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#### Abstract

W e construct a transform ation that transform sperturbative states into states that im plem ent G auss's law for pure ghonic' Yang M ills theory and QCD . $T$ he fact that this transform ation is not and cannot be unitary has special signi cance. P revious work has shown that only states that are unitarily equivalent to perturbative states necessarily give the sam e $S-m$ atrix elem ents as are obtained w ith Feynm an rules.
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[^0]In earlier work, one of us ( $\mathrm{K} H$ ) quantized Yang -M ills theory in the tem poral ( $\mathrm{A}_{0}^{a}=0$ ) gauge and form ulated the constraint that im plem ents $G$ auss's law by selecting an appropriate
 to com pare the im plications of G auss's law when it is im posed on the non-A belian Yang$M$ ills (YM) theory and Quantum Chrom odynam ics ( $Q C D$ ) w ith its role in QED. D espite great sim ilarities between the A belian and the non-A belian theories, the inclusion of gauge elds in the non-A belian charge density is responsible for im portant di erences between QED and QCD [īin' G auss's law in non-A belian gauge theories than in QED; it is also much more im portant to use states that im plem ent G auss's law in evaluating S-m atrix elem ents in YM theory and QCD than it is in QED.

In gauge theories, it is standard practioe to use Feynm an rules in perturbative calculations; these rules im plicitly use charged particle states that do not obey $G$ auss's law. In the evaluation of S-m atrix elem ents in Q ED, perturbative states that do not im plem ent G auss's law $m$ ay be safely substituted for states that do im plem ent it. This has been show $n$ to be due to the fact that unitary transform ations su $\propto$ to construct the latter states from the form er $[\overline{2}, 1 \overline{3} 1]$. B ut this unitary equivalence does not extend to the non-A belian gauge theories. The validity of perturbative calculations based on D irac spinor quarks and free gluons $m$ ay therefore require quali cations in YM theory and QCD that are not needed in QED. Furtherm ore, the question has been raised whether the proper im plem entation of $G$ auss's law in non-A belian gauge theories $m$ ight have signi cant im plications for the con nem ent of colored states and the con jectured requirem ent that only color singlets can be asym ptotic scattering states [ill $[$ [1]

In this paper, we construct states that obey the non-A belian $G$ auss's law in pure gluonic' YM theory and QCD. Our program is based on the construction of a transform ation $T$ (which must be non-unitary) that transform sperturbative states ji $\mid$ in the rst instance the perturbative vacuum state $j 0 i \mid$ into states that satisfy $G$ auss's law, and that continue to satisfy G auss's law even after dynam icaltim e evolution. Unlike Jam es and Landsho ,who had to require $m$ atrix elem ents of a non-term inating progression of pow ers of the \G auss's law operator", $J_{0}^{a} \quad @_{i} E_{i}^{a}$; to vanish in order to obtain states that im plem ent $G$ auss's law [in 1$]$, we nd that we do not need to apply progressively escalating pow ers of pro jection operators to achieve our ob jective.


$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{T i}^{a}(r)=\sum_{k ; s=1 ; 2}^{x} \frac{s}{\frac{s}{j}} \frac{(k)}{2 k}\left[a_{s}^{a}(k) e^{+i k r}+a_{s}^{a y}(k) e^{i k r}\right] ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$w$ here $a_{s}^{a y}(k)$ and $a_{s}^{a}(k)$ represent standard' creation and annihilation operators, respectively, for gluons (or \| w ith the Lie group indioes rem oved \| photons) of helicity s: The longitudinal elds are represented in term $s$ of ghost excitation operators, in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{L i}^{a}(r)=x_{k}^{x} \frac{k_{i}}{2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[a_{R}^{a}(k) e^{+i k r}+a_{R}^{a ?}(k) e^{i k r}\right] ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{Li}}{\mathrm{a}}(r)=\frac{x}{p_{k}} \frac{i k_{i}}{\mathrm{k}}\left[a_{Q}^{a}(k) e^{+i k r} \quad a_{Q}^{a ?}(k) e^{i k r}\right]: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ghost excitation operators obey the commutation rules $\left[a_{Q}^{a}(k) ; a_{R}^{b ?}\left(k^{0}\right)\right]=a b k ; k^{0}$; and $\left[a_{R}^{a}(k) ; a_{0}^{b ?}\left(k^{0}\right)\right]=a b k ; k^{0}$; $w$ th allother commutators vanishing. $W$ e de ne the $\backslash G$ auss's law operator" $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$ (r)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{a}(r)=\varrho_{i}{ }_{i}^{a}(r)+J_{0}^{a}(r)=\varrho_{i} E_{i}^{a}(r)+J_{0}^{a}(r) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $J_{0}^{a}(r)=g f^{a b c} A_{i}^{b}(r) \quad i_{i}^{c}(r): G^{a}(r)$ can also conveniently be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{a}(r)=\frac{1}{2}_{k}^{x}\left[{ }^{a}(k)+a ?(k)\right] e^{+i k r} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

