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#### Abstract

$W$ e describe a set of $m$ ethods to calculate gauge theory renom alization constants from string theory, alllbased on a consistent prescription to continue o shellopen bosonic string am plitudes. W e prove the consistency ofour prescription by explicitly evaluating the renorm alizations of the two, three and four-ghon am plitudes, and show ing that they obey the appropriate $W$ ard identities. T he eld theory lim it thus perform ed corresponds to the background eld m ethod in Feynm an gauge. W e identify precisely the regions in string $m$ odulispace that corresp ond to di erent classes ofFeynm an diagram $s$, and in particular we show how to isolate contributions to the e ective action. U ltraviolet divergent term $s$ are then encoded in a single string integral over the m odular param eter. Finally, we derive a m ultiloop expression for the e ective action by com puting the partition function of an open bosonic string interacting $w$ th an extemalnon-abelian background gauge eld.
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## 1 Introduction

String theories, unlike eld theories, contain a physical dim ensional param eter, the Regge slope ${ }^{0}$, that acts as an ultraviolet cuto in the integrals over loop $m$ om enta, $m$ aking $m$ ultiloop am plitudes free from ultraviolet divergences. This is a basic reason why a string theory can provide a consistent perturbative quantum theory of gravity, uni ed w th non-abelian gauge theories.

A nother useful feature of string theory is the fact that, at each order of string perturbation theory, one does not get the large proliferation of diagram s characteristic of eld theories, which $m$ akes it extrem ely di cult to perform high order calculations. In the case of closed strings one gets only one diagram at each order, while in the open string the num ber of diagram s rem ains sm all. Furtherm ore, the expression of these diagram $s$ is known explicitly, in the case of the bosonic string, for an arbitrary pertunbative order, including also the $m$ easure of integration on m oduli space $\left.{ }_{[1-1}^{1} 1\right]$.

Finally, it is well known that in the lim it of in nite string tension ( $\left.{ }^{0}!0\right)$ string theories reduce to non-abelian gauge theories, uni ed with gravity, order by order in perturbation theory. This means, in particular, that in this lim it one m ust reproduce, order by order, S m atrix elem ents, ultraviolet divergences, and all other physical quantities that one com putes in perturbative non-abelian gauge theories.

The combination of these di erent features of string theory has led several au-
 conceptual and com putational tool in di erent areas of perturbative eld theory. In particular, because of the com pactness of the m ultiloop string expression, it is easier to calculate non-abelian gauge theory am plitudes by starting from a string theory, and perform ing the zero slope lim it, rather than using traditionaltechniques. In this way the one-loop am plitude involving four extemal gluons has been com puted, reproducing the known eld theoretical result $w$ ith $m$ uch less com putational cost [/্ֶত-]. Follow ing the sam e approach, also the one-loop ve-gluon am plitude has been com puted for the rst time [9].

As observed in Ref. $[1]=101]$ in order to extract eld theory results from string theory, it is not necessary to use a consistent string theory. It can be su cient to use the sim plest string theory that contains the desired eld theory in the zero slope lim it (the open bosonic string if one is interested in non-abelian gauge theories). String theory in fact proves itselfto be a rather versatile and robust tool: as we shall see, one recovers correct results in the eld theory lim it even when the consistency of the fiull string theory has been severely dam aged, for exam ple by continuing the results to arbitrary space-tim e dim ension, and by extending 0 shell the string am plitudes, which im plies a breaking of conform al invariance. Sim ilar observations have led to the con jecture that the string organization $m$ ay be understood entirely in term s of a eld theory analysis, and in fact in some instances this has been

known results [1] $[1]$, we believe that further input from string theory may prove necessary.

In a previous letter [ī 1 w w have considered the open bosonic string w ith C hanPaton factors, and we have com puted the one-loop two-ghon am plitude, with a suitable o -shell extrapolation in order to get rid of infrared divergences. By perform ing then the zero slope lim it, we have obtained the gluon wave function renor$m$ alization constant $Z_{A}$, which tumed out to coincide with the one calculated in the background eld $m$ ethod.

In this paper we extend our procedure to the three and four-gluon am plitudes, and thus com pute also the renom alization constants $Z_{3}$, for the three-ghon vertex, and $Z_{4}$, for the four-ghon vertex. This is a test of the consistency of our o -shell prescription, as we can show the validity of the $W$ ard identities $Z_{A}=Z_{3}=Z_{4}$, that are characteristic of the background eld $m$ ethod.

The inform ation obtained w ith ourm ethod com plem ents the know ledge of how gauge-invariant on-shell scattering am plitudes can be derived from string theory. In fact, in eld theory, one is in general interested in calculating o -shell, gaugedependent quantities such as anom alous dim ensions or general $G$ reen functions. A $n$ altemative set of rules for calculating these objects is useful only if the choice of gauge, regularization and renom alization prescriptions is uniquely speci ed. The di culty w ith string theory is of course that all one starts $w$ ith is a prescription to calculate scattering am plitudes, which is only valid on shell. D i erent o -shell continuations m ust be shown to be consistent, and $m$ ay lead to di erent gauges or renom alization schem es. To date, the only known consistent prescription for the - -shell continuation of string am plitudes $\underline{20}_{201}^{-1}$ leads to a vanishing wave-function renorm alization for the gauge eld, in apparent contradiction w ith the results of a detailed analysis of on-shell am plitudes $\left[\underline{1} \mathbf{1} \bar{i}_{1}\right]$, which im plies that string theory leads to a com bination of the badkground eld $m$ ethod $w$ th the non-linear $G$ ervaisN eveu gauge. In the follow ing we w ill solve this puzzle by proposing a di erent, and sim pler, prescription for the $\circ$-shell continuation of the am plitudes, which leads unambiguously to the background eld $m$ ethod.

The calculation of renorm alization constants can be perform ed using three different, although closely related $m$ ethods. The $m$ ost straightforw ard $m$ ethod, in the spirit of $\left[\frac{[\bar{G}}{1}\right]$, is based on the evaluation of the eld theory lim it of the full string am plitude, from which ultraviolet divergences can be consistently extracted after o -shell continuation. A carefulanalysis of the string am plitudes leads to a precise identi cation of the regions in $m$ oduli space that correspond to di erent classes of Feynm an diagram s in eld theory. Having leamt how to identify one-particle irreducible diagram $s$, contributing to the e ective action, one $m$ ay look for a m ethod to isolate these contributions directly in the general expression for the am plitude. $T$ his is achieved by using a di erent expression for the bosonic world-sheet $G$ reen function, along the lines of tī]. W e establish a precise relationship betw een these tw o m ethods, show ing explicitly how the contributions to the e ective action are picked out of the full string am plitude. F inally, having observed how string am pli-
tudes seem to be intim ately connected w th the background eld method, we go on to show how e ective actions with arbitrary num bers of legs and loops can be com pactly represented in term s of a generating functional describing the propagation of an open string in an extemal non-abelian gauge eld. The renom alization constants derived from this generating functional coincide w ith those previously obtained caloulating string scattering am plitudes.

The rst $m$ ethod (presented in Sections 3, 4 and 5) uses the open bosonic string am plitudes for two, three and four gluons, suitably continued o shell. The am plitudes are expressed as an integral over the puncture coordinates, representing the locations of the insertions of the ghon operators on the open string boundaries, and over the $m$ odular param eter of the annulus, . The integral over the punctures has a Laurent expansion in term sof the variable $k=e^{2}$. The leading term of this expansion, of order $k^{1}$, corresponds to tachyon exchange in the loop, and must be discarded by hand. The next-to-leading term, of order 1, corresponds to gluon exchange in the loop, and gives the eld theory lim it. H igher order contributions, behaving as $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{n}>0$, are negligible in the eld theory lim it. If we then concentrate on the term of order 1, we see that the in nities appearing in the eld theory $\lim$ it arise from di erent regions of integration over the punctures. There are basically two kinds of contributions [ $[\overline{8} 1]$. The rst one is obtained by expanding the integrand for large , isolating the term of order 1 in $k$, and then integrating the resulting expression over the punctures. This contribution corresponds to oneparticle irreducible diagram s in eld theory. The second kind of contribution arises instead from regions of integration in which som e punctures are taken to be very close to each other. This is often referred to as the pinching lim it, and corresponds to eld theory diagram s that are connected but not one-particle irreducible. The sum of the two contributions reproduces the in nities of the full connected $G$ reen functions, truncated w th free propagators and suitably continued o shell.
$T$ he second $m$ ethod is based on the use of an altemative form of the bosonic G reen function, proposed in Ref. [ī] $\overline{1}]$, in which the logarithm ic singularity associated $w$ th the pinching lim it is regularized by $m$ eans of a fiunction regularization. $U$ sing this regularized expression for the $G$ reen function sim pli es considerably the evaluation of renorm alization constants. In fact, as we shall see, having elm inated the reducible diagram s associated w ith the pinching regions, one can easily isolate ultraviolet divergent contributions by focusing on the term $s$ in the integrand w ith the appropriate pow er of the $m$ odular param eter. It is then possible to neglect the exponentials of the bosonic $G$ reen fiunctions that constitute the bulk of the integrand. This simpli es the calculation to the point that it is actually possible to perform the integrals over the punctures exactly, before the eld theory lim it is taken.

Finally, we show how all divergent contributions to the e ective action can be generated from a single functional, describing the interaction of an open bosonic string with an extemal non-abelian background gauge eld. U sing this form alism, one sees the connection between string theory and the background eld $m$ ethod
from a di erent angle. String-derived ghon am plinudes without pinchings can be understood as scattering am plitudes in a background eld constructed out of plane waves. C ontributions to the e ective action can be isolated by focusing on slow ly varying background elds, and are then connected to ghon am plitudes for low energy gluons. M anipulating the expression for the all-order partition function of the string in such a slow ly varying background, we can form ally generalize the calculation of the e ective action, and we obtain a com pact expression for the string integral generating the renorm alization constants, valid for any num ber of string loops.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section ${ }_{2}$ we consider the open bosonic string, and wew rite the explicit expression of the $M$-ghon am plitude ath loops, including the overallnom alization. W e specialize then to the case oftree and one-loop diagram S , detailing various choiges of integration variables. In Section 'ili' we sketch the calculation of the one-loop tw o-ghon am plitude, already presented in [i耳], and we show how to extract the ghon wave function renorm alization constant $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$. In Section ${ }_{-1}^{4}$ we extend our previous calculation to the one-loop three-ghon am plitude, and we discuss in detail the various regions of integration over the punctures that contribute to the eld theory lim it. In particular, we show how one can identify at the string level the classes of Feynm an diagram s that correspond to each such region, and we derive the renorm alization constant $Z_{3}$. In Section 's we consider the one-loop four-gluon am plifude, we extract the renorm alization constant $Z_{4}$, and we discuss som e subtleties arising in the treatm ent of contact term s. In Section 'ī̄ we show how, using a regularized expression of the $G$ reen function, one is naturally led to calculate contributions to the e ective action, and nally in Section hīiwe recover and extend these results by com puting the partition function of a string interacting with an extemal non-abelian eld. Section 'ī', summ arizes our results and com pares the di erent approaches, having in $m$ ind possible applications to $m$ ultiloop calculations in gauge theories.

## 2 The M -gluon am plitude

The properly norm alized (connected) S m atrix elem ent describing the scattering of $M$ string states $j_{1} i_{i}::: ; j_{M} i w$ th $m$ omenta $p_{1} ;::: ; p_{M}$ is

$$
S\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; M_{M}\right)=i(2)^{d}(d)\left(p_{1}+:::+p_{M}\right) A\left(1 ;::: ; M_{M}\right)_{i=1}^{M}\left(2 E_{i}\right)^{1=2} \quad ; \quad(2.1)
$$

where d is the dim ension of the target space-timewith metric ( ; ; ;:::;+), $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $\dot{p}_{i}^{0} j$ is the energy of the $i$-th particle and $A(1 ;::: ; ~ m)$ is the $M$ point amplitude in which all propagators of the $M$ extemal states have been rem oved. B orrow ing the term inology from eld theory, we callA ( $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) the (unrenorm alized) connected $M$-point am plitude. In string theory, these am plitudes can be com puted
pertubatively, and are w rilten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(1 ;::: ; \text { m }^{\prime}\right)=A^{X^{(h)}}\left(1 ;::: ; \text { м }^{(1)}\right. \\
& h=0 \\
& \mathrm{X}^{1} \\
& ={ }_{h=0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2 \mathrm{~h} 2} \hat{A}^{(\mathrm{h})}\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $g_{s}$ is a dim ensionless string coupling constant, which is introduced to form ally control the perturbative expansion. In Eq. (2̄2), $A^{(h)}$ represents the $h$-loop contribution. In particular, for the open bosonic string, $A^{(0)}$ corresponds to the scattering of string states inserted on the boundary of a disk, while $A^{(1)}$ corresponds to the scattering of states inserted either on one of the boundaries of an annulus (planar diagram ), or on the boundary of a $M$ oebius strip (non-orientable diagram ), or on both boundaries of an annulus (non-planar diagram). Sim ilarly the higher loop am plitudes $A^{(h)}$ w th $h \quad 2$ correspond to the scattering of states inserted on the various boundaries of an open $R$ iem ann surface $w$ ith a m ore com plicated topology. In what follows we w ill lim it our discussion to planar diagram s, but our analysis can be extended to the other cases as well.

An e cient way to explicitly obtain $A^{(h)}(1 ;::: ; ~ m)$ is to use the $M$ point $h$ loop vertex $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}}$; h of the operator form alism $[\stackrel{-1}{1}]$, which can be regarded as a generating functional for scattering am plitudes am ong arbitrary string states, at all orders in perturbation theory. In fact, by saturating the operator $V_{M}$; $W$ ith $M$ states j 1 i;:::;j м $i$, one obtains the corresponding am plitude,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(h)}\left({ }_{1} ;::: ; \text { м }^{\prime}\right)=V_{M ; h} j_{1} i \quad \text { м } \ddot{\mathbb{Z}}: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expression of $V_{M}$; for the planar diagram $s$ of the open bosonic string can be found in Ref. [1] [1]. T hat expression still has to be properly norm alized, and the correct norm alization factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h}=\frac{1}{(2)^{d h}} g_{s}^{2 \mathrm{~h} 2} \frac{1}{\left(2^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{d}=2}} ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{0}$ is the inverse of the string tension (w e refer to A ppendix A for a derivation of this form ula).

The vertex $V_{M}$;h depends on $M$ real K oba- $N$ ielsen variables $z_{i}$ through $M$ projective transform ations $V_{i}(z)$, which de ne local coordinate system $s$ vanishing around each $z_{i}$, i.e. such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen $V_{M}$;h is saturated $w$ ith $M$ physical string states satisfying the $m$ ass-shell condition, the corresponding am plitude does not depend on the $V_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ 's. H ow ever, as we discussed in Ref. [1] $\overline{1}$ ], to extract inform ation about the ultraviolet divergences that arise when the eld theory lim it is taken, it is necessary to relax the $m$ ass-shell condition, so that also the am plitudes $A^{(h)} w$ ill depend on the choige of pro jective
transform ations $V_{i}$ 's, just like the vertex $V_{M \text {, }}$. $T$ his is rem iniscent of a well-known fact in gauge theories, nam ely that on-shell am plitudes are gauge invariant, whilst their o -shell counterparts are not. Indeed, one can regard the freedom of choosing the pro jective transform ations $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's as a sort of gauge freedom .

