#### On K inks and Bound States in the G ross-N eveu M odel

Joshua Feinberg

Theory G roup, D epartm ent of Physics The University of Texas at Austin, RLM 5.208, Austin, Texas 78712 and Theory G roup, Ferm iNational Accelerator Laboratory P.O.Box 500, Batavia, IL 60510 e-mail pshua@utaphy.ph.utexas.edu

#### A bstract

We investigate static space dependent (x) = h i saddle point congurations in the two dimensional G ross-N even model in the large N limit. We solve the saddle point condition for (x) explicitly by employing supersymmetric quantum mechanics and using simple properties of the diagonal resolvent of one dimensional Schrodinger operators rather than inverse scattering techniques. The resulting solutions in the sector of unbroken supersymmetry are the Callan-Colem an-G ross-Zee kink congurations. We thus provide a direct and clean construction of these kinks. In the sector of broken supersymmetry we derive the DHN saddle point congurations. Our method of nding such non-trivial static congurations may be applied also in other two dimensional eld theories.

PACS numbers: 11.10 Lm, 11.15 Pg, 11.10 St, 11.10 Kk

Supported by a post doctoral R othchild Fellow ship and in part by the R obert A.W elch Foundation and N SF G rant PHY 9009850.

## I Introduction

The G ross-N even model [1] is a well known two dimensional eld theory of N massless D irac ferm ions  $_{a}$  (a = 1;:::;N) with U (N) invariant self interactions, whose action is given by

$$S = {}^{Z} {}^{2} {}^{X^{N}}_{a=1} {}^{a} i d_{a} + \frac{g^{2}}{2} {}^{X^{N}}_{a=1} {}^{!2^{3}}_{a=1}$$
(1)

W e are interested in the large N lim it of (1) in which N ! 1 while N g<sup>2</sup> is held xed. D ecom posing each D irac spinor into two M a jorana spinors one observes that S is invariant under O (2N) avour sym m etry containing the U (N) m entioned above as a subgroup [2]. The eld theory de ned by (1) is a renorm alisable eld theory exhibiting asym ptotic freedom, dynam ical sym m etry breaking and dim ensional transm utation. Its spectrum was calculated sem iclassically (in the large N lim it) in [2]. It contains the ferm ions in (1) which become m assive, as well as a rich collection of bound states thereof (the so called "D HN states"). The spectrum of (1) contains also kink con gurations [4, 5]. W e refer to these as the C allan-C olem an-G ross-Zee (CCGZ) kinks in the sequel. These kinks are expected to be part of the spectrum of the G ross-N eveu m odel suggests that there should be extrem all eld con gurations that interpolate between the two m inim a of the elective potential associated with (1) in m uch the same way that such con gurations arise in classical eld theories whose potential term has two orm ore equivalent m inim a.

The G ross-N even m odel has also a system of in nitely m any (non-local) conservation laws which (presum ably) forbid particle production in scattering processes and enables the exact calculation of S-m atrix elements in the various sectors of the m odel [6]. Results of such calculations are in agreement with the \Large N " calculation of the spectrum.

In this paper we discuss static space dependent (x) = h i con gurations that are solutions of the saddle point equation governing the elective action corresponding to (1) as N ! 1. Such (x) con gurations correspond to non-trivial excitations of the vacuum [7, 8] and are therefore in portant in determ ining the entire spectrum of the eld theory in question [2] and its nite temperature behaviour as well[9]. Such con gurations are in portant also in discussing the behaviour of the  $\frac{1}{N}$  expansion of (1) at large orders [10, 11, 12].

O ur discussion m akes use of supersymmetric quantum mechanics and simple properties of the diagonal resolvent of one dimensional Schrödinger operators. Using these two basic tools we are able to solve the saddle point condition for static (x) congurations explicitly. The supersymmetry alluded to above relates the upper and lower components of spinors, in plying that the square of the D irac operatorm ay be decomposed into two isospectral Schrödinger operators. It is closely related to the soliton degeneracy discussed in [13], where the soliton is considered as a degenerate doublet having fermion numbers  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Our explicit solution of the saddle point condition in the sector of unbroken supersymmetry consists of the CCGZ kink congurations and it therefore provides a clean and direct construction of these kinks. In the sector of broken supersymmetry, our explicit solution reproduces the so called DHN saddle point congurations[2].

In a recent paper [14], we have applied a similar method to the anharm onic O(N) oscillator and the two dimensional O(N) vector model in the limit N ! 1. In the latter case we have found that the electric action sustains in the large temperature limit extrem albilinear condensates of the O(N) vector led that are analogous to the CCGZ kinks in the Gross-N eveu model.

The paper is organised as follows: In Section II we analyse the saddle point equation for static (x) con gurations employing supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Using simple manipulations, we show that the latter equation may be expressed in terms of the ham iltonian of only one of the supersymmetric sectors. In Section III.1 we resolve the saddle point equation into frequencies and dem and that the extrem um condition be satisfied by each Fourier component separately. This strong condition turns out to leave us always in the sector of unbroken supersymmetry. This corresponds physically to (x) con gurations that interpolate between the two vacua of the G ross-N even model at the two ends of the world, which are the CCGZ kinks that we nd as explicit solutions of the saddle point equation. In Section III.2 we solve the saddle point equation without separating it into frequencies, under the assumption that there is only one bound state. This leads to the DHN (x) con gurations which belong to the sector of broken supersymmetry. We conclude our discussion in section IV.

# II The Saddle Point Equation for Static Solutions

Following[1] we rewrite (1) as

$$S = d^{2}x i (\theta g) \frac{1}{2}^{2}$$
 (2)

where (x) is an auxiliary eld [15].

