Conservation laws for the classical Toda eld theories.

Erling G.B.Hohler and Kare O laussen

Institutt for fysikk, NTH, Universitetet i Trondheim N {7034 Trondheim, Norway.

July 9, 1993

A bstract

We have performed some explicit calculations of the conservation laws for classical (a ne) Toda eld theories, and some generalizations of these models. We show that there is a huge class of generalized models which have an in nite set of conservation laws, with their integrated charges being in involution. Amongst these models we not that only the A_m and $A_m^{(1)}$ (m 2) Toda eld theories admit such conservation laws for spin-3. We report on our explicit calculations of spin-4 and spin-5 conservation laws in the (a ne) Toda models. Our perhaps most interesting noting is that there exist conservation laws in the A_m models (m 4) which have a di erent origin than the exponents of the corresponding a ne theory or the energy-m on entum tensor of a conform al theory.

1 Introduction

There is an intim ate connection between the integrability of a Ham iltonian system and the existence of a su cient number of conserved quantities. Liouville proved that if a system with N degrees of freedom (i.e., with a 2N -dimensional phase space) has N independent rst integrals in involution (i.e. with mutually vanishing Poisson brackets), then the system is integrable by quadratures[1]. C onversely, an integrable system with N degrees of freedom has N independent conservation laws in involution. D uring the last quarter of a century there has been great progress in the understanding of integrable systems with in nitely m any degrees of freedom, e.g. certain 1+1 dimensional non-linear partial di erential equations[2]. Such system s must necessarily have an in nite number of conserved quantities in involution. Since they are governed by local evolution equations the corresponding conservation laws can be written in a local form

$$\overline{Q}J_{S} + Q\overline{J}_{S} = 0; \quad S = 1;2; \dots;1$$
(1)

where $0 = 0 + 0 = 0 \times 0$ and $\overline{0} = 0 \times 0 = 0 \times 0$ Since there are many ways to count to in nity it is a more delicate question to decide when the existence of an in nite number of independent conservation laws im ply complete integrability.

W e here report on som e investigations of the conservation laws for models de ned by Lagrangians of the type

$$L = \frac{1}{2} @' @' V (') \frac{1}{2} @' @' X^{n} V_{r} ('); \qquad (2)$$

where ' is a realm -component eld, and v_r (') = $a_r \exp(r')$. Here the r's are distinct realm -component vectors, and the a_r 's are real numbers. To this class of models belong the so-called Toda eld theories, exemplied by the potential (in appropriate dimensionless units)

$$V(') = \sum_{r=1}^{r_{X} 1} \exp('_{r} '_{r+1}) \quad W_{m};$$
(3)

and the a ne Toda eld theories, exem pli ed by the potential

$$V(') = W_m + \exp(('_m ('_1));$$
 (4)

i.e. the model (3) with periodic boundary conditions. We note that with an x-independent eld ' these models reduce to respectively the open and periodic Toda lattices[3], hence their names.

For a simple physical interpretation these models can be viewed as a collection of (in nitely long) parallell strings, with a (som ewhat strange) interaction potential between adjacent strings. A nother possible physical interpretation is

V (′)	A lgebra	V (′)	A lgebra
$W_m + \exp(\prime_m)$	B _m	$W_3 + \exp(\prime_2 + \prime_3 2\prime_1)$	D 4 ⁽³⁾
W $_{m}$ + exp (2 $'_{m}$)	Cm	$W_m + \exp(\prime_m) + \exp(\prime_1 \prime_2)$	B _m ⁽¹⁾
$W_m + \exp(\prime_{m-1} + \prime_m)$	D _m	$W_m + \exp(2'_m) + \exp(2'_m)$	C m ⁽¹⁾
$W_m + \exp(\prime_m \prime_1)$	A _{m 1} ⁽¹⁾	W $_{2m}$ + exp (2 \prime $_{2m}$) + exp (\prime $_{1}$)	A (2) 2m
$W_2 + \exp \frac{1}{2}'_2 - \frac{1}{2}'_1$	A ₂ ⁽²⁾	W_{2m} 1 + exp (2 $'_{2m}$ 1) + exp ($'_{1}$ $'_{2}$)	A (2) 2m 1
W $_{\rm m}$ + exp (' $_{\rm m}$) + exp (' $_{\rm 1}$)	D m ⁽²⁾	$W_m + \exp(\prime_{m-1} + \prime_m) + \exp(\prime_{1} \prime_2)$	D m ⁽¹⁾

