

Spontaneous Breaking of $N = 2$ Global Supersymmetry*

I. Antoniadis^a, H. Partouche^a and T.R. Taylor^{b,c}

^a*Centre de Physique Théorique, Ecole Polytechnique,† F-91128 Palaiseau, France*

^b*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure,‡
24, rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris, France*

^c*Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, U.S.A.*

Abstract

We study spontaneous supersymmetry breaking in $N = 2$ globally supersymmetric theories describing a system of abelian vector multiplets. We find that the most general form of the action admits, in addition to the usual Fayet-Iliopoulos term, a magnetic Fayet-Iliopoulos term for the auxiliary components of dual vector multiplets. In a generic case, $N = 2$ supersymmetry is broken down spontaneously to $N = 1$. In some cases however, the scalar potential can drive the theory towards a $N = 2$ supersymmetric ground state where massless dyons condense in the vacuum.

*Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-93-06906, in part by the EEC contracts SC1-CT92-0792 and CHRX-CT93-0340, and in part by CNRS-NSF grant INT-92-16146.

†Laboratoire Propre du CNRS UPR A.0014.

‡Unité Propre du CNRS, associée à l'Ecole Normale Supérieure et à l'Université de Paris-Sud.

The framework of globally supersymmetric field theories is highly restrictive, allowing very few mechanisms for spontaneous supersymmetry breaking. In $N = 1$ supersymmetric theories there are basically two types of breaking: F-type and D-type, with the auxiliary components of chiral and vector superfields, respectively, acquiring non-vanishing vacuum expectation values (VEVs). F-type breaking is usually induced by a non-trivial superpotential while D-type breaking is generically due to the presence of a Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term associated with a $U(1)$ factor in the gauge group.¹

$N = 2$ supersymmetry is even more restrictive, with only one mechanism known up to date to break it, based on $N = 1$ Fayet-Iliopoulos term [2]. It can be realized in the presence of a $N = 2$ vector multiplet associated to an abelian gauge group factor. Decomposed under $N = 1$ supersymmetry, such a multiplet contains one vector and one chiral multiplet. A FI term is also equivalent to a superpotential which is linear in the chiral superfield. No other superpotential seemed to be allowed for chiral components of $N = 2$ vector multiplets.

Recently there has been revived interest in $N = 2$ supersymmetry, in particular in the effective actions describing non-perturbative dynamics of non-abelian gauge theories. In general, these theories exist only in the Coulomb phase, with a number of abelian vector multiplets and possibly hypermultiplets, and their low energy effective actions can be determined exactly by using the underlying duality symmetries [3].

In this work, we study $N = 2$ supersymmetric actions describing a system of abelian vector multiplets. Since we are interested in these theories viewed as low-energy realizations of some more complicated physical systems, we do not impose the renormalizability requirement and consider the most general form of the Lagrangian. We find that $N = 2$ supersymmetry admits also another form of the superpotential which can be interpreted as a Fayet-Iliopoulos term for a “magnetic” $U(1)$. A non-trivial potential can then be generated for the scalar fields. As a result, we find a novel mechanism for $N = 2$ super-

¹For a recent review, see [1].

symmetry breaking. Even more surprisingly, we find that $N = 2$ supersymmetry can be broken *partially* to $N = 1$ already at the global level.²

The basic points of our analysis can be explained on the simplest example of $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory with one abelian vector multiplet A which contains besides the $N = 1$ gauge multiplet $(\mathcal{A}_\mu, \lambda)$ a neutral chiral superfield (a, χ) . For the sake of clarity, we begin with $N = 1$ superfield description and rederive our results later on by using the full $N = 2$ formalism. In the absence of superpotential and FI term, the most general Lagrangian describing this theory is determined by the analytic prepotential $\mathcal{F}(A)$, in terms of which the Kähler potential K and the gauge kinetic function f are given by:

$$K(a, \bar{a}) = \frac{i}{2}(a\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{a}} - \bar{a}\mathcal{F}_a) \quad f(a) = -i\mathcal{F}_{aa} , \quad (1)$$

where the a and \bar{a} subscripts denote derivatives with respect to a and \bar{a} , respectively. In $N = 1$ superspace, the Lagrangian is written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta f \mathcal{W}^2 + c.c. + \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} K \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{W} is the standard gauge field strength superfield.³

The Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 can be supplemented by a FI term which is linear in the auxiliary D component of the gauge vector multiplet:

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \sqrt{2}\xi D , \quad (3)$$

with ξ a real constant. It is well known that such a term preserves also $N = 2$ supersymmetry [2].

The Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 can also be supplemented by a superpotential term

$$\mathcal{L}_W = \int d^2\theta W + c.c. \quad (4)$$

²It has been shown recently that partial breaking may occur in the framework of *local* supersymmetry [4].

³We use the conventions of ref.[5].

In order to determine what form of the superpotential is compatible with $N = 2$ supersymmetry we will impose the constraint that the full Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}_W , \quad (5)$$

be invariant under the exchange of the gaugino λ with the fermion χ . This condition is necessary for the global $SU(2)$ symmetry under which $(\chi, \lambda) \equiv (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ transforms as a doublet. It is easy to see that it is satisfied provided that the $\lambda\lambda$ and $\chi\chi$ mass terms are equal. It follows that

$$W_{aa} + i\frac{\tau_a}{2\tau_2}W_a = i\frac{\tau_a}{2\tau_2}\overline{W}_{\bar{a}} , \quad (6)$$

where we defined $\tau \equiv \mathcal{F}_{aa} = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$. The left- and the right-hand sides of the above equation correspond to the χ and λ mass terms, respectively. Its general solution is:

$$W = ea + m\mathcal{F}_a , \quad (7)$$

up to an irrelevant additive constant. Here e and m are arbitrary real numbers. For $m = 0$ the above superpotential is equivalent to a FI term (3) with $\xi = e$ [2].

After eliminating the auxiliary fields, $\mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}_W$ gives rise to only two modifications in the original Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 . It induces the fermion mass terms mentioned before, $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_{ij}\lambda_i\lambda_j$, with

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{i}{2}\tau_a \begin{pmatrix} e + m\bar{\tau} & i\xi \\ i\xi & e + m\bar{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and the scalar potential

$$V_{N=1} = \frac{|e + m\tau|^2 + \xi^2}{\tau_2} . \quad (9)$$

In order to prove that the full Lagrangian (5) is indeed invariant under $N = 2$ supersymmetry, we will rederive it by using the $N = 2$ superspace formalism. In this formalism, $N = 2$ vector multiplets are described by reduced chiral superfields. The reducing constraint [6]

$$(\epsilon_{ij}D^i\sigma_{\mu\nu}D^j)^2A = -96\Box A^* \quad (10)$$

eliminates unwanted degrees of freedom, in particular by imposing the Bianchi identity for the gauge field strength. In terms of the reduced chiral superfield A , the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 can then be written as $\frac{i}{4} \int d^2\theta_1 d^2\theta_2 \mathcal{F}(A) + c.c.$ \mathcal{L}_0 can also be written in terms of an *unconstrained* superfield A as:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{i}{4} \int d^2\theta_1 d^2\theta_2 [\mathcal{F}(A) - A_D A] + c.c. \quad (11)$$

where A_D is reduced superfield which plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier. Its equation of motion imposes the reducing constraint on A . A_D can also be identified with the dual superfield; the standard duality transformation amounts to rewriting \mathcal{L}_0 in terms of A_D after eliminating A with the use of its equations of motion, $A_D = \mathcal{F}_A$.

