# A (1+1)-D im ensional Reduced M odel of M esons

F A ntonuccio and S D alley 2

 $^{1}$ T heoretical Physics 1 K eble Road, O xford, U .K .

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of Applied M aths and Theoretical Physics Silver Street, Cambridge, U.K.

#### A bstract

We propose an extension of 't Hooff's large-N  $_{\rm C}$  light-front QCD in two dimensions to include helicity and physical gluon degrees of freedom, modelled on a classical dimensional reduction of four dimensional QCD. A non-perturbative renormalisation of the in nite set of coupled integral equations describing boundstates is performed. These equations are then solved, both analytically in a phase space wavefunction approximation and numerically by discretising momenta, for (hybrid) meson masses and (polarized) parton structure functions.

## 1 Introduction

't Hooft suggested a two-dimensional model of mesons [1] which is a tractable non-abelian gauge theory sharing many qualitative properties with true QCD in four dimensions. The keys to its solution involved the use of the large  $N_c$  limit and light-front quantisation in light-front gauge. Since it is two dimensional, it contains no spin or physical gluons however; these are perhaps the least well-understood structure of true hadrons. One may retain a remnant of such transverse features, whilst keeping the kinematics 1+1-dimensional, by picking two (arbitrary) space dimensions  $x_2 = fx^2$ ;  $x^2g$  and considering only the zero modes

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{x}_2} \mathbf{A} = \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{x}_2} = \mathbf{0} \tag{1}$$

of the gauge and quark elds. Thism ay well be an appropriate approximation in some high energy scattering processes when transverse momenta are relatively damped. With a view to employing this fact, in this paper we construct the mesons appearing in a 1 + 1-dimensional reduced model specified by imposing (1) at the classical level, which will appear as asymptotic or exchanged states (in the Regge sense) for scattering processes in this model. These states need not be similar to the true hadrons involved in high energy scattering, since solving the boundstate problem for only co-linear ( $k_2 = 0$ ) quarks and gluons is not the same as solving the full boundstate problem and then going to a regime where  $k_2$  is relatively small. However, the results presented here and in ref.[2] encourage us that the hadrons reduced in this way share many qualitative properties with true hadrons, even those Lorentz-invariant ones for which  $k_2 = 0$  cannot be kinematically justified, and therefore are of interest in their own right.

In the large-N  $_{\rm C}$  light-front form alism the reduced hadrons satisfy in nite sets of coupled bound-state integral equations which, we argue, are rendered nite equation-by-equation by retaining parton self-energies. Solutions to the equations are obtained analytically in a phase space wave-function approximation and numerically by truncating and discretising them. These solutions yield both meson and hybrid meson masses, as well as (polarized) quark and gluon structure functions.

## 2 Dim ensional Reduction.

We start from SU ( $N_c$ ) gauge theory in 3+1-dim ensions (2 f0;1;2;3g) with one avour of quarks

$$S = {}^{Z} d^{4}x \frac{1}{4g^{2}} TrF F + i_{(4)} D m$$
 (2)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ W e have performed a detailed analysis of the corresponding pure glue states in a recent paper [2], building upon earlier work [3]. Similar work, with a truncated light-front Fock space, has recently appeared for N<sub>c</sub> = 3 [4]

in the Weyl representation

Im posing (1), one nds an electively two-dimensional gauge theory of adjoint scalars and fundamental Dirac spinors with action

$$S = \frac{z}{dx^{0}dx^{3}} \frac{1}{4g^{2}} Tr [F_{ab}F^{ab}] + \frac{i}{p} \frac{i}{2} (u_{(2)}^{a}D_{a}u + v_{(2)}^{a}D_{a}v) + \frac{m}{p} \frac{i}{2} (uv + vu)$$

$$+ Tr \frac{1}{2}D_{a} D^{a} \frac{tg^{2}}{4} [;] [;] + \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2}$$

$$\frac{sg}{2} (u(_{1} + i_{(2)}^{5})_{2})u v(_{1} i_{(2)}^{5})_{2})v) ; \qquad (4)$$

$$2^{1=4} \qquad dx^{1}dx^{2} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \\ u_{R+} & v_{R+} \\ u_{L} & C \\ u_{L} & C \\ u_{R} \end{cases}; u = \begin{cases} u_{L+} & v_{L+} \\ u_{R+} & v_{R+} \\ u_{R} & v_{R+} \end{cases}; v = \begin{cases} u_{L} & v_{L+} \\ u_{R} & v_{R+} \\ u_{R} & v_{R+} \end{cases}$$
(5)

