frac{e^{(m-)}}{(e^{(m-)} + 1)^2}$$
(20)

In the limit T > m,  $m_{el}^2$  becomes  $m_{el}^2 ' \frac{e^2 T}{2} \log(2)$ . For > T > m we not  $m_{el}^2 ' \frac{e^2 m}{2} [1 + e^{(m + 1)}]$  (see g. 2, 3).

The dielectric function which describes the response of the system to small constant external electric elds is given by

Re 
$$(p) = 1 + \frac{00}{p^2} = 1 + \frac{m_{el}^2}{p^2} = 1 + \frac{m_{el}^2}{p^2} = (0,0) + \frac{m_{el}^2}{p^2} = (21)$$

The spatial part of the polarization tensor has the following form in the static limit

$$_{ij} = {}^{T} g_{ij} + \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p^{2}}$$
 (22)

Taking the trace we get  $i \atop i (0;p) = p^2 T (p^2)$  or

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\begin{array}{c}i\\i\end{array}(p)\right) = e^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{2} (w^{2} - k_{0}^{2} - k^{2}) - \frac{(k_{0}^{2} - w^{2})}{k_{0}^{2} - w^{0}} + \frac{(k_{0}^{2} - w^{0})}{k_{0}^{2} - w^{2}} - n_{F} (k) :$$
(23)

Expanding w $^0$  and collecting all term swe nd

$$\operatorname{Re}(^{T}(0;p)) = \frac{3e^{2}}{4m} \frac{1}{e^{(m+)} + 1} + \frac{1}{e^{(m-)} + 1} p^{2} + O(p^{4}) : \quad (24)$$

This can now be used to determ ine the magnetic permeability function (0;p) which is defined by (see Fig. 4)

$$\frac{1}{(0;p)} = 1 + \frac{\text{Re}^{\text{T}}(0;p)}{p^2} = 1 + \frac{3\text{e}^2}{4\text{ m}} \frac{1}{\text{e}^{(m+)} + 1} + \frac{1}{\text{e}^{(m-)} + 1} + 0 (p^2) :$$
(25)

We note that as expected (0;p) (0;p) = 1 for T m.

The parity odd part of the polarization tensor is especially interesting in 2+1 dimensions. In particular the parity odd part of is known to generate

a topological gauge invariant m ass [11]. Follow ing  $\beta$ ] we write the full real time ferm ion propagator as

$$S_{F}^{\prime}(p) = U_{F}(p_{0})S_{F}^{0,0}(p)U_{F}(p_{0})^{\gamma};$$
 (26)

where

$$U_{F}(p_{0}) = \begin{array}{c} \cos(p; ) & e^{-2}\sin(p; ) \\ e^{-2}\sin(p; ) & \cos(p; ) \end{array}$$
(27)

and

$$\cos (p; ) = \frac{(p_0)e^{(p_0)=4} + (p_0)e^{(p_0)=4}}{P e^{(p_0)=2} + e^{(p_0)=2}}$$
(28)  
$$\sin (p; ) = \frac{(p_0)e^{(p_0)=4} (p_0)e^{(p_0)=4}}{P e^{(p_0)=2} + e^{(p_0)=2}} :$$

In this notation the parity odd part of becomes

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{\text{odd}}(p) & = & \text{i}e^{2} & \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \operatorname{Tr}[ & U_{\mathrm{F}}(p+k) \frac{p+k+m}{(p+k)^{2} & m^{2}} U_{\mathrm{F}}(p+k)^{y} & U_{\mathrm{F}}(k) \frac{(k+m)}{k^{2} & m^{2}} U_{\mathrm{F}}(k)] \\ & & & & 2m e^{2} & p & \frac{e}{(2m)^{2}} & \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} U_{\mathrm{F}}(k) \frac{1}{k^{2} & m^{2}} U_{\mathrm{F}}(k)^{y} \\ & & & = & 2m e^{2} & p & \frac{e}{(2m)^{2}} & \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} & \frac{1}{k^{2} & m^{2}} + 2 \text{ i } (k^{2} & m^{2})n_{\mathrm{F}}(k) ; \end{array}$$

where the ' m eans that we have expanded the integrand around p = 0. Collecting all term s we arrive at the following expression

$$(p) = \frac{ie^2}{4} \frac{m}{jn j} [tanh((m + )) + tanh((m ))] + O(p^2): (30)$$

In the lim it of zero tem perature and zero chem ical potential eq.(30) reduces to the result of [3], [5], [7].