Thus, the partition function associated with (2) is

$$Z = D D D exp : i dt dx i g \frac{1}{2} ; \qquad (3)$$

Gaussian integration over the grassmannian variables is straightforward, leading to  $Z = {}^{R} D$  expfiS<sub>eff</sub> [ ]g where the bare elective action is [16]

$$S_{eff}[] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{2^{1}} dt dx^{2} \frac{i^{N}}{2} Trln^{h} (i^{0}g) (i^{0}g + g)^{i}: \qquad (4)$$

The ground state of the G ross-N even m odel (1) is described by the simplest extrem um of  $S_{eff}$  [1] in which =  $_0$  is a constant that is xed by the (bare) gap equation

$$g_{0} + iN g^{2} tr \frac{d^{2}k}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{k g_{0}} = 0:$$
 (5)

Therefore, the dynam ically generated mass of small uctuations of the Dirac elds around this vacuum is

$$m = g_{0} = e^{1 \frac{1}{N g_{R}^{2}()}}$$
 (6)

where is an arbitrary renorm alisation scale, and the renorm alised coupling  $g_R$  () is related to the cut-o dependent bare coupling via  $e^{-\frac{N-g^2(r)}{N-g^2(r)}} = e^{1-\frac{N-g^2(r)}{N-g^2(r)}}$  where is an ultraviolet cuto . Since m is the physical mass of the ferm ions it must be a renorm alisation group invariant, and this was the scale dependence of the renormalised coupling  $g_R$ , namely, equation (6). From now on we will drop the subscript R from the renormalised coupling and simply denote it by N g<sup>2</sup>.

As was explained in the introduction, we are interested in more complicated extrem a of  $S_{\rm e}\,$  , namely static space dependent solutions of the extrem um condition on

Se . This condition reads generally

$$\frac{S_{eff}}{(x;t)} = (x;t)$$

$$\frac{N}{2} tr^{nh} 2g^{2} (x;t) + ig (hx;t)[2 + g^{2} (x;t)] = 0 \quad (7)$$

where tr'' is a trace over D irac indices.

where

R 
$$(x;!^2) = hx j \frac{1}{h!^2} jxi$$
 (9)

are the diagonal resolvents of the one dim ensional Schrodinger operators

$$h = \theta_{x}^{2} + g^{2} g^{0}(x)$$
(10)

evaluated at spectral param eter !<sup>2</sup>.

Note that h are positive sem ide nite isopectral (up to zero-m odes) ham iltonians, since (10) may be brought into the form [19, 20]

$$h_{+} = Q^{Y}Q ; h = QQ^{Y} \text{ where}$$

$$Q = \frac{d}{dx} + g ; Q^{Y} = \frac{d}{dx} + g : \qquad (11)$$

These operators may be composed into a supersymmetric ham iltonian  $H = \begin{pmatrix} h_+ & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}$ describing one bosonic and one fermionic degrees of freedom [19], which we identify with the upper and lower components of the spinors. Supersymmetry implies here that an interchange of the bosonic and ferm ionic sectors of H leaves dynamics unchanged, as can be seen from the fact that Eqs. (8)-(11) are invariant under the simultaneous interchanges

Isospectrality of  $h_+$  and  $h_-$  alluded to above means that to each eigenvector  $_n$  of  $h_-$  with a positive eigenvalue  $E_n$ , there is a corresponding eigenvector  $_n$  of  $h_+$  with the same eigenvalue and norm, and vice-versa. The precise form of this pairing relation is

$$n = \frac{p}{E_n} Q^{Y} n;$$

$$n = \frac{p}{E_n} Q n; E_n > 0:$$
(13)

It is clear that the pairing in (13) fails when  $E_n = 0$ . Thus, in general one cannot relate the eigenvectors with zero-eigenvalue (i.e.-the norm alisable zero-m odes) of one ham iltonian in (10) to these of the other. Should such a norm alisable zero-m ode appear in the spectrum of one of the positive sem ide nite operators in (10), it must be the ground state of that ham iltonian. In this case the lowest eigenvalue of the supersymm etric ham iltonian H is zero, which is the case of unbroken supersymm etry. If such a norm alisable zero-m ode does not appear in the spectrum, all eigenvalues of H, and in particular-its ground state energy, are positive, and supersymmetry is broken. Since the ground state of a Schrodinger operator is non-degenerated, h can have each no m ore than one such a norm alisable zero-m ode. M oreover, it is clear from (10) that in our one dimensional case, only one operator in (10) m ay have a norm alisable zero-m ode, since it must be annihilated either by Q or by Q<sup>y</sup>. In cases of unbroken supersymmetry, we will take such a norm alisable zero-m ode to be an eigenstate of h, nam ely, the real function

$$\int_{0}^{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{N} \mathbf{e}^{0}$$
(14)

which is the norm alisable solution of the di erential equation

$$Q^{Y}_{0} = 0$$
 (15)

where N is a norm alisation coe cient.

Note that a necessary condition for the norm alisability of  $_0$  is that (x) have the opposite behaviour at 1. Thus, physically, cases of unbroken supersymmetry lead to (x) congurations that interpolate between the two vacua of (4) at the two ends of the world, while cases of broken supersymmetry yield (x) congurations that leave and return to the same vacuum state.

A ssigning the zero-m ode to h poses no loss of generality, since the other possible case is related to this one via (12). In what follows we will denote the right hand side of (14) by  $_0$  also in cases of broken supersymmetry where it is non-norm alisable. This should not cause any confusion, since the ground state will be denoted by  $_0$ , which will be equal to  $_0$  when supersymmetry is unbroken.