The action (4) is a combination of gauged fundam ental [1] and adjoint matter [3] representations with further Yukawa [5, 6] and matrix scalar [7] interactions, each of which have been individually studied by light-front quantisation before. We therefore only sketch the construction of boundstate equations. These equations will be valid for the modes of the theory at  $N_c = 1$  with non-zero light-front momenta  $k^+ = (k^0 + k^3) = \frac{p}{2} \in 0$ . Zero  $k^+$  modes in reduced models have been discussed in refs.[4, 8] for example, and while there is no evidence that they a ect the spectrum in the two-dimensional large- $N_c$  theory, one should bear in mind their omission in this initial investigation.

In the naive light-front gauge  $A = (A_0 \ A_3) = 2 = 0$ , the elds  $A_+$  and  $u_L$  are non-propagating in light-front time  $x^+ = (x^0 + x^3) = 2$ , satisfying constraint equations

$$0 = i u_{L+} + \frac{m}{p} u_{R} \qquad sgB_{+} u_{R+}$$
 (6)

$$0 = iQ \ u_{L} + \frac{m}{p-2} u_{R+} + sgB \ u_{R}$$
 (7)

$$0 = e^2 A_+ g^2 J^+$$
 (8)

where the helicity elds and longitudinal momentum current are

$$B = (_{1} i_{2}) = 2$$
 (9)

$$J_{ij}^{+} = i \mathbb{B} ; @ B_{+} |_{ij} + i \mathbb{B}_{+}; @ B_{ij} + u_{R+i} u_{R+j} + u_{R-i} u_{R-j} :$$
 (10)

In eq (10) we have explicitly shown the colour indices i;  $j = 1; ...; N_c$ . E lim inating the constrained elds in favour of non-local instantaneous interactions gives the light-front energy and m om entum

$$P = \int_{0}^{z} dx \frac{tg^{2}}{2} (B_{+}ijB_{+}jkB_{kl}B_{li} B_{+}ijB_{jk}B_{kkl}B_{li}) \frac{g^{2}}{2} J_{ij}^{+} \frac{1}{e^{2}} J_{ji}^{+} + \frac{1}{2} m_{0}^{2} B_{+}ijB_{ji}$$

$$\frac{im^{2}}{2} u_{i} \frac{1}{e^{2}} u_{i} + u_{+i} \frac{1}{e^{2}} u_{+i} i_{0}^{2} u_{+i} B_{ij} \frac{1}{e^{2}} B_{+jk} u_{+k} + u_{i}^{2} B_{+ij} B_{jk} u_{k}$$

$$\frac{isgm}{P} (u_{+i} e^{-1}; B_{ij}) u_{j} + u_{i} B_{+ij}; e^{-1} u_{+j})$$

$$Z$$

$$(11)$$

$$P^{+} = dx 2e^{2} B_{+ij} B_{ij} B_{ij} + i(u_{+i} e^{2} u_{+i} + u_{i} e^{2} u_{i})$$

where the right-m over subscript R on u has been dropped. The fourier modes dened at  $x^+=0$  by  $x^2$ 

$$u_{i} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{2}} dk^{+} b_{i}(k^{+})e^{ik^{+}x} + d^{y}_{i}(k^{+})e^{ik^{+}x}$$
 (13)

$$B_{ij} = p \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dk^{+}}{2k^{+}} a_{ij}(k^{+}) e^{ik^{+}x} + a^{y}_{ji}(k^{+}) e^{ik^{+}x}$$
 (14)

satisfy

when the canonical equal-x relations hold

fu <sub>i</sub> (x ); u <sub>j</sub> (x )g = (x x ) <sub>ij</sub> ; [ <sub>ij</sub> (x ); @ 
$$_{k1}$$
(x )] =  $\frac{i}{2}$  (x x ) <sub>il jk</sub> ; (16)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> symboly is the quantum version of complex conjugate and does not act on colour indices.