P lasm a oscilations

The application of a time dependent perturbation on a plasm a sets up oscillations in the system, which are of the form of longitudinal and transverse traveling waves. This is to be contrasted with the static case of screening discussed earlier, where the introduction of a static charge in the plasm a polarized the plasm a and screened the electric eld. The longitudinal wave is associated with compression and relaxation of the plasm a and its dispersion relation is naturally obtained from the density-density correlation function, namely <sub>00</sub>. The value of the frequency as the momentum is taken to zero in the dispersion relation yields what may be called the longitudinal plasm on mass. Thus the plasm on is a long-wavelength collective e ect in the plasm a, embodying the contributions due to the many-body e ect. The oscillatory electric and magnetic elds accelerate the electrons and positrons in the plasm a and this in turn means that it takes a nite amount of energy to excite an oscillation with vanishing momentum. This is what renders the plasm on massive.

The dispersion relation for the transverse oscillations is obtained, correspondingly from the transverse part of the photon two point function. The frequency at vanishing momentum is the transverse plasm on mass. However, rotational invariance requires that at zero momentum the two plasm on masses should be equal. This has been explicitly seen in 3+1 dimensions [4], [15]. In what follows, we verify this equality for a planar plasm a and nd an explicit expression for the plasm on mass.

The dispersion relations for the longitudinal and the transverse plasmons are obtained respectively from

$$!_{L;T}^{2} = k^{2} + _{L;T} (!;k)$$
 (31)

in the lim it where jk j !.  $_{L,T}$  have already been de ned in earlier sections eq.(9). In term s of the components of  $\cdot$ , they are given by

$$_{\rm L} (!;k) = \frac{k^2}{k^2} _{00}$$
(32)

and

$$T_{T}(!k) = \frac{1}{2}(g^{ij} + \frac{k^{i}k^{j}}{k^{2}})_{ij}(!;k) :$$
(33)

Here, we choose to work with a neutral plasm a (i.e., we set the chem ical potential

= 0). We expand  $_{\rm L}$  and  $_{\rm T}$  in powers of jp  $j=p_0$  and in the high tem perature lim it where we can drop the electron m ass in comparison with the tem perature, we obtain

$$_{\rm L}$$
 (!;0) '  $\frac{{\rm e}^2}{2} \int_{\rm m}^{2} dx n_{\rm F}$  (x) (34)

and

$$_{T}$$
 (!;0) '  $\frac{e^{2}}{2} \int_{m}^{Z_{1}} dx n_{F} (x);$  (35)

where  $n_F(x) = \frac{1}{e^{-jx}j+1}$ . The integral can be performed trivially and in the high temperature limit, yields

$$m_T^2 = m_L^2 / \frac{e^2}{2} T (\log 2)$$
: (36)

C om paring with what we had for the electric mass in the case of screening in an earlier section eq.(19), we see that

$$m_{T}^{2} = m_{L}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}m_{el}^{2}$$
: (37)

It is instructive to com pare this with what is obtained in 3+1 dim ensions. There,

$$m_{T}^{2} = m_{L}^{2} = \frac{1}{3}m_{el}^{2}$$
: (38)

Thus we have, in this section explicitly shown the equality of the masses of the two plasmons and have related this mass to the inverse of the Debye screening length obtained earlier.

Radiative Ferm ion M ass

It is well known [13] that a ferm ion mass term in 2+1 dimensional QED (with an odd number of avors) breaks invariance under parity explicitly. This results in the radiative generation of a C-S term for the gauge eld. This in turn provides a gauge-invariant mass for the gauge eld. Here, we are interested in the converse e ect, namely, the radiative generation of ferm ion mass. This already occurs at zero temperature and density. In what follows, we investigate the e ect of nite temperature and density on this radiative mass.

For simplicity we assume a zero bare ferm ion mass. The radiative mass is computed from the self-energy correction graph using a standard procedure. At zero temperature and density the leading order correction to the ferm ion mass is obtained from :

$$(p) = ie^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} S_{F}(p k) D (k):$$
(39)

This corresponds to:

$$(p) = ie^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{L}{(p + k)^{2} k^{2}} \frac{P}{(k)} + iM \frac{k}{k^{2}}; \qquad (40)$$

where,

L 
$$(p;k) = (p k) + (p k) g (p k) + i (p k):$$
 (41)

and M is the topological photon m ass. The physical ferm ion m ass is de ned as the location of the pole in the propagator. Expanding eq.(41) around p = 0 we nd

$$m_{\rm phys} = \frac{e^2}{2} \frac{M}{jM j}$$
(42)