wheres.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{a}(k)=2 k^{\frac{3}{2}} a_{0}^{a}(k)+J_{0}^{a}(k): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

 could be im plem ented by im posing

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) j \mathrm{j}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of states, $f j$ ig: ${ }^{a}(k) \mid$ and its adjoint ${ }^{a}{ }^{\text {? }}(k) \mid$ com $m$ ute $w$ th the $H$ am iltonians for YM theory and QCD, as well as (w ith the Lie group index a rem oved) for QED.Gauss's law, once im posed by this $m$ ethod, therefore is una ected by time evolution and rem ains perm anently intact.

In Q ED, the $N$ oether current com $m$ utes w ith the gauge eld, because the photons couple to, but do not carry the electric charge. (k) therefore commutes with ? (k); m irroring the commutation rule between $a_{0}(k)$ and $a_{\dot{Q}}^{?}(k)$ : That $m$ akes it possible to establish a unitary equivalence between the set of states fjig | the solutions of Eq. $[\bar{\phi}) \mid$ and the set of states that solve $a_{Q}(k) j 1=0: W$ e are able to exploit this unitary equivalence to explicitly construct the states in fj ig; and to reform ulate QED as a theory of charged particles that obey G auss's law | that therefore carry their C oulomb eld with them | and that interact w ith each other and w ith transversely polarized propagating photons [ In YM theory and QCD, how ever, the commutation rules am ong the com ponents of ${ }^{a}(\mathrm{k})$ are quite di erent from the com mutation rules am ong the corresponding $\bar{c}_{0}^{z}(k): T$ he latter ghost' annihilation operators com $m$ ute $w$ ith each other and $w$ ith all their conjugate $a_{Q}^{a ?}(k)$ : H owever, the commutation nules for ${ }^{a}(k)$ and ${ }^{a}$ ? $(k)$ follow the $S U(\mathbb{N})$ Lie algebra [1]

[^1]It is therefore im possible to construct a unitary transform ation that transform $s{ }^{a}(k)$ into $a_{0}^{a}(k)$ : This di erence between QED on the one hand and YM theory and QCD on the other, precludes the use of unitary transform ations to construct the set of physical states fj ig from the perturbative states finig in the non-Abelian gauge theories; and that fact accounts form ajor di erenœes between QED and the non-A belian YM theory and QCD.