Let us now specialize to the case in which allm states are ghons, and let us denote by p ;"i a state representing a ghon w th m om entum p and polarization ". Such a state is created by the vertex operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=i N_{0}: " \quad @ X(z) e^{i^{p} \overline{20} p x(z)}: \quad ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where colons denote the standard norm al ordering on the $m$ odes of the open string coordinate $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{z})$, and $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ is a norm alization factor given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{0}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2^{0}} ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $g_{d}$ being the gauge coupling constant of the target space $Y$ ang $M$ ills theory. $T$ he latter is related to the dim ensionless string coupling constant $g_{s}$ of Eq. (2 2 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s}=\frac{g_{d}}{2}\left(2^{0}\right)^{1 d=4} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as described in A ppendix A.N otice that the vertex in Eq. (2. $\overline{2}$. $)$ does not depend on the color index of the gluon, and thus the gauge group structure m ust be recovered via the C han- P aton procedure.

If we write as usual

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \quad(z)=\hat{q} \quad i \hat{p} \quad \log z+i i_{n \in 0}^{X} \frac{\hat{t}_{n}}{n} z^{n} \quad ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the gluon state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { p;"i } \quad \lim _{z!} V(z) j 0 i=N_{0} " \quad a_{1} \mathrm{pi} \quad ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where pi is the ground state $w$ th $m$ om entum $p$. The ghon is physical if

$$
\begin{gather*}
p^{2}=0 ;  \tag{2.11}\\
" p=0: \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

W e w ill alw ays enforoe the transversality condition (2) eld theory lim it of the string am plitudes we will relax the m ass-shell condition
 $m$ ore cum bersom e expressions w thout changing the nal results.

If we saturate the vertex $V_{M ; h} w$ th $M$ ghon states and $m$ ultiply the result $w$ ith the appropriate $C$ han $P$ aton factor, we obtain the color-ordered $M$-ghon planar am plitude at $h$ loops, which we denote by $A_{P}^{(h)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{M}\right)$. If we pidk the gauge
group $S U(\mathbb{N})$, use transverse ghons, but choose not to enforce the $m$ ass-shell condition, we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{P}^{(h)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{M}\right)=N^{h} \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a_{1}} \quad a_{M}\right) C_{h} N_{0}^{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { if } \mathrm{j} \text { 39 } \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the subscript $\backslash m$.l." stands form ultilinear, $m$ eaning that only term $s$ linear in each polarization should be kept. In Eq. ( $\left.2 . \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{1}\right)$, which can be considered them aster form ula of our approach, $N^{h} \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a_{1}} \quad a_{M}\right)$ is the $C$ han $P$ aton factor appropriate for an M -gluon h-loop planar diagram, with the 'sbeing the generators of $S U(\mathbb{N}$ ) in the findam ental representation, nom alized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a} \quad \mathrm{~b}\right)=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, $G^{(h)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)$ is the $h$-loop w orld-sheet bosonic $G$ reen function, and $\left[d m \eta_{h}^{M}\right.$ is the $m$ easure of integration on $m$ oduli space for an open $R$ iem ann surface of genus $h$ w ith $M$ operator insertions on the boundary $\left.\underline{1 i}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The $G$ reen function $G^{(h)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(h)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)=\log E^{(h)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2}_{z_{i}}^{z_{j}}!\quad(2 \mathrm{Im})^{z_{z_{j}}}!\quad ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}^{(\mathrm{h})}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ is the prim e-form, ! $(=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{h})$ the abelian di erentials and the period $m$ atrix of an open $R$ iem ann surface of genus $h$. A ll these ob jects, as well as the $m$ easure on $m$ oduli space [dm $\left.\right|_{n} ^{M}$, can be explicitly written dow in the Schottky param etrization of the $R$ iem ann surface, and their expressions for arbitrary $h$ can be found for exam ple in [17 $\overline{1}_{1}$ ]. H ere we will only reproduce the explicit expression for the $m$ easure, to give a avor of the ingredients that enter the full string theoretic calculations. It is

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[d m]_{h}^{M}=\frac{\substack{Q_{i=1} d z_{i} \\
d V_{a b c}}}{Y^{h}}{ }_{=1}^{"} \frac{d k d d}{k^{2}(\quad)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & k)^{2}
\end{array}\right.} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $k$ are the multipliers and and the xed points of the generators of the Schottky group; $\mathrm{dV}_{\mathrm{abc}}$ is the pro jective invariant volum e elem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dV}_{\mathrm{abc}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}}}{(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{c})} \text {; } \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, ~ b, ~ c$ are any three of the $M \quad K$ oba-N ielsen variables, or of the 2 h xed points of the generators of the Schottky group, which can be xed at will; nally, the prim ed product over denotes a product over classes of elem ents of the Schottky group [1] $\left._{1}^{2}\right]$. $N$ otice that in the open string the K oba-N ielsen variables $m$ ust be cyclically ordered, for exam ple according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{z} \text {; } \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ordering of K oba-N ielsen variables autom atically prescribes the ordering of color indiges.

C learly expressions such as Eq. ( as they involve in nite products (or sum s) over subgroups of a discrete group. H ow ever, as we shall see, all such expressions sim plify drastically in the eld theory $\lim$ it ${ }^{0}$ ! 0 . In this lim it, only a nite num ber ofterm s contribute to the products, and calculations rem ain $m$ anageable.

The reader $m$ ay also have noticed that we have left the value of the spacetime dimension d arbitrary, and we have not xed it to the critical dimension, $d=26$. A s we shall see, this agrant violation of string consistency does not a ect the eld theory lim it. This $m$ ay be understood if we interpret $d$ as the number of uncom pacti ed dim ensions, and we assume that $d^{0}=26 \quad d$ dim ensions have been com pacti ed. O foourse the $d^{0}$ com pacti ed dim ensions will contribute to the string partition function and to the scattering am plitudes, but those contributions factorize, and, in the eld theory lim it, behave just as d ${ }^{0}$ scalars coupled to the gauge eld. The na ve procedure, sim ply letting d be arbitrary, just corresponds to neglecting $a b$ initio the contribution of these scalars.

For $h=0$ the situation is particularly sim ple. The G reen function in Eq. (2) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(0)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)=\log \left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right) \quad ; \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the m easure $[\mathrm{dm}]_{0}^{M}$ is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{dm}]_{0}^{M}=\frac{\mathrm{dz}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{dV} V_{\mathrm{abc}}}: \tag{220}
\end{equation*}
$$

 norm alization coe cients, we obtain the color-ordered, on-shell $M$-ghon am plitude at tree level ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{M}\right)=4 \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a_{1}}{ }^{a_{M}}\right) g_{d}^{M} \quad(2)^{M=22}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]
where 0 is the region identi ed by Eq. (18) . N otice that any dependence on the local coordinates $V_{i}(z)$ drops out in the am plitude after enforcing the $m$ ass-shell condition. Eq. ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ ) is valid for M 3, since the tree-levelm easure Eq. (2 $2 \overline{1})$ is ill-de ned for $M 2$.

For $h=1$ the situation is $m$ ore com plicated but stillm anageable. In the Schottky representation, the annulus is described by a Schottky group generated by one real pro jective transform ation S. This can be characterized by a m ultiplier $k$ and the attractive and repulsive xed points and. In term s of these variables, the m easure [dm $]_{1}^{M}$ on m oduli space tums out to be
 $M+2$ variables $\mathrm{fz}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{M}}$; ; g , which can be xed at w ill. The rem aining $\mathrm{M} \quad 1$ variables and $k$ are the $m$ oduli of the annulus $w$ th $M$ operator insertions on one
 determ inant of the period $m$ atrix, com ing from the integration over the $m$ om enta circulating in the loops, can be expressed at one loop in term s of the m ultiplier of the Schottky generator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \sim} \quad: \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, in the one-loop case, we have called the period $m$ atrix ~ instead of , as usually done, because later on we w illuse for a rescaled version of it (see Eq. (12-2")).

A crucial point that m ust be discussed before we proceed is the region 1 over which the m odulim ust be integrated. O ne way of determ ining 1 is to observe that the vertex $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M} ; 1}$ can be obtained w ith the sew ing procedure from $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}}+2 ; 0$ [1]-1 $]$. A s we $m$ entioned before, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}}+2 ; 0$ depends on $\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{~K}$ oba- N ielsen variables ordered on the real line, as in Eq. (2. $\left.\overline{1}^{\prime} \bar{\theta}_{1}\right)$. In the sew ing process one identi es, say, legs $M+1$ and $M+2$ by $m$ eans of a propagator $P(x)$ depending on a sew ing param eter $x$, $w$ th $0<x<1$. The speci c form of $P(x)$ is determ ined by the local coordinates $V_{i}(z)$ of the two legs that are sew n, in such a way that the factorizability and the cyclic properties of the vertex are $m$ aintained after sew ing. W e refer the reader to [1] $\bar{q}]$ for a thorough discussion of this point and of the sew ing procedure in general. H ere we sim ply want to point out that the two sets $\mathrm{fz}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{zm}_{\mathrm{M}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{M}}+1 ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{M}}+2 \mathrm{~g}$ and $\mathrm{fz}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{M}}$; ; g are equivalent, and that we can establish a precise relation betw een the original K oba-N ielsen variables of the tree-level vertex $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}+2 ; 0}$, the xed points
and , and the multiplier $k$ of the Schottky generator at one loop. To do so, we m ust use the explicit expression of the propagator $P(x)$ and of the chosen local coordinates $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})$. If we choose the Lovelace coordinates for $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{M}+1 ; \mathrm{M}+2$, and
consequently the propagator $P(x)$ of Ref. [1 $\overline{1} \overline{-}]$, then a sim ple calculation leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
k & \left.=\frac{1}{x} \frac{x}{x} \frac{\left(z_{1}\right.}{\left(z_{M}+1\right)\left(z_{M}\right.} z_{M} z_{M}+2\right) \\
\left.z_{M}\right)\left(z_{M}\right. & \left.z_{M}+1\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*} ;
$$

N ow we can exploit projective invariance to $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{1}!1 ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{M}+1}!\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{M}+2} \text { ! } 0 \text {; } \tag{225}
\end{equation*}
$$

which clearly corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
!0 ; \quad!1 \quad: \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith this choige, the m easure in Eq. (2-2 2 ) becom es sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
[d m]_{1}^{M}={ }_{i=2}^{M} d z_{i} d k \frac{1}{k^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \ln k \sum_{n=1}^{d=2}\left(1 \quad k^{n}\right)^{2 d} ; \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the integration region becom es

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & \mathrm{z}_{2} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{z} \mathrm{k} & 0 & : \tag{2.28}
\end{array}
$$

This is the fundam ental region ${ }_{1}$ over which the $m$ oduli $z_{2} ;::: ; z_{M}$ and $k m u s t ~ b e ~$ integrated, w ith the $m$ easure given in Eq. $(\overline{2}-2)$.

W e observed that the multiplier $k$ of the Schottky generator is related to the m ore com m only used m odular param eter ~ by Eq. $(\overline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{3})$. Since $k$ is real, $\sim$ is purely im aginary. Below, wew ill nd it m ore convenient to replace it w ith the realvariable

$$
\begin{equation*}
=i \sim \text {; } \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which varies between 0 and 1 . Sim ilarly, instead of the $K$ oba-N ielsen variables $z_{i}$, we w ill use the real variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \log z_{i}: \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration region given by Eq. (2-2" ${ }^{-2}$ ) becom es, in these variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad 2 \quad \text { м }<1 \text { : } \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one-loop bosonic $G$ reen function $G^{(1)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)$ also simpli es considerably using Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{6})$. It is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.G^{(1)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)=\log \left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{i} & z_{j}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \log k} \quad \log \frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}{ }^{!}+\log 4_{n=1}^{2} \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} k^{n} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}} 1^{1} k^{n}\right)^{2} k^{n} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}{ }^{3}{ }^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where 1 is the rst Jacobi function. It is not di cult to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i} @_{z_{i}} G^{(1)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)+z_{j} @_{z_{j}} G^{(1)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)=1: \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $G^{(1)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{j}\right)$ is related to the $m$ ore com $m$ only used one-loop $G$ reen function G ( $\quad$ i $)$ of, say, Ref. [1]9]], by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(j \quad i)=G^{(1)}\left(z_{i}\left(i_{i}\right) ; z_{j}\left({ }_{j}\right)\right)+i+i \quad: \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otioe that $G(j \quad i)$ is a function only of the di erence $j \quad i$, whereas $G{ }^{(1)}\left(Z_{i} ; Z_{j}\right)$ does not have translational invariance in the plane, as is clear from Eq. ( $(2-3 / 3)$. Furtherm ore, $G(j \quad i)$ is periodic on the annulus, i.e. $G()=G(+)$, rem inding us that the points $=0$ and $=$ represent the sam e physical location on the w orld sheet.
$W$ e are now in a position to write explicitly the $S U(\mathbb{N}$ ) color-ordered planar am plitude for $M \quad 2$ transverse ghons at one loop. It is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.A_{P}^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{M}\right)=N \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{1} \quad a_{M}\right) \frac{g_{d}^{M}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{M} d\right)=2(1)^{M} \\
& \text { Z } \mathrm{M}_{i} d_{i} d e^{2} \quad d=2^{Y^{Z}} 1 \quad e^{2 n} 2 d
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& :{ }_{i<j_{2}} \frac{Z_{i} Z_{j}}{V_{i}^{0}(0) V_{j}^{0}(0)} \exp (G(j i)) \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{ji}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{i}$ and $@_{i} @_{i}=@_{i}$. N otioe that in the last square bracket of Eq. (2 is proportional to term $s$ that vanish because of the transversality condition ( $\overline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{2}$ ) . If we further enforce the $m$ ass-shell condition ( $\overline{2} . \overline{1} \overline{1})$, any dependence on the local coordinates $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's drops out. H ow ever, ifwewant to consider a form alo -shellextension of this am plitude, the dependence on the $V_{i}$ 's becom es relevant. O ur attitude is to continue o shell the ghon $m$ om enta in an appropriate $w a y$, that we w ill discuss in the follow ing sections, essentially setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ acting as an infrared regulator. Then we regard the freedom of choosing the $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ s as a gauge freedom [151]. C learly, the sim plest situation occurs when the square root factors in Eq. (2, $\overline{2} \overline{-1} \overline{5})$ sim plify, i.e. when

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}^{0}(0)=z_{i} \quad: \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith such a choice the am plitude in Eq. (2-25) coincides exactly $w$ th the one norm ally used [1]-19], whidh is entirely w rilten in term s of the translational invariant

G reen function $G() . T$ he conditions $(\underline{2}-\overline{2})$ and $(\overline{2}-\overline{2} \overline{-2})$ are easily satis ed by choosing for exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}(z)=z_{i} z+z_{i} \quad: \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is our choige for this paper.
W e conclude this section by deriving an altemative expression for the $G$ reen


where $q=e^{2}=$. By m eans of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log 1+b^{2} \quad 2 b \cos x^{i}=2^{x^{1}} \frac{b^{n}}{n} \cos n x ; \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to put Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ in the form [ī $\overline{1}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\binom{j}{j}=\sum_{n=1}^{x} \frac{1+q^{2 n}}{n\left(1 q^{2 n}\right)} \cos 2 n \frac{j \quad i}{}+::: \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots stand for term s independent of ${ }_{i}$ and ${ }_{j}$, that $w i l l$ not be im portant in our discussion.

