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#### Abstract

We investigate static space dependent $(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{h}$ i saddle point congurations in the two dim ensional $G$ ross $N$ eveu $m$ odel in the large $N$ lim it. We solve the saddle point condition for ( $x$ ) explicitly by em ploying supersym $m$ etric quantum $m$ echanics and using simple properties of the diagonal resolvent of one dim ensionalSchrodinger operators rather than inverse scattering techniques. $T$ he resulting solutions in the sector of unbroken supersym $m$ etry are the $C$ allanColem an-G ross-Zee kink con gurations. We thus provide a direct and clean construction of these kinks. In the sector ofbroken supersym $m$ etry we derive the DHN saddle point con gurations. O urm ethod of nding such non-trivial static con gurations $m$ ay be applied also in other two dim ensional eld theories.
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## I Introduction

The $G$ ross $N$ eveu m odel hī] is a well know $n$ tw o dim ensional eld theory of N m assless D irac ferm ions a $(a=1 ;::: ; N)$ with $U(\mathbb{N})$ invariant self interactions, whose action is given by

W e are interested in the large N lim it of (111) in which N ! 1 while $N \mathrm{~g}^{2}$ is held xed. D ecom posing each $D$ irac spinor into two $M$ ajorana spinors one observes that $S$ is invariant under $O(2 N)$ avour sym $m$ etry containing the $U(N) m$ entioned above as a
 asym ptotic freedom, dynam ical sym $m$ etry breaking and dim ensional transm utation. Its spectrum was calculated sem iclassically (in the large $N$ lim it) in [ַ̄극. It contains the ferm ions in (1지) which becom e massive, as well as a rich collection of bound states thereof (the so called "D H N states"). The spectrum of (ī1) contains also kink con gurations [in , kinks in the sequel. These kinks are expected to be part of the spectrum of the G ross $N$ eveu $m$ odel since dynam icalbreaking of the discrete chiral sym $m$ etry in the G ross $N$ eveu m odel suggests that there should be extrem al eld con gurations that interpolate betw een the two m inim a of the e ective potential associated with ( $\bar{i} 1)$ in much the sam e way that such con gurations arise in classical eld theories whose potential term has two orm ore equivalent $m$ inim a.

The G ross N eveu $m$ odelhas also a system of in nitely $m$ any (non-local) conservation law swhich (presum ably) forbid particle production in scattering processes and enables the exact calculation of $S$-m atrix elem ents in the various sectors of the $m$ odel [-ब̄]. Results of such calculations are in agreem ent w th the \Large N" calculation of the spectrum .

In this paper we discuss static space dependent $\quad(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}$ con gurations that are solutions of the saddle point equation goveming the e ective action corresponding to ( $\overline{1})$ ) as $N$ ! 1 . Such ( x ) con gurations correspond to non-trivial excitations of
the vacuum $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[-1, i} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ and are therefore im portant in determ ining the entire spectrum of the eld theory in question [2̄] and its nite tem perature behaviour as well [ī]. Such con gurations are im portant also in discussing the behaviour of the $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}$ expansion of (1̄1) at large orders tīo

O urdiscussion $m$ akes use of supersym $m$ etric quantum $m$ echanics and sim ple properties of the diagonal resolvent of one dim ensionalSchrodinger operators. U sing these tw o basic tools we are able to solve the saddle point condition for static ( x ) con g urations explicitly. The supersym $m$ etry alluded to above relates the upper and lower com ponents ofspinors, im plying that the square of the D irac operatorm ay be decom posed into two isospectral Schrodinger operators. It is closely related to the soliton degeneracy discussed in [1] $\overline{1}]$, w here the soliton is considered as a degenerate doublet having ferm ion numbers $\frac{1}{2}$. O ur explicit solution of the saddle point condition in the sector of unbroken supersym m etry consists of the CCGZ kink con gurations and it therefore provides a clean and direct construction of these kinks. In the sector of broken supersym $m$ etry, our explicit solution reproduces the so called D H N saddle point con gurationsterin.

In a recent paper $[\underline{1}[\mathbf{- 1}]$, w e have applied a sim ilarm ethod to the anharm onic $0(\mathbb{N})$ oscillator and the two dim ensional $(\mathbb{N})$ vector $m$ odel in the $\lim$ it $N!1$. In the latter case we have found that the e ective action sustains in the large tem perature $\lim$ it extrem albilinear condensates of the $O(\mathbb{N})$ vector eld that are analogous to the CCGZ kinks in the G ross N eveu m odel.

The paper is organised as follow s: In Section II we analyse the saddle point equation for static ( $x$ ) con gurations em ploying supersym $m$ etric quantum $m$ echanics. $U$ sing sim ple $m$ anipulations, we show that the latter equation $m$ ay be expressed in term s of the ham iltonian of only one of the supersym $m$ etric sectors. In Section III. 1 we resolve the saddle point equation into frequencies and dem and that the extrem um condition be satis ed by each Fourier com ponent separately. This strong condition tums out to leave us always in the sector of unbroken supersym $m$ etry. This corresponds physically to ( x ) con gurations that interpolate between the two vacua of
the G ross N eveu m odel at the tw o ends of the w orld, which are the C C G Z kinks that we nd as explicit solutions of the saddle point equation. In Section III 2 we solve the saddle point equation w ithout separating it into frequencies, under the assum ption that there is only one bound state. This leads to the D HN (x) con gurations which belong to the sector ofbroken supersym $m$ etry. $W$ e conclude our discussion in section IV .

