## A Stringy Test of the Fate of the Conifold

Cum run Vafa

Lym an Laboratory of Physics Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

By studying string loop corrections to superpotential of type II strings com pacti ed on Calabi-Yau threefolds we nd a quantum stringy test and a con rm ation of a recent proposal of Strom inger on the fate of the conifold singularity. We also propose a connection between the spectrum of Bogom olnyi saturated solitons and one-loop string partition function of N = 2 topological strings. Through som e recent exciting work in string theory it has become clear that nonperturbative aspects of string theory are within reach, at least for certain string compactications. In particular a clear picture is emerging for qualitative nonperturbative aspects of string theory for type II string compactications on tori [1] [2], on K 3 manifold [1][2][3] and on C alabi-Y au threefolds [4][5]. In this paper we present some evidence based on string loop corrections in favor of the beautiful picture advocated in [4] for non-perturbative aspects of type II strings on C alabi-Y au manifolds. An argument in favor of the scenario suggested in [4] is the exciting discovery [5] that it allows smooth transitions among C alabi-Y au manifolds, thus realizing a suggestion made in [6][7][8][9]. It is am using that string loop corrections can be used to test some of these non-perturbative aspects of string theory. This is in accord with a type IIA string one loop computation [10] which further supports the conjecture [1][11] that type IIA compacti ed on K 3 is dual to toroidal compacti cation of heterotic string down to 6 dimensions.

Let us recall the basic picture advocated in [4] for the explanation of the conifold singularity in Calabi-Yau com pacti cations. Type IIB strings com pacti ed on a Calabi-Yau threefold leads to a theory in 4d with N = 2 supersymmetry<sup>1</sup>. The massless string states apart from the gravity multiplet include som e U (1) vector multiplets and som e hypermultiplets. The number of vector multiplets is equal to h<sup>2,1</sup> of the Calabi-Yau manifold M and the number of hypermultiplets is equal to  $h^{1,1} + 1$ . Since we have N = 2 supersym metry in 4 dimensions we can have a central charge in the supersymmetry algebra. This could lead to BPS states which saturate the Bogom olyibound and are thus stable. Such objects would have electric or magnetic charge under the U (1) gauge elds of the vector multiplet. However there are no such states among the fundam ental string excitations as they are all neutral under the R am ond R am ond U (1) gauge elds. It was suggested in [4] that by wrapping certain threebrane solutions [12] on three-cycles of Calabi-Yau one could construct extrem e black hole solutions in four dim ensions which would carry U (1) charges. A ssum ing charge quantization the allowed electric and magnetic charges of such states would be in one to one correspondence with  $H_3 (M; Z)$ . Let  $C_i$  be an integral three-cycle of M . Let ! denote the holom orphic three form on M . Then the central charge of the supersymmetry algebra  $W_{i}$  (up to normalization) for this black hole is given by

Ζ

 $<sup>^1\,</sup>$  It su ces to consider type IIB, because type IIA is equivalent to the same theory on the mirror Calabi-Yau.

and the BPS mass is given by

$$m_{i}^{2} = \frac{\frac{R}{Q_{i}} + \frac{R}{C_{i}}}{\frac{R}{M} + \frac{C_{i}}{M}}$$
(1)

(for a recent discussion on this see [13]). The question of whether all such classical solutions, and with what multiplicities, lead to quantum states is not clear. In fact for the resolution of the conifold singularity it was argued in [4] that not all of such black hole states exist as fundamental elds in the quantum theory. Consider a conifold point p of the Calabi-Yau moduli space. This is a point where by de nition there exists a 3-cycle C  $_{\rm p}$  for which  $W_p = \frac{R}{C_p}!$  0 as we approach the conifold point (assuming a normalization of ! so that  $! \land ! > 0$ ). A conifold point is a nite distance away from the interior of the m oduli space, and the m oduli space has a curvature singularity at that point. This would cause problem for the predictive power of string theory. This problem is more acute because one can show that the moduli space metric is perturbatively uncorrected. As has been elaborated in [14] the special geometry metric on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau manifolds can be understood as an e ective eld theory for the massless modes at the string tree level, where one integrates out massive Kaluza-Klein states of the Calabi-Yau. This in particular means that the origin of this tree level singularity in string theory can be understood by the interaction of the moduli elds with massive string states that are becoming massless at the conifold point. This however is not the end of the story in the full quantum theory of strings. Even though we can understand the classical origin of the singularity from the view point of nearly massless string states, such states are not stable even at the tree level and thus could hardly make sense in the full quantum theory.

We thus have two possibilities: E ither we can assume these singularities are not there when we consider non-perturbative string e ects, or their origin in the full theory can be understood by some other nearly massless state. The latter was the view point advocated in [4]. This is somewhat similar to what happens for N = 1 supersymmetric Y ang-M ills in 4d with  $G = SU(N_c)$  and with  $N_f = N_c + 1$  where the moduli space is classically singular and is uncorrected by quantum e ects, but the explanation of the singularity in the full theory is di erent [15], where it is explained in terms of massless B aryons and mesons.

It was suggested in [4] that the metric on the moduli space is not corrected even non-perturbatively and furtherm ore near a conifold point p the stable nearly massless eld exists which is a black hole state corresponding to the vanishing cycle  $C_p$ . Such black hole states can be constructed by wrapping the threebrane solution of [12] around a three-cycle of the Calabi-Yau manifold. For this to work it was crucial to assume that there are no stable black holes corresponding to the cycles  $nC_p$  for  $j_1 j > 1$ . The proposed black hole is an N = 2 hypermultiplet. Note that if we start from the interior of the moduli space and approach the conifold point p the cycle that becomes a vanishing cycle will depend on the path that we take to p. It is natural then to consider the totality of such vanishing cycles at each point on the moduli space as they would be a natural candidate for the space of stable black holes. The totality of such vanishing cycles can be obtained by considering the action of the monodrom y group of the moduli on a basis for the vanishing three-cycles. The monodrom y group is obtained by deleting codimension one singularities of the moduli space (including orbifold points) and considering the transform ation of three-cycles around such singularities. This will be a subgroup H  $Sp(n^{2;1} + 1;Z)$ . Thus if  $C_p$  is any one of the vanishing cycles (and a primitive three-cycle in the hom ology of M), we consider the space

$$V = fH \quad Gg:$$

This is a candidate for at least a subspace of stable black holes. The cycles in V would all have to correspond to stable black hole states were it not for the fact that BPS states m ay appear or disappear when the B ogom olnyi charges of two cycles are in the sam e direction in the com plex plane. In particular suppose  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  correspond to occupied black hole states, with B ogom olnyi charges given by  $W_i$  and  $W_j$ . Then if

$$W_{i} = W_{j} > 0$$

where is a positive real number, then a black hole state corresponding to the cycle  $C_i + C_j$ can appear or disappear. This does happen in massive N = 2 models in 2 dim ensions which was discovered and investigated in detail in [16][17]. In that case the BPS states were related to the intersection numbers of the vanishing cycles of the Landau-G inzburg singularity and the monodrom y of the vanishing cycle leads to a jump in the intersection numbers and thus the number of BPS solitons. It is tempting to speculate here as well that the intersection numbers of the vanishing cycles is related to the soliton number jumping. Note that since the cycles  $C_i$  are in dimension 3, their intersection makes sense, just as was the case considered in [16]. Sim ilar phenom enon of jumping of BPS solitons were also postulated in [18] in order to obtain a consistent exact picture of 4 dimensional N = 2theories. Given that here we are also dealing with N = 2 theories in 4 dimensions it is likely that we will also have the jumping phenom enon. Note that the jumping phenom enon is inherently associated with having a complex Bogom oby: charge as is the case with N = 2 supersymmetry. In such cases if one wishes to move in the moduli of the theory in certain cases one cannot avoid passing through congurations with collinear Bogom only icharges along a path joining two given points on the moduli. This is the reason for the possibility of having jumping. If we have N > 2 we do not expect such jumping phenomenon because the Bogom only i charge lives in a bigger space, and in going from an initial point in the moduli to a nalpoint, we can avoid passing through collinear Bogom only i charges. Since whether we have jumping or not should depend only on the end points on the moduli space this means we do not have any jumping if N > 2.

The tree level singularity near the conifold can be explained by the existence of a nearly massless black hole state in the full theory. Can we test this idea further using perturbative string amplitudes? If we encounter quantum string amplitudes which diverge as we approach the conifold point, then there should be an alternative explanation of the singularity from the view point of the existence of massless black holes. The main di culty, apart from the practical question of computation is that any computation we do may have correction at all loops and even non-perturbatively. We must thus consider objects which are not corrected to all orders in perturbation theory and also non-perturbatively. In fact it has been argued that superpotential term s considered upon compactication of type II strings on C alabi-Y au manifolds are of this type and moreover can be computed in string theory [14][19] by relating them to N = 2 topological string am plitudes on the C alabi-Y au manifold. In particular the genus g topological amplitude  $F_g$  computes, as a function of the moduli, a correction to the lagrangian. These corrections involve superpotential term s for the vector multiplet and can be written conveniently in the superspace by (with a sim ilar form ultiplet and can be written conveniently in the superspace by (with a sim ilar form ultiplets [19])

$$S = d^{4} F_{g}W^{2g} F_{g}R^{2}T^{2g-2} + ...$$
(2)

where W is the N = 2 super eld associated to graviphoton eld strength, R is the R iem ann tensor, and T is the graviphoton eld strength. The contractions in the above term s are xed by supersymmetry. The status of the non-perturbative correction to these terms is on the same footing as the special geometry. So it is reasonable to postulate that they do not get corrected even non-perturbatively and so  $F_g$  computes the full answer for the correction of W  $^{2g}$  to the theory.

The topological am plitudes  $F_g$  has been computed for som e Calabi-Y au manifolds for small g [14][20]. Moreover the structure of the singularity near the conifold point was

determ ined for all g in [14].  $F_1$  has in addition been studied for many more examples including som e with 2 parameter moduli space [21]. Since we are now taking  $F_g$  to correspond to certain exact computations in the full theory we should be able to understand their singularity from the view point of nearly massless black holes. This is what we will presently do.

In all the examples considered in [14][20][21] there was a single conifold point p (and codimension one in the 2 parameter family studied in [21]). Let z denote the period of the vanishing cycle as we approach p. In particular z(p) = 0. The singularity that was encountered is of the form

$$F_{1} = \frac{1}{12} \log z + :::$$

$$F_{g} = \frac{\text{const:}}{r^{2}g - 2} + ::: \quad g > 1$$
(3)

The precise coe cient of singularity for F<sub>1</sub>,  $\frac{1}{12}$ , was computed by xing behaviour of F<sub>1</sub> at other boundaries of moduli space where there was a better understanding of its behaviour. It was thus quite mysterious that in all the four examples considered in [20] and all the other examples including the 2 parameter family ones studied in [21], the coe cient of the conifold singularity came out to by 1=12 (there is an extra factor of 1/2 relative to that in [20]; as explained in [14] this comes from the  $Z_2$  symmetry of the torus). Let us rst consider the genus 1 term. In this case (2) shows that we are computing a term of the form R<sup>2</sup>. In order to x the absolute normalizations of string one loop computation it turns out to be convenient to consider a part of the interaction given by the index contraction leading to the Euler characteristic density. The rest will be determined by N = 2 supersymmetry. The idea is to consider further compactication on a four manifold { in this case in the com putation of the string one-loop partition function the term corresponding to the Eulercharacteristic would come from the sector where both left-and right-m overs have the same spin structure. If the spin structure is odd, it clearly gives the Euler characteristic . If we consider the sum of the 9 spin structures where they are even on both left- and rightm overs, by supersymmetry one can show that we also get . Now, there is an overall factor of 1=4 coming from GSO projection factor. So putting all this together we nd that the precise string one loop norm alization is such that it gives

$${}_{1}S = \frac{1=2}{128^{2}}^{Z} F_{1}^{abij \ cdkl}R_{abcd}R_{ijkl} = \frac{F_{1}}{2}$$