It is im portant to notioe that the G reen function in Eq. (2-3'1), or in Eq. (2, is logarithm ically divergent in the lim it i! $j$. This singularity is essential to get, for exam ple, the correct pole contributions in the various channels at tree level, as well as to extract, in the eld theory lim it, the contribution ofone-particle reducible
 function as in Eq. (2. $\overline{4} \overline{1} 1$ '), this logarithm ic singularity has not disappeared, but it m anifests itself as a divergence in the sum .

In what follows we will show how, in the eld theory lim it, the one-loop glyon am plitudes derived from Eq. ( gences w ith our prescription reproduce exactly the results of the background eld $m$ ethod in the Feynm an gauge.

## 3 T he tw o-gluon am plitude

Let us brie $y$ recall the results for the two-ghon am plitude that we already pre-
 tw o-ghon am plitude at $h$ loops ( $\mathrm{h} \quad 1$ ) is ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(n)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)=N^{h} \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a_{1}} a_{2}\right) C_{h} N_{0}^{2} \quad[d m]_{h}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where we dropped the subscript $P$, since for $S U(\mathbb{N})$ the planar diagram is the only one contributing to the two-point function. This formula generates, in the eld theory lim it, all the loop corrections to the $Y$ ang $-M$ ills two-point fiunction. In particular, as we showed in Ref. [1] 1 form ula containing all inform ation necessary to com pute the $m$ ultiloop Yang $M$ M ills beta function.

Let us now specialize to one loop ( $h=1$ ), using the explicit expressions w ritten in the previous section, and in particular using $i$ as integration variables. W e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)=\operatorname{NTr}_{Z_{1}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0
\end{array}\right)^{2 d=2} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where we introduced the notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \quad d \quad e^{2} \quad d=2_{n=1}^{\mathbb{Y}^{\frac{1}{2}}} 1 \quad e^{2 n} \quad 2 d \quad ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

For later convenience we also de ne the integral (see Ref.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s) \quad \frac{1}{\text { " }_{1}{ }_{2}^{\prime \prime} S^{2}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{f}_{2}(; ; \mathrm{s}) \text { : } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that if the $m$ ass shell condition (2. tude becom es ill de ned, as the kinem atical prefactor vanishes, while the integral diverges. It is then necessary to som ehow continue o shell the string am plitude, and then test that the results rem ain consistent in the eld theory lim it. This was rst attem pted in Ref. [20 $\overline{0} \overline{1}]$, where a prescription was found that leads to a vanishing wave function renom alization in the eld theory lim it. On the other hand, in Ref. [1] 1 according to Eqs. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}$ ) and ( $(\overline{2}-\overline{3} \underline{6})$, then in the $\lim { }^{0}!0 A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ develops an ultraviolet divergence, which in tum determ ines a non-vanishing wave function renorm alization constant $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$, equal to the one com puted in the background eld $m$ ethod.

In order to see this, we follow Ref. $[\bar{\theta}]$, and notice that the m odular param eter and the coordinate are related to proper-tim e Schw inger param eters for the Feynm an diagram s contributing to the two-point function. In particular, $t$ and $t_{1}{ }^{0}$, where $t_{1}$ is the proper tim e associated with one of the two intemal
propagators, while $t$ is the total proper tim e around the loop. In the eld theory lim it, w ith the possible exception of pinching con gurations, to be discussed later, these proper tim es have to rem ain nite, and thus the lim it ${ }^{0}$ ! 0 m ust correspond to the lim it f ; $g!1$ in the integrand. This can also be checked directly by exam ining the integralin Eq. ( $\overline{3}$. $\overline{-1}$ ), and ism ost easily seen by rescaling the integration variable according to ${ }^{\wedge}=$. The eld theory lim it is then determ ined by the asym ptotic behavior of the $G$ reen function for large, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
G()=-+\log (2 \sinh ()) \quad 4 e^{2} \sinh ^{2}()+O\left(e^{4}\right) ; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ ust be taken to be nite; in this region, we may use

$$
\begin{equation*}
G() \quad\left(\wedge \alpha^{2}\right) \quad X_{n=1}^{A} \frac{1}{n} e^{2 n^{\wedge}} \quad e^{2(1 \wedge)}+2 e^{2} e^{2(1+\wedge)} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ G}{@} \quad 1 \quad 2^{\wedge}+2_{n=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} e^{2 n^{\wedge}} \quad 2 e^{2(1 \wedge)}+2 e^{2(1+\wedge)} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2} G}{@^{2}} \quad \frac{2}{-} 4_{n=1}^{x^{\lambda}} n e^{2 n^{\wedge}} \quad 4 e^{2(1 \wedge)} 4 e^{2(1+\wedge)}: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In most cases it will be su cient to keep only the rst term in the in nite series


W e now substinute these results into Eq. ( 3 (2, 2 ), and keep only term $s$ that rem ain nite when $k=e^{2}$ ! 0 ; divergent term $s$ are discarded by hand, since they correspond to the propagation of the tachyon in the loop. N otioe that the divergence associated w th the tachyon is not regularized by continuing the space-tim e dim ension aw ay from four, whereas all divergences associated w ith ghons are tumed into the usual poles of dim ensional regularization. N otice also that by taking the large
lim it with ^ $O(1)$ we have discarded two singular regions of integration that potentially contribute in the eld theory lim it, nam ely ! 0 and ! . In these regions the $G$ reen function has a logarithm ic singularity corresponding to the insertion of the two extemal states very close to each other, and this singularity, as we shall see the in the next section, in general gives non-vanishing contributions in the eld theory lim it. H ow ever, in the case of the two-ghon am plitude, these regions correspond to Feynm an diagram sw ith a loop consisting of a single propagator, i. e. a \tadpole". M assless tadpoles are de ned to vanish in dim ensional regularization, and thus we are justi ed in discarding these contributions as well.

Ifwe delete allunw anted contributions, replace the variable w ith $\wedge=$, and
 becom es

$$
R(s)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{\wedge}{ }^{1 d=2} e^{\left.2_{s} \wedge \wedge^{2}\right)^{h}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.2^{\wedge}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 2
\end{array}\right) 8^{i} ; \tag{3.10}
\end{array}\right.
$$

w ith $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}$. Integration by parts is not really necessary, but it m akes calculations easier. T he integral is now elem entary, and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s)=\quad 2 \frac{d^{2}}{2}\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2} 2 \frac{6}{1} \frac{7 d}{d} B \quad \frac{d}{2} \quad 1 ; \frac{d}{2} \quad 1 \quad ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is the Euler beta function.
 cancls, as it must. The ultraviolet nite string am plitude, Eq. ( replaced by a eld theory am plitude which diverges in four space-tim e dim ensions, because of the pole in the function in Eq. (isin. 1.1 . Reverting to the custom ary conventions of dim ensional regularization, we set $d=42$ and $g_{i}=g \quad$, where $g$ is dim ensionless and an arbitrary scale. Putting everything together we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)=N^{a_{1} a_{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{4 \quad 2}{p_{1} \quad \mathrm{p}} \quad "_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} p_{1} \quad p \\
& \text { ( ) } \frac{11 \quad 7}{3 \quad 2} \text { B(1 } \quad ; 1 \quad \text { : } \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ ) is exactly equal to the ghon vacuum polarization of $Y$ ang $M$ ills theory, com puted w th the background eld $m$ ethod, in Feynm an gauge, w ith dim ensional regularization.

From Eq. ( by a wave function renom alization, w ith a $m$ inim al subtraction $Z$ factor given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}=1+\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{}: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hile this result is what we expected, to $m$ ake sure that our prescription is consistent we need to go on to com pute the three and four-point renorm alizations as well, and verify that gauge invariance is preserved. This we will do in the next tw o sections.

## 4 The three-gluon am plitude

The color-ordered am plitude for three transverse ghons at tree level is given by Eq. (2 $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ) w ith $M=3$, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)=4 g_{d} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad "_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} p_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} \\
& + \text { " }_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} p_{3} \quad 1^{\prime \prime}+"_{3} \quad i^{\prime \prime} p_{1} \quad i^{\prime \prime}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad \text {; } \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

 Since we are ultim ately interested in the eld theory lim it, it is not necessary to
w rite such tem $s$ explicitly. As is well known, when ${ }^{0}!0, A{ }^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ in
 and the reader $m$ ay easily verify the correctness of the norm alization, taking into account our conventions.

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& +"_{2} \quad{ }_{3} @_{3}^{2} G(32)\left(@_{2} \quad{ }_{1} \varrho_{2} G(2)+p_{3} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G(3)\right)_{i} \\
& +"_{1} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3}^{2} G(3)\left(\rho_{3} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G(32) \quad p_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} @_{2} G(2)\right) \\
& +O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

O ur task is now to compare $A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ w th $A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ in the lim it ${ }^{0}!0$, and thus derive the three-ghon vertex renom alization constant at one loop.

A careful analysis of Eq. ( $\overline{4} \bar{n}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) allow s us to distinguish three di erent types of regions in the integration dom ain that contribute to the eld theory lim it, and to associate the three corresponding contributions to the three classes of Feynm an diagram s depicted in Figs. 'ī1 1 punctures, say 2 and ${ }_{3}$, and follow the reasoning of Section $\stackrel{3}{3}$, which again leads us to identify the eld theory lim it of the am plitude ( $\underline{U}_{2} \underline{2}_{2}$ ) w ith the lim it ! 1 in the integrand ( lim it that give nite contributions. First of all, one can let

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \quad \hat{3} \quad \hat{2}_{2} \quad \hat{3}_{32}=0(1) ; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to shrinking the width of the annulus, while keeping the punctures widely separated. This region is strictly analogous to the only region that contributes to the tw o-point function, and can be discussed along the sam e lines of Section 'īָ-1. W e refer to it as a region of type I. A s we shall see, this region w ill generate the contribution of one-particle irreducible diagram $s$ w ith three propagators in the loop, and thus only three-point vertioes, as shown in Fig.

[^3]

Figure 1: Representative diagram for the type I region of the three-gluon am plitude.


Figure 2: Representative diagram for the type II region $\hat{3}_{32}=0\left({ }^{1}\right)$ of the three-ghon am plitude.

The second relevant region is

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \hat{3} 2=0\left({ }^{1}\right): \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the coordinates are still widely separated, since $3{ }_{2}=O(1)$, but the proper tim e of the corresponding propagator vanishes as ! 1 , so that the two punctures come together in the eld theory lim it. This region, which we call of type II, will generate the contribution of a diagram with a four-point vertex and only two propagators in the loop, as shown in Fig. are still w idely separated, the expansion given in Eq. ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{-}$ - ${ }^{-1}$ ) for the $G$ reen function G ( 32 ) can still be applied, as we are still aw ay from the singularity corresponding to $2=3$.

Finally, the third relevant region is the pinching region, de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \quad \hat{3} 2=0\left({ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that in the lim it ! 1 one approaches the logarithm ic singularity of ${ }^{(32}$ ) as $32!0$. In this region, which we call of type III, the expansion in Eq. (


Figure 3: Representative diagram for the pinching region $\hat{3}_{32}=0\left({ }^{2}\right)$ of the three-gluon am plitude.
longer applicable, and one m ust use instead

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { G ( ) } \quad \log (2 \quad \text { ) ; } \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the G reen function and its derivatives. A s show $n$ already in $R$ ef. $[\overline{1}]$, pinching tw o variables is equivalent to the introduction of a propagator attached to the loop, and thus generates an exchange diagram in the channel determ ined by the two pinched punctures. W hen such an exchange involves only gluons, the corresponding contribution to the amplitude in the lim it ${ }^{0}$ ! 0 certainly corresponds to a one-particle reducible diagram, as shown in Fig. $i_{13}$. H ow ever, there $m$ ay be cases in which after pinching, the resulting exchange involves also the tachyon of the bosonic string. This leads to a divergence which we discard by hand, as we did for the divergence due to tachyons circulating in the loop. A s we shall see in Section'is-1, there are circum stanœes in which the propagation of a tachyon in a pinched con guration can give a contribution that survives in the eld theory lim it, in the form of a contact interaction am ong four ghons. Such contributions are properly dealt w ith by assigning them to a type-II region, together with all other contributions corresponding to four-point vertioes directly attached to the loop.

Since we are interested in $m$ inim al subtraction renorm alization constants, in the follow ing we will concentrate on the contributions that diverge as d! 4. They are easy to isolate, as they can be produced only by an integral over the m odular param eter containing the factor ${ }^{1 d=2}$, that gives (2 $d=2$ ) (lower powers of
are suppressed by corresponding extra powers of ${ }^{0}$ ). Since each integration generates a factor of through the change of variable ! ^, we actually need the square bracket in Eq. (4̄_J ) to generate a single negative power of, in order to produce a divergent integral.

In order to display the relevant contributions, let's focus our attention on the term of Eq. ( $\overline{4} \bar{Z} \bar{\prime})$ proportional to " $1 \quad{ }^{\prime}$ " which, up to the constant prefactors, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{1}{n}^{2{ }^{0} p_{1} p_{2} G(2)} e^{2{ }^{0} p_{2} p_{B} G\left({ }_{32}\right)} e^{2{ }^{0} p_{3} p G(3)}  \tag{4.8}\\
& "_{1} \quad{ }_{2}^{\prime \prime} @_{2}^{2} G(2) p_{1} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G(3)+p_{2} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G\left({ }_{32}\right) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

The other term $s$ of $A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ can be obtained by cyclic perm utations from $J\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right)$.

W e start from the region of type I de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \quad \hat{i}+1 ; i=O(1) \text { for all } 1 \quad \text { i } 3 ; \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\wedge_{43} 1 \hat{3}_{3}$. H ere we can use the rst term of the expansion of $@^{2} G()$, Eq. (3. $\bar{Y}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), in order to have the right power of . Then we notice that no term in the expansion of @ G ( ) , Eq. ( $\overline{3} . \mathrm{Z})$, can exactly com pensate the factor ofk ${ }^{1}=e^{2}$ in the $m$ easure, that signals the presence of the tachyon in the loop. Thus we are forced to pidk up a factor of $k$ from the expansion of ${ }_{n}\left(1 k^{n}\right)^{2} d$. Singling out these term $s$ in the expansion ofEq. ( $\overline{4} . \bar{d})$, we nd that the totalcontribution to the ultraviolet divergence from region I can be w rilten as

If we are only interested in the coe cient of the $1=$ pole, we can sim ply replace the exponentials w ith an infrared cuto of the form $\exp \left(2 \mathrm{~m}{ }^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)_{I}=\frac{4}{3} "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} p_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{O}+\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can now check that the region ofm odulispace just considered in fact corresponds to the diagram s in eld theory w ith three propagators in the loop. Em ploying the usualfeynm an rules of the background eld m ethod [2]ī] one easily nds that these diagram s give in fact the sam e result as Eq. ( $\overline{4}-\overline{1} \overline{1} 1$ '1) .