## II The Saddle P oint Equation for Static Solutions

Follow ing [近] we rew rite ([1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=d^{z} x \text { i } d \quad \text { g } \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
( x ) is an auxiliary eld [1]
Thus, the partition function associated w ith (느) is

G aussian integration over the grassm annian variables is straightforward, leading to $Z={ }^{R} D \quad \operatorname{expfi} S_{\text {eff }}[$ ]g where the bare e ective action is $[\mathbf{I} \overline{\mathbf{1}} \overline{1}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{e f f}[]=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{2} d t_{1}^{Z^{2}} d x^{2} \quad i \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln ^{h}(i \not d \quad g)(i \not d+g)^{i}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he ground state of the $G$ ross $N$ eveu $m$ odel ( ${ }_{(1)}^{1}$ ) is described by the sim plest extrem um of $S_{\text {eff }}\left[\begin{array}{l}1-1\end{array}\right]$ in which $=0$ is a constant that is xed by the (bare) gap equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}+i N g^{2} \operatorname{tr} \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{\not k g_{0}}=0: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the dynam ically generated $m$ ass of $s m$ all uctuations of the $D$ irac elds around this vacuum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=g_{0}=e^{1 \overline{N g_{R}^{2}()}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is an arbitrary renorm alisation scale, and the renom alised coupling $g_{R}($ ) is related to the cut-o dependent bare coupling via $e^{\overline{N g^{2}()}}=e^{1 \overline{N g_{R}^{2}()}}$ where
is an ultraviolet cuto. Since $m$ is the physical $m$ ass of the ferm ions it $m$ ust be a renorm alisation group invariant, and this xes the scale dependence of the renor-
 $R$ from the renorm alised coupling and sim ply denote it by $\mathrm{N}^{2}$.

A s w as explained in the introduction, we are interested in m ore com plicated extrem a of $S_{e}$, nam ely static space dependent solutions of the extrem um condition on
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}} . \mathrm{T}$ his condition reads generally

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{S_{\text {eff }}}{(x ; t)}=(x ; t) \\
& i \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{tr}^{n h} 2 g^{2} \quad(x ; t)+i g \quad @ \quad h x ; t j\left[2+g^{2} \quad \text { ig } \quad @ \quad\right]^{1} \dot{x} ; t_{i}^{\circ}=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where \tr" is a trace over D irac indices.
Specialising to static $(x)$ con gurations, and using the $M$ a jorana representation ${ }^{1}=i_{3} ;{ }^{0}=2$ for m atrices, $(\underset{-1}{\boldsymbol{T}})$ becom es

${ }_{1}^{21} \frac{d!}{2}{ }^{h}\left(2 g \quad e_{x}\right) R_{+} x ;!^{2}+\left(2 g+e_{x}\right) R \quad x ;!^{2 i}$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R} \quad\left(\mathrm{x} ;!^{2}\right)=\mathrm{hxj} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~h} \quad!^{2}} \dot{x} i \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the diagonal resolvents of the one dim ensional Schrodinger operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=@_{x}^{2}+g^{2} \quad g^{0}(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

evaluated at spectral param eter ! ${ }^{2}$.

N ote that h are positive sem ide nite isopectral (up to zero-m odes) ham iltonians,


$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{+}=Q^{y} Q ; h=Q Q^{y} \quad \text { where } \\
& Q=\frac{d}{d x}+g ; Q^{y}=\frac{d}{d x}+g: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

These operatorsm ay be com posed into a supersym $m$ etric ham iltonian $H=h_{+} 0$ describing one bosonic and one ferm ionic degrees of freedom [1] $\overline{-1}]$, which we identify $w$ th the upper and lower com ponents of the spinors. Supersym $m$ etry im plies here
that an interchange of the bosonic and ferm ionic sectors of $H$ leaves dynam ics un－ changed，as can be seen from the fact that Eqs．（ $(\overline{8})-(\underline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$ are invariant under the sim ultaneous interchanges

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad!\quad ; h \quad \text { ! } \quad \text {; } \\
& R \quad!R \quad \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Isospectrality of $h_{+}$and $h$ alluded to above $m$ eans that to each eigenvector $n$ of $h$ with a positive eigenvalue $E_{n}$ ，there is a corresponding eigenvector $n$ of $h_{+}$ $w$ ith the sam e eigenvalue and norm，and vige－versa．T he precise form of this pairing relation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}}} Q^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} ; \\
& \mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}}} Q_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}>0: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that the pairing in（1］⿱龴⿱乛亅㇒⿵冂⿱八乂：）fails when $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=0$ ．Thus，in general one cannot relate the eigenvectors $w$ th zero－eigenvalue（ie．the norm alisable zero－m odes）of one ham iltonian in（ $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{O}})$ to these of the other．Should such a norm alisable zero－m ode appear in the spectrum of one of the positive sem ide nite operators in（inol），it must be the ground state of that ham iltonian．In this case the low est eigenvalue of the supersym $m$ etric ham iltonian $H$ is zero，which is the case of unbroken supersym $m$ etry． If such a nom alisable zero－m ode does not appear in the spectrum，all eigenvalues of $H$ ，and in particular－its ground state energy，are positive，and supersym $m$ etry is broken．Since the ground state of a Schrodinger operator is non－degenerated，h can have each no m ore than one such a norm alisable zero－m ode．M oreover，it is clear from（ $1 \mathbf{1} \underline{\underline{O}})$ that in our one dim ensional case，only one operator in（ $(\overline{1} \bar{O})$ ）m ay have a norm alisable zerom ode，since it $m$ ust be annihilated either by $Q$ or by $Q^{Y}$ ．In cases of unbroken supersymm etry，we will take such a norm alisable zero－m ode to be an eigenstate of $h$ ，nam ely，the real function