Table 1: Some potentials of (a ne) Toda eld theories, with the nom enclature for their associated algebras. There are no universally accepted names for the a ne Lie algebras, we are following the conventions of K ac[4]. Here m 2 for $A_{2m}^{(2)}$ and $A_{2m}^{(2)}$. To avoid equivalences one may also make the restrictions m 2 for B_m , m 3 for C_m , and m 4 for D_m .

as a collection of parallell wires, with exponential inductance for the leakage currents between adjacent wires. The elds then represent the voltages on the wires. However, the main interest in these models stems from the fact that they after quantization may represent a huge class of conformal eld theories, or integrable perturbations of such theories. Thus, they have the potential of describing the relevant degrees of freedom of many 2-dimensional statistical models at | and close to | their critical points. They also provide interesting examples of interacting relativistic quantum eld theories.

In a general Toda eld theory the "'s constitute the simple positive roots of a Lie algebra, and in a general a ne Toda eld theory they constitute these roots augmented with an additional vector which is some linear combination of the roots of the Lie algebra¹. In mathematicians nomenclature the examples (3, 4) correspond to the algebras A_{m-1} SU (m) and $A_{m-1}^{(1)}$. Readers who are unfamiliar with the language of Lie algebras should not feel intimidated by these statements, they are just a peculiar (but concise) way of decribing some of the possible potentials V ('). Some explicit examples of model potentials with their associated algebras are listed in Table 1.

By simply looking at the potentials in Table 1 it is rather di cult to see any direct signi cance of the Lie algebras for the models they specify. A lthough the existence of these algebras have been instrum ental in proving integrability of the (classical) models[5], and the existence of an in nite set of (classical) conservation laws in involution[6], this does not rule out that there may exist additional models in the class (2) which are either integrable or at least have an in nite set of local conservation laws. We thus set out to investigate the

¹ In m any, but not all, cases this vector is the negative of the m axim al root of the Lie algebra.

conservation laws of models (2) in more generality, and indeed found additional examples which | as a consequence of conformal symmetry | have an in nite set of (classical) conservation laws.

2 Field equations and spin-1 conservation laws

We now turn to the Lagrangian (2). The components of the vectors $_{\rm r}$ may be collected into a n m matrix $A_{\rm ri}$ ($_{\rm r}$)_i. Not all such matrices represent truly di erent models, since we may make orthogonal transform ations on the eld components, ' $_{\rm i} = O_{\rm ij}$ ' $_{\rm j}^0$, and permute the order of the potentials $v_{\rm r}$. I.e., models decribed by the matrices $A_{\rm ri}$ and (sum mation convention is used unless noted otherwise)

$$A_{ri}^{0} = P_{rs} A_{sj} O_{ji};$$

where P_{rs} is a permutation m atrix and O_{ji} is an orthogonalm atrix, are essentially equivalent. Such transform ations with $A_{ri} = A_{ri}^{0}$ are symmetries of the model. Further, depending on the solvability of the equations

$$A_{ri}$$
 ' _i + log $J_{a_rj} = 0;$

the coe cients in front of the exponentials m ay be transform ed to a simpler form by a constant shift of the elds ' ! ' + ' (while remaining proportional to a dimensional quantity L ², where L is some length).