Let us now tum our attention to the regions of type II. There are three such regions, all characterized by ! 1, while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{3}_{32}=O\left({ }^{1}\right) ; \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \hat{3}_{3}=O\left({ }^{1}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{2}_{2}=O\left({ }^{1}\right): \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Region ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ corresponds to a vanishing proper tim e betw een the punctures 2 and 3 and can be associated w ith the diagram in Fig. in. Sim ilarly, if we keep in $m$ ind that the two points ${ }_{1}=0$ and are to be identi ed, we realize that the region


Figure 4: Representative diagram for the type II region $1 \quad \hat{3}_{3}=O\left({ }^{1}\right)$ of the three-ghon am plitude.
in Eq. ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} \overline{3}$ ') corresponds to a vanishing proper tim ebetw een 3 and ${ }_{1}$, and thus it can be associated w ith the diagram represented in Fig. 'I-1. Finally, the third region, Eq. ( $4-1]^{-1}$ ), corresponds to a vanishing proper time between 1 and 2 and can be associated w ith a diagram $w$ th two propagators and a four-point vertex between the ghons 1 and 2.

In order to see how these regions contribute to $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$, we use for @ $G()$
 $k^{\wedge}$. They com bine to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \text { " }_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{p}_{1} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{k}^{\left(1 \wedge_{32}\right)} \mathrm{k}^{\left(1+\wedge_{32}\right)}+::: \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in region ( 4 - 12 ), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \text { " }_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{p}_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{k}^{\wedge} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\left(2 \wedge_{3}\right)}+::: \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in region $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1}=1)$. For general values of $\wedge_{2}$ and $\wedge_{3}$ such term s , as well as all the others which we have not exhibited, fail to com pensate the leading factor ofk ${ }^{1}$ from the
 Eqs. (4.15) and (4) becom e proportionalto $k$, and thus give a nite contribution. O nce again, if we are only interested in the ultraviolet divergence we can replace the exponential of the $G$ reen functions by an infrared cuto as above, whereupon the integralover the punctures becom es trivial. Indeed, the expression in Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\bar{T}})$
 factor of $(8=)\left(\begin{array}{lll}11 & z^{\prime \prime} p_{2} & 3^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$ from region ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1}^{\prime} \overline{3}\right)$. On the other hand, one can check that region ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1}_{1} \overline{4}\right)$ does not give any contribution to the ultraviolet divergence of $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$. In fact, in this case it is not possible to produce the right pow er of the $m$ odular param eter from the ${ }^{\wedge}$ integration.

Recalling that $p_{1} \quad 3^{\prime \prime}=p_{2} \quad 3_{3}^{\prime \prime}$, the total contribution to $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ from regions of type II is then
and one can verify that this is exactly the contribution from diagram $s w$ ith a fourghon vertex in the background eld $m$ ethod, as con jectured.
$F$ inally, we tum to the analysis of the pinching regions. In our case, there are three such regions, nam ely ! 1 with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{2}_{2}=0\left({ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{3}_{32}=0\left({ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \hat{3}_{3}=O\left({ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

as dictated by cyclic sym $m$ etry and periodicity on the annulus. O ne can easily see that in all those regions at least one of the G reen functions in Eq. ( $\overline{4} . \bar{d})$ is singular.

Let us consider, for exam ple, the rst pinching, i.e. $2!0$. Since 2 is localized in a neighbourhood of 0 , we can replace the integral ${ }_{0}^{R} d_{2}$ with an integral ${ }_{0}^{R} d_{2}$, where is an arbitrary sm all num ber. Further, we can use the approxim ation in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} . \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ for the $G$ reen function $G(2)$ and its derivatives. A fter this is done, we can expand $G\left({ }_{32}\right)$ in powers of 2 , which tums the am plitude $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ into an in nite series. The $n$-th term of this series is proportionalto an integral of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2+2}{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith n 0. A fter a suitable analytic continuation in the m om enta to insure convergence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}^{1+2} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{n} 1+2 \mathrm{~g}_{1} \mathrm{p}}: \tag{422}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, when the pinching $2!0$ is perform ed, the am plitude $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ becom es an in nite sum over all possible string states that are exchanged in the (12)-channel, $\mathrm{n}=0$ corresponding to the tadhyon, $\mathrm{n}=1$ to the gluon and so on. In the case of the three-gluon am plitude, the exchange of a tachyon does not give any contribution: in fact, for 2 ! 0

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{2}^{2} G(2) p_{1}{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G(3)+p_{2} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G(32)  \tag{423}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \quad 3_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G\left({ }_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} p_{2} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3}^{2} G\left({ }_{3}\right)+:::
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the trasversality of the extemal states, we see that the coe cient of the quadratic dijergence $\frac{1}{2}$ is zero. Even if this tachyon contribution had not been vanishing, we would have discarded it, according to our prescription. On the other hand, the ghon term survives in the eld theory lim it, and actually contributes to the ultraviolet divergence, as expected: in fact, the single pole in 2 generates, through the change of variables to $\hat{2}_{2}$, the negative pow er of needed for the integral


Figure 5: Representative diagram for the pinching region $2!0$ of the three-ghon am plitude.
to diverge. A llother term $s$ in the series, corresponding to $n \quad 2$, and to states $w$ hose $m$ ass becom es in nite as ${ }^{0}!0$, vanish in the eld theory lim it.
$K$ eeping this in $m$ ind, and collecting all relevant factors, we nd that the contribution to $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ from the pinching $2!0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)_{2!0}=\frac{\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) P}{p_{1} p} R\left[\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{p}\right]\right]_{1} z^{\prime \prime} p_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} ; \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

 of m om entum invariants which are vanishing on shell. The appearance of such ratios in string am plitudes, in the comers of $m$ oduli space corresponding to loops isolated on extemal legs, is a well-known fact, which for exam ple motivated the work of $R$ ef. $\left.{ }_{[2]}^{2}-1\right]$. A s we already rem arked in $R$ ef. [ $[10$ sim ilar to the one that appears in the unrenom alized connected $G$ reen functions of a m assless eld theory, if the extemal legs are kept on them ass-shelland divergences are regularized w ith dim ensional regularization. There, such am biguity is rem oved by going o shell; sim ilarly here, we form ally continue the ghon $m$ om enta $\circ$ the $m$ ass shell, follow ing the sam e prescription we already adopted in the previous section for the two-gluon am plitude, i.e. we put o shell the $m$ om entum of the gluon attached to the loop, according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{3}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad: \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present case, how ever, this prescription is not enough to rem ove the am biguity in Eq. ( $\overline{4} \bar{A}_{2} \overline{4}$ ), and wem ust further decide whether and how to continue o shellalso the other gluon $m$ om enta, $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. H ere we rely on the assum ption, substantiated by the results obtained so far, that string am plitudes lead to eld theory am plitudes calculated $w$ th the background eld $m$ ethod. A swas show in Ref. $\underline{L D}_{2}^{2} \overline{1}$, to calculate am plitudes $w$ ith the background eld $m$ ethod it is necessary to treat one-particle
irreducible and one-particle reducible diagram squite di erently. S m atrix elem ents are obtained by rst calculating one-particle irreducible vertioes to the desired order, and then gluing them together w ith propagators that can only be de ned when the gauge for the background eld has been xed. A s notioed also in Ref. [ī1] , the gauge chosen for the background eld is quite independent from the gauge that had been chosen for the quantum eld, in our case the Feynm an gauge. This leads us to interpret Eq. (4̄-2 $\mathbf{4}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) as a one-loop, one-particle irreducible two-point function, whose m om entum m ust be continued o shell according to Eq. ( $\bar{A} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{5})$, glued to a tree-level three-point vertex, for which no o-shell continuation is necessary. We thus keep $p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}=0$, which, using $m$ om entum conservation, im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) p}{p_{1} p}=2: \tag{426}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, w ith this prescription, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)_{2!0} & =2 R\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} p_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\frac{44}{3} "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} p_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{2}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

 exactly the contribution of one-particle reducible diagram sw ith the loop isolated on the third leg as shown in Fig.

The other tw o pinchings, Eq. ( $\overline{4} . \overline{1} \overline{1}=1)$ and Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{d})$, can be analyzed in a sim ilar way w th obvious changes of labels. O ne nds that they lead to the sam e result of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{2}^{-} \overline{1}\right)$ and can be associated with the diagram s represented in Fig. $1 \overline{1}-1$ and Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ '⿴囗 , with the loop isolated on the rst and the second leg respectively. The total contribution to $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ from the type III region is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right)_{\text {III }}=44 "_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{p}_{2} \quad 3^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{-}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{428}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we have $m$ entioned before, the other two term s of the am plitude $(\overline{4}-\overline{2})$ that are proportional to $"_{2} \quad{ }_{3}$ and "3 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, can be simply obtained from $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ by cyclic perm utations of indices. We can now write the eld theory lim it of the full one-loop am plitude $A{ }^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ and extract from it the vertex renorm alization constant. Reinstating all nom alization factors, and sum $m$ ing the contributions from regions I, II and III, we nd that the total divergence is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)_{d i v}=2 N \frac{g^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{-A^{(0)}}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right): \tag{429}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring w ith what we expect from eld theory for a connected three-point am pllude, nam ely

$$
\mathrm{A}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{div}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z}_{3}^{1} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}^{3} & 1) \mathrm{A}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) ; \tag{4.30}
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 6: Representative diagram for the pinching region 3 ! of the three-gluon am plitude.
and using the result ofEq. ( $(\overline{3}-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{3}=1+\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{-}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad: \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The W ard identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is typical of the background eld $m$ ethod. Thus our present result is a con m ation of what we have already found in Section $\bar{\sim}$ consistency check on the whole procedure.

Further evidence of this fact is given by the follow ing observation: since the contributions from regions I and II are represented by the one-particle irreducible diagram s of Figs. 'in'
 contributions from regions I and II should be the proper three-point vertex and give directly the renorm alization constant $Z_{3}$. This is exactly what happens. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)_{1 P I} \quad & A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right){ }_{I}+A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right) \\
= & N \frac{g^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{-} A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)+0\left({ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

which once again leads to the background eld $W$ ard identity ( 4
In order to obtain these results, we could have adopted a slightly di erent procedure, integrating by parts the double derivatives of the $G$ reen functions as we did in Section $\mathfrak{i s}$. D oing that one arrives at the sam e result found by Bem, $K$ osow er and Roland in Ref. [్ַ̄̄] (they used a di erent string $m$ odel, but that does not have any
in uence on the eld theory lim it). Integration by parts m oves all contributions to the renorm alization constants to the pinching regions, and thus spoils the identi cation of the di erent regions of m oduli space w ith classes of Feynm an diagram s , which is clearly displayed here. H ow ever, if one uses our prescription, Eq. (4̄-2 and Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{-2} \overline{6})$, to rem ove the am biguity associated w th the pinching, Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is again obtained.

A s a nal consistency chedk, we w ill verify the gauge invariance of our prescription, by calculating in the follow ing section the renorm alization of the four-point vertex, and show ing that it is properly related to the renorm alization of the gauge coupling.

## 5 The four-gluon am plitude

In order to obtain the renorm alization constant $Z_{4}$ of the four-point vertex, we need to com pare the four-ghon am plitude at one loop w th the tree-level expression,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)=4 g_{d}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right) \quad "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{3} \quad{ }_{4}^{\prime \prime} \frac{p_{1}}{p_{1}} P^{p} \\
& +"_{1} \quad{ }_{3} "_{2} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime}+"_{1} \quad{ }_{4} "_{2} \quad{ }_{3} \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{} \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{P}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~B}}+::: \quad: \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere term s of the form (" ") (" $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{p}\right)$ as well as higher orders in 0 , have not been written explicitly, since they will not play any role in our discussion. A m ore com plete expression for $A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)$ can be found in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A}-\bar{\square} \cdot \bar{G}\right)$ of A ppendix A.

The color-ordered planar am plitude w ith four ghons at one loop is given by Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{\overline{3}} \overline{\overline{3}})$ in the case $M=4$. N otige that in the open bosonic string the com plete one-loop four-ghon am plitude receives a contribution also from the non-planar diagram, where two gluons are em lited at one boundary of the annulus and two at the other. H ow ever, the non-planar diagram does not contribute to the renorm alization constant $Z_{4}$, so we need not consider it here.

To sim plify the analysis, we w ill again focus on a single term of the fill four-
 for the chosen color ordering, at tree-level this term is generated only by the 1P I diagram given by the four-point vertex ${ }^{4}$. Thus, we w ill calculate the contributions from the three regions described in the previous section to the integral
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Figure 7: Representative diagram for the type I region of the four-ghon am plitude.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& "_{1} \quad{ }_{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2} \quad{ }_{4} @_{3}^{2} G(3) @_{4}^{2} G(42) \quad: ~
\end{aligned}
$$

W e stant from region $I$, de ned in this case by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \quad \hat{i}+1 ; i=O(1) \text { for all } 1 \text { i } 4 \text {; } \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{5}_{54} 1 \hat{\wedge}_{4}$. Since we are looking for those contributions that in the eld theory lim it diverge when d! 4, again we need to isolate in the integrand of Eq. (5.2.2) the term proportional to ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}=2}$. Then, to nd the divergence, we can replace the exponentials of the $G$ reen functions by a simple infrared cuto, as we did in Eq. ( $\overline{4}, 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) . Substituting $@^{2} G() w$ th the leading term of its expansion, Eq. $(\overline{3}-\underline{d})$, the contribution to $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)$ com ing from region $I$ is

W e can check that this corresponds to the contribution of the eld theory diagram s w ith four propagators in the loop, as depicted in F ig. ${ }_{1} \mathrm{I}_{1}$.

In the previous section we leamt that factors of $1=$ are produced also by the type II regions. In the present case we have four such regions, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \hat{\mathrm{i}}+1 ; \mathrm{i}=0\left({ }^{1}\right) ; 1 \text { i } 4 \text { : } \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To generate the desired factor of $1=$ we m ust recover in the integrand of Eq . ${ }^{\prime}(5)$ an expression sim ilar to Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{-1} \overline{\overline{1}})$ ), and thus we m ust em ploy the last two term $\bar{s}$ of the expansion (


Figure 8: Representative diagram $s$ that do not contribute to the divergence of the term proportional to $"_{1} \quad 3^{"} 2 \quad 4$ " of the four-ghon am plitude. They instead contribute to the divergence of the term proportional to "1 ${ }_{1} \quad{ }^{2} "_{3} \quad 4$.
only one pair of ^ variables satis es Eq. ( $\overline{5}$ - ${ }^{-5}$ ), w hile the others are w idely separated, only one factor of $1=$ is produced by the integration, and the nal result is not ultraviolet divergent in $d=4$. This $m$ eans that diagram $s w$ ith three propagators in the loop, like those depicted in Fig. 1 i, 1 , do not contribute to the coe cient of the $1=$ pole for the term $"_{1} \quad{ }_{3} "_{2} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime}$. On the contrary, to produce a divergence we m ust consider two of the regions in Eq. (5. two possibilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \hat{2}=O\left({ }^{1}\right) \text { and } \hat{4}_{43}=O\left({ }^{1}\right) ; \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \hat{3} 2=O\left({ }^{1}\right) \text { and } 1 \quad \hat{4}_{4}=O\left({ }^{1}\right): \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

 which have two propagators and two four-point vertioes. The procedure is now alm ost identical to the one followed in Section. the relevant term $s$ of the form $k \wedge$ com bine to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 \mathrm{k}^{1+\wedge_{2} \wedge_{43}+\mathrm{k}^{1+\wedge_{43} \wedge_{2}}+:::: ~} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in region (5. (5) , and

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 \mathrm{k}^{\wedge_{32}+\wedge_{4}}+\mathrm{k}^{2 \wedge_{4} \wedge_{32}}+::: \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in region $(5.7)$. C om puting the ${ }^{\wedge}$ integrals and adding the two contributions, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \mathrm{p}_{4}\right)_{\text {II }}=16 "_{1} \quad 3^{n} "_{2} \quad 4^{1} \frac{1}{-}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{0}\right): \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, we tum our attention to one-particle reducible contributions originating from the pinching regions. By analyzing the structure of the integrand of Eq. (5 ${ }^{-2}$ ), one realizes that pinching singularities contribute to the ultraviolet divergence of the term we are exam ining only when three consecutive punctures are pinched together,


Figure 9: Representative diagram for the region $\hat{2}_{2}=O\left({ }^{1}\right)$ and $\wedge_{43}=O\left({ }^{1}\right)$ of the four-glion am plitude.
that is when 2 ! 3 ! ${ }_{4}$, or $3!{ }_{4}$ ! , or $3!{ }_{2}!$, or $2!0$ with ${ }_{4}!$. Each of these four regions gives the sam e contribution, that we expect to be sim ply proportional to the two-ghon am plitude, since the loop is isolated on an extemal leg, as show n in Fig. iíii.

