CERN-TH.7243/94 USC-94/008 hep-th/9404192 April 1994

Density Correlation Functions in Calogero-Sutherland M odels

Joseph A.M inahan?

Department of Physics,

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484 USA

and

Alexios P. Polychronakos^Y

Theory Division, CERN CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

U sing arguments from two dimensional Yang-M ills theory and the collective coordinate formulation of the Calogero-Sutherland model, we conjecture the dynamical density correlation function for coupling l and 1=1, where l is an integer. We present overwhelming evidence that the conjecture is indeed correct.

Submitted to Physical Review B, PACS numbers: 03.65 Ca, 05.30 Fk, 03.65 Fd

[?] m inahan@ physics.usc.edu

y poly@dxcem.cem.ch

There has been much recent interest in the C alogero-Sutherland M odel (C SM) of interacting ferm ions in one dimension [1,2]. One reason for the interest is that these models are related to quantum spin chains, with long range interactions between the spins[3]. The C SM m odels also have a close relation to random m atrix theory [2,4] and fractional statistics [5,6,7].

The Hamiltonian of the CSM on the circle is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2m L^{(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ X & \frac{0}{2m L^{(2)}} + \frac{1}{2} \\ i & \frac{1}{2m L^{(2)}} + \frac{1}{2} \\$$

The spectrum of H has been long known [2], but until recently the dynam ical correlation functions remained unknown. The basic problem is that while in principle it is possible to calculate the eigenfunctions for the CSM [2], in practice it is a com – putational nightmare. A big break in this direction came last year, when Sim ons, Lee and A Itshuler calculated the dynam ical two point density correlation function for three special values of the coupling. They exploited the fact that for these values of the coupling, the CSM was related to random matrix theory of orthogonal, unitary or symplectic matrices.

In this letter we will generalize the results of S in ons Lee and A ltshuler for C SM couplings l and 1=l, where l is any integer. O ur analysis is based on some straightforward observations about the form of the measure in the correlation functions. U sing arguments from two-dimensional Y ang-M ills theory (Y M₂) and a duality symmetry found in the C SM, we not that the functional form of the measure must satisfy a host of properties. We not a function that satisfy estimates and conjecture that it is unique.

Our starting point will be U (N) YM $_2$ on the torus. It is by now well known that its partition function is given by the sum [8]

$$Z = \sum_{R^{0}}^{X} e^{LT g^{2} C_{2R^{0}}}$$
(2)

where \mathbb{R}^0 are the possible U (N) representations, L and T are the lengths of the

two circles comprising the torus, g is the YM $_2$ coupling and $C_{2R^{\circ}}$ is the quadratic C asim ir for representation R⁰. R⁰ is described by a Young tableau with n_i boxes in row i, which satisfy the constraints that $n_i = n_{i+1}$. Since we are considering U (N), the number of boxes in a row can be negative. The Casim ir is then given by

$$C_{2R^{0}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{X} n_{i}(n_{i} + N - 2i + 1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{X} n_{i} + \frac{N - 2i + 1}{2} \sum_{i}^{2} \frac{(N^{2} - 1)N}{24} : (3)$$

Letting $p_i = n_i + (N - 2i + 1)=2$, then p_i satis es $p_i - p_{i+1} + 1$. From this it is clear that the partition function in (2) is the same as that for N nonrelativistic free ferm ions on a circle where the length of this circle, L^0 , and the ferm ion m ass m satisfy the relation m $L^0 = 1=(g^2L)[9]$. T is the Euclidean time in this problem. Next insert a W ilson loop in representation R along the Euclidean time direction of the torus. This corresponds to putting a xed color source at some point on the original YM₂ circle. The loop m odi es the partition function to

$$Z_{R} = \sum_{R^{0}}^{X} N_{R^{0}R^{0}R} e^{L^{T} g^{2} C_{2R^{0}}}$$
(4)

where $N_{R_1R_2R_3}$ is the number of singlets in the tensor product $R_1 \quad R_2 \quad R_3$. If R is the (1 1)N symmetric representation of SU (N) then $N_{R_0R_0R} = 1$ if $p_i \quad l+p_{i+1}$. Hence, the allowed states are precisely the states found in the CSM with coupling 1[10].