The equations of motion become

$$00'_{i} = v_r A_{ri}$$
: (5)

To each right eigenvector ^(k) of A with zero eigenvalue, the eld '^(k) = '^(k) satisfies a free eld equation, $\overline{00}$ '^(k) = 0. The corresponding spin-1 currents J = 0'^(k) and $\overline{K} = \overline{0}$ '^(k) are conserved,

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}} J = 0; \quad \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathrm{K}} = 0: \tag{6}$$

By dimensional analysis and (1+1-dimensional) Lorentz invariance it follows that a general spin-S conservation law is expressible in the form (1), with

$$J_{\rm S} = P (@'; @^{2'}; :::); \quad \overline{J}_{\rm S} = v_{\rm r} Q_{\rm r} (@'; @^{2'}; :::);$$
(7)

orthe parity transform ation of this (i.e. $(, J_s ! \overline{K}_s, \overline{J}_s ! K_s)$). In equation (7) P is a polynom ial of dimension S, and Q_r is a polynom ial of dimension S 2. Here $(, and \overline{(} , have (inverse length))$ dimensions 1, ' has dimension 0, and v_r has dimension 2. Then, by ordinary rules of dimension, equations (6) autom atically imply two classes of spin-S conservation laws,

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}P (J; \mathbb{Q}J; \ldots) = 0; \quad \mathbb{Q}P (\overline{K}; \overline{\mathbb{Q}K}; \ldots) = 0:$$
(8)

However, these are rather obvious consequences of the free elds present in the model. To maintain focus on the more interesting conservation laws we shall assume that all free elds have been separated from the model, so that the matrix A_{ri} have no right eigenvectors with zero eigenvalue. This means in particular that n m, and that all m m submatrices of A_{ri} are invertable.

3 Conservation laws due to conform al invariance

In this section we search for spin-2 conservation laws, essentially the conservation of the energy momentum tensor. We are in particular searching for those models where the conservation can be written in a form similar to (6),

$$\overline{0}T = 0; \quad \overline{0}T = 0;$$

as is typical for the energy-m om entum tensor of a conform al eld theory. A gain, by ordinary rules of di erentiation this will imply the existence of an in nite set of higher spin conserved currents,

where P is a polynomial of dimension S, with T, \overline{T} being of dimension 2. The general form of the spin-2 currents can be written as

$$J_{2} = _{ij} @'_{i} @'_{j} + _{i} @^{2'}_{i}; \quad \overline{J}_{2} = v_{r} C_{r};$$
(9)

(or their parity transform s) where $_{ij}$ is symmetric. Inserting this ansatz into equation (1) and using the equations of motion (5) we not that the equation (no sum over r)

$$C_r A_{rj} = 2A_{ri} ij + A_{ri} iA_{rj}$$

must hold. With $_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}_{ij}$ we always have the solution $C_r = 1 + A_{ri}_{i}$. With $_i = 0$ this leads to the canonical energy-momentum tensor, as i.e. calculated from Noether's theorem. Here we instead search form odels where $C_r = 0$ is a possible solution, since these will be conformally invariant. Let u denote the n-component vector with all entries equal to 1. Then the equation to be solved is $A_{ri}_{i} = u_r$. The criterium for solvability is seem ingly modest: that all left eigenvectors ^(k) of A with eigenvalue 0 must be orthogonal to u. However, this is enough to rule out all the a ne Toda theories. To investigate which matrices A lead to conform all models, assume rst that n = m. Then A is invertible by assumption, and a solution always exist. Next we may extend A to an arbitrary n = 1; :::;n with

r = r = 2, where the r's are distinct vectors such that r = 0. In fact, it seems that all potentials

$$V(') = \exp ('^{2} U('_{2});$$
 (10)

with U arbitrary, lead to a conform alm odel. Here $\prime_2 = \prime$ (\prime^2). The conserved current is a light-cone component of the (traceless) energy-m om entum tensor,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} Q' \quad Q' + {}^{2} Q \tag{11}$$

(or its parity transform at ion \overline{T}).

To investigate whether the conservation laws in the above conform alm odels are in involution, we have to turn to a canonical formulation. Contrary to our notation we prefer to use ordinary space-time (x,t) variables. I.e., our basic canonical variables are the elds ' (x;t) and (x;t) = 0' (x;t)=0t, with equal time Poisson brackets f_i(x;t);'_j(y;t)g = _{ij} (x y). Expressions like (e^n) should thus be interpreted as shorthand notation for a perhaps lengthy expression in the elds ', , and their spatial derivatives. This expression can be obtained by eliminating all time derivatives of elds with the use of the equations of motion. It is convenient to introduce the combinations

$${}_{i}(x) = Q'_{i}(x) = {}_{i}(x) + {'}_{i}^{0}(x); \quad \overline{}_{i}(x) = \overline{Q'}_{i}(x) = {}_{i}(x) + {'}_{i}^{0}(x); \quad (12)$$

