

Path Integral of Relativistic Coulomb System

H. Kleinert*

Institut für Theoretische Physik,

Freie Universität Berlin

Arnimallee 14, D - 14195 Berlin

(January 18, 2022)

Abstract

The path integral of the relativistic Coulomb system is solved, and the wave functions are extracted from the resulting amplitude.

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-MAIL: kleinert@einstein.physik.fu-berlin.de; URL: <http://www.physik.fu-berlin.de/~kleinert>

- 1) While the path integral of the nonrelativistic Coulomb system has been solved some 15 years ago [1] and further discussed by many authors [2] – [14], so that it has become textbook material [15], the relativistic problem has remained open. The purpose of this note is to fill this gap.
- 2) Consider first a relativistic free particle of mass M . If $x(\lambda)$ describes its orbit in D spacetime dimensions in terms of some parameter λ , the classical action reads

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cl}} = Mc \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \sqrt{x'^2(\lambda)}, \quad (1)$$

where c is the light velocity. This action cannot be used to set up a path integral for the time evolution amplitude since it would not yield the well-known Green function of a Klein-Gordon field. An action which serves this purpose can be constructed with the help of an auxiliary fluctuating variable $\rho(\lambda)$ and reads [16]

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \left[\frac{M}{2\rho(\lambda)} x'^2(\lambda) + \frac{Mc^2}{2} \rho(\lambda) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Classically, this action coincides with the original \mathcal{A}_{cl} since it is extremal for

$$\rho(\lambda) = \sqrt{x'^2(\lambda)}/c. \quad (3)$$

Inserting this back into (2) we see that \mathcal{A} reduces to \mathcal{A}_{cl} .

The action \mathcal{A}_{cl} is invariant under arbitrary reparametrizations

$$\lambda \rightarrow f(\lambda). \quad (4)$$

The action \mathcal{A} shares this invariance, if $\rho(\lambda)$ is simultaneously transformed as

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho/f'. \quad (5)$$

The action \mathcal{A} has the advantage of being quadratic in the orbital variable $x(\lambda)$. If the physical time is analytically continued to imaginary values so that the metric becomes euclidean, the action looks like that of a nonrelativistic particle moving as a function of a pseudotime λ through a D -dimensional euclidean spacetime, with a mass depending on λ .

3) To set up a path integral, the action has to be pseudotime-sliced, say at $\lambda_0 = \lambda_a, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+1} = \lambda_b$. If $\epsilon_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}$ denotes the thickness of the n th slice, the sliced action reads

$$\mathcal{A}^N = \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \left[\frac{M}{2\rho_n\epsilon_n} (\Delta x_n)^2 + \frac{Mc^2}{2} \epsilon_n \rho_n \right], \quad (6)$$

where $\rho_n \equiv \rho(\lambda_n)$, $\Delta x_n \equiv x_n - x_{n-1}$, and $x_n \equiv x(\lambda_n)$. A path integral $\int (\mathcal{D}^D x / \sqrt{\rho}^D) e^{-\mathcal{A}/\hbar}$ may be defined as the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the product of integrals

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\epsilon_b\rho_b/M}^D} \prod_{n=1}^N \left[\int \frac{d^D x_n}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\epsilon_n\rho_n/M}^D} \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{A}^N\right), \quad (7)$$

This can immediately be evaluated, yielding

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar L/Mc}^D} \exp\left[-\frac{Mc}{2\hbar} \frac{(x_b - x_a)^2}{L} - \frac{Mc}{2\hbar} L\right], \quad (8)$$

where the quantity

$$L \equiv c \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \epsilon_n \rho_n \quad (9)$$

has the continuum limit

$$L = c \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \rho(\lambda). \quad (10)$$

Classically, this is the reparametrization invariant length of a path, as is obvious after inserting (3).

If the amplitude (8) is multiplied by $\lambda_C/2$, where $\lambda_C = \hbar/Mc$ is the Compton wavelength of the particle, an integral over all positive L yields the correct Klein-Gordon amplitude

$$(x_b|x_a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \left(\frac{Mc}{\hbar\sqrt{x^2}} \right)^{D/2-1} K_{D/2-1} \left(Mc\sqrt{x^2}/\hbar \right), \quad (11)$$

where $K_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function.

The result does not depend on the choice of $\rho(\lambda)$, this being a manifestation of the reparametrization invariance. We may therefore write the continuum version of the path integral for the relativistic free particle as

$$(x_b|x_a) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_C \int_0^\infty dL \int \mathcal{D}\rho \Phi[\rho] \int \frac{\mathcal{D}^D x}{\sqrt{\rho}^D} e^{-\mathcal{A}}, \quad (12)$$

where $\Phi[\rho]$ denotes a convenient gauge-fixing functional, for instance $\Phi[\rho] = \delta[\rho - 1]$ which fixes $\rho(\lambda)$ to unity everywhere.

To understand the factor $1/\sqrt{\rho}^D$ in the measure of (12), we make use of the canonical form of the action (2),

$$\mathcal{A}[p, x] = \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \left[-ipx' + \frac{\rho(\lambda)p^2}{2M} + \frac{Mc^2}{2}\rho(\lambda) \right]. \quad (13)$$

After pseudotime slicing, it reads

$$\mathcal{A}^N[p, x] = \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \left[-ip_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \rho_n \epsilon_n \frac{p_n^2}{2M} + \frac{Mc^2}{2} \epsilon_n \rho_n \right]. \quad (14)$$

At a fixed $\rho(\lambda)$, the path integral has then the usual canonical measure:

$$\int \mathcal{D}^D x \int \frac{\mathcal{D}^D p}{(2\pi\hbar)^D} e^{-\mathcal{A}[p, x]/\hbar} \approx \prod_{n=1}^N \left[\int d^D x_n \right] \prod_{n=1}^{N+1} \left[\int \frac{d^D p_n}{(2\pi\hbar)^D} \right] e^{-\mathcal{A}^N[p, x]/\hbar}. \quad (15)$$

By integrating out the momenta, we obtain (7) with the action (6).

4) The fixed-energy amplitude is related to (12) by a Laplace transformation:

$$(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a)_E \equiv -i \int_{x_a^0}^\infty dx_b^0 e^{E(x_b^0 - x_a^0)/\hbar} (x_b|x_a), \quad (16)$$

where x^0 denotes the temporal component and \mathbf{x} the purely spatial part of the D -dimensional vector x . The poles and cut of $(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a)_E$ along the energy axis contain all information on the bound and continuous eigenstates of the system. The fixed-energy amplitude has the path integral representation

$$(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a)_E = \int_0^\infty dL \int \mathcal{D}\rho \Phi[\rho] \int \frac{\mathcal{D}^{D-1} x}{\sqrt{\rho}^{D-1}} e^{-\mathcal{A}_E/\hbar}, \quad (17)$$

with the action

$$\mathcal{A}_E = \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \left[\frac{M}{2\rho(\lambda)} \mathbf{x}'^2(\lambda) - \rho(\lambda) \frac{E^2}{2Mc^2} + \frac{Mc^2}{2} \rho(\lambda) \right]. \quad (18)$$

This is seen by writing the temporal part of the sliced D -dimensional action (6) in the canonical form (14). By integrating out the temporal coordinates x_n^0 in (15), we obtain

N δ -functions. These remove N integrals over the momentum variables p_n^0 , leaving only a single integral over a common p^0 . The Laplace transform (16), finally, eliminates also this integral, making p^4 equal to $-iE/c$. In the continuum limit, we thus obtain the action (18).

5) The path integral (17) forms the basis for studying relativistic particles in an external time-independent potential $V(\mathbf{x})$. This is introduced into the path integral (17) by simply substituting the energy E by $E - V(\mathbf{x})$.

For an attractive Coulomb potential in $D - 1 = 3$ spatial dimensions, the above substitution changes the second term in the action (18) to

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{int}} = - \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \rho(\lambda) \frac{(E + e^2/|\mathbf{x}|)^2}{2Mc^2}. \quad (19)$$

The associated path integral is calculated with the help of a Duru-Kleinert transformation [1] as follows:

First, we increase the three-dimensional configuration space in a trivial way by a dummy forth component x^4 (as in the nonrelativistic case). The additional variable x^4 is eliminated at the end by an integral $\int dx_a^4/|\mathbf{x}_a| = \int d\gamma_a$ (see Eqs. (13.114) and (13.121) in Ref. [15]). Then we perform a Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transformation $dx^\mu = 2A(u)^\mu_\nu du^\nu$ (Eq. (13.100) in Ref. [15]). This changes $x'^{\mu 2}$ into $4\vec{u}^2 \vec{u}'^2$, with the vector symbol indicating the four-vector nature of \vec{u} . The transformed action reads

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_E = \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} d\lambda \left\{ \frac{4M\vec{u}^2}{2\rho(\lambda)} \vec{u}'^2(\lambda) + \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{2Mc^2\vec{u}^2} \left[(M^2c^4 - E^2)\vec{u}^2 - 2Ee^2 - \frac{e^4}{\vec{u}^2} \right] \right\}. \quad (20)$$

We now choose the gauge $\rho(\lambda) = 1$, and go from λ to a new parameter s via the time transformation $d\lambda = f ds$ with $f = \vec{u}^2$. This leads to the Duru-Kleinert-transformed action

$$\mathcal{A}_E^{\text{DK}} = \int_{s_a}^{s_b} ds \left\{ \frac{4M}{2} \vec{u}'^2(s) + \frac{1}{2Mc^2} \left[(M^2c^4 - E^2) \vec{u}^2 - 2Ee^2 - \frac{e^4}{\vec{u}^2} \right] \right\}. \quad (21)$$

It describes a particle of mass $\mu = 4M$ moving as a function of the “pseudotime” s in a harmonic oscillator potential of frequency

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2Mc} \sqrt{M^2c^4 - E^2}. \quad (22)$$

The oscillator possesses an additional attractive potential $-e^4/2Mc^2\vec{u}^2$ which is conveniently parametrized in the form of a centrifugal barrier

$$V_{\text{extra}} = \hbar^2 \frac{l_{\text{extra}}^2}{2\mu\vec{u}^2}, \quad (23)$$

whose squared angular momentum has the negative value

$$l_{\text{extra}}^2 \equiv -4\alpha^2, \quad (24)$$

where α denotes the feinstrucure constant $\alpha \equiv e^2/\hbar c \approx 1/137$. In addition, there is also a trivial constant potential

$$V_{\text{const}} = -\frac{E}{Mc^2}e^2. \quad (25)$$

If we ignore, for a moment, the centrifugal barrier V_{extra} , the solution of the path integral can immediately be written down (compare Eq. (13.121) in Ref. [15])

$$(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a)_E = -i\frac{\hbar}{2Mc}\frac{1}{16}\int_0^\infty dL e^{e^2EL/Mc^2\hbar}\int_0^{4\pi} d\gamma_a (\vec{u}_bL|\vec{u}_a0), \quad (26)$$

where $(\vec{u}_bL|\vec{u}_a0)$ is the time evolution amplitude of the four-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

There are no time-slicing corrections for the same reason as in the three-dimensional case. This is ensured by the affine connection of the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transformation satisfying

$$\Gamma_\mu^{\mu\lambda} = g^{\mu\nu}e_i^\lambda\partial_\mu e^i_\nu = 0 \quad (27)$$

(see the discussion in Section 13.6 of Ref. [15]).

A γ_a -integration leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a)_E = & -i\frac{\hbar}{2Mc}\frac{M\kappa}{\pi\hbar}\int_0^1 d\varrho \frac{\varrho^{-\nu}}{(1-\varrho)^2} I_0\left(2\kappa\frac{2\sqrt{\varrho}}{1-\varrho}\sqrt{(r_br_a+\mathbf{x}_b\mathbf{x}_a)/2}\right) \\ & \times \exp\left[-\kappa\frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho}(r_b+r_a)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with the variable

$$\rho \equiv e^{-2\omega L}, \quad (29)$$

and the parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \frac{e^2}{2\omega\hbar} \frac{E}{Mc^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{M^2c^4/E^2 - 1}}, \\ \kappa &= \frac{\mu\omega}{2\hbar} = \frac{1}{\hbar c} \sqrt{M^2c^4 - E^2} = \frac{E}{\hbar c} \frac{\alpha}{\nu}.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