By de nition, the diagonal resolvents in (9) are given by the eigenfunction expansions

$$R (x) = \frac{x^{2}}{n=0} \frac{j_{n}(x)j^{2}}{E_{n}!^{2}};$$

$$R_{+}(x) = \frac{x^{2}}{n>0} \frac{j_{n}(x)j^{2}}{E_{n}!^{2}}$$
(16)

where the sum s extend over all eigenstates, including the continua of scattering states where they are understood as integrals.

U sing (13),  $R_+$  may be expressed in terms of the "s as

$$R_{+}(x;!^{2}) = \frac{x^{2}}{\sum_{n>0}^{n} \frac{1}{E_{n}} \frac{y_{n}(x)}{2} \frac{y_{n}(x)}{E_{n}} \frac{1}{2}}$$
(17)

where  $W_n(\mathbf{x}) = {}_0(\mathbf{x}) {\stackrel{\circ}{n}}(\mathbf{x}) {\stackrel{\circ}{0}}(\mathbf{x}) {n}(\mathbf{x})$  is the wronskian of  ${}_0$  and  ${}_n$ . An elementary consequence of the Schrödinger equation is that  $W_n^\circ(\mathbf{x}) = E_n {}_0(\mathbf{x}) {n}(\mathbf{x})$ . U sing this relation, (16) and (17) in ply the in portant relation

(2g 
$$(Q_x) R_+ + (2g + Q_x) R_- = 2 2g + \frac{d}{dx} hx jP \frac{1}{h !^2} jxi:$$
 (18)

Here P is the projector

$$P = 1 j_0 ih_0 j \tag{19}$$

that projects out the ground state of h when supersymmetry is unbroken (= 1), and is just the unit operator otherwise (= 0). We can also use (5) to make a frequency resolution of unity as

$$\frac{i}{N g^2} = \frac{d!}{m} h_x j \frac{1}{\theta_x^2 + m^2 ! ! ! j i} j i :$$
(20)

M oreover, from (18), (20) and the elementary relation

$$hx j \frac{1}{e_x^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{!^2} jx i = \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{m^2} \frac{1}{!^2} jx i$$
 (21)

the frequency resolution of (8) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dx}hx p \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{!^2} jx i = 2g (x) \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{m^2 !^2} hx p \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{!^2} jx i :$$

Substituting (19) into the last equation, all dependence on cancels out and the extrem um condition (8) is shaped into its nal form

$$\frac{d!}{2} \frac{d!}{dx} \left( \frac{dR}{dx} (x;!^{2}) \\ \frac{dR}{dx} 2g (x) \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{m^{2} !^{2}} R x;!^{2} \right)$$
(22)

which has to be satis ed regardless of whether supersymmetry is broken or not.

## III Static Solutions to the Extrem um Condition

## III.1 Case of Unbroken Supersymmetry

The simplest way to look for solutions of the static saddle point equation (22) is to dem and that it be satis ed by each frequency mode separately, namely restricting R by the dimential condition

$$\frac{dR (x;!^2)}{dx} = 2g (x) \frac{1}{2 m^2 !^2} R x;!^2$$
(23)

Now, R  $(x;!^2)$ , being the diagonal resolvent of h at spectral parameter  $!^2$  is subjected to the so-called "G el'fand-D ikii" equation [17][18]

2R 
$$(x;!^{2})R^{(0)}(x;!^{2}) + R^{(0)}(x;!^{2})^{2} + 4R^{2}(x;!^{2})^{h}g^{2} = 1;$$
 (24)

Therefore, both equations (23) and (24) must hold and they form a system of coupled non-linear di erential equations in the unknowns (x); and R  $(x;!^2)$ . Substituting R<sup>0</sup> and R<sup>00</sup> from (23) into (24) we obtain a quadratic equation for R whose solutions are

R x; 
$$l^{2} = \frac{g^{0}}{(g^{0})^{2} + 4!^{2}g^{2} + 4!^{2}(m^{2} + 1!^{2})}{4!^{2}m^{2} + 1!^{2}}$$
: (25)

To see what the two signs of the square root correspond to we observe that the solution with the negative sign in front of the square root has a simple pole as a function of  $!^2$  at  $!^2 = 0$  with a negative residue, while the other solution is regular and positive at  $!^2 = 0$ . Therefore, from (16) it is clear that the negative sign root corresponds to the case of unbroken supersym metry, where the simple pole signals the existence of a norm alisable zero-mode in the spectrum of h, while the positive root solution corresponds, for similar reasons, to cases in which h lacks such a zero-mode. We will see below that the latter solution corresponds also to the case of unbroken supersymmetry, where the same of unbroken supersymmetry, where the case of unbroken supersymmetry is a solution to the case of unbroken supersymmetry.

We concentrate now on the branch cut singularity in (25) at  $!^2 = m^2$  which signals the threshold of the continuous part of the spectrum of h. Clearly, h can

have no continuous spectrum other than that starting at  $!^2 = m^2$ , thus leading to the conclusion that R in (25) can have no other branch points in the  $!^2$  plane. Therefore, the expression under the square root in (25) must be a perfect square as a polynom ial in  $!^2$ , namely,

$$g^{0}(x) = g^{2} (x) m^{2}$$
: (26)

From (26) we nd straight forwardly the solutions

$$g(x) = m \tanh [m(x x_0)]$$
 (27)

which are exactly the CCGZ kinks and anti-kinks. Here the parameter  $x_0$  is an integration constant that implies translational invariance of (27) since it is the arbitrary location of the kinks. Clearly, both cases in (27), and therefore both cases in (25), lead to h operators that do not break supersymmetry, since (14),(27) imply that

$$_{0} = \frac{m}{2} e^{g_{0}^{R} x} (y) dy = \frac{m}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sech}[m(x x_{0})]$$
(28)

is the norm alisable zero-m ode of h for the kink con guration, and of  $h_+$  when (x) is the anti-kink. Due to zero binding energy, ferm ions trapped in this potential do not react back on the (x) eld [2].