As in the $N = 1$ case \mathcal{L}_0 can be supplemented with a Fayet-Iliopoulos term, linear in the auxiliary components of A . $N = 2$ auxiliary fields form an $SU(2)$ triplet \vec{Y} with components Y_n , $n = 1, 2, 3$. For a reduced superfield, Y_n are real and can be identified with the $N = 1$ auxiliary components F and D as follows:

$$Y_1 + iY_2 = 2iF \quad Y_3 = \sqrt{2}D. \quad (12)$$

Under $N = 2$ supersymmetry transformations, these auxiliary fields transform into total derivatives. Hence, a term linear in \vec{Y} can be added to the action:

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{1}{2} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{Y} + c.c. , \quad (13)$$

where E_n are arbitrary parameters which can be chosen to be real since their imaginary parts drop from the action. Furthermore, using the global $SU(2)$ symmetry one can choose \vec{E} to point in any direction. For instance, by choosing $E_1 = E_2 = 0$, \mathcal{L}_D becomes equivalent to (3) with $\xi = E_3$, while for $E_1 = E_3 = 0$, \mathcal{L}_D is equivalent to a $N = 1$ superpotential (7) with $e = E_2$ and $m = 0$.

A FI term can also be introduced for the auxiliary component \vec{Y}_D of the dual superfield A_D , see eq.(11):

$$\mathcal{L}'_D = \frac{1}{2} \vec{M} \cdot \vec{Y}_D + c.c. , \quad (14)$$

with arbitrary real M_n . The full Lagrangian becomes:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}'_D = \frac{i}{4} \int d^2\theta_1 d^2\theta_2 [\mathcal{F}(A) - A_D A] + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{Y} + \vec{M} \cdot \vec{Y}_D) + c.c. \quad (15)$$

Note that the presence of \mathcal{L}'_D affects the equation of motion with respect to the auxiliary component of the Lagrange multiplier \vec{Y}_D . As a result, the auxiliary component \vec{Y} is no longer constrained to be real and acquires a constant imaginary part, $\text{Im}\vec{Y} = 2\vec{M}$. Hence the full action depends on both the real and the imaginary part of \vec{E} . Together with the real \vec{M} we have now 9 real parameters.

In order to make contact with the $N = 1$ Lagrangian (5), we perform an $SU(2)$ transformation which brings the parameters \vec{M} and $\text{Re}\vec{E}$ into the form

$$\vec{M} = (0 \quad m \quad 0) \quad \text{Re}\vec{E} = (0 \quad e \quad \xi) . \quad (16)$$

It is now straightforward to show that after elimination of auxiliary fields the $N = 2$ Lagrangian (15) coincides with (5) up to an additive field-independent constant. Indeed, the scalar potential is given by:

$$V = \frac{|\text{Re}\vec{E} + \vec{M}\tau|^2}{\tau_2} + 2\vec{M} \cdot \text{Im}\vec{E} = V_{N=1} + 2mp , \quad (17)$$

where $p \equiv \text{Im}E_2$.

From the above discussion it is clear that the D-term (14) involving the parameter \vec{M} corresponds to a Fayet-Iliopoulos term for the dual magnetic $U(1)$ gauge field. It can be obtained from the standard electric D-term (13) by a duality transformation $A \rightarrow A_D$. Indeed, by performing a symplectic $Sp(2, R) \simeq SL(2, R)$ change of basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_a \\ a \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_a \\ a \end{pmatrix} \quad \tau \rightarrow \frac{\alpha\tau + \beta}{\gamma\tau + \delta} \quad (18)$$

with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$, one obtains from (15) the same form of Lagrangian with new parameters \vec{M}' and \vec{E}' given by

$$(\vec{M}' \quad \text{Re}\vec{E}') = (\vec{M} \quad \text{Re}\vec{E}) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Im}\vec{E}' = \frac{\vec{M} \cdot \text{Im}\vec{E}}{M'^2} \vec{M}' . \quad (19)$$

In the $SU(2)$ “gauge” of eq.(16), this corresponds to

$$m' = [(\alpha m + \gamma e)^2 + \gamma^2 \xi^2]^{1/2} \quad e' = \frac{(\alpha m + \gamma e)(\beta m + \delta e) + \gamma \delta \xi^2}{m'} \quad \xi' = \frac{\xi m}{m'} \quad p' = \frac{p m}{m'}. \quad (20)$$

We now turn to the minimization of the scalar potential (17). It has obviously a stationary point at $\tau_a = 0$. However, this is in general a saddle point since $V_{a\bar{a}} = 0$.⁴