Here and in the following we drop explicit references to time dependence. All elds are assumed to be evaluated at the same xed time. A 0 denotes di erentiation with respect to the remainding (space) coordinate. The following analysis is much inspired by some remarks by Freem an and W est[7]. The elds (12) have Poisson brackets

$$f_{i}(x); j(y)g = \prod_{i}^{n}(x); j(y)^{\circ} = \prod_{ij}^{0}(x y); \prod_{i}^{n}(x); j(y)^{\circ} = 0; (13)$$

and we further nd that $f_{i}(x)$; $V('(y))g = \int_{i}^{n} (x); V('(y)) = f_{i}(x)$, where $f_{i} = v_{r} A_{ri}$. Now we rewrite the expression (11) for T in terms of canonical elds, and evaluate the Poisson bracket

fT (x); T (y)g =
$$2^{h}$$
 T (x) $\theta_{x} + \theta_{x}$ T (x) $4^{2} \theta_{x}^{3^{i}}$ (x y): (14)

There is an identical expression with T ! \overline{T} , while the Poisson bracket between T and \overline{T} is zero. Equation (14) is the spatial version of the V irasoro algebra, but it is also a Poisson bracket for the K dV hiearchy of equations. I.e. with the bracket (14) and H = $dx T (x)^2$ as the H am iltonian, the equation $T_t = fT;Hg$ becomes the K dV equation. Since this equation is known to have an in nite set of conserved quantities in involution, see ref. [8], the rst two of which are dx T (x)

and R dx T (x)², the same will necessarily be true when the same quantities are viewed as coming from a conformal eld theory.

To rewrite (14) in the conventional V irasoro form, we assume the x-coordinate to be periodic with period ', and introduce the Fourier m odes

$$L_{m} = 2 \frac{2}{m0} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{m0} dx \exp \frac{2 im x}{1} T(x):$$
(15)

Then equation (14) in plies the V irasoro algebra

$$ifL_m; L_ng = (m n) L_{m+n} + 4^{2} m m^{2} 1_{m+n;0};$$
 (16)

which shows that the model has central charge $c = 48^{-2}$.

4 Spin-3 conservation laws

W e now make a general search for spin-3 conservation laws. Since we may always shift the current by the gradient of an antisymmetric tensor, $J ! J + @X; \overline{J} ! \overline{J} = \overline{@X}$; it su ces to search for expressions of the form

$$J_{3} = _{ijk} @'_{i} @'_{j} @'_{k} + _{ij} @'_{i} @^{2'}_{j}; \quad \overline{J}_{3} = V_{r} B_{ri} @'_{i}$$
(17)

(or their parity transform s). Here $_{ijk}$ is completely symmetric, and $_{ij}$ is antisymmetric. By performing the dimensions and using the equations of motion (5) we not that equation (1) implies that $B_{ri} = A_{rk}_{ki}$, and in turn (no summation convention)

$$3_{k=1}^{X^{n}} A_{rk} _{kij} = (A_{ri}A_{rk} _{kj} + A_{rj}A_{rk} _{ki}):$$
(18)

To proceed we now restrict the index r to an arbitrary m-component subset of f1;:::;ng. The matrix A_{ri} is invertible in the corresponding subspace. Multiplying from the left by this inverse matrix A⁻¹, utilizing the complete symmetry of _{kij}, and nally multiplying the resulting equation by A_{sk} A_{ti}A_{uj} and summing over kij, we obtain (no summation convention)

$$K_{st} \sim_{su} + K_{su} \sim_{st} = K_{ut} \sim_{us} + K_{us} \sim_{ut};$$
(19)

where $K = A A^{T}$ and $\sim = A A^{T}$, with A^{T} denoting the transpose of A. This equation must hold for the full set of indices f1;:::ng, since it is supposed to hold for arbitrary m-component subsets. Note that (19) is invariant under orthogonal transform ations of the elds, $\prime_{i} = O_{ij} \prime_{j}^{0}$. When two indices (say t and u) are equal equation (19) simplifies to (no sum mation convention)

$$(K_{tt} + 2K_{st}) \sim_{st} = 0$$
: (20)