The fact that the CSM is related to YM₂ suggests that other techniques from YM₂ m ight be applicable, in particular the string interpretation. This is equivalent to a formulation in terms of creation and annihilation operators. To achieve this, perform the standard rede nition of the wavefunction, = 1 f, where is the Vanderm onde for $z_i = e^{i_i}$. The function f is a combination of YM₂ characters, satisfying[2]

$$H f = \frac{1}{m L^{(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ i \\ i \\ j \\ z_{i} \\ z_{j} \\ z_{j$$

where $Q_1 = Q_{z_1}$. We can classify the states in terms of representations R^0 of U (N) (just as in YM₂) as (R^0 ; 1) with energy E (R^0 ; 1). These can be thought as l-deformed characters and C asim ins. These are also referred to as Jack polynom ials in the mathematical literature[11]. Note that (R; 1) = $_R$ is the usual U (N) character. f can be expanded in terms of the Schur functions $W_n = {P \atop i} z_1^n$, giving

$$f = \begin{cases} X & Y^k & X \\ ffn_1; \dots r_k g & W_{n_1} \\ k & i=1 \end{cases} ffn_1; \dots r_k g \dot{p}_1; \dots r_k i$$
(6)

where ffn_ig are expansion coe cients. Since here we will be interested only in chiral states, all n_i can be chosen positive. This is the \string" picture, where the state jfn_igi is interpreted as a collection of strings with winding numbers n_i . De ning string creation and annihilation operators jni a_n^+ jDi and $njO > a_n$ jni which obey the commutation relations $[a_n; a_m^+] = n_{m,n}$ and interpreting the action of (5) on (6) in term s of a_n and a_n^+ , we get [12,13]

The terms inside the double sum in (7) are respectively a splitting term and a joining term. This string theory is nonlocalon the world sheet, due to the existence of the term $na_n^+a_n$, proportional to the square of the length of each winding string.

A duality relation [11,16] between the models with parameters 1 and 1=1 can be established using the Ham iltonian in (7). To this end, de ne the new \dual" operators $a_n^+ = \frac{1}{1}a_n^+$ and $a_n = -la_n$ satisfying the same commutation relations as the original ones. In terms of the new operators, the Ham iltonian becomes

$$H = \frac{1}{m L^{(2)}} \prod_{n>0}^{n\times} (1 - \frac{1}{1})na_{n}^{+}a_{n} + \frac{1}{1}(N)a_{n}^{+}a_{n} + \frac{1}{1}(N)a_{n}^{+}a_{n} + \frac{1}{1}a_{m}^{+}a_{n}^{+}a_{m+n} + a_{m+n}^{+}a_{m}a_{n}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m m^{2} 0} \frac{1}{1}a_{m}^{+}a_{n}^{+}a_{m+n} + a_{m+n}^{+}a_{m}a_{n}$$
(8)

So, apart from an overall constant 1, the Ham iltonian in terms of the new operators is identical to the old one but with 1 turned into 1=1 and N turned into \mathbb{N} . Therefore, any solution of the 1=l problem, expressed as a polynomial of creation operators acting on the vacuum, will also be a solution of the l problem upon substitution of the dual operators in its expression. But a_n^+ creates the state

IW _n. Further, turning W _n into W _n in the expression for the character of a representation R⁰ changes it into the character of the dual representation \mathbb{R}^{0} , where the tableau of \mathbb{R}^{0} is that of R⁰ with rows and columns interchanged. Hence, one has the duality relations

$$(\mathbb{R}^{0}; 1; \mathbb{fW}_{n}g) = (\mathbb{R}^{0}; \frac{1}{1}; \mathbb{f}_{n}g); \quad \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{R}^{0}; 1; \mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{R}^{0}; \frac{1}{1}; \mathbb{N}) \quad (9)$$

Changing the positive integer N into IN may bok unphysical; however, N appears simply as a parameter in the Ham iltonian (its other manifestation is in nonperturbative e ects). Therefore we can form ally take it to be IN in all expressions explicitly involving it. For generic 1, duality maps particles into holes in the pseudomomentum spectrum. Since n particles can annihilate with In holes, this justi es the mapping 1! 1=1.0 by justify duality is broken by nonperturbative e ects, since particle excitations can have arbitrarily high momentum while holes cannot be excited any further than the bottom of the Ferm i sea.

