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#### Abstract

A $n$ analysis of how the $m$ ass gap could arise in pure $Y$ ang $-M$ ills theories in tw o spatial dim ensions is given.


The study of non-A belian gauge theories in tw o spatial dim ensions, in particular the question of how a mass gap could arise in these theories, is interesting for at least two reasons: it is a useful guide to the $m$ ore realistic case of three dim ensions and secondly these theories can be an approxim ation to the high tem perature phase of C hrom odynam ics $w$ th the $m$ ass gap serving as the $m$ agnetic $m$ ass. In a recent paper, we considered the H am iltonian analysis of (2+1)-dim ensional gauge theories in a gauge-invariant $m$ atrix param etrization of the elds [1]. The kinetic term of the H am iltonian, which is the Laplacian on the space of gauge-invariant con gurations, could be explicitly constructed in our param etrization. By considering eigenstates of the Laplacian, one could then see how a $m$ ass gap could arise in these theories. In this letter, we rederive the key results directly in term s of the gauge potentials and electric elds. A general expression for the $H$ am iltonian is also given in term s of a current and derivatives w ith respect to it.
$W$ e consider the $H$ am iltonian version of an $S U(\mathbb{N})$-gauge theory in the $A_{0}=0$ gauge. $T$ he gauge potential is written $A_{i}=\quad i t^{a} A_{i}^{a}, i=1 ; 2$, where $t^{a}$ are herm itian $N \quad N-$ $m$ atrioes which form a basis of the Lie algebra of $U(\mathbb{N}) w$ ith $\left.t^{a} ; t^{b}\right]=i f^{a b c} t^{c} ; \operatorname{Tr}\left(t^{a} t^{b}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$. The H am iltonian can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =T+V \\
T & ={\frac{e^{2}}{2}}_{Z}^{Z} d^{2} \times E_{i}^{a} E_{i}^{a}  \tag{1}\\
V & ={\frac{1}{2 e^{2}}}^{Z} d^{2} \times B^{a} B^{a}
\end{align*}
$$

where e is the coupling constant and $B^{a}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{j k}\left(@_{j} A_{k}^{a} \quad @_{k} A_{j}^{a}+f^{a b c} A_{j}^{b} A_{k}^{c}\right)$. W e use com plex coordinates $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{ix} 2 ; \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{ix} 2 \mathrm{w}$ ith the corresponding com ponents of the potential, viz., $A_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) ; A_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1} \quad i A_{2}\right)=\left(A_{z}\right)^{y}$. The wavefunctions for the physical states are gauge-invariant and have the inner product

Z

$$
\mathrm{hl} \mathcal{Z i}=\quad \mathrm{d} \text { (C) } \begin{array}{lll} 
& 2 \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

Here d (C) is the volum em easure on the con guration space $C$ which is the space of gauge potentials A m odulo the set of gauge transform ations $G$ which go to the identity at spatial in nity. The distance function on $A$ is the standard Euclidean one

Z
$d s^{2}=d^{2} x A_{i}^{a} A_{i}^{a}=8 \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{z} A_{z}\right)$
$d$ (A) is the standard volum e $\left[\mathrm{dA}_{z} \mathrm{dA}_{z}\right]$ associated $w$ th (3) and $d$ (C) should be obtained by dividing out the volum e of $G$, i.e., $d(C)=\left[A_{z} \mathrm{dA}_{z}\right]=v o l(G)$.

The gauge potentials $A_{z} ; A_{z}$ can be param etrized in term sof com plex $=S L(\mathbb{N} ; C$ )$m$ atrices $M$; $M^{y}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{z}=@_{z} M^{M}{ }^{1} ; \quad A_{z}=M^{y^{1}} @_{z} M^{y} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

G iven any gauge potentialwe can construct $M$; $M^{\mathrm{y}}$ at least as pow er series in the potential; for exam ple,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } G\left(x ; z_{1}\right) A\left(z_{1}\right)+\quad G\left(x ; z_{1}\right) A\left(z_{1}\right) G\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) A\left(z_{2}\right) \quad::: \\
& Z \quad Z  \tag{5}\\
& M^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x})=1 \quad \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}\right)+\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}\right) \quad:::
\end{align*}
$$

where $A \quad A_{z} ; A \quad A_{z}$ and $G ; G$ are $G$ reen's functions for $@_{z} ; @_{z}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{gather*}
@_{x} G(x ; y)=@_{x} G(x ; y)={ }^{(2)}(x \quad y) \\
G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & z^{0}
\end{array}\right)} ; \quad G\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & z^{0}
\end{array}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$N$ otice that the solutions (5) $m$ ay be sum $m$ ed up and w ritten as
Z