Note that in deriving (27) above we have not set any apriori restrictions on R , thus, it is a very interesting question whether (27) are the only possible static extrem al (x) con gurations in the sector of unbroken supersymmetry or not.

As it stands, our frequency decomposition of the extrem um condition (23) seems to lead always to extrem al (x) congurations that do not break supersymmetry. We are thus unable to nd in this manner the extrem al (x) congurations found in [2] in which (x) has the shape of kink anti-kink pair that are very close to each other. Such a conguration evidently breaks supersymmetry [19]. They will be discussed in the next subsection.

When (x) is a kink (25) becomes

$$R (x;!^{2}) = \frac{m^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} [m (x x_{0})]}{2!^{2} m^{2} !^{2}} + \frac{1}{2^{2} m^{2} !^{2}}$$
(29)

while for anti-kinks it is just the expression on the right hand side of (21).

These statements on R are consistent with the explicit form of the ham iltonians  $h \cdot U \sin q$  (10), (27) we not in the kink case

$$h_{+} = \theta_{x}^{2} + g^{2} + g^{2} + g^{0} = \theta_{x}^{2} + m^{2} \text{ and}$$

$$h_{-} = \theta_{x}^{2} + g^{2} + g^{2} + g^{0} = \theta_{x}^{2} + m^{2} - 2m^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} [m (x - x_{0})]$$

$$(30)$$

while in the anti-kink case h interchange their roles. Thus, in the latter case, h becomes the Schrödinger operator of a freely moving particle in a constant potential m<sup>2</sup> which is the reason why R is given by the simple expression in (21) in the anti-kink case. Indeed, that expression is the x independent solution of the G el'fand-D ikii equation (24) corresponding to the constant potential g<sup>2</sup>  $^{2}$  g  $^{0}$  = m<sup>2</sup> that is positive at  $!^{2} = 0$ .

In the kink case, we have obtained the explicit form (29) for R by substituting (27) into (25). As an independent check, we may deduce this expression for the diagonal resolvent for the potential  $g^{2-2} = g^{-0} = m^{-2} - 2m^{-2} \operatorname{sech} [m(\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0)]$  in other ways. The simplest one is to apply an ansatz of the form  $R = \operatorname{sech}^2(\mathbf{x}) + \operatorname{to}$  the G el'fand-D ikii equation. Another way to derive it is to use the general form ula R (x) = G (x;y)  $\mathbf{j}_{x=y} = \frac{1}{W} \frac{(x_x)^{-2}(x_x)}{(x_x)^{-2}} \mathbf{j}_{x=y}$  where the 's are the so called Jost functions of the problem. In this case they are hypergeometric functions multiplied by sech factors. The wronskian in this expression is a ratio of functions dependent on  $!^2$  and  $m^2$  [8].

We can deduce from (25) the CCGZ solution (27) to the extremum condition (23),(24) by yet another m ethod which does not rely upon the brach-cut structure of R but rather on its pole structure. Considering the case where the zero-m ode is in the spectrum of h we make a Laurent expansion of the appropriate expression for R in (25) around the simple pole at  $!^2 = 0$ . The leading term in this expansion is

R 
$$\frac{1}{!^2} \left[ \frac{g}{2m}^0 + 0 \right]^2$$
 (31)

Comparing (31) to (14) and (16) we nd

$${}^{2}_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = N^{2} \exp^{0} 2g \int_{0}^{2\mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{g^{0}}{2\mathbf{m}}$$
(32)

which yields

$$N^{2}e^{2} = \frac{\omega}{2m}$$
;  $(x) = g^{2x}$  (y)dy: (33)

Solving (33) we nd

$$(x) = \ln \cosh [m (x x_0)] + c$$
 (34)

where c and  $x_0$  are integration constants and we have imposed the normalisation condition  $\frac{R}{1} = \frac{2}{0} dx = 1$  to  $x N = \frac{q}{\frac{m}{2c}}$ . Clearly, then, (34) yields the CCGZ kinks upon dimension.

We are now in a position to verify brie y that the CCGZ kink conguration leads to an hoperator that has indeed a single normalisable zero-mode as the explicit form (29) for R suggests. Our discussion follows [20]. In the kink sector (30) in plies that the eigenstates of  $h_+$  are simply these of freely moving particles  $_k(x) = e^{ikx}$ , with a continuum of strictly positive eigenvalues  $E_+ = k^2 + m^2 - m^2$ . These states are isospectral to the eigenstates of h,

$$_{k}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{m}^{2}} Q_{k}(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \frac{\text{m tanh [m (x = x_{0})]}}{\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{m}^{2}} \right)^{2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$
(35)

which are therefore the scattering states of h. The S matrix associated with h is thus " ! #

$$S(k) = \frac{ik}{ik+m} = \exp i \qquad 2 \arctan \frac{k}{m}$$
 (36)

Since  $h_+$  in (30) has only scattering states, h can have no bound states other than its zero-m ode (28) which must therefore be its ground state. This single norm alisable state of h corresponds to the single pole of S (k) in (36) at k = im. Note further that there are no rejected waves in any of the scattering eigenstates (35) of the Schrödinger operators in (30). This is also the case for the supersymmetry breaking (x) con gurations in [2] as well as in other exactly soluble models in two space-time dimensions[2].