At T  $\in$  0 and  $\in$  0 how ever the situation is di erent. Since charge conjugation invariance is explicitly broken, one expects that in addition to the above diagram there is a contributing \tadpole" diagram. To ensure the overall neutrality of the plasm a, an uniform background is introduced, described by a classical current J, which contributes a term J A to the Lagrangian. In 3+1 dimensions, the contribution of the \tadpole" is infrared singular, as it involves the zero momentum limit of the massless photon propagator. This singular contribution is seen to be canceled by the background charge distribution chosen to maintain the neutrality of the plasma. In 2+1 dimensions, the contribution of the \tadpole" is not entirely infrared singular. The photon is now massive and the contribution has a nite part in addition to the singular parts. However, the background distribution that cancels these in nities also cancels this nite part, as we show below. Thus, even in 2+1 dimensions where the photon acquires a topologicalmass, the \tadpole" does not contribute to the ferm ionic two point function. The second order contribution of the neutralizing external current to the ferm ion propagator is given by

$$(i)^{2} d^{d}x d^{d}y J(x) hT(y) (y) A(x) A(y) i:$$
 (43)

If we choose the background as uniform, we may represent it as J(x) = ea, where a is a constant. Thus, the above expression reduces to

$$ea S_F (p) S_F (p)D (0);$$
 (44)

which after truncating the external ferm ionic lines yields

7

The \tadpole" diagram , on the other hand, gives a contribution of

$$i\hat{e}^{2} \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} tr[S_{F}(k)] D (0):$$
(46)

So, the background eld required to nullify the \tadpole" contribution can be read o to be  $$_7$$ 

$$a = ie \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{4}} tr[S_{F}(k)]: \qquad (47)$$

This can be easily seen to be proportional to the density of the plasma, as it should be in order to render it neutral. Thus,

$$a = eg^{0} : (48)$$

The neutralizing background obviously vanishes when = 0, as the plasm a in that case contains equal numbers of positrons and electrons and is neutral by itself. This background current gets renorm alized by radiative corrections to the \tadpole", which how ever do not contribute to the radiative ferm ionic m ass.

Let us now return to 40. At nite temperature and density, the self-energy it is given by

$$(p) = \frac{M e^2}{2 2} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^3k}{(2)^3} (p + k^2) \left[ \frac{n_F (p_0 - k_0) ((p + k^2))}{k^2 (k^2 - M^2)} - \frac{n_B (k_0) (k^2 - M^2)}{k^2 (k - p)^2} \right]$$

$$(49)$$

W e evaluate this on the mass-shell, p = 0 and  $p^2 = 0$ . A rather straightforward evaluation yields

$$m (T; ;e^{2};M) = \frac{e^{2}}{2} \frac{M}{jM} [1 + \frac{2T (\log 2)}{jM} + \frac{2T}{M} \log (\cosh (\frac{1}{2T})) \frac{2T}{jM} [\ln (1 e^{M} j^{+T})];$$
(50)

This result disagrees with that of ref.[12] in the overall sign of the nite tem – perature corrections. For the low tem perature limit, we get

m ' 
$$\frac{e^2}{2} \frac{M}{jM j} [1 + \frac{T (log 2)}{jM j}]$$
: (51)

On the other hand, the result should not be extrapolated naively to high tem – peratures. In this lim it, the radiative m ass grows uncontrolably large and one is called upon to invoke self-consistently argum ents.

A nom alous M agnetic M om ent

The anom alous magnetic moment of ferm ions is dened by using the G ordon decomposition for the ferm ionic vector current. In 2+1 dimensions it has the following form:

$$u(p+q) \quad u(p) = \frac{(2p+q)}{2m}u(p+q)u(p) + i \quad \frac{q}{2m}u(p+q) \quad u(p):$$
 (52)

It is easy to see from this expression that if we couple the ferm ions to an external electrom agnetic eld the coupling of the second term describes the coupling to

the magnetic eld. Thus the magnetic moment is the coe cient of i  $\frac{p \cdot q}{m}$ . To lowest order in perturbation theory the only diagram that contributes is the vertex correction diagram :

$$(p;q) = (ie)^{2} \frac{d^{2}k}{(2)^{2}} u(p q) S_{F}(p k q) S_{F}(p k) u(p)D$$
$$= {}^{0}(p;q) + (p;q); \qquad (53)$$

where  ${}^{0}(p;q)$ , (p;q) are the zero and nite temperature vertex functions respectively. The zero temperature calculation has been done in [16],  ${}^{0} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ , where = 4 M. The anom alous contribution to the magnetic m om ent is extracted from the realpart of the three point function with on-shell electrons and vanishing external spatialm om enta<sup>2</sup>.