where and 2 f+; g label helicity. The light-front Fock vacuum is well known to be trivial, satisfying:  $P^+:D>=P^-:D>=0$ , so Fock states built with the oscillators (15) have a direct partonic interpretation. In the large- $N_c$  lim it with  $g^2N_c$  xed, the nite solutions to the mass-shell condition  $M^2=2P^+P^-$  are formed from singlet linear combinations of the Fock states under residual x—independent gauge transform ations

$$= \frac{x^{1} - x^{2} - x^{2}}{\int_{n=2}^{n} dk_{1}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} : : : dk_{n}^{+} - k_{1}^{+} + k_{2}^{+} + \int_{n}^{+} k P^{+} dk_{1}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} : : : : k_{n}^{+} dk_{1}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{1}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} dk_{1}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} dk_{1}^{+} dk_{2}^{+} dk_{3}^{+} d$$

Only these singlets satisfy the quantum version of the zero mode of eq.(8),  $^{R}$  dx :  $J^{+}$  : = 0. They are also eigenstates of the total helicity

$$h = \int_{0}^{Z_{P}^{+}} dk^{+} \times sgn() \frac{1}{2} (b_{i}^{y}b_{i}(k^{+}) + d_{i}^{y}d_{i}(k^{+})) + a_{ij}^{y}a_{ij}(k^{+}) ;$$
 (18)

form ing degenerate pairs  $\ h$  when  $\ h \in \ 0$ , and total m om entum  $\ P^+$ . It remains therefore to  $\ nd$  the coe cients  $\ f$  which diagonalize  $\ P$ .

## 3 Boundstate Equations.

Substituting the mode expansions (13) (14) into P (11) (12) and discarding in nite additive constants but not quadratic terms resulting from normal ordering one nds

$$P^{+} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk \ k \ b^{y}_{i} b_{i}(k) + d^{y}_{i} d_{i}(k) + a^{y}_{ij} a_{ij}(k)$$
(19)

and  $P = P_{trans:} + P_{long:} + P_{glue}$ , where

$$P_{trans:} = \frac{s^{2}g^{2}}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{dk_{1}dk_{2}dk_{3}dk_{4}} \frac{(k_{1} + k_{2} - k_{3} - k_{4})}{k_{1}k_{3}(k_{1} + k_{2})}$$

$$= \frac{a_{+ik}^{Y}(k_{3})b_{k}^{Y}(k_{4})a_{+i1}(k_{1})b_{1}(k_{2}) + d_{+k}^{Y}(k_{4})a_{kj}^{Y}(k_{3})d_{+1}(k_{2})a_{1j}(k_{1})}{k_{1}k_{2}(k_{2} + k_{3})}$$

$$+ \frac{(k_{1} + k_{2} + k_{3} - k_{4})}{k_{1}k_{2}(k_{2} + k_{3})}$$

$$= b_{+ik}^{Y}(k_{4})a_{+ik}(k_{1})a_{k1}(k_{2})b_{+1}(k_{3}) + d_{+i}^{Y}(k_{4})d_{+1}(k_{3})a_{-1k}(k_{2})a_{+ki}(k_{1})$$

$$+ a_{+ik}^{Y}(k_{1})a_{k1}^{Y}(k_{2})b_{+1}^{Y}(k_{3})b_{+1}(k_{4}) + d_{+i}^{Y}(k_{3})a_{ik}^{Y}(k_{2})a_{+ki}^{Y}(k_{1})d_{+1}(k_{4})$$

$$+ (interchange + $$$

$$+ \frac{m}{2} \frac{sg}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{dk_{1}dk_{2}dk_{3}} (k_{1} + k_{2} - k_{3}) \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{3}}$$

$$b_{+i}^{y}(k_{3})a_{+ij}(k_{1})b_{j}(k_{2}) \quad d_{+i}^{y}(k_{3})d_{j}(k_{2})a_{+ji}(k_{1})$$

$$+ a_{+ij}^{y}(k_{1})b_{j}^{y}(k_{2})b_{+i}(k_{3}) \quad d_{i}^{y}(k_{2})a_{+ij}^{y}(k_{1})d_{+j}(k_{3})$$

$$(interchange + $ )$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}m^{2} \frac{Z}{0} \frac{1}{k} \quad d_{i}^{y}(k)d_{i}(k) + b_{i}^{y}(k)b_{i}(k)$$

$$+ \frac{s^{2}g^{2}N_{c}}{2} \frac{Z}{0} \frac{1}{k_{1}(k_{2}-k_{1})} \quad d_{i}^{y}(k_{2})b_{i}(k_{2})$$

$$+ \frac{s^{2}g^{2}N_{c}}{2} \frac{Z}{0} \frac{1}{k_{1}(k_{2}-k_{1})} \quad d_{i}^{y}(k_{2})d_{i}(k_{2}) ; \qquad (20)$$

$$P_{long:} = \frac{g^{2}}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} dk_{1}dk_{2}dk_{3}dk_{4} \frac{(k_{1} + k_{2} - k_{3} - k_{4})}{(k_{2} - k_{4})^{2}} d^{Y}_{i} (k_{3})b^{Y}_{j} (k_{4})d_{i} (k_{1})b_{j} (k_{2})$$

$$(k_{1} + k_{2} - k_{3} - k_{4}) \frac{(k_{1} + k_{3})}{2^{P} \overline{k_{1}k_{3}} (k_{2} - k_{4})^{2}}$$

$$a^{Y}_{ij} (k_{3})b^{Y}_{j} (k_{4})a_{ik} (k_{1})b_{k} (k_{2}) + d^{Y}_{j} (k_{4})a^{Y}_{ji} (k_{3})d_{k} (k_{2})a_{ki} (k_{1})$$

$$+ (k_{1} + k_{2} + k_{3} - k_{4}) \frac{k_{1} - k_{2}}{2^{P} \overline{k_{1}k_{2}} (k_{1} + k_{2})^{2}} (1 - )$$

$$a^{Y}_{ij} (k_{1})a^{Y}_{jk} (k_{2})b^{Y}_{k} (k_{3})b_{i} (k_{4}) + d^{Y}_{k} (k_{3})a^{Y}_{kj} (k_{2})a^{Y}_{ji} (k_{1})d_{i} (k_{4})$$

$$+ b^{Y}_{i} (k_{4})a_{ij} (k_{1})a_{jk} (k_{2})b_{k} (k_{3}) + d^{Y}_{i} (k_{4})d_{k} (k_{3})a_{kj} (k_{2})a_{ji} (k_{1})$$

$$+ \frac{g^{2}N_{c}}{4} \frac{Z}{0} dk_{1}dk_{2} \frac{1}{(k_{1} - k_{2})^{2}} \frac{1}{(k_{1} + k_{2})^{2}}$$

$$d^{Y}_{i} (k_{1})d_{i} (k_{2}) + b^{Y}_{i} (k_{1})b_{i} (k_{2}) ; \qquad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{split} P_{ghie} &= \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{P \overline{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}} \qquad (k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_4) \\ & \qquad (t - A_2) \ a_{+ ij}^{Y} (k_3) a_{+ jk}^{Y} (k_4) a_{+ il} (k_1) a_{+ lk} (k_2) \\ & \qquad + (t + A_1) \ a_{+ ij}^{Y} (k_3) a_{- jk}^{Y} (k_4) a_{- il} (k_1) a_{+ lk} (k_2) \\ & \qquad + (A_1 + A_2 - 2t) \ a_{+ ij}^{Y} (k_3) a_{- jk}^{Y} (k_4) a_{+ il} (k_1) a_{- lk} (k_2) \\ & \qquad + (k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - k_4) \quad (B_1 + B_2 - 2t) \\ & \qquad a_{+ ij}^{Y} (k_1) a_{- jk}^{Y} (k_2) a_{+ k1}^{Y} (k_3) a_{+ il} (k_4) + a_{+ ij}^{Y} (k_4) a_{+ il} (k_1) a_{- lk} (k_2) a_{+ kj} (k_3) \\ & \qquad + (t + B_1) \quad a_{+ ij}^{Y} (k_1) a_{- jk}^{Y} (k_2) a_{- k1}^{Y} (k_3) a_{- il} (k_4) + a_{- ij}^{Y} (k_4) a_{+ il} (k_1) a_{- lk} (k_2) a_{- kj} (k_3) \end{split}$$

where the coe cients A 1; A2; B1; B2 are de ned by

$$A_{1} = \frac{(k_{2} \quad k_{1}) (k_{4} \quad k_{3})}{4 (k_{1} + k_{2})^{2}}; \qquad A_{2} = \frac{(k_{1} + k_{3}) (k_{2} + k_{4})}{4 (k_{4} \quad k_{2})^{2}};$$
 (23)

$$B_{1} = \frac{(k_{1} - k_{2})(k_{3} + k_{4})}{4(k_{1} + k_{2})^{2}}; \quad B_{2} = \frac{(k_{1} + k_{4})(k_{3} - k_{2})}{4(k_{2} + k_{3})^{2}}; \quad (24)$$

A simplication of large N<sub>c</sub> is that  $2 \$  2 or  $1 \$  3 interactions occur only between neighboring partons in the colour contraction (17). Pair production of quarks, but not gluons, is absent. The last integral in (21), last two integrals in (20), and last two integrals in (22) are  $x^+$ —instantaneous self-energy terms (referred to as s,t, and g self-energy hereafter) resulting from normal ordering, which lead to a nite spectrum if retained. This is most easily demonstrated by projecting M<sup>2</sup> onto individual Fock states to derive integral equations for the coe cients f. One obtains in nite towers of successively coupled equations that are too long to write in full detail here, so we exhibit salient properties only.

## 3.1 Longitudinal.

In this section we turn of the transverse couplings s=t=0, so that only the number not partons is significant rather than their individual helicities. If we also neglect the longitudinal processes which change the number of partons, which is in fact an excellant approximation for low eigenvalues M  $\beta$ , then for  $f_n(x_1; \dots; x_n)$ , where  $x_i = k_i^+ = P^+$  labels momentum fraction, one note

$$\frac{M^{2}}{g^{2}N_{c}}f_{n} = \frac{m_{B}^{2}}{g^{2}N} \left[\frac{1}{x_{2}} + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}\right]f_{n} + \frac{m^{2}}{g^{2}N} \left[\frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{x_{n}}\right]f_{n} + \frac{1}{x_{n}} f_{n} + \frac$$

The longitudinally induced g self-energy for ferm ions and gluons has a linear divergent piece which has been cancelled against the poles in y in each of the above integrals (curly brackets). These represent the  $x^+$ -instantaneous C oulomb exchange of  $A_+$  quanta between partons, which diverges for zero exchanged m omentum. The self-energy of the gluon also contains a logarithm ic divergence which may be absorbed by the bare mass  $m_0$  to leave renormalised mass  $m_B$ . The way we have written the two-body C oulomb kernels above means that certain nite parts are left over after cancellation of divergences and renormalisation of masses, i.e. we identify the following static interactions between two partons depending upon the statistics and momentum fraction of each

quark 
$$(x_1)$$
; gluon  $(x_2)$   $\frac{1}{x_1}$   $\frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{x_1}{x_2}}$  1 (26)

gluon 
$$(x_i)$$
; gluon  $(x_{i+1})$   $\frac{1}{4^p x_i x_{i+1}}$  (27)

Since these are interactions between partons with contracted colour indices, it is useful to interpret them as groundstate energy of the ux line between partons. The Coulomb integrals describe the longitudinal excitations of the ux line in each case. For example, with no gluons (n = 2) one recovers t'H ooff's model [1], the zero groundstate energy (28) resulting in a massless meson when m + 0. When  $m_B + m + 0$ , a good approximation to the groundstate for each n is given by the phase space wavefunction  $m_B$ , defined by the ansatz  $f_{n^0} = const: m_{n^0}$ . The integrals shown and in fact all other longitudinal processes neglected in (25) vanish for this ansatz. For  $m_B + 0$  gluons one nds that  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  is diagonal in the sub-basis of  $m_B + 0$  in the sub-basis

$$M^{2}j_{n} > = g^{2}N \frac{((n + 2)^{2} + 4(n + 1)\log 2)!}{4!}j_{n} > ;$$
 (29)

where the rst term comes from gluon-gluon (27) and the second term from quark-gluon (26) ux energy. For small n we found that this formula typically diers from a numerical solution by about 10%. Each  $_n$  is  $2^n$ -fold degenerate in helicities and forms a groundstate on top of which there exists a discrete trajectory of C oulomb excitations just as for n=2 [1]. For high enough M however, this picture appears to break down since the processes which mix sectors of dierent n,

neglected in (25), become important [3]. But we emphasize that the non-zero longitudinal uxline energies attached to gluons (27) (26) will tend to suppress their pair production, by whatever process, even when they are massless.

#### 3.2 Transverse.

To a rst approximation, the elect of s and t terms is to lift some of the helicity degeneracy. However they also mix sectors of dierent n and lead to new divergences. The t self-energy  $\sin p \ln p$  renormalises m<sub>0</sub>. To illustrate the cancellation by the self-energy we give below the integral equations for the h = 0 sector restricting Fock space to at most one gluon, the non-zero coe cients being f<sub>+</sub>; f<sub>+</sub>; f<sub>+</sub>, and f<sub>+</sub> in this case.

$$M^{2}f_{+} (x_{1};x_{2}) = m^{2} \frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{x_{2}} f_{+} (x_{1};x_{2})$$

$$+ \frac{s^{2}g^{2}N_{c}}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{1}{(x_{1} y)} + \frac{1}{(y + x_{2})} f_{+} (x_{1};x_{2})$$

$$= m sg \frac{N_{c}}{s} \frac{N_{c}}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{dy}{s} \frac{1}{x_{1} y} \frac{1}{x_{1}} f_{+} (x_{1} y;y;x_{2})$$

$$= m sg \frac{N_{c}}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{x_{2} y} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{x_{2}} f_{+} + (x_{1};y;x_{2} y) + \dots; (30)$$

$$M^{2}f + (x_{1};x_{2};x_{3}) = M^{2} \frac{1}{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{x_{3}} f + (x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})$$

$$= M \text{ sg} \frac{N_{c}}{P} \frac{1}{\overline{x_{2}}} \frac{1}{x_{1}} \frac{1}{x_{2} + x_{1}} f_{+} (x_{1} + x_{2};x_{3})$$

$$= + M \text{ sg} \frac{N_{c}}{P} \frac{1}{\overline{x_{2}}} \frac{1}{x_{3}} \frac{1}{x_{2} + x_{3}} f_{+} (x_{1};x_{2} + x_{3})$$

$$+ \frac{s^{2}g^{2}N_{c}}{Q} \frac{Z_{x_{2} + x_{3}}}{Q} dy \frac{f + (x_{1};y;x_{3} + x_{2} - y)}{(x_{2} + x_{3})^{P} \overline{x_{2}y}}$$

$$+ \frac{s^{2}g^{2}N_{c}}{Q} \frac{Z_{x_{1} + x_{2}}}{Q} dy \frac{f + (x_{1} + x_{2} - y;y;x_{3})}{(x_{2} + x_{1})^{P} \overline{x_{2}y}} + ::: (31)$$

and the same equations with f+ ; s ; s sg. Ellipses indicate purely longitudinal processes, dealt with in the previous subsection. The self-energy terms (curly brackets) together with the following two integrals in (30) appear divergent (they are). To see that the divergences cancel, rewrite the f integral singular at anti-quark momentum  $x_1$  y = 0 as

m sg 
$$\frac{S}{N_c}$$
  $\frac{N_c}{N_c}$   $\frac{dy}{\sqrt{x_1}(x_1-y)}$  [f + (x<sub>1</sub> y;y;x<sub>2</sub>) f + (0;x<sub>1</sub>;x<sub>2</sub>)]

$$\frac{Z}{x_{1}} \frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}} \frac{dy}{(\overline{x_{1}} + \overline{y} \overline{y})} f_{+} (x_{1} y; y; x_{2}) + \frac{f_{+} (0; x_{1}; x_{2})}{\overline{y} \overline{x_{1}}} \frac{Z}{x_{1}} \frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}} \frac{dy}{x_{1} y} (32)$$

to isolate a logarithm ic divergence in the last integral of (32). From the behaviour of (31) as  $x_1$ ! 0 one deduces the relation

$$f_{+}(0;x_{2};x_{3}) = \frac{sg}{m} \frac{N_{c}f_{+}(x_{2};x_{3})}{P_{\overline{x_{2}}}};$$
 (33)

to cancel the  $\frac{1}{x_1}$  singularity (the longitudinal processes don't contribute at this order), and therefore the divergent term in (32) is

$$\frac{s^2 g^2 N_c}{g^2 N_c} \frac{Z_{x_1}}{g^2 N_c} \frac{dy}{x_1 (x_1 y)} f_+ (x_1; x_2);$$
 (34)

which is readily seen to cancel the anti-quark s self-energy divergence. The same argument goes through for the quark and other helicity. Such cancelations may be understood also through 1-loop light-cone perturbation theory [6]. In fact the full integral equations imply the general endpoint conditions for quarks:

$$f_{:::} (x_1; :::; x_{n-2}; x_{n-1}; 0) = \frac{sg}{m} \frac{s}{x_{n-1}} f_{:::} (x_1; :::; x_{n-2}; x_{n-1})$$
(35)

for all helicities, with a similar relation for anti-quarks. These may be used to show in exactly the same way the cancelation of divergent integrals due to zero momentum (anti)quarks for every equation i.e. involving an arbitrary number of gluons, since only the gluon neighbouring the quark in the large  $N_c$  colour contraction is involved. Since no other divergent integrals arise, the boundstate equations are each nite. We over numerical evidence for this niteness in the next section. There is a possibility of divergence due to there being an in nite number of equations however. In fact this is to be expected for su ciently large coupling constants from the the well-known divergence of large- $N_c$  planar perturbation theory, which was studied in the light-front Ham iltonian form alism in refs.[2, 3].

## 4 Num erical Solutions.

The full bound state equations m ay be solved numerically by discretizing the momentum fractions as x = m = K for integers fm; K g w ith 0 < m < K, then extrapolating to K = 1 [5]. Here we w ill exhibit results for one particular set of parameters:  $m_B = 0$ ,  $m^2 = 0.8$ , s = 0.5, t = 0.15,  $g^2N_c = 0.15$ ; the investigation of the full parameter space is left for future work. A tractable problem is only

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ R estricting to no more than n 2 gluons, the seelf-energies are omitted for Fock states with n 2 gluons.

obtained by further truncating the Fock space by hand. We therefore extrapolated to K = 1 the discretised problem with at most two transverse gluons, and checked for nite K that the error in restricting the number of gluons was not large for the set of couplings used. This restriction is the simplest such that all terms in P (11) are used. Since the problem at nite K is equivalent to nite matrix diagonalisation of the M<sup>2</sup> operator [5], we employed a Lanczos algorithm whose implementation has been described elsewhere [2]. This algorithm is an iterative scheme which requires an input wavefunction close to an eigenfunction if convergence is to be good. Since the algorithm also preserves the symmetries of the theory, a sensible input will consist of a couple of valence quarks qq which transform in a de nite way under symmetries of the discretised theory.

At nite K the symmetry C induced from charge conjugation

$$C: a_{ij}! a_{ji}; b_{i}$$
 \$ d  $_{i}$  (36)

remains exact, although parity  $x^1$ !  $x^1$  does not. In view of the diculties in measuring true parity, at this stage we content ourselves with a classication according to the exact nite-K symmetry of the momentum fraction in the qq sector of Fock space

$$P_1 : x \$ 1 x :$$
 (37)

In the continuum theory this  $P_1$ -parity is equivalent to true parity in the lim it of on-shell partons [9]. We take quarks and anti-quarks to have opposite intrinsic  $P_1$  by analogy with true parity. We consider here the following valence combinations, classified by  $hf^{1}$ :

$$0^{+}: d_{+i}^{y}(x)b_{i}^{y}(1 x) d_{i}^{y}(x)b_{+i}^{y}(1 x) \mathcal{D} > (38)$$

0 : 
$$d_{+i}^{y}(x)b_{i}^{y}(1-x) + d_{i}^{y}(x)b_{+i}^{y}(1-x)$$
  $) >$  (39)

1 : 
$$d_{i}^{y}(x)b_{i}^{y}(1-x) \mathcal{D} > :$$
 (40)

These couple strongly to the groundstate in each symmetry sector, and are evidently analogues of the  $J_z$  components of the (or  $^0$ ) and mesons, if we identify h  $J_z$ . Hybrids appear as excited ( ) states of them .