From this equation, and the (anti)symmetry of the matrices, we immediately see that $_{st} \in 0$ imply $K_{ss} = K_{tt} = 2K_{st} = 2K_{ts}$, and if also $_{tu} \in 0$ then in addition $K_{uu} = K_{ss} = 2K_{tu} = 2K_{ut}$. Equipped with this information one may start analyzing the general situation by considering (19) for all combinations of indices stu within larger and larger subsets (which by a permutation may be mapped into f1;:::; 'g). Modulo permutation of indices and multiplication by constants we only nd the solutions

$$K_{rs} = (2_{r;s} + 1;s + 1;s); \quad \tilde{r}_{rs} = (r + 1;s + 1;s); \quad \text{with } r;s \text{ in } f1; \dots r g.$$
(21)

Here the _{rs}'s should either be interpreted to vanish when the indices r;s are outside the range f1;:::;ng, in which case n = m, m = 2 and the model is equivalent to the A_m Toda eld theory (3), or to be periodically extended (so that index values n + k and k are equivalent), in which case n = m + 1 and the model is equivalent² to the $A_m^{(1)}$ a ne Toda eld theory (4). In both cases the model have elds '₁;:::;'_{m+1} obeying the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{P} m_{i=1}^{m+1}$ '_i = 0. It is already known from the work of 0 live and Turok [6] that the $A_n^{(1)}$ -models (n = 2) are the only a ne Toda eld theories with spin-3 conservation laws. We have shown that there is no other such models (apart from the expected A_n ones) within the larger class (2).

To nd explicit expressions for the conserved currents it is convenient to introduce new elds, $_{r} \stackrel{P}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{r}{=}} '_{i}$. These are de ned such that $_{r}A_{ri} = _{i}$ $_{i = 1} '_{i}$, which im ply

$$@'_{i} i_{j} @''_{j} = @_{r} \sim @'_{rs} @'_{s}; v_{r} B_{ri} @'_{i} = v_{r} \sim @_{s};$$

and, with the use of (18),

X ijk $@'_{i}@'_{j}@'_{k} = \frac{1}{3} X$ K rs "rt + K rt "rs $@_{r}@_{s}@_{t}$: ijk

Inserting the explicit expressions for K and ~ we nally obtain

$$J_{3} = \sum_{r=1}^{X^{n}} {2 (@_{r})^{2} (@_{r+1} @_{r-1}) + (@_{r}) @_{r+1}^{2} @_{r-1}^{2}; (22)}$$

with $_0 = _{m+1} = 0$. This expression boks identical for the A_m and $A_m^{(1)}$ models. However, when rewritten in terms of canonical variables '(x), (x) they become di erent since the elds obey di erent equations of motion in the two cases. Further

$$\overline{J}_{3} = \bigvee_{r=1}^{X^{n}} V_{r} (Q_{r+1} Q_{r-1}); \qquad (23)$$

 $^{^2}$ In these two cases the matrices A $_{\rm ri}$ allows one to transform all the coe cients a $_{\rm r}$ (in v $_{\rm r}$ (')) to the same absolute value. In principle there is still an arbitrary choice of sign for each of them . However, all a $_{\rm r}$ must be positive for the potential V (') to be bounded from below .

where the indices should be interpreted cyclically m odub m + 1. This expression is di erent for the A_m and $A_m^{(1)} m$ odels, due to the additional (m + 1)'st term in the latter case.