Let us conœentrate on the pinching $2!3!{ }_{4}$, which isolates the loop on the rst leg. In this case Eq. (5 $5^{-2}$ ) becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here is an arbitrary sm all num ber. By $m$ eans of the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
3!\mathrm{x}=43 ; 2!\mathrm{y}=\frac{32}{43} \text {; } \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integrals over 2 and 3 decouple and becom e respectively

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{1}} d y(1+y)^{2+2}{ }^{p_{2} p_{1}} y^{2} p_{p_{2} p_{3}}=1+O\left({ }^{0}\right) ;
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{x}^{1+2^{0}\left(p_{2} p_{3}+p_{3} p_{1}+p_{2} p_{4}\right)}:
$$

$N$ otice that this last integral is of the form of Eq. (4)
${ }^{0}$ ! 0 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)_{2!3!l_{4}=} & "_{1} 3_{3}^{\prime \prime} "_{2} 4^{\prime \prime} \frac{\left(p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}\right) p}{p_{2} p+p_{3} p+p_{2} p} \\
& R\left(\left(p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}\right) p\right): \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 10: Representative diagram for the region $\hat{3}_{32}=O\left({ }^{1}\right)$ and $1 \hat{\wedge}_{4}=O\left({ }^{1}\right)$ of the four-glion am plitude.

A s expected, this last equation contains a ratio of invariants that becom es indeter$m$ inate when the extemal states are on shell. $W$ e solve the am biguity $w$ ith the sam e prescription used in the previous section, i.e. we put o shell only the $m$ om entum of the ghon attached to the loop and keep the others on shell, according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{3}^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{4}^{2}=0 \quad: \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $m$ om entum conservation and Eq. ( 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}\right) p}{p_{2} p+p_{3} p+p_{2} p}=2: \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{44}{3} \#_{1} \quad 3^{\prime \prime \prime} "_{2} \quad 4^{\prime \prime}-O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad \text {; } \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where again we have used Eq. ( $\overline{3}-\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{1})$ for $d=4 \quad 2$. The other three pinchings can be analyzed in a sim ilar way and lead to the sam e result of Eq. ( 5.18 . ${ }^{\prime}$ ). Therefore, the total contribution to $J\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)$ from region III is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} ; \mathrm{P}_{3} ; \mathrm{P}_{4}\right)_{\text {III }}=4 \frac{44}{3} "_{1} \quad 3^{n} "_{2} \quad 4^{1}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

C ollecting allthe results derived so far, and reinstating allnom alization factors, we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}^{(1)}[13 ; 24]_{\mathrm{div}}=3 \mathrm{~N} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}^{(0)}}[13 ; 24] ; \tag{520}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbols $A^{(1)}[13 ; 24]$ and $A^{(0)}[13 ; 24]$ denote the term sproportional to $"_{1} \quad{ }^{3} "_{2} \quad 4$ in the four-ghon am plitude at one loop and tree level respectively.


Figure 11: Representative diagram for the pinching $2!{ }_{3}!{ }_{4}$ in the four-ghon am plitude. The other three double pinchings, $3!{ }_{4}!,_{2}!0$ with ${ }_{4}!$, and $3!2_{2}!0$, give rise to sim ilar diagram $s$ w ith the loop isolated respectively on the second, the third and the fourth extemalgluon.

C om paring w ith what we expect from eld theory for a truncated, connected fourpoint $G$ reen function, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{4}=1+N \frac{g^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{-}: \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we are led to the background eld W ard identities

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{Z}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{4} ;
$$

as desired.
This result is further con m ed by the fact that the contributions from the type I and type II regions, associated w ith one-particle irreducible diagram s, properly add. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}^{(1)}[13 ; 24]_{1 \mathrm{PI}} & \mathrm{~A}^{(1)}[13 ; 24]_{I}+\mathrm{A}^{(1)}[13 ; 24]_{\mathrm{II}} \\
= & \mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{-} \mathrm{A}^{(0)}[13 ; 24]+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{523}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads directly to Eq. (5
 the four-ghon am plitude, and show that our results, Eq. (5 5 hold in this case. Let us then consider the integral

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{2{ }^{0_{1} p_{2} G(2)}} e^{2 D_{p_{1}} p_{3} G(3)} e^{2{ }_{p_{1}} p_{1} G(4)} \tag{524}
\end{align*}
$$

and let us com pute its eld theory lim it. The contribution from the type I region, Eq. ( 5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)_{I}=\frac{4}{3} "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} "_{3} \quad 4-1 / O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{525}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $T$ his can be traced to the fact that in this case there are contributions from regions of type II in which the two ${ }^{\wedge}$ variables that are taken to be close to each other are precisely the ones that appear in the argum ent of one of the $G$ reen functions whose double derivative is taken in Eq. (5.2"'). A s a consequence, there w ill now be non-vanishing contributions also when a single pair of ^ variables approach each other. Let us, for instance, consider the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \hat{z}=0\left({ }^{1}\right): \tag{526}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith all other variables w idely separated. It corresponds to the diagram depicted
 of $@_{2}^{2} G\left({ }_{2}\right) @_{4}^{2} G\left({ }_{43}\right)$ com bine to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8}{x^{\lambda}} n e^{2 n \wedge^{\wedge}}+e^{2\left(1 \wedge_{2}\right)}+e^{2\left(1+\wedge_{2}\right)}+::: \tag{527}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow how ever, in contrast to allprevious cases, the entire series in $n$, and not just its rst term, m ust be taken into account: in fact, in region ( $\overline{5} \overline{2}$ ) allterm sof the form $e^{2 n}{ }^{2}$ are on equal footing for any $n$ since $\hat{i}$ is nite. Com puting the integrals, and extracting the right power of that is necessary to produce the divergence in $d=4 \quad 2$, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2 "_{1} \quad \text { " }^{\prime \prime} "_{3} \quad 4^{1}-1+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \tag{528}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have regularized the divergent sum ${ }_{n=1}^{\mathrm{P}} 1$ by m eans of the form ula ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n=1}^{x^{2}} 1=\lim _{s!0_{n=1}^{x^{2}}}^{n s}=(0)=\frac{1}{2}: \tag{529}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be apparent that the reason for this divergence is the singularity of the $G$ reen function as $2!$ 0, which did not cause problem swhen only the rst term in the series in Eq. (3, $\mathbf{I V}_{1}$ ) w as used, but cannot be avoided when the whole series is included. O ne may thus wonder whether we are not double counting som e term s,

[^5]which $m$ ight as well be included in the pinching region. This does not happen, and the reason is that the residual contribution from the pinching region, which is inchuded in Eq. ( $\mathbf{5}_{2} \mathbf{2}_{1}^{2}$ ), can be traced to the exchange of a tachyon in the pinched channel, that is explicitly discarded when we study region III. In fact, if one uses Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{2}_{2}^{2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for $n=0$ to isolate the tachyon contribution in the relevant channel, the result is divergent in the eld theory lim it. This divergence can be regularized by taking the residue of the tachyon pole, which corresponds to a contact interaction w ith a four-gluon vertex and no propagating tachyon. T his calculation leads pre-
 in region II we are correctly identifying it as a one-particle irreducible contribution.

A sim ilar reasoning leads to identify an identical contribution from the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
!1 ; \quad \hat{43}=0\left({ }^{1}\right) ; \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to the diagram depicted on the right of Fig.
F inally, we m ust consider the contribution from regions ( 5.6 ) and ( 5.7 . 1 ), associated w ith the diagram $s$ in $F$ ig. 'I ${ }_{-1}^{-1}$ and $F$ ig. iilo respectively. The relevant term $s$ of $@_{2}^{2} G\left({ }_{2}\right) @_{4}^{2} G\left({ }_{43}\right)$ com bine to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16{ }^{X^{\lambda}} n e^{2 n \wedge_{2}}+e^{2\left(1 \wedge_{2}\right)}+e^{2^{\left(1+\wedge_{2}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& m=1 \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

in region ( 5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 e^{2\left(\wedge_{4} \wedge_{32}\right)}+e^{2\left(2 \wedge_{4}+\wedge_{32}\right)}+:::: \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om puting the integrals we nd that, after using tw ioe the - function regular-


$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \text { " }_{1} \quad z^{n} "_{3} \quad 4^{n}-1 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
8 "_{1} \quad{ }_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \quad 4^{1}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A dding all contributions of the type II regions, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)_{\text {II }}=6 "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime \prime} \quad 4^{4}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{5,35}
\end{equation*}
$$

A swe have discussed above, the regions oftype I and II are associated w ith diagram s that are 1P I. T hen, adding the contributions of these regions, Eq. ( 5


$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(1)}[12 ; 34]_{1 P I} & A^{(1)}[12 ; 34]_{I}+A^{(1)}[12 ; 34]_{I I} \\
= & N \frac{9^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{-} A^{(0)}[12 ; 34]_{1 P I}+O\left({ }^{0}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{(0)}[12 ; 34]_{1 P I}$ stands for the color-ordered tree-level IP I term proportional to " ${ }_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} "_{3} \quad 4$ " in the Feynm an gauge, that is

$$
A^{(0)}[12 ; 34]_{1 P I}=2 g_{d}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \tag{5.37}
\end{array}\right) "_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime \prime} 3 \quad 4^{\prime \prime}:
$$


Finally, the pinching contributions to $\mathcal{J}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)$ due to gluon exchanges can be easily com puted along the lines discussed above. If we also add them, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}^{(1)}[12 ; 34]_{\text {div }}=3 \mathrm{~N} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{11}{3}{\underset{1}{1}}_{\mathrm{A}^{(0)}}[12 ; 34] ; \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

as expected.

## 6 A direct com putation of proper vertices

From the detailed analysis of the previous sections we leamt that it is possible, and indeed desirable, if one wishes to calculate renorm alization constants, to isolate from the amplitude one-particle irreducible diagram s that contribute to the e ective action. In this section we will show how this can be done directly at the level of the string am plitude, follow ing the ideas of $M$ etsaev and $T$ seytlin ing point of this approach is the introduction of an altemative expression for the bosonic $G$ reen function, Eq. (2.4'1), which is suitable for a regularization of pinching singularities. A s we shall see, the -function regularization used in this case is precisely the one introduced in Eq. (5) 5 residual contributions from the propagation of the tachyon in the pinched channel, assigning them to one-particle irreducible diagram s . T he m ost interesting feature of this approach is that, at least at one loop, it allow s to integrate exactly over the punctures, before the eld theory lim it is taken. This is possible because, having regularized the pinching singularity, there is no integration region that generates negative powers of ${ }^{0}$ in the eld theory lim it. O ne can then focus on term sproportional to ( $\left.{ }^{0}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d}=2$, and for those term s one is allowed to replace the exponentials of the $G$ reen function by a simple infrared cuto, of the form $\exp \left(2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right.$ ), aswe leamt in the previous sections. The sim pli ed integral over the punctures can then be perform ed exactly, using the G reen function given by Eq. $\overline{2} . \overline{4} 1 \mathrm{I})$. U sing these techniques we will be able to express the renorm alizations of the various divergent am plitudes in term s of a single string integral, $Z$ (d), which in the eld theory lim it reproduces the wave function renom alization $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

O ur starting point is Eq. ( $\overline{2}-\overline{3} \overline{3}^{\prime}$ ), w ith the choioe of pro jective transform ations given in Eq. $(\overline{2}=\overline{3} \bar{d}) \cdot$ W e rew rite it as

$$
A_{P}^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{M}\right)=N \operatorname{Tr}\left(a^{a_{1}} \quad a_{M}\right) \frac{g_{d}^{M}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(20^{2 d=2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1)^{\mathrm{M}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{I}_{1}^{(1)}() ; \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

For M = 2, after a partial integration with vanishing surface term, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{(1)}()=l_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} p_{1} \quad p_{0}^{z} d \quad @ G()^{2} e^{2 p_{p_{1}} G()}: \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s announced, since the overall power of ${ }^{0}$ is already appropriate, we can now neglect the exponential, and replace it w ith an infrared cuto to isolate ultraviolet divergences. U sing the expression in Eq. (2, 2 "1 1 ) for the $G$ reen function, we can easily perform exactly the integral over the puncture, by $m$ eans of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z_{1}} d x \sin (2 \mathrm{nx}) \sin (2 \mathrm{mx})=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{nm}} \quad: \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{(1)}()=\frac{2^{2}}{n_{1}} \quad 2^{\prime \prime} p_{1} \quad Q_{n=1}^{x^{A}} \frac{1+q_{1}^{2 n}!_{2}}{1 q^{2 n}} ; \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allow s one to w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)_{d i v} & =\frac{N}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(a^{a_{1}} a_{2}\right) \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{2 d=2} n_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} p_{1} \quad \mathrm{PZ}(\mathrm{~d}) \\
& =\frac{N}{4} \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{2 d=2} Z(d) A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right) \quad: \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \text { (d) } \quad(2)^{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} L_{m=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{1+q^{2 m} q^{2 m}}{1 q^{2 m}} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the string integral that generates the renorm alization constants as ${ }^{0}!0$, and, w ith our norm alizations

$$
A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \tag{6.8}
\end{array}\right) "_{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} p_{1} \quad p:
$$

Since the integral Z (d) will reappear in the analysis of the three and four-point am plitudes, we postpone its evaluation and go on to perform the integral over the punctures for the other am plitudes.

W ith three ghons we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{3}^{(1)}()={ }_{0}^{z} d_{3}^{z}{ }_{0}^{z} d_{2}^{( } \quad \#_{1} \quad{ }_{2}^{\prime \prime} \varrho_{2}^{2} G(2) \\
& \mathrm{p}_{1} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G\left({ }_{3}\right)+p_{2} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} @_{3} G(32)^{i}+:: \quad \text {; } \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where term $s$ needed for cyclic symm etry and term $s$ of order ${ }^{0}$ are not written explicitly, and we discarded the exponentials of the $G$ reen functions, that are again irrelevant for ultraviolet divergences. $N$ otioe that for the three-point function the overall power of ${ }^{0}$ is correct provided we do not perform the partial integration of double derivatives, as was done for the two-point am plitude. A s rem anked at the end of Section ${ }_{i}{ }_{2}$, partial integration of the threegluon integrand reshu es the contributions of di erent diagram s in the eld theory lim it, so that it is no longer possible to identify unam biguously the one-particle irreducible ones.