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(x)=1 \\
& M^{y}(x)=1 D^{D^{1}} \quad A(y ; y) A(y)  \tag{7}\\
& y^{1}(y) D^{1}(y ; x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $D=@+A ; D=@+A$ are the covariant derivatives.
The matrix $M$ (or $M^{y}$ ) is not uniquely de ned. $M$ and $M V(z)$, where $V(z)$ is antiholom onphic, and likew ise $M^{\mathrm{y}}$ and $V(z) \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}$, lead to the sam e potential. Eventually we $m$ ust ensure that this am biguity of param etrization does not a ect physical results.

U nder a gauge transform ation, A ! $A^{9}=g A g^{1} \quad d g g^{1}$ or equivalently, $M$ !
 eld variable of the theory.

We rst consider the evaluation ofd (C). In term sof $M$; $M$, the $m$ etric (3) becom es

$$
\mathrm{ds}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}=8^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{TrD}\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}^{1}\right) \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{Y} 1} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)
$$

(Here D ;D are in the adjoint representation.) The metric for $S L(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{C}$ ) -m atrioes is given by

$$
\mathrm{ds}_{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{C})}^{2}=8^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{MM}^{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y} 1} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right]
$$

The $H$ aar $m$ easure $d\left(M ;{ }^{y}\right)$ is the volum e associated $w$ ith this $m$ etric. The $m$ atrix $H$ belongs to $S L(\mathbb{N} ; C)=S U(\mathbb{N})$. Themetric on this space becom es

$$
\mathrm{ds}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}=2^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} \quad \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}
$$

From (8-10) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(C)=\frac{d(A)}{\operatorname{vol}(G)}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{dA}_{z} \mathrm{dA}_{z}\right]}{\operatorname{vol}(G)}=\left(\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}} ; \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathrm{G})} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d \quad\left(M^{\prime} M^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=\operatorname{vol}(\mathrm{G})$ is given by the volum e of the $m$ etric (10), viz. $d(H)=\operatorname{detr}\left[{ }^{\prime}\right]$ where $H^{1} H={ }^{1}{ }^{a} r_{a b} t_{0},{ }^{a}$ a being real param eters for the herm itian $m$ atrices $H$. (A simple way to se this is the follow ing. ( $\left.M^{Y^{1}} d M^{Y}+d M^{1}\right)$ is a di erentialform on SL ( $\mathbb{N}$; C ) which transform sas ! $g \mathrm{~g}^{1}$ under M ! $\mathrm{gM} . \operatorname{Thus} \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{dH}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ are di erential form son $S L \mathbb{N} ; C)=S U(\mathbb{N})$. The volum e elem ent is given by the di erential form of $m$ axim al degree, i.e., for $n=\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} \quad 1\right)$. This is easily seen to be detr[ $\left.d^{\prime}\right]$. For $m$ atrices which are functions of the spatial coordinates, as in our case, we have the product over the spatial points as well, giving the result stated.) W ith this result, we see from (11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(C)=d(H) \operatorname{det}(D D) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he problem is thus reduced to calculating $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{D} D)$. The answer to this is well known,
 W ess-Zum ino-W itten (W ZW ) action for H .

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(H)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(@ H^{1} \text { @ }\right)+\frac{i}{12}^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(H^{1} @ H^{1} @ H^{1} @ H\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(C)=d(H) e^{2 C_{A} S(H)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This evaluation ofd (C) has been given in reference [3].

Som e of the details of the calculation of det (D D ) e are of interest in what follow s. From the de nition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{A^{a}(x)}=(i) \operatorname{Tr} D^{1}(x ; y) T^{a} y!x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(T^{a}\right)_{m n}=i f_{a m n} \cdot D^{1}(x ; y)=M^{y}{ }^{1}(x) M^{y}(y) G(x ; y)$, so that $\left.\operatorname{Tr}^{1} D^{1}(x ; y) T^{a}\right]_{y}!x$ $=\left(C_{A}=\right)\left(M^{Y^{1}} @ M^{Y}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$. This leads to $=2 C_{A} S\left(M^{Y}\right)+f\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. This regularization is not gauge-invariant. Ford (C), we need a gauge-invariant regularization of det (D D ) such as covariant point-splitting or P auli-V illars regulators.