In form ulating a procedure for constructing the state $j_{0} i$ in non-A belian gauge theories, we note that whereas ${ }^{a}(k) j i=0$ and $a ?(k) j i=0$ are tw $o w h o l l y$ independent conditions for QED | and the form er alone su ces to de ne the Fock space for this Abelian theory | these two conditions are not independent for YM theory and QCD. In YM theory and
 G auss's law in YM theory therefore is not Eq. ( $\left.\overline{-1} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$, but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[{ }^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})+{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \text { ? }(\mathrm{k})\right] j \text { i }=0: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew ill express this condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L}_{0}^{a}(k)+J_{0}^{a}(k)\right] j i=0 ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{k}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left[\mathrm{a}_{0}^{a}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{a}_{0}^{\mathrm{a} ?}(\mathrm{k})\right]: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew ill transform the perturbative vacuum state $\mathrm{jOi} \mid$ the state that is annihilated by $a_{s}^{a}(k) ; a_{Q}^{a}(k)$ and $a_{R}^{a}(k) \mid$ into a state $j$ oi that im plem ents $G$ auss's law. W e represent $j$ oi as a product of two operators acting on the perturbative vacuum joi; in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0} i=\quad j 0 i ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator product represents a non-unitary transform ation operator. W e also de ne a state $j_{0} i=j 0 i ;$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{o}^{a}(k) j_{0} i=0: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. ( 1 that $\left[a_{Q}^{a}(k) ; \quad\right]=d_{0}^{a ?}(k)$ and $\left[E_{0}^{a ?}(k) ; \quad\right]=0: T$ he resulting state $j_{0} i$ is not norm alizable | it is essentially the \Ferm i" vacuum state $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ i\end{array}\right]$, which is not com $m$ only used in QED, but reappears here in the non-A belian theory.
 or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L}_{0}^{a}(\mathrm{k}) ; \quad\right]=\mathrm{J}_{0}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{B}_{Q}^{a} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}_{Q}^{\text {a }}$ represents any operator product $w$ th $\mathrm{b}_{2}^{a}$ on its extrem e right-hand side, so that

the role of a generalized derivative. W e introduce the follow ing notation for the constituent parts from which will.be assem bled:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i}(r)=A_{T i}(r) ;  \tag{15}\\
& x_{i}(r)=A_{L i}(r) ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \quad(r)=\left[\frac{e_{i} \mathbb{Q}^{2}}{} A_{i}(r)\right] ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\left[a_{i}(r)+x_{i}(r)\right]=A_{i}(r)$; and since $\left.A_{L i}(r)=@_{i} \frac{\varrho_{j}}{G^{2}} A_{j}(r)\right] ; x_{i}(r)=@_{i} X \quad(r)$. We also need to de ne the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{() i}(r)=\left[a_{i}(r)+\frac{}{+1} x_{i}(r)\right] ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith integer-valued.
W e will also use the preceding operators to form the follow ing com posite operators:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1) i(r)=f \quad X \quad(r) Q_{(1) i}(r)=f \quad X \quad \text { (r) }\left[a_{i}(r)+\frac{1}{2} x_{i}(r)\right] ;  \tag{19}\\
(2) i(r)=f{ }^{b} f^{b} X \quad(r) Q_{(2) i}(r) X \quad(r)=f{ }^{b} f^{b} \quad X \quad \text { (r) }\left[a_{i}(r)+\frac{2}{3} x_{i}(r)\right] X \quad \text { (r); } \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the general th order term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.()_{i}(r)=(1)^{1} f_{( }^{\sim}\right) R_{()}^{\tilde{1}^{\prime}(r) Q_{() i}(r) ; ~} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{( } \tilde{(r)}={ }_{m=1}^{Y} X^{[m]}(r) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
where $f_{(1)}^{\sim} f$.
W e also de ne the com posite operator

$$
A_{1}=i^{Z} d r{ }_{(1) i}(r){ }_{i}^{(r) ;}
$$

which is usefulbecause it has the im portant property that its com m utator w ith $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})$;

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[L_{0}^{a}(k) ; A_{1}\right]=} & g f^{a} d r e^{i k r}\left[a_{i}(r)+x_{i}(r)\right] \quad(r) \\
& \frac{g}{2} f^{a} \quad d r e^{i k r} X \quad\left[C_{i}(r)\right] ; \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

generates $J_{0}^{a}(k)$ when it acts on the \Ferm i" vacuum state $j 0 i: W$ e observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i} \quad(r)={ }_{k}^{x} b_{0}(k) e^{+i k r} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $@_{i} \quad(r) j o i=0$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L}_{0}^{a}(k) ; A_{1}\right] j j_{0}=J_{0}^{a}(k) j 0_{0}^{i}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e m ight expect that the sim ple choice $=0=\exp \left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ would solve Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{\overline{1}}\right)$, but that expectation is not ful led. This is due to the fact that the commutator (k); $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ] does not com $m$ ute with $A_{1}$. The expression $@_{i} i(r)$; when it arises in the $m$ idst of an extended sequence of operator-valued factors, does not act on the Ferm i vacuum state, and does not vanish. On its way to the extrem e right of the expression, where it ultim ately acts on $j$ oi and vanishes, $@_{i} i(r)$ produces extra term $s$ as it commutes with $A_{1}$ 's; and that fact