$$Re_{i} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{2}} \frac{d^{2}k}{[(k \ p)^{2} \ m^{2}][(p \ k \ q^{2} \ m^{2})]} n_{b}(k_{0}) + (k^{2} \ m^{2})n_{F}(k_{0})}{\frac{L_{i}(k + p;p;q)}{[(k + q)^{2} \ m^{2}][(k + p)^{2} \ M^{2}]} + \frac{L_{i}(k + p \ q;p;q)}{[(k + q)^{2} \ m^{2}][(k + p)^{2} \ M^{2}]} + \frac{L_{i}(k + p \ q;p;q)}{[(k + q)^{2} \ m^{2}][(k + p \ q)^{2} \ M^{2}]}$$

$$[sam e w ith M = 0]; \qquad (54)$$

where

$$L_{i}(k;p;q) = 2[q_{n} k_{j} k_{i}^{jm} + 2ik p_{k}^{k} iqk_{i} i(2p q)k^{2} 2p_{0}q_{j}k_{m}^{0jm} i]:$$
(55)

Using  $q^2$  m; M we nd

$$Re_{i}(p;q) = \frac{p_{0}}{m}q_{j}^{j_{0}} \int_{M}^{L} \frac{dw}{2}w^{2}\frac{M^{4} + 4w^{2}m^{2}}{M^{4} + 4w^{2}m^{2}l^{2}}n_{b}(w) +$$

$$+ \int_{m}^{L} \frac{dw}{4} - \frac{(w^{2} + m^{2})(M^{4} + 4m^{2}w^{2})}{M^{4} - 4m^{2}w^{2}l^{2}} \frac{8m^{2}M^{2}w^{2}}{4m^{2}w^{2}l^{2}} - \frac{w^{2} + m^{2}}{4m^{2}w^{2}}n_{F}(w) :$$
(56)

A closed form expression for these integrals cannot be given in the general case. However, in the limits of high and low temperature these integrals can

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  the alternate limit i.e. taking p = (0;p) and letting p ! 0 leads to di erent results, how ever this was show n recently [4] to be an artifact of the perturbative expansion.

be reduced to simple analytic functions. In the low temperature limit one can replace  $n_B$  (w)  $e^w$  and  $n_F$  (w)  $e^w$ . We then nd

$$\begin{aligned} (p;q)_{1} &= q_{j}p_{0} \int_{1}^{j_{0}} \frac{1}{32m} (T) \\ &= p_{0}q_{j} \int_{1}^{j_{0}} \frac{1}{32m} 8m^{2} \int_{M}^{M} \frac{M^{3}}{4m^{2}} 1 e^{M} + {}^{2}M^{4} E_{1}\left(\frac{M(2m+M)}{2m}\right)e^{\frac{M^{2}}{2m}} + \\ &E_{1}\left(\frac{M(2m-M)}{2m}\right)e^{-\frac{M^{2}}{2m}} + 4mM^{2} (E_{1}\left(\frac{M(2m+M)}{2m}\right)e^{\frac{M^{2}}{2m}} \\ &E_{1}\left(\frac{M(2m-M)}{2m}\right)e^{-\frac{M^{2}}{2m}} - \frac{1}{m^{4}} + \frac{1}{32} 8m^{4}E_{1}(m) + \frac{16M^{2}m^{3}}{2m^{2} + M^{2}}e^{-m} \\ &+ (M^{2} - 2m^{2})^{2}(E_{1}\left(\frac{(2m^{2}+M^{2})}{2m}\right)e^{\frac{M^{2}}{2m}} + E_{1}\left(\frac{(M^{2} - 2m^{2})}{2m}\right)e^{-\frac{M^{2}}{2m}}) \\ &4m(M^{2} - 2m^{2})(E_{1}\left(\frac{(2m^{2}+M^{2})}{2m}\right)e^{\frac{M^{2}}{2m}} E_{1}\left(\frac{(M^{2} - 2m^{2})}{2m}\right)e^{-\frac{M^{2}}{2m}}) \frac{1}{m^{4}}; \end{aligned}$$
(57)

where  $E_1(x) = \frac{R_x}{1} \frac{e^t}{t}$  is the exponential integral. Fig. 5 shows the behavior of this function for small T. This result together with the calculation of the parity odd part of the photon propagator can be used to calculate the gyrom agnetic ratio g at nite tem perature. At zero tem perature g is de ned by

$$= \frac{g}{2m}S = \frac{g}{2m}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})$$
:

For anyons, i.e. arbitrary , S, it has been observed [17] that g is also 2 and that this is an exact result. To one loop this has been verified in perturbation theory. Here we con rm this result by taking the limit; T ! 0, when Re( $_i$ )! 0 exponentially.