5 Spin-4 and spin-5 conservation laws

W e have repeated the analysis of the preceeding section for the spin-4 and spin-5 conservation laws, so far under less general assumptions. In the spin-4 case one may write

$$J_4 = _{ij} Q^2 \prime _{i} Q^2 \prime _{j} +$$

;

;

with symmetric, and in the spin-5 case one may write

$$J_5 = _{ij} Q^2 \prime _{i} Q^3 \prime _{j} +$$

with antisymmetric. Similar ansatzes for \overline{J}_4 and \overline{J}_5 can be written down. There are many possible terms in each expressions, also when all opportunities of subtracting gradients of antisymmetric tensors have been used. Inserting the general expressions for J and \overline{J} into (1) and using (5) one obtains equations involving the di erent coe cients. These may be solved successively until one is left with a set of equations involving components of the matrices \sim and K. When a solution matrix \sim is found the complete expressions for the conserved currents can be generated by back substitution. Thus, the problem of noting conservation laws reduces to noting solution matrices \sim .

In analysing these equations we have (so far) assumed that the K 's come from a Toda eld theory or an a ne Toda eld theory. For the a nem odels O live and Turok [6] found that there should exist (non-trivial) conservation laws for all spins S such that S $1 = m \mod h$, where m is an exponent of the algebra and h is its C oxeter number. We have explicitly calculated the spin-4 and spin-5 conservation laws for all a ne Lie algebras, relying heavily on algebraic manipulation program s[9]. For the cases treated by O live and Turok we nd no additional conservation laws. They did however not treat the D $_{2n}^{(1)}$ theories, which have some exponents occuring with multiplicity 2. In particular, this is true for exponent 3 of D $_{4}^{(1)}$. One may suspect that the corresponding m odel has two independent spin-4 conservation laws. This indeed turns out to be the case. Thus, there seem s to be a conservation law for each exponent, counting multiplicities.

The conservation laws for the a ne Toda theories carry over to the Toda theories. However, we have found that there occur additional conservation laws in the latter models. For the spin-4 case this can be interpreted as a consequence of conform alsymmetry. In this case the additional law can be written as $\overline{0} T^2 = 0$; where T is (a part of) the energy-momentum tensor. For all Lie algebras except A_1 the conserved densities of the form

$$\overline{0} P (T; 0T; :::) = 0;$$
 (24)

are di erent from those that correspond to the exponents of the a ne Lie algebras. But we have also found an additional conservation law in the spin-5 case for the A_m models when m 4. This one cannot be accounted for by expressions like (24). Thus, there exist Toda eld theories with conservation laws of a di erent origin than the exponents of the corresponding a ne Lie algebra or the energy-m om entum tensor of a conform altheory.

M ore details of our results and calculations will be presented elsewhere. The published version of this letter has appeared in [10]

References

- J. Liouville, Jour. de M ath. 20 (1855) 137. See e.g. V. I. A mold, M athem atical M ethods of C lassical M echanics, Ch. 10, 271{278, Springer-Verlag 1979.
- [2] R.M.Miura, C.S.Gardner and M.D.Kruskal, KdV equations and generalizations II. Existence of conservation laws and constants of motion, J.M ath.Phys. 9, (1968) 1204 (1209.
- [3] M. Toda, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 22 (1967), 431. M. Toda, Theory of Nonlinear Lattices, Springer-Verlag 1981.
- [4] V.G.Kac, In nite D imensional Lie Algebras, Progress in M athematics Vol. 44, Birkhauser 1984.
- [5] A.N. Leznov and M.V. Saveliev, Representation of zero curvature for the system of nonlinear partial di erential equations $x_{z\overline{z}} = \exp(kx)$ and its integrability, Lett. M ath. Phys. 3, (1979) 489{494.
- [6] D.O live and N. Turok, Local conserved densities and zero curvature conditions for Toda lattice eld theories, Nucl. Phys. B 257 (FS 14), (1985) 277{301.
- [7] M.D.Freem an and P.West, On the relation between integrability and in nite-dimensional algebras, preprint KCL-TH-93-1, january 1993.
- [8] C.S.Gardner, Korteweg de Vries Equation and Generalizations. IV The Koreteweg - de Vries Equation as a Ham iltonian System, J.M ath. Phys. 12 (1971) 1548 (1551.
- [9] S.W olfram, M athematica, A System for Doing M athematics by Computer, Second Edition, Addison-Wesly 1991.
- [10] E.G. B. Hohler and K. O laussen, Conservation laws for the classical Toda eld theories, M od. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993) 3377 (3385