To address the problem s that arise because $A_{1}$ and $\left.\mathbb{L}_{0}^{a}(k) ; A_{1}\right]$ do not commute, we $m$ ake the follow ing $m$ odi cations. First, we replace $\exp \left(A_{1}\right) w i t h k \exp \left(A_{1}\right) k$, where the kO k designates a variety of horm al order' in which all functionals of m om enta, F [ i ], appear to the right of all fiunctionals of gauge elds, $\left.F A_{i}\right]$. For exam ple, in the $n^{\text {th }}$ term of $\mathrm{k} \exp \left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{k}$ the product $k\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}$ represents

$$
\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{ig})^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{D}\left(1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{n}_{(1) \mathrm{i}_{1}}^{\mathrm{R}}(1) \quad{ }_{(1) \mathrm{i}_{2}}^{2}(2) \quad{ }_{(1) \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{i}_{1}{ }^{1}(1) \quad \mathrm{i}_{2}{ }^{2}(2) \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{n}(\mathrm{n})\right. \text {; }
$$

where $D(1 ; \quad ; n)$ denotes $d r{ }_{n}$ gland the integer argum ent $n$ in the 's and 's rep-
 produced by an integration by parts, appears am ong the 's and can annihilate the joi directly, m oving only through other 's (or functionals of 's) with which it commutes. The $(g) f^{a b c}{ }^{R} d r e{ }^{i k r}\left[a_{i}^{b}(r)+x_{i}^{b}(r)\right]$ needed as part of $J_{0}^{a}(k)$ is created to the left of $k \exp \left(A_{1}\right) k$; as required; but the rem aining ${ }_{i}^{c}(r)$ appears to the right of all the $\quad \mathrm{s}$, w ith which it does not com $m$ ute. A s ${ }_{i}^{c}(r) m$ oves to the left, to constitute the com plete $J_{0}^{a}(k)$, it generates unw anted contributions that disqualify even $k \exp \left(A_{1}\right) k$ as a solution ofEq. (1-14).
 only the rst term in the in nite operator-valued series A; given by $A={ }_{n=1}^{1} A_{n}$, where the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{n}>1 \mathrm{w}$ ill.be given later in this section. is then given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{k} \exp (\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{k}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ll the $A_{n} w i l l$ consist of functionals of the gauge eld, $A_{i}(r)$, multiplied by a single $m o-$ $m$ entum, $i(r)$; so that it becom es useful to express all the $A_{n}$ as

$$
A_{n}=i g^{n} d r A_{(n) i}(r) i_{i}(r):
$$

Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{Z}} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$ ) is useful as a de nition of $\mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r})$; and as an identi cation of this quantity as a functional of gauge elds only $\mid$ canonicalm om enta are never inchuded in $A_{(n) i}(r)$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L b}_{2}^{a}(\mathrm{k}) ; \mathrm{k} \exp (\mathrm{~A}) k\right]+J_{0}^{a}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{k} \exp (\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{k} \quad 0 ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sym bol is used to indicate that we have suppressed the state $j$ oi; that should appear on the right of all operator products. W e can expand Eq. (הֲ-inin) into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
k\left[b_{0}^{a}(k) ; \exp \left({ }_{n=2}^{x^{A}} A_{n}\right)\right] \exp \left(A_{1}\right) k & \left.+k \mathbb{b}_{0}^{a}(k) ; \exp \left(A_{1}\right)\right] \exp \left({ }_{n=2}^{x^{A}} A_{n}\right) k \\
& +J_{0}^{a}(k) k \exp (A) k \quad 0 ; \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $k$-ordering elim inates further contributions from the $B$ aker $H$ ausdor $-C$ am pbell form ula. Since $\left.\operatorname{lo}_{6}^{a}(k) ; k \exp (A) k\right]=k\left[6_{0}^{a}(k) ; A\right] \exp (A) k, w e$ can use Eq. (2̄5) to elim inate $J_{0}^{a}(k) k \exp (A) k$ and to rew rite Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{2}\right)$ as