The integrals over 2 and 3 can now be done, using as before Eq. ( $\overline{2} .4 \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) for the $G$ reen function. It is easier to start by perform ing the integral over ${ }_{3}$, from 2 to 1 . Then the integral over ${ }_{2}$ can be perform ed, as in the case of the two-ghon am plitude, using an identity sim ilar to Eq. ( $\left.\overline{6} . \bar{I}_{1}\right)$, but with the cosines replacing the sines. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{A}} \frac{1+\mathrm{q}^{2 \mathrm{n}}{ }^{!_{2}}}{1 \mathrm{q}^{2 \mathrm{n}}}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{0}\right) \text {; } \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

so that the three-ghon am plitude is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)_{d i v}=\frac{N}{4} \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{2 d=2} Z(d) A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)+O(0) ; \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is once again expressed in term s of the integral $Z$ ( $d$ ). W hen the integral $Z$ (d) is evaluated (as done explicitly in A ppendix B), and the pinching singularities regularized, Eq. ( $\overline{6} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ w ill reduce to Eq. ( $\overline{4}-\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})$, as expected.

For com pleteness, we now show how this procedure goes through for the fourpoint am plitude. In this case we can concentrate on those term $s$ in the am plitude that have no powers of the extemalm om enta (and thus have a coe cient of the type "i ${ }_{j}{ }^{j} \mathrm{~h} \quad \mathrm{k}$ "). T hese have the correct pow er of ${ }^{0}$ before partial integration, so we can again discard the exponentials. Then we need to com pute

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\begin{array}{lll}
\#_{1} & \#_{3} "_{2} \quad{ }_{4} @_{3}^{2} G(3) @_{4}^{2} G(42) \quad \#
\end{array} \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing again Eq. ( $\overline{2} \cdot \mathbf{A} \overline{4} \overline{1})$, we can perform the integrals over the punctures, and we get

The am plitude becom es then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{P}^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)_{d i v}=\frac{N}{4} \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{2 d=2} Z(d) A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)+O\left({ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 1P I part of the tree-level am plitude in Feynm an gauge has the structure
 divergent part of the one-loop am plitude is expressed in term s of the basic integral $Z$ (d). The previous results for the tree-level and one-loop proper vertioes can be obtained from the e ective Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} 1+\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{~d})^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; } \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(d)=\frac{N}{4} \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{2 d=2} Z(d) \quad: \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now perform the lim it ${ }^{0}!~ 0$, keeping the ultraviolet cuto $2 d=2$ sm all.but positive, and elim inating by hand the tachyon contribution, as described in detail in A ppendix $B$, we arrive at

$$
K\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 2 \tag{6.17}
\end{array}\right)!\quad \frac{11}{3} N \frac{g^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{-}+O\left(^{0}\right):
$$

W e see that one-loop diagram s generate divergences that can be elim inated by the addition of a counterterm of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c . t:}=\frac{1}{4} F^{a} F_{a} K_{A} ; \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{A}=K . T h i s$ in plies that the wave function renorm alization constant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad 1+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}=1+\frac{11}{3} \mathrm{~N} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{} ; \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w th Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3}=13)^{\prime}\right)$. It also im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{Z}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{4} ; \tag{620}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent with the results of the previous sections, and as expected in the background eld $m$ ethod.

## 7 String in a non-abelian background eld

The results of the previous sections show that the eld theory lim it of string theory leads unam biguously to the background eld $m$ ethod. Furtherm ore, we have leamt that contributions to the e ective action can be isolated from the am plitudes by regularizing the pinching singularities in the $G$ reen function. In this section we w ill show how, under appropriate assum ptions, these results can be derived directly, for any num ber of string loops, from the partition function of a string interacting $w$ ith an extemal non-abelian background eld. We will give a general expression for the planar contribution to this partition function, and we will form ally generalize the analysis of Section ' ${ }_{-1}$, to all loops, verifying explicitly that gauge invariance is respected, and constructing a general expression for the multiloop analog of the one-loop integral Z (d). W e will then deal with the special problem s arising at tree level and one loop, along the lines of $R$ ef. the previous sections are correctly reproduced w ith this construction. This also substantiates the som ew hat form alm anipulations that one has to perform on the m ultiloop expressions.

Let us consider the planar contribution to the partition function of an open bosonic string interacting with an extemal non-abelian $S U(\mathbb{N})$ background. It is given by
$T$ he path-ordering sym bolP $z_{z}$ rem inds us that in the open string the $z$ variables are ordered, as in Eq. $\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, along the world-sheet boundary; it is de ned by

$$
\operatorname{Tr} P_{z} \exp \quad i g_{d}{ }_{h}^{Z} d z @_{z} X \quad(z) A \quad(X \quad(z)) \quad \underbrace{X^{1}}_{n=0}\left(i g_{d}\right)^{n}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \quad Y^{n} \\
& d z_{i} @_{z_{1}} X^{1}\left(z_{1}\right)::: @_{z_{n}} X{ }^{n}\left(z_{n}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left[A_{1}\left(X\left(z_{1}\right)\right)::: A_{n}\left(X\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right]: \\
& \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n} \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The precise region of integration for the punctures $z_{i} w$ ill in general depend on the $m$ oduli of the open $R$ iem ann surface, and we denoted it by $h_{i, n}$, for a surface of genus h w ith $n$ punctures. The gauge coupling constant $g_{d}$ and the dim ensionless string coupling $g_{s}$ are related by Eq. (2. $\bar{d}$ ). F inally the bosonic string action on a genus $h \mathrm{~m}$ anifold w th w orld sheet m etric g is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}(X ; g ; h)=\frac{1}{4}^{z}{ }_{h} d^{2} z^{p} \bar{g} g @ X(z) \quad @(z) \quad: \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to separate the zero $m$ ode $x$ in the string coordinate $X$, de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} \quad(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{x}+\left(2^{0}\right)^{1=2} \quad(\mathrm{z}) ; \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that is dim ensionless, while the zero mode x as well as the string coordinate $X$ have dim ensions of length. In term $s$ of $x$ and the m easure of the functional integral in Eq. (7̄. $\overline{-1})$ ) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
D X=\frac{d^{d} x}{\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2}} D \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting in Eq. (그근) the representation of the path-ordered exponential given
 function as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{P}(A)= & X^{X^{A}} \frac{N^{n}}{(2)^{d}} g_{s}^{2 h} 2^{Z} \frac{d^{d} x^{d}}{\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2}} d M{ }_{h} \operatorname{Tr}(1)  \tag{7.6}\\
& g_{d}^{2} C_{2}^{(h)}(A)+i g_{d} C_{3}^{(h)}(A)+\left(i g_{d}\right)^{2} C_{4}^{(h)}(A)^{\text {io }} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{i}^{(h)}$ (A ) corresponds to the contributions ofterm $s w$ ith iextemalgauge elds, and is obtained perform ing the functional integral over the variable.

The $m$ easure of integration over the moduli, $d M{ }_{h}$, is equal to the one given in Eq. (2.-흔), but does not include the di erentials of the punctures, nor at this stage the factor $d V_{a b c}$, responsible for the xing of pro jective invariance. For $h 2$ the factor $d V_{a b c}$ can be included w thout problem $s$, provided we do not $x$ the position of any of the punctures, choosing instead three of the xed points of the surface in the Schottky representation. For $h=0$ this cannot be done, and for $h=1$ it can be done only partially, as the surface in these cases has less than three xed points. O ne is then led to x som e of the punctures, as was done in the previous sections. In the present context, how ever, xing som e of the punctures w ould interfere $w$ th the de nition of path ordering given by Eq. (7̄2), and would signi cantly com plicate the follow ing derivation. W e then choose not to $x$ any of the punctures, which ensures that our form ulas are valid for any num ber of loops. T he prige we pay is that at tree level the expressions we w rite are form ally in nite, because we failed to divide by the volum e of the projective group. This how ever can be repaired by treating the projective in nities w ith a renorm alization prescription: rst we regularize them by com pactifying the range of integration, then we divide by the com pacti ed projective volum e, obtaining a quantity that rem ains nite when the com pacti cation radius is taken to in nity. As w ith any renom alization prescription, this leaves us w ith an undeterm ined nite overall constant, that can be xed by com paring $w$ th the results of the previous sections, or w ith a eld theory calculation. For this reason we are unable to deduce Eq. (2 . ${ }^{\prime}$ ) in this functional integral approach (see also $[\underline{[2 \overline{3}}])$. At one loop, we can partially deal w ith the projective invariance by xing the positions of the two xed points of the Schottky generator. W e are then left w ith an overall translational invariance, that we do not elim inate by xing one of the punctures. $R$ ather, we integrate over allpunctures, dividing at the end by the volum e of translation, which is nite at one lop. T his way we get the correct one-loop result, including the overall constant.

Since Eq. (ī]) is the string expectation value of a $W$ ilson loop winding around one boundary of the string, we expect it to be gauge invariant. It is instructive to see how gauge invariance em erges out of the calculation, for any num ber of string loops. To this end, we will com pute separately the three contributions $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(\mathrm{h})}(\mathrm{A}) ; \mathrm{C}_{3}^{(\mathrm{h})}$ (A) and $C_{4}^{(h)}(A)$, and see how they correctly reconstruct the gauge invariant e ective action. W e will assum e that pinching singularities have been regularized, and thus we will discard all term $s$ arising from partial integrations that involve the $G$ reen function evaluated at the pinching. W e will also use the periodicity of the $G$ reen function to discard allboundary tem s corresponding to integrations over the com plete boundary loop.

Let us start by considering $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(\mathrm{h})}$ (A). It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}^{(h)}(A)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d z_{1} \quad d z_{2} \quad\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right) @_{z_{1}} X \quad\left(z_{1}\right) @_{z_{2}} X \quad\left(z_{2}\right) A^{a}\left(X \quad\left(z_{1}\right)\right) A^{a}\left(X \quad\left(z_{2}\right)\right) ; \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expectation value is given by a functional integration over the eld .
Since the integrand (apart from the -function) in Eq. $(\overline{7} \cdot \overline{-k})$ is sym $m$ etric under the exchange of the indices 1 and 2 we can also w rite
where now $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are integrated independently in the sam e dom ain.
Ifwe now expand the gauge eld around the string center ofm ass using E q. (T. $\overline{4}, \mathbf{1})$, we inchude term s up to order ( $\left.2^{0}\right)^{2}$, and we discard total derivatives, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{2}^{(h)}(A)= & \frac{\left(2^{0}\right)^{3=2^{*}} Z^{4} \mathrm{Az}_{1} \quad d z_{2} @_{z_{1}} \quad\left(z_{1}\right) @_{z_{2}} \quad\left(z_{2}\right)}{} \\
& \left(z_{1}\right) @ A^{a}(x) A^{a}(x)+\left(z_{2}\right) @ A^{a}(x) A^{a}(x) \\
& \left(2^{0}\right)^{1=2} \quad\left(z_{1}\right) \quad\left(z_{2}\right) @ A^{a}(x) @ A^{a}(x) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

The expectation value can be com puted sim ply using $W$ idk theorem, acoording to

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\mathrm{X} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{X} \quad(\mathrm{w})\rangle=\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{w}) \quad ; \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that term swith an odd num ber of elds give a vanishing contribution. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}^{(h)}(A)=\frac{1}{4} F^{\sim a}(x) F^{\sim a}(x)\left(2^{0}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{dz}_{1} \quad \mathrm{dz}_{2} \quad\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) @_{\mathrm{z}_{1}} G\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) @_{\mathrm{z}_{2}} G\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{r a}=@ A^{a} @ A^{a}$ is the abelian part of the eld strength tensor.
Let us now tum to term scubic in the extemal eld. H ere we use the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}[A A A]=\frac{1}{4}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{if}^{\mathrm{abc}}+\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{abc}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} A^{\mathrm{a}} A^{\mathrm{b}} A^{c} \quad: \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com pletely sym $m$ etric term does not give any contribution up to order ( $\left.2^{0}\right)^{2}$, as one can easily see that the corresponding integrands are total derivatives. We are left w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z_{3}\right) A^{a}(x) A^{b}(x) @ A^{c}(x)  \tag{7.13}\\
& +\quad\left(z_{2}\right) A^{a}(x) @ A^{b}(x) A^{c}(x){ }^{+}+ \\
& +\quad\left(z_{1}\right) @ A^{a}(x) A^{b}(x) A^{c}(x) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

Perform ing all possible contractions w ith Eq. (ī]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{3}^{(h)}(A)=\frac{i}{8}\left(2{ }_{2}^{0}\right)^{2} f^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{ra}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +@_{z_{1}} \varrho_{z_{3}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{3}\right)^{h} @_{z_{2}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) \quad @_{z_{2}} G\left(z_{2} ; z_{3}\right)^{i}  \tag{7.14}\\
& +\varrho_{z_{2}} \varrho_{z_{3}} G\left(z_{2} ; z_{3}\right)^{h} @_{z_{1}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{3}\right) \quad @_{z_{1}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)^{i} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

This can be further sim pli ed by partial integration of the double derivatives. $D$ iscarding all term $s$ w ith $G$ reen functions evaluated at pinching, and boundary term s involving integrals around the entire boundary, we can reduce the evaluation of $C_{3}^{(h)}(A)$ to the sam e integral that gives $C_{2}^{(M)}(A)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{3}^{(h)}(A)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(2{ }^{0}\right)^{2} f^{a b c} F^{\sim a}(x) A^{b}(x) A^{c}(x)  \tag{7.15}\\
& \quad d z_{1} \quad d z_{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z} & \left.z_{2}\right) @_{z_{1}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) @_{z_{2}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) \quad ;
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

which has the correct form to reconstruct the non-abelian eld strength. Sim ilar $m$ anipulations can be perform ed on the term quartic in the extemal gauge elds. In term $s$ of $G$ reen functions, it is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}
d z_{1} & d z_{2} & d z_{3} & d z_{4} & \left(z_{1}\right. & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
z_{2} & z_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{3} & z_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { h } \\
& \text { g } \quad \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{z}_{1}} @_{\mathrm{z}_{2}} G\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) @_{\mathrm{z}_{3}} @_{\mathrm{z}_{4}} G\left(\mathrm{z}_{3} ; \mathrm{z}_{4}\right) \\
& +g \mathrm{~g} @_{z_{1}} \varrho_{z_{3}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{3}\right) @_{z_{2}} @_{z_{4}} G\left(z_{2} ; z_{4}\right)_{i}  \tag{7.16}\\
& +\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{~g} @_{z_{1}} @_{z_{4}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{4}\right) @_{z_{2}} @_{z_{3}} G\left(z_{2} ; z_{3}\right) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

N ow we observe that the group factor for the middle term in the previous equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}(A A A A)=\operatorname{Tr}(A A A A) \quad \frac{1}{4} f^{\operatorname{bog}_{A}} A^{b} A^{c} f^{d^{d h}} A^{d} A^{a} \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

 in fact, in the sum of the other three term s of Eq. (7] pletely sym $m$ etric in the exchange of any two punctures. The theta functions can thus be rem oved, and then the integrand is a total derivative. T he only surviving contribution is

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{4}^{(h)}(A)=Z_{z}^{\left.\frac{1}{4}\left(2^{0}\right)^{0}\right)^{2} f^{b c g} A^{b}(x) A^{c}(x) f^{d a g} A^{d}(x) A^{a}(x)}  \tag{7.18}\\
& \left.\begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& d z_{1} & d z_{2} & d z_{3} & d z_{4} & \left(z_{1}\right. & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z_{2} & z_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{3} & z_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
& @_{z_{1}} @_{z_{3}} G\left(z_{1} ; z_{3}\right) @_{z_{2}} @_{z_{4}} G\left(z_{2} ; z_{4}\right) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

Partial integration gives nally

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C}_{4}^{(\mathrm{h})}(\mathrm{A})= & \frac{1}{4}\left(2 \quad \rho^{0}\right)^{2} f^{\mathrm{bog}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{dag}_{A}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})  \tag{7.19}\\
& \mathrm{dz}_{2} \quad \mathrm{dz} \quad\left(\mathrm{z}_{3} \quad \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) @_{\mathrm{z}_{2}} G\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) @_{\mathrm{z}_{3}} G\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

A s expected, $C_{2}^{(h)}(A), C_{3}^{(h)}(A)$ and $C_{4}^{(h)}(A)$ com bine to reconstruct the non-abelian eld strength. Our results can be sum $m$ arized in a very com pact expression for the partition function de ned by Eq. (7.1.), valid for any num ber of string loops. Om itting the vacuum contribution and higher orders in ${ }^{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{P}(A)=\left(2^{0}\right)^{2} d^{2} 2^{Z} d^{d} x \quad \frac{1}{4} F^{a}(x) F^{a}(x) X_{h=0}^{X^{Z}} N^{h} g_{s}^{2 h 2} Z^{(h)}(d) ; \tag{720}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{a}=@ A^{a} @ A^{a}+g_{d} f^{a b c} A^{b} A^{c} \quad: \tag{722}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to som e constant prefactors, $Z^{(h)}(d)$ is the $m$ ultiloop generalization of the integrall (d) de ned in Section iō-

A s we m entioned before, tree-level and one-loop contributions need extra care because of the un xed projective invariance of the functional integral. Here we sketch how this problem can be handled, draw ing on the results of the previous sections and on the ideas of ${ }_{2}^{2} 3$ one-loop integrall (d).

At tree level, the projective in nity that would have been elim inated by xing three of the punctures can be regularized by com pactifying the integration region of the variables $z_{i}, m$ apping them from the real axis to a circle. O $n$ a circle, follow ing Ref. [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{G}\left(1_{1} ; 2_{2}\right) & =\log 2 i \sin \frac{12_{2}^{2}}{2} \\
& =X_{n=1}^{\text {\# }} \frac{\operatorname{cosn}(1 \quad 2}{n}+::: \quad: \tag{723}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrals over the punctures $i$ are now ordered in the interval $(0 ; 2)$. The dots in Eq. (ī2]) stand forterm sindependent of the punctures, that are irrelevant.

U sing Eq. (7) ${ }^{2} 3$ ), we nd that the basic integral appearing in $\mathrm{Z}^{(0)}(\mathrm{d})$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{2} d_{1}^{Z_{1}} d_{2} @_{1} \hat{G}(1 ; 2) @_{2} \hat{G}(1 ; 2) \\
& 0 \sum_{n=1}^{Z_{2}}{ }_{0}^{d}{ }^{X} \sin ^{2} n={\frac{(2)^{2}}{4}}_{n=1}^{A} 1=\frac{(2)^{2}}{8} ; \tag{724}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have regularized the divergent sum using Eq. (5x-29) .
Inserting this result in Eq. (7̄.1'1.) we get, at tree level,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}^{(0)}(A)=\frac{1}{4} F^{r a}(x) F^{a a}(x)\left(2^{0}\right)^{2} \frac{(2)^{2}}{8}: \tag{725}
\end{equation*}
$$

 we see that the combination of $C_{2}^{(0)}(A), C_{3}^{(0)}(A)$ and $C_{4}^{(0)}(A)$ in Eq. (Ti.a) correctly reconstructs the full non-abelian gauge invariant action, in agreem ent w th the results of Ref. [1] 3 $\mathrm{C}_{4}^{(0)}(\mathrm{A})$ are deduced from gauge invariance. The result is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{h}=0}(\mathrm{~A})=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{4} d^{d^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{F}^{a}(\mathrm{x})^{i} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{726}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $w$ th this regularization, $v=2^{2}$ in four dim ensions. N otioe that $v$ tums out to equal the integration volume multiplied with (0), and is clearly regularization-dependent.

The one-loop coe cients $C_{i}^{(1)}$ (A) can be sim ilarly com puted w thout xing any of the punctures. We can however $x$ the location of the xed points and of the Schottky group, as was done in the previous sections. H aving done that, we are left w ith invariance under translations of the $z_{i}$, or, $m$ ore conveniently, of the variables ${ }_{i}$, related to the $z_{i}$ by Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \bar{d}$ ). The di erence $w$ th respect to the tree level integrals is that now the variables $i$ are integrated in an ordered way in the interval ( $0 ;$ ) instead of $(0 ; 2)$. A s a consequence, instead of the factor 2 arising from the redundant integration of a translationally invariant function, we w ill get a
factor. W e have to rem em ber, how ever, to divide the com puted expression by the volum e of the translational part of the pro jective group, that has not been xed, and that is equal to ${ }_{k}^{R_{1}} \frac{d z}{z}=\log k=2$. W ith the inclusion of this factor the $m$ easure of integration over $k$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d M_{1}=\frac{d k}{k^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \log k^{d=2} \frac{1}{(\log k)}_{n=1}^{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(1 \quad k^{n}\right)^{2 d}: \tag{727}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $C{ }_{2}^{(1)}(A), C_{3}^{(1)}(A)$ and $C_{4}^{(1)}(A)$ can be com puted directly from
 of boundary term $s$ need to be $m$ ade. $W$ e nd, using the $G$ reen function given by


$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}^{(1)}(A)=2 C_{i}^{(0)}(A)_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{1+q^{2 n}!_{2}}{1 q^{2 n}} ; \tag{728}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=2 ; 3 ; 4$. The one-loop contribution to the partition function de ned by Eq. (17) is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{P}^{h=1}(A)=\frac{N}{4} \frac{g_{d}^{2}}{(4)^{d=2}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{2 d=2} Z(d)^{Z} \quad d^{d} x \quad \frac{1}{4} F^{a}(x) F^{a}(x) \quad ; \tag{729}
\end{equation*}
$$

 W e have thus veri ed that the general form alism developed in the rst part of this section applies to the som ew hat special cases $h=0$ and $h=1$, and we have also veri ed that the assum ptions $m$ ade for general $h$, conceming the cancellation of boundary term $s$ and the regularization of the pinchings, are satis ed for $h<2$. N otioe nally that the present functional integral derivation of the renom alization constants provides an altemative way for com puting the nom alization factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}$ given in Eq. (2. $A^{\prime}$ ). The h-loop contribution to $Z_{P}(A)$ contains in fact precisely a factor of (2 $)^{(\bar{d} h \bar{h})}$ corresponding to the integration over $h$ loop $m$ om enta, a factor of $\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2}$ from the change ofm easure given by Eq. (7. $\left.\mathbf{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and the correct power of the string coupling constant.

## 8 Sum m ary and perspectives

W e have analyzed in detail three related $m$ ethods to calculate renorm alization constants in Yang-M ills theory, all based on a consistent prescription to continue o the $m$ ass shell $m$ ultighion am plitudes derived from string theory. O ur prescription leads unam biguously to the background eld $m$ ethod, and is phrased directly in the language of dim ensional regularization.

The use of the open bosonic string has introduced undesired di culties due to the presence of a tachyon, but we have shown explicitly how such di culties can be overcom e, at least at one loop. In this context, perhaps the m ost signi cant observation is that divergences associated w ith the tachyon are not regularized by
analytic continuation of the space-tim e dim ension, whereas all divergences associated w ith $Y$ ang $M$ ills gauge bosons tum into the usualpoles at $d=4.0 n$ the other hand, using the sim plest available string theory has allowed us to phrase our technique directly in the language ofm ultiloop string am plitudes, while $m$ inim izing the am ount of string technology needed for the calculations. This $m$ ay prove necessary when practicalm ultiloop calculations are attem pted.

O ur work com plem ents what is known about string-derived on-shellm ultighoon
 tied to, and in fact inspired by the background eld $m$ ethod in eld theory, provides an 0 -shell extension of the analysis of $R$ ef. theory, and by not perform ing the partial integration of double derivatives of the w orld-sheet $G$ reen functions that appear in the am plitudes, we have been able to $m$ ap explicitly each region ofm odulispace that contributes to the eld theory lim it to a speci c set of Feynm an diagram $s$. This $m$ apping, which $m$ ay prove useful in future applications going beyond one loop, has enabled us to identify precisely the regions of $m$ oduli space that contribute to the e ective action, leading to considerable sim pli cations in the calculation of renom alization constants. At one loop, ultraviolet divergences of ghon am plitudes tum out to be sum $m$ arized by a single integral over the string m odular param eter, which still contains all contributions from the in nite tow er ofm assive string states. The eld theory lim it of this integral is the gluon wave function renorm alization of the background eld m ethod. We have thus $m$ ade a precise connection between the techniques ofR ef. [ब्ब़] and those of Ref. 忟]. In particular, we have shown how the -function regularization of pinching singularities introduced in Ref. contact tem s left over by the propagation of tachyons in pinched con gurations. F inally, we have studied the partition function of an open string interacting w ith a badkground $Y$ ang -M ills eld, to allorders in string pertunbation theory, focusing on planar diagram s. A s m ight have been expected from the explicit results obtained at tree level and at one loop, this partition function is a generating functional for the contributions to the gluon e ective action of string diagram $s w$ ith an arbitrary num ber of legs and loops. A form al analysis of this partition function show $s$ how string theory generates a gauge-invariant e ective action for any num ber of loops, and in fact leads to a m ultiloop generalization of the one-loop integral, z (d), generating the renorm alization constants. Tree-level and one-loop diagram s are special, because of the extra invariances that reduce the dim ensionality of the corresponding string m oduli space, but the di erences can be handled and the know n results are recovered also from the generalh-loop form alism .

The general form alism developed in Section'in' helpsperhaps to shed som e light on the relationship betw een string theory and the badkground eld $m$ ethod. Exam ining the expression for the string partition function, Eq. (ITA)' , onem ay observe that any string am plitude $m$ ay be written in term $s$ of interactions $w$ ith a background eld, provided the bakground eld satis es the string equations ofm otion. In particular, ordinary glion am plitudes correspond to a badkground eld constructed out ofplane
waves. The only apparent obstacle to this interpretation is the presence in the fiull string am plitudes of the pinching singularities, that correspond to interactions of the extemal elds happening far aw ay (on the string scale) from the string loops. This is exactly the sam e problem that arises in eld theory, in background eld calculations of $S$ m atrix elem ents. There, if one allows for interactions am ong the background elds, one is forced to quantize them also, introducing a new gauge xing term and constructing the corresponding propagator. H ere, once the pinching singularities are rem oved, the calculation of the e ective action perform ed along the lines of Section ${ }_{-1} \overline{-1}$, or of Section ${ }_{-} \bar{i}$, , can be sim ply understood as an infrared lim it of the calculation of a gluon scattering am plitude, where a quasi-constant background eld has taken the place of the plane wave representing the ghon.

A few rem arks $m$ ay be added conœeming the choioe of regularization schem e. This paperm akes extensive use ofdim ensionalregularization, which is very practical if one whishes to com pare the results w ith Q CD calculation, where it is by far the m ost com m only used schem e . H ow ever, it should be kept in m ind that this choice is not by any $m$ eans the only possible one. In fact, it $m$ ay not be the best choice if one w ishes to extend to m ore than one loop the e ective action calculations perform ed in Section ${ }_{-}^{-1}-\mathrm{-}$. In general, h-loop contributions to renorm alization constants appear in dim ensional regularization as coe cients of the single pole in $=2 \mathrm{~d}=2$, and $m$ ay be $m$ issed if one uses a $m$ ethod that neglects $O$ ( ) corrections. Regularization $w$ th, say, a $m$ om entum space cuto $m$ ay be $m$ ore appropriate, since in that case divergences are further classi ed according to the power of the cuto involved (see for exam ple Ref . $[\underset{\operatorname{lin}}{\overline{1}]) \text {. It } m \text { ay also be argued that string theory by itself provides a }}$ regularized version of $Y$ ang $-M$ ills theory, $w$ th the role of the cuto being played by $1={ }^{0}$. In fact, this \stringy" regularization is quite sim ilar in spirit to P auli-V illars regularization, in the sense that the theory is $m$ ade nite by adding an in nite num ber of new degrees of freedom $w$ th heavy $m$ asses and $w$ th carefully selected coe cients. It $m$ ight be instructive to study how this \stringy" regularization translates in a eld theory language.

Sum m arizing, we believe we have shown that the multiloop string technology needed to study perturbative $Y$ ang $M$ ills theory exists, and the com plexity of the necessary calculations $m$ ay rem ain $m$ anageable, provided one uses a su ciently sim ple string theory, as we have done in this paper. This $m$ ay have w ide-ranging applications, not only to practical calculations of phenom enological interest, but $m$ ore generally to develop a deeper understanding of the organization of the perturbative expansion of gauge theories. String theory has proven to be rem arkably clever in organizing gauge theory am plitudes both at tree level and at one loop, suggesting the best choioes of color and helicity organizations, as well as the best com bination of gauges. It is fair to hope that sim ilar results $m$ ay be achieved at least at tw o loops. O $n$ the other hand, the very existence of explicit all-order expressions for the am plitudes in string theory suggests that these toolsm ight also be usefiul to derive all-order results in eld theory, as was mentioned in Section 'īin'. A sim ple exam ple of such a derivation is given by our discussion of string propagation
in a background eld.
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## A ppendix A

In this appendix we com pute the nom alization coe cients of the h-loop string am plitudes, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}$, and of the gluon states, $\mathrm{N}_{0}$, given respectively in Eqs. (2..') and $(\overline{2}, \overline{1})$. O ur strategy to do so is the follow ing: we rst com pute the three and fourghoon am plitudes at tree level, and require that in the lim it ${ }^{0}$ ! 0 they reduce to the corresponding am plitudes derived from the $Y$ ang $M$ ills Lagrangian. This xes $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ as functions of the Y ang M ills coupling constant $g_{d}$; we then check that these norm alizations are consistent $w$ ith the factorization properties required by unitarity, and nally we im plem ent the sew ing procedure to obtain the multiloop am plitudes, and their overall norm alization $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}$.