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(x)=N e^{g^{R}}(y) d y \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the nom alisable solution of the di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{y} 0=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is a norm alisation coe cient.
N ote that a necessary condition for the nom alisability of 0 is that $(x)$ have the opposite behaviour at 1 . Thus, physically, cases of unbroken supersym $m$ etry lead to ( x ) con gurations that interpolate betw een the two vacua of ( $(\overline{4})$ ) at the two ends of the w orld, while cases ofbroken supersym $m$ etry yield $(x)$ con gurations that leave and retum to the sam e vacuum state.

A ssigning the zero-m ode to $h$ poses no loss of generality, since the other possible case is related to this one via ( $\overline{1} \overline{2})$. In what follow s we w ill denote the right hand side of ( This should not cause any confusion, since the ground state $w$ ill be denoted by 0 , which $w$ illbe equal to 0 when supersym $m$ etry is unbroken.

By de nition, the diagonal resolvents in ( $\underline{9}_{-1}$ ) are given by the eigenfunction expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& R \quad(x)=x_{n=0}^{x^{2}} \frac{j_{n}(x) J}{E_{n}!^{2}} ; \\
& R_{+}(x)=x_{n>0}^{x^{1}} \frac{j_{n}(x) \frac{j}{3}}{E_{n}!^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum s extend over alleigenstates, inchuding the continua of scattering states where they are understood as integrals.

U sing (1] $\overline{1})$ ), $R_{+} m$ ay be expressed in term $s$ of the $n ' s$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{+}\left(x ;!^{2}\right)={ }_{n>0}^{x^{2}} \frac{1}{E_{n}{ }_{0}^{2}(x)} \frac{j N_{n}(x) \jmath^{\jmath}}{E_{n}!^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{n}(x)=0(x)_{n}^{0}(x) \quad \quad_{0}^{0}(x)_{n}(x)$ is the wronskian of 0 and ${ }_{n}$. An ele$m$ entary consequence of the Schrodinger equation is that $W_{n}^{0}(x)=E_{n} 0(x)_{n}(x)$. U sing this relation, (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 g \quad \varrho_{x}\right) R_{+}+\left(2 g+\varrho_{x}\right) R=22 g+\frac{d}{d x} h x j P \frac{1}{h \quad!^{2}} \dot{x} i: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P$ is the pro jector

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=1 \quad j{ }_{0} \mathrm{ih}_{0} j \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

that projects out the ground state of $h$ when supersym $m$ etry is unbroken ( $=1$ ), and is just the unit operator otherw ise $(=0)$. W e can also use ( frequency resolution of unity as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{\mathrm{Ng}^{2}}={ }^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{\mathrm{h} x} \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad!^{2} \quad \mathrm{i}} \dot{\mathrm{x} i}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, from (

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x j \frac{1}{@_{x}^{2}+m^{2}!^{2} \quad i} \dot{x i}=\frac{1}{2 \frac{p}{m^{2}!^{2} i}} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

the frequency resolution of ( $\bar{i} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) becom es

$$
\frac{d}{d x} h x p \frac{1}{h \quad!^{2}} \dot{x} i=2 g(x) \frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{1}{m^{2}!^{2}} \quad h x p \frac{1}{h \quad!^{2}} \dot{x i} \quad \text { : }
$$

Substituting ( $1 \overline{9} 9$ ) into the last equation, all dependence on cancels out and the extrem um condition (

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{d R\left(x ;!^{2}\right)}{d x} \quad 2 g(x) \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{m^{2}!^{2}}} \quad R \quad x ;!^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has to be satis ed regardless of whether supersym $m$ etry is broken or not.

## III Static Solutions to the Extrem um C ondition

## III. 1 C ase of U nbroken Supersym $m$ etry

The simplest way to look for solutions of the static saddle point equation ( $\left.\overline{2} \bar{Z} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ is to dem and that it be satis ed by each frequency $m$ ode separately, nam ely restricting $R$ by the di erential condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R\left(x ;!^{2}\right)}{d x}=2 g(x) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m^{2}!^{2}} \quad R \quad x ;!^{2}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $R \quad\left(x ;!^{2}\right)$, being the diagonal resolvent of $h$ at spectral param eter ! ${ }^{2}$ is sub jected to the so-called "G elfand-D ikii" equation [ī̄in

