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A bstract
The $H$ am iltonian form ulation for a non-A belian gauge theory in tw o spatialdim ensions is carried out in term $s$ of a gauge-invariant matrix param etrization of the elds. The Jacobian for the relevant transform ation of variables is given in term sof the W ZW -action for a hem itian matrix eld. Som e gauge-invariant eigenstates of the kinetic term of the H am iltonian are given; these have zero charge and exhibit a m ass gap.
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## 1. Introduction

The im portance of non-A belian gauge theories to our understanding of physical phenom ena is well recognized and needs no reiteration. W hile the perturbative aspects of such theories were understood $m$ any years ago, nonperturbative phenom ena have been di cult to analyze. D etailed analyses and calculational techniques are still lacking, eventhough m ost of the qualitative features are m ore or less clear. G auge theories in two spatial dim ensions, which are sim pler than their analogues in three spatial dim ensions, are interesting as a guide to the $m$ ore realistic case, and not surprisingly, there have been $m$ any analyses of such theories starting from the early days [1,2]. For a non-A belian gauge theory spontaneously broken to an Abelian subgroup, one can show the existence of a $m$ ass gap or the absence ofm assless gauge particles due to the condensation ofm onopoles [1]. It is very likely that this feature holds true for the unbroken theory as well. The sub ject has recently been receiving renew ed attention. N ew gauge-invariant param etrizations, variables sim ilar to gravitational degrees of freedom as well as extensions of old techniques are being explored $[3,4]$. In this paper, we introduce a fairly sim ple $m$ atrix param etrization of the gauge elds which am ounts to a particular choice of coordinates on the space of gauge-invariant con gurations and work out the H am iltonian form ulation. $T$ his approach is closest in spirit to the w ork ofFeynm an (and also Singer) who em phasized the im portance of the geom etry of the con guration space 5]. The kinetic energy term for the H am iltonian is essentially a Laplace operator on the con guration space. N ow, for a nite-dim ensional com pact $m$ anifold the Laplacian has a discrete spectrum, the gap betw een the rst and second eigenvalues being the analogue of the $m$ ass gap. Feynm an argued that this picture should rem ain qualitatively correct for the in nite-dim ensional case of the con guration space of the (2+1)-dim ensional non-A belian gauge theory. Since the H am iltonian is a realoperator, the ground state wave function can be chosen real and positive everyw here on the con guration space. The excited states, since they have to be orthogonal to the ground state, $m$ ust involve nodes. T he low -lying excited states can thus be analyzed by considering the $m$ inim ization of the expectation value of the H am iltonian for $w$ ave functions $w$ ith nodes. The potential energy can be $m$ inim ized by $m$ aking the
$m$ agnitude of the $w$ ave function $s m$ all. The kinetic energy is proportional to the square of the gradient (over the con guration space) of the wave function. O ne can try to $m$ in im ize the $m$ agnitude of the gradient by choosing a function for which the regions $w$ here it is postitive and where it is negative are, roughly speaking, as far separated as possible. H ow ever, if the gauge-invariant distance betw een con gurations cannot be made arbitrarily large, the gradient of the $w$ ave function and hence the kinetic energy cannot be $m$ ade arbitrarily sm all; in other words, one has a m ass gap for the theory. T his is the essence of Feynm an's argum ents, although, of course, distances, expectation values, etc. have to be understood with regularizations and all that. By choosing a sim ple enough param etrization of the elds, which $m$ akes the evaluation of the relevant Jacobian factors and the transform ation of the $H$ am iltonian quite elem entary, we expect to $m$ ake these intuitive and qualitative argum ents $m$ ore explicit. This is also equivalent to $m$ aking a special choige of bollective coordinates' and so our analysis is sim ilar to som e previous work along these lines [6].

For the $m$ atrix param etrization we use, the relevant Jacobian factor is related to the action $S$ for a herm tian $W$ ess-Zum ino-w itten ( $W$ ZW ) m odel [7], i.e., a G ${ }^{C}=G-c o s e t$ m odel $[8,9]$, where $G$ is the gauge group and $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{C}}$ is its complexi cation. W e obtain the H am iltonian and other generators of the P oincare algebra in this param etrization. In view of Feynm an's argum ents, it is interesting to consider the spectrum of the kinetic energy term by itself. W e obtain som e (gauge-invariant) eigenstates of the kinetic energy; these correspond to $m$ assive states. The construction of the states involve nonlocal com posite operators (in term s of the gauge potentials) and there are argum ents which suggest that the nom s should exist in a suitably regularized sense. W e also give argum ents regarding the com pleteness of these states.

In the next section, we introduce the $m$ atrix param etrization of the gauge elds. Section 3 deals with the reduction of the $m$ etric on the space of con gurations and the H am iltonian. In section 4, we discuss the vacuum and other eigenstates of the kinetic energy. A short discussion and recapitulation of results is given in section 5 and the paper concludes with an appendix where som e estim ate of the e ect of coordinate singularities is given.
2. The $m$ atrix param etrization of elds
$W$ e consider a $G=S U(\mathbb{N})$-gauge theory in the $A_{0}=0$ gauge. $T$ he gauge potential can be written as $A_{i}=\quad$ t $^{a} A_{i}^{a}, i=1 ; 2$, where tare herm itian $N \quad N$ matrioes which form a basis of the Lie algebra of $S U(\mathbb{N})$ with $\left.t^{a} ; t^{b}\right]=$ if ${ }^{\text {abc }} t^{c} ; \operatorname{Tr}\left(t^{a} t^{b}\right)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{a b}$. The H am iltonian can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =T+V \\
T & ={\frac{e^{2}}{2}}_{Z}^{Z} d^{2} \times E_{i}^{a} E_{i}^{a}  \tag{2:1}\\
V & ={\frac{1}{2 e^{2}}}^{Z} \quad d^{2} \times B^{a} B^{a}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $e$ is the coupling constant; $e^{2}$ has the dim ension ofm ass. Further, $B^{a}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{j k}\left(@_{j} A_{k}^{a}\right.$ $@_{k} A_{j}^{a}+f^{a b c} A_{j}^{b} A_{k}^{c}$ ) and we have the standard com mutation rules

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left[\mathbb{A}_{i}^{a}(x) ; A_{j}^{b}(y)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{i}^{a}(x) ; E_{j}^{b}(y)\right]=0 \\
& {\left[\mathbb{A}_{i}^{a}(x) ; E_{j}^{b}(y)\right]=i_{i j}^{a b(2)}(x \quad y)} \tag{2:2}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese com $m$ utation rules or the corresponding P oisson brackets are given by the sym plectic two-form

$$
\begin{align*}
! & =\quad=d^{2} \times E_{i}^{a} A_{i}^{a} \\
& =d^{2} \times E_{i}^{a} A_{i}^{a} \tag{2:3}
\end{align*}
$$

W e shall use to denote exterior di erentiation on the space of gauge potentials $\mathrm{A}=$ $f A_{i}^{a}(x) g$. For elds which fallo at spatial in nity, the spatialm anifold can be taken as the $R$ iem ann sphere $C$ [ $1 \quad w$ ith complex cordinates $z=x_{1} \quad \mathrm{ix}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}_{1}+i \mathrm{x}_{2}$. The com plex com ponents of the potential, viz., $A_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) ; A_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1} \quad i A_{2}\right)=\left(A_{z}\right)^{y}$, can be param etrized in term s of a com plex $G^{C}-$, speci cally $=S L(\mathbb{N} ; C)-, m$ atrix $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{z}=@_{z} M^{1}{ }^{1} ; \quad A_{z}=M^{1} @_{z} M^{y} \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given potential, the $m$ atrix $M$ is not uniquely de ned; $M$ and $M V$, where $V$ is antiholom onphic, lead to the sam e potential. For R iem ann sphere, the only (nonsingular or globally de ned) antiholom onphic function being a constant, $V$ has to be constant. $T$ his
ambiguity can be elim inated by requiring $M$ ! 1 as jxj! 1 . (If we allow singularities in $M$, $m$ ore general choices of $V$ are possible. These can be related to the coordinate singularities of our param etrization of the con guration space; we shall com $m$ ent on them in the appendix.) The $G$ reen's functions for the $\varrho_{z}$ and $\varrho_{z}$ can be de ned as follow $s$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
@_{x} G(x ; y)=@_{x} G(x ; y)={ }^{(2)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right) \\
G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z \quad z^{0}
\end{array}\right.} ; \quad G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & z^{0}
\end{array}\right)} \tag{2:5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$U$ sing these $G$ reen's functions, eqs. (2.4) can be inverted to obtain $M$ and $M Y$ in term $s$ of $A_{z}$ and $A_{z}$ respectively, at least as a pow er series in the gauge potentials.

U sing the above param etrization, we nd

$$
=2^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(p M^{y_{M}} \mathrm{y}^{1}+\mathrm{pM}^{1} \mathrm{M}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=p^{a} t^{a}=2 @_{z}\left(M^{y} E_{z} M^{y}\right) \\
& p=p^{a} t^{a}=2 @_{z}\left(M^{1} E_{z} M\right)=(p)^{y} \tag{2:7}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he sym plectic two-form is obtained from (2.6) as Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\quad=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left[p M^{y_{M}} \mathrm{Y}^{1}+\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y} 1}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{pM}^{1} \mathrm{M}^{1} \quad \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{M}^{1} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ewant to rew rite the theory in term sofm 's. The transform ed version of the com $m$ utation rules (2.2) can be obtained from (2.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} ; G]=i\left(V_{F} C V_{G} C!\right)=i\left(V_{F} C G\right) \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is the H am iltonian vector eld corresponding to F , de ned by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{c}$ ! $=\mathrm{F}$ and the contraction c is de ned by $\mathrm{Vc}!=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{I}}!_{\mathrm{IJ}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{J}}$ for $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}} ;!=\frac{1}{2}!_{\mathrm{IJ}} \quad \mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{J}$.

The vector elds $V() ; V()$ corresponding to ${ }^{R} p_{a}{ }^{\text {a }}$ and ${ }^{R} p_{a}$ a are easily identi ed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& V()=v() \quad{ }^{Z} \\
& i f^{a b c}{ }_{a} p_{b} \overline{p_{c}} \\
& V()=v()+i \quad f^{a b c}{ }_{a} p_{b} \overline{p_{c}} \tag{2:10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v() c M=M\left(a t^{a}\right) ; v() c M^{y}=\left(a^{a}\right) M^{y} . U \operatorname{sing}(2.9)$ and (2.10), we immediately nd the commutation rules

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[p_{a}(x) ; M(y)\right]=\quad i M(x) t_{a}{ }^{(2)}(x \quad y)} \\
& {\left[p_{a}(x) ; p_{b}(y)\right]=f_{a b c} p_{c}(x) \quad{ }^{(2)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)} \\
& {\left[p_{a}(x) ; M^{y}(y)\right]=\quad i t_{a} M^{y}(x) \quad{ }^{(2)}(x \quad y)}  \tag{2:11}\\
& {\left[p_{a}(x) ; p_{b}(y)\right]=\quad f_{a b c} p_{c}(x) \quad{ }^{(2)}(x \quad y)} \\
& \left.\left.\left.\mathbb{M}(x) ; \mathrm{M}^{(\mathrm{y}}\right)\right]=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{y})\right]=\mathrm{M}^{\left.(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{y})\right]=0} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{y})\right]=\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{y})\right]=0}
\end{align*}
$$

The SL (N;C)-m atrix M can be param etrized in term sof a complex eld a(x).We w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{1} M={ }^{a} R_{a b} t^{b} \\
& M^{y_{M}}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}={ }^{a} R_{a b} t^{b} \tag{2:12}
\end{align*}
$$

The de nition of the potentials leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{z_{k}}=i E_{k a} @_{z}\left({ }^{b_{R} b_{b a}}\right) \\
& A_{z_{k}}=i E_{a k}^{y} @_{z}\left({ }^{b} R_{b a}\right) \tag{2:13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k a}=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(t_{k} M_{t_{a}} M^{1}\right) ; \quad E_{a k}^{Y}=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(t_{a} M^{y} t_{k} M^{y}{ }^{1}\right) \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com mutation rules (2.11) can be realized by the follow ing di erential operator representation for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})=\quad \operatorname{RR}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1}(\mathrm{x}) \overline{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x})} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})=\quad \operatorname{iR}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1}(\mathrm{x}) \overline{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x})} \tag{2:15}
\end{align*}
$$

The com ponents of the electric eld can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{z k}=\frac{i_{2}^{2}}{2} E_{a k}^{y} G(x ; y) p_{a}(y) \\
& E_{z k}=\frac{i}{2}_{E_{k a}}^{E_{y}} G(x ; y) p_{a}(y) \tag{2:16}
\end{align*}
$$

These expressions have the correct ordering of the operators; this can be seen by deriving them from a change of variables. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{z k}(x)\left(\mathbb{M} ; M^{y}\right) & =\frac{i}{2} \frac{i^{Z}}{z_{z k}(x)}=\frac{1}{2}_{y}^{a(y)} \frac{{ }^{a}(y)}{A_{z k}(x)}  \tag{2:17}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}{ }_{y}{ }^{a} R_{b a}^{1}(y) G(y ; x) E_{b k}^{y}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (2.13).
The new variables $M$ and $M{ }^{Y}$ are not gauge-invariant. G auge transform ations act on
 $T$ he theory can be w ritten in term sof the gauge-invariant com bination $H=M{ }^{\prime}{ }_{M}$, which can be considered as the fiundam ental dynam ical variable. From the com mutation rules (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[p_{a}(x) ; H(y)\right]=i H(x) t_{a}{ }^{(2)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)} \\
& {\left[p_{a}(x) ; H(y)\right]=\quad i t_{a} H(x)}
\end{align*}{ }^{(2)}(x \quad y) ~\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \tag{2:18}
\end{array}\right)
$$

W hen acting on functions of $H, p_{a}$ and $p_{a}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{ir}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1}(\mathrm{x}) \overline{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x})}  \tag{2:19}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})=\quad \operatorname{ir}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1}(\mathrm{x}) \frac{\mathrm{rb}(\mathrm{x})}{}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x})
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned $H$ in term s of the real param eters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1} H=r^{a} r_{a b} t^{b} \tag{2:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The G auss law operator or the generator of gauge transform ations can be obtained as

$$
G()=i^{Z} \quad k\left(E_{k a} p_{a} \quad E_{a k}^{Y} p_{a}\right)
$$

From the com m utation rules, we see that $p_{a} ; p_{a}$ are gauge-invariant operators, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[G_{k} ; P_{a}\right]=\left[G_{k} ; P_{a}\right]=0 \tag{2:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e have already used this fact in representing $p_{a} ; p_{a}$ as derivatives $w$ ith respect to ${ }^{a}$ in (2.19). The di erential operator representations (2.15) which are appropriate when acting
on functions of $M$ and $M^{Y}$ naturally go over to the representation (2.19) for action on functions of H .

Them agnetic eld can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B^{a} t_{a}=2 M\left[\mathbb{C}^{1} @ H\right) M^{1} \tag{2:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where @ $@_{z} ; @ @_{z}$. The potential term in the $H$ am iltonian can thus be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V={\frac{2}{e^{2}}}^{Z} d^{2} x\left[@\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a} @\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a}\right] \tag{2:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing the expressions (2.16) for the electric elds, the kinetic term $T$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T={\frac{e^{2}}{2}}^{Z} d^{2} x{ }_{y ; z}^{Z} G(x ; y) p_{a}(y) K_{a b}(x) G(x ; z) p_{b}(z) \tag{2:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{a b}=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(t_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ak}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kb}} \tag{2:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{a b}$ is the adjoint representation of the matrix $H$; we also have $K^{T} K=1 ; \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{E}=$ 1; $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{yT}} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{y}}=1$, where the superscript T denotes the transpose.

The kinetic term of the $H$ am iltonian as given in (2.25) is stillessentially classical since we have not included the corrections from the Jacobians which arise in writing the inner product of w avefunctions in term sofm, and eventually H. The kinetic energy $T$, as given by (2.1), involves the Laplacian on $A, \operatorname{since~} E_{i}^{a}(x)=i \frac{A_{i}^{a}(x)}{}$. U pon changing to $M ;{ }^{y}$, this should be the Laplacian expressed in term $\operatorname{sofM}$; ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ (or H).Expression (225) gives
 of the $m$ etric, $w i l l$ arise from the Jacobian in the inner product for $w$ ave functions.

The physical states are gauge-invariant and obey G jphsi $=0$. They can be represented as functions of $H$. Further, from the discussion follow ing eq. (2.4), H and VHV, where $V$ is a constant $S L(\mathbb{N} ; C)$-m atrix, describe the sam e physical con guration; thus we m ust require that physical states, and correspondingly observables, should be invariant under H ! VHV.
3. The reduction of the $m$ etric, the $H$ am iltonian and $P$ oincare invariance

The $m$ etric on the space of gauge potentials A is given by

$$
d s^{2}=A_{i}^{a} A_{i}^{a}=8^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{z} & \left.A_{z}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The wavefiunctions have the inner product

$$
\mathrm{h} 1 \mathrm{Ri} i={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \text { (C) }{ }_{1} \quad 2
$$

where d (A) is de ned by them etric (3.1) and d (C), the m easure on the space ofphysical con gurations $C$, is obtained from $d(A)$ by factoring out the volum e of gauge transform ations. Form ally

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(A)=\int_{x}^{Y} d A_{z}(x) d A_{z}(x) \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore properly (3.3) should be understood w ith appropriate regularization.
We rst express the measure $d$ (C) in the inner product (3.2) in term $s$ of $H$. U sing the de nition of $A_{z} ; A_{z}$, we have

$$
\left.d s^{2}=8^{Z} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{D}_{z}\left(M^{1}\right) D_{z}\left(M^{y 1} M^{y}\right)\right]
$$

$w$ here $\left.D_{i}=@_{i}+\mathbb{A}_{i} ;\right]$. Themetric for $S L(\mathbb{N} ; C)$-m atrioes can be $w$ ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =8^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(M^{1}\right)\left(M^{Y 1} M^{y}\right)\right] \\
& =2 \quad\left(R \quad R^{T}\right)+\left(R K^{T} R^{T}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he $H$ aar $m$ easure $d\left(M ;{ }^{Y}\right)$ is the volum e associated $w$ ith this metric. Explicitly, d $\left(M^{\prime} M^{Y}\right)=(\operatorname{det} R R)[\quad]$. From eqs. $(3.4,3.5)$ we see im m ediately that $[8,9,10]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~A})=\left[\mathrm{dA}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dA}_{\mathrm{z}}\right]=\left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{M}_{;} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}\right) \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{z}$ and $D_{z}$ are chiral covariant derivatives in two dim ensions and their determ inants are well know $n$. T he gauge-invariant evaluation of these determ inants gives [8-11]

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(D_{z} D_{z}\right) & =\exp \left[2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{H})\right] \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{det}^{0}(\mathrm{@}(\mathrm{@})}{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{x}} \tag{3:7}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $C_{A}$ is the quadratic $C$ asim ir of the adjoint representation, viz., $C_{A} a b=f_{a m n} f_{b m n}$; $\left.\operatorname{dim} G=\mathbb{N}^{2} \quad 1\right)$ is the dim ension of the gauge group $S U(\mathbb{N})$. The prime on the deter$m$ inant of (@@) and the division by the volum e of space denote the factoring out of the constant or zero mode of (@). S (H) is the W ess-Zum ino-W itten (W ZW) action for $H$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(H)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(@ H^{1} @ H^{\mathrm{Z}}{\frac{i^{2}}{12}}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} @ H^{1} @ H^{1} @ H\right)}\right. \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is usual for the $W$ ZW action, we w rite the second term in $S$ in term $s$ of an extension of $H$ into a three-dim ensional space w ith space as the boundary. A ctually, for herm itian $m$ atrices such as $H$, this is unnecessary and the second term can be integrated and w ritten as an integral over space only $[9,12]$.

C onsider now the reduction ofd (M); ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ) by factoring out the volum e of gauge transform ations. Since gauge transform ations act as M ! gM, g2 G, the physical degrees of freedom belong to $G^{C}=G$ and we need to construct the volum e elem ent on $G^{C}=G$. The $m$ etric obtained from (3.5) by factoring out gauge transform ations is

$$
d s^{2}=2^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(H^{1} \quad H\right)^{2}
$$

The volum e corresponding to this is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H})=\operatorname{detr}\left[{ }^{\prime}\right] \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple way to see this result is as follows. $\quad\left(M^{Y}\right)^{1} d M^{y}+d M M^{1}$ ) is a di erential form on $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{C}}$ which transform s as ! $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{1}$ under M ! gM . Thus $\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{dH}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ are di erential form s on $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{T}$ he volum e elem ent is given by the di erential form ofm axim aldegree, i.e., for $n=\left(\begin{array}{ll}N^{2} & 1\end{array}\right)$. $T$ his is easily seen to be $\operatorname{detr}\left[d^{\prime}\right]$. For $m$ atrices which are functions of the spatial coordinates, as in our case, we have the product over the spatial points as well [9,10]; this is understood in eq.(3.10).

U sing eqs.(3.6-3.10), we can w rite the inner product as

$$
\mathrm{h} 1 \mathrm{Zi}=^{Z} \mathrm{~d} \text { (C) } \mathrm{l}_{2}=^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{e}^{2 C_{A} S(H)} \mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

$W$ ith the inner product (3.11) and the representation (2.19), the expression for the kinetic energy $T$ can now be obtained as follow s . W e can w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{e^{2}}{4} \quad \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{e}^{2 C_{A} S(H)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{G} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{G} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}} & 2
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} & 1
\end{array}\right) K_{a b}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{G} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}} & 2
\end{array}\right) \tag{3:12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the change of variables procedure as in (2.17) and ( $\mathrm{Gp}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) (x) = R ${ }_{y} G(x ; y) P_{a}(y) . W$ e do a partial integration using the easily veri ed property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}_{b}\left[\left(r_{a b}^{1} \operatorname{detr}\right) f\right]=r_{a b}^{1}(\operatorname{det} r) \bar{r}_{b} f: \tag{3:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 1 \nVdash \mathcal{R} i=\frac{e^{2}}{4} \quad d \quad(H) \quad{ }_{1}\left[\left(G p_{a}\right)\left(K_{a b} e^{2 C_{A} S} G p_{b}\right)+\left(G p_{a}\right) K_{a b}^{T} e^{2 C_{A} S}\left(G p_{b}\right)\right] 2 \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can thus identify the operator $T$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{e^{2}}{4} e^{2 c_{A} S} \quad\left(G p_{a}\right) K{ }_{a b} e^{2 c_{A} S}\left(G p_{b}\right)+\left(G p_{a}\right) K_{a b}^{T} e^{2 C_{A} S}\left(G p_{b}\right) \tag{3:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is of course selfadjoint by construction. N otice that ifwewrite $T=\frac{e^{2}}{2}$, then has the form of a Laplacian. The above $m$ ethod is standard for working out the form of the kinetic energy under change of variables. It has been used for collective coordinates and also in the context of $A_{0}=0$ gauge quantization of a gauge theory (w ith param etrizations of the elds di erent from ours) [6]. The form of the $m$ etric is really not explicitly used in this derivation; given the Jacobian and the change of variables form ula (2.17), eq. (3.15) follow $s$. If required, the inverse of the $m$ etric can actually be read $o$ from this equation by com parison w th the standrad form of the Laplacian.

G iven the inner product (3.11), we see that it is convenient to de ne the wavefiunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{C_{A} S} \tag{3:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product is now
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} 1 \mathrm{Zi}=\quad \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H}){ }_{1} \quad 2 \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the H am iltonian can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{e^{2}}{4} \quad\left(P_{a}^{Y} K_{a b} P_{b}+Q{ }_{a}^{Y} K_{a b}^{T} Q_{b}\right)+V \tag{3:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
Z

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{a}=G\left(p_{a} \quad c_{A} p_{a} S\right) \\
& P_{a}^{y}=G\left(p_{a}+C_{A} p_{a} S\right) \\
& Q_{a}=G\left(p_{a} c_{A} p_{a} S\right) \\
& Q_{a}^{Y}=G\left(p_{a}+C_{A} p_{a} S\right) \tag{3:19}
\end{align*}
$$

## Explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} S=\frac{\dot{i}}{-} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}} @\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} @ H\right)\right] ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} S=\frac{\text { i }}{-} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}} @\left(@ H^{1}\right)\right] \tag{3:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can expand $H$ in term $s$ of $p_{a} ; p_{a}$ and reorder tem $s$ bringing the derivatives to the right end. In doing so we encounter the com m utator $\left[G \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{x})\right]$ which is singular, since the operators are at the sam e point. This can be evaluated as follow s. K eeping in $m$ ind the relation $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}$ w hich is required by G auss law,

Z

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[G(x ; z) p_{a}(z) ; K_{a b}(x)\right] } & \left.=G(x ; z) K_{a c}(z) p_{c}(z) ; K_{a b}(x)\right] \\
& =Z^{z}(x ; z) f_{c b m} K_{a c}(z) K_{a m}(x) \quad(x ; z) \\
& ={Z^{z}}^{f_{c b m} G(x ; z) \quad c m \quad\left(K^{T} @ K\right)_{m c}(x \quad z)+::: \quad(x ; z)} \\
& =i \frac{C_{A}}{}\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{b}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x ; z)$ is a regularization of ${ }^{(2)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & x)\end{array}\right.$; it can be considered as a narrow $G$ aussian function of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & x\end{array}\right)$. Since it has support only for $z \quad x$, we have expanded $K_{a c}(z)$ around $x . T$ he result $(3,21)$ then follow $s$ in the lim it $(z ; x)!{ }^{(2)}(z \quad x)$. This evaluation of the com m utator can also be checked w ith a P auli-V illars regulator for the $G$ reen's functions in (3.18) or (3 21) as well as against the operator equations of motion. U sing (3 20), we m ay w rite this result as

Z $G(x ; z) p_{a}(z) K_{a b}(x)=K_{a b}(x) G(x ; z) p_{a}(z) \quad 2 C_{A} K_{a b}(x)\left(G p_{a}\right) S$
$W$ ith this result and a sim ilar one for $(G p)_{a} K{ }_{a b}^{T}$ we get for the kinetic energy operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T={\frac{e^{2}}{2}}^{Z} K_{a b Q_{a} P_{b}} \tag{3:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have $m$ ade transform ations and reductions of variables in the $H$ am iltonian fram $e^{-}$ work and since som e of these involve singular products, a useful conistency check is the P oincare invariance of the theory. W e have explicitly checked that the generators

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =Z d^{2} \times T^{0} \\
J & =d^{2} \times\left(x T^{0} \quad x T^{0}\right) \tag{3:24}
\end{align*}
$$

do satisfy the P oincare algebra, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{rllllllll}
\mathbb{P} & ; & P & ] & =0 & & & & \\
i \mathbb{P} & ; J & ]=g & P & g & P & & & \\
i[J & ; & J & ]=g & J & g & J & +g & J \tag{3:25}
\end{array} \quad g \quad J .
$$

In our case, the densities for the energy and $m$ om entum are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.T^{00}(x)=\frac{e^{2}}{4} \mathbb{P}_{a}^{y}(x) K_{a b}(x) P_{b}(x)+Q_{a}^{y}(x) K_{b a}(x) Q_{b}(x)\right]+\frac{2}{e^{2}} @\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a} @\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a} \\
& T^{0 z}(x)=\frac{1}{4} @\left(@ H H^{1}\right)_{a}\left(P_{a}^{y}+Q_{a}\right)+\left(P_{a}^{y}+Q_{a}\right) @\left(@ H^{1}\right)_{a} \\
& T^{0 z}(x)=\frac{1}{4} @\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a}\left(P_{a}+Q_{a}^{y}\right)+\left(P_{a}+Q_{a}^{y}\right) @\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a} \tag{3:26}
\end{align*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to verify that $P^{i}$ and $J^{12}$ are the generators of translations and rotation respectively, nam ely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\mathrm{i} @_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})} \\
& {\left[(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{J}^{12}\right]=\left[\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} @_{2} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} @_{1}\right)\right](\mathrm{x})} \tag{3:27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x)$ denotes any function of the elds of the theory. $S$ is the spin carried by ( $x$ ); for example $S_{P y}=S_{Q}=1 ; S_{P}=S_{Q y}=1 ; S_{K_{a b}}=0$.

G iven eq. (3.27), along with the fact that $\mathrm{T}^{00}$ has no explicit coordinate dependence, the other necessary and su cient condition for P oincare invariance is the D irac-Schw inger condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T^{00}(x) ; T^{00}(y)\right]=i\left(T^{0 i}(x)+T^{0 i}(y)\right) @_{x}^{i} \quad(x \quad y) \tag{3:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have explicitly checked eqs. $(327,328)$ for the operators $(3.24,326)$ of the reduced theory. The com $m$ utation rules for the operators $P_{a} ; Q_{a} ; P_{a}^{y} ; Q \frac{y}{a}$, usefiul for checking these equations, can be worked out from (2.11) and are the follow ing.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{y})\right]=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{abc}} G(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{y}) \\
& \left.\mathbb{P}_{a}^{y}(x) ; P_{b}^{Y}(y)\right]=f_{a b c} G(x ; y) P_{c}^{y}(x) \quad P_{c}^{y}(y) \\
& \left.\mathbb{P}_{a}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{y})\right]=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}}{} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{ba}}(\mathrm{x})^{(2)}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y}) \\
& \left.Q_{a}(x) ; Q_{b}(y)\right]=f_{a b c} G(x ; y) Q_{c}(x) \quad Q_{c}(y) \\
& \left.Q \underset{a}{y}(x) ; Q_{b}^{y}(y)\right]=\quad f_{a b c} G(x ; y) Q \underset{c}{y}(x) \quad Q_{c}^{y}(y) \\
& \left.Q_{a}(x) ; Q_{b}^{y}(y)\right]=\frac{C_{A}}{} K_{a b}(x)^{(2)}(x \quad y) \\
& \left.\left.\mathbb{P}_{a}(x) ; Q_{b}(y)\right]=\mathbb{P}_{a}^{y}(x) ; Q_{b}^{y}(y)\right]=0 \\
& \left.\mathbb{P}_{a}(x) ; Q_{b}^{y}(y)\right]=\quad C_{A}{ }_{a b} @_{y} G(x ; y)+f_{a b c} G(x ; y) Q_{c}^{y}(y) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& \left.\mathbb{P}_{a}^{Y}(x) ; Q_{b}(y)\right]=\frac{C_{A}}{a b @_{y} G(x ; y) \quad f_{a b c} G(x ; y) Q_{c}(y), ~(x)} \\
& +f_{a b c} G(x ; y) P{ }_{c}^{y}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. E igen states of the $k$ inetic energy

The total volum e of the space $C$ of gauge-invariant con gurations is given by $V=Z$, where

$$
Z={ }^{Z} d(H) e^{2 C_{A} S}
$$

$Z$ is the partition function on the $R$ iem ann sphere of $G^{C}=G-W Z W$ model. This partition function is nite, $m$ odulo regularization of the Laplacian @@, and can be written as [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{\left(\operatorname{det}^{0} @ @\right)}{d^{2} x} \quad \operatorname{dim} G \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives $\mathrm{V}=1$. For an Abelian theory w th $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=0, \mathrm{Z}$ is in nite. (If we make a mode decom position of $H$ or ${ }^{\text {a }}$ over the eigenm odes of the Laplacian @@, the integration over the am plitude of each $m$ ode is nite for the non-A belian case because of the exponential; the divergence arises from the in nity ofm odes and can be regularized by truncation to a nite num ber ofm odes. For the A belian case, the integration for each $m$ ode is divergent.) The niteness of $Z$, albeit $m$ odulo regularization, suggests that the Laplace operator on $C$ should have a $m$ ass gap. Thus the diagonalization of the kinetic energy operator $T$ by itself could give us som e insight into the origin of the m ass gap. The potential term V involves $m$ ore derivatives and inverse powers of $e^{2}$ and for states for which the $m$ om enta are $s m$ all com pared to $e^{2} c_{A}$, we $m$ ay expect that it can be treated as a perturbation. Of course, neglecting gradient term $s$ and $m$ aking such an ultralocal' approxim ation [3] will be consistent only if we already have a m ass term or, in our case, if the kinetic term by itself leads to a m ass gap of order $e^{2} c_{A}$.

For the purpose of looking for eigenstates, it is actually convenient to go back to the wavefiunctions rather than the rede ned wavefiunctions. As an operator on 's, the kinetic energy can be w ritten, taking account of (3.22), as

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\frac{e^{2}}{2} e^{2 c_{A} s}\left(G p_{a}\right) K_{a b} e^{2 C_{A} S}\left(G p_{b}\right)  \tag{4:3}\\
& =\frac{e^{2}}{2} K_{a b}\left(G p_{a}\right)\left(G p_{b}\right) \tag{4:4}
\end{align*}
$$

The inner product is given by (3.11). T is a m anifestly positive operator and its ground state or vacuum state is de ned by $\mathrm{T} j \mathrm{ji}=0$. The solution of this condition is $0=$ constant, say N . This m ay seem som ew hat trivial; the key point, however, is that this function is nom alizable w ith appropriate regularizations. The norm alization integral is given by V in (4.1). W e can choose N to obtain $\mathrm{h} 0 \mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i}=1$; w ith the regularization as in $(4.1,4.2), \mathrm{N}=1$.

T hem atrix elem ents of the operators and the nom alization integrals forw avefiunctions are given by expectation values or correlation functions of the herm itian $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{W} \mathrm{ZW}$ $m$ odel. These can be obtained by analytic continuation of the correlation functions of the corresponding unitary W ZW m odel [9]. The G ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{G}$-analogues of the level num ber k and the renom alized level $=k+C_{A}$ of the unitary $W$ ZW model are ( $k+2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) and
$\left(k+c_{A}\right)=$. The correlators can be obtained by the continuation ! . In our case, since we have only $e^{2 C_{A} S}$, rather than $e^{\left(k+2 C_{A}\right) s}$, we m ust also take $k!0$. In the unitary case, correlators involving prim ary elds belonging to the nonintegrable representations of the current algebra vanish. The corresponding statem ent for the herm itian m odel is that such correlators becom e in nite or unde ned [9,10]. In our case, since $k!0$, only the identity and its current algebra descendents have well-de ned correlators. (T he divergence of correlators of other operators has to do with k! 0, not coincidence of argum ents.) We $m$ ust thus conclude that the wavefinctions for the higher states can be taken as functions of the current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a}=\underline{C_{A}}\left(@ H^{1}\right)_{a} \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argum ents given above are the conform al eld-theoretic reason for the currents being the quantities of interest. T he result, how ever, is not surprising since the W ilson loop operator can be w ritten in term sof H as

I

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(C)=\operatorname{Tr} P \exp \quad d z @ H H^{1} \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $W$ ilson loop operators form a com plete set and hence the currents $J_{a}$ should su ce to generate the gauge-invariant states.

C onsider now a wavefiunction

$$
{ }_{a}=J_{a}(x) f(x)
$$

for som e c-num ber function $f(x)$. From (4.3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{a}={\frac{e^{2}}{2}}^{Z} e^{2 C_{A} S}\left(G p_{m}\right) K_{m n} e^{2 C_{A} S}\left(G p_{n}\right) \quad a \\
& =i^{\frac{e^{2} C_{A}}{2}}{ }_{Z^{z ; x}} e^{2 c_{A} S}\left(G p_{m}\right)(z) K_{m n}(z) e^{2 C_{A} S} K_{a n}(x) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & x) f(x)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =i \frac{e^{2} C_{A}}{2} \quad e^{2 C_{A} S}\left(G p_{a}\right)(x) e^{2 C_{A} S} f(x) \\
& =\frac{e^{2} c_{A}}{2} \quad a=m \quad a
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=e^{2} c_{A}=2$. This show sthat $J_{a} e$ ectively behaves as an eigenfunction of eigenvalue $m$. The result (4.8) can also be obtained from the version (4.4) of $T$ using the
relation

$$
\left.\mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{z})\left(\mathrm{G} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)(\mathrm{z}) ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{x})\right] \dot{j}!\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{i} J_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})
$$

O f course, $J_{a}$ by itself is not an acceptable eigenfunction since it does not have invariance under H ! VHV.We can construct eigenfunctions with this invariance by taking products of J's. The state

$$
\left.2(J)=Z_{a}(x) J_{a}(y) f(x ; y)+\frac{C_{A}}{2} \frac{d i m G}{(x \quad y)^{2}} f(x ; y)\right]
$$

is orthogonal to the ground state and obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad 2(\mathrm{~J})=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 2(\mathrm{~J}) \tag{4:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This state has invariance under H ! VH V for constant V;V. W avefunctions which have invariance for all (local) $V(z) ; V(z)$ can be obtained by taking appropriate lim it; for exam ple $f(x ; y)=@_{x} @_{y}[(x \quad y) f(x)]$ would lead to $@ J_{a}(x) @ J_{a}(y)$ which is invariant under $H \quad!V(z) H V(z)$. G iven the result (4.8), it is clear that one can construct higher eigenfunctions by proper orthogonalizations. P arity transform sof these states can also be obtained by using $J_{a}=\left(C_{A}=\right)\left(H^{1} @ H\right)_{a}$. Each $J_{a}$ carries a spin of +1 , while $J_{a}$ has a spin of 1 . The nom alization integral for these states $w i l l$ involve the current correlators of the $G^{C}=G$ WW ZW m odel and hence we expect the states to be norm alizable ( w ith suitable regularization, as is standard for states in eld theory).
$T$ he requirem ent that w e have eigenstates does not constrain the functions $f(x) ; f(x ; y)$, apart from norm alization; we have an eigenstate for any $f$. Thus the states are in nitely degenerate. This degeneracy willbe lifted by the inclusion of the potential term ; the e ects of the potential should be calculated using degenerate-state perturbation theory.

## 5. D iscussion

W e have used the param etrization of the gauge potential $A_{z}=@ M M^{1}$, where $M$ is an $S L(\mathbb{N} ; C)$-m atrix, to work out the $H$ am ittonian form ulation of an $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge theory in two spatialdim ensions. Them atrix eld $M$ is not gauge-invariant, but the theory can be written in term s of $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ which is gauge-invariant. The herm itian m atrix eld $H$ can thus be taken as the basic eld variable of the theory. T he sim plicity of the
param etrization we use is in the fact that the relevant Jacobian for the change of variables is easily evaluated in term $s$ of the $W$ ZW -action for the $m$ atrix eld $H$. The volume of the con guration space is then given by the partition function for the two-dim ensional W ZW theory for H. W ithin the standard requirem ents of regularization (such as lim iting to a large but nite number of $m$ odes), the volum e is then nite; this is in contrast to an A belian theory where the volum e so de ned would be in nite. This ' niteness' of the non-A belian case is very suggestive and is presum ably related to the $m$ ass gap, especially in view of intuitive argum ents outlined in the introduction.

W e have also obtained the Poincare generators and done a direct check of Poincare invariance w thin our param etrization. The kinetic energy tem of the H am iltonian is related to the Laplace operator on the con guration space. If the ' niteness' of the volum e of the con guration space is the reason for the $m$ ass gap, one would expect to see it already at the level of the spectrum of the kinetic term. T he kinetic energy operator is especially sim ple in our param etrization of elds (see eq.(3.18) or (4.6)). T he ground state wave function for the kinetic operator is given by a constant and is norm alizable w ith the gauge-invariant m easure. W e have obtained som e excited states which show a discrete spectrum, $w$ th a gap $m=\left(e^{2} c_{A}=2\right)$. The excited states are in nitely degenerate, as should be expected, since they are eigenstates of only the kinetic operator; the degeneracy will be lifted by the inclusion of the potential term . Eventhough the H am iltonian has a sim ple structure, clearly we do not yet have a system atic calculational schem e. T he proper inclusion of the e ects of the potentialterm and the fullconstruction of the eigenstates are questions which have to be addressed before $m$ eaningfiul calculations can be attem pted. $T$ hese issues are under investigation.
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## A ppendix

In param etrizing the elds as in eq.(2.4) and using the gauge-invariant variable H , one of the potential di culties that one $m$ ay worry about is the question of coordinate singularities. The physicalcon guration space C is the space of gauge potentials A m odulo G , the latter being the set of gauge transform ations which go to the identity at spatial in nity. A, considered as a G foundle over C is nontrivial. T hus one cannot choose global sections; this is the well known G ribov problem [13]. T he space $C$ is topologically and geom etrically nontrivial.

The simplest way to see the nontriviality of A as a G -bundle is to consider the hom otopy groups. Since A is hom otopically trivial, if we show that C has nontrivial hom otopy groups, it is clear that A cannot be written as a product C G . Since ${ }_{1}$ (G )
$3(G)=Z$, it is easily checked that $\quad 2(C)=Z$. This im plies that there are noncontractible closed two-surfaces in C. This is the sim plest obstruction to the triviality of the bundle [13]. The existence of topologically nontrivial stnuctures in the con guration space im plies that any gauge-invariant param etrization of the elds or choice of coordinates on C will necessarily have coordinate singularities [14]. W e m ay hope to gain som e understanding of the im portance of the coordinate singularities by studying con gurations which form a noncontractible tw o-surface.

It is not too di cult to construct a set of con gurationswhich form a noncontractible tw o-surface since they are related to the instanton of the four-dim ensional gauge theory. $T$ his can be seen as follow s. In addition to 2 (C) being nontrivial, the second cohom ology group of C is nontrivial as well. In other words, there is a closed but not exact tw o-form on C. In term s of the potentials, a representative of this can be written as

$$
=\frac{1}{4}^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & A \tag{A:1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The integral of over the closed noncontractible tw o-surface in C gives a w inding num ber
by ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}=2$. The two-surface in C along w ith the tw o-dim ensional spatialm anifold gives a four-dim ensional space and is the instanton num ber on this space [15]. Speci cally,

$$
={\frac{1}{8^{2}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{\sim} F^{N}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{F}^{r}=(\mathrm{d}+\quad) \mathbb{A}^{\Upsilon}+\mathbb{A}^{\Upsilon} A^{\Upsilon}$. H ere $\mathbb{A}^{\Upsilon}$ is the four-dim ensional gauge potential; it is constructed from the two-dim ensional potential $A$ as $\AA=A+C_{w} d w+C_{w} d w$ and $=d w @_{w}+d w @_{w}$. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$ are given in term s of M and $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{w}=@_{w} M^{M}{ }^{1} ; \quad C_{w}=\left(M^{y}\right)^{1} @_{W} M^{y} \tag{A:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term s of these variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{Tr} @\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} @ H\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)+@\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} @ H\right) \tag{A:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can exploit the connection outlined above betw een the two-form on C and the instanton num ber to construct an exam ple of the noncontractible tw o-surface of con gurations. $T$ he standard instanton in $R^{4}$ [16] can be rew ritten using com plex coordinates and interpreting one pair of com plex coordinates as intemal coordinates, viz., as param etrizing the tw o-surface in C, we can get a set of con gurations of interest. Explicitly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\exp \left(2 f J^{3}\right)=\cosh 2 f+J^{3} \sinh 2 f \tag{A:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $J^{3}=n ;{ }^{a} ; a=1 ; 2 ; 3$, are the $P$ aulim atrioes and the unit vector $n^{a}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{a}=\frac{1}{(z z+w w)}(z w+w z ; i(w z \quad z w) ; z z \quad w w) \tag{A:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A lso

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{zz}+\mathrm{ww}+{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{zz}+\mathrm{ww}} \tag{A:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a scale param eter and ( w ; w ) param etrize the two-surface in C. It is easily veri ed that $=^{R}=2$ is equal to 3 for this set of con gurations and hence eq.(A .5) gives a noncontractible two-surface in C. As zz ! 1 ; H ! 1. For alm ost all w;w, H is nonsingular; how ever, the con guration at $w=0$ has a singularity at the spatialpoint $z=$ 0 . O ne can shift the position of this singularity by transform ations of the type $H$ ! VHV, where $V$ is holom orphic in $z . N$ onsingular con gurations are given by nonsingular form ulae for $H$ in di erent coordinate patches w ith transition relations given by transform ations of this type. Since the singularity in our example is at a point, viz., at w $=0$, even ifwe sim ply use the form ulae (A.5-7) w ith the coordinate singularity, the e ect on the quantum wave
functions is minim al; one can see this explictly by constructing wave functions (w ; w ) for the reduced set ofcon gurations (A.5). W e can also consider the e ect on the vacuum wave function 0 . The W ZW -action is invariant under transform ations of the type H ! VHV and we therefore do not expect any pathology for the w ave function. Explicitly, for the set of con gurations (A.5), the W ZW -action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(H)=\frac{5^{2}+4 w w}{w w+2} \quad \frac{3^{2}+4 w w}{2} \log \frac{2+w w}{w w} \tag{A::}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $\mathrm{w}!0,0=\exp \left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{S}\right)$ vanishes as $(\mathrm{ww})^{3 C_{A}}$. T he coordinate singularity does not lead to di culties, at least for this case, and a nonsingular description is not essential.
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