Evaluating the chem ical potential

In order to write our results in terms of physical quantities one must replace the lagrange multiplier in all the physical quantities that we have computed by the temperature dependent particle density =e. To do this we calculate to leading order in  $e^2$ .

$$\frac{1}{e} = \langle 0 \rangle = \frac{Z}{(2)^2} Tr(0.0 + m) 2 (k^2 m^2) n_F (k_0)$$

$$= \frac{2}{m} \frac{dw}{2} \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+e^{-(w+1)}}{1+e^{-(w-1)}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{dilog(1+e^{-(m+1)})}{m+1} \frac{dilog(1+e^{-(m-1)})}{m}$$
(58)

Conclusions

In this paper we have discussed various properties of a planar electron positron plasma. Static properties like the screening length were calculated using a realtime form alism. Dynamic properties such as the plasm on frequency were also calculated; W e nd that  $(m_{p1}^{L})^2 = (m_{p1}^{T})^2 = \frac{1}{2}m_{e1}^2$ . The coe cient of the Chem-Sim ons term which is unique to 2+1 dimensional gauge theories with explicitly broken parity, was calculated for nite temperature and density. In the limit of zero density the results agree with those of [5], [3]. In this limit we have also computed the correction to the electron magnetic moment to one loop and extracted the value of the gyrom agnetic ratio. The value of goes sm oothly to 2 as T ! 0, thus agreeing with the zero temperature result of [16],[17].

Even though we have discussed relativistic systems one could also use the results for a condensed matter system where the quasi-particles have linear dispersion relation. The extension ofto the nonabelian case might be interesting especially since some condensed matter systems can be represented by quasi-particles with non-abelian interactions.

A further interesting feature of this system that one can address fruitfully is the e ect of dissipative processes. One can discuss the viscosity of the plasm a as well as the dam ping of the plasm on m odes due to dissipation. W ork in this direction is in progress.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e gratefully acknow ledge fruitfuldiscussions with Bei-Lok Hu, O W .G reenberg and V P.Nair. W e thank V.P.Nair for a critical reading of the manuscript and form aking useful suggestions regarding its in provem ent. W e thank R eshm i M ukherjee for her invaluable assistance in generating the gures. This work was partially supported by the N ational Science Foundation.

## References

- [1] W.D.Brown, R.D.Pu and L.W illets, Phys. Rev. C 2, 331, (1970)
- [2] B.Rosenstein, B.J.W arr and S.H.Park, Phys. Rep. 205, 59, (1991)
- [3] K.S.Babu, A shok D as and P rasanta Panigrahi, Phys. Rev. D 36, 3725 (1987)
- [4] H.A.Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1394, (1982)
- [5] I.J.R.A itchison, C.D.Fosco and J.A.Zuk, Phys. Rev.D 48, 5895, (1993)
- [6] Jose F.Nieves and Palash B.Pal, \The zero-momentum limit of thermal G reen functions" hep-ph 9402290 1994
- [7] A shok D as and Sudhakar P anda, \Tem perature dependent A nom abus Statistics" J.Phys.A 25, L 245, (1992)
- [8] S.M idorikawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 67, 661, (1982)
- [9] A.I.E. Johansson, G. Peressuttiand B.S. Skagerstam, Nuc. Phys. B 278, 324, (1986)
- [10] L.Dolan and R.Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D 9, 3320, (1974)
- [11] S.Deser, R.Jackiw and S.Tem pleton, Ann. Phys. 140, 372, (1982)
- [12] Y-C Kao, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6, 3261, (1991)
- [13] N.Redlich, Phys. Rev. D 29, 2366, (1984); Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 18, (1984)

- [14] See for example N.P.Landsm an & Ch.G. van W eert, Phys. Rep. 145, 141, (1987) and the extensive list of references therein
- [15] J.I.K apusta, F in ite Tem perature F ield T heory, C am bridge U niversity P ress, (1989)
- [16] I.I.Kogan and G.W. Sem eno Nuc. Phys. B 368, 718 (1992)
- [17] Chihong Chou, V.P.Nair and A.P.Polychronakos, Phys. Lett B 304, 105 (1993)
- [18] R.E fraty and V.P.Nair, Phys. Rev D 47, 5601 (1993)

Figure 1: The Contour of integration in the Complex T in e P lane

Figure 2:  $m_{el}^2$  as a function of T for = 0.5.

Figure 3:  $\frac{(0,0)}{e^2}$  as a function of T for = 0.5.

Figure 4: Leading term in the expansion of  $\frac{1}{e^2 (0p)}$  1 in p as a function of T for = 0.5.

Figure 5: The tem perature dependent part of the leading term of the anom alous magnetic moment of electrons (= 0). Here we take m = 1 and M= 10.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from: