M ass generation for gauge elds in the Salam -W einberg theory without H iggs

J.Barcelos-Neto and S.Rabello^y Instituto de F sica Universidade Federal do R io de Janeiro RJ 21945-970 - Caixa Postal 68528 - Brasil

A bstract

We consider the Salam -W einberg theory by introducing tensor gauge elds. When these elds are coupled in a topological way with the vector ones, the resulting system constitutes an alternative mechanism of mass generation for vector elds without the presence of Higgs bosons. We show that these masses are in agreement with the ones obtained by means of the spontaneous symmetry breaking.

PACS:11.15.-q, 12.15,-y, 12.60.Cn

e-m ail: barœlos@ if.ufrjbr

^ye-m ail: rabelb@ if.ufrjbr - Address after February 19th, 1996: Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060, USA

1. The origin of m ass generation for gauge elds in the Salam -W einberg (SW) theory has been an interesting and intriguing problem since its proposal. Now adays, it is widely accepted that spontaneous sym m etry breaking together with the H iggs m echanism is them ost probable explanation for the origin of these m asses. How ever, if this is actually true, the H iggs bosons m ust exist in nature. The point is that there is no precise theoretical prediction on the m ass scale where these elds could be found and experim ents till now have shown no evidence about them .

M ore recently, it has been pointed out that a vector-tensor gauge theory [1], where vector and tensor elds are coupled in a topological way by a kind of a Chem-Sim ons term, constitutes an interesting mechanism of mass generation for vector elds that is not plagued with Higgs. The general idea of this mechanism resides in the following: Tensor gauge elds [2] are antisymmetric quantities and consequently in D = 4 they exhibit six degrees of freedom. By virtue of the massless condition, the number of degrees of freedom goes down to four. Since the gauge parameter is a vector quantity, this number would be zero if all of its components were independent. This is nonetheless the case because the system is reducible and we mention that the nal number of physical degrees of freedom is one. W hen the Chem-Sim ons term is introduced, where vector and tensor eld are coupled in a topological way, this remaining tensor degree of freedom can be absorbed by the vector one to acquire mass [1, 3]. W e mention that this peculiar structure of constraints in plies that quantization deærves som e care and a reasonable amount of work has been done on this subject [4].

The purpose of our paper is to use this mechanism in the SW theory in order to generate mass for the weak gauge elds.

2. Let us rst brie y show how these ideas work out in the Abelian case. We start from the well-known Lagrangian of the Maxwell electrom agnetic theory

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F F ; \qquad (1)$$

where the tensor eld F is de ned as usual

$$F = Q A Q A :$$
 (2)

Let us now suppose that we would like to have massive photons. If we directly put a mass term into the Lagrangian like

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F F + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}A A ; \qquad (3)$$

we would have two problems (m aybe m ore): The theory would lose the gauge invariance and would not be renorm alizable any m ore. Even with these problems let us rewrite the Lagrangian (3) with the help of an auxiliary eld as follows

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F F \frac{1}{2}jj + m jA$$
 : (4)

W e observe that the calculation of the equation of motion for j and its replacement back into (4) leads to the previous Lagrangian (3).

The important part of the present development is to look at the Lagrangian (4) again but without considering it necessarily related to (3). This occurs when we take j as a function of another eld. In this case, we cannot assume that (3) and (4) are equivalent anymore, even classically. The interesting point is that the gauge invariance, lost in (3), can be restored in the Lagrangian (4) if we assume that j exhibits the following properties: o -shell divergenceless and gauge invariance. It is necessary to be o -shell divergenceless in order to compensate the gauge transform ation of A , i.e.

where the second step above contains a total derivative. Concerning the gauge invariance of j, it is an assumption that can always be done, in principle for the Abelian case.

In order to full these two conditions, tensor elds emerge naturally by writing j as a topological quantity $^{\rm 1}$

$$j = \frac{1}{2}$$
 @ B : (6)

We assume that B is independent of the gauge transform ation of the vector eld, characterized by the parameter (x) above. Consequently, the gauge invariance condition for the topological current j is directly veried. On the other hand, the antisymm etric tensor eld can have its own gauge transform ation. Using the vector parameter (x) to characterize it, we have

$$B (x) = 0 (x) 0 (x) :$$
 (7)

The gauge transform ations given by (7) are not all independent. We notice that B = 0 if is replaced by the derivative of some scalar quantity. We also verify that j remains invariant for the gauge transform ation given by (7). If we assume that A does not depend on it, the Lagrangian (4) will be invariant for these two gauge transform ations.

Sum m arizing all the results above, we have

¹W e use the convention that the scalar product between two antisym metric quantities shall display a factor that takes care of the multiplicity of terms. This is the reason for the factor 1/2 in expression (6). We are also considering that $^{0123} = 1 = _{0123}$ and that the st metric tensor reads = (+;;;) = .

(i) Vector gauge transform ations :

$$A = 0; B = 0; j = 0; (8)$$

(ii) Tensor gauge transform ations :

$$B = 0 \quad 0;$$

$$A = 0;$$

$$j = 0;$$
(9)

W e have used di erent subscripts to denote both transform ations. M aking now the replacement of j, given by (6), into the Lagrangian (4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}jj = \frac{1}{8} \qquad @B @B ;$$
$$\frac{1}{72} \qquad H H ;$$
$$= \frac{1}{12}H H ; \qquad (10)$$

where the tensor H is de ned as

$$H = Q B + Q B + Q B :$$
(11)

We write down the nalexpression of the Lagrangian as it usually appears in literature [1, 2, 3, 4]

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F F + \frac{1}{12}H H + \frac{1}{2}m A @ B :$$
 (12)

It is important to emphasize that the Lagrangian above, although gauge invariant, e ectively describes a massive vector gauge eld [1]. This is achieved, for example, by considering the path integral form alism and integrating out the tensor elds. An e ective Lagrangian for vector elds is then obtained. Their propagators present a massive pole with the sam emassm of the classical analysis given by the combination between (3) and (4). For details, see reference [3]. It might also be opportune to mention that the mass generation embodied in (12) is symmetrical, that is to say, the elimination of vector eld gives also mass to the tensor one.

3. In order to implement these ideas in the SW , it is necessary to have the non-Abelian formulation of the vector-tensor gauge theory. Let us mention that

this is not a trivial subject [5, 6] and we can directly understand why this occurs. The non-Abelian version of the tensor gauge transform ation (7) must be

$$B^{a} = (D)^{a} (D)^{a}$$
: (13)

Here we notice that if we replace the gauge parameter ^a by a derivative (even covariant) of some spacetime scalar we do not get zero as in the Abelian case. So, the reducibility condition does not happen in the non-Abelian formulation. This is the origin of the problem . A non-Abelian gauge theory is incompatible with the Abelian lim it because there is a discontinuity between the two sectors (the Abelian case has more symmetries than the non-Abelian one). A possible solution for this problem is to introduce a kind of Stuckelberg eld in order to make compatible the symmetries of the two sectors [6]. However, for our particular purposes in the present paper, that is just to calculate the masses of free vector elds, we do not need to know details of higher order interaction involving vector and tensor elds. These masses are obtained as being poles of the propagators of the vector elds.

Let us then write down the gauge eld sector of the SW theory

$$L_{g} = \frac{1}{4} F^{a} F^{a} - \frac{1}{4} F F ;$$
 (14)

where

$$F^{a} = 0 W^{a} 0 W^{a} + g^{abc} W^{b} W^{c};$$
 (15)

$$F = 0 B 0 B :$$
 (16)

Here, W^a are the gauge elds related to the SU (2)_L sym m etry and B to the U (1) hypercharge. This last one is a combination between electrom agnetic and neutral weak elds. The same occurs with W³. These combinations are expressed in terms of the W einberg angle _W as follows

$$B = \cos_{W} A \quad \sin_{W} Z ;$$

$$W^{3} = \sin_{W} A + \cos_{W} Z : \qquad (17)$$

In order to obtain m ass for gauge elds, we follow the same procedure of the Abelian case and introduce the Lagrangian

$$L_{j} = \frac{1}{2}j^{a}j^{a} \qquad \frac{1}{2}j j + M j^{a}W^{a} + M^{0}jB + :::; \qquad (18)$$

where dots are representing the remaining terms related to the non-Abelian formulation [5, 6]. Classically, if one eliminates j and j^a by using their equation of motion, the mass terms $\frac{1}{2}MW_aW^a$ and $\frac{1}{2}M^0B$ will be obtained. the same occurs in the quantum point of view, when tensor gauge elds are introduced [3]. Since the mass poles obtained in the quantum propagators are the same of the classical form alism, when tensor gauge elds are eliminated, we continue to work classically throughout the paper. This avoid us to run into desnecessary algebraic complications.

Of course, we do not want a mass generation like that, where the photon eld also becomes massive. Let us then use the combination given by (17) into the last two terms of (18). The result is

$$M j^{3} W^{3} + M^{0} j B = (M \sin_{W} j^{3} + M^{0} \cos_{W} j) A + (M \cos_{W} j^{3} M^{0} \sin_{W} j) Z :$$
(19)

Since we do not want a mass generation for the photon eld, we have that j and j^3 cannot be independent. We thus take

$$M \sin_{W} j^{3} + M^{0} \cos_{W} j = 0:$$
 (20)

This perm it us to also elim inate the topological current j . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}j^{3}j^{3} \qquad \frac{1}{2}jj + Mj^{3}W^{3} + M^{0}jB$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}h^{1} + \frac{M}{M^{0}}^{2}\tan^{2}w^{j}j^{3}j^{3} + \frac{M}{\cos w}j^{3}Z \qquad (21)$$

The equation for j^3 then reads

$$j^{3} = \frac{\frac{M}{\cos w}}{1 + \frac{M}{M^{0}}^{2} \tan^{2} w} Z$$
 (22)

Replacing it back into (21), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}j^{3}j^{3} \qquad \frac{1}{2}jj + Mj^{3}W^{3} + M^{0}jB$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\frac{M}{\cos w}^{2}}{1 + \frac{M}{M^{0}}^{2}\tan^{2}w}ZZ \qquad (23)$$

W e thus observe that the mass generated for Z reads

$$M_{Z} = \frac{M}{\cos_{W} \frac{U}{U} 1 + \frac{M^{2}}{M^{0}} \tan^{2}_{W}}$$
(24)

where M is the mass of W 1 and W 2 . Here, M 0 is a free parameter that has to be conveniently xed, accordingly the experiment. Since we already know that M and M $_{\rm Z}$ are related by M $_{\rm Z}$ = M = cos $_{\rm W}$, we conclude that M 0 must be an in nite parameter (or M 0 M). Our procedure is then in agreement with the results of the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the SW theory. But we emphasize that there are no Higgs bosons here.

4. In conclusion, we have used an alternative mechanism of mass generation for gauge elds in the SW theory, without Higgs, that is based on a vector-tensor gauge theory where vector and tensor elds are coupled in a topological way. The physical interpretation of our result is that the massive vector elds, that we elds at some stage of nature, must be related to massless vector and tensor gauge elds at some stage of the same nature. We would like to stress that this might not be an isolated fact, just considered to be an alternative mass generation mechanism. Antisymmetric tensor degrees of freedom might be the reason for the intriguing spacetime dimension D = 10 of superstring theories. There is a possibility of anomaly cancellation in these theories for D = 4 if antisymmetric tensor degrees of freedom are introduced [7].

Unfortunately, there remains an open question. The present mechanism does not appear to be appropriate to generate mass for matter elds. We guess that this point requires a better comprehension of the role played by the masses of the matter elds in the context of the SW theory. This point is presently under study and possible results shall be reported elsewhere [8]

A cknow ledgm ent: This work is supported in part by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cient co e Tecnologico - CNPq, Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos - FINEP and Fundação Universitaria Jose Bonifacio - FUJB (Brazilian Research Agencies).

References

- [1] T J.Allen, M J.Bowick and A.Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 559.
- [2] M.Kalb and P.Ram ond, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 2273.
- [3] R.Amorim and J.Barcelos-Neto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A10 (1995) 917.
- [4] See, for example, R K.Kaul, Phys.Rev.D18 (1978) 1127; C R.Hagen, Phys. Rev.D19 (1979) 2367; V D. Rivelles and L. Sandoval Jr., Rev. Bras.F sica 21 (1991) 374; A. Lahiri, M od. Phys. Lett. A8 (1993) 2403; J. Barcelos-N eto and M B D. Silva, Int. J.M od. Phys. A10 (1995)3759. See also M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboin, Quantization of gauge systems (Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1992) and references therein.
- [5] D Z.Freedam and PK.Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B177 (1981) 282.
- [6] J. Barcelos-Neto, A. Cabo and M. B. D. Silva, Non-Abelian formulation of antisymmetric tensor eld theory, Preprint ICTP-95/245.
- [7] R.Amorim and J.Barcelos-Neto, Z.Phys.C58 (1993) 513; C64 (1994) 345.
- [8] J.Barcelos-Neto and S.Rabello, work in progress.