W e are thus predicting a correction to the e ective action which near the conifold point is of the form

$${}_{1}S = \frac{1}{24}\log z = \frac{1}{24}\log z^{2}$$
(4)

W here would this come from in the e ective theory where we have a nearly massless black hole with mass m? Counting the powers of derivatives we see that it should come from a one loop computation in the e ective theory (which is also responsible for giving the tree level string singularity as suggested in [4]). Indeed it is easy to see that such a term is generated at one loop. At one loop we would have to compute

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{STr}\log(D^2 + m^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{ds}{s} \text{Tr}(1)^F \exp[s(D^2 + m^2)]$$

where  $D^2$  is the Laplacian acting on the elds in the multiplet and  $(1)^F$  is 1 depending on whether we are dealing with a boson or a ferm ion in the multiplet. The term in this expansion proportional to log m<sup>2</sup> comes from the order s<sup>0</sup> expansion of the heat kernel  $Tr(1)^F \exp[s(D^2)]$ . The order s<sup>0</sup> term can be expanded in the metric, and by dimension counting it includes term s with four derivatives. Suppose we have N hypermultiplets. Recall that a hypermultiplet has 2 complex scalars and 2 W eyl ferm ions. Thus we nd a one loop term of the form

$$S = \frac{N \log^{2} 2}{2} [4b_{4} (0;0) \quad b_{4} (\frac{1}{2};0) \quad b_{4} (0;\frac{1}{2})]$$

where  $b_4$  (n;m) denotes the coe cient of heat kernel expansion for a particle of spin (n;m) under SO (4). These have been computed in the literature [22]. Again by N = 2 supersymmetry it su ces to consider the term proportional to Euler characteristic. It turns out that

$$4b_4(0;0)$$
  $b_4(\frac{1}{2};0)$   $b_4(0;\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{12} + \cdots$ 

We thus nd for N hypermultiplets of mass m a term in the e ective action of the form

$$S = \frac{N \log^2}{24}$$
(5)

C on paring this with the exact string theory computation (4) we get agreement if N = 1 as was required for the consistency of the picture advocated in [4]. This also 'explains' the mysterious factor of 1=12 appearing in all the exam ples studied in [20][21].

Note that the special geometry of moduli of Calabi-Yau manifold has a bearing on the coupling of F $^2$  as a function of moduli, whereas the one loop string computation

discussed above has a bearing on the coupling of R<sup>2</sup>. In particular the tree level am plitude is sensitive only to charged states, whereas the one loop term, being a gravity e ect, takes into account all states. In particular in nding an agreem ent between the one loop and tree level counting of massless states we are nding evidence that there are no additional neutral elds which are becoming massless at the conifold point<sup>2</sup>. It is am using that also for string-string duality in six dimensions in order to reproduce the sigm a-m odel anom aly of heterotic string in the type IIA string, it was found [10] that the tree level gives the F<sup>2</sup> part and the one loop gives the R<sup>2</sup> part.

In the elective theory near the conifold not only the nearly massless hypermultiplet generates a one loop term proportional to , but all hypermultiplets do. It is thus tempting to go further and identify  $F_1$  with a partition function for all BPS states:

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\substack{B P S \text{ states}}}^{X} \log m_i^2$$
(6)

where the above sum on the right hand side m ay need to be regularized and where  $m_{1}^{2}$  is given by the form ula (1). This may not be precisely right: There is a jumping phenom enon and so the BPS states do not necessarily form a modular invariant subspace, how ever  $F_{1}$  is modular invariant. Moreover in the elective theory describing the vicinity of the conifold there m ay be other terms in the action proportional to . A nother check on (6) is to study the anomaly equation it satis es [20]. By considering moduli derivatives  $@@F_{1}$ , if (6) were to hold, we see using (1) that it would be proportional to @@K where exp(K) =  $M_{1}^{R}$  ! ^ ! which according to [20] is only a piece of the anomaly satis ed by  $F_{1}$ . In fact  $F_{1}$  is given by

$$F_1 = \log \exp \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{h^{2} i}{2} + \frac{1}{24} \right) K \right] \det G^{-1=2} f^{-2}$$

where f is a holom orphic function of m oduli and G = @@K is the m etric on the m oduli space. The extra non-holom orphicity com es from non-holom orphicity of G. But we also have to recall that in considering BPS states in (6) we have to take into account the m assless elds corresponding to the m oduli. The factor of log det  $G^{1=2}$  is exactly right to com e from the norm alization of the path integral for the m assless m oduli eld. At any rate, even if  $F_1$  is not precisely the partition function of BPS states, I believe (6) should be very

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It could happen, how ever, that we have extra m assless hyperm ultiplets and vectorm ultiplets, equal in number, since the contribution of vector multiplets turns out to be opposite in sign to that of hypermultiplet in the coe cient of  $R^2$ .

closely related to it, and should be an important ingredient in xing all the BPS states. This is analogous to ideas suggested in [23] in constructing automorphic forms on moduli space of Calabi-Yau and relating it to sum s over speci c three-cycles. To motivate it even further let us recall that  $F_1$  computes a speci c combination of Ray-Singer torsions on the Calabi-Yau threefold [14], i.e. a combination of logarithm of the determinant of Laplacian acting on forms of the Calabi-Yau manifold. Let us consider an analogy: Suppose we consider a one dimensional Calabi-Yau, i.e. a torus. In that case the analog of the three-cycles on the threefold are the one-cycles on the torus. Then the analog of candidates for BPS states would be one-cycles and the analog of the form ula (6) is<sup>3</sup>

$$F_1 = \log (\det D^2) = \sum_{n \neq m}^{X} \log \frac{n + m^2}{2}$$

which is a correct relation. Thus in the threefold we would be suggesting a relation between the particular combination of Ray-Singer torsions appearing in [14] and a subspace of threecycles in the Calabi-Yau manifold corresponding to BPS solitons. It should be interesting to develop this further. In particular it should be interesting to see what happens if we restrict the sum in (6) to the space of vanishing cycles V discussed before. Another interesting point to study would be the behavior of  $F_1$  for boundaries of moduli space which are in nitely far away (analogous to in nite volume) and study what it teaches us about the full spectrum of the theory in that lim it.

It is easy to see by power counting that in order to obtain the higher genus superpotential terms computed in string theory, we need higher loop corrections in the elective theory as well. In such a scenario near the conifold point the powers of  $m^2$  in the denom inator in (3) would come from infrared divergencies associated with a nearly massless black hole. Power counting suggests that such a singularity could appear in a g-th loop correction in the elective theory; for example having a loop of nearly massless black holes with internal graviton exchanges will give the correct power counting to reproduce the elective action computed in string theory.

So far we have talked about compacti cations of type II strings on Calabi-Yau manifolds down to 4 dimensions. If we instead consider type II strings compactied on K 3 to 6 dimensions, there are superpotential terms that are again captured by a topological string theory: The N = 4 topological string [24] which is equivalent to N = 2 strings. Just as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sum m ing over prim it ive one-cycles will give the sam e result.

the above superpotential term s generated upon compacti cation on C alabi-Y au is relevant in studying certain non-perturbative aspects of string theory, it is natural to expect that the N = 2 string is important in a better understanding of heterotic-type IIA duality in six dimensions. This question is currently under investigation [25].

I would like to thank N. Berkovits, M. Bershadsky, S. Kachru, H. Ooguri, M.H. Sarmadi, A. Strominger, E.W itten and S.-T. Yau for valuable discussions.

This research was supported in part by the NSF grant PHY-92-18167.