From the eigenfunctions one may compute unpolarized and polarized structure functions

$$Q(x) = \langle b_{i}^{y}b_{i}(x) + d_{i}^{y}d_{i}(x) \rangle; \quad Q(x) = \langle \frac{1}{2}^{P} \quad \text{sgn}()[b_{i}^{y}b_{i}(x) + d_{i}^{y}d_{i}(x)] \rangle$$
(41)

$$G(x) = \langle a^{y}_{ij} a_{ij}(x) \rangle$$
;  $G(x) = \langle P \rangle$   $sgn(a)^{y}_{ij} a_{ij}(x) \rangle$  (42)

which trivially satisfy momentum and helicity sum rules

It is also useful to de ne the integrated quantities  $n_g = {}^R G(x)$ ,  $n_q = {}^R Q(x)$ , and the polarization asymmetries  $A_g(x) = G = G$ ,  $A_q(x) = Q = Q$ . Numerical results for the chosen parameters are displayed in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2. There is clear convergence of  $M^2$ , with  $M^2$  lightest (a robust feature). The lightest hybrid occurs in the 1—sector, suggesting that the hybrid would be lightest. The Q and G of  $M^2$  and  $M^2$  turn out to be very similar to those of  $M^2$  plotted.  $M^2$  is small relative to  $M^2$  due to the rather small semployed, necessary to be sure of convergence. Note that even though the quarks are massive,  $M^2$  does not vanish at  $M^2$  of a a result of eq.(33). Both the momentum and helicity of the 1—are due to gluons at the level of about 10%. The helicity asymmetries (g. 2) also support this helicity—momentum correlation, showing complete helicity alignment of the parton as  $M^2$ . It while totally disordered polarization at small  $M^2$ .

## 5 Conclusions.

The 1+ 1-dim ensional reduced model of light-front large-N  $_{\rm C}$  Q C D form s an interesting extension of 't H ooff's original model for two-dim ensional mesons to include gluon degrees of freedom and helicity. We have performed light-front quantization of the normal modes and showed how divergences in the in nite set of coupled boundstate equations are absorbed by self-energies. A preliminary analysis of the meson boundstates was given and it is evidently desirable to make this more comprehensive, varying the parameters and in particular checking the true parity of states, which requires a more ecient computer/code. The way is now open to investigate non-perturbatively the behaviour of scattering amplitudes involving the mesons and glueballs constructed here and in ref.[2] within the 1=N  $_{\rm C}$  expansion. It will be interesting to compare them with the hight-energy scattering processes of the four-dimensional world.

A cknow ledem ents: We thank M. Burkardt, H.-C. Pauli, J.Paton, and B. van de Sande for helpful discussions and Prof. Pauli for his hospitality at the M.P.I.Heidelberg.

## R eferences

- [1] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 75 (1974) 461.
- [2] F. Antonuccio and S. Dalley, preprint OUTP-9524P (hepth/9506456) Nucl. Phys. B in press.

- [3] S.Dalley and IR.K Lebanov, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2517;
   K.Dem eter, IR.K Lebanov, and G.Bhanot, Nucl. Phys. B 418 (1994) 15.
- [4] M Burkardt and B. van de Sande, preprint MPIH-V38-1995 (hepth/9510104).
- [5] H-C.Pauliand S.J.Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 1993 and 2001.
- [6] A. Harindranath and R. Perry, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 4051.
- [7] S.Dalley and IR.Klebanov, Phys. Lett. B 298 (1993) 79.
- [8] H-C Pauli, A C. Kalloniatis, and S.P. insky, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1176.
- [9] K. Hombostel, Ph.D. Thesis, SLAC report No. 333 (1988).

#### FIGURE AND TABLE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Quark and gluon momentum fraction distributions for 0  $^+$  and 1  $\,$ ; Solid lines Q; Broken lines G.

Figure 2. Quark and gluon helicity asymmetries for 1 ; solid line  $A_q$ ; broken line  $A_g$ . Table 1. Extrapolation of M  $^2$  in cuto  $K \cdot n_g$  and  $n_g$  are quoted at K = 14.

## Table.1

M <sup>2</sup> (K)	0 +	1	0	1
$M^{-2}$ (12)	5,3151	5.8393	6.2652	12.2958
$M^{-2}$ (13)	5.3812	5.9243	6.3599	12.5327
$M^{-2}$ (14)	5 <b>.</b> 4395	5.9992	6.4428	12.7455
M <sup>2</sup> (15)	5.4913	6.0655	6.5158	12.9412
M <sup>2</sup> (16)	5.5374	6.1247	6.5805	13.1229
$M^{-2}$ (17)	5.5789	{	{	{
$M^{2}(1)$	6.3	7.1	7 <b>.</b> 6	16.9
n <sub>g</sub>	0.23	0.17	80.0	0.81
n q	0	0.89	0	0.42

Figure 1.