For $m \neq 0$, a stable minimum exists at⁵

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{e}{m} \quad \tau_2 = \frac{\xi}{m}, \quad (21)$$

with the minimum value $V = 2m(\xi + p)$. In this vacuum, the complex scalar a acquires the mass $\mathcal{M}_a = m|\tau_a|$. After diagonalizing the fermion mass matrix (8) we find one massless fermion $(\chi - \lambda)/\sqrt{2}$, and one massive spinor $(\chi + \lambda)/\sqrt{2}$, with the Majorana mass \mathcal{M}_a equal to the scalar mass. This degeneracy is not accidental. As we explain below, the vacuum (21) preserves $N = 1$ supersymmetry, and the spectrum consists of one massless vector and one massive chiral multiplets.

In order to discuss supersymmetry breaking, it is sufficient to examine the auxiliary field dependence of fermion transformations under $N = 2$ supersymmetry:

$$\delta \lambda_i = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} Y_n \epsilon_{ij} (\sigma^n)_k^j \eta^k + \dots \quad (22)$$

where σ^n are the Pauli matrices and the spinors η^k , $k = 1, 2$, are the transformation parameters. As we have shown before, the effect of the magnetic FI term (14), after elimination of the Lagrange multiplier, amounts to introducing a constant imaginary part for \vec{Y} . In fact, the reducing constraint for $N = 2$ chiral superfields (10) implies $\square \vec{Y} = \square \vec{Y}^*$, which leaves precisely the same freedom. This constant, $\text{Im} \vec{Y} = 2\vec{M}$, enters into the supersymmetry transformations (22) implying that generically both supersymmetries are

⁴ A possible exception could arise in an unlikely case of an essential zero of τ_a at this point.

⁵ Without losing generality we can choose $m, \xi \geq 0$.

realized in a spontaneously broken mode. However, at the minimum (21) the real part of \vec{Y} acquires an expectation value, so that:

$$\vec{Y} = -\frac{2}{\tau_2}(\text{Re}\vec{E} + \vec{M}\tau_1) + 2i\vec{M} = 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

As a result,

$$\delta\frac{\chi + \lambda}{\sqrt{2}} = 0 \quad \delta\frac{\chi - \lambda}{\sqrt{2}} = -2im(\eta^1 - \eta^2) \quad (24)$$

which shows that one supersymmetry, corresponding to the diagonal combination of the two, is preserved while the other one is spontaneously broken. The massless goldstino is identified as $(\chi - \lambda)/\sqrt{2}$, in agreement with the spectrum found before.

The presence of the magnetic FI term in (15) introduces into the Lagrangian one additional parameter besides m , $p = \text{Im}E_2$, which enters only as an additive constant in the scalar potential (17). From the above analysis it is natural to choose $p = -\xi$ so that the potential vanishes at the $N = 1$ supersymmetric minimum (21). Note that this is consistent with the symplectic transformations (20). The ‘‘cosmological’’ constant p could play important role once the theory is coupled to gravity.

For $\xi = 0$ the minimum (21) occurs at a point where the metric τ_2 vanishes. This can happen either at ‘‘infinity’’ of the a -space or at finite singular points where massless particles appear. The quantum numbers of such states, including electric and magnetic charges, as well as quantization conditions, depend on details of the underlying theory. Its dynamics determines also the non-perturbative symmetries which form a (discrete) subgroup of $Sp(2, R)$. These states cannot be vector multiplets since unbroken non-abelian gauge group is incompatible with FI terms. Hence we assume that they are BPS-like dyons which form $N = 2$ hypermultiplets and that the minimization condition (21) defines a point $a = a_0$ where one of these hypermultiplets becomes massless. This can happen only if the parameters (m, e) are proportional to its magnetic and electric charges (m_0, e_0) , $(m, e) = c(m_0, e_0)$. In order to analyze the behavior of the theory near a_0 , one has to include the massless hypermultiplet in the effective field theory as a new degree of freedom.