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 R \quad\left(x ;!^{2}\right) R^{\infty}\left(x ;!^{2}\right)+R^{0}\left(x ;!^{2}\right)^{2}+4 R^{2}\left(x ;!^{2}\right)^{h} g^{2} \quad g^{0} \quad!^{2^{i}}=1: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, both equations $(\overline{2} \overline{3})$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{4}) \mathrm{m}$ ust hold and they form a system of coupled non-linear di erential equations in the unknowns $(x)$; and $R\left(x ;!^{2}\right)$. Substituting $R^{0}$ and $R^{\infty}$ from $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ into ( $\left(\underline{2} \overline{-} \bar{q}^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain a quadratic equation for $R$ whose solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \quad x ;!^{2}=\frac{g^{0}\left(\frac{q}{\left(g^{9}\right)^{2}+4!^{2} g^{2}{ }^{2} \quad 4!^{2}\left(m^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)}\right.}{4!^{2} \frac{m^{2}!^{2}}{}}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see what the two signs of the square root correspond to we observe that the solution $w$ ith the negative sign in front of the square root has a sim ple pole as a function of $!^{2}$ at $!^{2}=0 \mathrm{w}$ th a negative residue, while the other solution is regular and positive at $!^{2}=0$. Therefore, from (ī̄̄) it is clear that the negative sign root corresponds to the case ofunbroken supersym $m$ etry, where the sim ple pole signals the existence of a nom alisable zero-m ode in the spectrum of $h$, while the positive root solution corresponds, for sim ilar reasons, to cases in which h lacks such a zero-m ode. W e will see below that the latter solution corresponds also to the case of unbroken supersym $m$ etry, where the zerom ode is in the spectrum of $h_{+}$.

W e concentrate now on the branch cut singularity in (2̄-1) at ! ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}$ which signals the threshold of the continuous part of the spectrum of $h . C$ learly, h can
have no continuous spectrum other than that starting at $!^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}$, thus leading to the conclusion that $R$ in ( $2 \overline{2} 5)$ can have no other branch points in the ! ${ }^{2}$ plane. Therefore, the expression under the square root in ( $\overline{2} \overline{5}) \mathrm{m}$ ust be a perfect square as a polynom ial in ! ${ }^{2}$, nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{0}(x)=g^{2}(x) \quad m^{2}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2̄ब̄) we nd straight forw ardly the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=m \tanh \left[m\left(x \quad x_{0}\right)\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are exactly the CCGZ kinks and anti-kinks. Here the param eter $x_{0}$ is an integration constant that im plies translational invariance of ( $(\underline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) since it is the arbitrary location of the kinks. C learly, both cases in ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{Z_{1}}\right)$ ), and therefore both cases in ( $\overline{2} \overline{5} \bar{T}_{1}$ ), lead to $h$ operators that do not break supersym $m$ etry, since ( $(\overline{1} \overline{4}),(\underline{2} \bar{Z} \overline{1})$ im ply that

$$
0=\frac{m}{2}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{g^{R_{x}}}(y) d y=\frac{m}{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sech}\left[m\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & \left.x_{0}\right) \tag{28}
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

is the norm alisable zero-m ode of $h$ for the kink con guration, and of $h_{+} w$ hen is the anti-kink. D ue to zero binding energy, ferm ions trapped in this potential do not react back on the $(x)$ eld $\left.\underline{2 N}_{2}^{1}\right]$.

N ote that in deriving ( $\overline{2}_{2} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) above we have not set any apriori restrictions on $R$, thus, it is a very interesting question whether (2-17) are the only possible static extrem al
$(x)$ con gurations in the sector of unbroken supersym $m$ etry or not.
As it stands, our frequency decom position of the extrem um condition ( to lead alw ays to extrem al $(x)$ con gurations that do not break supersym $m$ etry. W e are thus unable to $n d$ in this $m$ anner the extrem al $(x)$ con gurations found in $\underline{L D}_{-1}^{[1]}$ in which ( x ) has the shape of kink anti-kink pair that are very close to each other. Such a con guration evidently breaks supersym m etry [1]9.1. T hey w ill.be discussed in the next subsection.

W hen $(\mathrm{x})$ is a kink ( $\overline{2} \overline{5})$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(x ;!^{2}\right)=\frac{\left.m^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} m\left(x x_{0}\right)\right]}{2!^{2} \frac{m m^{2}}{m^{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{!^{2}}{m^{2}}}, \frac{!^{2}}{}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for anti-kinks it is just the expression on the right hand side of ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} 1)$.
$T$ hese statem ents on $R$ are consistent $w$ ith the explicit form of the ham iltonians h . U sing $(\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{0}),(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ we nd in the kink case

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{+}=\varrho_{x}^{2}+g^{2}+g^{0}=\varrho_{x}^{2}+m^{2} \text { and } \\
& h=\varrho_{x}^{2}+g^{2} g^{0}=\varrho_{x}^{2}+m^{2} \quad 2 m^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left[m\left(x \quad x_{0}\right)\right] \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

while in the anti-kink case $h$ interchange their roles. Thus, in the latter case, $h$ becom es the Schrodinger operator of a freely $m$ oving particle in a constant potential $m^{2}$ which is the reason why $R$ is given by the smple expression in ( $2 \bar{Z}_{-1}^{1}$ ) in the antikink case. Indeed, that expression is the x independent solution of the Gel fand -D ikii equation $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ) corresponding to the constant potential $g^{2}{ }^{2} \quad g^{0}=m^{2}$ that is positive at $!^{2}=0$.

In the kink case, we have obtained the explicit form ( $\overline{-2} 9)$ for $R$ by substituting $(\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ into $\left(\overline{2} \overline{5} \bar{m}_{1}\right)$. A s an independent check, we may deduce this expression for the diagonal resolvent for the potential $g^{2}{ }^{2} \quad g^{0}=m^{2} \quad 2 m^{2} \operatorname{sech}\left[m\left(x \quad x_{0}\right)\right]$ in other ways. The sim plest one is to apply an ansatz of the form $R=\operatorname{sech}^{2}(x)+$ to the $G$ elfand -D ikii equation. A nother way to derive it is to use the general form ula $R(x)=G(x ; y) j_{k=y}=\frac{1\left(x_{>}\right) 2\left(x_{<}\right)}{W(1 ; 2)} \dot{j}_{x=y}$ where the 's are the so called Jost functions of the problem. In this case they are hypergeom etric functions multiplied by sech factors. The wronskian in this expression is a ratio of functions dependent on ! ${ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ [g].

We can deduce from ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{-1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ the CCGZ solution ( $\overline{2} \overline{\overline{1}} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) to the extrem um condition $(\overline{2} \overline{3}),(\underline{2} \overline{4})$ by yet another $m$ ethod which does not rely upon the brach-cut structure of $R$ but rather on its pole structure. C onsidering the case where the zero-m ode is in the spectnum of $h$ we $m$ ake a Laurent expansion of the appropriate expression for $R$ in ( $\overline{2} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) around the simple pole at $!^{2}=0$. The leading term in this expansion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \quad \frac{1}{!^{2}} \frac{g^{0}}{2 m}+0 \quad!^{2}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\left.{ }_{0}^{2}(x)=N^{2} \exp { }^{0} \quad \underset{0}{2 g^{Z^{x}}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{32}\\
\\
\\
0
\end{array}\right) d y^{A}=\frac{g^{0}}{2 m}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2} e^{2}=\frac{\infty}{2 m} \quad ; \quad(x)=g_{0}^{z^{x}} \quad(y) d y: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving ( $\overline{3} \overline{-1})$ ) we nd

$$
(x)=\ln \cosh \left[m \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \left.\left.\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]+\mathrm{c} \tag{34}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

where $c$ and $x_{0}$ are integration constants and we have im posed the norm alisation
 upon di erentiation.

W e are now in a position to verify brie y that the CCGZ kink con guration leads to an $h$ operator that has indeed a single norm alisable zerom ode as the explicit
 that the eigenstates of $h_{+}$are sim ply these of freely $m$ oving particles $k(x)=e^{i k x}$, $w$ th a continuum of strictly positive eigenvalues $E_{+}=k^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. These states are isospectral to the eigenstates of h ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})={\left.\frac{\left.\mathrm{m} \tanh \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right] \quad \mathrm{ik}}{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2}}}^{(\mathrm{ikx}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are therefore the scattering states of $h$. The $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix associated with h is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(k)=\frac{i k \quad m}{i k+m}=\exp i \quad 2 \arctan \frac{k}{m}^{\text {i }}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{+}$in $(\underline{B} \overline{\underline{a}})$ has only scattering states, $h$ can have no bound states other than its zerofm ode ( $(\underline{2} \overline{-1})$ which $m$ ust therefore be its ground state. This single nom alisable state of $h$ corresponds to the single pole of $S(k)$ in ( (3్̄̄̄) at $k=i m . N$ ote further that there are no re ected waves in any of the scattering eigenstates ( $\overline{3} \overline{5}$ ) $)$ of the Schrodinger operators in ( $(\underline{3} \overline{-} \overline{-1})$. This is also the case for the supersym $m$ etry breaking
(x) con gurations in $\overline{\text { Bn }}]$ as well as in other exactly soluble models in two space-tim e dim ensions

The fact that $h$ evaluated at the extrem al point $m$ ust be re ectionless can be deduced even without solving the extrem um condition $\overline{(2} \overline{3})$ explicitly, provided one $m$ akes apriorian assum ption that h has only a single bound state (nam ely, its ground state) regardless of w hether supersym $m$ etry is broken or not.

To thisend we consider ( $(\underline{-3} \overline{3})$, in which $R \quad m$ ay be replaced by $R_{P}=h x j P \frac{1}{h \quad!^{2}} \dot{x} i$ as $m$ entioned in the discussion preceding (2̄3̄). Since $h$ is assum ed to have a single bound state, $R_{P}$ contains only scattering states of $h$, whose corresponding continuous eigenvalues $E=k^{2}+m^{2}$ start at $E=m^{2}$. W e may therefore write the spectral resolution of $R_{P}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{P}={ }_{m^{2}}^{Z /} d E \frac{j_{E}(x) j^{2}}{E!^{2}}={ }_{1}^{Z} d k \frac{k(x)}{k^{2}+m^{2}!^{2}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(x)=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})$ 予. In term sof k the extrem um condition ( $(\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} \frac{k(x)}{{ }_{0}^{2}(x)}=\frac{d}{d x} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{2}(x)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=1+c_{k}{\underset{0}{2}(x)}^{2}(x) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is an integration constant. Since by de nition $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})$ cannot blow up at in nity, we must set $c_{k}=0$ in the case of broken supersym $m$ etry. W hether supersym $m$ etry is broken or not $k(x)$ obviously obtains the asym ptotic value of 1 as $x!1$. Therefore, the scattering states $k(x)$ of $h$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=q \overline{1+q_{k}{ }_{0}^{2}(x)} e^{i k(x)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a realphase. Substituting these functions into the eigenvalue equation for $h$ and considering its asym ptotic behaviour as x! 1 we see, using the boundary condition $g(1)=m$ that the phase becom es that of a free particle, which is obvious, but unim odularity of the phase factor im plies further that there be only right $m$ oving or only left $m$ oving wave in $k(x)$. Therefore, $h \quad m$ ust be re ectionless.

The physical signi cance of the C C G Z kinks is as follow s: A s was m entioned in the introduction, the dynam icalproperties of ( $\underline{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) are consequences of the fact that the
 $m$ inim a at $h i_{\text {vac }}=0$. This causes a dynam ical breakdow $n$ of the discrete $\left(Z_{2}\right)$ chiral sym $m$ etry of $(\underset{1}{2})$ under the transform ation ! 5 ; ! where the ferm ions uctuating near the $h i_{\text {vac }}=0$ ground state acquire dynam icalm ass $m=90\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$. In a sim ilarm anner to the appearance ofkinks in classical eld theories $w$ ith potentials exhibiting spontaneous sym m etry breaking, one should expect sim ilar con gurations to appear in eld theories whose e ective potential im plies dynam ical symm etry breaking. The CCGZ kinks (anti-kinks) are precisely such static spacedependent ( x ) con gurations that interpolate between the two minim a of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ( ), and our calculations provide an explicit proof that they are indeed extrem al points of ( $(\overline{4})$. The various states appearing in the background of the CCGZ kink may be deduced by calculating the "partition function" of the D irac eld uctuations in that speci c (x) con guration for a nite tim e lapse $T$ (i.e.the trace over the tim e evolution operatore ${ }^{\text {iH } T}$ ). This has been done explicitly in

In this equation $n$ is the total num ber of ferm ions and anti-ferm ions that are trapped in the single bound state of the kink, $!_{i}[]={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ is the energy of the $i$ th state in the background of $\left[\underline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$ and $!_{b}$ is the energy of the bound state, which is zero for the CCGZ kinks. $U$ sing this and also the fact that in this background $h$ in ( $\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{0}$ ) are isospectral, we see that all term s in the second exponent in (âin ) are cancelled, leaving only the rst exponent which is the $m$ ass of the kink, nam ely $[2]-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\text {kink }}=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{7} \mathrm{~h}_{0}^{2} \quad{\underset{\text { kink }}{2}}_{i}^{i}=\frac{\mathrm{mN}}{\mathrm{Ng}^{2}} \text { : } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we see that all states contributing to (14īi) are degenerate in energy (all
having the kink $m$ ass as energy) which is a direct result ofthe fact that $!_{\mathrm{b}}=0 . \mathrm{C}$ learly there are $2^{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ states in all, that form a huge reducible superm ultiplet of O ( 2 N ). Its decom position into irreducible com ponents is clear from the com binatorial prefactors in (4̄1그) that sim ply tell us that the various bound states in the kink fall into antisym $m$ etric tensor representations of $O(2 N)$ (where the integer $n$ is the rank of the tensor) [ig $]$.

W e close this subsection by checking explicitly that the CCGZ kink con gurations obtained above indeed extrem ise the e ective action in (
 the extrem um condition ( $(\underset{-1}{ })$ we nd that the pole at $!^{2}=0$ disappears from the right hand side of $(\overline{8})$ in accordance w ith ( $\left.1 \overline{1} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$ leaving in the sum over frequencies only contributions from the scattering states. Thus, Eq. (ig) becom es
im plying that the term in the square brackets on the left hand side $m$ ust vanish. B ut vanishing of the latter is precisely the $M$ inkow sky space gap-equation of the $G$ ross $N$ eveu $m$ odel ( ${ }_{(5)}^{\mathbf{5}}$ ) for the dynam ical $m$ ass $m$ and it $m$ ust therefore hold, con m ing that the kinks in (2-17) are indeed solutions of the extrem um condition (

## III. 2 C ase of B roken Supersym m etry

Enforcing the saddle point condition at each frequency com ponent in (2̄3̄) led us directly to the sector of unbroken supersym $m$ etry $w$ thout any further assum ptions on $R \quad\left(x ;!^{2}\right)$. Therefore, in order to nd static extrem al ( $x$ ) con gurations that lead to supersym $m$ etry breaking, we m ust solve ( $(\overline{2} \overline{2})$ ) as a whole. At a rst sight this seem s to be unm anageable[ [2]-1 $]$, since we apparently cannot use the $G$ elfand-D ikiiequation (2̄̄̄) for R which was so crucial for our treatm ent in the previous section. H ow ever, assum ing (as in [in ${ }_{2}$ ) that the required ( x ) con guration yields an h operator w th a single bound state at positive energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=!_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}<\mathrm{m}^{2}$, in addition to the obvious continuum of unbound ferm ions of $m$ ass $m$, our experience gained in the previous section leads us to the $m$ ost general form of $R\left(x ;!^{2}\right)$ consistent $w$ ith $(\overline{2} \overline{4})$ and the preassum ed form of the spectrum of $h$. This generic form of $R$ contains enough inform ation in order to solve ( $(\underline{2} 2 \overline{1})$.

In order to construct this generic form of $R$, we note the follow ing points:

1. $R$ has scale dim ension 1 in $m$ ass units as can be seen from its de nition (9) or from the explicit expressions ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{5} \overline{5}_{1}\right)$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$.
2. C learly, $g(x)$ m ust reach asym ptotically either one of the vacua, nam ely, $j \mathrm{~g}(1) j=\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}^{0}(1)=0$ (actually, since we will end up indeed in the sector ofbroken supersym $m$ etry, the two asym ptotic values of $g$ will tum out to be equal). Thus, the $h$ operator resulting from such a $(x)$ oon guration $m$ ust have the asym ptotic form $@_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2}$ as $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j} j$ ! 1 . Correspondingly, its resolvent $m$ ust have the asym ptotic form $\overline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} \overline{1})$.
3. The assum ption that h has a single bound state at positive energy $0<!_{b}^{2}<\mathrm{m}^{2}$ im plies that the analytic structure of $R\left(x ;!^{2}\right)$ in the complex ! plane must include in addition to the branch cuts along the realrays ( 1 ; m) and (m;1) only two simple poles on the real axis at $!=\quad!_{\mathrm{b}}$.
4. A ny ansatz for $R$ must obey the $G$ elfand -D ikiiequation $(\underline{2} \overline{4})$.

Points num ber 1 and num ber 3 lead im $m$ ediately to the general form
where $A$; B ; C and D are dim ensionless num bers yet to be determ ined. Point num ber 2 imply then that $C=\frac{1}{2}$ and $B=D$.
 equation (2 $\overline{2} \overline{4})$ ). M ultiplying the resultant expression through by $\left(!{ }_{b}^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)^{2}\left(m^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)$ we obtain an even quartic polynom ial in ! [1-4] which m ust vanish. This yields three nonlinear di erential equations for $g(x)$ stem $m$ ing from nulli cation of the coe cients of $!^{4} ;!^{2}$ and ! ${ }^{0}$ in that polynom ial. The condition which results from setting the coe cient of! ${ }^{4}$ to zero reads

$$
(4 \mathrm{~A} \quad 1)(\mathrm{g})^{0}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 4 \mathrm{D} \tag{45}
\end{array}\right)\left[(\mathrm{g})^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right]=0
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
R \quad\left(x ;!^{2}\right)=\frac{g^{0}+m^{2}}{4\left(!_{b}^{2}!^{2}\right)^{p} \frac{(g)^{2}}{m^{2}!^{2}}}+\frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{m^{2}!^{2}}}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is evidently very sim ilar to expressions $(\underline{2} \overline{5})$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$, the only di erence being that the double pole at ! = 0 in those equations is resolved here into the two simple poles at $!=\quad!_{b}$.

W e m ust further subject (4̄̄) to the two rem aining di erential equations $m$ entioned above. It tums out that the equation resulting from setting the coe cient of $!^{2}$ to zero is simply the derivative ( $w$ ith respect to $x$ ) of the equation associated $w$ ith the coe cient of ! ${ }^{0}$ [2]in]. The latter is the di erential equation for $g(x)$ we are looking for, which m ust be solved sub jected to the boundary conditions mentioned in point num ber 2 above. Equivalently, and this is what we do below, we can read o the expression for the norm alised bound state wave function $b(x)$ in term sof $g(x)$ from ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{1})$. The Schrodinger equation for $\quad b(x)$ provides than the required condition for $g(x)$.

A s can be seen from ( in the previous subsection, we identify the residue of the sim ple pole of $R\left(x ; E \quad{ }^{2}\right)$ in ( $\overline{4} \overline{-1}$ ) $)$ at $E=!_{b}^{2}$ as $\quad{ }_{b}^{2}(\mathrm{x})$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)=\frac{\stackrel{v}{u}}{u_{t}} \frac{g^{0} q(g)^{2}+m^{2}}{4} \frac{\stackrel{v}{u}}{m^{2} \quad!_{b}^{2}} \frac{m^{2}}{4} \frac{V}{m^{2} \quad!_{b}^{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=g^{2} \quad g^{0} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}){ }^{i q} \overline{m^{2} \quad V(x)}=!_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \overline{m^{2} \quad V(x)}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to this equation, com patible w ith boundary conditions at in nity is

$$
\left.V(x)=m^{2} \quad 2^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(x & x_{0} \tag{50}
\end{array}\right)\right]
$$

where ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad!_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ is an integration constant. The corresponding bound state and resolvent are therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& b(x)=\frac{r}{-} \operatorname{sech}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x_{0}
\end{array}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right. \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

which solve $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{4})$ and $(\underline{-1} \overline{9})$ as can be checked explicitly. It is not surprising at all that (
$F$ inally, in order to nd $g(x)$ we substitute ( $(\overline{5} \overline{0})$ into ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-1})$ ). This is equivalent to solving

$$
\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad 2^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}_{0} \tag{52}
\end{array}\right)\right]^{\circ} \quad 0(\mathrm{x})=0
$$

where $O(x)$ is de ned in term sofg $(x)$ in (1̄4). For ${ }^{2} \in \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ the Schrodinger operator in ( $5 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ has no norm alisable zerom ode and supersym $m$ etry is broken. $N$ evertheless, one can nd non-nom alisable solutions of the di erential equation ( $50-2$ ), which can be transform ed into an hypergeom etric equation [G], and extract $g(x)$ in this way.

The resulting $g(x)$ con gurations are those found in $\overline{\operatorname{Gin}}$. The speci c $g(x)$ given in [ $\overline{2}]$ ] corresponds to setting $x_{0}=\frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{m+}{m}$ in $(\underline{5} \overline{-1})$, nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=m+\quad \tanh \quad x \quad \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{m+}{m} \quad \tanh \quad x+\frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{m+}{m} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote at this stage that we have yet to im pose the saddle point condition $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$. This will quantise $!_{b}$ and constrain it to the discrete set of values found by D HN as we now show.

Substituting (

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g+\frac{d}{d x} g^{0}+m^{2} g^{2} 2^{\#} \underset{c ; n}{ } \frac{d!}{2} \frac{1}{\left(!_{b}^{2}!^{2}\right)^{p}} \frac{m^{2}!^{2}}{m}=0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we now integrate over! along a contour C in the com plex ! plane, and the subindex $n$ counts the num ber of ferm ions trapped in the single bound state of $h$ produced by $g$. The contour C is precisely the one used to de ne the Feynm an propagator of a free $D$ irac particle of $m$ ass $m$, and in addition, it runs right below both sim ple poles of $R$ at $!=!$ b

The resulting static saddle point condition ( $\overline{5} \overline{-1})$ is solved by requiring either that the term in the square brackets vanishes, or that the integralover frequencies vanishes. The di erentialequation resulting from the rst possibility is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\infty}=2 g\left(g^{2} \quad m^{2}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reproduces the kink con guration (2̄근) discussed in the previous section with $!_{b}=0 . W$ e thus focus on the other possibility, nam ely, that the integral in ( $(\overline{5} \overline{4})$ vanishes.

The integralin ( $w$ ing right on top of the rem aining part of the real ! axis to the left of $!_{b}$. T his can be further deform ed into two circles wrapped around the simple poles at $!_{b}$ and a \hair-pin" con guration wrapped around the left hand cut of $R$, pidking up its discontinuity across the cut[2]. from the completely led D irac sea (inchuding the pole at ! = ! ${ }_{\mathrm{b}}$ ), where each
energy state (of the $D$ irac operator id $g$ rather than $h$ ) is occupied by $N$ ferm ion avours, and the single bound state at! $=+!{ }_{b}$, which is occupied by $n<N$ ferm ions.

Because we have pulled out a factor of $N$ in deriving ( $\overline{\underline{Z}}_{2} \bar{q}_{\text {) }}$ ) we have to weigh the contribution of the simple pole of $R$ at $!=!_{b}$ by $n=N$ in ( $\overline{5} \overline{-1}$ ) while the other contributions are weighed simply by 1 . Perform ing the integration, we nd that the contribution from the cut is $\frac{i}{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \sin 2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \underline{2}\end{array}\right)$ while the poles at $!=!_{\mathrm{b}}$ yield, respectively, $\frac{i}{m^{2} \sin 2}$ where, follow ing [ī] we have de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
!_{\mathrm{b}} & =\mathrm{m} \sin \quad \text { and } \\
& =\mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad!_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}}{}=\mathrm{m} \text { cos : } \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

G athering all contributions to the integral (properly weighed), ( $5 \overline{-} \overline{4})$ yields

$$
{ }_{c ; n} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{1}{\left(!{ }_{b}^{2}!^{2}\right)^{p}} \frac{m^{2}!^{2}}{m^{2}}=\frac{i}{m^{2} \sin 2} \quad \frac{2}{N}{ }^{\#}=0
$$

nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is precisely the result of

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{m} \sin \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \quad: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can now repeat the sam e analysis as in the previous subsection, of the partition function associated w ith uctuations of ferm ions interacting $w$ ith these static
$(x)$ con gurations. O ne nds again $O(2 N)$ superm ultiplets, where the n'th supermultiplet hasm ass $M_{n}=\frac{2 \mathrm{Nm}}{\sin } \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{~N}} ;(\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{N})$ and contains allo (2N) com pletely antisym $m$ etric tensors of rank $n_{0} \quad n$, where $n_{0}$ has the sam e parity of $n$ tē $]$.

## IV C onclusion

In this paper we have solved the extrem um condition on the e ective action of the two dim ensional $G$ ross $N$ eveu $m$ odel for static ( $x$ ) con gurations in the large $N$ lim it. Our m ethod of calculation was direct, $m$ aking use of elem entary properties of one dim ensional Schrodinger operators. The natural scale that appears in the Gross $N$ eveu $m$ odel is that of its dynam ically generated $m$ ass $m$. Because the latter is the natural scale of the CCGZ kink con gurations as well, our derivation of these kink con gurations was relatively sim ple and straight forward. It therefore $m$ ay be considered as a clean and constructive proof that the CCGZ kinks are indeed static extrem a of the e ective action. We have also rederived the DHN extrem al (x) con gurations in a very sim ple $m$ anner. To this end, how ever, we had to introduced their scale into the saddle point condition by hand, since it does not appear explicitly in the e ective action.

O urm ethod $m$ ay be applied also to a host of other tw o dim ensional eld theories, and in particular, to eld theories that do not involve re ectionless static con gurations, where inverse scattering $m$ ethods are uselesst[̄̄̄̄1].
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N ote A dded in P roof: A fter subm itting this paper for publication I have realised that A van and de Vega ${ }_{[1 /-1}^{[1]}$ ] have already used the diagonal resolvent of a one dim ensional Schrodinger operator to discuss solitons in \Large N" vector models. H ow ever, their discussion was lim ited to single particle quantum mechanics ( $0+1$ dim ensional quantum eld theory). M oreover, they did not $m$ ake an explicit use of the $G$ elfand -D ikii equation as was done here. I thank the referee for bringing this reference to $m y$ attention and for his usefiul rem arks.
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