## References

- C M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, \Unity of Superstring Dualities", QMW -94-30, R/94/33.
- [2] E.W itten, \String Theory D ynam ics in Various D im ensions", hep-th/9503124.
- [3] A. Sen, \String-String D uality C on jecture in Six D in ensions and C harged Solitonic Strings", hep-th/9504027;
   J. Harvey and A. Strom inger, \T he H eterotic String is a Soliton", hep-th/9504047
- [4] A.Strom inger, \M assless B lack H oles and C on ifolds in String T heory", hep-th/950490
- [5] B.Greene, D.Morrison and A.Strominger, \Black Hole Condensation and the Unication of String Vacua", hep-th/9504145.
- [6] P.Candelas, A.M.Dale, C.A.Lutken, and R.Schimmrigk, \Complete Intersection Calabi{Yau Manifolds", Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 493{525.
- [7] P.S.Green and T.Hubsch, \Possible Phase Transitions Among Calabi{Yau Compacti cations", Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1163{1166; \Connecting M oduli Spaces of Calabi{Yau Threefolds", Comm.M ath.Phys.119 (1988) 431{441.
- [8] P.Candelas, P.S.Green, and T.Hubsch, \Finite Distance Between Distinct Calabi Yau Manifolds", Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 1956{1959; \Rolling Among Calabi{Yau Vacua", Nucl. Phys. B 330 (1990) 49{102.
- [9] P.Candelas and X.C.de la Ossa, \Comments on Conifolds", Nucl. Phys. B 342 (1990) 246{268.
- [10] C.Vafa and E.W itten, in preparation.
- [11] C.Vafa, unpublished.
- [12] G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, \Black Strings and p-branes," Nucl. Phys. B360 (1991) 197.
- [13] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and A. Van Proeyen, Duality Transformations in Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories coupled to Supergravity", hep-th/9502072.
- [14] M.Bershadsky, S.Cecotti, H.Ooguriand C.Vafa, \Kodaira-Spencer Theory of Gravity and Exact Results for Quantum String Amplitudes", Comm. Math. Phys. 165 (1994) 311.
- [15] N. Seiberg, \Exact Results on the Space of Vacua of Four D in ensional SUSY G auge Theories", hep-th 9402044.
- [16] S.Cecotti and C.Vafa, O n C lassi cation of N = 2 Supersym m etric Theories", Comm. M ath. Phys. 158 (1993) 569.
- [17] S.Cecotti, P.Fendley, K. Intriligator and C.Vafa, A New Supersymmetric Index", Nucl. Phys. B 386 (1992) 405.
- [18] N. Seiberg and E. W itten, \E lectrom agnetic Duality, M onopole C ondensation and C on nem ent in N = 2 Supersymmetric Y ang-M ills Theory", Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 19{52.

- [19] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K.S. Narain and T.R. Taylor, Topological Amplitudes in String Theory", Nucl. Phys. B 413 (1994) 162.
- [20] M.Bershadsky, S.Cecotti, H.Ooguri and C.Vafa, Holom orphic Anomalies in Topological Field Theories", Nucl. Phys. B405 (1993) 279.
- [21] S.Hosono, A.K kemm, S.Theisen and S.-T.Yau, \M irror Symmetry, M irror M ap and Applications to Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Spaces", Nucl. Phys. B433 (1995) 501;

P.C andelas, A.Font, S.K atz and D.R.M orrison, M irror Symmetry for Two Param – eter M odels", Nucl. Phys. B 429 (1994) 626.

[22] B.S.DeW itt,\DynamicalTheory ofGroups and Fields", (Gordon and Breach, New York 1965); P.B.Gilkey, J.Di.Geom.10 (1975) 601;

SM.Christensen and M.J.Du, Nucl. Phys. B154 (1979) 340.

- [23] S.Ferrara, C.Kounnas, D.Lust and F.Zwimer, Duality-Invariant Paritition Functions and Automorphic Superpotentials for (2,2) String Compactications", Nucl. Phys. B 365 (1991) 431.
- [24] N.Berkovits and C.Vafa, N = 4 Topological Strings", HUTP-94/A018, KCL-TH-94-12.
- [25] N.Berkovits, H.Ooguri and C.Vafa, Work in progress.