The fact that h evaluated at the extrem al point must be re ectionless can be deduced even without solving the extrem um condition (23) explicitly, provided one makes apriorian assumption that h has only a single bound state (namely, its ground state) regardless of whether supersymmetry is broken or not.

To this end we consider (23), in which R may be replaced by  $R_P = hx jP \frac{1}{h-\frac{1}{2}} jx i$ as mentioned in the discussion preceding (23). Since h is assumed to have a single bound state,  $R_P$  contains only scattering states of h, whose corresponding continuous eigenvalues  $E = k^2 + m^2$  start at  $E = m^2$ . We may therefore write the spectral resolution of  $R_P$  as

$$R_{P} = \int_{m^{2}}^{Z} dE \frac{j_{E}(x)j_{I}^{2}}{E!^{2}} = \int_{1}^{Z} dk \frac{k(x)}{k^{2} + m^{2}!^{2}}$$
(37)

where  $k(\mathbf{x}) = 2$   $j_k j_k(\mathbf{x}) j_k(\mathbf{x}) j_k$ . In term s of k the extrem um condition (23) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{k}{2} \frac{(x)}{2} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{2} (x)$$
(38)

whose general solution is

$$_{k}(\mathbf{x}) = 1 + c_{k} \frac{2}{0}(\mathbf{x})$$
 (39)

where  $q_k$  is an integration constant. Since by denition  $_k$  (x) cannot blow up at in nity, we must set  $c_k = 0$  in the case of broken supersymmetry. Whether supersymmetry is broken or not  $_k$  (x) obviously obtains the asymptotic value of 1 as x ! 1. Therefore, the scattering states  $_k$  (x) of h are given by

$$_{k}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{q}{1 + q_{k}} \frac{2}{0}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i_{k}(\mathbf{x})}$$
 (40)

where  $_{k}$  is a real phase. Substituting these functions into the eigenvalue equation for h and considering its asymptotic behaviour as x ! 1 we see, using the boundary condition g (1) = m that the phase becomes that of a free particle, which is obvious, but unim odularity of the phase factor in plies further that there be only right moving or only left moving wave in  $_{k}$  (x). Therefore, h must be rejection less.

The physical signi cance of the CCGZ kinks is as follows: As was mentioned in the introduction, the dynam ical properties of (1) are consequences of the fact that the ("large N") e ective potential  $V_e$  () extracted from (4) has two symmetric equivalent minim a at h  $i_{vac} = 0 \in 0$ . This causes a dynamical breakdown of the discrete  $(Z_2)$  chiral symmetry of (2) under the transformation  $!_5$ ; ! , where the ferm ions uctuating near the h  $i_{vac} = 0$  ground state acquire dynam ical m ass  $m = q_0$  [1]. In a similar manner to the appearance of kinks in classical eld theories with potentials exhibiting spontaneous symmetry breaking, one should expect similar con gurations to appear in eld theories whose e ective potential in plies dynam ical symmetry breaking. The CCGZ kinks (anti-kinks) are precisely such static spacedependent (x) con gurations that interpolate between the two minim a of  $V_e$  ( ), and our calculations provide an explicit proof that they are indeed extrem al points of (4). The various states appearing in the background of the CCGZ kink may be deduced by calculating the "partition function" of the Dirac eld uctuations in that specic (x) con quration for a nite time lapse T (i.e. the trace over the time evolution operator  $e^{iH T}$ ). This has been done explicitly in [2]. The result is

$$\operatorname{Tre}^{iH T} = \frac{\hat{X}^{N}}{n=0} \frac{(2N)!}{(n)!(2N n)!} \exp^{4} \frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{2T} dt dx \Big|_{kink}^{2} \int_{0}^{2} 5$$

$$\exp^{4} N T \Big|_{i} \Big|_{kink} \Big|_{i} \Big|_{0} \Big|_{i} \int_{0}^{1} in!_{b} \Big|_{kink} \Big|_{T} : \qquad (41)$$

In this equation n is the total number of fermions and anti-fermions that are trapped in the single bound state of the kink,  $!_i[] = {}^p \overline{E_i}$  is the energy of the i the state in the background of [21] and  $!_b$  is the energy of the bound state, which is zero for the CCGZ kinks. U sing this and also the fact that in this background h in (30) are isospectral, we see that all terms in the second exponent in (41) are cancelled, leaving only the rst exponent which is the mass of the kink, nam ely [22],

$$M_{kink} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2^{2} & h \\ 0 & 2^{2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{m N}{N g^{2}} :$$
(42)

Therefore, we see that all states contributing to (41) are degenerate in energy (all

having the kink m ass as energy) which is a direct result of the fact that  $!_{b} = 0$ . C learly there are  $2^{2N}$  states in all, that form a huge reducible supermultiplet of 0 (2N). Its decomposition into irreducible components is clear from the combinatorial prefactors in (41) that simply tell us that the various bound states in the kink fall into antisymmetric tensor representations of 0 (2N) (where the integer n is the rank of the tensor) [2].

We abse this subsection by checking explicitly that the CCGZ kink congurations obtained above indeed extrem ise the elective action in (4). Substituting the kink conguration in (27) and the explicit expressions (29) and (21) for R and R<sub>+</sub> into the extrem un condition (8) we not that the pole at  $!^2 = 0$  disappears from the right hand side of (8) in accordance with (18) leaving in the sum over frequencies only contributions from the scattering states. Thus, Eq.(8) becomes

<sup>2</sup>  
<sup>3</sup>  
<sup>4</sup>1+ iN g<sup>2</sup> 
$$\frac{d!}{2} \frac{p_{m^2}}{p_{m^2}} \frac{1}{p_{m^2}} \frac{7}{p_{m^2}} g (x) = 0;$$
 (43)

implying that the term in the square brackets on the left hand side must vanish. But vanishing of the latter is precisely the M inkow sky space gap-equation of the G ross-N even model (5) for the dynamical mass m and it must therefore hold, con ming that the kinks in (27) are indeed solutions of the extrem um condition (8).