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{1}(x ; y)_{R e g} & =D^{1}(x ; y) \exp (A(x \quad y)+A(x \quad y)){ }_{y!x}  \tag{16a}\\
& =D^{1}+D\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & D D)^{1}(x ; y) & 2!1
\end{array}\right. \tag{16b}
\end{align*}
$$

E ither one of these regulators gives

C orrespondingly, we have $\overline{A^{a}}=\left(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathrm{A}}=\right) 2 \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{Y}}{ }^{1} @ \mathrm{M}{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ ], leading to $=2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{H})$ and the result (14). W e shalltake (16a), viz. covariant point-splitting, as the regularization in what follow s.

The inner product is now given by

$$
\mathrm{h} \mathcal{L} \mathrm{i}==^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{H})} \quad 1 \quad 2
$$

In an intuitive sense, at this stage, we can see how a m ass gap could possibly arise. $W$ riting $E$; $B$ for the root $m$ ean square uctuations of the electric eld $E$ and the $m$ agnetic eld $B$, we have, from the canonical com m utation nules, $E \quad B \quad k$, where $k$ is the $m$ om entum variable. $T$ his gives an estim ate for the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2} k^{2}}{B^{2}}+\frac{B^{2}}{e^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For low lying states, we $m$ inim ize $E$ w ith respect to $B^{2}, ~ B{ }_{m}^{2}$ in $e^{2} k$, giving $E k$. This is, of course, the standard photon or perturbative gluon. H ow ever, for the nonAbelian theory, in calculating expectation values, we m ust take account of the factor
$e^{2 C_{A} S} \quad \exp \left[\quad\left(C_{A}=2\right)^{R} B\left(1=k^{2}\right) B+:::\right]$. For low $k$, this factor controls uctuations in $B$, giving $B^{2} \quad k^{2}\left(=C_{A}\right)$. In other words, eventhough $E$ is minim ized around $B^{2} k$, probability is concentrated around $B^{2} \quad k^{2}\left(=C_{A}\right)$. For the expectation value of the energy, we then nd E $\left(e^{2} c_{A}=2\right)+O\left(k^{2}\right)$. Thus the kinetic term in combination $w$ ith the $m$ easure factor $e^{2 C_{A} S}$ could lead to a $m$ ass gap. ( $T$ his argum ent is very sim ilar to how a m ass is obtained for longitudinal plasm a oscillations.) The argum ent is not rigorous; $m$ any term $s$, such as the non-A belian contributions to the com mutators and $S(H)$, have been neglected. Nevertheless, we expect this to capture the essence of how a mass gap could arise.
$M$ atrix elem ents calculated $w$ th the inner product (18) are correlation functions of a W ZW m odel for hem itian $m$ atrices. They can be calculated by analytic continuation of the results for unitary $m$ atrices. For hem itian $m$ atrioes, $e^{\left(k+2 c_{A}\right) S}$ is what corresponds to the action $e^{k S}(U)$ for unitary $m$ atrices. The analogue of the renom alized level $=k+c_{A}$ of the unitary $W$ ZW model is $\left(k+C_{A}\right)=$. The correlators are obtained by the continuation ! . In the present case, we have only $e^{2 c_{A} S}$, hence we must also take $\mathrm{k}!0$. In the unitary case, correlators involving prim ary elds belonging to the nonintegrable representations of the current algebra vanish. T he corresponding statem ent for the hem itian $m$ odel is that such correlators becom $e$ in nite or unde ned. For $k!0$, only the identity and its current algebra descendants have well-de ned correlators. (T he divergence of correlators of other operators has to do with $k!0$, not coincidence of argum ents.) W e m ust thus conclude that the wavefunctions can be taken as functions of the current $\left(@ H^{1}\right)_{a}$.

The above argum ents give the conform al eld-theoretic reason for the currents being the quantities of interest. The result, how ever, is not surprising since the $W$ ilson loop operator can be written in term sof $H$ as

I

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(C)=\operatorname{TrP} \exp \quad{ }_{C} d z @ H H^{1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The W ilson loop operators form a com plete set and hence the currents should su ce to generate the gauge-invariant states.