$$
k\left[\mathbb{L}_{Q}^{a}(k) ;_{n=2}^{\mathbb{X}^{2}} A_{n}\right] \exp (A) k \quad \operatorname{kgf}^{a} \quad d r e^{i k r}\left[a_{i}(r)+x_{i}(r)\right][\exp (A) ; \quad i(r)] k \quad 0:(33)
$$

$W$ e can also use $\mathbb{k} \exp (A) k ; \quad i(r)]=k[A ; \quad i(r)] \exp (A) k$, to give $E_{-1}^{q_{1}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.k f \mathbb{b}_{Q}^{a}(k) ;_{n=2}^{\mathbb{X}^{\mathbb{1}}} A_{n}\right] \quad g f^{a} \quad \operatorname{dre}{ }^{i k r}\left[a_{i}(r)+x_{i}(r)\right] \mathbb{A} ; \quad i\left(r_{1}\right)\right] g \exp (A) k \quad 0: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{L}_{0}^{a}(k) \sum_{n=2}^{x^{1}} A_{n}\right] \quad g f^{a} \quad d r e^{i k r}\left[a_{i}(r)+x_{i}(r)\right]\left[\sum_{n=1}^{X^{\lambda}} A_{n} ; i(r)\right] \quad 0 ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a su cient condition for the validity of Eq. (3̄in). W e now rew rite the lim it of the sum in the second term, ${ }_{n=1}^{1} A_{n}$ ) ${ }_{n=2}^{1} A_{n 1}$, to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{b}_{\substack{a}}^{\left.(k))_{n=2}^{x^{2}} A_{n}\right] \quad g f^{a} \quad d r e{ }^{i k r}\left[a_{i}\left(r_{1}\right)+x_{i}(r)\right]\left[_{n=2}^{x^{2}} A_{n 1} ; i(r)\right] \quad 0: ~} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Requiring Eq. ( $\overline{(3)} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ to hold for all values of g ; we obtain the the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathbb{L}_{0}^{a}(k) ; A_{n}\right] \quad g f^{a} \quad d r e^{i k r}\left[a_{i}(r)+x_{i}(r)\right] \mathbb{A}_{n 1} ; \quad i(r)\right] ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for $\mathrm{n}>1$, because $\mathrm{A}_{0}=0$.
W e have been able to construct the rst six term sof the A series and to con $m$ their consistency w ith Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{1})$. . Because of the structural regularity of $A_{1}-A_{6}$, we can also infer the form of the general $A_{n}: T$ he expressions for these $A_{n}$ are $m$ ost easily given in a partially