A s discussed in section 4, in the open bosonic string the color-ordered threegluon am plitude at tree level is

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)=C_{0} N 0^{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}\right) P \overline{20} \quad{ }^{1} \quad z^{\prime \prime} p_{2} \quad{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime} \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{i}, p_{i}, a_{i}$ are the polarization, the $m$ om entum and the color index of the $i$-th gluon, and the 's are the generators of $S U(\mathbb{N})$ in the fiundam ental representation. For them we take the standard eld theory conventions, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{a}}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{b}} ;{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\right]\right)=\frac{i}{2} f^{\mathrm{abc}} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\text {abc }}$ are the group structure constants. $W$ e notice that this choice im plies in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(A{ }^{a}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a} B\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(A B) \quad \frac{1}{2 N} \operatorname{Tr}(A) \operatorname{Tr}(B) ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a} A{ }^{a}\right)=\frac{N}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(A) \quad \frac{1}{2 N} \operatorname{Tr}(A) ; \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with A and B being arbitrary N N matrioes.
$W$ ith this norm alization of the color $m$ atrices, it is easy to check that in the lim it ${ }^{0}!~ 0, E q$. ( $(\bar{A}-\overline{1})$ reduces to the color-ordered three-gluon am plitude derived from the $Y$ ang $M$ ills Lagrangian if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{0}{ }^{3}=\frac{4 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{d}}}{2^{0}} ; \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g_{d}$ is the (dim ensionfiul) Yang $M$ ills coupling constant in $d$ dim ensions.
T he color-ordered four-ghon am plitude at tree level is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right)=C_{0} N_{0}{ }^{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & { }^{0}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & q_{t}
\end{array}\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1+ & q_{1}
\end{array}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{u}{t(1+o t)} "_{1} \quad "^{\prime \prime} "_{2} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +O\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad \text {; } \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where, as usual, $s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, t=\left(p_{1}+p_{4}\right)^{2}$ and $u=\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)^{2}$. In the lim it ${ }^{0}$ ! 0 this expression reduces to the color-ordered four-ghion am plitude (consisting of both 1PI and exchange diagram $s$ in the $s$ and $t$ channels) derived from the $Y$ ang -M ills lagrangian if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{0}{ }^{4}=4 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \quad: \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N}_{0} & =\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2^{0}} ;  \tag{A..8}\\
\mathrm{C}_{0} & =\frac{1}{\left(g_{d}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now verify that these norm alizations are consistent w th the factorization properties required by unitarity. To do so, let us consider the am plitude given in Eq. $(\bar{A}-\bar{A} \cdot \bar{\sigma})$, which has poles at

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=m_{I}^{2} \quad \frac{I}{0} \quad \text { or } \quad t=m_{I}^{2} ; \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $I=1 ; 0 ; 1 ;:::$. Each pole is associated $w$ th the exchange of an interm ediate string state $\bar{j} i$ of $m$ ass $m_{I}$ in the sor in the $t$ channel ( $I=1$ corresponding to tachyon exchange, $I=0$ to gluon exchange, and so forth). U nitarity requires that the residues of these poles have certain sim ple factorization properties. As far as the s channel is concemed, these can be sym bolically represented by saying that, fors! $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right){ }^{X}{ }_{(I)} A_{I}^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; q\right) \frac{1}{q^{2}+m_{I}^{2}} A_{I}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right): \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this formula $q=p_{1} \quad p_{2}=p_{3}+p_{4}$ is the exchanged $m$ om entum, while $A_{I}^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; q\right)$ denotes the ordered am plitude involving two ghons $w$ th $m$ om enta $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, and the $I$-th string state $w$ ith $m$ om entum $q$. The symbol (I) stands for a sum over all these interm ediate states, including for each one of them a sum over all its quantum numbers.

Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is an exam ple of the well-known fact that a four-string am plinude can be intenpreted as a sew ing of two three string am plitudes, with $1=\left(q^{2}+m_{I}^{2}\right)$ acting as a propagator for the I-th state $\bar{\Pi} i . N$ otioe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q^{2}+m_{I}^{2}} \text { 攻i }=\frac{0}{L_{0} \quad 1} \text { 喕i ; } \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L_{0}$ is the zero $m$ ode of the $V$ irasoro algebra. This equation allow $s$ to identify
${ }^{0}=\left(L_{0} \quad 1\right)$ as the sew ing operator ${ }^{6}$. Sice we have been concentrating on planar contributions, in the sew ing process we $m$ ust be careful to preserve the ordering of the color indioes, i.e. the sew ing m ust also occur in a planar way. For $S U(\mathbb{N})$ this is realized by retaining in the combinations of the group color factors only those term $s$ which are leading in the form allim it N ! 1 .

To check whether Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\bar{A} \overline{1} 1)$ is satis ed or not, we rst exam ine the ghon exchange $(I=0)$. From Eq. $(\bar{A}=\bar{A})$ we easily see that when $s!0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{4}\right) \quad 2 C_{0} N_{0}^{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^6]

On the other hand, using Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{\bar{A}})$ for $A_{0}^{(0)}$ and writing explicitly the sum over the interm ediate ghons as a sum over colors and polarizations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}{ }_{(0)} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{q}\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{q}^{2}} \mathrm{~A}_{0}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \mathrm{p}_{4}\right)  \tag{A.14}\\
& =\frac{\mathrm{C}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{0}{ }^{6} 2^{0}}{\mathrm{q}^{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{a}_{3}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}_{4}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We now use the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { x a } \mathrm{k} \text { b "=a b ; } \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

 contribution. A fter som e straightforw ard algebra, it is easy to see that Eq. (AA and Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{4})$ ) coincide if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{0}{ }^{2} \quad{ }^{0}=2 ; \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is satis ed by $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{0}$, as given in Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{A}}-\overline{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ and ( $\left(\overline{\mathrm{A}}-\overline{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{g}_{1}\right)$.
It is instructive to exam ine also the contributions due to exchanges of other string states. For exam ple, let us consider the tachyon ( $I=1$ ). In order to check Eq. ( $\left(\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$ in this case, we need to know the ordered am plitude $A_{1}^{(0)}$ involving two gluons and one tachyon. T his can be easily com puted in the operator form alism, and one nds

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; q\right)=\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a}_{1} & \mathrm{a}_{2} & \mathrm{~b} \tag{A.17}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{"l}_{1} \quad{ }_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{0}\right)\right) ;
$$

where $N_{1}$ denotes the nom alization of the tachyon state. Repeating the sam e steps as before, we can see that the residue of the four-ghon am plitude at the tachyon pole, Eq. (Aㅗㅗ…̄), factorizes into the product of two threepoint am plitudes, like Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, if $\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{2} \quad 0=2$. W thout much di culty it is possible to generalize this relation to the I-th string state, with nom alization $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and thus one can conclude that unitarity im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{0}=2 \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all I.

Let us now tum to the norm alization $C_{1}$ of the one-loop am plitudes. Since a loop can be obtained by sew ing tw o legs of a tree-level am plitude, the coe cient C ${ }_{1}$ can be deduced from $\mathrm{C}_{0}$. To see this, let us consider a color-ordered am plitude for the scattering of $M$ gluons and two arbitrary states $I$ and $J$ (which for sim plicity we take to be adjacent in the chosen ordering along the loop), at tree level. We schem atically denote such am plitude by

$$
A_{I J}^{(0)}\left(p_{I} ; p_{J}\right)=C_{0} N_{I} N_{0}^{M} N_{J} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
b_{I} & a_{1} & a_{M}  \tag{A.19}\\
b_{J}
\end{array}\right) K_{I J}\left(p_{I} ; p_{J}\right) ;
$$

where $K_{I J}\left(p_{I} ; p_{J}\right)$ is an appropriate kinem atic factor. To obtain the $M$-ghon am plitude at one loop we rst identify the states I and $J$ by $m$ eans of the sew ing operator, which carries a factor of ${ }^{0}$, and then we integrate over their $m$ om entum $q$ and sum over their color index b. F inally, we m ust sum over all possible interm ediate states. Thus, upon sew ing, Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$ is replaced by
where $K_{J J}(q ; q)$ is what it is obtained from $K_{J J}(q ; q)$ with the inclusion of the sew ing operator. The integral over $q$ produces a factor of $\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2}$ and leaves the non-zero $m$ ode part of $K_{J J}(q ; q)$, which we denote by $K_{J J}^{0}$, whereas the sum over colors yields

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}_{1} & \mathrm{a}_{M} & { }^{\mathrm{b}}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}_{1} & \mathrm{a}_{M} \tag{A21}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

provided we use Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{4})$, and keep only the planar contribution. Then, using Eq. $(\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-})$, Eq. $(\underline{A}-\bar{A} \bar{O})$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{P}^{(1)}\left(p_{1} ;::: p_{M}\right)=\frac{1}{(2)^{d}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2} N_{0}{ }^{M} N \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a_{1}} \quad a_{M}\right)^{x} K_{J J}^{0}: \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the schem atic expression of the $M$-ghon planar am plitude at one loop, and from it we can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.C_{1}=\frac{1}{(2)^{d}}(2)^{0}\right)^{d=2}: \tag{A23}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen we iterate this sew ing procedure to generate m ore loops, we realize that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{h}}{C_{h 1}}=\frac{1}{(2)^{d}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{d=2} g_{d}^{2} \quad \text {; } \tag{A24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h}=\frac{1}{(2)^{\mathrm{dh}}}\left(2^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{dh}=2} g_{\mathrm{d}}^{2 \mathrm{~h} 2}\left(0^{0}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~h} 2}: \tag{A25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we introduce the dim ensionless string coupling constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s}=\frac{g_{d}}{2}\left(2^{0}\right)^{1 d=4} \tag{A26}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is possible to rew rite Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{2} \overline{5})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h}=\frac{1}{(2)^{\mathrm{dh}}} g_{\mathrm{s}}^{2 \mathrm{~h} 2} \frac{1}{\left(2^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{d}=2}}: \tag{A27}
\end{equation*}
$$

All factors in this expression have a simple interpretation: the rst ensures the canonical norm alization of all loop m om entum integrals, the second contains the correct pow er of the string coupling costant, dictated by string perturbation theory, and nally the last is necessary to give the vacuum am plitude the proper dim ension, (length) ${ }^{d}$.

## A ppendix B

In this appendix we com pute the integral Z (d), Eq. ( $\left.\overline{6} . \bar{I}_{1}\right)$, in the eld theory


The rst im portant step is the observation that the sum appearing in $Z(d)$ can be w ritten as

$$
x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{1+q^{2 n}!_{2}}{1 q^{2 n}}=x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} 1+\frac{4 q^{2 n}}{\left(1 q^{2 n}\right)^{2}}=2 q \frac{d}{d q} \log q^{1=4}{ }_{n=1}^{q^{1}}\left(1 \quad q^{2 n}\right)^{\#} \quad ; \quad(B .1)
$$

where, follow ing Ref. [|̄̄2], we have regularized the divergent sum ${ }_{n=1}^{\mathrm{p}} 1$ by m eans of Eq. (5 $\left.\overline{5} \overline{2} \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

It is interesting then to notioe that the result of the integration over the punctures in the open string becom es very sim ilar to w hat has been found by $K$ aplunovski $\left.{ }_{[101}^{5}\right]$ for the closed heterotic string.

U sing the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(q^{2}\right) \underbrace{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{n=1}\left(1 \quad q^{2 n}\right)=\frac{2}{\log k}^{1=2} k^{1=24} e^{2=(6 \log k)} f(k) ; \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $k \quad e^{2}$ as usual, we can write $Z$ (d) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z(d)=16_{0}^{Z} D \quad k \frac{d}{d k} \log 4 \quad \frac{2}{\log k}{ }^{1=2} k^{1=24} e^{2=(3 \log k)} f(k)^{5} \\
& =16_{0}^{Z} D \frac{1}{4}_{12}^{1}+\frac{2}{24} \quad x_{n=1}^{A} \frac{n k^{n}}{1 k^{n}} \quad: \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By expanding the partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})]^{2 \mathrm{~d}}=1+(\mathrm{d} \quad 2) \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right) \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the coe cient of the term $1 \mathrm{~d}=2$ in the integralcontains an expansion in powers ofk, possibly also w ith som e extra factors com ing from the rst two tem s in braces in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{B}-\overline{-1}{ }_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

The leading term, $O(1=k)$, corresponds to tachyon exchange in the loop and, as always, we just neglect it by hand. We neglect also term $\mathrm{s} O\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}(\log \mathrm{k})^{a}}\right)$, where $a=1 ; 2$, for the sam e reason.

The next term is of $O$ ( 1 ) in $k$, and corresponds to the exchange of $m$ assless gluons. It is given by
where a term $w$ th an infrared cuto $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, rem iniscent of the exponentials of $G$ reen functions that we discarded, has been added. W e stress again that the eld theory lim it is perform ed by sending ${ }^{0}$ to zero while keeping the ultraviolet cuto xed and positive.
 Eq. ( $\overline{6} \cdot \mathbf{1} \bar{\alpha})$.
 theory lim it. This is clearly understood in string theory rem embering that the power I is related to the $m$ ass of the string excitation exchanged in the loop, and


## R eferences

[1] See, for example, P. Di Vecchia, \M ultibop amplitudes in string theory" in E rice, Theor. Phys. (1992), and references therein.
[2] R.R.M etsaev and A.A.T seytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988) p. 109.
[3] J.A.M inahan, Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988) p. 36.
[4] T.R.Taylor and G.Veneziano, P hys. Lett. 212 B (1988) p. 147.
[5] V.S.K aplunovsky, Nucl. Phys. B 307 (1988) p.145, and Nucl. Phys. B 382 (1992) p. 436, hep thi $920000^{-10}$.
[6] Z.Bem and D.A.K osower, Phys. Rev.D 38 (1988) p. 1888.
[7] Z. Bem and D.A.K osower, Nucl Phys. B 321 (1989) p. 605.
[8] Z. Bem and D.A.K osower, Nucl. Phys. B 379 (1992) p. 451.
[9] Z. Bem, L. D ixon and D. A. K osower, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) p. 2677, ihep-ph/930220̄.
[10] Z. Bem, P hys. Lett. 296 B (1992) p. 85.
[11] Z. Bem and D.C.D unbar, Nucl. Phys. B 379 (1992) p. 562.
[12] M . J. Strassler, Nucl. Phys. B 385 (1992) p. 145, hep-iph/920 $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{0} \overline{5}$.
 -- th
[14] K . R oland, P hys. Lett. 289 B (1992) p. 148.
[15] P.D iVecchia, A .Lerda, L. M agnea and R .M arotta, Phys. Lett. 351 B (1995) P. 445 ,
[16] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. T seytlin, P hys. Lett. 163 B (1985) p. 123.
[17] P.D iVecchia, F . P ezzella, M .Frau, K . H omfeck, A . Lerda and S . Sciuto, N ucl. Phys. B 322 (1989) p. 317.
[18] P. DiVecchia, M . Frau, A. Lerda and S. Sciuto, Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988) p. 526.
[19] M . B . G reen, J. H . Schwarz and E.W itten, \Superstring Theory", C am bridge U niversity P ress (1987).
[20] Z. Bem, D.A.K osower and K.Roland, Nucl. P hys. B 334 (1990) p. 309.
[21] L.F.Abbott, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) p. 189.
[22] L.F.Abbott, M . T. G risanu and R . K . Schaefer, Nucl. P hys. B 229 (1983) p. 372.
[23] A A. T seytlin, Phys. Lett. 202 B (1988) p. 81.


[^0]:    e-m ail: D IV ECCH IA @ nbívax nbi.dk
    ${ }^{\text {Y }} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{n}$ leave from Uníversita diTorino, Italy
    ${ }^{\text {z }}$ II Facolta diScienze M F N ., U niversita diTorino (sede diA lessandria), Italy

[^1]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}$ e om it the subscript P for planar, since at tree level only planar diagram s are present.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ otice that this expression di ens from the one in Eq. (5) of R ef. $[151]$ by a term proportional to " ${ }_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} "_{2} \mathrm{p}_{1}$, which vanishes upon using $m$ om entum conservation and transversality.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ O nce again we om it the subscript $P$, as only the planar one-loop diagram contributes for SU (N).

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ At the end of this section we willbrie y com ment on the term proportional to "1 $\quad "_{2} "_{3} \quad "_{4}$, which does not share this property.

[^5]:    

[^6]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~W}$ e refer to $[1 \bar{i}]$ for a thorough discussion of the sew ing procedure and for an analysis of di erent form s of sew ing operators, including their expressions when the ghost degrees of freedom are taken into account.