The vacuum state for the kinetic term is given by $0=$ constant. This is norm aliz-
$a b l e w$ th the inner product (18). From the above argum ents, the quantity of interest in constructing higher states is the current

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{a}(x) & =\frac{C_{A}}{@} H^{H} H^{1}(x) \\
& =\frac{C_{A}}{} \quad i M_{a b}^{y}(x) A_{b}(x)+\left(@ M^{y} M^{y}{ }^{1}\right)_{a}(x)^{i} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M \underset{a b}{Y}=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(t_{a} M^{y_{t_{b}} M}{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}{ }^{1}\right)$ is the adjoint representation of $M^{y}$. The action of the kinetic energy operator can be calculated as follow s.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T \quad(J)={\frac{e^{2}}{2}}_{Z_{Z}^{Z}}^{\frac{2}{A_{k}(z) A_{k}(z)}} \\
& =\frac{e^{2}}{2} \sum_{x ; y ; z} \frac{J_{a}(x)}{A_{k}(z)} \frac{J_{b}(y)}{A_{k}(z)} \frac{2}{J_{a}(x) J_{b}(y)}+{ }_{x ; z}^{Z} \frac{{ }^{2} J_{a}(x)}{A_{k}(z) A_{k}(z)} \frac{J_{a}(x)}{} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

From the de nition of $J_{a}$ and $M^{Y}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{J_{a}(x)}{A_{k}(z)}=i-{ }^{C_{A}} M \underset{a k}{y}(x) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & z
\end{array}\right)  \tag{23a}\\
& \frac{J_{b}(y)}{A_{k}(z)}=\quad i-C_{A} D_{y} G(y ; z) M^{y}(z){ }_{b k}  \tag{23b}\\
& D_{m n}=@ m_{n}+i-C_{A n C} f_{C} \tag{23c}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing (23a) and the de nition of $M^{y}$, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
z \frac{{ }^{2} J_{a}(x)}{A_{k}(z) A_{k}(z)} & =i \frac{"}{C_{A}} \frac{M_{a b}^{y}(x)}{A_{b}(y)}  \tag{24}\\
& =\frac{C_{A}}{y!}{ }_{a m}^{y} \operatorname{Tr}^{m} D^{1}(y ; x) y!x
\end{align*}
$$

The coincident lim it of $D^{1}$ which appears in this equation has to be evaluated by regularization.T he argum ents are the sam e because both functional derivatives act at the sam e point. W e can consider the kinetic energy operator $\operatorname{as} E_{z}^{k}(z) E_{z}^{k}\left(z^{0}\right) ; z^{0}!\quad z$. This would give a point-splitting regularized version ofD ${ }^{1}$. H ow ever, it is not gauge-invariant; we need a phase factor connecting the two points $z$ and $z^{0}$. The covariantly regularized expression can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =2 e^{2} \quad E_{z}^{k}(z) P\left(z ; z^{0}\right)^{k l} E_{z}^{1}\left(z^{0}\right) z^{0}!z \\
P\left(z ; z^{0}\right) & =\exp \quad A\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z & \left.z^{0}\right) \quad A\left(\begin{array}{l}
z
\end{array} z^{0}\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

In $P\left(z ; z^{0}\right)$, the potentials $A$ and $A$ are evaluated at $\frac{1}{2}\left(z+z^{0}\right)$; this $m$ idpoint speci cation is consistent with the hem iticity of $T$. Notice also that, in this case, $E_{z}(z) P\left(z ; z^{0}\right)=$ P ( $\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}$ ) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z}) . \mathrm{U}$ sing (25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{z}^{Z} \frac{{ }^{2} J_{a}(x)}{A_{k}(z) A_{k}(z)}=\frac{C_{A}}{R e q}{\underset{a}{y}}_{y}^{\operatorname{Tr}} T^{m} D^{1}(z ; x) P^{T}(z ; x)_{z!x} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $P^{T}$ is the transpose of $P$.) $W$ e see that the use of expression (25) for $T$ is equivalent to covariant point-splitting regularization of ${ }^{1}$. U sing (17), we nally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{z}^{z} \frac{{ }^{2} J_{a}(x)}{A_{k}(z) A_{k}(z)}={ }^{C_{A}} J_{a}(x) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om bining $(22,23,27)$, the kinetic energy operator $T$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a b(x ; y)=h_{C_{A}}{ }_{a b} @_{y} G(x ; y) \quad i_{a b c} J_{C}(y) G(x ; y) \quad i^{i} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $m=e^{2} c_{A}=2$. In particular, $w e$ see that $J_{a}$ is an eigenfunction of $T w$ ith eigenvalue m,i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T J_{a}(x)=\frac{e^{2} C_{A}}{2} J_{a}(x) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