[^2]recursive way, in term sof the $A_{(n) i}(r)$ previously de ned in Eq. $1(300)$. The de nition ofeach $A_{n}$ (w th $n>1$ ) contains references to $A_{(n)_{i}}(r) w$ ith $n^{0}<n$; and, in tum, together w ith Eq. ( $\left.3 \overline{0} \overline{0} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$, de nes the new $A_{(n) i}(r): T$ he term $s$ in the A series are given by Eq. $\left.\overline{2} \overline{4}\right)$ and by
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& +i g^{2} f \quad \operatorname{dr} \frac{\left.\frac{e}{j}^{Q^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) ; ~}{\text { ( }}  \tag{38}\\
& A_{3}=\frac{\left.i^{3}\right]^{Z}}{3!} d r \quad(3) i^{(r)} \quad i(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{3} f \quad \operatorname{dr} \frac{e_{j}}{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) j}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{3} f \quad d r \frac{e_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i k & \left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{e_{i} e_{k}}{@^{2}}\right] \mathbb{A}_{(1) k}(r) \quad i(r) ; ~
\end{array}\right.  \tag{39}\\
& \mathrm{A}_{4}=\frac{\mathrm{ig}^{4}}{4!} \mathrm{dr} \mathrm{Z}^{(4) \mathrm{i}}{ }^{(r)} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r}) \\
& \left.+i g^{4} f \quad d r \frac{a_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(3) j}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{4} f \quad \operatorname{dr} \frac{\varrho_{j}}{Q^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) j}(r)\right]\left[i k \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varrho_{i} e_{k}}{Q^{2}}\right] A_{(1) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{4} f \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right]\left[i k \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_{i} e_{k}}{@^{2}}\right] A_{(2) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.+\frac{i g^{4}}{2} f{ }^{b} f^{b} \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{\varrho^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right] \frac{Q_{k}}{Q^{2}}\left[\mathbb{A}_{(1) k}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad{ }_{i}(r) ;  \tag{40}\\
& A_{5}={\frac{\mathrm{ig}^{5}}{5!}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dr} \mathrm{Z}^{(5) \mathrm{i}^{(r)}} \mathrm{i}^{(r)} \\
& \left.+i g^{5} f \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{\Theta^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(4) j}(r)\right] a_{i}(r){ }_{i}(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{5} f \quad d r \frac{\Theta_{j}}{\varrho^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(3) j}(r)\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
i k
\end{array} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Theta_{i} \Theta_{k}}{\varrho^{2}}\right] A_{(1) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{5} f \quad d r \frac{Q_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) j}(r)\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i k & \left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{e_{i} e_{k}}{\bigotimes^{2}}\right] A_{(2) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
(r)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+i g^{5} \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{dr} \frac{\Theta_{j}}{\Theta^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right]\left[\mathrm{ik}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varrho_{i} Q_{k}}{Q^{2}}\right] A_{(3) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{i g^{5}}{2} f^{b} f^{b} d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{\varrho^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) j}(r)\right] \frac{\varrho_{k}}{\frac{\varrho}{2}^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) k}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\mathrm{ig}}{2} f^{b} f^{b} \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right] \frac{\varrho_{k}}{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) k}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{6} & =\frac{i^{6} g^{z}}{6!} d r \quad Z_{(6) i}(r)_{i}(r) \\
& \left.+i g^{6} f \quad d r \frac{\rho_{j}}{a^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(5) j}(r)\right] a_{i}(r)_{i}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+i g^{6} f \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(3) j}(r)\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i k & \left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{i} e_{k}}{\varrho^{2}}\right] A_{(2) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
(r)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+i g^{6} f \quad d r \frac{\Theta_{j}}{\bigotimes^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) j}(r)\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i k & \left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varrho_{i} e_{k}}{\mathbb{Q}^{2}}\right] A_{(3) k}(r) \quad i(r) \\
(r)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\frac{i g^{6}}{2} f \quad{ }^{b} f^{b} \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{\varrho^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(3) j}(r)\right] \frac{\varrho_{k}}{@^{2}}\left[\mathbb{A}_{(1) k}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{i g^{6}}{2} f \quad{ }^{b} f^{b} \quad d r \frac{\varrho_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) j}(r)\right] \frac{\varrho_{k}}{\varrho^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(2) k}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{i 9^{6}}{2} f{ }^{b} f^{b} \quad d r \frac{Q_{j}}{\Theta^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right] \frac{Q_{k}}{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(3) k}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{i g^{6}}{3!} f^{b} f^{b c} f^{c} \quad d r \frac{e_{j}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) j}(r)\right] \frac{\Theta_{k}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}{ }_{(1) k}(r)\right] \frac{\Theta_{1}}{@^{2}} \mathbb{A}_{(1) 1}(r)\right] a_{i}(r) \quad i(r): \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