We now use the well-known expansion

$$I_0(z \cos(\theta/2)) = \frac{2}{z} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos \theta) I_{2l+1}(z) \tag{31}$$

and obtain the partial wave decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a)_E &= \frac{1}{r_b r_a} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (r_b|r_a)_{E,l} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} P_l(\cos \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{r_b r_a} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (r_b|r_a)_{E,l} \sum_{m=-l}^l Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a),\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

with the usual notation for Legendre polynomials and spherical harmonics. The radial amplitude is, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(r_b|r_a)_{E,l} &= -i \frac{\hbar}{2Mc} \sqrt{r_b r_a} \frac{2M}{\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{\sinh y} e^{2\nu y} \\ &\quad \times \exp[-\kappa \coth y (r_b + r_a)] I_{2l+1} \left(2\kappa \sqrt{r_b r_a} \frac{1}{\sinh y} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

At this place, the additional centrifugal barrier (23) is incorporated via the replacement

$$2l+1 \rightarrow 2\tilde{l}+1 \equiv \sqrt{(2l+1)^2 + l_{\text{extra}}^2}.\tag{34}$$

(as in Eqs. (8.146) and (14.237) in Ref. [15]). The integration over y yields

$$(r_b|r_a)_{E,l} = -i \frac{\hbar}{2Mc} \frac{M}{\hbar\kappa} \frac{\Gamma(-\nu + \tilde{l} + 1)}{(2\tilde{l} + 1)!} W_{\nu, \tilde{l}+1/2}(2\kappa r_b) M_{\nu, \tilde{l}+1/2}(2\kappa r_a) \tag{35}$$

(compare Eq. (9.52) in Ref. [15]).

This fixed-energy amplitude has poles in the Gamma function whenever $\nu - \tilde{l} - 1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. They determine the bound-state energies of the Coulomb system. Subsequent formulas can be simplified by introducing the small positive l -dependent parameter

$$\delta_l \equiv l - \tilde{l} = l + 1/2 - \sqrt{(l + 1/2)^2 - \alpha^2} \approx \frac{\alpha^2}{2l+1} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4).\tag{36}$$

With it, the pole positions are given by $\nu = \tilde{n}_l \equiv n - \delta_l$, with $n = l + 1, l + 2, l + 3, \dots$, and the bound state energies become:

$$E_{nl} = \pm Mc^2 \left[1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{(n - \delta_l)^2} \right]^{-1/2} \\ \approx \pm Mc^2 \left[1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2n^2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{n^3} \left(\frac{1}{2l+1} - \frac{3}{8n} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^6) \right]. \quad (37)$$

Note the appearance of the plus-minus sign as a characteristic property of energies in relativistic quantum mechanics. A correct interpretation of the negative energies as positive energies of antiparticles is straightforward within quantum field theory; it will not be discussed here.

To find the wave functions, we approximate near the poles $\nu \approx \tilde{n}_l$:

$$\Gamma(-\nu + \tilde{l} + 1) \approx -\frac{(-)^{n_r}}{n_r!} \frac{1}{\nu - \tilde{n}_l}, \\ \frac{1}{\nu - \tilde{n}_l} \approx \frac{2}{\tilde{n}_l} \frac{\hbar^2 \kappa^2}{2M} \left(\frac{E}{Mc^2} \right)^2 \frac{2Mc^2}{E^2 - E_{nl}^2}, \\ \kappa \approx \frac{E}{Mc^2} \frac{1}{a_H} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_l}, \quad (38)$$

with the radial quantum number $n_r = n - l - 1$. In analogy with a corresponding nonrelativistic equation (Eq. (13.203) in Ref. [15]), the last equation can be rewritten as

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_H} \frac{1}{\nu}, \quad (39)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_H \equiv a_H \frac{Mc^2}{E} \quad (40)$$

denotes a modified energy-dependent Bohr radius. Instead of being $1/\alpha \approx 137$ times the Compton wave length of the electron \hbar/Mc , the modified Bohr radius which sets the length scale of relativistic bound states involves the energy E instead of the rest energy Mc^2 .