In order to calculate correlation functions we must know the inner product of the wavefunctions in terms of the correct measure. It can be shown that in the large-N lim it < n jn >= $\frac{n}{1} m_{\pi}$. In fact, this relation implies that in this lim it, $a_n^Y = la_n^+$ (since < n jn >= < $0j(a_n^+)^Y a_m^+ j$) >). The Ham iltonian (7) is Herm it in under this measure, and therefore the eigenstates are orthogonal with respect to this measure. To obtain the exact measure with direct calculation is nontrivial for general l. The alternative is to determ ine it indirectly, through the jacobian of the change of variables from the old wavefunction ($_i$) to the new one, viewed as a function of the Schur variables W $_n$. The evaluation of this jacobian is achieved with standard collective eld theory techniques Fourier transform ed to momentum space[14,15,13]. We simply quote the result (appropriately modi ed for the periodic Sutherland problem)

$$\ln J = \sum_{n>0}^{X} \frac{|W_{n}W_{n}|}{n} + (1 - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{X^{2}} (-1)^{k} \frac{1}{k(k-1)N^{k-1}} \sum_{p=0}^{X} W_{n_{1}} = 0$$
(10)

This result is exact perturbatively to all orders in 1=N, and it contains an in nite number of vertices, of increasing order in 1=N. The inner product of any two states expressed as functionals of W_n, is given by integrating over all W_n with them easure $J_{=}^{R} J_{n}^{Q} dW_{n}$. In particular, the overlap $< n_{1} ::: n_{k} j_{k+1} ::: n_{k+m} > is a k + m - point correlation function of an interacting one-dimensional eld theory with action (10). Since (10) is invariant under W_n ! <math>W_{n}$, N ! N, l! 1=L, the norm and the inner product of the states is invariant under duality transform ations. The leading quadratic part of (10), of order N⁰, reproduces the leading-order result for the overlap h_{n} in Since, how ever, we are interested in the therm odynam ic lim it where the particle density remains nite we would like to com pute the result to all orders in 1=N .

 YM_2 provides a useful fram ework for determing selection rules in the density correlation functions. The density operator for a system of N fermions is given by

$$(u) = (L^{0})^{1} (u=L^{0} i) = (L^{0})^{1} e^{inu=L^{0}} e^{ini} i$$
 (11)

But the sum over i is just the trace of an N by N unitary matrix whose eigenvalues are e^{i} . Hence (u) is (u) = (2 L^0) $1^P_n e^{inu=L^0}W_n$. The density correlation function is found by inserting onto the torus two spatial W ilson loops, (u) and (v), which are separated by Euclidean time T and which cross the W ilson loop, R. The loops divide the surface of the torus into two regions and to not the correlations on the ground-state the area of one of these regions is taken to in nity. U sing arguments of M igdal and R usakov [8], the density correlation function reduces to

h (u;t)
$$(v;0)i = \frac{1}{(2 \ L^{0})^{2}} \int_{n}^{X} e^{in(u \ v) = L^{0}} dU dV dW \int_{R^{0}}^{X} d_{R^{0}} d_{G^{-}G^{-}} (U W \ V^{Y}W^{Y})$$

$$R^{0} (U V^{Y}) R (W) tr(U^{n}) tr(V^{nY}) e^{tLC_{R^{0}}}$$
(12)

G is the so called \staircase" with 1 1 boxes per step and d_{R^0} is the dimension of representation R^0 . The integrals are over the U (N) group volume. The Schur function W n can be expressed as the sum of U (N) characters

$$W_{n} = [n](U) \qquad [n \ 1;1](U) + [n \ 2;1;1](U) + :::(1)^{n} [1;1;1;:1](U) \qquad (13)$$

where the numbers in the square brackets correspond to the number of boxes in each row of the corresponding tableau. The only representations that appear in W_n are the \comer" tableaux, that is, those that only have boxes in the rst row or rst column.

In principle, the integration (12) can be carried out, but in practice it is highly tedious. The result of each integral will be given by some C lebsch-G ordan coe – cients. However, the contribution from R⁰ is zero in (12) if the tensor product of G with all possible corner representations does not contain R⁰. This then leads to our selection rules, which are a generalization of those in [7]. De ne the representation G as the representation obtained by adding the boxes in row i of to row i of G. Then it is straightforward to see that the allowed representations R⁰ are given by G , where can have more than one box in each of the rstlcolumns, but has at most one box after that.

The correlation functions of greatest interest are those found in the large radius lim it, where the overall ferm ion density is kept xed. This im plies that N = L⁰ is xed and therefore g^2N^2 is xed. This di ers from the more traditional large N lim it of Yang-M ills theory where g^2N is xed. W ith this new lim it, a U (N) representation which has order N boxes in its tableau has a nite energy in the large N -lim it. It is useful to de ne new variables $x_i = n_i = N$ and $y_i = n_i = N$, where n_i is the number of boxes in the ith row and n_i is the number in the ith colum n. To leading order in 1=N, the energy of a particular representation R^0 is E $(x_1; y_1: y_1) = \frac{g^2N^2L}{2} x_1(x_1 + 1) 1^P \frac{1}{i}y_i(1 y_i)$. From the selection rules, the density correlation function is given by 1+ 1 sum s, where the rst lare the sum s over the number of boxes in the rst l colum ns, and the other sum is over the

num ber of boxes in the strow. In the scaling limit, the sum s become integrals and the integration limits are $0 < x_1 < 1$ and $0 < y_1 < y_{1-1} < y_{1-2}$: $y_1 < 1$. It is easy to understand the nature of these sum s by considering the Bethe ansatz picture for the CSM [2,17]. The ground state has 1 1 empty sites between each led site. A representation R⁰ corresponds to the situation where ferm ion 1 m oves over n_1 sites, ferm ion 2 m oves over 1 sites, as do the next n_1 2 ferm ions. Then the next ferm ion m oves over only 1 1 sites, and the logic is continued all the way down to ferm ion n_1 which m oves over 1 site. This is then equivalent to exciting one particle out of the ferm i sea and leaving 1 holes. ly_1 l=2 is the m om entum of i^{th} hole, and $x_1 + l=2$ is the m om entum of the particle. Hence the correlation

h (u;t)
$$(v;0)i = \frac{N^2}{(2 L^0)^2} Re dx_1 dy_1 dy_1 (x_1;y_1:y_1;1)$$

 $e^{iN (x_1 + P_i y_i) (u v) = L^0} e^{tN^2 [x_1 (x_1 + 1) - 1P_i y_1 (1 - y_1)] = (2m L^{\infty})}$
(14)

function should be given by

It is now just a question of determ in ing $(x_1; y_1: y_1; i)$. To this end, we make the following observations: (i) The measure should be invariant under an interchange of the y_i , since this just corresponds to interchanging the momenta of the holes. (ii) The measure should be invariant under $x_1 \mid 1 \quad x_1, y_i \mid 1 \quad y_i$, since this corresponds to a parity transform ation. (iii) The integrand should vanish as $y_i \mid y_j$, since there can not be two holes in the same place. (iv) Since $hW_n N = N$ if n = N, the measure is nonzero and nite as $x_1 \mid 1$.

For a particular eigenfunction of the H am iltonian, the contribution to the m easure is proportional to $hW_n j$ ih j i ${}^{1}h jW_n i$. There are some known eigenfunctions for the CSM, such as the n-antisymm etric wave-functions, which are given by

$$n = \frac{X \quad Y^{n}}{n \text{ partitions } k = 1} \frac{(W_{k})^{k}}{(n_{k})!}:$$

The sum is over all partitions of n. W e can then make the additional observa-

tions: (v) U sing the Jacobian in (10) the contribution of $_{n}$ to the correlation function is C (l)n^(l 1=l) [l + (l 1)n=(N)]+ O (l=N²), where C (l) is an 1 dependent constant. (vi) By duality, the contribution of the Symmetric' representation, e_{n} is C (l=l)n^(l 1) [l + (l 1)n=(N)] + O (l=N²). These six constraints are highly restrictive and the only function that we could not that satisfies them is

$$(x_{1};y_{1}:y_{1}:y_{1};l) = C \quad \frac{Q}{\frac{i < j}{(y_{1}(1 - y_{1}))^{1 - 1 - l}}} \frac{(x_{1}(x_{1} + l))^{1 - 1}(x_{1} + l)^{2}}{\frac{Q}{i}(x_{1} + ly_{1})^{2}}$$
(15)

That this function satis es the st four constraints is obvious. The last two require further explanation. The region of the integrand that comes from the antisymmetric wave function is 1=N $y_i << y_1 << 1$ for i > 1, and 1=N $x_1 << y_1$. Since we have replaced sums by integrals, the 1+ 1 integrals are integrated over a region of width 1=N. We then nd that the contribution of $_n$ is $(N)^{1-1}y^{1-1}=(1-y)^{1-1-1}$, and the behavior agrees with (v). Likewise the contribution from e_n comes from 1=N $y_i << x_1 << 1$, hence after integrating the variables over a width 1=N, one nds the behavior is $N^{-1-1}x_1^{1-1}(1+x_1)^{1-1}$, which agrees with (vi).

In fact there are a few more checks that we can make. First, (14) and (15) agree with the previously known cases of l = 1 and l = 2[4]. Second, note that the contribution from W_n comes from the region $= x_1 + \frac{P}{P_i}y_i$, where $n = N \cdot W = \frac{P_i}{P_i}y_i$ where $n = N \cdot W = \frac{P_i}{P_i}y_i$ into the integrand. If

l, we can do the x_1 integration, leaving the integrals over y_i saturated. But at equaltime, we know that $hW_nW_n = N$ for N. Therefore, if (15) is correct, then it must be true that

$$\frac{1}{1!} \int_{0}^{2^{1}} \frac{y}{(y_{i}(1 - y_{i}))^{1 - 1 - 1}(x + y_{i} - Y_{i})^{2}} \int_{i < j}^{Y} (y_{i} - y_{j})^{\frac{2}{1}} [(x - Y_{i})(x - Y_{i} + y_{i})]^{\frac{1}{2}} = C$$
(16)
where $Y = \int_{i}^{P} y_{i}$ and C is a constant. The constant is determined by taking

! 1, in which case (16) reduces to the Selberg integral and C is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2l!} \frac{1}{(l=1)} \frac{{}^{l}Y^{l}}{{}^{k=1}} (k=1)$$
(17)

We have been unable to prove (16) directly, but we computed the l = 3 case num erically and were able to show that it agreed with C up to six signi cant digits all the way down to = 3.5. Finally, we observe that as $x_1 ! 1$, the measure reduces to the measure recently found by Forrester for the two-particle G reen function [18,6]. This is sensible, since sending the particle to in nite momentum should be equivalent to removing it altogether.

Using the duality symmetry we can not the correlation function for the case 1=1. In this case, we have 1 integrals over variables x_i that run from 0 to 1 and one integral over y_1 from 0 to 1. The correlation function is then found by substituting N =1 for N, ly_1 for x_1 and lx_i for y_i in the measure and energy. The result for 1= 1=2 agrees with the result found previously [4].

Note added A fler this work was completed, we learned that H a has been able to prove these results using properties of the Jack polynom ials[19]. A fler this paper was submitted we received another preprint proving these results[20]

<u>A cknow ledgem ents</u>: The research of JA M .was supported in part by D O E .grant DE-FG 03-84ER-40168. JA M .thanks CERN and M .Fow ler at the University of V inginia for their hospitality while this work was in progress.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. Calogero, Jour. of Math. Phys. 10, 2191 and 2197 (1969); 12, 419 (1971).
- 2. B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A 4, 2019 (1971); Phys. Rev. A 5, 1372 (1972); Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1083 (1975).
- 3. F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 635 (1988); B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 639 (1988).
- 4. B. D. Sim ons, P. A. Lee, and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 4122 (1993); Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 64 (1994); E. Mucciolo, B. Shastry, B. Sim ons and B. Altshuler, cond-m at/9309030.
- 5. A. Polychronakos, Nucl. Phys. B 234 (1989) 597; F D M . Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 937; Y S. W u, University of Utah preprint, condm at/9402008, February 1994; D. Bernard and Y.S.W u, cond-m at/9404025, April 1994.
- 6. F.D.M. Haldane, cond-m at/9401001, January 1994
- 7. B.S. Shastry, cond-m at/9402065.
- A.M igdal, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.69 (1975) 810; B. Rusakov, M od. Phys. Lett.
 A 5 (1990) 693.
- 9. Y. Nambu, in \From SU (3) to Gravity," pp.45-52 Gotsman, E. (Ed.), Tauber, G. (Ed.); and Phys. Rev. D 26 (1992) 2875 and references therein;
 M. Stone, Phys. Rev. B 42 (1990) 399; A. Jevicki, Nucl. Phys. B 376 (1992) 75; J. A. M inahan and A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B 312 155, 1993;
 M. Douglas, RU-93-13 hepth/9303159; M. Caselle, A. D'Adda, L. Magnea, and S. Panzeri, hep-th/9304015, 1993.
- 10. A.Gorskii, and N.Nekrasov, Nucl. Phys. B 414 (1994) 213; J.A.M inahan and A. P.Polychronakos, Sep. 1993. to appear in Phys. Lett. B, hepth/9309044
- 11. R.P. Stanley, Adv. M ath. 77, 76 (1989).

- 12. A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 467.
- 13. I. Andric, A. Jevicki and H. Levine, Nucl. Phys. B 215 (1983) 307.
- 14. F.D yson, J.M ath. Phys. 3 (1962) 157.
- 15. I. Andric and V. Bardek, J. Phys. A 21 (1988) 2847.
- 16. M. Gaudin, Saclay preprint SPhT-92-158.
- 17. B. Sutherland and B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 5 (1993).
- 18. P.J.Forrester, Phys. Lett. A 179, 127 (1993).
- 19. Z.N.C.Ha, to appear
- 20. F. Lesage, V. Pasquier and D. Serban, Saclay preprint, April 1994