To arrive at a form for $A_{n}$ for anbitrary $n$; it is convenient to de $n e \overline{A_{i}}(r)$ by Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{1}\right)$ and by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{A_{i}}(r)=X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} g^{n} A_{(n) i}(r) ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A={ }_{i}^{Z} d r \overline{A_{i}}(r) \quad i(r): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wealso de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{B}_{(, i}}(r)=f a_{i}(r)+\left[{ }_{i j} \quad \overline{+1} \frac{\mathrm{Q}_{i} \mathrm{e}_{j}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \overline{\mathrm{~A}_{j}}(r) g ;\right. \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
where represents the same integer-valued param eter originally introduced in Eq. (1 $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{O}})$, that we will now observe to be necessary form atching each $B_{()_{i}}(r)$ with its corresponding $M \tilde{i}_{( }(r)$ in the integral equation for $\overline{A_{i}}(r)$ given below. W e now form ulate this non-linear integral equation for $\overline{A_{i}}(r)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& +i g f_{(1)}^{\sim} \quad \mathrm{drM} \underset{(1)}{\sim}(r) \overline{\mathrm{B}_{(1) i}}(r) \quad{ }_{i}(r) \\
& +\frac{i g^{2}}{2} f_{(2)}^{\sim} \quad d r M_{(2)}^{\sim}(r) \overline{B_{(2) i}}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{ig}^{3}}{3!} \mathrm{f}_{(3)}^{\sim} \quad \mathrm{drM} \underset{(3)}{\sim}(\mathrm{r}) \overline{\mathrm{B}_{(3) \mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \text { i }(\mathrm{r}) \\
& +\quad \mathrm{Z} \\
& +\frac{i g}{!} f_{()}^{\sim} \quad \operatorname{drM} \tilde{i}_{()}(r) \overline{B_{() i}}(r) \quad i(r) \\
& +\quad \text {; } \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

or, m ore succinctly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=X_{=1}^{X^{\prime}} \frac{i g}{\eta} d r f(r)(r)+f_{()}^{\sim} \quad d r M \underset{()}{\sim}(r) \overline{B_{() i}}(r) g_{i}(r): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e observe that the leading term sof the perturbative solution ofEq. (ī̄ī) | or equivalently Eq. ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-1}) \mid$ agree w ith $A_{1}-A_{6}$; the explicit form s given in Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{4}\right)$ and $(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{8})-(\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$. W e have con $m$ ed that the entire perturbative series $\mid A_{n}$ for arbitrary $n \mid$ correctly satisfy the recursion relation given in Eq. (3̄7i); ; but we have not yet established that fact w ith com plete rigor in so far as concems its extension beyond $n=6$. Previously in this paper, we have

$j_{0} i$ is not the only state that im plem ents $G$ auss's law. A ny state $j_{k} i=\quad d_{s}^{a y}(k) j 0 i$ or $j_{k_{1}} \quad{ }_{k}{ }^{i}=\quad d_{s_{1}}^{a_{1} y}\left(k_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{s_{i}}^{a_{i} y}\left(k_{i}\right) j$ ji, where $a_{s}^{a y}(k)$ creates a transversely polarized ghon, is annihilated by the Gauss's law operator $\mathrm{G}:$ The im portant question then arises: W hat changes occur in the $S-m$ atrix when the states $j_{k_{1}} \quad k^{i}$ are substituted for the perturbative states $\eta_{k_{1}} \quad{ }_{k}{ }^{i}$ as incident and scattered states? W e will not discuss this question in detail in this paper. But we observe that, while it has been shown that there is no change in S-m atrix elem ents when the $j i$ and the corresponding jni states that they replace are unitarily equivalent [ willue changes in the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix elem ents when the $j$ istates are substiluted for the ji istates. T hese changes, how ever, do not appear in the low est order of perturbation theory, since the non-unitarity does not arise in the leading term of : The most interesting possibility, of course is that the contribution of as a whole can be evaluated, and the non-perturbative e ect of $G$ auss's law on the $S-m$ atrix assessed.

A nother question to be addressed deals w th the non-perturbative solutions of the nonlinear Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ or equivalently Eq. ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{-})$. Further work is required to clarify how these non-perturbative solutions are related to the gauge sectors connected by the large gauge transform ations [ig].
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[^1]:    $1 a(k) \mid$ and $a ?(k) \mid$ in this work and in $R$ ef. $\left.{ }^{[1]}\right]$ di er by a norm alization factor of $2 k^{3=2}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ in an expression $\mathrm{k}[!$; ] $k$; the com mutator is always to be evaluated before the double-bar ordering is im posed.