With the above parameters, the positive-energy poles in the Gamma function can be written as

$$-i\Gamma(-\nu + \tilde{l} + 1) \frac{M}{\hbar\kappa} \approx \frac{(-)^{n_r}}{\tilde{n}_l^2 n_r!} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_H} \left(\frac{E}{Mc^2} \right)^2 \frac{2Mc^2 i\hbar}{E^2 - E_{nl}^2}. \quad (41)$$

Using this behavior and a property of the Whittaker functions (see Eq. (9.73) in Ref. [15]), we write the contribution of the bound states to the spectral representation of the fixed-energy amplitude as

$$(r_b|r_a)_{E,l} = \frac{\hbar}{Mc} \sum_{n=l+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E}{Mc^2} \right)^2 \frac{2Mc^2 i \hbar}{E^2 - E_{nl}^2} R_{nl}(r_b) R_{nl}(r_a) + \dots \quad (42)$$

A comparison between the pole terms in (35) and (42) renders the radial wave functions

$$\begin{aligned} R_{nl}(r) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_H^{1/2} \tilde{n}_l} \frac{1}{(2\tilde{l}+1)!} \sqrt{\frac{(\tilde{n}_l + \tilde{l})!}{(n-l-1)!}} \\ &\quad \times (2r/\tilde{n}_l \tilde{a}_H)^{\tilde{l}+1} e^{-r/\tilde{n}_l \tilde{a}_H} M(-n+l+1, 2\tilde{l}+2, 2r/\tilde{n}_l \tilde{a}_H) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_H^{1/2} \tilde{n}_l} \sqrt{\frac{(n-l-1)!}{(\tilde{n} + \tilde{l})!}} e^{-r/\tilde{n} \tilde{a}_H} (2r/\tilde{n}_l \tilde{a}_H)^{\tilde{l}+1} L_{\tilde{n}_l-l-1}^{2\tilde{l}+1}(2r/\tilde{n}_l \tilde{a}_H). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The properly normalized total wave functions are

$$\psi_{nlm}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{r} R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}). \quad (44)$$

The continuous wave functions are obtained in the same way as in the non-relativistic case (see Eqs. (13.211)–(13.219) in Ref. [15]).

This concludes the solution of the path integral of the relativistic Coulomb system.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Duru and H. Kleinert, Phys. Letters **B84**, 185 (1979); Fortschr. d. Phys. **30**, 401 (1982).
- [2] G.A. Ringwood and J.T. Devreese, J. Math. Phys. *21*, 1390 (1980).
- [3] Ph. Blanchard and M. Sirugue, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 1372–1376 (1981).
- [4] R. Ho and A. Inomata, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 231–234 (1982).
- [5] A. Inomata, Phys. Lett. A **101**, 253–257 (1984).
- [6] F. Steiner, Phys. Lett. A **106**, 363–367 (1984).
- [7] N. K. Pak and I. Sökmen, Phys. Rev. A **30**, 1629–1635 (1984).
- [8] F. Steiner, in *Path Integrals from meV to MeV*, pp. 335–359, edited by M. C. Gutzwiller, A. Inomata, J. R. Klauder and L. Streit (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986).
- [9] L. Chetouani and T. F. Hammann, J. Math. Phys. **27**, 2944–2948 (1986).
- [10] A. Young and C. DeWitt–Morette, Ann. Phys. (NY) **169**, 140–166 (1986).
- [11] H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. A **120**, 361–366 (1987).
- [12] D. P. L. Castriano and F. Stärk, J. Math. Phys. **30**, 2785–2788 (1989).
- [13] D. P. L. Castriano and F. Stärk, in *White Noise Analysis, Mathematics and Applications*, pp. 49–65, edited by T. Hida et al (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
- [14] D. P. L. Castriano and F. Stärk, in *Quantum Probability VI*, pp. 245–258, edited by L. Accardi (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [15] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics and Polymer Physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore 1995 (second edition).
- [16] For $\rho(\lambda) \equiv 1$, this was noted by

R.P. Feynman, A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw Hill, New York 1965.