#### III.2 Case of Broken Supersym m etry

Enforcing the saddle point condition at each frequency component in (23) led us directly to the sector of unbroken supersymmetry without any further assumptions on R (x;!<sup>2</sup>). Therefore, in order to not static extrem al (x) congurations that lead to supersymmetry breaking, we must solve (22) as a whole. At a rest sight this seems to be unmanageable [23], since we apparently cannot use the G el'fand-D ikii equation (24) for R which was so crucial for our treatment in the previous section. However, assuming (as in [2]) that the required (x) conguration yields an h operator with a single bound state at positive energy  $E_b = !_b^2 < m^2$ , in addition to the obvious continuum of unbound fermions of mass m, our experience gained in the previous section leads us to the most general form of R (x;!<sup>2</sup>) consistent with (24) and the preassumed form of the spectrum of h. This generic form of R contains enough information in order to solve (22).

In order to construct this generic form of R , we note the following points:

- 1. R has scale dimension 1 in mass units as can be seen from its de nition (9) or from the explicit expressions (25) and (29).
- 2. Clearly, g (x) must reach asymptotically either one of the vacua, namely,  $jg (1)j = m; g^{0}(1) = 0$  (actually, since we will end up indeed in the sector of broken supersymmetry, the two asymptotic values of g will turn out to be equal). Thus, the h operator resulting from such a (x) con guration must have the asymptotic form  $Q_x^2 + m^2$  as jxj! 1. Correspondingly, its resolvent must have the asymptotic form (21).
- 3. The assumption that h has a single bound state at positive energy  $0 < !_{b}^{2} < m^{2}$  implies that the analytic structure of R  $(x;!^{2})$  in the complex ! plane must include in addition to the branch cuts along the real rays (1; m) and (m; 1) only two simple poles on the real axis at ! = !<sub>b</sub>.
- 4. Any ansatz for R must obey the Gel'fand-Dikii equation (24).

Points number 1 and number 3 lead immediately to the general form

$$R (x;!^{2}) = \frac{Ag^{0} + B(g)^{2} + C(!_{b}^{2} !^{2}) + Dm^{2}}{(!_{b}^{2} !^{2})^{p} \overline{m^{2} !^{2}}}$$
(44)

where A; B; C and D are dimensionless numbers yet to be determined. Point number 2 in ply then that  $C = \frac{1}{2}$  and B = D.

In order to comply with point number 4, we substitute (44) into the G el'fand-D ikii equation (24). Multiplying the resultant expression through by  $(!_{b}^{2} ! ! 2)^{2} (m^{2} ! 2)$  we obtain an even quartic polynom ial in ! [24] which must vanish. This yields three non-linear di erential equations for g (x) stem m ing from nulli cation of the coe cients of  $!^{4};!^{2}$  and  $!^{0}$  in that polynom ial. The condition which results from setting the coe cients of  $!^{4};!^{2}$  and  $!^{0}$  in that polynom ial.

$$(4A \quad 1) (g)^{0} + (1 \quad 4D) [(g)^{2} \quad m^{2}] = 0$$
(45)

which can be used to eliminate A and D from (44). Doing so (44) becomes

$$R (x;!^{2}) = \frac{g^{0} + m^{2}}{4 (!^{2}_{b} !^{2})^{p} \frac{(g)^{2}}{m^{2} !^{2}}} + \frac{p}{2^{p} m^{2} !^{2}} :$$
(46)

This expression is evidently very similar to expressions (25) and (29), the only dierence being that the double pole at ! = 0 in those equations is resolved here into the two simple poles at  $! = !_{b}$ .

W e must further subject (46) to the two remaining dimensional equations mentioned above. It turns out that the equation resulting from setting the coe cient of  $!^2$  to zero is simply the derivative (with respect to x) of the equation associated with the coe cient of  $!^0$  [25]. The latter is the dimensional equation for g (x) we are looking for, which must be solved subjected to the boundary conditions mentioned in point number 2 above. Equivalently, and this is what we do below, we can read o the expression for the normalised bound state wave function  $_{\rm b}$ (x) in terms of g (x) from (46). The Schrödinger equation for  $_{\rm b}$ (x) provides than the required condition for g (x). As can be seen from (16) and in a similar manner to our discussion following (31) in the previous subsection, we identify the residue of the simple pole of R (x; E  $!^2$ ) in (46) at E =  $!_{b}^{2}$  as  $_{b}^{2}$  (x). Thus,

$${}_{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \overset{v}{t} \frac{\overline{g^{0}} (g^{0})^{2} + m^{2}}{4 m^{2} ! \frac{g^{0}}{b}} = \overset{v}{t} \frac{\overline{m^{2} V}}{4 m^{2} ! \frac{g^{0}}{b}}$$
(47)

where

$$V(x) = g^{2} g^{0}$$
(48)

is the potential of h . Im posing the Schrodinger equation on (47) we have

<sup>h</sup> 
$$\theta_{x}^{2} + V(x) \frac{iq}{m^{2}} \frac{1}{V(x)} = !_{b}^{2} \frac{q}{m^{2}} V(x) :$$
 (49)

The solution to this equation, com patible with boundary conditions at in nity is

$$V(x) = m^2 2^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 [(x x_0)]$$
 (50)

where  ${}^2 m {}^2 !_b^2$  and  $x_0$  is an integration constant. The corresponding bound state and resolvent are therefore

$$r = \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{sech} [(x = x_0)]$$

$$R (x;!^2) = \frac{2 \operatorname{sech}^2 [(x = x_0)]}{2 (!_b^2 = !^2) p \overline{m^2} !^2} + \frac{p}{2^p \overline{m^2} !^2}$$
(51)

which solve (24) and (49) as can be checked explicitly. It is not surprising at all that (51) reproduces (29) as  $!_{\rm b}$  ! 0.