This can be done by performing the duality transformation $A \rightarrow \tilde{A} = e_0 A + m_0 \mathcal{F}_A$, which makes possible local description of the dyon-gauge boson interactions. In $N = 1$ superspace the superpotential (7) becomes:

$$W = c\tilde{a} + \sqrt{2}\tilde{a}\phi^+\phi^- , \quad (25)$$

where ϕ^\pm are the two chiral superfield components of the hypermultiplet, and \tilde{a} is the chiral component of \tilde{A} .

The superpotential (25) describes $N = 2$ QED with a Fayet-Iliopoulos term proportional to c [2]. The minimization conditions of the respective potential are $W_{\phi^\pm} = 0$ which is automatically satisfied at $\tilde{a} = 0$ ($a = a_0$) and

$$W_{\tilde{a}} = c + \sqrt{2}\phi^+\phi^- = 0 , \quad \tilde{D} = 0 = |\phi^+|^2 - |\phi^-|^2 . \quad (26)$$

As a result the dyonic hypermultiplet condenses in a $N = 2$ supersymmetric vacuum. For instance if $e = 0$, the dyonic state is a pure monopole and the VEV of the scalar field a is driven to the point where the monopole becomes massless and acquires a non-vanishing expectation value. Its condensation breaks the magnetic $U(1)$ and imposes confinement of electric charges. This situation is similar to the case considered in ref.[3] in the context of $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills with an explicit mass term for chiral components of gauge multiplets which breaks $N = 2$ supersymmetry explicitly to $N = 1$.

For $m = 0$, the scalar potential (17) has a runaway behavior, $V \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This case is equivalent by a duality transformation to the the case $m \neq 0$, $\xi = 0$ discussed above. The runaway behavior can be avoided if there are singular points corresponding to massless electrically charged particles. At these points the metric τ_2 has a logarithmic singularity and the massless states have to be included explicitly in the low energy Lagrangian to avoid non-localities. A similar analysis of the effective theory shows that a is driven then to the points where the massless hypermultiplets get non-vanishing VEVs breaking the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry while $N = 2$ supersymmetry remains unbroken.

In the context of string theory, this phenomenon is similar to the effect induced by a generic superpotential near the conifold singularity of type II superstrings compactified on a Calabi-Yau manifold [7]. In this case, the massless hypermultiplets are black holes which condense at the conifold points. It has been shown recently that such a superpotential can be generated by a VEV of the 10-form which in four dimensions corresponds to a magnetic FI term, and that the black hole condensation at the conifold point leads to new $N = 2$ type II superstring vacua [8].

The generalization of the above analysis to the case of several abelian multiplets is straightforward. In addition to the usual “electric” FI term for a linear combination of D-terms one can add a “magnetic” FI term for a different combination. It is clear from our discussion in the framework of $N = 1$ supersymmetry that in a general $N = 2$ supersymmetric theory one can introduce three parameters m, e, ξ for each abelian vector multiplet. It is a very interesting question whether electric and magnetic Fayet-Iliopoulos terms described in this work can be generated dynamically, for instance by an underlying non-abelian gauge theory. It is clear that instantons do not generate them since they give rise only to correlation functions involving at least four fermions [9] whereas FI terms are associated with fermion bilinears (8). However, one cannot a priori exclude the existence of other non-perturbative effects, possibly related to gaugino condensation, which could generate this type of terms in the effective action.

Acknowledgments

We thank E. Cremmer, P. Fayet, S. Ferrara and J. Iliopoulos for useful conversations. I.A. acknowledges the Department of Physics at Northeastern University for its kind hospitality.

References

- [1] T.R. Taylor, hep-ph/9510281, to appear in the Proceedings of SUSY-95, Palaiseau, France, 15-19 May 1995.
- [2] P. Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B 113 (1976) 135.
- [3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 431 (1994) 484.
- [4] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, hep-th/9510074.
- [5] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity* (second edition, Princeton University Press, 1992).
- [6] R. Grimm, M. Sohnius and J. Wess, Nucl. Phys. B 133 (1978) 275; M. De Roo, J. W. Van Holten, B. De Wit and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B 173 (1980) 175.
- [7] A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B 451 (1995) 96.
- [8] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, hep-th/9510227.
- [9] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 75.