O f course, $J_{a}$ by itself would not be an acceptable eigenfunction since it is not invariant under H ! V (z)H V (z). W e have to construct suitable com binations of $J_{a}$ 's. $N$ evertheless, the result (29) is the $m$ athem atical expression of the intuitive argum ents given earlier. (Expression (28) is also typically of the form which arises in change of variables or the introduction of collective coordinates in eld theory [4].)
$T$ he ambiguity in de ning $M$ for a given potential $A$ is a constant $m$ atrix $V$ if we use boundary conditions on $M$ appropriate to a Ri iem ann sphere. H ow ever, from the point of view of constructing $M$ from $A$, there is no reason why this should not be done independently in di erent regions of space $w$ ith $m$ atching conditions on overlap regions. Thus we must allow the freedom of $m$ aking transform ations $H$ ! $V(z) H V(z)$ for the purpose ofm atching H 's in di erent regions. P roper wave functions are thus constructed from products of the currents by requiring this invariance as well. (T his point was not elaborated upon in [1].)

T he w avefunction for the sim plest excited state is given by the product of tw o currents. We nd, using (28), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=J_{a}(x) J_{a}(y)+\frac{C_{A} \operatorname{dim} G}{2} \frac{1}{(x \quad y)^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is orthogonal to the ground state and is an eigenfunction of $T \mathrm{w}$ ith eigenvalue 2 m . (dim $G$ is the dim ension of the group $G=S U(N)$.) B y applying $\varrho_{x} ; @_{y}$ and taking $y!x$, we can construct a state 2 of eigenvalue 2 m , which is invariant under H ! V ( z ) H V ( z ).

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(J)=f_{x} f(x) @ J_{a}(x) @ J_{a}(x)+\frac{C_{A} \operatorname{dim} G}{2} @_{x} @_{x} \quad(x \quad y) j^{\prime}!x \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second (c-num ber) term in (31) orthogonalizes this $w$ ith respect to the ground state. (O ne m ay regard @ $J_{a}(x) @ J_{a}(y)$ as providing a point-split version of $B_{a}(x) B_{a}(x)$. A pointsplitting respecting invariance under H ! $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{H} V(\mathrm{Z})$ w ould be Z x

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(x ; y)=B_{a}(x) \mathbb{P} \exp \left(\quad @ H H^{1}\right)\right]_{a b} B_{b}(y) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it $y$ ! $x, ~(x ; y)$ gives (29) and $T$ goes to $T \quad 2$ as well.) The construction of higher excited states w ill be discussed elsew here.

In ref.[1], an expression for $T$ was given in term $s$ of derivatives $w$ ith respect to the param eters' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of $H$ as de ned after (11). The $m$ atrix elem ent of the kinetic energy term is given by
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}=\quad \mathrm{ir}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\quad \mathrm{ir}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1} \overline{r_{n}}}{K_{\mathrm{ab}}=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(t_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\right)} \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ he action of $T$ on products of $J$ 's can also be com puted from this. Taking 2 to be a function of the current, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\operatorname{im} d(H) e^{2 C_{A} S(H)} \quad \int_{x} \quad i J_{a}(x)+{ }_{y}\left[\left(G p_{a}\right)(x) J_{b}(y)\right] \frac{2}{J_{b}(y)} \frac{J_{a}(x)}{} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have used the relation $\left(G p_{b}\right)(x) J_{C}(y)=\left(i_{A}=\right) K_{c b}(x \quad y)$ and $\left(G p_{a}\right) S=(i=2)\left(@ H^{H}{ }^{1}\right)_{a}$. $U$ sing also $\left(G p_{a}\right)(x) J_{b}(y)=i \quad a b(x ; y)$, we see that (35) leads to exactly the sam e expression as (28). Since it su œes, by our earlier argum ents, to consider only wave functions which are functions of the currents, it follow s that the operator $T$ as given by (33) is the sam e as (25) or (28), giving an altemative con mation of the calculations in [1]. Further, T as given by (33) is evidently self-adjint; thus (28) is self-adjoint as well, despite the naive lack of $m$ anifest hem iticity. In collective eld theory, rather than dem onstrating self-adjointness, one usually determ ines the $m$ easure factor appearing in the inner product by requiring self-adjointness of the H am iltonian [4]. It is clear from the above calculations that the $m$ easure so determ ined $w$ ill lead to the inner product (18).

It is interesting to note that the potential energy can also be written in term $s$ of $J_{a}$ ' $s$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{m} \frac{C}{A}_{Z}^{x} @ J_{a}(x) @ J_{a}(x) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$
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