Finally, in order to nd g (x) we substitute (50) into (48). This is equivalent to solving

$$\left[ \theta_{x}^{2} + m^{2} - 2^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \left[ (x - x_{0}) \right]^{\circ} \right]_{0} (x) = 0$$
(52)

where  $_{0}$  (x) is de ned in term sofg (x) in (14). For  $^{2} \in m^{2}$  the Schrodinger operator in (52) has no norm alisable zero-m ode and supersymmetry is broken. Nevertheless, one can nd non-norm alisable solutions of the dimension (52), which can be transformed into an hypergeometric equation [8], and extract g (x) in this way. The resulting g (x) con gurations are those found in [2]. The speci c g (x) given in [2] corresponds to setting  $x_0 = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{m}{m}$  in (50), namely,

$$g(x) = m + \tanh x \frac{1}{4}\ln \frac{m +}{m} \tanh x + \frac{1}{4}\ln \frac{m +}{m}$$
 (53)

Note at this stage that we have yet to impose the saddle point condition (22). This will quantize  $!_{b}$  and constrain it to the discrete set of values found by DHN [2] as we now show.

Substituting (46) into the generic static saddle point condition (22) we obtain

$$2g + \frac{d}{dx} g^{0} + m^{2} g^{2} g^{2} \frac{\#_{Z}}{c_{m}} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{1}{(!_{b}^{2} + !^{2})^{p} \overline{m^{2} !^{2}}} = 0$$
(54)

where we now integrate over ! along a contour C in the complex ! plane, and the subindex n counts the number of ferm ions trapped in the single bound state of h produced by g. The contour C is precisely the one used to de ne the Feynm an propagator of a free D irac particle of m ass m, and in addition, it runs right below both simple poles of R at ! =  $!_{b}[26]$ .

The resulting static saddle point condition (54) is solved by requiring either that the term in the square brackets vanishes, or that the integral over frequencies vanishes. The dimensial equation resulting from the rest possibility is

$$g^{00} = 2g (g^{2} m^{2})$$
 (55)

which reproduces the kink con guration (27) discussed in the previous section with  $!_{b} = 0$ . We thus focus on the other possibility, namely, that the integral in (54) vanishes.

The integral in (54) is U.V. nite. We can therefore deform it by folding its  $! > !_{b}$ wing right on top of the remaining part of the real ! axis to the left of  $!_{b}$ . This can be further deformed into two circles wrapped around the simple poles at  $!_{b}$  and a \hair-pin" con guration wrapped around the left hand cut of R , picking up its discontinuity across the cut[26]. In other words, this integral picks up contributions from the completely led D irac sea (including the pole at  $! = !_{b}$ ), where each energy state (of the D irac operator  $i \not e g$  rather than h ) is occupied by N ferm ion avours, and the single bound state at  $! = + !_{b}$ , which is occupied by n < N ferm ions.

Because we have pulled out a factor of N in deriving (22) we have to weigh the contribution of the simple pole of R at ! = !<sub>b</sub> by n=N in (54) while the other contributions are weighed simply by 1. Performing the integration, we nd that the contribution from the cut is  $\frac{i}{m^2 \sin^2} (1 \ ^2)$  while the poles at ! = !<sub>b</sub> yield, respectively,  $\frac{i}{m^2 \sin^2}$  where, following [2] we have de ned

$$!_{b} = m \sin and$$
  
=  $\frac{q}{m^{2}} \cdot !_{b}^{2} = m \cos i$  (56)

G athering all contributions to the integral (properly weighed), (54) yields

$$\sum_{c_m}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{1}{\left(!\frac{2}{b} + !^2\right)^{p} \overline{m^2 + !^2}} = \frac{1}{m^2 \sin 2} \frac{2}{m} \frac{n}{N}^{\#} = 0$$

nam ely,

$$_{n} = \frac{n}{2N}$$
(57)

which is precisely the result of [2]. The simple poles in R occur therefore at

$$!_{b} = m \sin \frac{n}{2N} \quad : \tag{58}$$

One can now repeat the same analysis as in the previous subsection, of the partition function associated with uctuations of ferm ions interacting with these static (x) con gurations. One nds again O (2N) supermultiplets, where the n'th supermultiplet has mass  $M_n = \frac{2N m}{2N} \sin \frac{n}{2N}$ ; (n < N) and contains allO (2N) completely antisymmetric tensors of rank  $n_0$  n, where  $n_0$  has the same parity of n [2].

## IV Conclusion

In this paper we have solved the extrem um condition on the elective action of the two dimensional G ross-N even model for static (x) congurations in the large N limit. Our method of calculation was direct, making use of elementary properties of one dimensional Schrödinger operators. The natural scale that appears in the G ross-N even model is that of its dynam ically generated mass m. B ecause the latter is the natural scale of the CCGZ kink congurations as well, our derivation of these kink congurations was relatively simple and straight forward. It therefore may be considered as a clean and constructive proof that the CCGZ kinks are indeed static extrem a of the elective action. We have also rederived the DHN extrem al (x) congurations in a very simple manner. To this end, however, we had to introduced their scale into the saddle point condition by hand, since it does not appear explicitly in the elective action.

Our method may be applied also to a host of other two dimensional eld theories, and in particular, to eld theories that do not involve re ectionless static con gurations, where inverse scattering methods are useless [27].

I would like to thank the Theory G roup at Ferm ilab where part of this work has been completed for their kind hospitality. I would also like to thank Joe Lykken for inviting m e to Ferm ilab and for valuable discussions on topics related to this work. I am also indebted to the Theory G roup at the University of Texas at Austin for partial support of this visit.

21

N ote A dded in P roof: A fter submitting this paper for publication I have realised that A van and de Vega [27] have already used the diagonal resolvent of a one dimensional Schrödinger operator to discuss solitons in \Large N " vector m odels. However, their discussion was limited to single particle quantum mechanics (0 + 1 dimensional quantum eld theory). Moreover, they did not make an explicit use of the G el'fand-D ikii equation as was done here. I thank the referee for bringing this reference to my attention and for his useful remarks.

### References

- [1] D J.G ross and A.Neveu, Phys.Rev.D 10, 3235 (1974).
- [2] R.F.Dashen, B.Hasslacher and A.Neveu, Phys. Rev. D 12, 2443 (1975).
- [3] R.F.Dashen, B.Hasslacher and A.Neveu, Phys. Rev. D 10, 4130 (1974).
- [4] C.G. Callan, S.Coleman, D.J.G ross and A.Zee, unpublished (as referred to by[2] and the reference below).
- [5] A.K kin, Phys.Rev.D 14, 558 (1976), who reproduced these kink con gurations as well as part of the results of [2] using the canonical form alism and Hartree-Fock techniques. However, in order to do so some simplifying assumptions had to be made in that paper, unlike the case we present here. The work of A.K kin was partly reproduced in

R. Pausch, M. Thies and V.L. Dolman, Zeits. Phys. A 338, 441 (1991) where the exact solvability of the G ross-N even model was used to investigate certain properties of the Hartree-Fock approximation.

[6] A B.Zam olodchikov and AlB.Zam olodchikov, Phys.Lett. B 72, 481 (1978);
 E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 142, 285 (1978); R. Shankar and E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 141, 349 (1978).

For a comprehensive discussion of the exact S m atrix for all sectors of the G ross-N even m odel see M . K arow ski and H J. Thun, Nucl. Phys. B 190, 61 (1981). The in nitely m any conserved non-local charges associated with the integrability of the G ross-N even m odel are discussed in

T.L.Curtright and C.K.Zachos, Phys.Rev.D 24, 2661 (1981).

- [7] JM. Comwal, R. Jackiw, and E. Tomboulis, Phys. Rev. D 10, 2428 (1974).
- [8] J.Goldstone and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev.D 11, 1486 (1975).
   See also P.M orse and H.Feshbach, M ethods of Theoretical Physics (M cG raw H ill, New-York, 1953) p.1650, referred to in that paper.

- [9] R.F.Dashen, S.M. a and T.Rajaram an, Phys. Rev. D 11, 1499 (1975);
  F.Karsch, J.Kogut and H.W. Wyld, Nucl. Phys. B 280, 289 (1987);
  M.G.M. itchard, A.C. Davis and A.J.M. acfarlane, Nucl. Phys. B 325, 470 (1989).
- [10] S.Hikamiand E.Brezin, J.Phys.A (Math.Gen.) 12, 759 (1979).
- [11] H J. de Vega, Commun. M ath. Phys. 70, 29 (1979).J. A van and H J. de Vega, Phys. Rev. D 29, 2891 and 1904 (1984)
- [12] I thank E.B rezin for pointing the importance of this issue to me.
- [13] R.Jackiw and C.Rebbi, Phys.Rev.D 13, 3398 (1975).See also the last section in the paper by A.K lein cited above.
- [14] J.Feinberg, Nucl. Phys. B 433, 625 (1995).
- [16] Here we have used the fact that  $D_1 = id g$  and  $D_2 = (id + g)$  are isospectral (up to zero-modes) since  ${}_5D_1 = D_2 {}_5$ .
- [17] IM.Gel'fand: and LA.Dikii, Russian Math.Surveys 30,77 (1975).
- [18] For a simple derivation of the Gel'fand-Dikii equation see the appendix of [14].
- [19] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 188, 513 (1981).
- [20] W . Kwong and J. L. Rosner, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Suppl.) 86, 366 (1986), and references therein.
- [21] Note that we used the term "energy" in referring to eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operators h, but clearly  $!_i$  is really the physical energy of the ferm ion.
- [22] It is custom any to choose the renorm alisation point at = m such that N g<sup>2</sup> = .

- [23] Indeed, the original analysis in [2] was carried out using sophisticated inverse scattering techniques rather than a direct calculation of the resolvent of the static D irac operator. See also the comments made by these authors in [3] (p. 4136, rst paragraph starting below g.2) prior to their analysis in [2].
- [24] Note that we multiply through by a polynom islof degree six in !. However, the coe cient of ! <sup>6</sup> in the resulting polynom isl vanishes identically.
- [25] This result is a special case of the recurrence relations discussed in [17].
- [26] That is, C runs right below the left branch cut of R in the complex ! plane, continuing right below the real ! axis up to the right most pole at ! = !<sub>b</sub> and then crossing the real ! axis and continuing to run right above the right branch cut of R . This is precisely the contour used in [3]. See gures 1 through 3 (and especially the last two gures) in that reference.
- [27] J.Avan and H.J. de Vega, Nucl. Phys. B 224, 61 (1983).